JAMES KEIR, ESQ. F.R.S.

S K E T C H

OF THE LIFE OF

JAMES KEIR, Esq., F.R.S.,

WITH A SELECTION

FROM HIS CORRESPONDENCE.

.

PRINTED FOR PRIVATE CIRCULATION.

LONDON: PRINTED BY ROBERT EDMUND TAYLOR, 19, OLD STREET, GOSWELL ROAD, E.C.



INTRODUCTORY LETTER.



UPPER SKILTS, 21*st September*, 1859.

To JAMES KEIR MOILLIET, ESq.

My dear JAMES KEIR,

You know that my late dear friend, your Father's excellent Mother, employed many hours of her last long illness in the filial duty-as she felt itof putting into form fome remembrances of her own loved and revered Father's life and character. To yourfelf, in whom his line is directly continued and who bear his name, this little domeftic Memorial was affectionately addreffed. But within her own family, and beyond it amongft friends of old time, were many who would, fhe believed, both from regard for him whofe worth fhe commemorated, and for his own fake, read thefe Recollections with a kindly and thoughtful intereft. That they might be enabled to do fo, fhe defigned having her Memorial Sketch printed for her private use and intended giving copies of it through that felected circle. She entrusted to me the carrying of her wish into effect-and placed her Manufcript for this purpofe in my hands; with it, fome Letters containing particulars of her Father's family and of himfelf. On reading thefe over, I found one old anecdote differently told; and much that I thought might in one way or another be interefting, if added to her own Record. She agreed to my reprefentations, and the Manufcript was recalled from the printer. Whilft the required alterations were ftill under confideration, the end that we had all long feared, and fhe had long defired, came fuddenly, and fhe was taken from us.

Her wifh, in regard to the Family Record, remained confided to me. Many caufes have interfered hitherto with my difcharge of a dear and facred truft. This I am now able to acquit, fo far as regards yourfelf, by placing before you, at full, THREE OF THE LETTERS of which I have fpoken, and the MEMORIAL SKETCH itfelf, fuch as after much retouching, from time to time, by the venerated writer, fhe, at laft, left it.

By a letter from Mrs. MOILLIET'S Coufin, Mifs MAGDALENE MOWBRAY, dated Edinburgh, *April 5th*, 1820, to your Grandfather, Mr. MOILLIET, then living at Smethwick Grove, I fee that Mr. MOILLIET, at that time, contemplated printing a Memoir, towards which he had afked of Mifs MOWBRAY details of information concerning his Wife's family. She in this anfwer fays, that in an enclofed paper fhe fends "all the information refpecting our relatives which I could remember from converfations with my Mother and Aunts, or which I have been able to collect from other fources," excufing the fcantinefs of the additional information, "as the whole

of the old generation is gone." She then requefts that fhe may be allowed the opportunity of revifing the Memoir before it finally leaves the prefs; but on the fpecific defign of the Memoir the letter throws no further light. The letter of Mr. CUNNINGHAM to which Miss MOWBRAY refers is addressed to her Sister, Mrs. CAMPBELL, then living with her in Edinburgh. Still in reference, I conceive, to the fame intention, further information, as the ground of a Genealogical Table, was afked and given. Whatfoever the particular purpofe of this Memoir may have been-perhaps a Life of your Great Grandfather, Mr. KEIR, preceded by fome account of his family-the project appears to have been abandoned. Ι find, at leaft, no further trace of it.

Many years later, when Mrs. CAMPBELL was on a vifit to your Grandfather and Grandmother at Hamftead Hall, fome particulars mentioned in converfation by Mrs. CAMPBELL with regard to your Great-Great-Grandfather, Mr. JOHN KEIR, had fo much interefted Mrs. MOILLIET that fhe requefted to have them committed to writing. Her wifh was gratified by her Coufin.

You will fee, in Mifs MOWBRAY'S paper, mention made of the profession for which your Great Grandfather, Mr. KEIR, was educated, and of the use which he made of the knowledge fo acquired in the emergencies of a very different profession. In the Memoir which this letter accompanies you will find the same subject again touched upon. But in another manufcript paper of your Grand-

mother's I find that the use came home to himself under fingular circumftances. I do not refift the temptation of transcribing what I am fure will for many reasons interest She has mentioned that her Father, foon after enyou. tering the Army, was ordered with his Regiment to a station in the West Indies, and being there attacked by the endemic plague of the region-the yellow feverwas conveyed on board a Hofpital-Ship. I quote from the manufcript:-" From the little window of the cabin where he lay he faw the fharks tearing to pieces the bodies of his companions, who had died of the fame disease with which he was suffering. The sea was tinged with blood, and their mangled limbs were feen floating on its surface. He had no friend to confole him in this situation. The Army-Surgeon paid him a daily visit; and once, upon entering his cabin and obferving that he was deprived of the power of speech and of motion, he exclaimed in a forrowful voice-' He is gone too,'-and immediately left him. My Father however revived a little, and when a perfon came in fome time after, probably with the intention of throwing his body overboard, he made a fign that he wished to write, and a pencil being presented to him, he wrote a request to have a certain quantity of Antimony, which was granted only from the perfuasion that his state was hopeles, but to the surprise of every one he recovered. The use of Antimony as a Medicine was not then generally known." I have heard your Great

Grandfather mention how, in that illnefs, he lay with his mind perfectly awake to all that was faid or done about him; but, without the power to move, whilft knowing from the converfation that went on, that without the fign, the life was doomed.

I stand now, my dear young friend, I hope, acquitted Some words remembering her, whofe "Tribute to you. of a daughter's love" I am fending you, fhould rightly go with it; but who fhall find them ?-A life-long and affectionate intimacy revealed to me, through all the changes and changing duties of life, her fpirit-wife and ftrong in love, meek, obedient, felf-forgetting, fludious only for the welfare of others, large in intelligent fympathy, glad to approve, flow to condemn, fearching out grounds for the mitigating of cenfure, defiring above all things truth of thought and of heart ;---and, under the preffure of forrows and afflictions, many and fevere, finding folace and calm in her afpiration towards that Heaven where Love endures and Truth will be unveiled. Her better Memorial lives in the cherishing hearts of those who knew and loved her, and in fuch of her virtues as yet flow onwards from her life, in her children, and in their children.

Believe me, my dear JAMES KEIR,

Your's very affectionately,

ALEXANDER BLAIR.



THE IMPERFECT

TRIBUTE OF A DAUGHTER

то

THE MEMORY

OF

A BELOVED AND REVERED FATHER.

"Like leaves on trees the race of Man is found, Now green in youth, now withering on the ground ; Another race the following fpring fupplies, They fall fucceflive, and fucceflive rife ; So generations in their courfe decay ; So flourifh thefe when those are past away."



ABBERLEY HALL,

January, 1857.

DOCTOR DAVY, in his Life of Sir HUMPHRY DAVY, mentions, at page 78, the introduction of his brother to your Great Grandfather, Mr. KEIR, at that time refiding at Hill-Top, Weft Bromwich; and the few words in which this is related, whilft they atteft the quick-fightednefs of his young and gifted vifitor, are deeply touching and gratifying to me, fhowing as they do a high and juft appreciation of my dear Father's richly endowed and cultivated mind, kindlinefs of heart, and that independence of character which is the natural offspring of inflexible integrity.

My Father was the youngeft of eighteen children, and was born eight years before the death of his Father, who alfo was a man greatly refpected for his talents and independent fpirit. He carried this principle of independence fo far that, when a candidate for a feat in Parliament, he loft his election by a fingle vote, from his determination not to folicit any. His Widow, to meet the expenses of bringing up fo large a family, was obliged to have recourfe to the fale of his landed property. My Father was educated at the High School of Edinburgh, and ftudied medicine at the Univerfity there, but felected the Military profession for the fake of fatisfying an early and ftrong defire to fee foreign countries. Whilft in the Army, he was difappointed not to meet with more fympathy from his brother officers in his taste for reading and felf-cultivation; and with *one* only he formed a friendship, which however lasted to the end of his days. This was with Mr. BLAIR, and it continues to glow in the hearts of their defcendants, foothing the trials of life to the prefent hour.

My Father, with the conftancy of purpofe which was a marked feature of his character, ufed at this time to rife at four every morning, and thus gain many hours for fludy, without interfering with his daily duties, or the pleafure or convenience of others. He read over again the ancient claffical authors and translated many passages from the Greek military hiftorian, Polybius. He alfo wrote a treatife on the Art of War, but the M.S. was unfortunately burnt at his Publisher's. It had however been feen by fome perfon high in pofition, and promotion was affured to him in confequence had he chofen to remain in the Army; in which he only role to the rank of Captain. He preferred the tranquil purfuit of fcientific studies to a profession which was never in itself congenial to his taftes. He was devoting himfelf chiefly to the fciences of Geology and Chemistry at the time referred to in Sir HUMPHRY DAVY'S letter. He shrunk from

celebrity; and when fome of his difcoveries in Chemiftry were given to others, he did not claim them as his own, faying to his friends—" Knowledge is important; but whether the difcovery is made by one man or another is not deferving of confideration." The inftance which I efpecially recollect was the difcovery of the acidity of carbonic acid gas, at that time called " fixed air."

His conversation was not confined to scientific subjects, for the love of claffical literature continued to the clofe of his life, and cheered his folitude during its decline. He retained the vigour of his mind to a very late period; and his fociety, fought by the eminent men of his day, was equally pleafing to the young from the characteriftic urbanity of his manner, his never-failing cheerfulnefs, and the vein of wit with which he often enlivened a grave fubject. To the more intelligent of his youthful hearers, the interest which he took in their curiofity, and the fingular clearnefs with which he laid open the varied ftores of his own information, was peculiarly attractive. As life advanced, the tafte for the quietude of home naturally grew upon him, and his thoughtful mind feemed more than ever to retire into itfelf. Only his own nearest relations knew the ftrength and depth of his affections, for they feemed in him to have called forth a corresponding ftrength of felf-command, fuch as I never faw exceeded.

I have touched upon fome points of my Father's character, in the belief that even fo flight a Memorial will be interefting to his defcendants. I do not attempt, for I am not able to express in words my own full remembrance of what he was.—" The strong and clear understanding which guided himself and counselled others; —the caution in undertaking and the resolution in acting; —the uprightness of conduct, the promptness to oblige, and the self respect, jealous of incurring an obligation; the devoted and indefatigable pursuit of knowledge; the reverent love of truth; the candour, the humility, and the respect for others,—were apparent qualities, and impressed all who came around him. It was this union of the more energetic and the gentler virtues that constituted him, as Sir Humphry Davy has truly described him, 'an amiable and a great man.'" *

In his laft illnefs, when himfelf no longer able to read, he ufed to call upon his faithful fervant to read to him out of the New Teftament "to inftruct him." This exprefion was characteriftic of his mind.

May he remain an example to his youthful defcendants, fludying to mould themfelves! May his worth live again in them and in their children!

AMELIA MOILLIET.

^{*} This fentence was written by DOCTOR BLAIR, burfting through the flight veil that reverence and affection throw over near relationship. It fpeaks what I felt.—A.M.

APPENDIX.

The three following Letters are those alluded to in the Introductory Letter.

В

.

.



FIRST LETTER.

MR. C. CUNNINGHAM TO MISS CAMPBELL.

14, Heriot Row, Edinburgh, 3rd April, 1820.

Dear Madam,

Mr. JOHN KEIR, of Muiston Baxter, Burgess of Edinburgh, was at various times a Member of the Town Council of Edinburgh. He was Convener of the Trades in the years 1729 and 1730. While Trades Councillor in 1727 he was a candidate for reprefenting the City in Parliament, and he loss his election by one vote only, as appears by the Records of Council. He must have been a perfon of confiderable confequence; and it is plain, from the last-mentioned circumstance, that he was held in high estimation among his fellow citizens. I have not had time to ascertain whether Mr. KEIR was oftener a Member of the Town Council than at the periods above mentioned. He was never Convener of the Trades except in the years above specified.

I am always, dear Madam,

Yours faithfully,

C. CUNNINGHAM.

To Mifs CAMPBELL, Edinburgh.

B 2

SECOND LETTER.

MISS CAMPBELL TO MRS. JOHN LEWIS MOILLIET.

22nd July, 1837.

My deareft Coufin,

I with pleafure comply with your requeft, to note down the circumftances I mentioned to you relating to our Grandfather, Mr. KEIR, of Muiston, in the County of Stirling. This Gentleman refided in Edinburgh in the early part of the last century, and was fo much refpected by his fellow citizens as to induce them to requeft that he would allow himfelf to be brought forward to reprefent them in Parliament. He accordingly did fo, and it was fully expected that he would have a majority of the Town Council of the City, who were then the electors; but to his furprife he was vifited early in the morning of the election by a party of his friends, who expressed their aftonishment that he should be sleeping fo late when all Edinburgh was in a buftle about him. He expressed great furprise, and assured his friends that he had never flept more calmly in his life. They then told him that he must lose his election as one of the

OF THE LATE JAMES KEIR.

voters had been bribed over by the oppofite party laft night ;---so by this man's treachery he loft his election. When it was all over, the Duke of ARGYLE, who headed the oppofite party, invited Mr. KEIR and his friends to dine with him. After dinner, the Duke requested leave to give a toast, and gave Mr. KEIR's health; upon which Mr. KEIR, who was naturally eloquent, made a fpeech which gave fuch fatisfaction that the Duke of ARGYLE in reply affured Mr. KEIR that had he known his fentiments as well before the election as he did now he never would have oppofed him, and concluded by offering him his interest in future, adding that should Mr. KEIR or his family require any favour of Government he should always feel most happy to fecond his wishes with all his influence. Mr. KEIR made a reply to the following effect : "My Lord Duke, I feel much gratified by your Grace's good opinion and very handfome offer. I became a candidate at this time at the request of my fellow citizens, who thought me qualified to ferve them in Parliament, but for myfelf I had nothing to afk. I have maintained myfelf and family with all the comfort I could wifh, and I hope by God's bleffing to be able to do fo to the end; but feel not the lefs obliged by your Grace's condefcention and unexpected offer of patronage, and for which I beg your acceptance of my humble thanks."

Mr. KEIR was as good as his word, he never afked any favour; but a gentleman of the name of KERR, a

2 ſ

fellow citizen, foon after applied for fome fituation under Government which he immediately got; and it was generally thought he owed his fuccefs, at the time, to his being miftaken for Mr. KEIR, whofe name is ufually pronounced KERR in England.

The perfon who accepted of the bribe was never able to hold up his head in Edinburgh afterwards. I was affured, my dear Madam, by a gentleman who had the beft accefs to know, that the fact of this election being oft by the villany of one man is recorded in the books of the Council of the Magiftracy of Edinburgh to this day.

Believe me always,

Yours affectionately,

JEAN CAMPBELL.

P.S.—S^{or.} JOHN MADENI was the name of the artift who painted the portraits of our Grandfather and Grandmother, Mr. and Mrs. KEIR.—J.C.

THIRD LETTER.

MISS MOWBRAY TO JOHN LEWIS MOILLIET, Esq.

Edinburgh,

5th April, 1820.

The immediate forefathers of Mr. JAMES KEIR were for feveral generations Burgeffes in Edinburgh, and from connections, refpectability of character, and opulence, were juftly confidered as belonging to the first class of citizens there.

They were defcended from a refpectable family of that name in Perthfhire, whofe lands bore the fame name.

His Father, Mr. JOHN KEIR, was born in 1686 and died in 1743. He was efteemed a man of uncommon abilities and of great integrity. He and his brother— WILLIAM—were both for many years Members of the Town Council of Edinburgh, and were in high effimation for independent principles and rectitude of conduct: JOHN KEIR, at the earnest folicitations of his fellow citizens, stood candidate for Member of Parliament for the City. He was possefield of two estates — Muiston and Queenshaugh—both of which were fold after his death. He married in 1708 MAGDALENE LIND, eldest daughter of GEORGE LIND of Géorgie, by whom he had eighteen children, of whom JAMES was the youngest.

Mrs. KEIR was of a lively temper, affectionate difpofition, and performed every domeftic duty from ftrict and fteady principles. Perhaps the moft ftriking feature in her character was *aclive benevolence*, in which fhe was equalled by few. I ftill remember her with tendernefs; and perfons more aged, in whofe memory fhe lives, fpeak of her with a degree of efteem and veneration which nothing but fuperior worth could call forth. She died at the age of eighty-fix, in full poffeffion of all her faculties.

Mr. JAMES KEIR was born 29th September, 1735. As his Father died when he was very young, the care of forming his mind devolved on his Mother. In directing his fludies fhe was no doubt advifed by her two brothers, ALEXANDER LIND, of Georgie, Sheriff of the County, and GEORGE LIND, Lord Provost of Edinburgh and Member of Parliament for that City. He was educated at home, as was the cuftom in Edinburgh, and attended the High School, but under what mafter I have not been able to Intended for the Medical profession, he attended learn. the different Professions in the University, but at this distant period I cannot afcertain their names. Having an ample patrimony and feveral near relations in the learned professions, there is no doubt he received every advantage of education that Edinburgh could afford; and he was early diftinguifhed for fingular love of probity and truth, love of learning, and conftant application to fludy. Having completed his medical fludies, he went into the Army, but what were his views in making this change is not known. During the French war he was in active fervice in the Weft Indies, where his medical fkill in times of ficknefs and difficulty was of fervice to the troops.

The pamphlet you mention was a letter addreffed to the Marquis of GRANEY, figned "An Officer." I have read it, and according to my recollection he argues in favour of the fales of commissions, as he fays if that plan were annulled it would deprive a man declining in health, or advancing in years, of retiring from his profession, and would throw too great patronage in the hands of the Minister. I hope this pamphlet will be found among my Uncle's other papers, for I remember Mrs. KEIR telling me that he had laid as a copy of every one of his publications for his daughter when the should come of age. I fincerly hope these did not fuffer in the deftructive fire which there is fo much reason to lament.

You will now naturally expect me to give fome account of the other branches of fo large a family merely for the information of Mrs. MOILLIET and your children. Alas! with the exception of my Uncle (JAMES, the youngeft of the family) they are all gone; and of the fecond generation there remain only Mrs. MOILLIET, my fifter, and myfelf. My other Uncles all died unmarried, indeed few of them reached middle life. My eldeft Uncle, who was bred a Banker, died before his Father at the age of twenty-four; another died in India. One died when attending his medical education. FRANCIS, Lieutenant in the Navy, born 1733, died in 1794. My eldeft Aunt married firft to Mr. DAWSON, Clergyman of Saint Cuthbert, had one child, who died in infancy. She married again,—to Mr. NISBET, Writer, and Grandfon to Sir JOHN NISBET, of Dean, to whom fhe had no family. My other Aunts all died unmarried. Your family, and my late brother's only child lately married to Mr. HALL, Counfellor in Dublin, are now the only defcendants of the third generation of Mr. JOHN KEIR.

From Mr. WILLIAM KEIR (the brother of Mr. JOHN KEIR) there are many defcendants. One of his Sons left a large family, who are all in this country, and in genteel life. His other Son, ARCHIBALD KEIR, left General Sir WILLIAM KEIR, now in India, and Mifs KEIR.



THE

GENEALOGY

OF THE FAMILY OF

JAMES KEIR, Esq., F.R.S.

.



GENEALOGY OF THE KEIRS.

The first of the KEIR family that we have on record is JOHN DE KEIR, mentioned in a parchment register formerly in the possession of Sir WILLIAM KEIR, and which also gives the armorial bearings.

"To all and fundry whom thefe prefents do or may CONCERN, JOHN CAMPBELL HOOKE, of Bangaston, Efquire, Lion King of Arms, doth hereby certify and declare that the Enfign Armorial belonging and pertaining to ARCHIBALD KEIR, Efquire, at Calcutta, in the Kingdom of Bengal, defcended of the family of KEIR, of Whitmore, in the County of Berwick, a cadet of the ancient family of JOHN DE KEIR, who, with most of the nobility and gentry of Scotland, were compelled to fubmit to King Edward the First of England, Anno 1296, is matriculated in the public Register of the Lion Office, and is blazoned as on the margin thus :--- Argent, on a crofs ingrailed fable three lozenges or between four rofes gules; above the fhield an helmet befitting his degree, with a mantle gules doubling argent; and on a wreath of his colours is fet for creft a man upon an elephant, &c., &c." *

* Pont's M.S. Nisbet, ch. 25 :-- Crest, a horse's head, with bridle; motto, "Virtute et Valore."

I. From JOHN DE KEIR was lineally defcended ADAM KEIR who married the daughter of a Mr. MICHELL, by whom he had two Sons, WILLIAM and JOHN.

ARCHIBALD KEIR, the Son of WILLIAM KEIR, married the daughter of Mr. BRUCE, of Kinlock, and was fucceeded by his Son, General Sir WILLIAM KEIR, who added the name of GRANT to his paternal furname.

General Sir WILLIAM KEIR GRANT Was born in 1771; entered the British Army in 1792; received the honour of the Order of MARIA THERESA, as one of the eight officers who faved the EMPEROR OF AUSTRIA from being taken prisoner by the enemy on the plains of the Cateau Cambrefis, in 1794; he joined the Ruffian and Auftrian Army in Italy in 1799, and participated in the campaigns of that and the two following years, including the battles of Novi, Rivoli, and Marengo; he was fubfequently fifteen years in India as Adjutant General. He was Major General on the staff, and Commander of the forces in Java; he was also fecond member of the Government of that ifland; he commanded four different armies employed in India, on each of which occafions he received the thanks of the Indian Government, and on one of these occasions the thanks of Parliament alfo; he was made a Knight Commander of the Bath in 1822, and a

30

Lieutenant General in 1825; he became a General in 1841; he was a G.C.H., a Grand Crofs of the Order of the Lion and Sun, and a Baron of Auftria; he married in 1811 the daughter of Captain JACKSON of the Royal Navy; at the time of his death, May, 1852, he was Colonel of the Scotch Greys. *

II. JOHN KEIR, of Muifton and Queenfhaugh, the fecond Son of ADAM KEIR, was born 28th Auguft, 1686, and died 5th May, 1743. He married MAGDALENE, the eldeft daughter of GEORGE LIND of Georgie, near Edinburgh, who was Lord Provoft, and Member of Parliament for that City. She was married the 21st April, 1708, by Mr. R. SANDELENS, Minifter of Hadow's Hall, in Edinburgh, from her Father's houfe at Georgie. They had eighteen children, eight fons and ten daughters, of whom—

(1.) CHRISTIANA was the eldeft. She was born 28th August, 1709, and died 24th March, 1710, being Friday betwixt 8 and 9 o'clock at night, and was buried in the Greyfriars upon the 25th. †

(2.) JEAN KEIR, born 12th September, 1710, baptifed on the 19th in the High Church of Edinburgh by Mr. JAMES WEBSTER, Minister in

* Illustrated London News, 22nd May, 1852.

† From a M.S. book of Datès, &c., by Mrs. Moilliet, the daughter of Mr. James Keir.

the Tolbooth Church in Edinburgh. Witneffes, GEORGE WARRENDER, GEORGE LIND, ADAM KEIR, &c. Married to Mr. DAWSON, June 1st, 1732, and had one Son, born 30th May, 1733. Mr. DAWSON died 22nd January, 1735, and his Son on the 3rd February following. His widow married Mr. NISBET in March, 1742.

(3.) ADAM KEIR, born on the Lord's day, being 13th January, 1712, baptifed the fame day, departed this life and was buried in the Greyfriars.

(4.) GEORGE KEIR, born 17th February, 1713. He died 26th August, 1737; buried in Greyfriars Churchyard.

(5.) MAGDALENE KEIR, born 6th August, 1714. Died of the small-pox.

(6.) GRIZEL KEIR, born 2nd October, 1716. Baptifed on the 7th, being Sabbath, in the old Church, by Mr. MITCHELL. Witneffes, Sir GEORGE WARRENDER of Lochhead, GEORGE LIND of Georgie, &c., &c. Died 24th December, 1788.

(7.) JOHN KEIR was born on the 18th May, 1718, being the Lord's day; baptifed by —— CHALMERS, Minister in Canphier. Witness, Sir G. WARRENDER, &c., &c.

(8.) MARGARET KEIR, born 21st August, 1719; baptised; same witness. Died 16th December, 1792. (9.) ELIZABETH KEIR, born upon the Lord's day, 6th November, 1720; baptifed; fame witneffes. Died 31st December, 1802. With this Aunt I corresponded many years, for she lived to an advanced age.

(10.) MAGDALENE KEIR, born 27th July, 1722. Died 20th October, 1724; baptifed previoufly; fame witneffes. Buried in Greyfriars.

(11.) WILLIAM KEIR, born 7th March, 1723 or 4. Died in the Eaft Indies in May, 1760. He was extremely loved by his family. A young man of promifing talents, lively, and who lived much in fociety. He arrived at Bencoolon, 30th September, 1757. He lived at Fort Marlboro' 2 years 7 months.

(12.) ALEXANDER KEIR, born 7th July, 1725; died 7th January, 1731.

(13.) KATHERINE KEIR, born 19th October, 1726; married to Mr. Mowbray, fecond fon of J. Mowbray, Efquire, Fifeshire. Died in 1808.

(14.) ANNA KEIR, born 30th September, 1728; departed this life 19th January, 1731.

(15.) ADAM KEIR, born 24th February, 1730, died 24th May, 1748.

(16.) HELEN KEIR, born 23rd October, 1731; fhe departed this life 17th October, 1733.

(17.) FRANCIS KEIR, born 26th June, 1733, was baptifed upon the 27th by Mr. JAMES

С

DASON, Minister of the West Church. Witnesses, ALEXANDER LIND of Georgie, ARCHIBALD DUFF of Drummoor, Esquire, &c. The kind and affectionate manners of my dear Uncle to me are still vividly and gratefully remembered. He died 15th September, 1794, at Edinburgh.

- III. (18.) JAMES KEIR (my dear Father) was born upon Monday the 29th September, 1735, about nine o'clock in the morning, and was baptifed in the old Church in Edinburgh on the Sabbath thereafter, being the 5th October, by Mr. PATRICK CUMMING, Minifter. Witneffes, ALEXANDER LIND of Georgie, ARCHIBALD DUFF of Drummoor, JOHN DICKIE, WILLIAM KEIR, and GEORGE KEIR (his brothers). Died the 11th October, 1820.
- IV. CHILDREN OF JAMES AND SUSANNA KEIR:
 (1.) FRANCIS, a fine boy, who died in infancy.
 (2.) AMELIA, born 17th July, 1780. JOHN
 LEWIS MOILLIET and AMELIA KEIR were married at Weft Bromwich Church by the Reverend
 Mr. JESSE, on the 19th May, 1801, and have iffue.



34

A SELECTION

.

FROM THE CORRESPONDENCE OF JAMES KEIR, Esq., F.R.S.,

ARRANGED CHRONOLOGICALLY AS A

SUPPLEMENTARY MEMOIR.

.

.

C 2



PREFACE.

It having been determined that the foregoing pages fhould be printed for private circulation, in accordance with the expressed with of the late Mrs. MOILLIET, it became defirable to increase the interest of the Work by adding thereto a felection from among the letters which passed between Mr. KEIR and his friends.

That the letters here given are but few in number is owing to the fact that the largeft and most valuable portion of them were confumed at the deftructive fire which occurred at Abberley Hall, Worcesterschire, the refidence of my Grandmother, the late Mrs. MOILLIET, on the 25th day of December, 1845; when also a fingularly beautiful filver coffee-pot, the gift of Mr. BOULTON to Mrs. KEIR, was unfortunately deftroyed. These letters, which are felected as the least personal of those now existing,—but which, nevertheless, are of a strictly private nature,—are here arranged according to date; and I have added a few connecting remarks or notices in such places as seemed to need a little confecutiveness or elucidation.

I have only to add that there are feveral curious fragments of Works of Mr. KEIR in manufcript, on Philosophical, Literary, and Political fubjects, of which no mention has been made in the following pages, as they were left by him in a very incomplete form, and have no relation to the circumftances of his life.

J. KEIR MOILLIET.

Cheyney Court, August, 1868.





THE LATE JAMES KEIR, Esq., F.R.S.

Mifs MOWBRAY mentions that Mr. JAMES KEIR WAS born the 29th September, 1735, and that, as his Father died when he was very young, the care of forming his mind devolved on his Mother, who was affifted by her two brothers, ALEXANDER and GEORGE LIND. In another manufcript it is faid that Doctor MICHELL (a near relative through his Grandmother, who was a Mifs MICHELL) also affisted in his education. Doctor MICHELL appears to have difcovered in him, at an early period, the promife of great intellectual ability; and to have taken every opportunity to cherifh his thirft for knowledge. He died on the 28th October, 1751; and in the hope, probably, of further awakening the zeal of his young friend, he bequeathed to him, then only fixteen years of age, many of his valuable manufcripts, which were faid to have been very remarkable for the great refearch "and industry in collecting information" which they exhibited.

Whilf fludying medicine at the Edinburgh Univerfity, Mr. KEIR became acquainted with Mr. DARWIN—afterwards the celebrated Doctor. The friendfhip between them feems to have been mutual, and to have been maintained unbroken from boyhood to the clofe of life.

Alluding to Mr. KEIR's having ultimately felected the Army in preference to the Medical Profession, Miss MOWBRAY fays: "What were his views in making this change is not known," but in Mrs. MOILLIET's sketch of Mr. KEIR's life this point is cleared up in the following words: "My Father was educated at the High School of Edinburgh and studied medicine at the University there, but selected the Military Profession for the ske of fatisfying an early and strong defire to see foreign countries;" and this statement is corroborated by a passing in a Latin letter which Mr. KEIR himself wrote, when a youth of nineteen years of age, to his friend Mr. DARWIN, who was at that time a Bachelor of Medicine. The passing alluded to is, in the following transcript of the letter, printed in italics.

MR. KEIR TO MR. ERASMUS DARWIN.

Viro multis nominibus mihi chariffimo Erafmo Darwin, M.B.

S. P. D.

JACOBUS KEIR.

Amiciffimas tuas non ita pridem lubens profectò accepi, acceptas diligenter perlegi, atque perpendi. Quæ verò fuper tuis

obfervanda habeo, benigno accipe animo. Sed de Caufis priùs, quæ me literis latinis uti ut mallem impulerunt, quædam dicere liceat quum quò in his exercitatione frequenti peritiores futuri fimus, tum et quod quæ nobifmet ipfis nos impertiamus (impiorum, fortè, et nimis piorum confpectu haud quidem violanda) linguâ minùs notâ velata melius laterent. Quanta enim et quoufque tendat, apud mulierculas præfertim, aliena fciendi cupido. Sed hifce hactenus parùm diligentiæ adhibui. Et quoniam fert animus, ut medicam aliquandò carperem Lauream; differtationem inauguralem fcripturo, iftis incumbere acriùs omninò eft necessarium. Sed ad epiftolam. Nulla funt, quæ novi, experimenta Hallesii, quibus corpora, aëre reforpto, folidiora evadere conflat. Attamen, ex nupero quodam invento, CALCEM, aëre modò orbatam, in VIVAM verti pro comperto habet Dr. BLACK, inde autem fit, ut Calcem vivam fine ignis ope unquam parare poffit Vir ingeniofus. Hæc verò Calx (viva) foliditate perinde atque aëre eft orbata. Etiamfi fit extra rem, haud tamen abs re erit, quædam de viribus proferre, quibus usa est Aqua Calcis, ut Calculum humanum folutum reddat. Bina quæ fecit experimenta Halefius primum perpendi 1 cretæ albæ 3 1, pari copia affufo oleo Vitrioli, aërem flatim multum generavit. 2 Eadem tamen Creta in Calcem verfa, eodem affufo, aëra haud parvâ quidem copiâ haufit, atque abforbuit. Inde, ni fallor, liquido fatis apparet, Calcem vivam vim quandam aëra hauriendi, quâ antea non fruebatur, acquirere. Sed conflat ex experimentis, quæ idem inflituit Halefius, Calculi tum molem, tum et foliditatem ex aëre, maximam partem pendere.

Sed è diverticulo revertamus in viam. Argumenta veftra, quæ particulas frigidas exiftere probarent, evertere jam conamur, mutatis enim partibus, tu noftram tenes fententiam, veftram ego. 1^{mo.} 'Si lenta congelatio aquæ fiat in vafculo,' inquit Mufchenbroehius, 'tum circa CIRCUMFERENTIAM vafis orbiculariter incipit, ab hac medium verfus emittuntur fubtilifilma quædam filamenta. Si quidem fæviente gelu, fubitò conglaciat aqua, oritur primum in fuperficie lamella tenuis à pariete ad medium extenfa.' Inftat. Phylic. p. 396. Inde fit, ut fi particulæ fint tales, adeo debent effe fubtiles ut vitrum permeare facile poffent. Deinde, RIVOS OMNES AD FUNDUM PRIMUM CONGELARI, vel pifcatoribus, fimilibufque animalibus aquaticis benè notum eft. Attamen, paludibus cæterifque aquis ftagnantibus idem nequaquam ufu evenit. (Dr. PLoT's Hiftory of Oxfordshire, HALE'S Statics, vol. 2, p. 349). Præterea, quod folum fufficere poffet, ' Aqua aëre orbata, pofitaque fub vacuo, vel in phialâ fub dio, citius congelatur, aqua vulgaris tardius.' (Muschenb. p. 390). Glaciem fpecificè effe aquâ leviorem ita explicat noster Reimarus. Aër aquæ intimè mistus, et in ea quasi solutus, parum molei incrementi adfert, uti vas aquâ plenum, falis tamen cujufcunque copiam quandam nihilo feciùs recipere poffit. Si verò frigidæ particulæ aëreas ex fuâ cum aquâ unione intimâ expellant, in bullas tum colligitur aër, ac molem majorem, et fpecificè leviorem totam maffam efficit. Hæc utcunque ingeniofifima, quoniam parum veritatis continent, ex Muschenbroehii ejusdem obfervationibus fubverti facilè poffunt. At piget me quidem fubvertere. Quæ enim aqua aëre orbata, et in vacuo pofita, fine bullis congelaverat, eft etiam et hæc fpecificè levior; fefe vi ingenti expandit, et vitreas diffringit Phialas, Infl. Phys. p. 399. Plus fortè quam fatis jam hâc de re. Attamen, quoniam de particulis frigidis fermo inciderit, non prætereundum effe mihi videtur, glaciem plus frigere aëre ambiente. Id fe Petropoli obfervaffe prodit Kraaftius. (Mufch. 399). Nullam in ultimis meis de modo fervandi per hyemem plantas exoticas mentionem feci; quoniam num aqua viribus electricis repleta congelefcere poffet, experiendi non fuiffet occafio. Inventionem equidem laudo. Experimentis, quæ propofuifti, tefte Reimaro, repetitis comperi auram electricam per vitrum vires fuas exerere poffe, perindè ac vis magnetica per corpora nullo fibi commercio juncta, ferrum adtrahit. De adverfariis, aptius quidem, ut opinor, fequenti modo poffunt dividi 4 Volumina. 1. De re practicâ. In eo tum mor-

borum fymptomata, fi quæ funt mirabilia, tum obfervationes curatoriæ, tum et medicamti cujufdam vires funt notanda. 2. In hoc non modò Phyfiologia cum theoretica tum experimentalis, fed etiam Chemia atque Anatomia agitentur. 3tio haud abfurdè nomen imponas de Re Clinica ; in quo Morborum Hiftoriæ, quæ tibi ipfi occurrant, vel et quas mirabiles legas, funt omnes perfcribendæ. Ex hifce Hiftoriis, quotquot fint obfervationes feu de fymptomate quodam parum vulgari, feu de fingulari medicamenti cujufdam eventu, vel aliud quicquid memorabilius in adverfaria practica transferri debent. 4. Mifcellanea. Quæ experimenta facere ftatuas, factis nequaquam commisce. Hæc deinde omnia Volumina, tria certè priora. Indice uno generali ornas. Attamen fit liberus, nullo affixus, ita enim erit haud parum commodior. Titubantis amici Uxor uti plus pecuniæ quam antea retuli (nefcio quantum) marito affert, ita et plures annos.

In proximis tuis quo temporo nobis Londini conveniendum moneas. *Cupiditate enim quàm maximâ alienas invifendi terras ardeo. Tædet me patriæ, urbis præfertim natalis.* Ipfo fortè temporis momento, quo hæc exaro, medicos aucuparis honores, et quoniam nullus dubito quin confecutus eris, tibi de honoribus gratulari liceat. Gratulor et generi humano, te in medicorum numerum effe receptum. Doctor amicifilme, vale, et faluti humanæ profpice.

Dabam Edinæ, Die Junii 25, 1755.

The refult of this change in Mr. KEIR's profession was a voyage to the West Indies, where he was smitten with the yellow fever, and escaped his death by a medicine of his own prescribing, as mentioned in the introductory letter.

On his return home he was stationed with his Regiment, the 61st, at Bandon, Ireland; and in a letter to

Mrs. DARWIN he gives a humorous defcription of the people. The letter concludes thus :---

"If they were more luxurious, that is, had a greater number of wants to be fupplied, they would be more induffrious. Another reafon of the want of induftry in Ireland is mentioned by Sir WILLIAM TEMPLE; that is, the richnefs of the foil; for, in general, the foil is richer and requires less culture than the foil of England, by which means their neceffities are fupplied with lefs labour than in England, where (even to procure the neceffaries of life) more induftry is requifite.

"I am afraid, Madam, I have tired your patience. I find, too late indeed, that I have undertaken a fubject too extensive for a letter; but I muft not however forget to mention the moft agreeable part of every defcription, where they enter,-the ladies. To fay they are more or lefs handfome than the English ladies, as many do, would flow prejudice, confidering that they both are nearly of the fame climate. As the men of this country have a certain impetuofity in their difpolitions, which perhaps may be the caufe of their making fo many blunders in converfation, fo the women have a certain vivacity which influences their behaviour. All women, fays Mr. POPE, are fond of pleafure, and perhaps fo much the fonder as they are the more reftrained. Here they indulge themfelves in their favorite paffion perhaps more than in England. The manners of the country allow a greater freedom. They have not fo much of that delicate referve for which the English women are remarkable amongst foreigners, and which makes them better wives, if lefs agreeable.

"This town (where I now am), fecluded by its fituation from the reft of the kingdom, retains a character peculiar to itfelf. Gaming feems to be not fo much the amufement as the bufinefs of *all* sorts of people. In this, the morning; in this, the evening, is daily confumed. For this purpofe alone they meet, and, that they may

never want an opportunity of indulging their favorite paffion, they have a public card-meeting every night for the better fort of people of both fexes; and this in a town not fo big as Lichfield. It would be well if this fpirit of play were confined to a people of no employment, but it infects the tradesman and the farmer. I am told that at a fair in a neighbouring town it is not unufual for two farmers to fit down to play a party at piquet for a flake of a hundred bullocks. I need not fay much more of the character of people devoted as they are to play, nor of the influence which that clofe and jealous attention to their own intereft, at the expense of others, which conftant play makes habitual, must have on their minds. They are ftrangers to that hospitality for which the reft of this kingdom is famed; but inflead of this hofpitable difpolition they have been many years remarkable for another one of an oppofite kind, which is an inclination to quarrel. The Irifh gentlemen value themfelves much on a falfe and miftaken fenfe of honour. which inclines them too readily to take offence. Hence there are many more duels fought here than in England. In this town in particular, they have been fond of flowing their courage in the duelling way, and I am told that fome years ago this quarrelfome fpirit was very troublefome to ftrangers; now it has much abated, and I believe one may live among them without fear of his life. By this time, Madam, I fancy you are as tired of the people of Galway as I am. But our fortunes are fo far different : I, though tired, am obliged to live amongft them; you too are tired of them and—' blefs your ftars,' that you're in Lichfield. I am, with much efteem and regard, Madam,

Your most faithful and obedient Servant,

JAMES KEIR."

The following letter addreffed to DOCTOR DARWIN was probably written at the fame time :---

> BANDON, 20th August, 1766.

My dear Doctor,

I have delayed writing to you from month to month for at leaft this half year paft in expectation of being able to tell you that I fhould foon make you a vifit; but I have not yet had it in my power to give myfelf that pleafure. But to convince you that my delay of writing did not proceed from any forgetfulnefs, I heard about a year ago of an extraordinary medicine that I have ever fince kept in my memory that I might acquaint you of it. For I never hear of any thing curious of the fcientific kind but I wifh I could communicate it to you. The medicine I mean is no other than arfenicum album, which a gentleman of the Faculty told me he had feveral times administered with entire fuccess in old and inveterate epilepfies, as alfo in *old* rheumatifms. It was given from gr. fs. to gr. 1. finely levigated with flower of fulphur. The perfon that told it me is one that has travelled a great deal, talks much, and loves to raife admiration, but is with all that a very honeft man. I remember I mentioned to you when I faw you at Lichfield what I had heard concerning the heat in Georgia, that the thermometer role confiderably above the degree of human heat, and that it funk upon its application to the human body. Left you have not had an opportunity of feeing that paper of the Phil. Trans., I acquaint you that I have fince read it, and find it to be exactly as I had related. Does this feem to prove that there is a refrigerating principle in the human body, or could it proceed from the cold which may be supposed to be produced by the great perfpiration, in the fame manner as it is by all forts of evaporation according to the experiments of CULLEN ? Have you feen Doctor McBRIDE's book lately published on the Nature and Properties of

Fixed Air? If you have, it is only my labour loft, which is not worth much. He proves, or attempts to prove by experiments, that putrefaction enfues as foon as that vapour (called) fixed air escapes from animal or vegetable fubftances (though I know not why it has been called fixed air, fince it fuffocates animals and has not any property of air that I remember), and that by the re-admission of this vapour they recover their fweetnefs,—for inftance, a piece of putrid meat fufpended fo as to receive and imbibe the vapour of a fermenting mixture of animal and vegetable fubftances, or of acid and alkali fermenting together. Upon this he fuppofes all antisepticifm to depend. The bark, for inflance, generates a great deal. He has a curious method of diffolving camphor and refins in lime water, and afterwards, by precipitating the lime by means of this vapour, he procures a folution of the refin in fimple water. He fuppofes the gout to proceed from too great a quantity of fixed air, and prefcribes for it lime water, which is highly abforbent of it. He found likewife the petrifying waters to contain an earth abforbent of fixed air, and therefore recommends it for the ftone. You fay that a man's difpolition depends upon his food. Sir W. TEMPLE obferves that all courageous animals are alfo carnivorous, and that greater courage is to be expected from a people fuch as the English, whose food is strong and hearty, than from the halfflarved commonalty of other countries. But on the other hand, the Swedes, as well as other nations who eat little meat, are not inferior to any in point of courage; and then how fierce the gamecock is, and all animals of pafture in the rutting feafon, or even the most timid in defence of their young ! That is, they are courageous when any ftrong defire impels them. But that defire feldom affects the graminivorous in comparison of the carnivorous animal, who is daily exposed to the impulse of hunger which cannot be fatisfied without the exertion of courage. Therefore the queftion feems to remain doubtful. If you have any particular facts or reafonings upon this fubject I fhould be glad to be informed of them.

You fee what long letters I write by emptying my budget of all the fcraps of fcience I can pick up, fleal, or borrow; while you, from your too great abundance, are at a lofs what to choofe.

Your picture of yourfelf in your laft letter is fo exact, that if ever you happen to be "ftolen or ftrayed," I make no doubt of finding you again by advertifing it. I am no painter, elfe you fhould no longer have that excufe for fhort letters; but I am in fome hopes I shall be able to show you the original in a short time. I fhall certainly be with you in a month or two, or elfe next fummer. If I come now, my principal, or rather fole inducement will be a vifit that I have long been defirous to make to you. Prav let me hear foon from you, as I fhall certainly not long remain here, and your letter may have fome difficulty in catching me. Direct to Captain KEIR of the 61st Regiment, at Bandon, Ireland. I have lately purchafed a company, but was obliged to borrow fo round a fum, that I know not but I fhall find it moft expedient to fell out on half-pay fome time hence, except Mrs. DARWIN can recommend to me fome Lichfield *fair* that has more money and love than wit. Pleafe to make my beft compliments to Mrs. DARWIN,

And I am, my dear Doctor,

Your affectionate friend,

JAMES KEIR.

About this time Mr. KEIR feems to have written his Treatife on the Art of War, but which was never publifhed, the manufcript having been accidentally burnt by the publifher.

He probably left the Army at the time of or foon after his marriage with Mifs HARVEY (in 1770, though it is impossible to fix accurately the date), and fubfequently lived at Birmingham.

The following letter appears to have been written to Mrs. DARWIN before his marriage; but the original manufcript is without date or addrefs.

MR. KEIR TO MRS. DARWIN.

I expected to have had an opportunity before this of fending you my thanks for your letter by the Doctor, but he has not yet favoured his friends at Birmingham with a vifit.

The note you were fo obliging as to enclofe was-nothing lefs than what you conjectured—a *billet doux*. It is fo elegantly written and I am fo little accuftomed to fuch favours, that I cannot forbear indulging my vanity. I therefore fend it to you for your perufal and amufement; and although you can have no occasion to write upon that fubject, yet perhaps you may not be difpleafed to fee the very quinteffence of love-letter-writing. You fee what reafon I have to regret my misfortune in being abfent when that note was fent to your houfe, as I fear that I shall never discover the fair author of it; and particularly as the appears to be poffeffed of fuch uncommon qualities that I defpair of having my lofs compenfated. For befides the good tafte and difcernment fhe flows upon the prefent occasion, and which my modefly will not allow me to praife fufficiently, although I might here give her the preference to all the ladies of my acquaintance, whofe want of tafke and difcernment in this inflance, though otherwife not deficient in those qualities, I have often wondered at, and lamented ;---befides thefe, I fay, it appears from her writing in verfe that fhe is endowed with a fuperior genius, and difdains to convey her elegant fentiments in humble profe like ordinary mortals. From the myftic characters that adorn the margin of her epifile,-and which I fuppofe to be poffeffed of fome magic influence to excite a mutual paffion (the effect of which I already feel), I conclude that my inamorata is

deeply fkilled in the occult fciences. It appears alfo from the fcantinefs of the paper employed, in an affair of no fmall confequence, that her economy and prudence are not inferior to her learning and genius; and her ingenuity is no lefs to be admired in difcovering that method of making me acquainted with her economy, knowing that to be a virtue particularly agreeable to perfons of my country. As to the trifling miftakes in fpelling and other inaccuracies, I impute all thefe to the *force* of her paffion and *agitation* of her mind, which prevented her from beftowing attention upon fmall matters.

Whoever they be who chofe to amufe themfelves by writing it will be more than recompended for their trouble if they had half the pleafure in their conceit, as I have had from the opportunity it gave me of hearing from you and of affuring you that

I am with, &c., &c.,

JAMES KEIR.

The next letter, which we infert here, is also without date, but was probably written foon after his marriage.

MR. KEIR TO DOCTOR DARWIN.

Dear Doctor,

I rejoice exceedingly that you fludy chemiftry fo eagerly. I expect foon to fee a copper-plate reprefenting the forms of the first principles of bodies. I shall fend you to-morrow, or next day, Vogel, Brownrigg, and the Essai fur la Putrefaction. The remaining two books which I have of yours, Kunckel and Falconer, I shall keep fome time longer, with your leave, as the former is merely a collection of receipts, and the latter, becaufe I fuppofe you have read lately, and contains little to your prefent purpofe.

The books which I think are of moft confequence in the fludy of chemiftry are-

(1.) All Stahl's Works. They are large. The principal work is Fundamenta Chymiæ Dogmaticæ et Experimentalis, 2 vols. 4to. Norimb. 1746. In his Commentary upon Beccher you have the foundation of the modern theory of chemistry. Much genius appears in his writings.

(2.) Junkeri Conspectus Chymiæ, 2 vols. 4to. I never faw it, but from the frequent quotations, and from the character given by other authors, I believe it to be a very good book.

. (3.) Wallerius Mineralogie, 2 tomes, 8vo., in French, is a very good book.

(4.) *Wallerii Chymiæ Phyfica*, vol. 1st., 8vo. The other vols. are not yet published.

(5.) Differtations Chimiques de Mr. Pott, 4 tomes., 8vo.

(6.) Memoires Chymiques de l'Academie de Stockholm et d'Upfal, 2 tomes, 8vo. Many excellent memoirs of Brandt, Wallerius, and others.

(7.) Memoires de l'Academie de Berlin, 7 tomes, 8vo. Thefe contain Margraaf's moît excellent differtations and alfo fome very curious ones by Mr. Eller, together with much medicine, furgery, &c. Price \pounds_I 1 s. unbound.

(8.) Opuscules Chimiques de M. Margraaf, 2 tomes, 8vo. All thefe are contained in the former, excepting two fmall differtations on lapis lazuli, and on a method of purifying regulus of antimony.

(9.) Cramer's Art of Affaying. This, I believe, you have.

(10.) Gellert's Chymie Metallurgique, 2 tomes, 8vo. Nothing new in it but a method of affaying ores, which I have inferted in

D 2

the notes to the Dictionary. He has alfo a large table of affinities. The proceffes are told more concifely but not more accurately than in Cramer. It contains alfo fome experiments to flow the different denfities of fome metallic alloys, how much they exceed or are lefs than the intermediate denfity of the compound metals.

(11.) Swedenborgii Principia Rerum Naturalium, 3 tomes, folio. I never faw any of this voluminous book but his Treatife on Iron, which contains a moft minute and accurate defcription of the methods ufed in moft parts of Europe of fmelting iron ore, and of forging iron, of convertion into fleel, and everything relating to it which is known. If he is as copious upon other fubjects, it muft be a treafure of knowledge.

(12.) Schluter's Traité de Mines, by Hellot, 2 tomes, 4to. This book contains defcriptions of the methods ufed in Germany for fmelting ores of lead, filver, copper, and gold, with plates of furnaces, &c. It is very valuable to commercial metallurgifts. Is very dear,—at leaft \pounds_2 2s.

(13). Henkel's Pyritologie, in French, 4to. A large tedious book.

(14.) Teychmeyeri Institutiones Chemicæ. Contains many curious experiments on Mercurification.

쁥

*

*

Other fubjects commonly fuppofed to be alchemical, but I believe you will have them all in Junker.

I fhall fend you fome bifmuth, and fome zaffre, from which you may extract regulus of cobalt, by fufing it with alkaline falt and a little charcoal duft, by which you will get a blue glafs, fimilar to the fmalt of the fhops, a regulus of cobalt, and probably a regulus of bifmuth adhering to the upper or under furface (I forget which). Nickel is not to be got for love or money. I am glad that you propofe foon to be here.

×

In 1775, or before, Mr. KEIR appears to have commenced bufinefs as a glafs manufacturer, at Stourbridge, near Birmingham. A paper of his "On the Cryftallizations obferved on Glafs" was communicated to the Royal Society by his friend, G. FORDYCE, M.D., F.R.S. It is publifhed in the Philofophical Tranfactions on 23rd May, 1776. The following letter alludes to it :—

MR. KEIR TO MR. CHARLES DARWIN.

STOURBRIDGE,

2nd May, 1776.

My dear Sir,

I received your epiftle and fhould have longed much to hear from you before, if I had not frequently feen your Father, who affured me to my great joy that you were alive and well.

I was in London when your letter came, and have fince moft unfortunately miflaid it. I have been fearching for it this week paft, with a view to answer it, amongft my moft valuable and curious papers, but in vain. I am afraid of delaying longer, left you fhould be fetting out upon your return home, which I was very happy to hear was your intention, as I hope to be feafted with the golden fentences of the Philosophers of the North. I have given a paper to the Royal Society concerning the crystallization of glass, which the Philosophers of the South were pleafed to think a very curious fact. I am inclined to think that it is not a precipitation or feparation of peculiar parts, as you fay it appeared to be to Doctor BLACK, because the *whole* quantity of the glass is capable of being thus crystallized, by a fufficiently long continuance of heat, *excepting* about z^{λ_s} th part which is evaporated during the time neceffary to convert the whole of the glafs into a white opaque fubflance; and becaufe this white opaque fubflance is, by violent heat, convertible into transparent glafs, which may be again crystallized, and fo on.

Your godfon increases in dimensions, and shows daily such proofs of fagacity as surprise his Father and Mother, &c.—I suppose nobody elfe. You may soon expect to be required to administer some of those pious instructions which you are in duty bound to give. I wish you may be able to insufe into him some of your thirst for knowledge.

Mrs. KEIR defires her compliments and good wifnes. I long to fee you, and am,

My dear CHARLES,

Yours most affectionately,

JAMES KEIR.

Mr. CHARLES DARWIN,

Student of Medicine in the College of Edinburgh.

Early in 1776 Mr. KEIR completed his translation of M. Macquer's "Dictionnaire de Chimie," with additions, notes, &c. A copy was forwarded to the author, of which the following is a reply :---

Monfieur,

J'ai reçu dans fon temps un exemplaire en 2 vol. in 4to. d'une traduction en Anglois du Dictionnaire de Chimie, avec des additions et des notes ; j'ai eu l'honneur de vous écrire à ce fujet ; mais comme je ne favois pas bien votre adreffe, il est possible que ma lettre ne vous soit pas parvenue. Ne fachant malheureus fement pas la langue Angloife, je ne puis vous dire d'après moi-même si la

traduction eft comme vous la defiriez, mais j'ai fait traduire toutes les notes qui contiennent les additions et je puis vous affurer d'après la lecture que j'en ai faite avec le plus grand plaifir, qu'il n'eft pas poffible que la traduction du texte ne foit parfaitement bien. Les notes étoient l'objet qui m'intereffoit le plus à caufe de l'avantage que j'en pouvois tirer pour l'amélioration d'une nouvelle édition : je travaille en effet préfentement à cette nouvelle édition qui eft fous preffe ; elle fera beaucoup corrigée et augmentée et vous verrez Monfieur que des obfervations auffi fenfées et auffi intéreffantes que font les votres peuvent contribuer infiniment à la perfection d'un ouvrage.

J'ai l'honneur de vous prévenir qu'il y a cependant un affez grand nombre de notes que je n'ai point employées, pour ne point trop groffir la nouvelle édition. Ce font celles qui m'ont paru avoir plus de rapport à l'hiftoire naturelle et à celle des drogues fimples qu'à la chimie, à l'egard de celles qui font plus intimement liées à cette fcience, je m'en fers avec reconnoiffance et en vous citant, comme cela eft bien jufte. Nous concourons en cela, vous et moi, au même but, et vous me donnez Monfieur une nouvelle preuve de votre eftime à laquelle je fuis bien fenfible en me propofant de traduire la nouvelle édition, à laquelle vous avez vousmême une très bonne part. Vous vous êtes acquis des droits fur cet ouvrage et en vous donnant toutes les facilités qui dépendront de moi pour la traduction que vous vous propofez de faire je ne ferai, à proprement parler, que vous rendre une partie de ce qui vous appartient.

Je ne manquerai pas de vous envoyer les feuilles de la nouvelle édition, à mefure qu'elles feront tirées ; mais nous aurons quelque temps pour cela car l'impreffion, quoique commencée il y a déjà quelque temps, eft encore très peu avancée à caufe de différentes circonftances qui l'ont retardée. Je vais me concerter avec mon libraire (le Sr. Didot le jeune, Quai des Auguftins) pour vous faire un prémier envoi le plus tôt qu'il fera poffible, mais ce fera à condition que vous en uferez librement, en traduifant, que vous voudrez bien y joindre vos propre remarques et m'en faire part à fin que j'en puiffe profiter d'une maniére ou d'une autre même pour cette nouvelle édition. J'ai l'honneur d'être avec toute l'eftime et la reconnaiffance que je vous dois, Monfieur,

Votre très humble et très obéiffant ferviteur,

MACQUER.

à Paris, le 28 Mars, 1776.

P.S.—Comme vous n'avez pas mis votre nom à la premiére édition, je n'ai pu vous nommer, mais fimplement vous défigner en vous citant; faites moi le plaifir de me mander, fi vous trouvez bon que je vous nomme soit dans l'avertiffement, foit dans les citations, qui ne font point encore imprimées.

Mr. KEIR was on terms of great intimacy with Mr. BOULTON, of Soho, who made Mrs. KEIR a very handsome wedding prefent when he and his wife finally fettled in Birmingham. This is alluded to in the following letter which was written on the 1st March, 1777 :---

MR. BOULTON TO MR. KEIR.

Dear Sir,

I fent you about a fortnight ago fome gilt fcraps and fome plated fcraps, which are fo regular and uniform in their goodnefs as to afford the means of making accurate comparifons of different modes of refining. We have now a large quantity upon hand of both gilt and plated fcraps, and therefore fhould be glad, if your

method anfwers to your fatisfaction, to fend them to you. Mr. JACKSON'S report of the plate is, if I remember right, $8\frac{1}{2}$ of filver in the pound.

About a week ago I fent in a box directed for you a filver coffee-pot and lamp, which I beg you'll do me the favour to permit Mrs. KEIR to fo far indulge me to accept as a token of my love— I believe I had better fay—for her husband; and yet, in fpite of the *mischievous* graces, Mrs. KEIR is fo good a woman that I cannot fee any impropriety in her receiving it as an offering made by me to *all the female virtues*.

Pray, where were you the laft full moon? I hope you were not influenced by any influenza to flay at home. I faw DARWIN yefterday, at Lichfield. He defires to know if you will come to Soho on Sunday, the 3rd March, in which cafe he will not fail to meet you, although he fays he has inoculated fome children which will probably be ill about that time. Yet if you will come he will be at Soho by eleven o'clock, when I propofe to make feveral motions to the members. Pray God blefs your fire-fide, and preferve it from fmoking and falling chimneys, and every other terreftrial evil.

I am, dear Sir,

Yours fincerely and affectionately,

MATTHEW BOULTON.

JAMES KEIR, ESq.

Mr. KEIR first became acquainted with Mr. WATT in the autumn of 1768. In Mr. MUIRHEAD's "Life of WATT," page 173, it is faid that when Mr. WATT came for the first time on a visit to Mr. BOULTON's house, near Birmingham, where he stayed a fortnight, Dr. SMALL, Dr. DARWIN, and Mr. KEIR, were asked to meet him;

and Mr. MUIRHEAD adds, that Mr. KEIR was the "wit, the man of the world, the finished gentleman, who gave life and animation to the party," and whom Mr. WATT calls "a mighty chemist, and a very agreeable man." The correspondence between Mr. WATT and Mr. KEIR was in all probability both large and of a very interesting character, and it is much to be regretted that none of it can be here furnished.

Mr. MUIRHEAD, writing on the 31st July, 1868, to the Author of this Supplementary Memoir, fays :----

Dear Sir,

I am fure no apology was neceffary for your letter of the 28th inft., received here this morning, and I rejoice to hear of the good work you are undertaking in editing, for private circulation, the correspondence you mention. I with much that it had been in my power to have aided your purpofe by any letters or other documents; but it is not fo, as I will at once more particularly explain to you. (1.) It is true, as you fay, that in my Life of JAMES WATT I have frequently mentioned Mr. KEIR; but, as you may alfo have obferved, the notices are fhort and flight, and are fo becaufe I could find no materials for making them more important. A note at p. 92 of my translation of "Arago's Eloge of WATT," 1839, you probably alfo know; and those few lines really embody all that I could find fufficiently peculiar and characteriftic to require notice. Neither do I recollect any letters from Mr. KEIR being among those gone over by me while engaged in writing Mr. WATT'S Life, although the few occafional expressions I have given show the high efteem Mr. KEIR's neighbours and friends had for his abilities and kindly difpolition. But (2.) had fuch letters exifted, and been

ever fo numerous, among Mr. WATT'S papers, they could not at prefent have been made available for your ufe, or even infpection; for Mr. JAMES GIBSON WATT, the tenant for life of the late Mr. WATT'S large eftates, has objected to pay the legacy duty on his fucceffion (!), and becaufe his truftees had to do fo on his behalf to avoid the ufual threatened profecution in Exchequer, he has filed a bill in Chancery againft them, praying that the legacy duty on his fucceffion may be difallowed to the truftees in their accounts. In confequence of this ftrange proceeding the whole of the papers connected with Mr. WATT's eftate are now deposited in Chancery, and of courfe it is impoffible for any one to fay when they will be releafed. Wifhing you every fort of fuccefs in your interefting fcheme,

I remain, dear Sir,

Truly yours,

JAMES P. MUIRHEAD.

In the year 1765 Dr. WILLIAM SMALL went to reside in Birmingham, leaving America on account of ill health. He was introduced to Mr. BOULTON by the celebrated BENJAMIN FRANKLIN, and became one of Mr. KEIR's moft intimate and honoured friends. Mr. KEIR fpeaks of him with a high and critical appreciation in his "Account of the Life and Writings of THOMAS DAY, Esq.," published fome years after. In this volume, which is now out of print, are also to be found many interefting biographical notices of this moft fingular man, , which are quoted as follows :— (P. 3). "Dr. SMALL was born in the year 1734, at Carmylie, in the county of Angus, in Scotland, of which place his Father was Minifter. He was appointed Profeffor of Natural Philofophy in the Univerfity of Williamsburg, in Virginia, where he refided a few years. He died in 1775 at Birmingham, where he had practifed medicine feveral years, and where he had acquired great reputation and efteem. He, as alfo Mr. DAV, died at the age of 41."

(P. 29). "While Mr. DAY was thus following, according to his own expression, 'the extravagancies of a warm heart and of a strong imagination,' he became acquainted with a gentleman of very uncommon merit, who being, by the fingular accuracy of his ideas, and of his knowledge of men and things, peculiarly adapted to correct the romantic bias of a youthful imagination, had certainly, during the intimacy and friendship which gradually took place between them, great influence on his opinions. This gentleman was Dr. WILLIAM SMALL, a phyfician in Birmingham, who, to the moft extensive, various, and accurate knowledge, in the fciences, in literature, and in life, joined engaging manners, a most exact conduct, a liberality of fentiment, and an enlightened humanity. Being a great mafter in the exact fciences, he feemed to carry their regularity and precision into his reafonings and opinions on all other This correctnefs of judgment placed Dr. SMALL as the fubjects. very antipode of ROUSSEAU, by whom all objects were feen through a medium of enthusiafm, which diffurbed their forms and falsified their colours with fome prifmatic tinge. On the other hand, Dr. SMALL leaned perhaps fomewhat to the oppofite extreme, and too flrictly analyfed human affairs; fo that, although they were reflected by his mind with the most perfect and exact outlines, the pictures were too void of colour. 'Nil admirari' was his favourite motto : which however he afterwards, as his health and fpirits declined, changed to one of a darker caft, $\mu\eta$ $\phi\nu\nu\alpha\eta$, the two first words of a line of EURIPIDES, expressing that it would have been better not to have been born. Mr. DAY had, indeed, at this early period

of his life, enthufiafm to fpare; and Dr. SMALL thought he could not do his younger friend more fervice than by controlling his imagination and correcting his views; although it may be confidered ftill as a problem, not very eafy of folution, to determine what portion of a virtuous enthuliafm may be extinguished with benefit to mankind. For although our exertions may not equal our wifnes or expectations, yet probably we fhall not attain the greateft height at which we are capable of arriving unlefs we aim at a ftill higher quarry. The eagle can never reach the fun, yet by the boldnefs of the attempt he may acquire a firength of flight peculiar to himfelf. On the other hand, too much divefted of enthusiafm, Dr. SMALL, although poffeffed of various and eminent talents to inftruct mankind, has left no trace behind of all that flore of knowledge and obfervation which he had acquired, and from which his friends never left him without drawing frefh information. He lives only in the memory of those friends who knew his worth, and of the poor, whom his humane fkill was ever ready to refcue from difeafe and pain."

(P. 92). "So flrong was his (Mr. DAY'S) affection, that notwithflanding the fortitude with which he refifted all ordinary occurrences, a lofs which he fuftained in his youth, by the death of a highly valued friend, Dr. SMALL, left a gloom on his mind which a period of two years did not difpel, and which yielded only to a more tender connection, which then happily began to engage all his affections, and which not only reflored but alfo fecured his ferenity and cheerfulnefs during the remainder of his life. Mr. DAY was at Bruffels in 1774, when he heard that Dr. SMALL was feized with a fever. He flew with anxious hafte to England, and arrived in Birmingham a few hours after his friend had expired. The following pathetic lines, in which he afterwards gave vent to his forrow, will flow the fenfibility with which he regretted the lofs of this valuable man, whom he venerated as the friend and guide of his youth, and whofe death he confidered as the fevereft flroke that fortune could then have inflicted :---

Beyond the rage of Time or Fortune's power Remain, cold ftone ! remain, and mark the hour When all the nobleft gifts which Heaven e'er gave Were centered in a dark untimely grave. Oh, taught on Reafon's boldeft wings to rife, And catch each glimmering of the opening fkies ! Oh, gentle bofom ! Oh, unfullied mind ! Oh, friend to truth, to virtue, and mankind ! Thy dear remains we truft to this fad fhrine, Secure to feel no fecond lofs like thine."

(P. 111). "Dr. SMALL's memory was honoured not only by the very pathetic epitaph written by Mr. DAV, but alfo by the following lines which the phyfician, who had attended him in his laft illnefs, and who had ftrove to fave his life with all the fkill which the art of medicine affords, and with a zeal which friendfhip and efteem infpired, infcribed in a grove that another friend had dedicated to the memory of the deceafed :—

Ye gay and young, who, thoughtlefs of your doom, Shun the difguftful manfions of the dead,
Where melancholy broods o'er many a tomb, Mould'ring beneath the yew's unwholefome fhade ;
If chance ye enter thefe fequeflered groves, And day's bright fun-fhine for a while forego,
O leave to Folly's cheek the laughs and loves, And give one hour to philofophic woe !
Here, while no titled duft, no fainted bone, No lover bending over beauty's bier,
No warrior frowning in hiftoric ftone, Extorts your praifes or requefls your tear ;

Cold contemplation leans her aching head, On human woe the fleady eye fhe turns, Waves her meek hand, and fighs for Science dead, For Science, Virtue, and for SMALL fhe mourns.

A better teftimony cannot be given of Dr. SMALL's great worth than the praifes beflowed on him by fuch men as Mr. DAY and the author of the above lines, who, by the composition of these, and more especially of that exquisite poem, "THE BOTANIC GARDEN," in which the Graces themselves feem to decorate the Temple of Science with their choices wreaths and sweetes blossoms, appear to give a fanction to the ancient mythology, which made the fame Apollo the god of physic and of fong."

Mr. KEIR gave up all connection with the glafs manufactory at Stourbridge early in the year 1778; and this for the purpose of joining partnership with Messirs. BOULTON and WATT. The latter part of the plan however fell through; for Mr. KEIR, who had lately married and did not therefore feel himfelf open to engage in any great rifk, declined the connection. Mr. SMILES, in his "Lives of BOULTON and WATT," mentions how, in the month of October, 1778, the Soho firm was in a state of great embarrassment. Mr. WATT was at that time absent, and it became necessary for Mr. BOULTON alfo to leave, fo that by his perfonal prefence he might fecure from his friends the help he ftood fo much in need of. In this emergency, with no one to attend to the bufinefs, Mr. KEIR undertook the fole charge of these extensive works. With a fuller acquaintance of the polition of the firm came a greater difinclination to take upon himfelf a fhare of their general liabilities. He therefore limited his connection with the firm to the Letter Copying Machine bufinefs. This was an invention of Mr. WATT in 1778, which was not patented till the year 1780; and Mr. KEIR undertook the management of it. Mr. SMILES fays: "The Letter Copying Machine gradually and fteadily made its way, until at length there was fcarcely a houfe of any extensive bufinefs transfactions in which it was not to be found."

In 1777 Mr. KEIR had published his "Treatife on the different kinds of Elastic Fluids or Gases." It appears to have been very highly thought of, and in 1779 a new edition of it was called for. Of this new edition mention is made in the following letter, which however bears no date :---

JAMES KEIR, Esq., TO DOCTOR DARWIN.

Dear Doctor,

The beft method of getting the animal, that is the phofphoric, acid is that lately difcovered by Mr. SCHEELE, a Swedifh chemift, and is as follows :—

Diffolve the powder of calcined bones in weak nitrous acid. Add to the faturated folution fome vitriolic acid till no more felenites is precipitated. Pour off the liquor from the felenitic powder, and evaporate it in glafs veffels by heat to the confiftence required. By this evaporation the nitrous acid will be expelled and the phosphoric acid will remain in the liquor. If charcoal powder be added to this phofphoric acid and diftilled with a ftrong heat, in clofe veffels, with water in the receiver, a phofphorus will be obtained.

If your apothecary cannot prepare it, I will; but if the difeafe is confidered merely in a chemical light, does it not proceed rather from a fuperfluity of acid than a deficiency of it, fince we know that any acid foftens bones (?) and is not the most probable method of cure to give fuch fubflances as combine with acids and deftroy their power? Of these subflances, oils and alkalies feem the fitteft, and may be given jointly in foap. Egg-fhells cannot be burnt to lime till they have been diffolved in an acid, and precipitated by an alkali. If the alkali is cauftic, the precipitate will be cauftic; and if mild, the precipitate may be burnt to lime. When the animal acid is feparated from bones, the remainder is mere calcareous earth. The acid obtained from bones, although it certainly confifts principally of phofphoric acid, yet I believe it alfo contains fome of the vitriolic or nitrous acid employed in the operation, becaufe it is more deliquefcent than the acid collected from burning phofphorus.

Mr. BOULTON fet out this day on a journey to Cornwall, where he will probably remain three weeks. He has been fo much absent from home, and when at home in fuch a whirlpool of bufinefs, that there has fcarcely been even a Sunday which could be devoted to *Philofophy and Doctor Darwin*.

I long much to fee you. I have two fpare beds in my houfe, and fhould be happy to fee Mr. BoB whenever the rules of his fchool permit. I can tell you a good deal of philofophical news when we meet. Pure air may be obtained from a variety of fubstances, as turbith mineral, green vitriol, manganefe, lapis calaminaris, wolfram, &c. A fubftance is difcovered which, when applied to a given quantity of air, devours the air, and makes a perfect vacuum, as I will fhow to you when you come to Winfon Green.

I am just publishing a new edition of my "TREATISE ON GAS." If you have read it with attention enough to difcover its faults, I shall be much obliged to you for your remarks. When I was last at Lichfield I left with you the last vol. of Rozier, which I had not

E

LIFE AND CORRESPONDENCE

looked into, and I fhould be obliged to you to fend it to me, as it may contain fomething relative to my fubject.

Adieu,

Yours affectionately,

J. KEIR.

P.S.—Mrs. KEIR defires to join in beft compliments to you and Mr. ERASMUS, and to Mr. BOB, and promifes Mr. BOB, if he will come to Winfon Green, that he fhall have the pleafure of Soho, without being lectured.

In 1779 Mr. KEIR invented and took out a patent for a metal "capable of being forged or wrought when red-hot or cold, and more fit for making bolts, nails, and fheathing for fhips, than any other metals previoufly applied for the purpofe;" and this metal has been faid to be almost identical with that now called "Muntz-metal."

A volume printed in 1866 "at the request of" the Committee (Local) of the British Association has given rife to a discussion on this subject.

In this volume of "Reports on the Industrial History of Birmingham," an article appeared entitled "Yellow Metal Sheathing, Bolts, Nails, and Wire," from which we take a few extracts :—

"The late GEORGE FREDERICK MUNTZ, Esq., M.P., has hitherto enjoyed the reputation of being the inventor of this mixture. * * * If, however, the merit of introducing a large per centage

of zinc with copper, for the purpofe, is to be regarded as forming a claim to protection, Mr. MUNTZ's claim to be confidered as an original inventor is of the moft fhadowy character imaginable. * * * Its (KEIR's metal) component parts were one hundred parts of copper, feventy-five parts of zinc, and ten parts of iron. The copper and iron were first melted and mixed with charcoal and pounded glafs, and the zinc then added. * * * The merits of Mr. MUNTZ are therefore reducible to the refuscitation of a dead procefs, or mixture, only. As the reviver of an old patent, Mr. MUNTZ is entitled to confideration, and on thefe grounds only. Now, with the printed fpecifications for confultation, fuch a patent could not have flood the teft of a Court of Law, and no patent agent would have been juftified in taking it out." *

In an addrefs delivered at the Birmingham and Midland Inftitute on the 30th September, 1867, by MATTHEW DAVENPORT HILL, Q.C., the following remark was made upon this paffage:—

"Againft one paffage of the work however I muft enter my proteft, namely, that which treats of the new manufacture created and eftablished by the late GEORGE FREDERICK MUNTZ. * * * This treatment bears all the more hardly on the reputation of Mr. MUNTZ, that it appears in a book, not only of great intrinsic value, but of peculiar interest to the people among whom he lived; and which muft, as regards its general character, fecure their respect by the foundness of its judgments."

E 2

^{*} By W. C. AITKEN.—Extracted from a work "The Resources, Products, and Industrial History of Birmingham and the Midland Hardware District."— Published by ROBERT HARDWICKE.

In the Local Notes and Queries of the *Birmingham Journal*, December 21st, 1867, further notice was taken of KEIR's inventions :--

"KEIR, in addition to his refearches in fcience, cultivated other purfuits of a more practical kind. He was the earlieft of two preinventors of a metal akin to MUNTZ's, which, fo far back as the year 1779, he patented, which could be, and was worked at a low red heat. It was composed of 'copper, zinc, and a fmall portion of iron, to be used for the making of ships' bolts, nails, and sheathing; more fit for the purpofes named than any metals heretofore ufed.' He clearly demonstrated the economic principle of working it by the manipulation of the metal when in a heated state. I am also of opinion that the fcientific prefcience of KEIR's mind quite comprehended the implied quality included in the fpecification of the lateft patentee, *i.e.*, 'to make the metal oxidife fufficiently to keep the fhips' bottoms clean.' The iron, 10 per cent., KEIR well knew was fo fmall in quantity and fo low in price, as not materially to influence coft, as regards reduction in price. For what purpofe then was the iron introduced? For its known property of oxidation.

"As 'Efle,' in his enquiries as to Birmingham celebrities, doubtlefs is anxious to know what they did, having examined KEIR's fpecification, it is here introduced, as contrafted with the more recent one for producing a fimilar kind of alloy, fifty-three years thereafter :---

```
      1779-Keir.
      1832-Muntz.

      Wide Claim.
      Vide Claim.

      Copper......
      100-divide by 2 = 50
      50 to 63 Copper.

      Zinc
      75-
      ,, by = 37\frac{1}{2}
      37 to 50 Zinc.

      Iron
      ......
      10-
      ,, by = 5
      .....
```

Q."

69

arto.

On the 8th February, 1868, another article appeared in this paper on the fame fubject :---

"JAMES KEIR AND MUNTZ'S METAL.—'Senex' does not concur in the opinion expressed by me, that JAMES KEIR was 'preficient' of an alloy of copper and zinc to 'perform the function which the specification first showed it to possible field.' I shall hold the contrary. We will agree to differ.

"'Senex' raifes what he confiders a practical difficulty in the production of KEIR'S metal which is very eafily anfwered. He fays : "To melt the iron the mixture muft be raifed to a temperature which would fend off the zinc in vapour, and probably much of the copper would follow it." Now, 'Senex,' if he had given the matter due confideration, muft have found out that no metallurgift would attempt to first melt a metal fo volatile, and fusing at fo low a temperature (773°), as zinc, and therefore attempt to melt in it copper, which fuses only at 1,996°, and iron which is only fusible at 2,736°. I am afraid 'Senex' is fadly in want of 'technic knowledge.' JAMES KEIR was a 'better metallurgift,' and he just reversed 'Senex's' method of melting his metals. He fays : 'First melt the iron and copper together in the prefence of charcoal and pounded glafs, then add the zinc by degrees.'

"'Senex' makes me fay, 'it was becaufe iron poffeffes great oxidating and corroding power' that it was added to the [mixture; and again, 'Iron being a cheaper metal than either copper or zinc, its introduction would leffen the coft of the alloy.' What I faid was: 'The iron 10 per cent. KEIR well knew was fo fmall in quantity and fo low in price as not materially to influence coft as regards reduction in price.' I alfo faid, 'For what purpofe was the iron introduced but for its known property of (corrofion or) oxidation.' Thefe were my words. 'Senex' fays the iron would of all things aggravate that which it was important to avoid. If 'Senex' fays no corrofion is neceffary (not the exaggerated corrofion which, by his exceedingly free ufe of my words, he would miflead your readers to fuppofe), I refer him for information to an Addrefs, * recently delivered, in which MUNTZ'S metal is alluded to, and in which it is flated, 'fome corrofion is indifpenfable,'—the neceffity for which is rendered apparent by the overdone protection devifed by Sir HUMPHRY DAVY, whofe 'fheathing became quickly covered with barnacles and feaweeds.' Now KEIR undoubtedly was, as I have fhown, a fcientifically educated man, converfant with chemiftry and metallurgy. It is not therefore too much to affume that his 'prefcience' detected a limited corrofion as an effential in fhips' fheathing.

"'Senex' fays, 'We have no evidence that KEIR ever made a fingle fheet of his metal;' and further, 'If KEIR was in poffeffion of fuch a valuable fecret, why did his patent not come into ufe?' My reply is, it matters little whether he *made* his metal or not. Recorded in the Rolls Chapel Reports flands revealed (no 'fecret') the fpecification of JAMES KEIR'S invention of 'a compound metal, to be worked at *a low red heat*, or when cold, more fit for the making of bolts, nails, and fheathing for fhips, than any metals heretofore ufed or applied for thefe purpofes.'

"JAMES KEIR was therefore the inventor of an alloy, and was the first to call attention to the property which copper more largely alloyed with zinc than any previous alloy of copper produced; and that the faid metal could be *worked at a low red heat*. Now how much this property aided the commercial fuccefs of MUNTZ's metal we are told in the 'Addrefs' alluded to. It fays: 'It was highly important to the fuccefs of Mr. MUNTZ's patent that he fhould be able to roll the plates of his fheathing in a *heated state*, for when cold the power required to produce the neceffary expansion of the metal is fo great as to make the procefs coftly.' Was this 'highly important' element to the fuccefs of MUNTZ's metal,

* Address delivered at the Birmingham and Midland Institute, on 30th September, 1867, by MATTHEW DAVENPORT HILL, Q.C.

i.e., rolling hot, invented by Mr. MUNTZ? I have flown it was not. Whofe invention was it then ? It was that of JAMES KEIR ! Why did his (KEIR'S) patent not come into ufe? I need not tell 'Senex' that inventors make inventions before the time comes for them to be appreciated. Ninety years ago, a half-century before the date of the invention of MUNTZ's metal, public opinion leaned towards the ufe of things they knew. More important ftill, the amount of fhipping was much fmaller than at prefent, and not nearly fo large as at the period of the introduction of MUNTZ'S metal in 1832. KEIR refided in an inland town ; if he failed to get his metal introduced it is not to be wondered at, nor does it derogate from the importance of his invention that 'promoters of new inventions were not then in existence.' A higher tone of morality prevailed, and cheapnefs was then feldom taken into consideration as a recommendation to the introduction of the new material. So much, then, as regards the ' prefcience' of JAMES KEIR, a 'prefcience' arifing out of fcientific knowledge, founded upon true principles. That he was a 'remarkable' man we gather from the 'Addrefs' already twice alluded to. What fays the writer of it? This :--- 'Grouped around the father of Pneumatic Chemiftry (PRIESTLEY) were feveral remarkable men who then resided in Birmingham, or frequently met there, MATTHEW BOULTON, JAMES KEIR, &c., &c.' Will any one, after thefe quotations, have the hardihood to doubt that JAMES KEIR comprehended the neceffity for corrofion to a limited extent in fhips' fheathing?

Q."

" Birmingham, 30th January, 1868."

On the 16th May, 1868, another letter appeared in the fame journal from "Senex":--

"KEIR AND MUNTZ'S METAL.—Some weeks or months ago one of your correspondents, whose fignature I forget, broached the

7 I

72

theory that the late Captain KEIR had anticipated by 'previfion' MUNTZ's difference of a fheathing which would refife corrofion. KEIR's metal was to confife of copper, zinc, and iron. This hypothesis has rather amufed the metallurgifts, one of whom has just fent me the following extract from the *Times* of May 7:-

" ' The Elk, 2, twin fcrew (composite-built) gunboat, 465 tons, 120horfe power, launched during the past winter from Portfmouth Dockyard, and jubfequently fitted with her engines and boilers, was placed 10 weeks fince in the old shipping bafin of the yard, to wait there the finishing of her pair of fcrews, which were ordered to be cast from enlarged patterns. On Tuefday last the was taken out of the basin again and docked to receive her forews, which had in the meantime been completed for her. On attempting to clean the ends of the shafting, however, to receive the fcrews, it was difcovered that galvanic action had been at work to fuch an extent that the 'key' pieces on the shaftings were reduced to plumbago, and other parts of the metal ' honeycombed? The fact appears to be that the fmall area of water in the old thip basin is but feldom opened to the admission of the tide, has always three or four copper-bottomed veffels floating upon it, and is therefore a chemical bath, whofe power has been fo unexpectedly, yet convincingly, difplayed upon the fcrew shafts of the Elk.'

"My friend adds: 'This report flows a remarkable inflance of the deftructive galvanic action of copper on iron in fea water; and what (he adds) would have become of Mr. KEIR's patent fleathing-plates, with their iron ingredient?'

" Captain KEIR was a man of great merit and originality; but if the 'prevision' with which your correspondent has endowed him ever exifted (of which there is not a tittle of evidence), the method he proposed for reducing his theory to practice would have landed him farther from his object than he would have remained had he been content to abide by the use of the old sheathing made of copper alone.

SENEX."

On the 30th May this was replied to as follows :---

"[124.]--KEIR v. MUNTZ'S METAL.-On the above fubject I obferved in yours of the 16th inft. another letter from 'Senex,' which, however, does not attempt in any way to answer the contents of my letter inferted in the Birmingham Journal of the 8th 'Senex,' therefore, admits that he was in error as February laft. to his idea of the modus operandi in mixing KEIR's metal. Secondly, that KEIR was the first to produce a sheathing composed of copper largely alloyed with zinc (with a fmall portion of iron therein). Thirdly, that KEIR's metal poffeffed the property of being worked at a low red heat, the two laft features being diffinguifhing characteriflics of MUNTZ'S metal. Previous to KEIR'S invention, all fheathing was composed entirely of copper; the merit, therefore, of producing a composite fheathing, with the quality of its being worked at a low red heat, are not original features in MUNTZ'S metal, while they are those of KEIR'S (invented and specified fiftythree years before the enrolment of the fpecification of MUNTZ). KEIR was, therefore, as I flated, 'one of two pre-inventors of a metal or fheathing akin to MUNTZ's.' 'The hypothefis' fuggefted by the extract from the Naval and Military intelligence correspondent of the Times, of the 7th inftant, furnished to 'Senex,' by his friend, 'one of the amufed metallurgifts,' and adopted by 'Senex,' fimply proves that iron is acted upon by other metals, that the iron keys of the fhafting, and the iron fhafting of the 'Elk' compofite-built gunboat, was deftroyed or partially injured by the action of the old fea water in the 'fhips' bafin at Portfmouth;' it does not tell us that any portion of MUNTZ's metal was prefent, or if prefent that it was uninjured. The inference defired to be drawn by 'Senex' is, that if KEIR's metal had been prefent, becaufe it contained a fractional portion of iron, as a neceffary consequence it must have been destroyed. What is proved by 'the extract ' is fimply this, that *iron* pure and fimple was deftroyed ; it does not prove that MUNTZ's metal, under fimilar conditions, would have refifted corrofion, or that becaufe KEIR's metal contained a fractional portion of iron in its composition, as a confequence it must have been destroyed. But the truth is that 'Senex' and his friend (one of the 'amufed metallurgifts') are ignorant of a fact well known by manufacturers of yellow metal fheathing, that 'the old fea water' in fhips' bafins, not operated upon by tidal influences or changed by the transfusion of fresh fea water, becomes an active agent of corrofion. According to MILLAR, the water is full of 'fulphuretted hydrogen;' according to the Times' correspondent 'galvanic action' enfued-the water became a 'chemical bath,' and in the cafe alluded to 'the copper fheathing of the veffels floating in the old fhip bafin' aided in completing the deftruction of the iron keys, and partially deflroyed the iron fhafting of the Elk.' The fact of the increased action of the old fea water in docks is, I think, proved by the following extract, which I quote from an authority-viz., 'that fome time ago the manufacturers of yellow metal fheathing were fo impreffed with the belief of the extremely corrofive nature of the water in the London docks that they jointly agreed to refufe their ufual guarantee for the durability of their fheathing metal during a certain term, in cafe of veffels entering and lying in thefe docks.' The matter flands thus: 'Senex' and the 'amufed metallurgift' have quoted an extreme cafe, as unfair to be confidered the tefl of MUNTZ'S metal as of KEIR'S, and they have injured their cafe thereby.

"It forms no part of my duty, as exponent of KEIR'S merits, to decry MUNTZ'S metal, but fimply to flow, as has been done by me, that two elements in MUNTZ'S patent taken out in 1832—*i.e.*, the production of a composite fleathing, formed of copper very largely alloyed with zinc, and that the fame could be worked at a 'low red heat'—were included in KEIR'S fpecification, taken out in 1779; alfo that, even as regards the quantities of copper and zinc, the quantities fpecified by KEIR are included within the limits of the fpecification of MUNTZ (the latter, however, making a wide claim for the purpofes of experiment). With a knowledge of thefe facts before me, I fubmit what has been flated by me in previous letters has been proved, viz., 'that JAMES KEIR was one of two pre-inventors of a metal akin to MUNTZ'S.'

"In conclution, I may add that recently KEIR's metal has been revived in Germany. It is extensively used for general purposes; largely 'for sheathing bolts and nails in shipbuilding; it is much stronger than copper, and is faid to result the action of fea water fatisfactorily.' Probably 'Senex' and his friend, 'one of the amufed metallurgist,' will be more careful in future in the use of an 'hypothesis,' which cuts not in the way it was intended, but against them; and as a refresher to the memory of 'Senex,' let me remind him that my fignature was fimply

Q."

" Birmingham."

At a date which cannot be accurately affigned, but apparently about the year 1780, Mr. KEIR engaged in a bufinefs with Mr. BLAIR. Mr. BLAIR was the only brother officer in the Army whofe friendfhip Mr. KEIR had the good fortune to fecure. They eftablished works at Tipton, near Dudley, for the manufacture of alkali, for the use of the foap-makers, from the fulphates of potash and soda. The method of extraction proceeded on a discovery of Mr. KEIR's, contradicting a point in the doctrine of elective affinities held by the Chemists of the day. Their experiments feemed to show a stronger affinity of fulphuric acid for either of the two fixed alkalis than for lime. Mr. KEIR found that—by presenting the falts in an exceedingly weak folution, and by calling in the aid of a chemical agent (for which he always profeffed the higheft refpect, and the functions of which in natural operations were, he thought, greatly underrated) *Time*—the rule of election was reverfed. By paffing the weak folution *flowly* through a thick body of lime, the fulphates were decomposed; the fulphuric acid uniting with the lime, and leaving the alkalis difengaged. The liberated alkali had then only to be brought into a concentrated form for fale.

After a time it was confidered that much labour and expense would be faved by using the products on the spot, and the *Chemical Works* became *Soap Works* alfo.

For many years, whilf Chemiftry flowly made its way into the arts, the fulphates—the neglected refufe of other manufactories—were at a *low* price in the market; and the fecret of the decomposition by lime remained fo long exceedingly profitable.

On the fame ground was carried on the manufacture of red lead, for the glafs-houfes,—of litharge—and, on a principle which was patented later (1806), of white lead, for the *Stafford/hire Potteries*, the lead being firft converted into a muriate and the muriatic, then difplaced by the carbonic acid gas for this purpofe. Whether there were any bufinefs relations between Mr. KEIR and Mr. WEDGWOOD in the matter of white lead is not

OF THE LATE JAMES KEIR.

known; but it is clear that Mr. KEIR had for many years been acquainted with him. In Mifs METEYARD's "Life of WEDGWOOD" it is faid that Doctor DARWIN introduced them to each other by a letter dated 8th *November*, 1767.

DOCTOR DARWIN TO MR. WEDGWOOD.

Dear WEDGWOOD,

I have the pleafure to introduce to your acquaintance Captain JAMES KEIR, an old friend of mine, a fuccefsful cultivator of both arts and arms. He begs the favour of feeing your elegant manufactory, and hopes to meet our common friend, the philofopher, Mr. WHITEHURST, at your houfe. The civilities you flow Captain KEIR will be received by,

Dear Sir,

Your affectionate humble Servant,

E. DARWIN.

Mifs METEYARD adds :---

"From this date the acquaintance ripened, and both at the houfe of Dr. SMALL, at Birmingham, and at Soho, Mr. WEDGWOOD occafionally met KEIR, who, in the opinion of WATT, was 'a mighty chemift, and a very agreeable man.' The experimental track WEDGwooD was, at that time and fubfequently, engaged upon, muft have made his occafional intercourfe with KEIR fingularly interefting, as it was from Macquer's Dictionary in its French form that he had derived, through Bentley's translation of the neceffary paffages, fome portion of his knowledge relative to the fpaith fufible, or terra ponderofa."

Doctor DARWIN was engaged at that time in writing his celebrated poem "The Botanic Garden." It would appear from the next letter we have felected that he fent the fecond part of his work to Mr. KEIR for his perufal and opinion as to the propriety of publishing it.

-MR. KEIR TO DOCTOR DARWIN.

September 1st, 1787.

Dear Doctor,

I return with this your exquisite Poem, with many thanks for the indulgence of showing it to Mrs. BLAIR, who has read it with more pleafure, she fays, than the recollects to have received from any piece of poetry for years. She defires me to give you her very best thanks for the high entertainment she has received, and longs for the publication of it that she may also fee the first part.

For my part, I am confirmed in the opinion I always had, that you would have been the firft Poet of the kingdom if you had not fuppreffed your talent. You certainly poffefs the power of giving reality to the images you exhibit. The touches of your poetic pencil, to bring forward the circumftances on which this effect depends, are fine and delicate. Nothing can be more ingenious than the thought of perfonifying the plants and fexual parts of plants, and animating them with fuch paffions, actions, and character, as

the figure, qualities, and other circumftances fuggeft. And much invention and ingenuity are shown in the execution of this thought. I can have no doubt that the poem will pleafe both the reader and the bookfeller, and alfo the author, whenever you publish it; and as you defire my opinion on the propriety of publishing, I not only give it as above, but alfo the reafons on which I ground my opinion, that you may not suppose it given as a customary courtefy between an author and his friends. The fale will probably not be fo great at first, as it will be lasting and increasing; and this, I conjecture, from the fubject of Botany not being underflood generally fufficiently to make people relish it. I think great advantage in this refpect might be derived from prefacing a very short introduction, in which you will explain in the eafieft manner poffible as much of Linnæus's fyftem as is fufficient for underflanding the poem and the notes, and no more than is fufficient and neceffary; and, for the greater facility of giving this first idea to perfons ignorant of Botany, I would advife a print, by way of vignette to the introduction, of fome flower, the fitteft for your purpofe. You might alfo prefix and poft-fix vignettes to each part of the poem, representing fome of the principal plants mentioned, for the benefit of many readers who do not know them. Your readers will not be confined to botanists, but I doubt not many of them may be enticed by the poem to become botanifts; and as this is your exprefs intention, to promote the fludy of Botany, you ought to do everything you can to facilitate the admiffion of the first ideas of it. (Upon recollection, your first part, which I have not feen, will be a preparation for underftanding the fecond.)

You tell me you expect fome criticifus, otherwife you will not believe we have read it. The number of beautiful paffages are not to be noticed, for they are without number, and I therefore found it would be a shorter method of convincing you that I have read the poem if I could find faults :---

1. I do not approve of the part of your advertisement which

fays that the defign of the poem is to apprize the publication of a tranflation of Linnæus. The poem is too good for this humble defign, which may be communicated in other words.

2. The perfon who has copied for you has committed many errors in fpelling, which you had need beware of if you fend this copy to the prefs—Holologe for Horologe, Hydrometer for Hygrometer, page 63. I fuppofe the words *led* and *bad*, in lines 32 and 33, ought to have been *ledst* and *badst*.

3. Does the epithet *leathern* added to the ears of the incubusdemon give fo diffinct an image as fome word expressive of form or position,—as, with ears erect, pointed ears, bending ears, quivering ears, and which give also the idea of the pleafure with which the demon hears the painful cries of the woman.

4. Line 779, "and turns her *wheel* the *while*," does this found pleafe your better ear?

5. Line 837, "marking her folar and fidereal day." Is this idea philofophically accurate? Your note informs that the equinoElial flowers open and shut at determinate hours, which feems as if they regarded time and not the fun. Are these flowers capable of flowering at any but determinate feafons; and if they are, has their uniformity of expanding and clofing at the fame hour been well afcertained? For my part I cannot conceive any poffible connexion between plants and true time. They feem to me under the dominion of the fun, and his light or warmth makes them expand. The tropical flowers evidently obey the fun. If in the word *fidereal* you did not mean to mark the diffinction between folar and fidereal time, you muft be fenfible that it muft however bring up the idea of the diffunction into every perfon's mind who has been ufed to hear of it. Certainly if the plants mark fidereal time, they make better watch-makers ; but I doubt whether you would not have had as great advantage in poetry, by making them priefts of the Sun, worshipping him fucceffively at different hours, and drawing your epifode either from ancient or Mexican mythology.

6. Line 1146, "*decTric* luftre plays." I cannot conceive, with WILCKE, that this light can be electric. The torpedo and eel produce electricity by fome violent exertion, which cannot be fuppofed to exift in vegetables. It feems much more probable that it is *phofphoric*.

7. Mrs. BLAIR thinks you have facrificed the philofopher to the poet when you fpeak of MONGOLFIER, "Urge thy venturous flight high *o'er* the moon," &c. Confidering efpecially the poem as a philofophical one, fancy ought not to fly quite fo high.

I have criticifed enough to fhow that I have read the poem, although you will perhaps fay "to little purpofe." I had forgot to fay Mrs. BLAIR was much pleafed with the interludes, which fhe thinks very ingenious; and I think they contain juft criticifm, and are a pleafant addition. Mrs. KEIR defires to join in beft compliments to Mrs. DARWIN and yourfelf. I have had the pleafure of making her forget a violent toothache by reading part of your poem to her.

Yours affectionately,

JAMES KEIR.

The celebrated Doctor PRIESTLEY came to Birmingham in the year 1780. He it was who, after M. MACQUER had difcovered the exiftence of inflammable air or hydrogen, demonstrated that there was another gas, oxygen, or, as it was called by him, dephlogisticated air. And it was from an observation of his experiments, rather than from those of Mr. CAVENDISH and M. LAVOISIER, that Mr. WATT was ultimately led to the grand discovery of the composition of water.

Doctor PRIESTLEY in his refearches was affifted, and that not a little, by Mr. KEIR, who, it will be remem-

bered, had difcovered that the properties of fixed air, or as it is now called carbonic acid gas, were fuch as to distinguifh it and feparate it from atmospheric air; and this previoufly to Doctor MACBRIDE, and independently of him.

DOCTOR PRIESTLEY TO MR. KEIR.

FAIR HILL,

Wednefday morning.

Dear Sir,

I write to apprize you that *time is*, but that very foon *time will be paft*. But, to fpeak without allufions, my volume will be nearly printed off this week; and if the article you were fo good as to promife, and by which I fhall think my work much honoured, does not come the end of this week or the beginning of the next, it will be *too late*. I earneftly beg therefore that you will be as expeditious as poffible. If you fhould happen to have it now ready, and could come and fpend an hour or two with me, I should like to read to you a fection I have composed on the theory of the experiments on air, but it will be in the hands of the printer on Friday or Saturday. I have also made feveral new experiments fince I faw you, which I wifh to talk to you about.

At all events I muft, if poffible, have your article, and I fhould think you might write it without repeating the experiment, if you have no doubt with refpect to the general fact. Hoping to fee or hear from you very foon,

I am, dear Sir,

Yours fincerely,

J. PRIESTLEY.

JAMES KEIR, Efq., Winfon Green.

OF THE LATE JAMES KEIR.

DOCTOR PRIESTLEY TO MR. KEIR.

FAIR HILL,

Tuefday, 18th August, 1784.

Dear Sir,

I fend for your general infpection, not for your reading, the first book of my work, and alfo the introduction. I have to perufe it once more before it goes to the prefs. The last article (MS.) contains the refult of the experiments you faw going on in my laboratory.

I with to fee you before we begin to print, which may be in a week or ten days.

Yours fincerely,

J. PRIESTLEY.

To JAMES KEIR, ESQ., Smethwick.

.

MR. KEIR TO DOCTOR PRIESTLEY.

SMETHWICK,

January, 1788.

Dear Sir,

You will receive with this the fecond fheet of my Dictionary. You will find in it my firictures on Mr. KIRWAN'S inveftigation of the quantity and denfity of real acid in acid liquors, which, I think, is founded on falfe principles, but from which he has drawn numberlefs conclutions, and others have alfo reafoned from them as admitted truths, and confequently abundance of falfe reafoning introduced. In treating of the fubject I could not avoid

F 2

taking notice of Mr. KIRWAN'S papers, and, convinced of their errors, I could not fpeak candidly of them to the public without diffenting and flowing my reafons for the difference of opinion. It is not a pleafant tafk, and it would give me much pain to find that I had mifreprefented his doctrines in any respect ; therefore, although what I have faid is to the beft of my judgment and conviction, yet I am extremely defirous you would read what I have faid and compare it with Mr. KIRWAN'S papers, and that you would point out any faults you may obferve, or doubts you may have. Ι know the value of your time, and would not afk this favour for I am fenfible it is a fubject that will require fome attention) if it were not to use every precaution I can not to do the flightest injustice to another, while at the fame time I fulfil my pretentions to the public. I have defired ROLLASON to forbear caffing off this fheet for two or three days; and I hope, if you can fpare time, you will favour me with your thoughts, either by a line, or by letting me know when I could call on you, or meet you at ROLLASON'S. I fcarcely need mention that whatever difcuffion may happen between Mr. KIRWAN and me, I fhall not lead into the fcrape a friend who is fo kind to me and just to both parties as to give his real opinion.

I am ever, dear Sir,

Yours moft fincerely,

JAMES KEIR.

DOCTOR PRIESTLEY TO MR. KEIR.

FAIR HILL, Thurfday.

Dear Sir,

I have always forgot to tell you that I have not the account of the DUC D'AGEN'S experiments that you once asked for, my *Memoirs* not reaching further than 1778.

I send a letter I have just received from Mr. KIRWAN, by which you will fee that he is about to publish before he is fufficiently master of facts.

I am working like a horfe at the new arrangement of my 6 vols. of Experiments. It is a tedious bufinefs.

What do you think of an attempt to dedicate this work to the PRINCE OF WALES? The KING I shall never think of in any fuch light, nor the PRINCE, unlefs it be poffible that he will be a real patron of fcience, and could look upon it in fome other light than that of an honour to myfelf.

Yours fincerely,

J. PRIESTLEY,

On the 3rd of May, 1787 (see vol. 77 of the Philosophical Tranfactions), a paper entitled "Experiments on the Congelation of the Vitriolic Acid, by JAMES KEIR, Esq., F.R.S.," was communicated to the Royal Society by HENRY CAVENDISH, Esq., F.R.S.; and an article on Foffil Alkali, by Mr. KEIR, was published on the 2nd of September, 1788, in the fixth volume of the Tranfactions of the Society for the Encouragement of Arts, Manufactures, and Commerce, of which Mr. KEIR was a member.

It may be here mentioned that Mr. KEIR was elected a Fellow of the Royal Society on the 8th December, 1785, and admitted into it on the 26th January, 1791. We observe that on the 1st of May, 1788 (see vol. 78 of the Philosophical Transactions), a letter of Mr. KEIR's to the Rev. Doctor PRIESTLEY was read to the members of the Royal Society. The letter was on the principle of acidity, decomposition of water, and phlogiston. The following to Doctor DARWIN is principally upon this fubject :---

JAMES KEIR, Esq., TO DOCTOR DARWIN.

SMETHWICK,

November 26th, 1788.

Dear Doctor,

I have not been able to get you a paper of the hofpital before yefterday, the waiting for which occafioned my delay in writing. But about a week ago I fent you WITHERING'S Botany, a Birmingham Directory, and alfo a book belonging to you which I have had in my pofferfion (to my shame be it fpoken) ever fince I faw you laft in Lichfield, SWEDENBORGIUS on Copper.

I perceived, on reading the letters you showed, that things are gone too far to think of reconciliation.

I have at laft finished my article "*Nitrous Acid*," at the end of which you will fee a short fketch of *my* theory of phlogifton, which is very different from STAHL'S, KIRWAN'S, &c. Calcination does not neceffarily imply deprivation of phlogifton, but confifts in union of metal with air, as LAVOISIER fays, and in fome cafes a part of the phlogifton is expelled, as in calcination of iron with water, becaufe the water does not like phlogifton, but when pure air is applied it does not expel phlogifton, being very fond of it. Manganefe and minium do not yield all their air by heat, only that part which they have more than calxes have, which are formed by ftrong heat. When litharge is expofed to *lefs* heat than made the litharge, it takes more air and becomes minium. When heated

OF THE LATE JAMES KEIR.

beyond this degree it yields its *fuperfluous* air and becomes litharge again. The marine deph'd acid is a compound of marine acid and pure air, as LAVOISIER fays. The anti-phlogific doctrine cannot fland without the theory of the composition of water, but the anti-phlogifians have made great improvements in chemistry, which will fland independently of that theory or the theory of phlogifion. That part I adopt and adapt to the phlogific theory. I shall write no more theory, I hope, till I have finished my book.

Adieu,

Dear Doctor, in hafte,

JAMES KEIR.

MR. KEIR TO DOCTOR DARWIN.

* * be magnified, although, as it is probable that he believed in the judgment he gave of the cafe, he is not much more culpable in one cafe than the other.

I am in great hopes, and much inclined to believe, that the affair will ultimately turn out to your fon's advantage.

Mrs. KEIR joins me in wishing you, and Mrs. DARWIN and all yours, many happy new years, and

I am, dear Doctor,

Yours affectionately,

JAMES KEIR.

I received Doctor WITHERING'S paper only yefterday, but upon obferving that it is dated December 31st, I take it for granted it is unneceffary to fend it to you, as I fuppofe you muft have feen it.

When you have read my article "Nitrous Acid," I shall then be glad to know your opinion of phlogifton. Hitherto all the modern difcoveries have been directed against that doctrine, and been

LIFE AND CORRESPONDENCE

wove into the opposite fystem. I have attempted to make a *new* system from the good parts of all the fystems that have been proposed, and by giving up all the bad parts.

To Doctor DARWIN,

Derby.

LETTER FROM MONSIEUR BERTHOLLET.

Paris, 19 *Mai*, 1789.

A Monfieur KEIR,

Monfieur,

J'ai reçu votre article Acide Nitreux que vous avez eu la bonté de me communiquer, ainfi qu'à M. LAVOISIER.

Nous avons l'honneur l'un et l'autre de vous en faire nos remercimens.

J'ai lû cet article avec un véritable intêret ; cependant je ne vous deguiferai pas que je n'y ai point trouvé de motifs pour changer d'opinion ni fur la formation de l'eau, ni fur la nature de l'azote ou air phlogiftique, je défirerais, Monfieur, que fur le premier objet, vous euffiez fait ufage des réfultats les mieux établis fur les quantités proportionnelles ; vous auriez peut-être été étonné vous même de l'opinion que vous défendez. C'eft un peu vague de dire que l'air peut tenir beaucoup d'eau en diffolution ; mais une partie peut-elle en tenir deux ou trois cents parties?

J'espère, Monfieur, que vous ne trouverez pas mauvais que j'insère dans nos annales chimiques quelques obfervations fur cet article de votre dictionnaire, ainfi que fur les dernières expériences du célèbre PRIESTLEY; vous n'aurez certainement point à vous plaindre du ton de ma réponfe; nous défirons également le progrès des lumières, et fi nous différons d'opinion fur quelques objets, c'eft dans le même efprit que nous cultivons les fciences.

Relativement à la nature du gaz azote et conféquemment à celle de l'acide nitrique, ayez la bonté d'obferver que le point qui nous divise c'eft que vous prétendez connaitre la nature de ce gaz, et que nous difons qu'aucune expérience, telle qu'on doit les adopter actuellement, ne prouve encore quelle eft fa compofition : nous attendons donc et vous nous dévancez. Vous vous appuyez principalement fur la diminution du gaz hydrogène expofé et agité fur l'eau par M. de la METHERIE, et moi je me flatte de prouver par les calculs établis fur les obfervations les plus rigoureufes que, ou l'obfervation eft fauffe et de pure imagination (ce qui pourrait bien être) ou que le gaz hydrogène n'a pû être converti en azote en fe combinant avec l'air vital contenu dans l'eau, ainfi que vous le prétendez.

Vous relevez fort bien à la fin de votre article une erreur qui nous est échappée dans notre réponse à Mr. KIRWEIN sur la réduction de l'oxide de ser par le gaz hydrogène opérée par Mr. PRIESTLEY; mais il me parait que la véritable explication de ce fait est facile à trouver et qu'elle ne laisse rien de favorable à ceux qui veulent conferver indéfiniment les explications du phlogistique.

Ce n'eft pas la feule inftruction que j'aye puifée dans votre article.

J'ai l'honneur d'être, avec beaucoup de confidération et d'eftime,

Monfieur,

Votre très humble

et très obéifsant ferviteur,

BERTHOLLET.

De l'Académie des Sciences de Paris.

Comme j'ignore l'adreffe de Mr. KEIR, j'ai pris la liberté d'adreffer cette lettre à Mr. WATT, auquel j'ai l'honneur de présenter mes compliments.

LETTER FROM MONSIEUR DE LA METHERIE.

PARIS,

9 Janvier, 1789.

À Monfieur KEIR,

Monfieur,

Je reçois dans l'inftant les feuilles de votre dictionnaire que vous m'adreffez, je les ferai traduire pour en inférer une partie dans le cahier de Fevrier, et la feconde partie dans celui de Mars. Recevez mes remerciments fincéres de la mention honorable que vous faites de mes ouvrages ; le fuffrage d'un favant auffi distingué que vous me flatte infiniment. Je ne fuis pas moins charmé de vous voir perfister ainfi que Mr. PRIESTLEY dans ce que je crois la bonne doctrine ; nous fommes ici inondés de carbonate de fulfate, de nitrate, &c. Quoique le plus grand nombre de nos favants s'en moque, je fuis néanmoins prefque le feul qui ose élever publiquement la voix contre des innovations auffi dangereufes pour le progrés de la fcience que ridicules en elles mêmes. L'amour propre choqué ne me pardonne pas, on met en jeu toutes les petites cabales ordinaires; mais fans m'écarter du ton d'honnêteté qu'on n'a pas toujours eu avec moi, je perfifte à foutenir ce qui me parait être vrai.

M. BERTHOLLÉT a lu un mémoire à l'Academie, dans lequel il pretend prouver l'exiftence d'acides metalliques dans le plomb, l'argent, &c., mais on m'a dit qu'il n'apporte pour le prouver d'autre expérience que celle-ci ; il fait bouillir ces chaux ou *oxides* metalliques dans l'eau de chaux, filtre, et enfuite verfe dans la liqueur une diffolution de foie de fouffre ; la liqueur devient brune. C'eft l'expérience que j'ai faite et que je rapporte, page 385, tome ii., de mon *Effai fur l'Air*; mais une des petites vengeances de ces meffieurs eft de ne me jamais citer, au refte je fuis bien éloigné de croire que cette experience prouve l'exiftence d'un acide développé dans ces chaux métalliques. Je crois bien qu'elles contiennent un acide, mais que nous ne l'avons pas encore pu dégager.

Tout ce que je vous dis fur ce mémoire est d'aprés le rapport qu'on m'en a fait, car je ne l'ai point lu.

J'ai l'honneur d'être, avec la plus haute confidération,

Votre très humble

et obéiffant ferviteur,

DE LA METHERIE.

Paris, 9 Janvier, 1789.

Je vousprie de préfenter mes refpects à Madame KEIR, et d'affurer la favante Societé Lunaire des fentiments d'eftime et de refpect que j'ai pour elle. Si le dernier mémoire de Mr. PRIESTLEV était imprimé, je vous prierais de lui dire de me l'envoyer; il pourrait le faire remettre à Mr. WOLFE, Barnard's Inn, Holborn Street, London. Si vous pouvez détacher de temps en temps des articles de votre dictionnaire femblables à celui que vous m'avez envoyé, je me ferai un plaifir de les inférer.

LETTER FROM MISS DE LUC.

HARPER'S HILL, Monday morn.

En attendant que je puiffe avoir le plaifir d'aller rendre mes devoirs à Monfieur et Madame KEIR, je veux au moins leur témoigner ma reconnoiffance de leur obligeant fouvenir ! et leur présenter les amitiés et compliments de mes Parens ; Mon Père a reçu le mémoire de Mr. KEIR, dont il le remercie fincèrement ; il n'a pas pu le lire encore avec toute l'attention qu'il mérite, et par conféquent n'a pas complètement formé fon opinion ; je crois qu'il y fait quelques objections, fans favoir *quelles elles font* ? Il y a un point fur lequel on ne faurait être cependant plus parfaitement d'accord ! Savoir fur la critique de la nouvelle nomenclature des françois ! que mon Père regarde comme un example de la folie la plus présomptueufe ; et en la lifant il n'a pu l'empêcher de prendre la plume pour y répondre ! Il croit d'ailleurs que tout tend dans ce moment à amener quelque grande et importante découverte ! et cela très promptement.

With my beft love to Mrs. KEIR, and thanks for her kind letter, I remain, dear Sir,

Your most affectionate humble fervant,

F. DE LUC.

I beg not to be forgot to my dear Amelia !

DOCTOR PRIESTLEY TO MR. KEIR.

BIRMINGHAM,

10th January, 1788.

Dear Sir,

Doctor WITHERING finds the acid I have lately procured to be, in all the cafes, nitrous, even when the air was procured from the *red lcad*.

With what you were fo obliging as to furnifh me with formerly, and the flock I found I had of other red lead, I have now made a frefh and very large quantity of air, which I am decomposing in a tin tube. The liquor is colourlefs, but the quantity of dark-coloured matter it brings off from the tin would aftonifh you. I wifh much to fee you, and am,

Dear Sir,

Yours fincerely,

J. PRIESTLEY.

To JAMES KEIR, Esq.,

Smethwick.

OF THE LATE JAMES KEIR.

DOCTOR PRIESTLEY TO MR. KEIR.

Tuefday.

Dear Sir,

I enclofe a letter from Doctor BLAGDEN which you will be glad to fee. I here fend a corrected copy of my paper, in which I enlarge a little on the fubject of phlogifton. I think the doubts concerning it cannot fubfift a year longer. The queftion will be determined one way or the other.

Yours fincerely,

J. PRIESTLEY.

To JAMES KEIR, Esq., Smethwick.

JAMES KEIR, Esq., TO DOCTOR PRIESTLEY.

Dear Sir,

I return you Doctor BLAGDEN's letter, with thanks for the pleafure of reading it. When you write to him, be fo kind as to give him my thanks for his obliging communication refpecting the freezing of the vitriolic acid.

The more we difcover of Nature, the further we are removed from the conceit of our being able to underfland her operations.

I with M. BERTHOLLET and his affociates would relate their facts in plain profe, that all men might underftand them, and referve their poetry of the new nomenclature for their theoretical commentaries on the facts.

I have wifhed much to call on you to hear of the progrefs of your experiments, but have been much indifpofed with the rheumatifm. I long to know what acids you get with the other inflammable airs. If you get different acids from the inflammable air made from fulphur and water, that made from marine acid and copper (for I would avoid iron on account of its plumbago and carbon), and that made from charcoal and water :—I fay, if thefe acids are different (fuppofe, according to my notions, vitriolic, marine, and fixed air), then, will you not be obliged to admit that there is not one inflammable but many inflammables, which opinion you now think as heterodox as the Athanafian fyftem. However, there are wonderful refources in the difpute about phlogiflon, by which either party can evade, fo that I am lefs fanguine than you are in my hopes of feeing it terminated. One confolation remains, that in your experiments you cannot fail of difcovering fomething, perhaps of as great or greater importance to us to know.

DOCTOR PRIESTLEY TO MR. KEIR.

FAIR HILL,

Friday.

Dear Sir,

I with very much to fee you, having got a quantity of *green liquor*, by air from the *lead ore*, which I with you to examine with me. I have also other things to *fhow* and to *tell* you, especially what I think a *coup de grace* to the new doctrines.

Yours fincerely,

J. PRIESTLEY.

JAMES KEIR, Esq., Smethwick Grove.

Mr. KEIR feems to have finished and published the two first volumes or parts of his Chemical Dictionary during the years 1788, 1789, and 1790. This Dictionary, which is only a fragment, is not the translation of M. MACQUER'S Dictionnaire de Chimie, of which mention

OF THE LATE JAMES KEIR.

has been already made in thefe pages, but is differently arranged and founded on a very different theory of phlogifton than any that had then been propofed. Mr. KEIR however difcontinued this great undertaking when he became convinced that his theory would not fully explain fome of the many curious facts which were afterwards difcovered during the rapid progrefs which then occurred in experimental chemiftry, and to the promotion of which in England perhaps his own writings and perfonal character, reflected through the diftinguifhed Members of the Lunar Society, mainly contributed.* It is to the preface of this Dictionary, afterwards difcontinued, that reference is made in the letter from Doctor DARWIN here tranfcribed.

DOCTOR DARWIN TO MR. KEIR.

BAR, at MR. GALTON'S, 12th September, 1789.

Dear KEIR,

I wrote you a hafty letter yefterday, before I had had time to perufe your very ingenious and excellent preface, which

* Mrs. SCHIMMELPENNINCK (in her Autobiography) mentions that Mr. KEIR was the *Man of Wit*, and the *Man of the World*, who maintained the accord of the Members of this Society. But Doctor DARWIN was as great a necessity to its existence. Probably the secret of its origin and success may be found in the firm friendship, dating from boyhood, subsisting between these two men of independent, original, and different characters, acting in concert with each other for the accomplishment of one purpose, viz., that of drawing to a single focus the enlightenment and genius of the scientific men of the age. I have not now time to admire, but only to mention what I think an error of the flying pen.

Page 8, at the bottom line, you feem to fay that common *attraction or gravitation* is the property of matter, by which its quantity has been always afcertained. Now I always underflood that it was the *vis inertiæ* that meafures the quantity, and not the gravity. Gravity is variable in different parts of the earth, as here or at the line ; *vis inertiæ* is everywhere the fame, as the different lengths of pendulums neceffary to meafure the fame portions of time, here and at the line, evince. Is it too late to alter this ?

You have fuccessfully combated the new nomenclature, and frangled him in the cradle, before he has learnt to fpeak.

Adieu,

From your affectionate friend,

E. DARWIN.

J. KEIR, Esq., TO DOCTOR DARWIN.

I am very happy to find my predictions fulfilled concerning your poem, that it would yield both fame and profit, but the former greatly exceeds the latter.

I am much obliged to you for mentioning the remark on an expression in my preface. I am very fensible that a number of overfights must have got into my book, the subject is fo very copious and my attention much distracted. The remark was too late for correction. However, I believe I have spoke the common language of philosophers. Sir ISAAC NEWTON has a chapter in his "Principia" to prove that gravity is proportional to the quantity of matter. If, by gravity, you mean the effect produced, it is, as you fay, different in different latitudes. But if it be confidered as the cause of that effect, or as a general property of matter, it is the

fame in all latitudes, and the difference of the effects on pendulums arifes only from the different diffances at which the gravitating bodies (the pendulum and the centre of the earth) are placed, and not from any difference in the gravity or attractive property. By comparing the attraction of a mountain of a known quantity of matter with the attraction of the earth, an invefligation has been lately made of the quantity of matter contained in the earth, and of its denfity, as its magnitude was before known.

Doctor PRIESTLEV is now printing a new edition of his work on Air, which will flow more clearly his difcoveries, as all that he has done on any one fubject will be contained in one chapter. As far as I remember, the most important are—

(1.) The difference of pure or dephlogiflicated air; and it is undoubtedly very curious that man fhould be able to manufacture an air that is more capable of fuffaining life and flame than our atmosphere.

(2.) The property of nitrous gas to fwallow up pure air, and no other gas.

(3.) The power of vegetables to meliorate the atmospherical air.

(4.) The production of nitrous acid by burning pure and inflammable airs. Mr. CAVENDISH did indeed obferve the fact, but he imputed it to another caufe, and Doctor PRIESTLEY afcertained it by experiment; it therefore as much belongs to Doctor PRIESTLEY as the difcovery of the production of nitrous acid from pure and phlogifticated airs belongs to Mr. CAVENDISH, for Doctor PRIESTLEY has difcovered this fact, but imputed it to fixed air, and therefore lofes the merit of it. You believe in the formation of water, and therefore this laft muft not go into your lift.

(5.) I confider the cafual fact obferved by Doctor PRIESTLEV, of air paffing through bladders and other membranes by means of moifture, although the bladders themfelves are impervious either to air or moifture feparately, to be probably a very important fact in the animal and vegetable economy, and is neceffary in the

G

explanation of refpiration. I think you do not believe in the meliorating power of vegetables to purify the air, becaufe animals vitiate it, and you confider vegetables and animals as the fame kind of beings. I alfo am of this latter opinion, confidering them as organifed and fenfitive creatures, and alfo of the fame chemical principles, but very different in the proportion of thefe principles, fo that fome of them may require a purer air and others a lefs pure air than the reft. Animals in general and the plants that give volatile alkali in diffullation, as onions, require pure air, and vitiate the air refpired. Infects, and plants in general, thrive in air not very pure, that is, a good deal phlogifticated, and meliorate it. But fixed air kills all.

Doctor PRIESTLEY has difcovered a great number of detached facts, befides these more important ones, such as the acid and alkaline gases.

The compliment you mean to pay the Doctor is a very elegant one, and he deferves it greatly for having maintained the Englifh credit in natural philofophy, which, without him and CAVENDISH, would have funk low. Since you defire me to criticife the lines, I proceed. Between the 4th and 5th lines I would infert to the following purpofe: "What though to vulgar eyes you are invifible and pellucid, having neither colours nor conflant form to indicate your prefence, but, Proteus-like, take the fhape of every vafe you enter, and elude common obfervation, yet to his favoured fight you willingly appear, or pour your fecrets in his raptured ear."

The next fix lines are excellent, the two following not sufficiently clear, nor fhould I have underflood the meaning unlefs you had faid below in profe that one degree of heat unites calces with vital air, and another degree with inflammable matter difunites them. The difference of thefe effects does not confift in the different degrees of heat, which are not determinate for either effect, but often the fame degree will do for both. The difference depends on the prefence or abfence of inflammable matter, and therefore I would fubfitute the following thought: "And how you fometimes infinuate yourfelves into your earthly cells (lime, &c.), where you lurk imprifoned and confined by the chains of chemical attraction, and might for ever remain unnoticed, if fire, acids, or fermentation did not give you ftrength enough to break your fetters, and the elaftic gas explode, mount on refounding wings, and rufh abroad. Thus gunpowder exerts its deftructive force, and fulminating gold makes hills re-echo."

DOCTOR DARWIN TO MR. KEIR.

Derby,

17th January, 1793.

Dear KEIR,

I have much availed myfelf of your obfervations, and have corrected my work accordingly. If you will be at the trouble of reading fome more of the work I fhall have time to fendyou a part, as I have not yet abfolutely begun to print. Pray give me a line on this head; I mean whether you have leifure to read any more of it at prefent.

I hope Mifs KEIR continues well, and am, with Mrs. DARWIN'S united compliments to the ladies,

Yours affectionately,

E. DARWIN.

On the 20th May, 1790, a paper (vol. 80, part 2, of the Philofophical Transactions) was communicated to the Royal Society by Mr. KEIR. It was entitled "Experiments and Obfervations on the Diffolution of Metals in Acids, and their Precipitations, with an account of a new

compound Acid Menftruum, ufeful in fome technical operations of parting metals." In this paper there are two very important and curious fections, which probably contributed in no fmall degree to the future difcovery of the electro-plate procefs. The one fection is headed— "On the precipitation of Silver from Nitrous Acid by Iron," and the other—"On the alterations which Iron, or its furface, undergoes by the action of a folution of Silver in Nitrous Acid, or of a pure concentrated Nitrous Acid."

Among Mr. KEIR'S moft devoted friends was Mr. DAY, already mentioned as the friend of Doctor SMALL, and whofe life Mr. KEIR published. The following letter alludes to that most popular of children's books, "Sandford and Merton," the third volume of which Mr. DAY concluded in 1789.

29th September, 1789.

Dear Sir,

I was very happy in hearing from you fome weeks ago fuch good accounts of your health and of Mrs. DAV's. I was then going to write to you to inquire, for I am always diffurtiful of an ague, knowing from my own experience that it is a gueft which, when once admitted, will not lofe the flighteft opportunity that is given of renewing his vifits. I know not whence the proverb came, that "An ague in the spring is phyfic for a king," but I know that it weakens the conflitution much, and leaves an aguift tendency long afterwards. My health was once much broke by repeated agues, againft which I found bark but a temporary remedy. In that flate, a chalybeate water operated upon me like a charm, and in a few days I recovered my firength and appetite, both which had been much impaired. I mention this, left the remains of the ague fhould affect you in the fame manner. I fuppofe any other chalybeate would have the fame effect. I was highly entertained with your mother's furprife at your diet in your illnefs. I thought I faw the good old lady full of anxiety and emotion, while you, with perfect tranquillity, were devouring your breakfast of hashed goofe. In her time it was the fashion to cure all difeases by fafting and mortification, which do not feem to agree with the constitutions of the prefent age. Poffibly they might have done better with our flurdy anceftors. But thanks to Doctor BROWN, a more genial fyftem feems likely to be introduced. I fee from the reviews that his book is publifhed. It is furprifing that fo fimple and obvious an idea as that of giving a principal attention in difeafes to the degrees of firength or debility fhould now be publifhed as a novelty; and yet the fact is, that it is a novelty in practice, for I verily believe that, at leaft fince the time of BOERHAAVE, who was a true Sangrado with refpect to bleeding and hot water, the general effect of medicine has been to weaken the patient and confequently to firengthen the difeafe; whereas, in fevers efpecially, for which no fpecific remedies have been discovered, the only chance of recovery is to keep up the flrength of the patient, that nature may do her beft to fight the difeafe, fince the Doctor cannot. This feems as fimple a truth as the barber SUTTON's grand improvement in the treatment of the fmall pox, "that when a patient is too hot he ought to be cooled." Both these propositions would have been affented to in general terms by everybody before SUTTON or BROWN exifted, and yet the whole force of either proposition was not underflood nor felt till the experience of these fingular men made them obvious and irresistible;



for SUTTON'S method has been adopted by all the faculty without exception, and although one Doctor (DIMSDALE) has been made a Baron for inoculating the Imperial family at Petersburg, and another Doctor (INGENHOUZ), for having inoculated another Imperial brood at Vienna, decorates his picture in the front of his book with a laurel crown fufpended over his head, with the infcription "Ob Cefaream prolem fervatam," yet the truth is, thefe laurels and honours belong juftly to the old barber; and although the faculty may rail at BROWN, yet I doubt not they will adopt his method fo far as to leave off flarving their patients. He feems, however, if the reviewer's defcription of his fyftem be juft, to have carried his idea too far, and fimplified too much his theory and practice, by reducing all difeafes to merely different degrees of flrength or privation of flrength, and all remedies to flimuli and fedatives.

I have fent you a copy of my "Dictionary of Chemiftry" by M. de VERDIEU. I am very glad to hear that your third volume is about publifhing. It is much wifhed for, and I really believe that book is more likely to be of folid fervice than any that has been publifhed. It is of little ufe to write for grown-up people; their acquired habits will generally prevail; but young unformed minds may be influenced into action and habit.

Your former letter, giving the hiftory of your late vifitor, furprifed me; but your laft, in which you enter more particularly into circumstances, really aftonifh me. I doubt whether there is another man living that would have carried his friendfhip fo far as you did, not only in the moft unremitting attention and attendance, but particularly in encountering the cenfure of the world in following your own reafon in oppofition to the faculty, which cenfure would have been inevitable if the patient had not been cured. I doubt whether many of the faculty themfelves, armed as they are with the prerogatives of life and death, would have ventured fo decifively, and confequently fo effectually, in purfuing their judgment. From what you mention I think it not improbable that the father had come to you with prejudices received from his phyfician at home; but he certainly changed his opinion, for he expressed clearly when he was here that his daughter's recovery was owing to your management. I had wrote to him, upon his first informing me of his daughter's illness, my opinion of your medical skill, and expressed myfelf pretty flrongly with a view of making his mind easy. Upon recollection I believe he left Scotland before my letter arrived.

Doctor DARWIN tells me that his poem fells fo fast that he is encouraged to finish the remainder of it.

I am, dear Sir,

Yours most affectionately,

JAMES KEIR.

THOMAS DAV, Efq.,

Annefley, near Chertfey, Surrey.

P.S.—We are happy to hear of the excellent effects of exercise on Mrs. DAY's health and firength. It is the want of a fufficient quantity of exercise or labour in this civilized flate that makes almost every body either ill or not quite well.

Mr. DAY never received this letter. He had died fuddenly the day before it was written. The accident which terminated his life is thus recorded by Mr. KEIR in his life of DAY:-

(Page 97). "On the 28th day of September, 1789, as Mr. DAV was riding from his houfe, in Surrey, to his mother's feat at Barehill, an end was at once put to his valuable life, at the age of forty-one years. His horfe, having taken fright at the fight and motion of a winnowing vane, flarted fuddenly acrofs the road, by which his balance was fo diffurbed that his fpur happened to flick in the flank of the animal, which thereupon, exerting all its flrength, threw its rider to a confiderable diffance, with his head foremoft, on a flony road. By this fall his brain fuffered fuch a concuffion that he never afterwards fpoke; but being carried to a neighbouring houfe, he died before the furgeon, who was fent for, could arrive. His wife and mother, hearing of his fall, but ignorant of the event, flew to the fatal fpot, and were going to enter the houfe where he had lately expired, when they were flopped by the furgeon, whofe troubled afpect, expreflive filence, and waving hand pointing to them to return, informed them too clearly that no hope remained."

When the news of this fad event was fent to Mr. KEIR, who was not appointed executor as has been flated by fome writers, he contemplated writing a Memoir of his friend. It appears that the fame idea had occurred to Mr. EDGEWORTH, who was alfo a friend of Mr. DAY; but Mr. KEIR fent an extract of his work to Mrs. DAY, who was much pleafed with it and would not hear of its being abandoned. The extract is as follows :—

(Page 16). "That much of Mr. DAY's conflitutional character was derived from his mother appears clearly to thofe who knew the fingular flrength of mind of that venerable lady, to whofe fleady and judicious management of him in his infancy, as well as to her exemplary conduct in life, he was alfo indebted for his earlieft good imprefions, and for the first bias and direction of his mind to honourable purfuits. A fmall anecdote will flow how much of his fortitude he may have inherited from this parent:—When fhe

was yet a young unmarried woman, while the was walking in company with another young lady through a field, a bull came running up to them with all the marks of malevolence. Her friend began to run towards the ftile, but was prevented by Mifs BONHAM (the maiden name of Mr. DAY's mother), who told her that as fhe could not reach the flile foon enough to fave herfelf, and as it is the nature of these animals to attack perfons in flight, her life would be in great danger if fhe attempted to run, and would be inevitably loft if the chanced to fall; but that, if the would fteal gently to the fule, the herfelf would take off the bull's attention from her, by ftanding between them. Accordingly, turning her face towards the animal with the firmeft aspect fhe could affume, fhe fixed her eyes fteadily upon his. It is faid by travellers that a lion itfelf may be controuled by the fleady look of a human being, but that no fooner a man turns his back than the beaft fprings upon him as his prey. Mifs BONHAM, to whom this property of animals feems to have been known, had the prefence of mind to apply it to the fafety of her friend and of herfelf. By her fleady afpect fhe checked the bull's career ; but he flowed the ftrongeft marks of indignation at being fo controuled, by roaring and tearing the ground with his feet and horns. While he was thus engaged in venting his rage on the turf fhe cautioufly retreated a few fteps without removing her eyes When he observed that she had retreated he advanced from him. till fhe ftopped, and then he alfo ftopped and again renewed his frantic play. Thus, by repeated degrees, fhe at length arrived at the fliie, where the accomplithed her fafety; and thus, by a prefence of mind rarely feen in a perfon of her youth and fex, fhe not only faved herfelf, but alfo, at the hazard of her own life, protected her friend. Some days afterwards this bull gored its mafter."

This is the ftory of the bull mentioned in the following letter to the Son of Doctor DARWIN :---

LIFE AND CORRESPONDENCE

MR. KEIR TO ERASMUS DARWIN, Esq.

Near BIRMINGHAM,

27th February, 1790.

My dear Sir,

You will receive, along with this, exact copies of the poems you gave me. I received yours of the 22nd, and am much concerned to hear you have been indifpofed. What you propofe refpecting the mode of fettlement is perfectly confiftent with my ideas of propriety, and with my wifnes, and I am much obliged to you for your giving fo much thought on this matter, and very highly fo for your acceptance of the truft. Along with the other papers I have fent you a copy of what I fent to Mrs. DAY, relating to Mrs. PHILLIPS the ftory of the bull ; and Mrs. DAV feems very much pleafed with what I have faid concerning her Mother, and tells me that fhe perceives Mrs. PHILLIPS is much pleafed with it too, and fays my method of telling the flory of the bull has given her a guite different opinion of it. Mrs. DAV, with her ufual goodnefs, feemed very defirous of fome compliment to the old lady, and indeed fhe highly deferves it. Mr. EDGEWORTH has fent me a packet of letters from Mr. DAY to him, which he propofes to publish in the Miscellany, and a few anecdotes, but of not much confequence. He tells me that he intended to have published a good many more letters, and 'as much hiftory as would have made a handfome volume. I have written him a long letter, to flow that he may still purfue his intention, fince he has fo many materials, that his publication of fuch a work will not at all interfere with mine, that nothing is more frequent than two or more publications on the fame life, that my plan being, as he himfelf fays, quite different from his, mine being more a general account, his being a collection of anecdotes, they do not preclude each other, and I have therefore afked him to reconfider the matter. You would be much entertained with thefe letters of Mr. DAY, but I dare not let them go out of my poffeffion. I expect from you and from your Father many anecdotes and affiftance to complete my work. I labour much under the want of materials. Pray affift me, as well as your memory enables you, with facts and *bons mots*, of which Mr. DAY faid abundance, but had not fo faithful a recorder as Doctor JOHNSON had in Mrs. THRALE.

I am, my dear Sir,

Yours affectionately,

JAMES KEIR.

ERASMUS DARWIN, Efq., Derby.

The next letter alludes to Mr. DAY's curious attempt to educate "Sabrina" into a model wife for himfelf. The circumftances, as given by Mr. KEIR in his "Life of Mr. DAY," were thefe :—

(Page 27). "The moft fingular of thefe projects was an experiment on female education, in which he proposed to unite the purity of female virtue with the fortitude and hardiness of conflictution of a Spartan virgin, and with a fimplicity of taste that should defpife the frivolous vanities, the effeminate manners, and the diffipated pleasures which, according to ROUSSEAU'S declamation, conflictute the female character of the prefent age. With this view he received into his guardianship two female children, whom he intended to educate himself according to his pre-conceived fystem. And he actually proceeded, during fome years, in the execution of this project. The experience, which had at first been wanting to him, at length gave him convincing proofs of the impracticability of this

mode of education, while his acquired knowledge of mankind fuggefted doubts of its expediency. Finding himfelf obliged to relinquish his project of forming ROUSSEAU's children of nature in the centre of England, he neverthelefs continued thefe children under his protection and maintenance, and gave them fuch education as this kingdom affords. It is not improbable that at the time when Mr. DAY undertook to educate, according to his own ideas, thefe two female children, being himfelf but young, he might entertain fome expectation of marrying one of them; but when he had relinquished that scheme, and had delivered them up, while they were yet children, to a boarding fchool, they were then no longer children of nature, but of the world, and they could retain none of the fpecific differences which diffinguished them from others, and on which any expectations that he might have originally formed could have been grounded. Here we cannot avoid remarking the contraft in the conduct of Mr. DAY and of ROUSSEAU, although the former had been inclined to think favourably of the writings of the latter on the fubject of education. Mr. DAY received two orphans under his protection, while the celebrated philosopher of Switzerland placed five of his own children in a foundling hofpital at Paris."

The letter which alludes to this curious experiment is as follows :----

MR. KEIR TO DOCTOR DARWIN.

SMETHWICK, 15th March, 1790.

Dear Doctor,

I am greatly obliged to you for your letter. What you fay relating to the propriety of mentioning Mr. DAY's experiment

100

of education as being characteriftic is unqueflionably juft, and firikes me firongly, but how to execute? What you propofe is too well known not to be the accurate flate; and it could be eafily contradicted, and thus the credit of the whole hiftory brought into queftion. Another difficulty is to reconcile the making mention of this affair with the delicacy of Mrs. DAY and of Mrs. B * * *, for I would not fay anything of it without their confent. Neverthelefs, what you have faid to me (and I am glad you have recommended it) has determined me to attempt it, and I will fend you a copy of what I fhall propofe. I am glad you approve in general of my method ; but I hope, when I have finished it, that before it goes to the prefs you will examine it a little more critically. As I have fo little matter, the manner becomes of more importance, and I know your feeling with regard to elegance of composition to be very fine. The great difficulty is to know how far a man may go in oratory. A man of acquired reputation can go farther than is allowed to a new man. I fhall defire my clerk to call upon you for the manuscript when he comes to Derby, which will be in about a fortnight, and I fhall fend it again when it is completed, and fhall requeft you to be fo good as to read it over. I endeavoured to perfuade Mr. EDGEWORTH to refume his intention of writing anecdotes of Mr. DAY, which I affured him was nowife interfering with my plan, but he feems altogether to decline it. He talked at first of "our joining our forces" in writing an account of Mr. DAV. I conceived that to be impoffible in fuch a work as mine, which is a continued difcourfe and muft be in one manner from beginning to end, and therefore could not be done by two authors with a fea between them. If it had been a collection of anecdotes it might have been poffible, as I told him. Neverthelefs, I am afraid he was not quite well pleafed, although in his letters to me he does not flow any difpleafure. I was very forry to hear from him that he has loft his favourite Daughter, HONORA, the image of her Mother, but, as he fays, fuperior both in beauty and underftanding.

I am much obliged to you for your advice to me to be converted to the true faith in chemistry; your principal argument in favour of which however is, not that it is *true*, but that it is becoming fashionable. This argument is of great confequence to an author, I grant, more than its truth. But I believe this is not an age in which any hypothetical fyftem (for both the phlogiftic and antiphlogiftic are greatly hypothetical) will be adopted exclusively. Befides, in order to be a convert of any confequence, a man muft have first finned egregiously. Now I do not think I have yet perfecuted oxygene fufficiently to make my conversion a thing of any eclât. In fact, I neither believe in phlogifton nor in oxygene, nor in any other of LAVOISIER's metaphylical principles; but I look upon the fuppofition of phlogifton to be a mere mode of explanation; and as to the exiftence of it, I do not by any means pretend that it is proved, and I am certain that it never can be difproved. What I diflike in the antiphlogiftians is their pedantry and prefumption, in pretending that their fyftem is proved, notwithftanding it is much more hypothetical than the other, in which there is one affumed matter, whereas in LAVOISIER'S there are oxygene, hydrogene, calorique, and carbone, all which are imaginary or at leaft hypothetical beings, and yet they are continually talking of demonstration, &c. As to their language, it is formed on the fuppofition of their fyftem being certain. I ufe the old language, not becaufe I approve of it (for being founded on theory I difapprove of it), but becaufe there is no other, excepting another formed alfo upon theory. Now I may use the old language, although I doubt of the theory on which it is founded, being old and once received, but I cannot use another theoretical language without taking for granted that the theory is true. The old language will be known and underflood whatever theory prevails. The principal difcoveries and facts of chemistry are recorded in that language. But if the new theory fhould be exploded, as I doubt not it will, its language will fall for ever. In confidering LAVOISIER'S theory we fhould diffinguifh between the phyfical and metaphyfical

parts : the latter refpects fuppofed beings ; on this the language is founded. This can never be proved, nor, in fuch an age as this, has any man authority enough to eftablish a creed in any fystem of metaphyfical chemiftry. The phyfical part is perhaps the only one worth confidering. I have adopted the greateft part of it, but Dr. BEDDOWE'S book will flow that it is a hundred years old. They have adopted the composition of water. This may be determined fome time; I have not denied, nor do I deny it, but I think it is not proved. The experiment of electrifying water will be decifive when the whole quantity of water will be converted into airs. It is not a new difcovery that water abforbs every kind of air, and gives out fome by boiling, but more by a red heat or by electricity. The proof of the composition of water, though neceffary for LAVOISIER'S fyftem, does not at all difprove the theory of phlogifton. Your flaving can furnish an argument that inflammable air comes from the iron and not from the water, for why elfe fhould it flink? I hope it will remind you of this every time you fmell it. You are fuch an infidel in religion that you cannot believe in transubftantiation, yet you can believe that apples and pears, hay and oats, bread and wine, fugar, oil, and vinegar, are nothing but water and charcoal, and that it is a great improvement in language to call all thefe things by one word, oxyde hydro-carbonneux. Your hint about giving explanations of thefe words in the Dictionary is good, and I will certainly follow it. I will enter perfonally but little into theory in my book, only flowing the two different modes of explanation of the different parts of chemiftry, whereas the antiphlogiftians never deign to fpeak of any but their own, fo that mine will have the advantage over theirs in that refpect. BERTHOLLET, in the Chemical Annals, gives me the praife of candour, and of not being a partial adverfary, but an adopter of their notions when I approve of them, &c.

Yours affectionately,

JAMES KEIR.

LIFE AND CORRESPONDENCE

W. SEWARD TO MR. KEIR.

3rd March, 1791.

Dear Sir,

I'm as diffatisfied with the engraving as you can be; yet remember BovI was many days after his time, and you appeared anxious to have it as foon as poffible. I cannot at prefent take the plate to Mr. BovI to be corrected, as Mrs. DAy has been this morning with STOCKDALE, and expressed a defire that your book should appear without the plate, and that the plate should be deftroyed. Mais J'attends vos ordres toujours. I beg my compliments to Mrs. KEIR, and remain,

Dear Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

W. SEWARD,

MR. KEIR TO DOCTOR DARWIN.

Near Birmingham, April, 1791.

Dear Doctor

My book has been ready for publication, printed, &c., thefe two months, but waited for an engraving of Mr. DAY, which was at laft produced, but with fuch a perfect deflruction of the likenefs, that it has been thought, by Mrs. DAY and myfelf, better to deflroy it than publifh a head in which no foul feems to refide. The picture was as like as it could flare; but the engraver, an Italian, and pupil of the celebrated BERTOLLOZZI, has fpoiled all. As foon as it was determined to publifh it, I ordered copies to be fent to you, Mr. DARWIN, and Dr. ROBERT, all in onc parcel directed to you. I hope you have received it. I am very glad to hear the progrefs of your first part. Immortality will be your fure reward, but I am alfo glad to hear that you have likewife another reward, which poets in general stand as much in need of—ready money.

Doctor PRIESTLEY'S experiments flow that he can at pleafure produce pure water, or water mixed with nitrous acid, by burning the pureft dephlogifticated and inflammable airs. The production of the acid depends (as I have maintained in my Dictionary-Nitrous Acid) on the proportion of dephlogifticated air being fufficient. When this air is in too fmall quantity to produce acid, the matter of the acid forms phlogiflicated air, which is known to be one of the elements of this acid, and which is always produced in this combuftion, but in a larger quantity when the acid is not produced. The production of phlogiflicated air is also given by me (page 118) as the reafon why the acid does not always appear. There is therefore nothing in Doctor PRIESTLEY's experiments but what was confistent at least with my former notions; but he has proved this appearance or non-appearance from the proportion of the airs more diffinctly than had been done before, although in fact the fame obfervation occurred to CAVENDISH, and from this obfervation I inferred my reafonings. The experiments therefore tend to prove that fomething is always produced befides pure water, when thefe airs are burnt. PRIESTLEY has found that air from red ppte. G is perfectly pure, and therefore he fets afide the fuppofition of the anti-phlogiftians that his acid always came from phlogifticated air being mixed with his dephlogiflicated. The experiments alfo accord with, but do not prove, my theory, that phlogifticated air confifts of pure air and phlogifton in a certain proportion, and that nitrous acid confifts of the fame elements with a larger proportion of pure air. But I can neither prove this theory nor the exiftence of any hypothetical principle, as phlogifton, hydrogene, carbone, &c., &c.; but I think I can eafily prove that those who affirm the existence of any of them do not understand logic, in which fcience the anti-

Н

phlogiftians are particularly deficient, although they recommend it in their prefaces.

Pleafe to make Mrs. KEIR's and my beft refpects to Mrs. DARWIN and your family, alfo to your Son, Mr. DARWIN, when you fee him. I am obliged to conclude, dear Doctor,

Your affectionate friend,

J. KEIR.

MR. W. SEWARD TO MR. KEIR.

Monday.

Dear Sir,

I was very forry I was not able to come to Mrs. DAY's yefterday evening.

My houfe is at your fervice, to authenticate the account of Mr. Dav's juvenile life.

Mrs. DAY has, I truft, accepted of the dedication. I expect Boyr this morning about the engraving, which I will endeavour to have done *au plus vîte*.

I am glad that you are fatisfied with the likenefs Mr. WOOD has made of our old friend.

I beg my compliments to Mrs. KEIR, and remain,

Dear Sir,

Your very obedient fervant,

W. SEWARD.

P.S.—Mr. BovI promifes to have the engraving ready by the fifth of March, and will abate three guineas of the price, which we fuppofe to have been that of 15 guineas.

Mr. KEIR completed the work in March, 1791, and it was provided with the engraving of Mr. DAY, which was well executed by Mr. BOVI from the drawing of Mr. WOOD. A copy of it was accepted by the Royal Society on the 12th of May, 1791.

Mrs. DAY did not long furvive the loss of her hufband, as appears from a letter addressed to Mr. KEIR from Mr. JOHN STOCKDALE, of London, who was the publisher of Mr. DAY's numerous works.

MR. JOHN STOCKDALE TO MR. KEIR,

June 15th, 1792, Half-paft 4 o'clock.

Dear Sir,

I've this inftant received your favour of the 14th inft. All that I can fay at prefent is, that Mrs. DAY got up and drank coffee at 10 o'clock in the morning, was taken ill, and expired immediately. I think with you that fhe died of a broken heart. I can fay from my own knowledge that fhe has not enjoyed one day's comfort or health fince our ever to be lamented friend's accident; I am very certain, from a long converfation that paffed between her and me alone, that fhe wifhed death, preferable to life. She expreffly affured me that all comforts in this life were at an end with her. She is now gone, and I truft is happy. She was one of the moft amiable and fenfible women that I ever had the honour to converfe with. I have had many friends fince I began bufinefs; the beft are gone, and it appears to me as if I muft lofe them all.

How far it may be prudent to print a new edition of Mr. Dav's Life I have my doubts, in point of profit, or without running fome rifk, as the prefent edition has been fome time in going off. It has

н 2

flood in catalogues that I have printed not lefs than 30,000 in different forms, fo that it has had every pofible chance that I could give it; yet, was it my property inflead of yours, it never fhould be out of print in one form or another while I lived. I mention this merely to flow you my opinion, but I do not now fpeak as a bookfeller.

Mrs. STOCKDALE defires her kind refpects; fhe and daughter are diffreffed at our fecond lofs beyond defcription, as they were rather favourites, or at leaft vain enough to think themfelves fo.

I am, dear Sir,

Your greatly obliged and fincere well wifher,

JOHN STOCKDALE.

In the Autobiography of Mrs. SCHIMMELPENNINCK, the eldeft daughter of Mr. GALTON, a defcriptive account is given of the effect produced on the philosophers of Birmingham by the news of the approaching Revolution in France.

"It was wonderful to me," fhe fays, "to fee Doctor PRIESTLEY, Doctor WITHERING, Mr. WATT, Mr. BOULTON himfelf, and Mr. KEIR, manifeft the moft intenfe intereft, each according to his prevailing characteriftics." This was in the fummer of 1788.

At the time when the eftates of the Church were being fecularized and put up for fale for paper money, which had become depreciated in value from £100 to five fhillings, Monfieur DE LA METHERIE, the great French chemift, wrote from Paris to Mr. KEIR on the 1st July, 1790:—

"Depuis votre derniére, nos operations politiques ont fait bien du chemin; et malgré tous nos ennemis exterieurs qui peuvent tant, les chofes vont on ne peut mieux. Car, ne croiez pas tous les menfonges qu'ils font repandre dans toute l'Europe, ce que ce Calonne propage, furtout en Angleterre. Excepté les malheurs excités à Nifmes et à Montauban les autres mouvements font bien peu de chofe. Nous coupons le mal dans fa racine ; toute distinction ariftocratique, toute nobleffe, vient d'être détruite. Le clergé est depouillé de ses immenses revenus, et il sera falarié comme tous les autres fonctionnaires publics. Par ce moyen, ces grands corps, toujours les ennemis du bien public, parcequils ne cherchent que le bien particulier, ne pourront plus nous nuire. J'avais préfagé toutes ces opèrations dans mes Principes de la Philofophie Naturelle. Nous efperons que vous corrigerez auffi bientot les vices de vôtre conflitution. Veuillez me rappeler au fouvenir de tous les membres de vôtre Societé, Meffieurs WATT, BOULTON, PRIESTLEY, et WITHERING. Vous connoiffez les fentiments diftingués d'eftime avec lesquels j'ai l'honneur d'être,

Monfieur,

Votre très humble, et très obéiffant ferviteur,

DE LA METHERIE.

Monfieur JAMES KEIR, de la Société Royale de Londres."

Mr. SMILES remarks that "the imprefionable character of Doctor PRIESTLEY was moved in an extraordinary degree by the pregnant events which followed each other in quick fucceffion at Paris. * * * * * His chemical purfuits were, for a time, forgotten, and he wrote and preached, like one poffeffed, of human brotherhood and of the downfall of tyranny and prieftcraft."

It may be interefting to give Mr. KEIR's opinion of the French Revolution. Writing on the 19th March, 1791, he fays :---

"The Revolution of France is the fole *triumph of reafon*, having been the effect of the gradual illumination of the human mind over a whole nation, by *philofophy*, flowing that the true end of government is the happinefs of the *many*, and difpelling thofe baneful *prejudices* which eftablifhed the tyranny of the *few*, and which were the relics of the ignorance of barbarous ages.

"Happily the fame neceffity does not exift in this country. For although our government may not be the beft poffible, it is certainly too good to rifk any public convultion, in hopes of a better, or to attempt any other change than fuch as may naturally follow from progreffive advancement and extension of knowledge among the people, by which our conflictution may be rather reflored to its true principles, which are excellent, and further improved and adapted to the cultivated genius of the age, than altered or overturned."

A public dinner on the 14th July, 1791, furnished a pretext for the Birmingham Riots, which commenced by

the deftruction of Doctor PRIESTLEY's houfe and of the two Meeting houfes; but the caufe of thefe diffurbances has been fhown to have arifen from a religious rather than a political excitement. Doctor PRIESTLEY, having on the deftruction of his houfe gone immediately to London, wrote feveral letters to Mr. KEIR. Thefe letters, and Mr. KEIR's replies, are highly characteriftic, and give a true view of the origin of thefe riots.

DOCTOR PRIESTLEY TO MR. KEIR.

LONDON,

22nd July, 1791.

Dear Sir,

I am very happy to fee a copy of your letter to the printer of the *Birmingham Chronicle*, and in return inclofe copies of my *Address to the Inhabitants of Birmingham*, and of Mr. RUSSEL'S *Account of the Proceedings on July* 14th. Both thefe have been in the London papers, and I have just fent yours to the printer of the *Morning Chronicle*.

I am happy to hear that all is quiet with you now, but when it will be proper for me to come to you I cannot tell. I fear not before the next Lunar Society. Whether I shall ever have it in my power to collect another apparatus for experiments is quite uncertain, as indeed is, in a great meafure, my fettling again at Birmingham, though there is no place in the world that I should prefer to it.

The extra copies of my last paper for the Philosophical Transactions are printed, and I shall foon fend fome to Mr. GALTON

LIFE AND CORRESPONDENCE

to be prefented to each of the members of the Lunar Society. I beg my compliments to them, and as long as I live, I shall, with much fatisfaction, think of our many happy meetings.

I am, in hafte,

Dear Sir,

Yours fincerely,

J. PRIESTLEY.

DOCTOR PRIESTLEY TO MR. KEIR.

LONDON,

29th July, 1791.

Dear Sir,

I thank you for your kind letters. One Quaker at leaft, I find, did attend the Revolution dinner in London. One of the company told me there were more. This, however, I did not hear till I had got the correction you fent inferted in the *Morning Chronicle*.

I never thought of returning to Birmingham till my friends there should think it fafe, and on other accounts advifable; and this, I now begin to fear, will not be fo foon as you intimate. However, I am ready to attend the first fummons, and earness with it may be before the next Lunar Society. But your meeting must not depend upon this event.

With this I fend each of you a copy of my late, and I fear *laft*, paper for the Philofophical Tranfactions. I shall always recollect, with peculiar fatisfaction and regret, our many cheerful and improving meetings; and, if not a conftant, shall indulge the hope of being an occafional attendant.

120

You were certainly a better judge than I was of *the fpirit of the times.* But even you could not have expected fuch brutal exceffes as have taken place; and yet I am willing to hope much from *time*, from your feafonable letter, and the reprefentations of the more calm and reafonable members of the Church of England, if not from the interpolition of government and the execution of the laws, in which I wifh for moderation.

I lately dined with Mr. SHERIDAN, who faid I fhould meet Mr. Fox. He, however, was prevented from attending, but defired Mr. SHERIDAN to fay that he wifhed to take the matter up in whatever manner we fhould think proper, by motion in the Houfe on the fubject. They conceive that the encouragement given to this High Church fpirit by the Court arifes from their willingnefs to crush Mr. Fox, who has taken our part, and that they hoped by thefe meafures to intimidate us into filence. This I can hardly think to be the cafe, and I am unwilling to connect our caufe with that of any political party; fince, upon the face of it, as you have clearly shown, it is wholly of a religious nature. However, I faid there would be time enough to take our meafures before the next meeting of Parliament.

I am, with my beft refpects to Mrs. KEIR,

Dear Sir,

Yours fincerely,

J. PRIESTLEY.

To JAMES KEIR, Efq.,

Weft Bromwich.

In November, Doctor PRIESTLEY wrote very elaborately an Appeal, which however he did not feel juftified in publishing without the knowledge of his friends, giving rife to the following characteristic and interesting correspondence :---

MR. GALTON TO MR. KEIR.

Dear Sir,

Three sheets of Doctor PRIESTLEY'S *Appeal* were given me by Doctor WITHERING and Mr. RUSSEL, and have been perufed by Mr. BERRINGTON. I have taken the liberty of fending them to you, hoping that you will do Doctor PRIESTLEY the favour of reading it and making fuch remarks as you may think proper, and afterwards returning it to Doctor WITHERING.

You will doubtlefs difcover that it has neither beginning nor end. I wish it could be returned to-morrow, if you could make it convenient, and that you would meet Doctor WITHERING, Mr. RUSSEL, and Mr. BERRINGTON, either at Doctor WITHERING's in the Square, or at Steelhoufe Lane, to morrow morning about 11 o'clock, in order that no time may be loft in transmitting to Doctor PRIESTLEY the united fentiments of his friends on the propriety of fufpending the publication and altering fome paffages.

With compliments to Mrs. KEIR, in which Mrs. GALTON unites,

I am, dear Sir,

Your obliged friend,

S. GALTON, JUNR.

Friday,

18th November, 1791.

122

OF THE LATE JAMES KEIR.

MR. KEIR TO DOCTOR PRIESTLEY.

WESTBROMWICH,

18th November, 1791.

Dear Sir,

Mr. GALTON fent me a few sheets of your *Appeal* to perufe, and wished me to meet him with Mr. BERRINGTON and Doctor WITHERING next day, which I did.

We feemed each of us to have formed the fame opinion, which we communicated to Mr. RUSSEL, who was alfo prefent, and whom we afked to inform you, but he requefted us to write to you ourfelves.

The point on which the expediency of your publication turns is, I think, whether it will have good or bad effects. With regard to yourfelf, I doubt whether it be neceffary, as you have already afferted your innocence and you have received, in the feveral addreffes fent to you, the most ample and honourable testimonies of refpect to yourfelf and of abhorrence of your late perfecution that I believe were ever given to any man. Your character appears to me to reft with more firmnefs and dignity on thefe teftimonies than it can on anything which a man can fay of him-By bringing the fubject into queftion again you remove it self. from this ground of testimony to that of your own defence, and will open a field for a perpetual controverfy in which the fimple facts will be overwhelmed in altercation. As your caufe is juft, the clofer you keep the attention of mankind to facts the better. If you have any new ones to communicate they will perhaps come with more effect and with lefs ill will to yourfelf if they should be introduced into an historical narration of the whole affair by another perfon, which I am happy to hear is intended to be done by one eminently capable.

With regard to the *general effects* which the publication of your *Appeal* may have, I should think myfelf inexcufable if I did not give the opinion that I have been afked, freely, and I truft to your ufual candour in receiving it; for I muft fay that the effects which I think may be apprehended from it are not favourable.

That it will irritate your profeffed enemies may not be considered of fo much confequence; but I think it is of great confequence not to give them fresh handles to keep and fir up the animofity of the multitude, and of the moft moderate of their party, against you and your friends, the diffenters. There are expressions there too fit for their purpofe; and you very well know that fairnefs of reprefentation would not be attended to. In Birmingham they wish much for a fubject to ftir up the people against the differenters, and to terrify them from the profecution of the magiftrates. But the prudent filence of the differters has given no handle, and therefore they are obliged to harp on the old nonfenfe of Mr. Russel's lift of toafts, and converfation with Dudley, mafter of the Hotel, things of no confequence whatever. But your Appeal will furnish them with a new fource of abufe. They will from thence reprefent, or mifreprefent, the diffenters as declared enemies to the Church and difaffected to the State. The generality of your obfervations upon the clergy cannot fail of giving offence to the more moderate clergymen and lay-churchmen. You have, indeed, mentioned that fome of them behaved well; but I think you mention them rather as exceptions to the general rule. It is impoffible almost to prove anything against a large body of men fo difperfed; and individuals might fay that they were unjuftly accufed. The inflances that have come to your knowledge are of the more violent, who could not reftrain their fentiments. I am thoroughly convinced many of their numbers, I should hope the greater part, were forry for your perfecution. I should think it more just and more politic to fix the odium on the most guilty individuals, and let the reft come off as eafily as poffible under the

plea of delution or mifconception. This conduct might draw off the most numerous and honest of the Church party and leave the violent men to fland by themfelves, whereas general reflections can only tend to unite them more clofely. I beg you not to think me an advocate for tame fubmiffion to grofs perfonal injuries and flagrant violations of law and liberty. I think it is a duty which the diffenters owe to their country to do everything they can to bring offenders of all ranks to justice, and their conduct to public light; but, in doing this, I would confine myfelf to judicial inquiry and to the publication of authenticated facts, as being the only mode in which the opinion of impartial men can be influenced. Your reflections on the magiftrates may be true, but may not be eafily proved; and whether true or falfe may poffibly be the fubject of profecution for a libel or action of damages. As their conduct is to come before a Court of Juffice, the propriety of prejudging them may be queftioned. I should fear alfo another effect, that government would become more remifs in profecuting the magistrates and in protecting the diffenters in future if they should meet with any paffages that should give them offence. Thefe are the effects which I fear might refult from the publication of your Appeal.

I do not prefume to give you advice on the general propriety of publishing it, but only flate my opinions of the effects and tendency. I may be miftaken, and you may have other and fuperior considerations of which I do not pretend to judge. It gives me the greateft pleafure to hear that you are preparing to recommence your philofophical purfuits, which I hope will be attended with all the good effects as the former. I fincerely hope that you and Mrs. PRIESTLEY may find Hackney an agreeable and tranquil refidence, and that you both may enjoy much health and happinefs.

I am, dear Sir, with great refpect and regard,

Moft fincerely yours,

JAMES KEIR.

Mr. KEIR, not receiving any reply from Doctor PRIESTLEY for fome weeks, feems to have forwarded a copy of his letter to Doctor WITHERING, mentioning at the fame time the rather fingular fact that he had received no anfwer to it.

DOCTOR WITHERING TO MR. KEIR.

16th January, 1792.

Dear Sir,

I thank you for the perufal of the enclofed. It certainly deferved an answer, as being evidently the refult of clofe thinking and fair reasoning upon a subject of some importance; but perhaps you may have had a letter before this time. I intended to have sent you the Doctor's letter containing his reasons for publication, but cannot lay my hand upon it.

The *Extinguifluer Maker** is much approved, and thofe who have taken it up, expecting fomething like the *Button Burnifluer*, have been agreeably furprifed at finding the marks of a mafterly hand.

On account of the abfence of B. and W., I think to let the 31st January pafs by, and particularly as there is reafon to expect Doctor PARR at our next meeting, on which account I would wifh it to be a full one.

I remain, dear Sir,

Very faithfully yours,

W. WITHERING.

* A Political Pamphlet by Mr. KEIR.

OF THE LATE JAMES KEIR.

DOCTOR PRIESTLEY TO MR. KEIR.

CLAPTON,

12th January, 1792.

Dear Sir,

Though I have not before found leifure to write to you, I was not infenfible to your kindnefs and friendfhip in giving me your opinion with respect to my Appeal. Had I not been actually pledged to publifh, it would have had confiderable weight with me; but this was a circumftance of which you were not apprifed. I have, however, cancelled eleven leaves, in order to flrike out paffages that were thought to be unneceffarily offenfive, and I am willing to hope that you will not find much to object to at prefent, efpecially as fome other publications will have preceded it and made way for it, particularly T. Soberfides* in the humorous, and High Church Politics* in the ferious way. Both thefe publications I greatly admire, and where they are read they must do much good. With respect to myself, I cannot help thinking that my filence, confidering my aptnefs to write, would have borne an unfavourable conftruction, as would my writing with lefs fpirit, or in any other manner than I had been ufed to do. You will receive a copy of the corrected Appeal about the fame time that you receive this.

An ingenious young man, Mr. * * *, who lectured on Experimental Philofophy in the New College, is at Birmingham as my fucceffor, and, I hear, gives great fatisfaction. He will think himself happy in being noticed by any of my philofophical friends. I have undertaken to give his lectures, and fome others, *gratis*; and this will be of fome ufe to myfelf in obliging me to attend to the whole courfe of chemiftry, with feveral branches of which I was but little acquainted. But having no fubflances to exhibit or to

* Political Pamphlets by Mr KEIR.

127

work upon, I fhall long be at a lofs and difabled from appearing to advantage. May I trouble you to furniſh me with fmall quantities of the articles you ufed to fupply me with, as foſfil alkali, minium, &c., &c., or anything elfe that you can conveniently fpare. I now want *everything*, and wifh to get to work again as foon as I can.

I fhall foon fend you a copy of what I can recollect of the contents of my laboratory, &c., and fhall beg the favour of fome of you to attend at Warwick as witneffes of what you remember of them. But if *strict proof* be required, I must go without indemnification.

I am, dear Sir,

Yours fincerely,

J. PRIESTLEY.

MR. KEIR TO DOCTOR DARWIN.

DERBY, near BIRMINGHAM,

23rd March, 1793.

Dear Doctor,

I have this day fent your books to go by the next coach to Derby. I have had great pleafure and influction in reading them. They contain fo much new and ingenious theory that they cannot fail of making impreffion; but as they are fo deep in medical learning, efpecially as the work advances (and I underfland from you that there are ftill more volumes, in which you will enter flill more technically into the penetralia of medicine), you cannot expect to have a great many readers out of the profeffion, and I think you muft wait for the next crop of Doctors from the

128

nurferies of medicine before you will have full juffice done to your I fee the work is fo profound in medical fcience as to work. render fuperfluous my remarks which I formerly made upon the expediency of rendering the language more popular and eafily intelligible, that you might have a greater number of readers; for as your plan extends to particular difeafes you cannot perhaps do this. I will, however, fuggeft to your confideration another propofal, which is to make two works of it inflead of one. The firft to contain all those volumes which I have feen, which, with a few alterations, might be rendered fit for popular philosophers. Thus you would have a great many more readers, more candid ones, and more willing to give the due praifes and fame. They will alfo render it neceffary for the faculty to read it at leaft for the fake of Whereas the fubject of claffes of difeafes and converfation. operations of medicines will give the idea of its being merely a profeffional book. Suppofe you were to publish the first part by itfelf under its prefent title, and at the end of it give an advertifement that fpeedily will be published-a work, giving its title, applying the above principles to the claffification and cure of difeafes and operations of medicines, and accordingly publifh it foon after, but not immediately. Give the world a *little* time to read the firft. I think that you will by this procedure get more money and fame, more quickly at leaft, though perhaps neither more nor lefs fame ultimately, which I think muft follow in any mode of publication. I fuppofe you have feen Doctor BEDDOE's publication, in which he mentions his defiderium of your work. He has fent me a copy for Mr. EDGEWORTH, whom he faw at my houfe. If you know whether Mr. EDGEWORTH is ftill at Briftol, and how to fend this book to him, be fo good as acquaint me. I called at the glafs houfe, but could not then know certainly whether they can make a glafs bell of the fort wanted; they will be able to inform me when they fee the one that you have fent back. Your patient continues in good health and has recruited

I

LIFE AND CORRESPONDENCE

both ftrength and fpirits. We all look with pleafure to the paying our refpects to Mrs. DARWIN and you, fome time this fummer.

I am, dear Doctor,

Your affectionate friend,

JAMES KEIR.

Has Doctor JOHNSON returned from France to Derby?

Doctor LIND, of Windfor, who failed round the world with Sir JOSEPH BANKS and Doctor SOLANDER, was a Coufin of Mr. KEIR's; and on the death of Mr. FRANCIS KEIR, who died on the 15th September, 1794, wrote the following letter of condolence to his Brother.

DOCTOR LIND TO MR. KEIR.

ISLINGTON SPA, 3rd October, 1794.

My dear Coufin,

I was favoured with yours of the 27th yefterday, and moft fincerely condole with you on the lofs of your good Brother; a worthier, fincerer, and better man never lived, and whom everybody efteemed and muft regret.

I had heard of his death by the TROTTERS, who had the melancholy account from Edinburgh about ten days ago. I then wrote to my Brother and Sifter and acquainted them of the event.

I have been very ill, but thank God am at prefent fomething

130

better. I am glad to hear Mrs. and Mifs KEIR are well; my beft compliments and wifhes. I faw by the laft paper that old Mrs. BLAIR died a few days ago at Beaconsfield; and am, with great efteem,

My dear Coufin,

Yours moft affectionately and fincerely,

J. LIND.

ARCHIBALD KEIR, Esq., to Mr. KEIR.

September 30th, 1794.

My dear Coufin,

How much I am concerned at the lofs of your Brother, whom I long valued and efteemed as one of the beft of men, and moft affectionate friend, I fhall not here pretend to tell you. I feel for you very fincerely on the occafion, as I do for his Sifter, and for all those who enjoyed the happiness of his acquaintance. He was in truth a good man, friendly and amiable in his manners. I had heard of his death from Mrs. CAMPBELL, and it affected me greatly I affure you.

I am happy to find that you and your family are all in good health, and would fain hope that you will think of paying a vifit to London in the courfe of the winter, when I fhould be fo glad to fee you.

We are now bufy moving our furniture to the next door, No. 13, in the fame ftreet; and though this be fo near at hand, yet the trouble and buftle of changing is far from being agreeable to one who is now old.

My Son WILLIAM, who has now got a troop, was with us for a few weeks and returned to his regiment on Friday laft. He feems to like the war, as much as I deteft it and wifh it at an end. He

I 2

has been very lucky indeed, and has been a good deal taken notice of by the DUKE, Sir WILLIAM ERSKINE, and others, which is very flattering to a young man.

My Wife and Daughter defire to join with me in their beft compliments to Mrs. KEIR and the lovely EMILIA; remaining ever with fincere regard,

My dear Coufin,

Yours most affectionately,

ARCHIBALD KEIR.

MR. TROTTER TO MR. KEIR.

SOHO SQUARE; 7th October, 1794.

Dear Sir,

I believe it is not the ufual practice to reply to fuch letters as your laft to me, but I muft beg leave to deviate from cuftom in this inftance where I feel myfelf fo peculiarly concerned. Suffer me therefore, my dear Sir, to lament with you the lofs of your poor Brother, for whom I have long had the warmeft attachment and friendly affection, formed on the perfect knowledge of his moft excellent character for goodnefs of heart, high fenfe of honour, and great manlinefs of deportment in every fituation.

I beg my moft refpectful compliments to Mrs. and Mifs KEIR, in which my Mother, Sifter, and Brothers, defire to join me.

And am, my dear Sir,

Your affectionate Coufin,

JOHN TROTTER.

P.S.—I am but just returned from the country, or would have wrote to you fooner.

OF THE LATE JAMES KEIR.

CAPTAIN LIND TO MR. KEIR.

LONDON,

23rd November, 1794.

Dear Sir,

I was only favoured with your letter of the 27th September on my arrival in town laft week. The death of your much refpected Brother was communicated to us by Mifs K. TROTTER fome time ago. We were, I affure you, greatly concerned at it. I had the fatisfaction of being frequently in his company while at Edinburgh, and effeemed myfelf happy in being related to fo worthy a man. He was highly refpected by my Wife, who regrets his death exceedingly, and the more fo on account of the heavy lofs furtained by his good Sifter. Mrs. LIND had a letter from her yefterday mentioning that fhe was in tolerable health.

Should any of our excursions bring us near you, we shall, with great pleafure, avail ourfelves of the opportunity of paying our respects to you and family. We shall be very glad to see you in town, which we hope will be this winter.

Mrs. LIND unites with me in beft wifhes to you, Mrs. and Mifs KEIR.

I am, dear Coufin,

Affectionately yours,

FRANCIS LIND.

About the year 1794 (if one may affign a date on probabilities only) Mr. KEIR and Mr. BLAIR purchafed land at Tividale on which they eftablished the Tividale Colliery. Mr. KEIR had long given his attention to the fubject of the mineralogy of Staffordshire, and on the 14th June, 1798, he wrote an article upon it for Mr. SHAW, who was about publishing his "History of Staffordshire." In the preface of this important work Mr. SHAW professes to be "inexpressibly indebted for the most valuable information respecting the mineralogy and manufactories, &c., to the very excellent pen of JAMES KEIR, Efq., author of the 'Chemical Dictionary,' &c., whose superior knowledge in that science has enabled him to establish at Tipton a large and curious manufactory of span and white lead upon new principles."

Some of Mr. KEIR's observations as a glass maker gave him an unexpected infight into the nature of the bafalts of Rowley Regis. Mention has already been made of the paper on the "Cryftallizations on Glass" furnished by him to the Royal Society in 1776. In that paper he fays : "Perhaps all homogenous bodies in their transition from a fluid to a folid state would, if this transition were not effected too hastily, concrete into cryftals, or bodies fimilarly figured. Inftances of fuch crystallization have occurred to me in glass which had paffed very flowly from a fluid to a folid flate. * Does not this difcovery of a property in glafs to cryftallize reflect a high degree of probability on the opinion that the great native cryftals of *ba/alts*, fuch as those which form the Giants' Caufeway or the Pillars of Staffa, have been produced by the crystallization of a vitreous lava rendered fluid by the fire of volcanos." Then he points

out an analogy between the columnar or prifinatic forms in the cryftallized bafalts and in the vitreous cryftals; and concludes by fhowing that " the ftone on which the columns of bafalts generally reft, and which fometimes is fupported by thefe columns, being of the fame nature and texture as the columns themfelves, feems to be a mafs irregularly cryftallized, analogous to the irregularlyfhaped maffes in the fpecimens of glafs No. 1 and No. 2."

In the article to Mr. SHAW, written twenty-two years after, he returns upon this argument, afcribing the origin of the *bafaltic* Rowley Hills to the volcanic eruption of matter in igneous fusion. He fays :—

"The formation of bafaltic rocks has lately been the fubject of a controverfy which has been agitated with great zeal by mineralogifts, fome of whom confider them as lava thrown out of volcanos, and others as the produce of watery deposition; and the advocates for thefe two different opinions have been diffinguished by the names Vulcanists and Neptunists. The celebrated BERGMAN afcribes the bafaltic matter to ejection from volcanos, but he does not confider this matter as a lava melted by fire, but a mafs of earthy particles foftened and diluted with water, which afterwards has become dry and confolidated; and in fact confiderable ejections of watery mud have been feen from Vefuvius, and in fuch immenfe quantities from the volcanos of the Andes as to have overflowed and ruined large provinces. This controverfy feems to have derived additional importance and intereft from the ftriking property which this bafaltic flone poffeffes of affuming fometimes a columnar, and frequently alfo an articulated form, which it exhibits, to the admiration of mankind, in those magnificent and

flupendous flructures, the Giants' Caufeway in Ireland, the Ifland Staffa, the extinct volcanos of Auvergne, and in many other parts of the earth, and which have lately been traced in Vefuvius and Etna, as also in the bafaltic rocks of this country. The subject is too large, and the controverfy too intricate for this place. I have elfewhere flown the analogy which fubfifts between the columnar and fpherical bafaltic flones and the artificial cryftallizations which I had obferved in glafs, and thence inferred the poffibility of the formation of the former by fufion and very gradual cooling. But I now confine my attention to the local appearances, and to deductions from them. I must not venture into the extensive field of comparison and illustration which the mineralogy of other countries would afford, and which would flow that the fame analogy and relation that fubfift here between coal, limeftone, and bafalts, extend very generally, though with confiderable variation of circumftances. I will only add one further obfervation on the bafalts of this country, which is likewife generally applicable; namely, that the fpontaneous decomposition or gradual deftruction of this flone is no lefs worthy of attention than its formation. For bafalt, like lava and other flones of a fimilar composition, is remarkably fubject to be decomposed by the action of water and air, and to fall into a powder or coarfe clay, called *roach*, confifting, like the flone itfelf, of argillaceous, filiceous, and ferruginous particles. These particles, by further exposure and decomposition, and by different mechanical and chemical action, may have been feparated and converted into the various clays, more or lefs pure, rocks, clunch, and ironftone, with which this country abounds. When we fee the alteration on the furface of this flone which the exposure of a few weeks produces we cannot doubt that the continued effects of many fucceeding ages muft have been very great, and that much of the circumjacent ground muft have been derived from this fource ; while the different beds of coal feem to flow the fucceffive periods of vegetation and alluvion."

Doctor BLAIR, who, with his Brother, carried on the Tividale Colliery fome years after the death of Mr. KEIR, alluding to this point, fays :---

"That which gives, curioufly, a perfonal touch to the quefion is, that in the Tividale Colliery, which lay at the foot of the hills, the laft pit, opened long after, towards the hills, fhowed the bafalt lying *above* the coal. The fhaft had to be funk many yards *through* the bafaltic rock ; and—what was more to the purpofe as a gain to fcience, though very far from one to the workers of the coalfield the coal when reached afforded *the moft fatisfactory confirmation* of Mr. KEIR'S Vulcanian theory. The bituminous or combuftible part of the coal had been fo completely expelled by the immenfe heat of the bafalt in fufion at many yards diflance above it that it would not burn, but lay like a flone in the fire."

On the 18th February, 1811, Mr. KEIR forwarded to the Geological Society "An account of the Strata in finking a Pit in Tividale Colliery, near Dudley," alfo a number of fpecimens.

Mr. KEIR was in frequent correspondence with the Genevese Philosopher, Monsieur DE Luc, and with the celebrated French Chemist, Monsieur BERTHOLLET.

It was Monfieur DE LUC who, it will be remembered, as Reader to QUEEN CHARLOTTE, was pitilefily condemned to ftand when reading in her prefence, notwithftanding his infirmity; QUEEN CHARLOTTE being forgetful, it would feem, of the leffon of Queenly courtefy taught by her great predeceffor ELIZABETH, who addreffed Lord BURLEIGH with "Sit down, my Lord; it is your good head that we want, and not your bad legs." It was to Monfieur BERTHOLLET that the EMPEROR BUONAPARTE addreffed himfelf for a precautionary antidote to poifon, and from whom he received the fimple if ingenious prefcription—to fpit out whatever had a peculiar tafte.

Mr. YOUNG, the well-known Greek Profession at Glafgow College, feems to have been on very friendly terms with Mr. KEIR, judging from the following letter :---

> GLASGOW COLLEGE, 2nd February, 1804.

My dear Sir,

138

I write this as a line of introduction to Mr. BOVLE, who will get to Hill-Top, I think, in lefs than a week. It gives me much pleafure—in any fhape, on any fubject, and for any time, even a very fhort time—to perform any act that amufes me into the idea that I am again holding converfe with you. None of us will ever forget the few pleafing days we fpent under your hofpitable roof. But on this fubject I dare not much enlarge. * * * Mrs. YOUNG begs to be remembered to you, and your Son and Daughter,* with much regard and efteem. You will fcarcely doubt that ELIZABETH would join in the exprefiion of thefe affections if fhe were here. * * * I have the pleafure to be, my dear Sir,

Your faithful humble fervant,

JOHN YOUNG.

To JAMES KEIR, Efq., F.R.S.

* Mr. KEIR's only Daughter, AMELIA, had lately married Mr. JOHN LEWIS MOILLIET, of Geneva, afterwards Merchant and Banker of Birmingham.

MR. KEIR TO MRS. MOILLIET.

Friday Evening.

My dear AMELIA,

I received yours of this morning. You fay you fent me a letter by BETTY SATCHEL. She brought me a letter from GREGORY WATT, which had been left at your houfe for me, but fhe brought me none from you, and upon fending to her now to inquire, fhe fays fhe received only that one.

I am forry to hear that you are hyflerical and that you have an oppreffion at your cheft, which I hope is only hyflerical, which is difagreeable enough, tho' not dangerous.

I am forry you find it fo difficult to be a floic, for truly there is much occafion for floicifm or fortitude of fome fort in this life; but hyfterics are a bad preparation for floicifm. Does not CASSIUS, in the Play of JULIUS CÆSAR, fay that this great man became cowardly when he had a fit of the ague, or fome fuch thing? So I hope, when you recover more flrength, you will quit your hyfterics and take in exchange the floic philofophy, and be like to—

> "That man divine whom Wifdom calls her own; Great, without Title, whom fortune bleffed, Rich ev'n when plunder'd; honoured while oppreffed; Loved without youth; and followed without power; At home, tho' exiled; free tho' in the Tower; In fhort, that reafoning, high, immortal thing, Juft lefs than JovE and much above a King; Nay half in heaven—except (what's mighty odd) A fit of vapours clouds this demi-god."

So fays POPE in imitation of HORACE. In thefe lines it is evident that POPE alluded to his friend ATTERBURY, Bifhop of Rochefler, a man of great abilities, who, after a long confinement in the Tower, was banifhed in his old age, and died in banifhment. You have read the elogium of MARCUS AURELIUS, the floic Emperor, by THOMAS. It would entertain you to read a little of the book written by the good Emperor himfelf, called *The Meditations of Marcus Antonius*. It is in the Birmingham Library, No. 2541, 8vo. I do not recommend to you to tire yourfelf with reading it all, only a little, to flow you his manner of confidering all human things.

EMMY is perfectly well, and I am better while the wind is fill in the north; and I do not expofe myfelf to it, as I fill have fome pains lurking about me. Two days before I was taken ill, I was telling Mr. JEWETT that I always had an attack every March, the winds being of a peculiar nature in that month.

ALEC translated SOPHOCLES' play called *Edipus Tyrannus*. I hope to hear to-morrow that you are better.

In the above letter mention is made of Mr. KEIR'S having received a letter from Mr. GREGORY WATT. Mr. GREGORY WATT had, when a young man, become acquainted with Sir HUMPHRY DAVY, who appreciated the great genius which his young friend poffeffed, and while vifiting him in Birmingham was introduced to Mr. KEIR.

The following article on this fubject was published in the *Birmingham Journal* on the 15th February, 1868:—

"An additional gleam of light is reflected on KEIR through the inftrumentality of Sir HUMPHRY Davy (one of the moft fcientific and poetic of Chemifts, originator of many of the moft important difcoveries made in Chemiftry, author of *Salmonia*, and *The Confolations in Travel*), on the occasion of a visit paid by him to GREGORY WATT, Son of the JAMES WATT, that beloved Son, whofe life gave fuch promife of future greatness, the brilliancy of which was hidden in an early grave, and whofe death occafioned to the tender Father the most poignant grief, and every affociation with which was cherifhed with paternal love and affection. When the 'garret' at Heathfield was opened, long years after the mortal remains of the bereaved Father had been deposited in the Church at Handfworth, amid the refults of his marvellous inventive skill and genius, his 'fculpturing machine,' (the laft work of his hands) philofophic inftruments, tools, and implements, there was found a hair-covered travelling trunk. This occupied a polition in clofe proximity to where WATT fat and worked. It contained no model, no marvel of human genius, but fimply all poor GREGORY's fchool books, his first attempts at writing, his boy's drawings of battles, his first fchool exercifes, his college themes, delectufes, grammars, dictionaries, and clafs books.

" 'One touch of nature makes the whole world kin.' The tranfcendent genius of WATT, amid all the triumphs of mechanical fcience achieved by him, acknowledged his humanity; and in the folitude of his fludio-garret thefe relics of his beloved GREGORV were doubtlefs often looked at by a Father's eyes, bedimmed and fuffufed with tears; like the Hebrew King of old, his words in all probability were, 'My Son, would to God I had died for thee.'

"In life, this memory-cherifhed Son of 'the great improver of the fleam engine' was vifited by Sir HUMPHRY DAVY, at Birmingham. When there, he was introduced to KEIR; the refult and the impreffion made by him, DAVY thus records in one of his letters to his Mother:—'I was particularly forry to leave Mr. KEIR, for he is both an amiable and a great man.' This tribute from the great Chemift is an additional evidence as to the pofition KEIR occupied focially and fcientifically. In the biography alluded to of Sir HUMPHRY DAVY it is flated he was 'an able Chemift, and diffinguifhed for much originality and independence of mind.'" Mr. MUIRHEAD, in his "Life of WATT," tells us that "in 1804, Mr. WATT'S favourite Son GREGORY, the charm of whofe prefence and extraordinary talents was the ornament and pride of his race, languifhed and died of that infidious difeafe which fo often deftroys the moft accomplifhed and the moft lovely." Mr. KEIR, who greatly valued Mr. GREGORY WATT, wrote the following fhort but pathetic elegy on his deceafe :---

> "A youth with every grace and virtue crowned, Loved and deplored, lies here in hallowed ground ; With manners gentle, kind affections joined, Beauty of form, and energy of mind. Early, in lifts of fame, appeared his name, Striving to emulate paternal fame, No ftranger, he, to every willing mufe ; But chief to Nature's lore he bent his views ; By Science led, he climbed the mountain's brow, And marked Her awful footfleps firm though flow; Her various changes from primæval birth, From chaos rude to flowery fertile earth. But vain his eager toils ! His tender frame Was foon confumed by mind's too ardent flame. And vain all human hopes ! a traitor Fate Within youth's opening bloffom lurking fat. Mount then, oh WATT ! Explore fome new-born world : Whence and by what Almighty Fiat hurl'd: How, from convulfive efforts, Order rofe: From jarring Elements, emerged Repofe :---Volcanos rend from Centre to the Pole, And raging Tempefts harmonize the whole."

In 1793, Mr. KEIR had written and published a Pamphlet, entitled "The Martial Character of Nations," in which he feems to have forefeen that the change in the government of France, from the Monarchical to the Republican, would be very far from rendering her more pacific to her neighbours; and hence the neceffity which he faw for exciting a more warlike fpirit in the English nation to refist any attempts at invasion. The Pamphlet concluded with the following passage:---

"The late invafion of America, and the later invafion of France by the Pruffians and Auftrians, give confirmation to this doctrine; and if ever France, under her prefent or any future fystem of government (for republican or monarchical fhe will always be hoftile), fhould ever put her threats of invafion of this ifland into execution, let us truft that the British spirit of liberty will kindle the martial ardour neceffary for our defence. The prudential meafures taken by QUEEN ELIZABETH to array the people of England for the protection of her kingdom against the Spanish invation deferves much attention. A fuperior Navy gives important advantages, yet we ought not to reft our defence on that alone; for first, this fuperiority is precarious; and fecondly, our fleets cannot guard our extent of coaft in all circumftances of adverfe winds and of the neceffity of refitting, against a numerous enemy, ftimulated by ftrong paffions, and determined to rifk much upon a daring enterprife of brilliant expectation. * * * Nothing then is wanting to guard against the danger of invasion but a martial fpirit of defence in the people. It would be well worth confideration, whether the virtue and patriotifm of our people are equal to the purpofe of a martial fpirit and national defence ; whether their character has not, within thefe few years, rapidly degenerated, in confequence of the vaft increase of our manufactures, into felfishnefs, corruption of morals, licentioufnefs, and difpolition to popular tumults and riot ; and whether it is not neceffary to check thefe evils and recover a better temper by new inflitutions and by fome more regular difcipline. To expect patriotifm in a people without morals, is to expect that heavy bodies will afcend ; neither can the conflitution of a government remain long the fame after the character of a people has changed. * * If therefore the *martial character* fhould, by its very exiftence, *preclude* the neceffity of its being called into exertion (for the beft fecurity for peace is readinefs for war; and, as a great Prince remarks, 'A drawn fword often keeps others in their fcabbards'), it cannot furely be deemed an unneceffary preparation ; but, like the burnifhed arms that hang round the hall of an ancient manfion, it will, by its prefence, though unemployed, ferve at once for ornament and protection."

Ten years after the date of this publication, the crifis, which Mr. KEIR had forefeen, took place; and BUONAPARTE having declared his intention of invading Great Britain in the year 1803, provoked the extraordinary outburft of patriotic feeling which followed. Mr. KEIR publifhed another Pamphlet, "Reflections on the Invafion of Great Britain by the French Armies; on the Mode of Defence; and on the ufeful application of the National Levies," the preface to which commences :—

"The writer of the following pages, being incapable of giving perfonal fervice in this momentous crifis, is defirous to offer to the confideration of the public the refult of the meditations of a man who, to fome military experience (of which the invafion of an enemy's country made a part) has added alfo fome fludy and reflection. If anything is here fuggefled which may contribute to public utility, his end and aim will be completely anfwered; he claims no merit; he is not within the fphere of military ambition, nor does he defire literary fame. His only wifh is to ferve his country, and to fee its proud liberties, external and internal, transmitted with undiminifhed luftre to pofterity. He has feen with joy the great difplay of public fpirit in the multitude of voluntary offers. He knows that this fpirit exifts flrong in thofe ranks where there is property to be defended, and where education has taught to effimate the value of the fingular happinefs which we enjoy under our admirable form of government. And though many of the inferior clafs are faid to have offered their volunteer fervices in fome meafure, perhaps, from the fear of being placed in regular regiments, yet he has not the fmalleft doubt that there is a fufficiency, both of ftrength and fpirit, if well applied, to repel the formidable invafion with which we are threatened."

The following fragment of a letter was probably written at the very commencement of the crifis, and before the fpirit of the English nation had made itself manifest :---

MR. KEIR TO DOCTOR DARWIN.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * opportunity of hearing from you and the pleafure of knowing that you and Mrs. DARWIN, and I hope all the DARWINIAN race, are well. I am very happy to find that in thefe lowering times, &c., &c. * * * I fuppofe, like ARCHIMEDES when Syracufe was taken and foldiers rufhed into his houfe, you will tell the French, *when* they come to Derby, not to difturb your meditations; and that you are juft on the point of catching the *matter* of electricity by the tail, and the *matter* of heat by its whifkers.

Now, in my opinion, thefe *matters* have nothing more material, fubftantial, or folid, than the Bank of England will have after Mr. PITT has fent all the gold in it to the Emperor, which I have no doubt is the reafon of his emptying our purfes of their gold and filver, and filling them with paper ;—no more folidity than Mr. PITT's fincerity, Mr. DUNDAS's difintereftednefs, Mr. BURKE's moderation and good temper, and, laft and worft of all, than the fenfe and fpirit of the people of England.

You afk me why I do not write Chemical Dictionaries:---Becaufe, I fuppofe, nobody would at this time read them. I am fure you would not; and, what is worfe, nobody I fear would buy them. * * * * * * * * * With beft wifhes to Mrs. DARWIN and your firefide, in which Mrs. KEIR and my Daughter join heartily.

JAMES KEIR.

MR. TROTTER TO MR. KEIR.

SOHO SQUARE, 29th July, 1803.

My dear Sir,

I am really forry that circumftances have unavoidably happened to prevent my giving immediate attention to your wifhes in refpect to Mr. MOILLIET, but being from home (I was at the time in Suffolk and Effex) at the time, and fince that unremittingly engaged in bufinefs which could not for a moment be laid afide, will I truft operate fufficiently with you to excufe any appearance of inattention, which towards you is the thing the most diftant from my meaning. Had I had the good fortune to have met with Mr. MOILLIET, we would have entered upon the bufinefs to which you allude, but that not being the cafe, may I beg you will request of him to detail a few circumftances by letter, and if it fhould prove in my power to advance his intereft in the line of his bufinefs, without interference where I am already engaged, it will give me real pleafure to do fo.

Thefe are ferious and alarming times, but I truft that, by conduct fuch as we may glory in, we fhall fubdue thofe who threaten to diffurb our tranquillity.

I beg my beft compliments to all your family, and am,

My dear Sir,

Most fincerely yours,

JOHN TROTTER.

MR. KEIR TO MR. TROTTER.

My dear Sir,

I have received your friendly letter, and have only to thank you for your good difpolition to ferve my Son-in-Law, if you can with propriety.

It gives me pleafure to hear again from yourfelf after fo long an interval. You are affifting, I fuppofe, in preparing for the grand work of defence, which in my opinion cannot fail of terminating to the honour of the country and deftruction of the enemy, if the commanders have half as much wifdom as the nation has fpirit.

How happy I fhould be to fhake hands with you if good fortune fhould ever lead you this way. For my part, I am old, and never flir from home. Yours was the laft houfe I flopt at in London, ten or twelve years ago.

Adieu, my dear Sir. May your profperity continue in all refpects, and believe me to be ever, with regard and affection,

Yours fincerely,

JAMES KEIR.

K 2

On the 19th May, 1801, Mr. KEIR'S only child, AMELIA KEIR, was married to Mr. JOHN LEWIS MOILLIET, of an old Genevefe family, by the Rev. Mr. JESSE, at Weft Bromwich Church; and about a year and a half after this event fhe had the misfortune to lofe her Mother, who died on the 20th November, 1802. The following notice is extracted from a M.S. Book of Dates and Memoranda which fhe wrote :—

"On the 20th November, 1802, my dear Mother fuddenly departed this life, aged 55, a few days after the folemnization of the chriftening of my firft-born child, AMELIA. This was the firft and heavieft affliction which had then fallen upon me, and occurred at a time when I peculiarly felt the want of a Mother's tender guidance in the fulfilment of my new duties. My dear Mother had always expressed a dread of lingering illnefs, and wished that her life might be preferved untii I should become a Wife and Mother ; thefe wishes were accomplished, and then the departed from this world of forrow.

"My Father was happy in his married life, my Mother being gifted with remarkable beauty in her youth, fimplicity of manner, fenfibility, fincerity, and truth, a noble fpirit of generofity, and an extensive liberality of disposition, which well accorded with his tafte. In her youth she had been for remarkably beautiful that an eminent painter applied to her Father for permission to take her portrait, a request which was decidedly refused. With this beauty the united a fweetness of disposition and a mind remarkably free from vanity and every useless thought of felf, which rendered her very lovely.

"She carried out confcientioufly her opinions regarding my education, or towards me; both parents uniting to reftrain their tendernefs of feeling, not to awaken an undue degree of fenfibility in their only child.

"The felf-control of my dear Father was, for a moment, overcome by the fuddenefs of her death, and he uttered a cry of grief. This was the only time I faw my Father overcome with forrow. He wore her wedding ring fufpended from his neck till nearly the clofe of life.

" My dear Mother received the Sacrament, fhedding many tears, a few Sundays before her death, at Weft Bromwich Church, where her mortal remains now lie buried."

The following is from the pen of Doctor BLAIR :---

"The habit of Mr. KEIR's life whilft I knew him was to fleep at home. He *reluctantly* flept out. But on the 19th December, 1807, he had been perfuaded to fleep at the houfe then in my Father's occupation, Hilton Park, on the Wolverhampton country In the morning a meffenger came over with the intelligence fide. that his houfe at Weft Bromwich had been burnt to the ground in the night. The origin of the accident was this :- The perpetual drive over to Tipton had of late years become fatiguing to him, and for fome time paft, that he might have the general fupervision of the bufinefs at home, he had eftablished a counting house in a room on the ground floor of his dwelling houfe. The two clerks employed were chilly, and kept up, as I well remember, a remarkably large fire, which at night, for *fafety*, was raked out on to the hearth-ftone. This refted upon a beam in the cellar beneath, which had long been charring unobferved, and that night broke into flame. The two maid-fervants, who remained in care of the houfe, perceiving a fmell of the fire yet fmouldering, had opened a window, and having let the fmell out, went to bed. I was at my Father's when the news came. Mr. KEIR, informed that no harm had happened to life or limb, took it with furprifing composure. My Brother and myfelf were, at the time, his inmates; and as I

drove over in the chaife with Mr. KEIR to the fcene of the difafter, (for Richard, the horfeman, rode), I had full occafion of witneffing the continuance of this tranquillity unbroken till he flept out. One little trait of pleafantry he rifked as we drove: one of the clerks had, as it happened, a nofe of the igneous quality celebrated in one of Sir JOHN FALSTAFF'S companions, and fed up with the fame fuel. Mr. KEIR fuggefled the poffibility that the flame which confumed his houfe might have been caufed by a fpark from *this* fire. His own books and papers were at the other end of the building and had been moftly faved. Mr. KEIR drew from the accident the conclusion, that the fafeft place for a fire is—*the* grate."

Miss Edgeworth, in a letter written from Edgeworthftown, February, 1808, to Mrs. Ruxton, fays :---

"Mr. KEIR's houfe at Hill Top has been burnt down, and he is now forced to live in a little farm-houfe, in which, however, he fays he is perfectly contented. He told us this *incidentally* in one of the moft polite and kind letters I ever read, which he wrote to my Father on the chapter on *The Education of Princes.*"

Many interesting letters were written by Mr. KEIR to his beloved daughter at this time, from which we felect a few of the least private.

MR. KEIR TO MRS. MOILLIET.

My dear AMELIA,

I mentioned in my laft letter that I fhould fend fome grave remarks upon education, &c.,* not for their prefent entertainment.

* Mr. KEIR had a curious theory on the universality of education, as including Physics and Metaphysics.

150

The foundations of knowledge afford no entertainment to the mind, but are painful and laborious both to children and grownup people. It is only by parental or other authority, and various contrivances of fmall punifhments, rewards, examples, &c., that a child can be made to conquer the first elements of reading and writing. The labour is the fame in grown-up people, fo that if they have been neglected in their early education they cannot afterwards recover it or go through the neceffary drudgery. OMIAH was a native of Otaheite, and in England was inftructed ; but though he was a man of lively parts and exceedingly defirous to read, yet he never could conquer the difficulties, and was too much amufed by his curiofity, and by fociety. It is the fame with the first parts of literature, grammar, language, &c., which are by no means fuch as would induce any young perfon to go through the labour, but by fome artificial motive.

But the artificial motive would be ineffectual unlefs we were to employ a mafter-flroke of policy, which has been more or lefs fuccefsfully employed at all times and places, and which confifts in keeping the mind fufficiently difengaged from other interefts or purfuits, fo that it may admit thofe dry and unentertaining elements of literature. * * * The French ladies were educated in convents and have been greatly diffinguifhed for literature, efpecially for epiftolary; fuch were Mefdames SEVIGNY, MAINTENON, LAMBERT, &c. I remember Mrs. BLAIR's Mother told me that her Daughter fludied fo clofely as never to be feen, but in the evening, by any vifitor.

It is very evident that as nothing can be lefs entertaining than grammatical exercifes, it feems neceffary (in order to fecure fome admifiion for thefe, or fuch as thefe, into the mind) that it muft be vacant, or difengaged, from all interefts of amufement, paffion, &c. For the affections do not admit of different, and efpecially contrary interefts at the fame time. When the one is irkfome and the other pleafant, it is eafy to fee which will prevail. The perfon who thinks, by perfuafive authority or other artificial means, to alter the affections, muft be very ignorant of human nature. People deceive themfelves if they think that their children being obedient enough to turn their leffons from plays (charades) to grammar, that their minds are alfo turned.

A great fault of mafters, mothers, and young mothers efpecially, is that they think fo highly of their own powers of governing children by their various methods, as if children were machines to be acted upon as their governors choofe. But they ought to think differently of a human being, that has by nature a will of its own, likings, and diflikes. Thefe you cannot *alter*; but you may *prefent* to his acceptance fuch things as you wifh him to choofe and prefer; and you may keep from his fight or power fuch things as you wifh him not to have, or to like.

Thus the Art of Managing Children confifts in the proper choice of what things ought to be offered for their acceptance or doing, and of what things ought to be put out of their fight or power. This rule is applicable to children, both great and fmall, or rather to parents, what they ought to give or permit, and what they ought to abflain from. Their addrefs and management ought to be employed in preventing what they difapprove of; but, by no means, by any artifice or deceit, which would be *ruinous*, without recovery. Though a parent will not deceive, he need not inform the child of all his motives of *action*, or of *abflaining*, of which the child is not the judge.

My dear Amelia,

I am happy to hear that you are fo well and that your little boy performs the duties of his prefent life, sucking and fleeping, with fo much reputation to himfelf and fatisfaction to his friends. But I am very forry to find, from your and Mr. MOILLIET'S letters, that a confpiracy had been formed againft my

152

little Grandfon, notwithftanding his good behaviour, to burthen him during the whole of his future life with an unneceffarily long awkward name, JAMES KEIR MOILLIET. If, indeed, while my name was thus fluck to his own, a large effate had been fluck to the name, he and his good-natured correspondents might excuse the trouble of writing three wordsinftead of two; but as that is not the cafe, they will undoubtedly think that a florter and lefs puzzling name might have done for him. Though parents have the power of choosing a name for their child, they should consider, as it will be principally his affair, whether it will be convenient and agreeable to him when he is grown up. For furely nobody would be fo illnatured as to chriften their child Nebuchadnezzar, or Beelzebub, or Tom-Tit. They ought to confider that the poor child will never have it in his power to alter his name and correct the fault of his parents, but that it is an original fin which is never to be explated unlefs indeed he gets himfelf adopted into the family of the *Alia fes*, which is not the most reputable in the world. If you are of opinion, with TRISTRAM SHANDY'S Father, that the choice of a name influences a child's fortune and profperity, I cannot advife you to take mine with that view, by any means, but rather to choofe fome of the following,-Good-luck, Felix, Fauftus, Profpero, But the name which, above all others, is most Fortunatus. refpected and will gain more credit in the world, and which I therefore particularly recommend for my Grandfon, is ABRAHAM NEWLAND MOILLIET. This name is particularly applicable to the prefent and probably future times. For, as gold and filver are getting more and more fcarce, it is probable that before little ABRAHAM becomes a man there will be no money but paper, and it is evident that no other fignature will look fo well at the bottom of a guinea, or five-guinea note. But there is fome danger of giving a name that has a meaning or allufion to fome predeceffor; for even ABRAHAM NEWLAND might become a bankrupt. An Architect in London called his Son MICHAEL ANGELO, but fo far from

153

emulating the genius which built St. Peter's at Rome, young MICHAEL never attempted to build a hut. Some Painters have given the name of RAPHAEL to their Sons, who were never fit for anything but cleaning their Father's brufhes. I remember a perfon of the name of JULIUS CÆSAR. How he came by that name I do not know, as it is not mentioned in hiftory that JULIUS, when he invaded Britain, had any amour with the Britifh Princeffes and left pofterity in this ifland. Happily this gentleman was a foldier and became a General ; but had his lot been that of a Parfon, how oddly it would have founded that JULIUS CÆSAR had preached an excellent fermon againft War and Ambition ! However, his name might have fuited one fubject very well ; for if his parifhioners were unwilling to pay tithes, he might choofe his text—" Give unto CÆSAR the things that are CÆSAR'S."

To be ferious,—I know, my dear AMELIA, that the name propofed is intended as a compliment to me, and I am therefore much obliged to you, Mr. MOILLIET, and the good ladies whofe kindnefs have fuggefted this propofal; but it would be loft on me, as unfortunately I have not the fmalleft defire that my name fhould be continued beyond my own exiftence. The little boy will, I hope, pafs well through the world with a name that is eafily remembered and requires no explanation. His Father's name JOHN and JACK is more manly and founds better than JAMES and JIM and JIMMY, and therefore preferable. Whatever he is called, I hope he will always have *a good name* in the world, that is, that his own conduct will make it good. * * *

JAMES KEIR.

I wifh your Husband's bufinefs did not come upon him by flarts, as it obliges him to work fo hard at particular times. But we can have nothing in this world exactly as we could wifh. There are two ways of confidering everything—one to wifh that things were better, and the other to rejoice that they are no worfe.

I 54

Perhaps the laft philofophy is the beft fuited to the affairs of life. You certainly fay true, if induftry were the *certain means* of wealth, your Husband would be entitled to riches; and it is undoubtedly a more fure method than any other; but befides that, much depends on good fortune. Prudence will alfo come in for a good fhare. In fhort I believe it never was fo difficult to get rich as at this time. The floical philofophy was a good one: their maxim was, to do their utmoft endeavours of induftry and courage to obtain what was right, but they were not over folicitous about the fuccefs or event; they were equally tranquil whether fuccefsful or unfuccefsful. They confoled themfelves with having done their duty, and they left the refult to the gods, or Providence, to determine as it pleafed them.

MR. KEIR TO MRS. MOILLIET.

I am extremely glad to hear that Mr. MOILLIET is better after his journey to Warwick, as I was and am still fearful that his complaint is not merely indigeftion or weaknefs of flomach. I remember BEDDOES has published in one of his books Mr. MOILLIET's cafe, which is there attributed to the long-continued preffure upon the pit of the flomach by leaning upon the defk. Now it feems to me that nothing is more likely to injure the parts upon which that preffure acts, and of these parts the diaphragm is the most immediate, and it is the diaphragm and not the stomach that by its action produces vomiting, to which he is fo fubject. It is evidently not mere indigeftion or weakness of flomach. For the medicines that are called flomachics have never been of ufe. Τf ever he confults any medical man, he fhould mention the circumstance which he told BEDDOES, otherwife the cafe may be miftaken and mifchief may be done. I am perfuaded medicines will not do much or any fervice. To abflain from the original caufe of the evil would be the true remedy. For this purpofe a clerk fhould be got at any price, who fhould execute his orders in writing letters or pofting. Long fafting, and writing after dinner, muft be detrimental. The moft important maxim in medicine is to take things in time, before they become inveterate, as it is faid.

I am glad that EMILY is well in all refpects. I thank you for the hare. I have made three dinners from it, and fhall make a fourth to-morrow.

My dear Johnny,

I thank you for your letter, and am glad that you have been fo well entertained with the things you have feen in Wales.

When you bathe, defire the perfon who goes into the water with you to teach you to fwim and to take hold of you and keep your head above water, while you let go your feet and flrike with your arms and legs. Strike very flowly and without being in a flutter, and you will foon learn to fwim, which is a very pleafant exercife, and may perhaps fometime fave your life, or that of others. Once when I was bathing, I faw a child fall into the water, which would have been drowned if I had not fwam to it and faved it.

I hope, when you are not walking or bathing, that you employ all your time in doing fomething ufeful, and learning fome of the leffons your Mamma gives you. I hope to receive more letters from you and EMMY. Farewell.

JOHN LEWIS MOILLIET, junr., to whom this letter was addreffed, afterwards Officer in the 13th Light Dragoons, faved his Father's life while they were both fwimming in the Lake of Geneva, Mr. MOILLIET being feized with cramp.



A PHILOSOPHICAL POEM

ON

THE PERIODS OF HUMAN LIFE.

A Dintogue.

ΒY

JAMES KEIR, Esq., F.R.S.

(In his 82nd Year.)

THYRSIS.

Say, ancient fhepherd, from thy neighbouring plain Has fome ftray'd lambkin mingled with my flore? The fearch I'll make, thy favourite to reflore,---But, fure! that face I've feen, and thou no ftranger fwain.

While yet a boy, and thou in manhood's prime, The prowling wolf I've feen thee fearlefs dare, And win fweet garlands from the liftening fair; But now, how wan ! how deep the print of Time !

Melibœus.

Tis true, O gentle fwain, my lamb has ftray'd; And true that age has fhrivell'd up my ftrength; Then let me lay awhile my weary length, And feek repofe beneath thy friendly fhade.

THYRSIS.

Welcome, thrice welcome, to my humble door ! My ewes their fweet refrefhing draught fhall yield, Rich with the fragrance of the flowery field. The gods have given enough, and lent me more.

Here all is ftill,—fave through yon pendent wood The mountain torrent whirls its foam amain, Mid bleating flocks, along the pebbly plain, Or wood-doves cooing o'er their callow brood.

Since age gives wifdom, reverend fhepherd, fay— Why gods, fo bounteous in our life's bright morn, Afflict the man with age and forrows worn, Darkening with cheerlefs gloom his fetting ray?

MELIBŒUS.

Blame not the gods ! Unknown to us their ends ! The *fnail* that creeps upon the temple's bafe, Can he *Palladio's* grand defign embrace ? Why rears the column? Why the dome extends ?

We are but tenants of a little day, While the bright orb runs endlefs through the fkies Heedlefs of kings' and empires' fall or rife. Oh not for us the deep defigns of Heav'n to weigh !

Though not the *ends*, we Nature's *paths* may trace, And mark the appointed period of each flage Through which the beings pafs from youth to age, Till Time's unwearied wings each mark efface.

The emblems of their paffing flate behold ! The purple Spring pours forth her bloomy hopes ; Autumn matures, and fades ; but, kindly, drops Succeeding races in their wombs of gold.

Thus Nature, fludious that each *kind* remain, (While mortal things complete their defliny— To breathe, to grow, to leave their like, and die,) Each *fpecies* binds with an immortal chain.

To this eternal law *Man* too muft bend; His fhort-liv'd period of exiftence bear; His cheerlefs entrance; manhood's toilfome care; And view in *me* his never failing *end* !

THYRSIS.

Ah! lucklefs end of this our mortal ftrife! Why then, Oh tell, fince each returning day Brings fome new ill, and takes fome joy away, Do men fo wifh for long protracted life?

MELIBŒUS.

Deceitful wifhes ! Men too idly deem That added days will added pleafures bring ; But vain to touch a lyre's out-firetched firing ! Or cheer the blind-firuck eye with folar beam!

The crimfon fiream creeps flow the veins along Of torpid age, and wakes not paffioned joys; Unheeded glow ambition's glittering toys; Unheeded floats the fyren's liquid fong. Thrice happy they who clofe this earthly fcene Ere grief and care the anxious mind opprefs ; Ere dire mifhaps the rifing thought reprefs, Or vigour palfied by difeafe and pain.

But happieft he who meets an honour'd grave, Courts glorious danger in the front of death— With trophies crown'd gives up his willing breath— Falling exults, "My country thus I fave !"

Him let the patriot youths and virgins mourn, Scatt'ring fweet violets on his hallow'd hearfe, Extol his virtues in the deathlefs verfe, His bright example glowing on his urn.

Death's not an ill. Bleft be the gods who gave The living blood, the animating breath ; But doubly bleft who gave the peaceful death ; From human ills, the fanctuary, *grave*.

Come then ! not arm'd with darts, a fabled *fiend*; Better, with poppies cull'd round Lethe's fiream— Shedding oblivion on life's mifty dream, A meffenger of grace, man's lateft *friend*.

And, when the fibres of this woven clay Shall break to duft, let the thin effence mount, With new fledged wings, to that perennial Fount Divine, whence erft it hail'd its new-born day.

Let no memorial mock my humble lot, Nor grace with verdant hues a fallen leaf; No friend bedew my turf with ill-timed grief; All blame, and aught of praife, alike forgot.

No vain regrets of fortune falfe I deign; Nor yet for wrongs does my refentment burn; Nor yet for kindnefs fhown, the bafe return; Of nought from kindred man do I complain.

For fo of life, Creation's Lord ordains, That to preferve and move, the paffions urge With ever-varying force, as ocean's furge, Through ebbs and flows, one equal poife maintains.

Excefs, defect, fhow Vice in each extreme; Between is placed fair Virtue's golden mean; From all, refults life's active changeful fcene; And all confent t'obey the will fupreme.

Fair Virtue's throne a fourfold power fecures ; Eternal Juffice equal fcales upholds ; Her fparkling goblet Temperance withholds ; Prudence forefees ; and Fortitude fecures.

Yet not for felf alone the paffions glow ; Does danger threat a friend ? Thy arm defends. Does grief affail? Thy foothing tear defcends. Each bofom throbs for others' joy, for others' woe.

As diftant chords, fpontaneous, turn again The notes fymphonious of a trembling lyre ; To mutual aid fo fympathies confpire, And fellow-feelings link the focial chain.

Man, know thy faults ! Thy charities refine : To Youth grant time—Oh fpare the gentle breaft Whofe love rewards, and kindnefs foothes to reft. "To err is human ; to forgive divine."

LIFE AND CORRESPONDENCE

Still, haplefs *Age*! Not for the frame's decay, The faultering fleps that haften to the tomb; Thefe only mark th'inevitable doom, Where weary Nature points to reft the way.

But that the finer tiffues, where expand The powers of intellect, fhould—callous, lofe Their myftic energy—time-worn, refufe To act obedient to the will's command !

That pictur'd images from Memory's flore, So dimm'd as fcarce to own a friend or fon ; Imperial Reafon waver on his throne, And Fancy, peacock-wing'd, forget to foar !

So fades the landfcape at the approach of night, Which erft was burnifh'd by the golden day; With leffening luftre fleals each parting ray, Till fhapelefs mift obfcures the uncertain light.

And can the mind expire, too, like the frame? Whither, Oh Heaven-touched fage, that genius fled, Which through the burning fky thy comet led? Say, meteor-like, is darkened all thy flame?

Go then, ye earth-born ! Swell with crefted pride ; With rich and noble duft emblaze your name ; Sound it through fenfelefs crowds, and call it Fame ; *Wealth, Power*, and *Beauty*, flaunt your meafur'd tide ;

Boaft of heroic deeds of thoufands flain ; Let your art paint the battle's horrid form ; Show how you trample on your brother-worm ; And all the earth with crimes and blood difdain.

Or, proud of fcience, teach the bee her comb To mould by labour'd geometric rules; Inftruct the filk-worm, in your learned fchools, To wind her golden thread around her tomb.

But *not to die*, the thus herfelf enfhrines : For foon the'll mount and gliften in the ray ; So the free'd foul, as holy Poets fay, Spurns the dull earth, and, robed in glory, thines.

Let Poets fing, whene'er a facred mufe Infpires the lay, and hallowed be the theme : The voice celeftial rings through all our frame, And God-like hopes of promifed blifs infufe !

How fhadowy, fleet, all human care and joy ! So emmets, toiling with a patriot-zeal, By arms and arts, to rear their public weal ;— One guft divides their fate with mighty Troy.

Friends of my youth ! Where are ye? Fled from hence ;And I a ftranger in my native plain !A breathing monument of man remain !Joy, hope, and paffion, ceafe to roufe my fenfe.

To gladden thofe I love, I can no more ; The fond throb ftruggling with the parting figh ; Slow drop the dark'ning lids acrofs mine eyes ; Life's period paft ; I hail the defined fhore.

Farewell, vain world ! The mortal race is run ! Roll on, bright Sun ! and lead thy choral throng, Hymning the Fiat in perpetual fong ! "Great Nature's Law's obeyed ! The Eternal Will is done."

FROM A M.S. BOOK OF DATES, &c., BY Mrs. AMELIA MOILLIET.

"My dear Father expired on 11th October, 1820, at the age of eighty-five. Although he had fuffered feverely, and often from irritability caufed by bodily infirmity during his laft illnefs, he preferved a remarkably calm and benign expression of countenance, arising from a ferenity of mind which increased as his life drew towards its termination; he then often expressed the wish that he might die, without pain, in his sleep, and this prayer was mercifully granted to him.

"He was buried in Weft Bromwich churchyard. My Huſband and I were precluded from erecting a monument by his particular defire, but the remembrance of his virtues, his talents, and his affection, are deeply engraved upon our hearts."



FRINTED BY ROBT. EDMD. TAYLOR, 19, OLD STREET, GOSWELL ROAD, LONDON, E.C.