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## PREFACE.

Trie discussions and inferences submitted in the present valume are offered with the diffidence and distrust which must necessarily accompany the results of investigations in a field entirely new to the inquirer, and regarding subjects with which the tenor of his previous pursuits had left him comparatively unacquainted; and the author is not without apprehensions lest the magnificent range of the statistics here embodied may serve to render the short-comings in their discussion more prominent.

A very unexpected invitation, from the Sanitary Commission in June 1864, to take charge of their statistics, was placed upon such grounds and urged in such a way that it became difficult to persist in declining; and the temptation to connect one's name, however remotely, with an institution so deeply rooted in a nation's affections, and of which the name is so thoroughly interwoven with memories and associations of philanthropy, wisdom, and self-sacrifice, was irresistible.

The statistical investigations, already made or undertaken by the Sanitary Commission, under the superintendence of Mr. Elliott, indicated directions in which such inquiries might be effectively prosecuted; and the field for useful research appeared almost boundless. An examination into the class of investigations already begun confirmed this impression, and the uniformly ready and most gratifying acquiescence, by the Commission and its officers, in all the recommendations made for the development and furtherance of these researches has afforded unfailing support and encouragement. Other lines of investigation would have been pursued, and those here presented would have been elaborated more thoroughly, had continued access to the archives of the War Department and
other opportunities for the collection of information, been permitted by Mr. Stanton, then Secretary of War. The discussion of the Hospital Statistics, both in their military and their medical relations, the collection of regimental returns from the rolls of the Adjutant General, the statistics of colored troops, and the physical characteristics of the prisoners of war, are among the inquiries which it thus became necessary to abandon. The data here discussed form, consequently, only a portion of those which the Sanitary Commission had hoped to present as an incidental contribution to military and anthropological knowledge. They may fairly claim whatever merit belongs to an exhaustive collection of facts, wherever this has been possible, or to laborious and continued effort for their acquisition in other cases. These statistics greatly surpass in amount all that has been previously gathered on the same subjects, and it may be long before opportunity again offers for an equal collection of similar material. On the other hand, the proper reduction, elaboration, and discussion of this grand store of numerical data demands special training and peculiar gifts. No pains have been spared in their elaboration, and the enormons amount of work bestowed on the materials will be apparent only to those who are in some degree familiar with arithmetical computations. But the variety of the topics is great; and medical and physiological knowledge of a high order is needed for eliciting such information as they may contain, as well as for deducing the best results. The author trusts that in a critical judgement of his portion of the work, the suddenness of the call upon him, and his want of previous medical training or experience may be allowed for, and that his earnest endeavors to improve opportunities at his control for opening new lines of research, and for collecting information which might otherwise be lost, may be offset against any defects in the series of questions or the treatment of the materials collected. All these materials, both in their original form, and in the several stages of their subsequent tabulation or computation have been carefully preserved, accessible to other investigators.

The limited time and means available for the reductions have compelled the omission of very many interesting inquiries for which ample opportunities are afforded by the materials in our
possession. These are in many cases indicated in those portions of the present volume which treat of kindred subjects; among them the influence of occupation and social position upon stature, the ancestry of the native Americans included in our measurements, and its possible relation to their physical development, the change of the relative dimensions of the different parts of the body in consequence of normal growth, and the relation of pulse and respiration to weight, ought especially to be mentioned. That our materials may tempt to some future researches on these and other topics is earnestly to be hoped.

In general, in this discussion of our materials a disquisition upon the subjects examined has not been aimed at. Neither a history of the question, nor any statement of the present condition of the problem has been undertaken in any case; and it will be seen that where historical references or scientific explanations have been offered, it has been in consequence of some apparent necessity for the sake of proper presentation of our own results. The few pages in the eighth chapter concerning the nature, significance, and proper interpretation of mean or average results, and the existence and determination of types, seemed called for in a treatise where almost all the physical determinations are given in the form of mean values.

The anthropological results here given are of course restricted in their very nature, pertaining as they do, not merely to one sex only, but to those ages, for that sex, in which the physical changes are least marked. Comparatively few of our inferences extend to ages not within the limits of military service, where the physical organization has nearly or quite attained its full development, and the decline has not yet fairly commenced.

It has been more than once stated how much we regret that the measurements here recorded were not uniformly made in units of the metric system, which is already in universal use among scientists, and is destined soon to be the uniform standard of the civilized world. The discussion and presentation of results, so far as is possible, in the same units in which the observations are made is dictated by every consideration of fitness; but to promote con-
venience, in translating inches and pounds into their metric equivalents, tables for such conversion are appended to our volume.

Since the nature of the contents precludes a full and convenient Index to the work, the place of such an index is here supplied by an extremely full Synopsis or abstract of the contents, which may serve to record the whole range of discussion of each subject in detail, and furnish all needful means of reference. The difficulty of obtaining a connected view of the course of an investigation or argument, which is interspersed with numerous and extensive tables, seemed to point to this as the most desirable course. This synopsis or syllabus indicates not merely the topics discussed in the text, but the general tenor of their treatment.

The history of each of the several researches of which the results are here offered is briefly given in the preliminary remarks; but the general history of the work would be very incomplete, without reference to the important part borne by the two gentlemen who have successively acted as chief clerks of the Statistical Bureau of the Commission, to the great acceptance of all with whom they were thus associated.

Mr. T. J. O'Connell, a gentleman of Irish birth, and a graduate of the University of Dublin, who, with the assistance of a single clerk, had carried on the statistical work subsequent to Mr. Elliott's departure for Europe in the summer of 1863 , became the chief clerk upon the reorganization of the Bureau a year later, and managed the details of the work with discretion and unsurpassed fidelity. His health, already seriously impaired by service in the army, in which he had enlisted as a private soldier upon the outbreak of the rebellion, gave way during the early part of the succeeding winter. His resignation was for some months declined, while he was temporarily relieved from duty, but at his own earnest desire his office was filled in April 1865. Before the close of that year he died, leaving an honorable name, associated in the minds of those who knew him with the memory of a high-toned character, and unassuming ability.

In May 1865, Mr. Lucius Brown, who had provisionally filled Mr. O'Connell's place for the two previous months, assumed its
duties definitely, and has continued in charge of the office since that time. All of the extended computations and tabulations have been carried on under his immediate supervision, the numerous executive details have been superintended by him alone, and there is not a page of this volume, which has not been submitted to his accurate critical inspection. Upon his assiduous care the value of these results has in a great measure depended, and the labor and solicitude of the Actuary have been much lightened by the consciousness that the precision and consistency of all details of statement would find their severest critic in his own office, before the manuscript had passed into the printer's hands.

A list of clerks who have been engaged upon this work is given upon another page. All of these have rendered effective service; some in visiting the State capitals, and there collecting the statistics which are here elaborated; some in tabulating, classifying, or assorting the materials; others in the very laborious computations which they have entailed.

In conclusion, the author begs leave to acknowledge the cordial support of all the members of the U. S. Sanitary Commission through this somewhat arduous undertaking, prosecuted in their behalf, for which they have provided all needful supplies, and all possible encouragement. To the General Secretaries of the Commission, Dr. J. Foster Jenkins, and John S. Blatchford, Esq., he would especially express his gratitude for numberless acts of kindness, and unfailing courtesy and assistance.

Canbridae, July 1868.

To the following persons who labored faithfully and effectively in gathering, tabulating, assorting or computing the statistical materials given in this volume, our best acknowledgements are due:-

| Messrb. T. J. O'Connrll, Lucius Brown, John N. Stockwell, C. W. Pritchett, Edwin A. Wilbon, John P. Brown, Edward D. Chaloner, Edward A. Phalen, Arthur Searle, G. M. Finotti, J. D. Dinneen, Jas. H. Sutherland, N. Trudead, Edward S. Holden, W. J. Handy, L. F. Papanti, Hermann E. Clow, Loring E. Becewith, Giorge H. Miller, George F. Bucklef, Thomas J. Sullivan, F. L. Hayes, W. W. Parkir, F. B. Brown, Erting Winslow, Wm. Irving Gilliss, Albert A. Brooke, Charles Brockway, Beverly R. Codwise, J. D. Barclay, Jr., Octavius Cate, | Messrs. O. B. Dodge, <br> Wm. B. Oliver, <br> W. W. Magef, <br> George T. Chase, <br> Georgr Brown, <br> Frank Sutton, <br> Sherwood Hougr, <br> James H. Stewart, <br> Horatio D. Jarves, <br> Samuel J. Bradlee, <br> Cyrus Pitts, <br> George F. Sutherland, <br> S. G. Rowland, <br> Charles W. Abrams, <br> R. A. Lampher, <br> J. H. Tirrney, <br> John B. Hyde, <br> Misber C. Alice Baker, <br> S. E. Rhoades, <br> Mary C. Welle, <br> Caroline L. Sawyer, <br> L. C. Bragg, <br> Ellen W. Sawyer, <br> Suban M. Lane, <br> Sarat S. Lane, <br> Elizabeth M. Lank, <br> Emma L. Clarke, <br> Gertrude H. Mason, <br> Emma L. Hutchins, <br> Mary T. Peabody, <br> Ellen Grien. |
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Especial mention seems due to the services of Messrs. Stockwell and J. P. Brown, to whom the more difficult computations were intrusted, and by the former of which almost all the calculations in the third and fourth chapters were made, - of Rev. C. W. Pritchett, who had charge of the results of the Camp Inspections, - of Messrs. Wilson, Phalen, Sutherland, and Brockway, whose discretion and good judgement secured for our undertaking the good will of the many officers with whom they were brought in contact while visiting the several capitals of Loyal States, - and of Mr. E. D. Chaloner, who labored effectively in the work for three years, but who has not lived to see its completion.
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## STATISTICS OF AMERICAN SOLDIERS.

## $\longrightarrow$ <br> CHAPTER I.

MILITARY POPULATION AND ENLISTMENTS IN THE LOYAL STATES, AS DEDUCED FROM OFFICIAL REPORTS.

At almost every stage of our inquiries, it becomes desirable to obtain some tolerably close information concerning the General Statistics of the volunteer army, - comprising also those of the white male inhabitants of military age, within those States by which our volunteer army was chiefly furnished. For obvious reasons no accurate knowledge can be obtained; yet the materials exist in published documents for deducing approximate estimates, which seem sufficiently near the truth to serve for most practical purposes.

The present chapter aims at affording such an estimate, together with references to the various sources of information from which the adopted numbers are derived.

## 1. Military Population.

"The Census of the Population of the United States in 1860," gives ${ }^{1}$ a table of the white males of military age, or what we will call for brevity the " military population," in each State. A table deduced from the actual enumeration, by the formulas given in our third chapter, would differ but slightly from this, and the numbers for the individual Territories may be readily deduced in the same way.

The State of West Virginia, established and organized during the war from fifty counties previously belonging to Virginia, but which were thoroughly loyal, ought manifestly to be included in the same class with the other loyal States. Deducing the number of its military population from the census returns for the several counties by ages, we obtain somewhat less than 64600 ; while the ratio of its total male population to that of Virginia before the separation,
would give about 67500 . We adopt 66000 as its military population in 1860.

Separating from the other loyal States and Territories those on the Pacific coast and its vicinity, which, although they aided the national government with moral and pecuniary support, were yet too remote from the scenes of military operations to contribute any considerable number of men for active service in our principal campaigns, ${ }^{1}$ we find the military population of the United States in 1860, to have been essentially as follows : -

Loyal States, excepting California and Oregon . . 4285105
West Virginia . . . . . . . . 66000
Colorado, Dakotah, and Nebraska Territories . . . 30065
District of Columbia . . . . . . . . 12797
Total military population furnishing the volunteers . 4393967
California and Oregon . . . . . 185756
Nevada, New Mexico, Utah, Washington Terr.'s 46149
Loyal military population on Pacific and vicinity _ 231905
Military population of insurgent States . . . 998193
Total military population of United States . . 5624065
This estimate, of course, includes the very large number ${ }^{2}$ exempted from enrollment. The total white male population between 20 and 45 years, neither exempt from military duty nor serving 1865 May 1 , was by the enrollment ${ }^{3}$ about $2254000,{ }^{4}$ which would seem to indicate that rather more than one half of that number was exempt, although of military age.

## 2. Growth of Military Population

The rate of increase in 1860 for the white population of the free States was about 41 per cent. ${ }^{5}$ in the decade, which corresponds to 3.51 per centum annually. The immigration to the same States was about 0.37 per centum, which gives 3.14 per cent. as the increase, while the mortality ${ }^{6}$ was 1.21 per cent. ; so that the natural increase of the population, before deducting the deaths, is represented by about 4.35 per centum.

[^0]In forming our estimates of the increase of military population during the war, we may, with sufficient accuracy for our purpose, consider the number of white males in the loyal States, who arrived at the ages of 18 and 45 respectively, as increasing by one twentyfift part in each successive year.

The total number of alien passengers to the United States in $1860,{ }^{1}$ corresponding very well with the average during the preceding lustrum, ${ }^{2}$ was 153640 . The number of arrivals from foreign countries ${ }^{8}$ after that year was -

six sevenths of which may be considered as of alien passengers. ${ }^{4}$ The statistics of many preceding years indicate 58 in 100 as the proportion of males among immigrants to this country. The records of emigrants to Canada through the United States, and of settlers in this country making subsequent voyages across the Atlantic, indicate ${ }^{5}$ that the number of alien passengers should be diminished by about 141 per cent. to determine the actual number of immigrants. Of the total number of male immigrants, about 66 per cent. are between the ages of 18 and 45 years.
We are thus warranted in assuming $0.58 \times 0.855 \times 0.66$, or 0.327 , as that proportion of the total number of alien passengers to the United States, which represents the male immigrants of military age. Eight ninths of these ${ }^{0}$ was about the proportion settling in the free States previous to the war, and we are therefore warranted in assuming that 30 in each hundred alien passengers before 1861, and 33 in each hundred during the war, were males of military age immigrating to the loyal States of the Atlantic slope.

We thus obtain for the immigrant military population: 46092 in 1860; 31879 in 1861; 32380 in 1862; 56518 in 1863; 62663 in 1864 ; making a total number of 229532 to the close of the year 1864.

Our estimate of the annual increase of the military population of the loyal States will then assume the following form, after deducting from the supposed numbers attaining the ages of 18 and 45 respectively, the numbers, belonging to these classes, who from our

[^1]other data may be inferred to have been already in the army. The deaths in that portion of the military population which was not in the army may be represented by the proportion (deduced for time of peace) of 0.86 per centum.

|  | 1860-1 | 1861-2 | 1862-8 | 1863-4 | 1864-5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number attaining the age of 18 years | 215020 | 212630 | 217600 | 226740 | 237710 |
| Number attaining the age of 45 years | 98928 | 95600 | 95560 | 94930 | 95170 |
| Deaths in military population not in the army. | 37676 | 35518 | 33712 | 32955 | 33230 |
| Natural increase during the year | 78416 | 81512 | 88328 | 98855 | 109310 |
| Increase during the year by immigration . | 46092 | 81879 | 32380 | 56518 | 62663 |
| Total increase of military population | 124508 | 113391 | 120708 | 155373 | 171973 |

In this estimate it will be remarked that no account whatever is taken of arrivals other than by regular immigration at our own seaports. There is, however, reason to believe that, apart from all other influences, the spirit of sympathy with a republic struggling for the maintenance of free institutions, brought many volunteers to our army from continental Europe, thus modifying the figures just deduced ; and that large numbers, animated by a kindred impulse, came to our support from the neighboring British provinces. Indeed, the number from Canada, Nova Scotia, and New Brunswick, appears to have been some tens of thousands.

## 3. Total Enlistments and Discharges.

From the able and carefully prepared "Report of the Provost Marshal General," ${ }^{1}$ the figures here given are deduced by diminishing the total number on pages 161-63.

1st, by the number of Negroes supposed to be included in the total, namely: -

Volunteers after July 1, $1863^{2}$. . . . . 37394
Supposed drafted after July 1, $1863^{3}$. . . . 4000
Five regiments from loyal States, 1862-63 4 . . 5200
46594
${ }^{1}$ Ex. Doc. War Department, 39th Congress, 1st Session.
2 Pages 43, 45. ${ }^{2}$ Pages 43, $46 . \quad$ Estimated from p. 68.
Credits for naval enlistments before February, $1864^{1}$. 67334
Naval enlistments after that date ${ }^{2}$. . . . . 37340
104674
3d, by the number of enlistments at unknown dates for unknown periods ${ }^{8}$ 63322
4th, by credits allowed states in adjustment of quotas, 1864-65 ${ }^{4}$
35290

Combining the various data of enlistments for different terms of service and under different calls, we find, approximately, taking July 1 as the commencement of the statistical year: -

| - | Enlistments exclusive of " vetcrans" | Fonlistmento of " veterann," furloughed upon 50enlletment | Enilistmentes ex- jtred |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Before July 1, $1861{ }^{6}$ | 170326 | - | - |
| 1861 to 1862 . | 652238 | - | 98326 |
| 1862 to 1863 . . | 527423 | - | 102585 |
| 1863 to 1864 . . . | 500194 | 136507 | 90077 |
| After July 1, 1864. | 418562 | 11869 | 584376 |
|  | 2268743 | 148376 | 870374 |

So that but for casualties, about 1400000 would have been in service at the close of the war.

The total number of the Enlistment Table upon page 163 of the "Provost Marshal General's Report" is thus assumed to be made up as follows : -

| Enlistments of white soldiers exclusive of "Veteran Vol- |
| :--- |
| unteers |

Enlistments of " Veteran Volunteers" " . . . . 148376
Enlistments in Navy and Marine Corps . . . . 104674
Enlistments of colored troops supposed to be included . . 46594
Enlistments of unknown or uncertain character . . 63322
Credits allowed by adjustment . . . . . . 35290
Number of drafted men who paid commutation • . 86724
Grand Total of Enlistment Table . . . . . 2753723
${ }^{1}$ Provoot Marehal General's Report, p. 72.
2 Jbid. pp. 48, 45.
${ }^{2} 1 \mathrm{lbid} . \mathrm{p} .161$.
4 Bbid p. 43.
${ }^{5}$ The eatimated number of 8 -years' men enlisted before July 1861, in all 72 regiments and 10 batteries (pp. 7, 8), is 77000 , which is here added to the 8 -months' men.
${ }^{6}$ libid. p. 168.
7 Ibid. p. 43.

## 4. Strength of the Army at Different Dates.

The Provost Marshal General gives ${ }^{1}$ the numerical force of the army as follows:-

which data constitute the only published information of a trustworthy character as to the national forces under arms during the contest.

For the end of the war, or May 1, 1865, the Secretary's Report for 1866 gives ${ }^{2}$ the number of troops then serving in the volunteer army as . . . . $1 \$ 34000$
There being in the regular army ${ }^{2}$ about . . . 22000
Making the total number about . . . . 1056000
And since the number of cofored troops ${ }^{4}$ was not far from 120000
We may assume the number of white troops then serving as . . . . . . . . . . 936000
Farther knowledge being on many accounts desirable and the Secretary of War being still unwilling to afford the Sanitary Commission either additional information or access to the sources whence it might be derived, the following estimate has been prepared with some labor. Though of course not strictly correct, it is believed to be a close approximation to the truth, and worthy of reliance for practical purposes, - the numbers being expressed in thousands.

[^2]TABLE I.
Strength of the United States Army.


## TABLE I.- (Continued.)

## Strength of the United States Army.

| Date | White Vol's from Loyal States excluding Pacific Coast. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 亩 } \\ & \text { ed } \\ & \text { ed } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\pi}{6}}{\stackrel{0}{6}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1864, July | 672 | 129 | 801 | 83 | 27 | 23 | 20 | 17 | 971 |
| August | 627 | 131 | 758 | 93 | 28 | 23 | 25 | 15 | 942 |
| September | 611 | 130 | 741 | 102 | 30 | 23 | 28 | 10 | 934 |
| October . | 621 | 120 | 741 | 106 | 30 | 22 | 30 | - | 935 |
| Novenber. | 627 | 128 | 755 | 109 | 30 | 22 | 26 | 3 | 945 |
| December. | 590 | 177 | 767 | 112 | 30 | 22 | 27 | 1 | 959 |
| 1865, January | - | 763 | 763 | 115 | 29 | 22 | 27 | 2 | 958 |
| February | - | 765 | 765 | 116 | 29 | 22 | 29 | 6 | 967 |
| March | - | 774 | 774 | 118 | 28 | 22 | 29 | 9 | 980 |
| April | - | 832 | 832 | 120 | 27 | 22 | 31 | 24 | 1056 |

Taking as a basis those troops (col. 1) for which the regimental monthly returns of loss and gain had been transcribed ${ }^{1}$ before the Secretary's order in September 1865, forbidding our farther access to the rolls, estimates for the remainder were formed after a careful study of all published sources of information, expressed or implied, and are given in column two. The reports of the Adjutant Generals of the several States afforded a means of inferring the number of regiments in service at the close of each month. The strength of those regiments not included in our official returns was estimated as unchanged until April 1862, when recruiting ceased, and up to which date the losses of the early regiments are assumed to have been compensated by additional enlistments. From April until August, 1862, the figures are derived from special estimates. Subsequent to August 1862, the strength of regiments reported in other months is used, after correction for the average loss or gain during the interval; but when no report whatever has been found for a regiment, the average strength of other regiments from the same State during the same month is generally adopted.

[^3]This mode of estimation will not, it is believed, be much in error, when, as in the present case, the aggregate is taken from a considerable number of regiments or battalions separately considered.
The sum of these two columns is given in column three, headed "Total," and represents the best attainable estimate of the strength at the close of each successive month, of the white volunteer troops, exclusive of those recruited in insurgent States or furnished by the Pacific coast. To these, besides the white volunteers thus excluded, are to be added the regular army, the colored troops, and, after April 1863, the "Veteran Reserve Corps;" as well as the number (very considerable at one period) of soldiers at the various military rendezvous, and on the way to their regiments.

The number of colored troops to June 1863, is inferred from the number of regiments in service, as reported by the Provost Marshal General. ${ }^{1}$ For later dates it is estimated from the Annual Reports of the Secretary of War, partly from the total strength reported, partly from general statements as to the recruiting service, and partly from the number recruited between given dates, allowance being made of course for reported casualties.

## 5. Casualties.

The whole number of casualties during the forty-eight months of the war, among 2480000 white soldiers, was $858000,{ }^{2}$ or, on an average, nearly 18000 a month. Of these nearly 400000 must have occurred prior to July 1863, or about 15000 monthly.

The total number of deaths in the same service was about 250000 , making the ratio of deaths to the whole number of casualties as 100 to 343 .

In the appended estimates the monthly rate of mortality has been deduced from the summaries of the regimental returns to the Adjutant General; and the total number of deaths from an application of this rate to the whole number of white troops under consideration.

[^4]TABLE II.

Estimated Death-Rate and Total Deaths for Troops here considered.

| Month |  | 䋰 |  | Month |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1861. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before July, |  | $1000{ }^{1}$ |  | July . | 10.87 | 7902 |  |
|  |  |  |  | August | 7.54 | 5353 |  |
| 1861, July . | 2.24 | 511 |  | Sept. - | 8.05 | 5788 |  |
| August | 1.75 | 427 |  | Oct. . | 6.52 | 4085 |  |
| Sept. . | 2.25 | 772 |  | Nov. . | 6.12 | 4566 |  |
| Oct. . | 2.88 | 1241 |  | Dec. | 4.80 | 8667 |  |
| Nov. . | 8.59 | 1770 |  | 1864, Jan. . | 8.72 | 2902 |  |
| Dec. | 5.87 | 3322 |  | Feb. . | 3.35 | 2690 |  |
| 1862, Jan. . | 6.59 | 3737 |  | March . | 3.64 | 3076 |  |
| Feb. . | 5.36 | 3237 |  | April . | 4.57 | 3926 |  |
| March . | 5.30 | 3312 |  | May | 13.00 | 11453 |  |
| April . | 8.24 | 5175 |  | June | 18.92 | 12096 | 67504 |
| May . | 7.99 | 4954 |  |  |  |  |  |
| June | 9.56 | 5583 | 34041 | July - | 1085 | 9125 |  |
|  |  |  |  | August | 10.23 | 8142 |  |
| July . | 7.15 | 4319 |  | Sept. - | 8.79 | 6803 |  |
| August | 10.12 | 6811 |  | Oct. | 8.06 | 6198 |  |
| Sept. . | 8.73 | 7106 | - | Nov. | 5.26 | 4103 |  |
| Oct. . | 7.86 | 6885 |  | Dec. - | 6.04 | 4772 |  |
| Nov. | 5.53 | 5010 |  | 1865, Jan. . | 5.58 | 4391 |  |
| Dec. . | 9.72 | 8758 |  | Feb. - | 8.62 | 4457 |  |
| 1863, Jan. . | 8.47 | 7496 |  | March. |  | $6500^{1}$ |  |
| Feb. . | 7.21 | 6258 |  | April . |  | $7500^{1}$ | 61991 |
| March. | 6.57 | 5532 |  |  |  |  |  |
| April | 8.61 | 4617 |  |  |  |  | 238870 |
| 1863, May | 8.98 | 6965 |  |  |  |  |  |
| June | 6.07 | 4577 | 74334 |  |  |  |  |

The total number of deaths in the service, exclusive of those which occurred after muster-out, but resulted from military service, is given by the Provost Marshal General, ${ }^{2}$ as follows : -


The total resulting from our estimates, 239000 officers and men among the white troops here specially considered, is found to be in

[^5]close accordance with the figures deducible from the aggregate for the war officially given.

## 6. Annual Enlistments and Discharges.

The first column of the annexed table presents the number of enlistments here deduced, and the second the number from States here specially considered. Those classed in our summary as uncertain, 63322 in number, were mostly enlisted after July 1862, and furnished by Southern or Pacific States, and the Territories. Colorado appears to have provided 2000 of them, early in 1864, and these are therefore added in the table to the 637000 previously obtained. The regular army contained at the outbreak of the war about 16000 men.

The third column gives the estimated number of discharges, whether by disbandment or muster-out of the organization, or in consequence of personal disabilities.

> TABLE III.

Enlistments and Discharges during each Year of the War.

| Before July, 18621862-3 | Enlistmenta |  | Dlecharges |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | From States here considered | Roturned Home | Died In Serrice |
|  | 822500 | 810000 | 207000 | 35000 |
|  | 527500 | 517000 | 271000 | 74000 |
| 1863-4 . . . . | 637000 | 639000 | 432000 | 68000 |
| After July 1, 1864 | 430000 | 430000 | 858000 | 62000 |
|  | 2417000 | 2396000 | 1268000 | 239000 |

## 7. Number of Reenlistments.

Of the 93326 original volunteers for three months, at the outbreak of the insurrection, we assume from various indications, that 60000 men reenlisted during the year 1861-2.

During the first eighteen months of the war, the number of discharges for disability was large, and about the close of the year 1862 many men, who had already served and been discharged, reenlisted in other regiments, and not unfrequently from other States.

We assume a little less than one tenth of those enlisting during the year $1862-3$, or 50000 out of 517000 , to be men who have already served in the army.

During the year 1863-4, the Provost Marshal General ${ }^{1}$ gives 136000 as the number of "veteran" enlistments. There seem to have been about 503000 other enlistments, of which we consider 64000 , or about one eighth, to represent men who had already served, making the total number of reenlistments about 200000 . Recruiting officers at the East represent that about one fourth of the men enlisting during the last two years of the war had already served in the army. But at the West the men enlisting during the same period were largely new recruits.

Finally, in the year 1864-5 the veteran reenlistments were 12000 ; and if we suppose 48000 of the remaining 418000 enlistments to belong to men who had already seen service, the total number of reenlistments will have been 60000 .

## 8. General Schedule.

We have now attained the means of forming a tolerably correct estimate of the general statistics of the war, including the character of the population at home, as well as the strength of the army at the commencement of each official year. These numbers, it will be remembered, pertain only to white soldiers from those loyal States and Territories already specified, excepting perhaps a few regulars : and for convenience they are expressed in thousands of men.

1 Provoot Marshal Generars Report, p. 43.

## TABLE IV.

Statistics of Military Population and Army, annually from 1860 till 1865.

| Dato | Mulitary Population not in Army |  | Enlistments during Year |  | Returned from Army | Died in Service | Force in Army |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Had not eerved | $\underset{\text { neerved }}{\text { Hed }_{2}}$ | New <br> Men | Reenlistments |  |  |  |
| July 1, 1860 | 4378 | - | - | - | - | - | 16 |
| * 1861 | 4333 | - | 170 | - | - | 1 | 185 |
| c 1862 | 3868 | 145 | 580 | 60 | 207 | 34 | 584 |
| " 1863 | 8525 | 363 | 467 | 50 | 271 | 74 | 756 |
| c 1864 | 8246 | 590 | 439 | 200 | 432 | 68 | 895 |
| May 1, 1865 | 3024 | 883 | 370 | 60 | 358 | 62 | 905 |
|  | - | - | 2026 | 370 | 1268 | 239 | - |

Incorporating with the numbers above given those of other troops in service, we obtain the total strength of the army : and the following table presents the statistics in a form more comprehensive, though less adapted for the deduction of general laws. The "complete military population" includes those serving in the field and the navy, but otherwise only pertains to the territory already specified. For the numbers in the naval service I am indebted to the courtesy of Dr. P. J. Horwitz, U. S. N., Chief of the Bureau of Medicine and Surgery.

## TABLE V. <br> General Statistics of Military Population, White and Colored Troops, and Navy.

| Dato |  | 閣 |  |  |  |  |  | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July 1, 1860 | 4394 | - | 4378 | - | - | - | 16 | - |
| " 1861 | 4518 | 125 | 4333 | 170 | 2 | - | 187 | 20 |
| " 1862 | 4597 | 113 | 4013 | 640 | 10 | - | 594 | 26 |
| " 1863 | 4644 | 121 | 8888 | 517 | 19 | 14 | 789 | 40 |
| " 1864 | 4731 | 155 | 3836 | 639 | 22 | 74 | 991 | 44 |
| May 1, 1865 | 4812 | 143 | 3907 | 430 | 31 | 120 | 1056 | 33 |
|  | - | 657 | - | 2396 | - | - | - | - |

The total number of $\cdot$ enlistment credits was, as will be shown in the next chapter, about 2760000 ; of which 86700 were for men who paid commutation. The actual enlistments of white soldiers were not far from 2480000 ; those of colored troops, including 7122 white officers, were ${ }^{1} 186017$ and those of sailors ${ }^{2} 118044$.

[^6]
## CHAPTER II.

## Nativity of united states volunteers.

## 1. Nature of the Investigation. Available Materials.

The materials available for forming a trustworthy estimate of the nativities, and even the nationality of our soldiers have been very meager, and estimates which have been made by different persons at different times, have varied to an almost incredible extent. It has even been alleged, and that repeatedly, in unfriendly foreign publications and addresses, that the greater part of our armies was composed of Europeans, attracted by the bounties paid, or by other influences; while Americans, who knew the sources from which our army was chiefly recruited, and who had themselves either enlisted, or given fathers, sons, or brothers to the defense of the nation, may not improbably have been led to overrate the proportion of purely American birth.

When it is remembered how very considerable is the number of American citizens born in Europe, especially among the inhabitants of our Atlantic cities and several of the Western States, and it is farther borne in mind how promptly these classes responded to the call of their adopted country, - accepting the unwonted duties as readily as the well known privileges of citizenship, - it is manifest that the records of nativity, even were they complete, would only indirectly guide to the knowledge of the nationality of our volunteers. The only proper course for the inquiry seems to be, a determination of the nativity of the army from the best available sources of information, and a comparison of the numbers thus obtained with corresponding statistics of population afforded by the latest census.

It was not until the war had been waged for some time that the State or country of birth was systematically required upon the en-listment-rolls. At first it was recorded in but very few of the States, - often no information of the sort was demanded; and even where the enlistment-rolls were prepared with care, the place of residence was frequently given in the stead of the place of birth.

Various considerations, connected with bounties, with State aid, and with the quotas of the respective towns, actually led, in many instances, to a change in the form of the enlistment-blanks, by substituting a column for legal residence or place of enlistment, in the place of that originally provided for the nativity.

These facts have much impeded all endeavors to acquire an accurate knowledge of the nativities and original nationalities of our soldiers. Only two sources of information have seemed trustworthy: first, the actual records, in those instances where the needful facts were noted, and secondly, such information as could be derived from commanding officers or adjutants of regiments. And here the inquiry is embarrassed by other obstacles. Our soldiers enlisted for periods varying from three months to three years; very many of them enlisted anew at the expiration of their first period of service; and cases are not uncommon in which the same volunteer enlisted several times. Instances have indeed occurred, of five successive different enlistments by the same man. To discriminate these cases and avoid the repetition of the same records, has proved difficult, except for certain special organizations, such as Gen. Hancock's " First Army Corps" and the "Veteran Reserve Corps."

The first million of men, comprising chiefly those soldiers whose ages are discussed in our chapter upon the "Ages of Volunteers," were mostly drawn from the population under the immediate stimulus of the first patriotic emotions. At that time the moral influences affecting enlistment were essentially different from those which came into play at a later period. The pressure of repeated calls for troops had not that stringency which was felt when our supply of able-bodied men became seriously impaired, when the number left at home became inadequate for the needs of the community, and when the alternative presented itself between the offer of large bounties or the acceptance of a conscription. Most of the patriotic men who could go to the war had already gone, and the chief available source for new troops, beside the annual supply of young men attaining military age, consisted in that class of men who could be tempted by the large bounties, or were influenced directly or indirectly by the pressing danger of conscription. It is to troops raised under these latter circumstances, after the activity of the Provost Marshal General's Bureau had commenced, that most of the official records of nativity belong. How very much larger was the purely American element among the earlier troops needs not to be recalled to any one then in the country; and a mere mention
of the circumstances will readily make manifest to any inquirer that, to a large extent, the only statistics attainable will understate the proportion of soldiers of native birth.

This obstacle to the attainment of an accurate result might be obviated to some extent by a resort to the other method of investigation, namely, application to the original officers of regiments. This course has been attempted, but with less success than was anticipated. The large number of officers who lost their lives in the service, the length of time that has elapsed since the outbreak of the war, the grave duties which promotion to higher offices has since entailed on most of the survivors, the difficulty of obtaining their present address, are among the impediments which will be recognized at once. Still the endeavor has been made, and letters of inquiry have been addressed to about one thousand commanding officers of regiments whose nativities are not to be found upon the records. The replies, though comparatively few and often meager, have been most kindly afforded us where our letters seem to have reached the officers intended, and have, in general, proved very serviceable; and when, as in a few instances, records have been subsequently found, or when estimates for the same regiment have been received from different persons, the accordance has been found so satisfactory as to justify a reliance upon the results thus obtained.

## 2. Statistics of Enlistments and Reenlistments.

The total number of actual enlistments and commissions for army and navy during the war, excluding colored troops, cannot have differed very much from 2585000 . In the national credits to the several States, the military and naval enlistments were combined, thus offering an additional embarrassment to our inquiry; bnt, from the best information attainable after a careful scruting of official records, it would seem probable that about 2480000 of these enlistments were for the army. If from this number we could deduct the number of reenlistments (also unknown), we should have the total number of different white volunteer soldiers, the State or county of whose birth we seek. The nativities of about 1205000 of these have been collected by us, from the records at the national and State capitals; and those of the remainder, or about 905000 , are to be determined from other sources. For about 293000 of these, the answers received from regimental officers afford a tolerably good estimate, and for the remainder we must resort to reasonable inference.

Our results are given in Table I.
TABLE I.
Enlistments from the Several States.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grand } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | Commutod | Mon actanaly | $\mathrm{Maval} \mathrm{Ka}-$ Hitmenta | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Soldierse } \\ & \text { Furnilahed } \end{aligned}$ | Colored Troope | White Soldiens | $\begin{gathered} \text { Reoniliot- } \\ \text { mente } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maine . . . . . . . . ${ }_{\text {State }}$ | 71745 | 2007 | 69738 | 3097 | 64708 | 104 | 64604 | - |
|  | 72945 | 2000 | 70945 | 6754 | 64191 | 115 | 64076 | $3400^{\circ}$ |
| Now Hampshire . . . . . . ${ }_{\text {S }}$ U. S.ate | 34605 | 692 | 33913 | 871 | 83025 | 125 | 32900 | - |
|  | 34560 | - | - | - | $32986{ }^{\text {b }}$ | - | - | 2005 |
| Vermont . . . . . . . $\mathrm{SV}_{\text {State }}$ | 35246 | 1974 | 33272 | 103 | 32658 | 120 | 32533 | - |
|  | 34238 | 1971 | 32267 | $215^{\circ}$ | 32052 | 239 | 31818 | 1961 |
| Massachusetts . . . . . . . ${ }_{\text {State }}$ | 151785 | 5818 | 146467 | 16834 | 126236 | 8968 | 122270 | - |
|  | 159165 | 5318 | 153847 | 26817 | 127530 | 5486 | 122044 | 10356 |
| Rhode Island and Connecticut - ${ }_{\text {State }}^{\text {U. S. }}$ | 80981 | 1978 | 79003 | 1804 | 74895 | 8601 | 71294 | - |
|  | 78891 | 1922 | 76969 | 2788 | 74181 | - | - | 6125 |
| New York . . . . . . . . $\mathrm{S}_{\text {State }}^{\text {U. S. }}$ | 464156 | 18197 | 445959 | 28427 | 404748 | 4125 | 400623 | - |
|  | $473443{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 18183 | 455260 | 41090 | 414170 | b 829 | 408341 | 20897 |
| New Jersey . . . . . . . ${ }_{\text {State }}$ | 79511 | 4196 | 75315 | 1858 | 67186 | 1185 | 66001 | 295 |
|  | $88305^{\circ}$ | - | - | 4853 |  | 3092 | - | 2954 |
| Pennsylvania . . . . . . . $\mathrm{Sc}_{\text {State }}^{\text {U. S. }}$ | 366326 | 28171 | 838155 | 9529 | 823846 | 8612 | 815284 | - |
|  | 861903 | - | - | - | - | - | - | $17495^{8}$ |


| 11 | 11 | 11 | 18 ¢ | $1{ }^{1}$ | 1 <br>  <br>  <br> 1 <br> 8 <br>  <br>  | $1 \underset{\text {－}}{\text {－}}$ | $1 \begin{array}{r}1 \\ 1 \\ 18 \\ 10\end{array}$ | 1000 |  | 1 \％ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{*}}{\underset{\sim}{*}} 1$ | $\stackrel{O}{7}_{\infty}^{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\omega}{\boldsymbol{a}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\boldsymbol{a}} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{6}{6}$ $\text { ल } \boldsymbol{\omega}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{*} \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \\ & \infty \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\text { 合 } 1$ | ${\underset{\infty}{\infty}}_{\infty}^{\infty}$ | $\underset{\substack{8 \\ \hline ⿻ \\ \hline \\ \hline}}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\oplus}{\oplus}$ |  | ¢1 |  | － |  | －0 | 흥 |
|  | $\underset{\text { ๙ิ에 }}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | O\％：尔 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{\infty} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \& } \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \text { \& } \\ & \underset{\sim}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{\circ} \text { N్N } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \text { RO } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ |  | \％ \％ \＆ \＆ | ¢ \％ ¢ ¢ |
| $\boldsymbol{P}_{1}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\boldsymbol{N}} \mathbf{N}$ | 81 | 11 | $\infty 1$ | $\stackrel{0}{0} 1$ | $\pm 1$ | 등 | 1 㐌 | 11 | 10 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \text { © } \\ & \text { © } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { op } \\ & \hline \mathbf{8} \\ & \text { © } \\ & \hline \infty \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | N1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { He e } \\ & \text { © } \\ & \text { O } \\ & \text { Oe } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | a － a | No |
| ¢ | $\stackrel{C}{\infty}_{\infty}^{\infty} 1$ | ¢ | 00 | － |  | －\％ | 168 | ¢0\％ | \＄ | ¢ |
|  | $\stackrel{\text { が }}{\stackrel{\sim}{\infty}} 1$ | $\stackrel{N}{\infty}$ <br>  <br>  | ${ }_{0}^{\infty}$ 융 ఱ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{N}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{N} \underset{N}{N} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\substack{8 \\ 8}}$ |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { oं } \mathbb{g} \\ & \dot{B} \\ & \text { on } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\infty} \stackrel{\stackrel{y}{む}}{\dot{\sim}} \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |  |  |
| － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |  |  |
| － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |  |
| － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |  |
| － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |  |
| － | － | 兑 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |  |
|  |  | 宫 | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |  |
| － |  | Oㅇㅇㅇ |  |  |  |  |  |  | ． | － |
|  | $\frac{: ~}{E}$ |  |  | 总 品 可 | $0$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{0} \\ & \text { 号 } \\ & \text { 品 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 易 } \\ & \text { 荡 } \\ & \text { 总 } \end{aligned}$ | 易 |  |

TABLE I.- (Continued.)
Enlistments from the Several States.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grand } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | Commuted | Men actually Furnished | Naval EnHistments | Soldiers Furniahed | Colored Troops | White Soldiers | Reenlistmente |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. | 75860 | 67 | 75793 | - | 75788 | 440 | 75348 | - |
| Iowa . - . . . . . . . . - . State | 80000 | - | - | - | - | 600 | 79400 | 6850 |
| U. S. | 108778 | - | 108773 | 134 | 108756 | 8344 | 100412 | - |
| Missouri . . . . . . . . - State | $110000^{1}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4000 |
| U. S. | 20097 | 2 | 20095 | - | 20095 | 2080 | 18015 | - |
| Kansas . . . . . . . . . . . State | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| U. S. | $183130{ }^{\text {m }}$ | - | 183180 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Other States and Territories . - . - State | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |

[^7]The summaries are intended to present in as condensed a form as may well be, the data available for the formation of trustworthy estimates. For each State two sets of numbers are given, the first derived from the excellent and comprehensive "Report of the U. S. Provost Marshal General, ${ }^{\prime}$ and the second deduced from the data published by the Adjutant Generals of the several States, or courteously furnished to us from their files. In most cases, as might be anticipated, the numbers recorded in the archives of the State are larger than those at the War Department at Washington, inasmuch as the former give all the enlistments recorded, while the latter mostly refer to those only for which credit was allowed toward the State quotas. The number of men who paid commutation is, of course, more accurately given by the federal officers; while on the other hand, the figures representing the naval enlistments given by the Provost Marshal General are those found on pages 71, 72 of his "Report," and only include the equivalent, in three-years' men, of those, prior to February, 1864, for which sufficient legal evidence was brought to warrant their inclusion with the credits of the State.

The number of "soldiers furnished" in the column of figures from the "Provost Marshal General's Report" is taken from pages 78,79 ; that of "men actually furnished" being taken from page 163. Although, from the fact that a special line is given for colored troops on page 79, it would seem that they were not comprised in the numbers of the last column on that page, yet a careful study of the figures leads to the conviction that they are in fact there included. The differences between the numbers given on these two pages, when compared with the number of naval enlistments according to the State authorities, and with the number of colored troops furnished by the States, according to independent sources of information, leave no room for doubt on this point; ${ }^{1}$ the case being made very clear by those States which, like Missouri, Kentucky, and Kansas, furnished the relatively largest supply of colored troops.

Therefore, although on comparing the table of colored troops, page 69 , with that on page 163, it might be inferred that the table on page 79 contains no colored troops among the State forces, it appears, nevertheless, beyond reasonable doubt, that they are so included.
The estimate of the total number of reenlistments is the most

[^8]difficult step of all, and the attainment of accurate knowledge on this point is probably impossible. No official information seems to exist, except in some isolated cases, for other organizations than those which, like the "Veteran Volunteers," the "Veteran Reserves," and the "First Army Corps," consisted exclusively of reenlisted men, or those regiments which reenlisted in a body. To attain the best possible estimates, it is requisite, first, to form some approximate judgment as to the total number of reenlistments, and then to apportion these among the several States, according to the most satisfactory information which could be collected.

The basis of the total estimate of reenlistments was as follows: -

> Veterans enlisted under calls of February 1 and March 14, $1864^{1}$ 136507
> Additional veterans, under call of July 18, $1864^{2}$. . 11869
> Enlisted in "Veteran Reserve Corps" ${ }^{8}$. . . 60508
> Enlisted in " First Corps" (Hancock's), about . . . 9116
> Estimated number of original three-months' men who reenlisted upon expiration of their first term, in $1861 \quad 60000$
> Estimated number enlisting anew during the war after dis-
charge for disability, etc., about . . . . 92000

370000
The difficulties in the way of any closer approach to accuracy are great, and. it may be questioned whether data exist for any very trustworthy estimation of the last two items. Still these cannot apparently be far from the truth. That no means exist of determining the number of reenlistments from materials in the War Department, may be inferred from a remark of the Provost Marshal General, page 58.
"In filling the different calls," he says, "each accepted enlistment was credited, instead of limiting the credit to the actual number of persons who entered the service anew; and hence, to determine the number of men actually entering the service for the first time under the different calls, the number credited should be reduced in the same ratio that the enlistments of the same persons have been repeated. The extent of this reduction cannot be calculated at this time, or even estimated with sufficient accuracy to be useful."

To assign these 370000 reenlistments to their respective States,

[^9]the numbers obtained from the Adjutant Generals of all the States, excepting Maryland, Delaware, and Kansas, and from the "Report of the Secretary of War " ${ }^{1}$ for these States and the District of Columbia, were similarly increased in such a ratio as to bring their resultant total up to the required number. Exceptions to this rule were, however, made for Massachusetts, Kentucky, Ohio, Illinois, Wisconsin, and Missouri, for which six States special means of information were found. For Kentucky and Wisconsin, the original estimate seems to conform to that afforded by other sources of information.

We have thus the following table, in which the first column of figures is that obtained from the State records, and the second that to which careful investigation leads as the most probable numbers for all reenlistments, recorded or not; and there is reason to believe that they are near approximations to the truth.

Reenlistments.

| State | Rocorded No. | Probable No. | Stace | Becorded No. | Probable No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maine | 8400 | 9291 | Kentucky | 8000 | 8000 |
| New Hamp. | 2005 | 5479 | Ohio | 30000 | 45000 |
| Vermont - | 1961 | 5359 | Indiana | 13181 | 36018 |
| Massachusetts | 10356 | 15000 | Illinois | 25000 | 36000 |
| R. I. and Conn. | 6125 | 16737 | Michigan | 5545 | 15152 |
| New York . | 20897 | 57102 | Wisconsin | 10784 | 10784 |
| New Jersey . | 2954 | 8072 | Minnesota | 1445 | 3949 |
| Pennsylvania | 17495 | 47806 | Iowa | 6850 | 18719 |
| Delaware | 404 | 1104 | Missouri | 4000 | $15000{ }^{2}$ |
| Maryland . . | 2003 | 5478 | Kansas | 425 | 1161 |
| Dist. Columbia | 118 | 328 |  |  |  |
| West Virginia | 8100 | 8471 | Total | 176048 | 370000 |

## 3. Collection of Nativities.

In the General Summary of Enlistments, which follows, the results are presented as inferred from the data already given, together with a statement of the number of troops for which it has been found possible to collect the nativities.

All nativities recorded on the descriptive muster-rolls at the State

[^10]capitals have been transcribed there by the agents of the Commission, who have been furnished with all needful facilities in every instance. In some cases special rolls have been found to exist, giving information as to the birthplace of the troops.

For regiments not thus described, attempts were made, as already mentioned, to obtain the desired information by application to officers who commanded them at an early period of their history. The addresses of these officers, generally their first Colonel, LieutenantColonel, or Adjutant, having been obtained from the Adjutant

## TABLE II.

General Summary of Enlistments.

| 8tato | Grand Total | Commuted | Serred | Nary | No. Soldiers | Colored |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maine | 72945 | 2007 | 70938 | 6754 | 64184 | 115 |
| New Hampshire . | 34500 | 692 | 33808 | 380 | 33428 | 125 |
| Vermont | 34500 | 1974 | 32526 | 215 | 32311 | 239 |
| Massachusetts | 157600 | 5318 | 152282 | 26317 | 125965 | 5486 |
| R. I. and Conn. | 80000 | 1978 | 78022 | 2788 | 75234 | 3601 |
| New York | 460000 | 18197 | 441803 | 41100 | 400703 | 5829 |
| New Jersey | 79500 | 4196 | 75304 | 4853 | 70451 | 3092 |
| Pennsylvania. | 370000 | 28171 | 341829 | 13929 | 327900 | 8612 |
| Delaware | 13600 | 1386 | 12214 | 129 | 12085 | 954 |
| Maryland . | 49000 | 3678 | . 45322 | 3217 | 42105 | 8718 |
| Dist. of Columbia | 16800 | 338 | 16462 | 842 | 15620 | 8269 |
| West Virginia | 32000 | - | 32000 | - | 32000 | 213 |
| Kentucky | 80000 | 3265 | 76735 | 155 | 76580 | 25438 |
| Ohio . | 318000 | 6479 | 311521 | 1576 | 309945 | 5092 |
| Indiana | 195000 | 784 | 194216 | 271 | 193945 | 1537 |
| Illinois . | 257000 | 55 | 256945 | 1500 | 255445 | 2500 |
| Michigan | 91000 | 2008 | 88992 | 483 | 88509 | 1453 |
| Wisconsin | 96000 | 5097 | 90903 | 200 | 90703 | 437 |
| Minnesota | 25000 | 1032 | 23968 | - | 23968 | 104 |
| Iowa | 76000 | 67 | 75933 | - | 75933 | 600 |
| Missouri | 109000 | - | 109000 | 234 | 108766 | 8344 |
| Kansas | 20100 | 2 | 20098 | - | 20098 | 2080 |
| California . | 15700 | - | 15700 | - | 15700 | - |
| Other States and Territories. | 167357 | - | 167557 | - | 167857 | 91057 |
| Total . . . | 2850602 | 86724 | 2763878 | 104943 | 2658935 | 178895 |

General of the State, circular letters were forwarded them, asking for the best estimate which they could make. About 1000 such letters were sent in all, to which about 350 answers have been received. In some cases full records were thus obtained, and in most cases where answers were received, the estimates kindly communicated seem entitled to great reliance. The number of troops whose nativities are derived from these sources are separately indicated in the table ; and in the last column is given the number of volunteers from each State whose nativities could not be obtained at all.

> T A BLE II. - (Continued.)

General Summary of Enlistments.

| 8tate | White <br> Soldiers | Reonlistments | Diflorent <br> White <br> Soldiera | Nativities already obtained |  |  | Nativities not obtalised |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Recorded | $\underset{\text { Eod }}{\text { Eatima }}$ | Total |  |
| Maine | 64069 | 9300 | 54800 | 52325 | - | 52325 | 2475 |
| N. Hampshire . | 33303 | 5500 | 27800 | 26832 | - | 26832 | 968 |
| Vermont . . | 32072 | 5300 | 26800 | 24072 | 2728 | 26800 | - |
| Massachusetts. | 120479 | 15000 | 105500 | 49776 | 21093 | 70869 | 34631 |
| R. I. and Conn. | 71633 | 16700 | 54900 | 41318 | 12864 | 54182 | 718 |
| New York | 394874 | 57100 | 337800 | 230267 | 3142 | 233409 | 104391 |
| New Jersey . | 67359 | 8100 | 59300 | 18875 | - | 18875 | 40425 |
| Pennsylvania | 319288 | 47800 | 271500 | 77425 | 54943 | 132368 | 139132 |
| Delaware | 11131 | 1100 | 10000 | - | - | - | 10000 |
| Maryland | 33387 | 5500 | 27900 | 7337 | - | 7337 | 20563 |
| Dist. of Colum. | 12351 | 400 | 12000 | - |  | - | 12000 |
| West Virginia | 31787 | 8500 | 23300 | 17562 | 3541 | 21103 | 2197 |
| Kentucky | 51142 | 8000 | 43100 | 19955 | 23145 | 43100 | - |
| Ohio | 304853 | 45000 | 259900 | 108288 | 87570 | 195858 | 64042 |
| Indiana | 192408 | 36000 | 156400 | 118254 | 19362 | 137616 | 18784 |
| Illinois | 252945 | 36000 | 216900 | 188832 | - | 188832 | 28068 |
| Michigan | 87056 | 15100 | 72000 | 23322 | 37859 | 61181 | 10819 |
| Wisconsin | 90266 | 10800 | 79500 | 55136 |  | 55136 | 24364 |
| Minnesota | 23864 | 3900 | 20000 | 18056 | - | 18056 | 1944 |
| Iowa. | 75333 | 18700 | 56600 | 54611 | - | 54611 | 1989 |
| Missouri | 100422 | 15000 | 85400 | 58259 | 27141 | 85400 |  |
| Kansas | 18018 | 1200 | 16800 | 11411 | - | 11411 | 5389 |
| California | 15700 | - | 15700 | - | - | - | 15700 |
| Other States and Terr's | 76300 | - | 76300 | 3159 | - | 8159 | 73141 |
| Total | 2480040 | 370000 | 2110200 | 1205072 | 293388 | 1498460 | 611740 |

## 4. Results and Inferences regarding Nativities of the Volunteer Army.

The numbers in the last column of Table II. have been distributed among the different nativities in the proportions of those troops from the same State whose nativities were obtained, excepting for Massachusetts, where the proportion deduced from officers' estimates was used, inasmuch as the small number of recorded nativities belonged to regiments of a different character, and for New Jersey. For Delaware and the District of Columbia, in neither of which any nativities were recorded, the distribution was made according to the combined ratios resulting from the recorded nativities in Pennsylvania, Maryland, and West Virginia. And finally, for California and the troops classed as from "other States and Territories," the distribution was adopted which results from the remainder of the statistics, so that the proportions for the total armies are not affected thereby.

It will be readily perceived that the principles adopted are such as to lead to an underestimate of the American element, by applying the relative nativities of troops recruited during the latter part of the war to the unregistered soldiers who volunteered at the outbreak of the struggle. Still, as it is clearly out of the question to form any trustworthy numerical estimate of the influence of this mode of estimation, it seems the better course to give the resultant figures, after calling attention to this source of inaccuracy in the inferences.

We thus arrive at the following table of nativities for the volunteers from the several States, the colored troops being, of course, omitted, as also the navy, and the 92000 volunteers from States and Territories not here considered. The word "volunteers" is here used in the official signification, as denoting the citizen soldiery in distinction from regular soldiers, and not, as in a subsequent chapter, in distinction from recruits.

TABLE III．
Nativities of United States Volunters．

| Plece of Enlistment | 总 | 童曾 | 秃 | 䁂 | 兑 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maine | 48135 | 3217 | 779 | 1971 | 244 | 454 | － | 54800 |
| New Hampshire | 19759 | 2362 | 1147 | 2699 | 952 | 881 | － | 27800 |
| Vermont－ | 22037 | 2713 | 325 | 1289 | 86 | 208 | 142 | 26800 |
| Massachusetts ． | 79560 | 2917 | 2306 | 10007 | 1876 | 1591 | 7243 | 105500 |
| R．I．and Conn． | 37190 | 1697 | 2234 | 7657 | 2919 | 2129 | 1074 | 54900 |
| New York ． | 203622 | 19985 | 14024 | 51206 | 36680 | 11555 | 728 | 337800 |
| New Jersey． | 35496 | 2692 | 2491 | 8880 | 7337 | 2051 | 353 | 59300 |
| Pennsylvania | 222641 | 1339 | 3503 | 17418 | 17208 | 3532 | 5859 | 271500 |
| Delaware | 8306 | 45 | 127 | 582 | 621 | 130 | 189 | 10000 |
| Maryland | 22435 | 155 | 403 | 1400 | 3107 | 400 | － | 27900 |
| Dist．of Colum． | 9967 | 54 | 152 | 698 | 746 | 156 | 227 | 12000 |
| West Virginia | 21111 | 35 | 248 | 550 | 869 | 284 | 203 | 23300 |
| Kentucky | 38988 | 67 | 117 | 1303 | 1943 | 181 | 501 | 43100 |
| Ohio ． | 219949 | 1589 | 2619 | 8129 | 20102 | 3149 | 4363 | 259900 |
| Indiana | 141454 | 760 | 1248 | 3472 | 7190 | 1374 | 902 | 156400 |
| Illinois | 168983 | 4404 | 5953 | 12041 | 18140 | 7379 | － | 216900 |
| Michigan | 54830 | 3136 | 1310 | 3278 | 3534 | 1251 | 4661 | 72000 |
| Wisconsin | 47972 | 3371 | 3703 | 3621 | 15709 | 5124 | － | 79500 |
| Minnesota | 11977 | 1371 | 614 | 1140 | 2715 | 2183 | － | 20000 |
| Iowa． | 48686 | 995 | 1015 | 1436 | 2850 | 1618 | － | 56600 |
| Missouri | 46676 | 359 | 761 | 4362 | 30899 | 2343 | － | 85400 |
| Kansas | 13493 | 269 | 429 | 1082 | 1090 | 437 | － | 16800 |
| Grand Total | 1523267 | 53532 | 45508 | 144221 | 176817 | 48410 | 26445 | 2018200 |

To compare these proportions with those existing in the popula－ tion，Table IV．has been prepared，showing the numbers．which would have been found for each nativity，had no enlistments taken place except from those who were inhabitants of the United States in 1860，and had those of every nativity enlisted in the same ratio．

This is the only comparison of the kind which existing statistics permit，but it fails of perfect applicability，for the reason that the numbers of the military population of foreign birth had increased through immigration during the subsequent five years by about 230000.

## TABLE IV．

Distribution of United States Volunteers according to the Nativities of the Population，in 1860.

| Place of Rnlistment |  | 酸最 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 患 } \\ & \text { 落 } \end{aligned}$ | 菑 | E |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maine | 51526 | 1533 | 234 | 1337 | 84 | 182 | 4 | 54800 |
| New Hampshire | 26012 | 882 | 195 | 1088 | 85 | 88 | － | 27800 |
| Vermont | 24009 | 1345 | 139 | 1149 | 19 | 136 | 3 | 26800 |
| Massachusetts ． | 83033 | 2838 | 2060 | 16017 | 860 | 1160 | 32 | 105500 |
| R．I．and Conn． | 44480 | 527 | 1344 | 7124 | 824 | 596 | 5 | 54900 |
| New York | 249759 | 4873 | 9346 | 43911 | 22591 | 7301 | 19 | 337800 |
| New Jersey | 48041 | 104 | 1454 | 5686 | 3097 | 917 | 1 | 59300 |
| Pennsylvania | 230478 | 332 | 4435 | 19242 | 13173 | 3832 | 8 | 271500 |
| Delaware | 8988 | 4 | 175 | 644 | 139 | 50 | － | 10000 |
| Maryland | 23707 | 18 | 229 | 1845 | 2373 | 227 | 1 | 27900 |
| Dist．of Colum． | 9535 | 12 | 203 | 1433 | 643 | 172 | 2 | 12000 |
| West Virginia | 22652 | 7 | 76 | 305 | 194 | 66 | － | 23300 |
| Kentucky | 40297 | 29 | 211 | 1043 | 1276 | 242 | 2 | 43100 |
| Ohio ． | 222852 | 799 | 3691 | 8671 | 18984 | 4879 | 24 | 259900 |
| Indiana | 142593 | 370 | 1087 | 2862 | 7793 | 1662 | 33 | 156400 |
| Illinois | 175583 | 2562 | 5313 | 11145 | 16647 | 5552 | 98 | 216900 |
| Michigan | 57418 | 3568 | 2518 | 2939 | 3793 | 1761 | 3 | 72000 |
| Wisconsin | 51045 | 1865 | 3138 | E 134 | 12729 | 5585 | 4 | 79500 |
| Minnesota | 13066 | 947 | 409 | 1515 | 2172 | 1890 | 1 | 20000 |
| Iowa | 47689 | 698 | 968 | 2358 | 3239 | 1646 | 2 | 56600 |
| Missouri | 72509 | 226 | 804 | 3490 | 7105 | 1251 | 15 | 85400 |
| Kansas | 14796 | 156 | 221 | 614 | 682 | 810 | 21 | 16800 |
| Grand Total | 1660068 | 22695 | 38250 | 139052 | 118402 | 39455 | 278 | 2018200 |

Another fruitful source of apparent excess of the foreign element in the army is to be found in the large number of foreigners，who， attracted by the large local bounties frequently offered，enlisted for the purpose of obtaining the bounty－money，and then deserted without serving．It is beyond question that cases were of not rare occurrence where the same person enlisted very many times，secur－ ing bounty in each case，and being，of course，recorded every time as a new volunteer．${ }^{1}$

1 ＂As soon as large local bounties were offered and paid in advance，a set of desperate characters presented themselves，who would enlist and＇jump＇bounties as often as oppor－ tunities presented．A man now in the Albany penitentiary，undergoing an imprisonment

The recorded number of deserters was 268530 , although the Provost Marshal General considers that about one fourth of these were subsequently accounted for. ${ }^{1}$ More than 76000 were arrested, but probably as many as 125000 different enlistments failed to yield soldiers to the army, although they led to their entry upon the official records.
In this connection it may not be amiss to quote the words of General Fry: ${ }^{2}$ -
"It appears beyond dispute that the crime of desertion is especially characteristic of troops from largo cities, and of the districts which they supply with recruits. The ratio per thousand of desertions to credits throughout the loyal States is 62.51 .
" It is probable that a more minute examination of the statistics of the army than has yet been made, would reveal the fact that desertion is a crime of foreign, rather than native birth, and that but a small proportion of the men who forsook their colors were Americans. It is a notorious circumstance that the great mass of the professional bounty-jumpers were Europeans. In general, the manufacturing States, as, for instance, Massachusetts, Connecticut, Rhode Island, New York, and New Jersey, rank high in the column of desertion; and this result is to be attributed not only to the fact that such States are dotted with towns and cities, but to the secondary fact that these towns and cities are crowded with foreigners. The respectable and industrious part of this population did, indeed, produce a mass of faithful troops; but with these were mixed a vast number of adventurers unworthy of any country, who had no affection for the republic, and only enlisted for money."
To sum up the results of this investigation, we find that of the 2018000 different white volunteers recorded from the loyal States exclusive of the Pacific Coast, about 1523000 were probably native Americans, while an equable representation of the population of these States in 1860 would have given 1660000 native Americans. But this takes no account either of the normal immigration subsequent to that date, nor of the number of unarrested deserters which would alone have made these numbers equal, and which chiefly consisted of foreigners. Any attempt to allow for these influences alone could not fail to show as large a proportion of natives in the ranks of the army, as in the military population remaining at home. The proportion of native Americans among the officers was of course much larger than this.

[^11]
## CHAPTER III.

## AGES OF VOLUNTEERS.

## 1. Introductory.

On taking charge of the Statistical Department of the United States Sanitary Commission, in August, 1864, it was found that considerable progress had been made in collecting the ages of the soldiers of our volunteer regiments, - an investigation which had been suggested and commenced by Mr. Elliott, the accomplished and skilful statistician, who, not very long before, had relinquished the direction of this Bureau of the Commission.

Although the best use to be made of the materials appeared somewhat uncertain, it did not seem proper to discontinue inquiries already so far advanced; and the large experience of Mr. Elliott in matters connected with vital statistics gave assurance that valuable as well as interesting results were likely to be deduced from a thorough study of these data.

The collection of these materials was therefore continued and completed, by means of the muster-rolls on file at the War Department in Washington, to which access was courteously afforded by General E. D. Townsend, Acting Adjutant-General, and Colonel Samuel Breck, who was in charge of the rolls. Tables have thus been formed for twenty-seven States, Territories, or geographical groups, exhibiting the number of men at each year of age in the volunteer organizations, at the time of their muster into the service of the United States. The officers are tabulated as a distinct class; and the three arms of the military service - infantry, cavalry, and artillery - have been treated separately.

The original collection of the materials was principally made by M. T. J. O'Connell, until lately the efficient and accurate chief clerk of the Statistical Department, and was completed by Mr. E. A. Wilson, prior to the order of Mr. Secretary Stanton debarring the Sanitary Commission from access to the archives. The greater part of the computations has been performed by Mr. Stockwell alone, with great care, perseverance, and ability.

The recruits who joined these original regiments after their first organization and acceptance into the national service are not included; and the limits of the investigation have excluded all drafted men, substitutes, etc. Moreover, many regiments belonging within these limits are omitted, because organized since the collection of the data for the States to which they belong; but the number of these is comparatively small, and inadequate to exert any sensible effect upon the results. The degree of completeness may be seen by the following table, which shows the number and date of the latest regiment included in the collection.

| Arkansas | 2d Inf | fantry, | latest. | Mississippi | Mari | - | aly organ'n. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| California | 4th | " | 1862, Feb. | Missouri | 34th | nfantry | 1862, Dec. |
| Connecticat | 28th | " | 1862, Nov. | Nevada | $18 t$ | " | 1864, June. |
| Delaware | 2d | $\cdots$ | 1861, Dec. | N. Hampshire | 18th | * | 1864, Sept. |
| Illinois | 131st | " | 1864, June. | New Jersey | 25th | * | 1862, Sept. |
| Indiana | 115th | " | 1863, Aug. | N. Mexico | 4th | " | 1863. |
| Iowa | 48th | " | 1865. | New York | 177th | * | 1863, June. |
| Kansas | 15th | " | 1863. | Ohio | 128th | " | 1863, Aug. |
| Kentucky | 52d | " | 1864. | Pennsylvania | 155th | * | 1863, Jan. |
| Louisiana | N. 0 . | Vols. | 1864, May. | Rhode Island | 12th | * | 1862, Oct. |
| Maine | 28th In | nfantry | 1864. | Tennessee | 8th | " | 1864. |
| Maryland | 10th | , | 1864, June. | Vermont | 16th | " | 1862, Oct. |
| Mass. | 59th | " | 1864, July. | W. Virginia | 15th | " | 1862, Sept. |
| Michigan | 27th | * | 1864, Aug. | Wash. Terr. | $18 t$ | " | only reg't |
| Minnesota | 10th | " | 1864, Aug. | Wisconsin | 53d | " | 1864. |

The total number of volunteers whose ages have thus been investigated is 1049457 , of whom 1012273 were enlisted men, and 37184 were commissioned officers. All except $1 \frac{1}{2}$ per centum (.01495) of the men, and $3 \frac{1}{3}$ per centum (.0331) of the officers, were between the ages of 18 and 46 years at the date of their enlistment or commission. Those beyond these limits have not been included in the determination of the general formulas,
so that these depend upon the statistics of ages for 1032600 men, of whom 35953 were commissioned officers.*

The results have proved amenable to law in a higher degree than I had ventured to anticipate. Residual discordances exist, of course, between the numbers for each year of age, as derived from the tabulated records, and those indicated by the general formulas deduced from the whole series; yet where these discordances attain any essential magnitude, they may almost invariably be made to yield instructive and useful information.

The results attained, for that portion of the population who thus rushed to the field at their country's call, naturally suggest analogous inquiries regarding the white male population of the United States, and especially relative to the population of that portion of the country which furnished the volunteers under consideration. And it was not until after many unavailing efforts to obtain information as to the distribution of our population by ages, that the great deficiency of our knowledge of the facts and laws relative to this very important subject became manifest.

The only published attempt, of which I am aware, to classify the population of the United States according to years of age is very crude, and the method pursued yields results quite at variance from the truth. The only trustworthy facts are contained in the summaries of the census-returns; and the groups into which the population is there divided are altogether too large to permit the desired laws to be deduced with ease. It is earnestly to be hoped that in future census-publications the groups may be so made as to include interrals of age not greater than five years.

It thus became important, if only for the sake of comparison between the ages of the volunteer troops and that of the population whence they sprung, to subject the census of 1860 to a similar discussion. And I cannot but think that the results elicited might be advantageously employed, so far as they apply and extend, for the life-tables of our insurance and annuity offices. The life-curve for our American population is clearly diverse from the curve on which the present English tables are based;

[^12]and it is a source of regret that the proper limits of the present investigation forbid its extension into the tempting fields of inquiry which their comparison suggests.

The fact which first attracts attention among the results of this research is the marked diversity between the distribution of the ages of officers and that of the enlisted men. Each follows a clearly manifest law ; in each case the law is deducible with close approximation to the truth; so also is the law governing the ages of our population ; yet each of the three is utterly different from the other two. The sources of the diversity may well be made the object of careful research, and not without a reasonable probability of useful results. Certain discordances between the recorded and the computed numbers for a few particular ages will be considered hereafter.

## 2. Ages of the Enlisted Men.

The grand total of the rank and file of the volunteers whose ages are included in this discussion is shown in the following tabular view, which exhibits the recorded age at last birthday for the entire number; although, as already stated, those under 18 or over 45 (last birthday), 15626 in all, have been excluded from the general discussion. These excluded cases represent two classes, viz. the boys, chiefly drummers, musicians, \&c., and the men who, although past the legal age, were so sturdy or earnest that the enrolling officers did not, at that time of great national peril, feel justified in insisting on an absolute compliance with the legal qualifications.
In the column entitled "Miscellaneous" are included all those organizations which do not belong strictly within the three principal arms of the military service, such as Engineers, Sharpshooters, Mounted Infantry, Coast Guards, Marine Brigades, \&c., together with a few regiments or battalions for which the statistics were received after the special computations for Infantry, Cavalry, and Artillery had been completed, so that their incorporation with these would have required a repetition of the calculations without producing any essential change in the result.

TABLE I.
Classified Summary of Enlisted Volunteers.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Ago } \\ \text { at himpt } \\ \text { birthday. } \end{gathered}$ | AOTUAL NUBEER OP Men. |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { at each year } \\ & \text { of age. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Infantry. | Oomily. | Artillery. | 2Hiodliapeous. |  |
| 13 | 118 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 127 |
| 14 | 288 | 15 | 2 | 25 | 830 |
| 15 | 686 | 49 | 21 | 67 | 778 |
| 16 | 2058 | 232 | 61 | 412 | 2758 |
| 17 | 4653 | 638 | 226 | 908 | 6425 |
| 18 | 103420 | 15013 | 6400 | 9642 | 133175 |
| 19 | 71226 | 9767 | 3439 | 5783 | 90215 |
| 20 | 56238 | 7864 | 2627 | 4329 | 71058 |
| 21 | 75978 | 12081 | 4416 | 4661 | 97136 |
| 22 | 57485 | 9096 | 8107 | 8703 | 73391 |
| 23 | 48984 | 7806 | 2759 | 8198 | 62717 |
| 24 | 40852 | 6361 | 2163 | 2719 | 52095 |
| 25 | 86883 | 5724 | 2012 | 2507 | 46626 |
| 26 | 81292 | 4831 | 1768 | 2352 | 40243 |
| 27 | 26369 | 4192 | 1505 | 2220 | 34286 |
| 28 | 27196 | 4318 | 1525 | 2278 | 85312 |
| 29 | 18833 | 2845 | 1087 | 1748 | 24513 |
| 80 | 21937 | 3251 | 1213 | 1959 | 28360 |
| 81 | 12814 | 2053 | 796 | 2301 | 17954 |
| 32 | 17038 | 2450 | 981 | 1548 | 21967 |
| 88 | 13678 | 1950 | 733 | 1598 | 17979 |
| 84 | 12004 | 1679 | 724 | 1333 | 15740 |
| 35 | 14558 | 2130 | 836 | 1456 | 18980 |
| 86 | 10487 | 1541 | 702 | 1377 | 14057 |
| 87 | 8782 | 1268 | 477 | 1293 | 11820 |
| 88 | 10025 | 1416 | 579 | 1826 | 13346 |
| 39 | 7200 | 979 | 416 | 1001 | 9596 |
| 40 | 10886 | 1441 | 649 | 1019 | 13995 |
| 41 | 5634 | 822 | 320 | 659 | 7435 |
| 42 | 8369 | 1199 | 535 | 826 | 10929 |
| 48 | 7900 | 1079 | 533 | 828 | 10340 |
| 44 | 12274 | 1851 | 796 | 1149 | 16070 |
| 45 | 5509 | 954 | 289 | 260 | 7012 |
| 46 | 737 | 105 | 45 | 80 | 967 |
| 47 | 541 | 74 | 84 | 63 | 712 |
| 48 | 532 | 73 | 31 | 63 | 699 |
| 49 | 354 | 60 | 17 | 38 | 469 |
| 50 \& over. | 1942 | 208 | 68 | 153 | 2366 |

The relative excess of the numbers at certain particular ages, and the corresponding defect at others, strikes the attention at the first glance. To the former class belong the ages, 21 years, most years divisible by 5 (excepting 20 and 45), and those divisible by 2 ; to the latter class belong most of those years of age whose last digit is 1 or 9 . By determining the general law of distribution, we may obtain the measure of this excess, and thus throw light upon the origin of these discordances.
The following facts are also manifest, or readily deducible:-
Of the whole number, 1012273 , about 1 per centum (.0102), were below, and a little more than one half as many (.0052) were above, the limits of military age, interpreted as between the ages 18 and 46.

Of the number 996647 , within these limits, -
The average age at last birthday is . . . . 25.3250
The average age at time of enlistment is . . . 25.8083
The age above and below which the numbers are equal is 23.477 There were of the age 18 years . . . . 13.27 per cent.

| under 21 years |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| under 25 years |  |  |
| under 30 years . . . . . . . | 29.52 | " |
| 76.54 | " |  |

The very close accordance of the proportional numbers for the total force of about a million of men from all the loyal States, with those deduced * by Mr. Elliott for less than 51000 men from the single State of Massachusetts, is very striking. Tables for the individual States and groups of States, herewith presented, unite in corroborating the inference that this distribution is due to no special local influeuces, but to a general and overruling law, which varies but slightly through widely distant regions of our country, and seems scarcely affected by any influences dependent upon immigration from abroad.
This law, which was found by Mr. Elliott to hold good also for the Massachusetts troops, shows the number of volunteers (en listed men, not including officers) at each successive year of age to form a series of which the first differences are in geometrical progression.

When the ratio of this geometrical progression is unity, the

[^13]progression becomes arithmetical; when, as in the present case, it is less than unity, we have a decreasing rate of change.

Let this ratio be denoted by $h$, and the number of men at any given year of age be

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n}=b+c(1-h) h^{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the total number at and over that age will be

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{n}=a-b n+c h^{n} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $n$ denotes the excess of the age above 18 years, at which epoch

$$
s_{0} \mp a+c .
$$

The constants $a, b, c, h$ are to be determined, and we have
$\Delta x_{0}=c(1-h)^{2}, \quad \Delta x_{n}=c h^{n}(1-h)^{2}, \quad \Delta_{m} x_{m n}=c h^{m n}\left(1-h^{m}\right)^{2}$ whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{n}=\frac{\Delta_{m} x_{m n}}{\Delta_{m} x_{(m+1) n}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which enables us to determine $h$ from the most convenient equidistant portions of the series.

The variation of the fundamental equation (2) gives for any change in the values of the constants

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial s_{n}=\partial a-n \partial b+h^{n} \partial c+n c h^{n-1} \partial h, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

by means of which, after an approximate value of $h$ has been deduced from (3), and corresponding values of $a, b, c$ derived from the numerical data for any four years, the corrected values of all four constants may be derived by the method of least squares.

The total number up to any given age, or the definite sum from $x_{0}$ to $x_{n}$, is evidently

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{0}-s_{n}=b n+c\left(1-h^{n}\right)=\sum_{0}^{n} x \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
-n+\frac{c}{\bar{b}} h^{n}=\frac{1}{b}\left(c-\Sigma_{0}^{n} x\right)
$$

or by (2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{1}{b}\left(s_{n}-a\right) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the numerical values deduced from the tables belong not to the age $n$ years, but to that age which corresponds to the average
for all the individuals between $n$ and $n+1$ years, the constants deduced hold good also for the series of these mean ages; the successive annual arguments being really at intervals differing slightly from one year.

The age $t$ corresponding to this average may be deduced for any year with sufficient accuracy for all practical purposes, by putting $n=t$ in the first member of equation (6), and using in the last member the value of $s_{n+1}$ instead of $s_{n}$, which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
-t+\frac{c}{\bar{b}} h^{t}=\frac{1}{\bar{b}}\left(s_{n+i}-a\right) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly we may find the age corresponding to the average for any period of years. For this purpose we replace $8_{n+;}$ in the last member of the equation (7) by

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(s_{n}+s_{n}\right)=a-\frac{1}{2} b\left(n+n^{\prime}\right)+\frac{1}{2} c\left(h^{n}+h^{n}\right)
$$

and the corresponding value of $t$ is the age equivalent to the average of the period included between $n$ and $n^{\prime}$.

Proceeding as above described, and, after the first approximate determination of $h, a, b, c$, from four conveniently situated and equidistant observed values of $s_{n}$, obtaining improved values for all four constants by the method of least squares, the formulas derived from the grand total of all the enlisted men of military age as presented in Table I. are these, which express the relative numbers for every ten thousand : -

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{n}=\$ 77.04+1156.0 \cdot 0.85362^{n} \\
& s_{n}=2102.8-77.04 n+7897.2 \cdot 0.85362^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

With these values the fourth and seventh columns of Table II. are computed, the third and sixth columns showing the "observed," or recorded numbers, reduced to the same scale; and the fifth and eighth columns exhibiting the discordances between the calculated and observed values.

These discordances, although in one sense regular, inasmuch as the larger ones are apparently not the result of so-called accident, or, in other words, of the use of numbers insufficient to eliminate discordances of no palpable significance, are in another sense markedly devoid of regularity, inasmuch as the positive and negative signs alternate freely, and no decided indication seems to exist of a systematic deviation of the general formula.

TABLE II.
Grand Total of Enlisted Men.

|  | Nixamber. | Proportion at and over given ago. |  | Dtifirence.$(0 .-0 .)$ | Proportion et given aso. |  | Difference.$(0 .-0 .)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Obenrred. | Calculated. |  | Obeerred. | Calculatod. |  |
| 18 | 127 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 880 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 773 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 2758 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 6425 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 133475 | 10000 | 10000 | 0 | 1339 | 1233 | -106 |
| 19 | 90218 | 8861 | 8767 | +106 | 903 | 1064 | +159 |
| 20 | 71058 | 7756 | 7708 | - 58 | 718 | 919 | +206 |
| 21 | 97136 | 7043 | 6784 | -259 | 975 | 796 | -179 |
| 22 | 73391 | 6068 | 5988 | - 80 | 736 | 691 | - 45 |
| 23 | 62717 | 5882 | 5297 | - 35 | 629 | 601 | - 28 |
| 24 | 62095 | 4703 | 4696 | - 7 | 523 | 524 | $+1$ |
| 25 | 46626 | 4180 | 4172 | - 8 | 468 | 460 | - 8 |
| 26 | 40243 | 3712 | 8712 | 0 | 404 | 403 | - 1 |
| 27 | 34286 | 8308 | 8309 | $+1$ | 3.4 | 855 | $+11$ |
| 28 | 35312 | 2964 | 2954 | - 10 | 354 | 315 | - 39 |
| - 29 | 24513 | 2610 | 2641 | +31 | 246 | 280 | $+84$ |
| 80 | 28360 | 2364 | 2361 | - 3 | 285 | 250 | - 35 |
| 31 | 17954 | 2079 | 2111 | $+82$ | 181 | 225 | + 44 |
| 82 | 21967 | 1898 | 1886 | - 12 | 221 | 203 | - 18 |
| 38 | 17979 | 1677 | 1683 | $+6$ | 181 | 185 | $+4$ |
| 84 | 15740 | 1498 | 1498 | + 2 | 158 | 169 | +11 |
| 85 | 18980 | 1388 | 1329 | - 9 | 191 | 156 | -85 |
| 36 | 14057 | 1147 | 1173 | + 26 | 141 | 144 | $+3$ |
| 87 | 11820 | 1008 | 1029 | + 23 | 118 | 134 | $+16$ |
| 88 | 13346 | 888 | 895 | + 7 | 133 | 126 | - 7 |
| 89 | 9.596 | 755 | 769 | + 14 | 96 | 118 | +22 |
| 40 | 13995 | 659 | 651 | - 8 | 141 | 112 | - 29 |
| 41 | 7486 | 618 | 589 | $+21$ | 74 | 107 | + 83 |
| 42 | 10929 | 444 | 432 | - 12 | 109 | 103 | - 6 |
| 48 | 10340 | 335 | 829 | - 6 | 104 | 99 | - 5 |
| 44 | 16070 | 231 | 230 | - 1 | 161 | 96 | -65 |
| 45 | 7012 | 70 | 184 | + 64 | 70 | 93 | + 23 |
| 46 | 987 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 | 712 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 | 699 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49 | 469 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 \& | 2363 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The trustworthiness of the equations from which the "calculated" numbers in this table are derived will be readily estimated upon inspection of the two columns which. exhibit the difference between the calculated and observed numbers at the different years of age; and the substitution of the numerical values of the constants in equations (6) and (7) enables us to determine without difficulty the actual average age which corresponds to any given " age last birthday."

Making these numerical substitutions, the equations assume the form

$$
\begin{align*}
-n+102.507(0.85362)^{n} & =-27.2949+0.01298027 s_{n}  \tag{8}\\
t-102.507(0.85362)^{t} & =-27.2949+0.01298027 s_{n+i} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

and yield at once the true ages corresponding to the average of the ages "at last birthday," which will be found as follows : -

| Age lant | Corresponditas average age |
| :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 18.4814 |
| 23 | 23.4828 |
| 28 | 28.4850 |
| 33 | 33.4885 |
| 38 | 38.4924 |
| 43 | 43.4956 |
| 45 | 45.4968 |

Intermediate values may be found by interpolation with all needful accuracy.

Tables similar to Table II. prepared for each arm of the service independently, and for nine States or groups of States, and numbered as Tables III. to XIV. inclusive, are appended.

Such tables were originally constructed for a much larger number of groups; but these twelve will abundantly suffice to make manifest all the marked phenomena which the more detailed series has brought to light.

TABLE III.
United States Volunteer Infantry.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Ago } \\ \text { at that } \\ \text { brthdey. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Namber } \\ & \text { at each year } \\ & \text { of age. } \end{aligned}$ | Proportion at and over upecited age. |  | Difference.(0. - 0.) | Proportion at each year of age. |  | Diforence.$(C .-0 .)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Obmerred. | Calculated. |  | Obeerved. | Calculated. |  |
| 18 | 118 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 288 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 636 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 2058 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 4653 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 108420 | 10000 | 10000 | 0 | 1887 | 1252 | - 85 |
| 19 | 71226 | 8668 | 8748 | $+85$ | 921 | 1078 | +157 |
| 20 | 56238 | 7742 | 7670 | - 72 | 727 | 921 | +194 |
| 21 | 75978 | 7015 | 6749 | -266 | 983 | 802 | -181 |
| 22 | 57485 | 6032 | 5947 | -85 | 743 | 694 | - 49 |
| 28 | 48954 | 5289 | 5258 | - 86 | 683 | 602 | - 81 |
| 24 | 40852 | 4656 | 4651 | - 5 | 528 | 524 | - 4 |
| 25 | 86888 | 4128 | 4127 | - 1 | 470 | 458 | - 12 |
| 26 | 31292 | 3658 | 3669 | $+11$ | 405 | 401 | - 4 |
| 27 | 26869 | 8253 | 8268 | $+15$ | 841 | 353 | + 12 |
| 28 | 27196 | 2912 | 2915 | $+8$ | 852 | 312 | - 40 |
| 29 | 18833 | 2560 | 2603 | $+43$ | 244 | 276 | + 32 |
| 80 | 21987 | 2816 | 2327 | + 11 | 284 | 247 | - 37 |
| 81 | 12814 | 2032 | 2080 | $+48$ | 166 | 221 | + 55 |
| 82 | 17038 | 1866 | 1859 | - 7 | 220 | 200 | - 20 |
| 88 | 18678 | 1646 | 1659 | $+13$ | 177 | 181 | + 4 |
| 84 | 12004 | 1469 | 1478 | $+9$ | 155 | 166 | +11 |
| 85 | 14558 | 1814 | 1812 | - 2 | 188 | 152 | - 86 |
| 86 | 10437 | 1126 | 1160 | +84 | 185 | 141 | $+6$ |
| 87 | 8782 | 991 | 1019 | + 28 | 114 | 131 | $+17$ |
| 88 | 10025 | 877 | 888 | +11 | 180 | 123 | - 7 |
| 89 | 7200 | 747 | 765 | $+18$ | 93 | 116 | +23 |
| 40 | 10886 | 654 | 649 | - 5 | 141 | 110 | - 31 |
| 41 | 5684 | 518 | 689 | $+26$ | 78 | 105 | + 32 |
| 42 | 8869 | 440 | 434 | - 6 | 108 | 101 | - 7 |
| 43 | 7900 | 832 | 833 | $+1$ | 102 | 97 | - 5 |
| 44 | 12274 | 230 | 286 | $+6$ | 159 | 94 | - 65 |
| 45 | 6509 | 71 | 142 | + 71 | 71 | 91 | +80 |
| 46 | 787 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 | 541 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 | 532 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49 | 354 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 | 1942 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE IV.
United States Volunteer Cavalry.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Ape } \\ \text { at linet } \\ \text { urthdey. } \end{gathered}$ | Number at each year of age. | Proportion at and over specined ace. |  | Difirence.$(C .-0 .)$ | Proportion at each year or ago. |  | Difference.$(0 .-0 .)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Obeerved. | Calculated. |  | Obeerved. | Calculatod. |  |
| 13 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 232 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 638 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 15013 | 10000 | 10000 | 0 | 1295 | 1240 | - 55 |
| 19 | 9767 | 8705 | 8760 | $+55$ | $8+2$ | 1074 | +232 |
| 20 | 7864 | 7863 | 7686 | -177 | 682 | 931 | +249 |
| 21 | 12081 | 7181 | 6755 | -426 | 1042 | 808 | -234 |
| 22 | 9096 | 6139 | 6947 | -192 | 784 | 703 | -81 |
| 23 | 7806 | 6855 | 6244 | -111 | 673 | 612 | -61 |
| 24 | 6361 | 4682 | 4632 | - 50 | 549 | 534 | - 15 |
| 25 | 5724 | 4133 | 4098 | - 35 | 494 | 467 | - 27 |
| 26 | 4831 | 3639 | 3631 | - 8 | 417 | 410 | - 7 |
| - 27 | 4192 | 3222 | 3221 | - 1 | 860 | 860 | . 0 |
| - 28 | 4318 | 2862 | 2861 | - 1 | 372 | 318 | - 54 |
| 29 | 2845 | 2490 | 25.3 | $+53$ | 245 | 281 | + 36 |
| 80 | 3251 | 2245 | 2262 | + 17 | 280 | 250 | - 80 |
| 81 | 2043 | 1965 | 2012 | + 47 | 176 | 223 | $+47$ |
| - 82 | 2450 | 1789 | 1789 | 0 | 211 | 200 | - 11 |
| 88 | 1950 | 1578 | 1589 | $+11$ | 168 | 180 | $+12$ |
| 84 | 1679 | 1410 | 1410 | 0 | 145 | 163 | +18 |
| 85 | 2130 | 1265 | 12.17 | - 18 | 184 | 148 | - 86 |
| 86 | 1541 | 1081 | 1098 | $+17$ | 183 | 135 | + 2 |
| 87 | 1268 | 948 | 963 | $+15$ | 109 | 124 | + 15 |
| 88 | $1+16$ | 839 | 839 | 0 | 122 | 115 | - 7 |
| 89 | 979 | 717 | 724 | + 7 | 84 | 107 | +23 |
| 40 | 1441 | 633 | 618 | - 15 | 124 | 100 | - 24 |
| 41 | 822 | 509 | 518 | $+8$ | 71 | 84 | + 23 |
| 42 | 1199 | 438 | 426 | - 12 | 103 | 89 | - 14 |
| 43 | 1079 | 835 | 887 | + 2 | 93 | 85 | - 8 |
| 44 | 1851 | 242 | 252 | + 10 | 160 | 81 | - 79 |
| 45 | 954 | 82 | 170 | +88 | 82 | 78 | - 4 |
| 46 | 103 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 | 74 |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| 48 | 73 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49 | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 | 203 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## TABLE V. <br> United States Volunteer Artillery.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Age } \\ \text { at last } \\ \text { Uirthday. } \end{gathered}$ | Number at each yearof age. | Proportion at and over specified age. |  | Difference.$(0 .-0 .)$ | Proportion at eech year of age. |  | Differsoce.$\left(C_{0}-0 .\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Obeersed. | Calcalated. |  | Obearred. | Calculated. |  |
| 14 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 226 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 5400 | 10000 | 10000 | 0 | 1275 | 1179 | -96 |
| 19 | 3439 | 8725 | 8821 | +96 | 812 | 1024 | +212 |
| 20 | 2627 | 7913 | 7797 | -116 | 620 | 891 | +271 |
| 21 | $4+16$ | 7293 | 6906 | -387 | 1042 | 776 | -266 |
| 22 | 3107 | 6251 | 6130 | -121 | 734 | 678 | - 56 |
| 23 | 2759 | 5517 | 5452 | -65 | 651 | 598 | - 58 |
| 24 | 2163 | 4866 | 4859 | -7 | 611 | 521 | + 10 |
| 25 | 2012 | 4355 | 4338 | - 17 | 475 | 459 | - 16 |
| 26 | 1768 | 8880 | 8879 | - 1 | 417 | 405 | - 12 |
| 87 | 1505 | 3463 | 8474 | $+11$ | 355 | 859 | $+4$ |
| 28 | 1525 | 3108 | 8115 | $+7$ | 860 | 820 | - 40 |
| 29 | 1087 | 2748 | 2795 | $+47$ | 257 | 286 | + 29 |
| 80 | 1218 | 2491 | 2509 | + 18 | 286 | 257 | - 29 |
| 81 | 796 | 2205 | 2252 | $+47$ | 188 | 282 | + 44 |
| 82 | 931 | 2017 | 2020 | $+3$ | 220 | 211 | - 9 |
| 33 | 753 | 1797 | 1809 | + 12 | 178 | 198 | $+15$ |
| 84 | 724 | 1619 | 1616 | - 8 | 171 | 177 | + 6 |
| 85 | 886 | 1448 | 1439 | - 9 | 197 | 163 | - 34 |
| 86 | 702 | 1251 | 1276 | $+25$ | 166 | 151 | - 15 |
| 37 | 477 | 1085 | 1125 | $+40$ | 118 | 142 | +29 |
| 38 | 579 | 972 | 983 | $+11$ | 187 | 133 | - 4 |
| 39 | 416 | 835 | 850 | $+15$ | 98 | 126 | $+28$ |
| 40 | 649 | 737 | 724 | - 13 | 153 | 119 | -84 |
| 41 | 820 | 584 | 605 | +21 | 76 | 114 | + 38 |
| 42 | 535 | 508 | 491 | $-17$ | 126 | 109 | - 17 |
| 43 | 533 | 382 | 382 | 0 | 126 | 105 | -21 |
| 44 | 796 | 256 | 277 | +21 | 188 | 102 | -86 |
| 45 | 289 | 68 | 175 | +107 | 68 | 100 | +82 |
| 46 | 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 | 84 | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 | 81 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 | 68 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE VI.
Ages of Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, and Connecticut Vol's.

| $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Ago } \\ \text { at } \\ \text { urthetay. } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Number at each year of ago. | Proportion at and over specifed age. |  | Diberence.$(C .-0 .)$ | Proportion at each year of age. |  | Diterence.$\text { (C. }-0 .)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Obmarved. | Calculated. |  | Obmasred. | Calculated. |  |
| 13 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 95 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 223 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 11694 | 10000 | 10001 | $+1$ | 1522 | 3245 | -277 |
| 19 | 6541 | 8478 | 8756 | +278 | 852 | 1071 | +219 |
| 20 | 5811 | 7626 | 7685 | + 59 | 691 | 923 | +232 |
| 21 | 7477 | 6935 | 6762 | -178 | 976 | 800 | -176 |
| 22 | 5356 | 5959 | 5962 | + 3 | . 699 | 685 | - 14 |
| 23 | 4614 | 5260 | 5277 | + 17 | 604 | 598 | - 6 |
| 24 | 3824 | 4656 | 4679 | + 23 | 800 | 519 | + 19 |
| 25 | 3357 | 4156 | 4160 | + 4 | 440 | 453 | +18 |
| 26 | 2988 | 3716 | 3707 | - 9 | 390 | 397 | + 7 |
| 27 | 2590 | 3826 | 3810 | - 16 | 838 | 350 | + 12 |
| 28 | 2762 | 2988 | 2960 | - 28 | 361 | 307 | - 54 |
| 29 | 1881 | 2627 | 2653 | +26 | 245 | 278 | +28 |
| 30 | 1983 | 2382 | 2380 | - 2 | 259 | 243 | - 16 |
| 31 | 1362 | 2123 | 2137 | +14 | 177 | 218 | + 41 |
| 32 | 1609 | 1916 | 1919 | - 27 | 210 | 196 | - 14 |
| 83 | 1427 | 1736 | 1728 | - 13 | 185 | 178 | - 7 |
| 84 | 1141 | 1551 | 15.15 | - 6 | 149 | 168 | + 14 |
| 85 | 1355 | 1402 | 1382 | - 20 | 176 | 149 | - 27 |
| 86 | 1046 | 1226 | 1233 | + 7 | 136 | 138 | + 2 |
| 37 | 989 | 1090 | 1095 | + 5 | 127 | 128 | + 1 |
| 38 | 1005 | 963 | 967 | + 4 | 131 | 118 | - 13 |
| 89 | . 817 | 832 | 849 | $+17$ | 107 | 115 | $+8$ |
| 40 | 969 | 725 | 734 | + 9 | 127 | 108 | - 19 |
| 41 | 604 | 598 | 626 | + 28 | 77 | 102 | + 25 |
| 42 | 882 | 521 | 524 | $+3$ | 115 | 97 | - 18 |
| 43 | 870 | 406 | 427 | +21 | 118 | 95 | - 18 |
| 44 | 1789 | 293 | 832 | + 89 | 233 | 90 | -148 |
| 45 | 459 | 60 | 242 | +182 | 60 | 88 | +28 |
| 46 | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 | 88 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 | 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 \& over. | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE VII.
Ages of Massachusetts Voluntecrs.

| Appo birthday. | Number at each year of age. | Proportion at and over specifed age. |  | Diference.$(0 .-0 .)$ | Proportion at each year of age. |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { Diflerence: } \\ \text { (C. - 0.) } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Obeerved. | Calcalated. |  | Observed. | Caleulated. |  |
| 12 | 4 | , |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 101 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 289 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 6894 | 10000 | 10000 | 0 | 1269 | 1145 | -124 |
| 19 | 4582 | 8731 | 8855 | +124 | 846 | 1002 | +156 |
| 20 | 8604 | 7885 | 7853 | -82 | 666 | 877 | +211 |
| 21 | 5429 | 7219 | 6976 | -243 | 1008 | 771 | -232 |
| 22 | 8860 | 6216 | 6205 | - 11 | 718 | 678 | - 35 |
| 23 | 3208 | 5518 | 5527 | +14 | 592 | 597 | $+5$ |
| 24 | 2871 | 4921 | 4930 | + 9 | 680 | 528 | - 2 |
| 25 | 2474 | 4391 | 4402 | +11 | 457 | 467 | $+10$ |
| 26 | 2232 | 8984 | 8985 | + 1 | 412 | 415 | + 3 |
| 27 | 1962 | 8522 | 8520 | - 2 | 862 | 370 | $+8$ |
| 28 | 2041 | 8160 | 3150 | - 10 | 877 | 830 | -47 |
| 29 | 1411 | 2788 | 2820 | $+87$ | 260 | 296 | $+86$ |
| 80 | 1564 | 2523 | 2524 | + 1 | 288 | 267 | -21 |
| 81 | 988 | 2235 | 2257 | + 22 | 183 | 242 | + 59 |
| 32 | 1233 | 2042 | 2015 | - 27 | 228 | 219 | - 9 |
| 83 | 1041 | 1814 | 1796 | - 18 | 198 | 200 | + 7 |
| 84 | 980 | 1621 | 1596 | - 25 | 181 | 184 | + 8 |
| 85 | 1213 | 1440 | 1412 | - 28 | 224 | 169 | - 55 |
| 86 | 761 | 1216 | 1243 | + 27 | 141 | 157 | $+16$ |
| 37 | 699 | 1075 | 1086 | + 11 | 129 | 146 | $+17$ |
| 88 | 828 | 946 | 940 | - 6 | 158 | 137 | - 16 |
| 89 | 600 | 798 | 803 | $+10$ | 111 | 129 | $+18$ |
| 40 | 888 | 682 | 674 | - 8 | 155 | 122 | - 33 |
| 41 | 440 | 527 | 552 | + 25 | 81 | 116 | + 35 |
| 42 | 658 | 446 | 436 | - 10 | 122 | 110 | - 12 |
| 43 | 696 | 824 | 826 | + 2 | 110 | 106 | - 4 |
| 44 | 859 | 214 | 220 | + 6 | 159 | 102 | - 57 |
| 45 | 296 | 65 | 118 | + 68 | 65 | 98 | + 43 |
| 46 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 49 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $50 \&$ over. | 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE VIII.
Ages of New York Volunteers.

| $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Age } \\ \text { at lacte } \\ \text { birthday. } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Number. at each year | Proportion at and over speciled ags. |  | Diference.$\text { (c. }-0 .)$ | Proportion at each jear of ago. |  | Dificruen.$(0 .-0 .)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Obeorved. | Calculated. |  | Obbarred. | Caloulated. |  |
| 13 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 68 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 153 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 448 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 699 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 19787 | 10000 | 10000 | 0 | 1087 | 1178 | $+86$ |
| 19 | 16233 | 8918 | 8827 | -86 | 894 | 1019 | +125 |
| 20 | 11286 | 8019 | 7808 | -211 | 621 | 887 | +266 |
| 21 | 20227 | 7898 | 6922 | -476 | 1114 | 778 | -341 |
| 22 | 13689 | 6284 | 6149 | -135 | 754 | 675 | - 79 |
| 23 | 11516 | 6580 | 5774 | +244 | 684 | 592 | - 42 |
| 24 | 9488 | 4896 | 4882 | - 14 | 523 | 520 | - 8 |
| 25 | 8648 | 4878 | 4363 | - 10 | 476 | 469 | - 17 |
| 26 | 7285 | 3897 | 8904 | + 7 | 401 | 406 | + 5 |
| 27 | 6223 | 8496 | 8498 | + 2 | 843 | 860 | + 17 |
| 28 | 6652 | 8158 | 8138 | - 15 | 866 | 822 | - 44 |
| 29 | 4562 | 2787 | 2816 | + 29 | 251 | 289 | + 38 |
| 30 | 5474 | 2586 | 2527 | - 9 | 801 | 260 | - 41 |
| 81 | 8287 | 2285 | 2267 | + 32 | 181 | 236 | + 55 |
| 32 | 4533 | 2054 | 2031 | - 23 | 249 | 215 | - 34 |
| 33 | 3830 | 1805 | 1816 | +11 | 184 | 197 | $+13$ |
| 84 | 8185 | 1621 | 1619 | - 2 | 178 | 182 | + 9 |
| 85 | 3885 | 1448 | 1487 | - 11 | 114 | 168 | + 54 |
| 36 | 2872 | 1234 | 1269 | + 35 | 158 | 157 | - 1 |
| 87 | 2201 | 1076 | 1112 | +86 | 121 | 146 | + 25 |
| 88 | 2709 | 955 | 966 | +11 | 149 | 189 | - 10 |
| 89 | 1858 | 806 | 827 | +21 | 103 | 132 | + 29 |
| 40 | 8157 | 708 | 695 | - 8 | 178 | 126 | -47 |
| 41 | 1268 | 530 | 669 | + 39 | 70 | 121 | + 51 |
| 42 | 2803 | 460 | 448 | - 12 | 127 | 116 | - 11 |
| 48 | 2068 | 383 | 882 | - 1 | 114 | 112 | - 2 |
| 44 | 8148 | 219 | 220 | + 1 | 173 | 109 | - 64 |
| 45 | 881 | 46 | 111 | + 65 | 46 | 106 | $+60$ |
| 46 | 87 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 | 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 | 58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49 | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 \& over. | 103 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE IX.
Ages of Pennsylvania Volunteers (including Reserves).

| $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Age } \\ \text { at last } \\ \text { birthday } \end{array}\right\|$ | Number at each yearof age. | Proportion at and over specified ago. |  | Diference.$(0 .-0 .)$ | Proportion at each year of age. |  | Difierence.(C. - 0.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Obeerved. | Calculated. |  | Obeerred. | Calculated. |  |
| 18 | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 241 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 486 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 13052 | 10000 | 10000 | 0 | 1187 | 1339 | +202 |
| 19 | 11410 | 8863 | 8661 | -202 | 994 | 1131 | +187 |
| 20 | 8234 | 7869 | 7530 | -339 | 717 | 959 | +242 |
| 21 | 13336 | 7152 | 6571 | -581 | 1161 | 814 | -347 |
| 22 | 9876 | 5991 | 5757 | -234 | 816 | 694 | -122 |
| 23 | 7696 | 6175 | 5068 | -112 | 670 | 595 | - 75 |
| 24 | 6061 | 4505 | 4468 | - 87 | 528 | 510 | - 18 |
| 25 | 5375 | 8977 | 8958 | - 19 | 468 | 441 | - 27 |
| 26 | 4420 | 8509 | 8517 | $+8$ | 885 | 382 | - 3 |
| 27 | 3578 | 8124 | 3185 | +11 | 811 | 834 | +23 |
| 28 | 3817 | 2818 | 2801 | - 12 | 882 | 293 | - 39 |
| 29 | 2644 | 2481 | 2508 | $+27$ | 230 | 260 | + 80 |
| 80 | '2928 | 2251 | 2248 | - 3 | 255 | 232 | - 23 |
| 81 | 2029 | 1996 | 2016 | $+20$ | 177 | 208 | $+81$ |
| 32 | 2375 | 1819 | 1808 | - 11 | 207 | 188 | - 19 |
| 83 | . 1903 | 1612 | 1620 | $+8$ | 166 | 173 | $+7$ |
| 34 | 1637 | 1446 | 1447 | $+1$ | 144 | 158 | +. 14 |
| 35 | 2089 | 1302 | 1289 | - 13 | 182 | 147 | - 35 |
| 36 | 1490 | 1120 | 1142 | + 22 | 180 | 188 | $+8$ |
| 37 | 1290 | 990 | 1004 | $+14$ | 112 | 130 | + 18 |
| 38 | 1434 | 878 | 874 | - 4 | 125 | 124 | - 1 |
| 89 | 1141 | 753 | 750 | - 8 | 99 | 118 | + 19 |
| 40 | 1692 | 654 | 632 | - 22 | 147 | 113 | - 84 |
| 41 | 918 | 507 | 519 | + 12 | 80 | 109 | + 29 |
| 42 | 1431 | 427 | 410 | $+17$ | 124 | 106 | - 18 |
| 43 | 1818 | 308 | 807 | + 4 | 115 | 103 | - 12 |
| 44 | 1674 | 188 | 206 | $+18$ | 146 | 101 | - 45 |
| 45 | 480 | 42 | 105 | $+63$ | 42 | 99 | + 67 |
| 46 | 78 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 | 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 | 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49 | 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 \& over. | 109 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE X.
Ages of Ohio Volunteers.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Ago } \\ \text { at last } \\ \text { Mrthdey. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { at each year } \\ & \text { of age. } \end{aligned}$ | Proportion at and over apecified age. |  | Dillemence.$\text { (C. }-0 . \text { ) }$ | Proportion at eech jear of ago. |  | Difierence.(C. - 0.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Obeerred. | Calculated. |  | Obeerved. | Calculated. |  |
| 13 | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 103 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 470 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 1476 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 23495 | 10000 | 10000 | 0 | 1567 | 1359 | -208 |
| 19 | 14986 | 8433 | 8641 | +208 | 999 | 1143 | +144 |
| 20 | 12358 | 7434 | 7498 | $+64$ | 825 | 968 | +138 |
| 21 | 12819 | 6609 | 6535 | -74 | 855 | 815 | - 40 |
| 22 | 10499 | 5754 | 5720 | - 34 | 700 | 692 | - 8 |
| 23 | 9297 | 5054 | 5028 | - 26 | 620 | 590 | - 30 |
| 24 | 7327 | 4434 | 4438 | - 6 | 489 | 505 | $+16$ |
| 25 | 6502 | 8945 | 8933 | - 12 | 430 | 435 | $+5$ |
| 26 | 5678 | 8515 | 8498 | - 17 | 382 | 877 | - 5 |
| 27 | 4739 | 3133 | 8121 | - 12 | 316 | 829 | $+13$ |
| 28 | 4997 | 2817 | 2792 | - 25 | 333 | 289 | - 44 |
| 29 | 8570 | 2484 | 2503 | + 19 | 238 | 256 | $+18$ |
| 30 | 8960 | 2246 | 2247 | + 1 | 264 | 228. | - 36 |
| 31 | 2596 | 1982 | 2019 | $+37$ | 174 | 206 | + 32 |
| 32 | 8029 | 1808 | 1813 | + 5 | 201 | 187 | - 14 |
| 33 | 2669 | 1607 | 1626 | $+19$ | 178 | 171 | - 7 |
| 34 | 2302 | 1429 | 1455 | + 26 | 154 | 159 | $+5$ |
| 35 | 2659 | 1275 | 1296 | + 21 | 178 | 148 | - 80 |
| 86 | 2216 | 1097 | 1148 | + 51 | 147 | 139 | - 8 |
| 37 | 1830 | 950 | 1009 | + 59 | 123 | 132 | + 9 |
| 83 | 1959 | 827 | 877 | + 50 | 130 | 125 | - 5 |
| 89 | 1424 | 697 | 752 | $+55$ | 95 | 120 | + 25 |
| 40 | 1880 | 602 | 632 | + 30 | 126 | 116 | - 10 |
| 41 | 1097 | 476 | 516 | $+40$ | 73 | 113 | $+40$ |
| 42 | 1513 | 408 | 403 | 0 | 101 | 110 | + 9 |
| 43 | 1337 | 302 | 293 | - 9 | 89 | 108 | + 19 |
| 44 | 2070 | 213 | 185 | - 28 | 138 | 106 | - 32 |
| 45 | 1128 | 75 | 79 | + 4 | 75 | 104 | + 29 |
| 46 | 202 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 | 161 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 | 145 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49 | 104 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 \& over. | 471 |  |  |  | - |  |  |

## TABLE XI.

Ages of Indiana Volunteers.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Ago } \\ \text { at laot } \\ \text { brthday. } \end{gathered}$ | Number at each year of age. | Proportion at and over speciied age. |  | Difference.$(0 .-0 .)$ | Proportion at each year of age. |  | Difference.$(0 .-0 .)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Obeerved. | Calcalated. |  | Obearred. | Calculated. |  |
| 18 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 162 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 678 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 11178 | 10000 | 10000 | 0 | 1608 | 14.46 | -162 |
| 19 | 7175 | 8392 | 8554 | +162 | 1032 | 1223 | +191 |
| 20 | 6478 | 7860 | 7881 | - 29 | 932 | 1035 | +108 |
| 21 | 6398 | 6428 | 6296 | -132 | 920 | 877 | - 43 |
| 22 | 5580 | 5508 | 5419 | -89 | 802 | 744 | - 58 |
| 23 | 4562 | 4706 | 4675 | - 31 | 656 | 632 | - 24 |
| 24 | 3782 | 4050 | 4048 | - 7 | 544 | 588 | - 6 |
| 25 | 8216 | 8506 | 3505 | - 1 | 462 | 460 | - 2 |
| 26 | 2707 | 8014 | 8045 | + 1 | 890 | 894 | + 4 |
| 27 | 2269 | 2654 | 2651 | - 8 | 826 | 337 | + 11 |
| 28 | 2272 | 2328 | 2314 | - 14 | 827 | 290 | - 37 |
| 29 | 1513 | 2001 | 2024 | + 23 | 217 | 251 | + 34 |
| 80 | . 1799 | 1784 | 1773 | - 11 | 259 | 218 | - 41 |
| 81 | 1013 | 1525 | 1555 | + 30 | 145 | 190 | $+45$ |
| 32 | 1230 | 1380 | 1365 | - 15 | 177 | 166 | - 11 |
| 33 | 1046 | 1203 | 1200 | - 3 | 151 | 146 | - 5 |
| 84 | 871 | 1052 | 1053 | $+1$ | 125 | 180 | + 5 |
| 35 | 962 | 927 | 928 | - 4 | 138 | 116 | - 22 |
| 36 | 666 | 789 | 806 | + 17 | 96 | 104 | $+8$ |
| 37 | 589 | 693 | 702 | + 9 | 85 | 94 | + 9 |
| 38 | 656 | 608 | 608 | 0 | 94 | 86 | - 8 |
| 89 | 428 | 514 | 522 | $+8$ | 62 | 79 | $+17$ |
| 40 | 688 | 452 | 443 | - 9 | 98 | 73 | - 25 |
| 41 | 371 | 854 | 370 | $+16$ | 53 | 68 | $+15$ |
| 42 | 482 | 801 | 302 | $+1$ | 69 | 64 | - 5 |
| 43 | 471 | 232 | 238 | $+6$ | 68 | 60 | - 8 |
| 44 | 682 | 164 | 178 | + 14 | 98 | 67 | - 41 |
| 45 | 457 | 66 | 121 | $+55$ | 66 | 65 | - 11 |
| 46 | 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 | 87 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49 | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 \& over. | 146 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE XII.
Ages of Michigan Volunteers.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Ate } \\ \text { at heot } \\ \text { brthday. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Namber. } \\ & \text { at eech jear } \\ & \text { of age. } \end{aligned}$ | Proportion at and over epecified age. |  | Difitrence.$(0 .-0 .)$ | Proportion at each yearof ago. |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { Diserence. } \\ (0 .-0 .) \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Obeerved. | Calculated. |  | Oberred. | Calculated. |  |
| 18 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 112 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 299 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 5862 | 10000 | 10000 | 0 | 1623 | 1279 | -244 |
| 19 | 8437 | 8477 | 8721 | +244 | 898 | 1098 | +205 |
| 20 | 2767 | 7584 | 7623 | + 89 | 719 | 948 | +224 |
| 21 | 3727 | 6865 | 6680 | -185 | 968 | 812 | -156 |
| 22 | 2802 | 5897 | 5868 | - 29 | 728 | 700 | - 28 |
| 23 | 2337 | 5169 | 5168 | - 1 | 607 | 605 | - 2 |
| 24 | 1963 | 4562 | 4563 | $+1$ | 510 | 524 | $+14$ |
| 25 | 1724 | 4052 | 4039 | - 18 | 448 | 455 | + 7 |
| 26 | 1568 | 8604 | 8584 | - 20 | 407 | 396 | - 11 |
| 27 | 1297 | 8197 | 8188 | - 9 | 837 | 846 | $+9$ |
| 28 | 1335 | 2860 - | 2842 | - 18 | 347 | 304 | - 48 |
| 29 | 923 | 2513 | 2538 | + 25 | 240 | 268 | $+28$ |
| 80 | 989 | 2273 | 2270 | - 8 | 257 | 237 | - 20 |
| 31 | 695 | 2016 | 2083 | $+17$ | 180 | 211 | $+31$ |
| 32 | 843 | 1836 | 1822 | - 14 | 219 | 188 | -81 |
| 33 | 614 | 1617 | 1634 | $+17$ | 160 | 169 | $+9$ |
| 84 | 527 | 1457 | 1465 | $+8$ | 187 | 153 | $+16$ |
| 85 | 668 | 1820 | 1312 | - 8 | 173 | 140 | - 33 |
| 86 | 481 | 1147 | 1172 | $+25$ | 125 | 128 | $+8$ |
| 37 | 411 | 1022 | 1044 | + 22 | 107 | 118 | $+11$ |
| 38 | 458 | 915 | 926 | +11 | 119 | 109 | - 10 |
| 89 | 818 | 796 | 817 | + 21 | 81 | 102 | +21 |
| 40 | 466 | 715 | 715 | + 0 | 121 | 96 | -25 |
| 41 | 256 | 594 | 619 | + 25 | 67 | 91 | $+24$ |
| 42 | 403 | 527 | 528 | + 1 | 105 | 86 | - 19 |
| 43 | 400 | 422 | 442 | + 20 | 104 | 83 | - 21 |
| 44 | 825 | 818 | 859 | + 41 | 214 | 79 | -185 |
| 45 | 898 | 104 | 280 | +176 | 104 | 77 | - 27 |
| 46 | 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43 | 26 |  |  |  |  | , |  |
| 49 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 \& over. | 61 |  |  |  |  | ־ |  |

TABLE XIII.
Ages of Illinois Voluntecrs.

| $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Ago } \\ \text { at lant } \\ \text { Mrthday. } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { at each year } \\ & \text { of age. } \end{aligned}$ | Proportion at and over specifed age. |  | Difference.(c. - 0.) | Proportion at each jear of age. |  | Difference.$(0 .-0 .)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Obeerred. | Calculated. |  | Obwerred. | Calculated. |  |
| 18 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 250 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 539 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 10167 | 10000 | 10080 | $+80$ | 1070 | 942 | -128 |
| 19 | 8848 | 8930 | 9138 | +208 | 879 | 1048 | +164 |
| 20 | 7076 | 8051 | 8095 | $+14$ | 745 | 958 | +218 |
| 21 | 8709 | 7306 | 7137 | -169 | 916 | 858 | - 58 |
| 22 | 7441 | 6390 | 6279 | -111 | 788 | 766 | - 17 |
| 28 | 6872 | 5607 | 5518 | -94 | 723 | 677 | - 46 |
| 24 | 6019 | 4884 | 4836 | - 48 | 684 | 600 | - 34 |
| 25 | 6815 | 4250 | 4236 | - 14 | 559 | 529 | - 80 |
| 26 | 4441 | 8691 | 3707 | + 16 | 468 | 465 | - 8 |
| 27 | 8810 | 8223 | 8242 | +19 | 401 | 410 | $+9$ |
| 28 | 3677 | 2822 | 2832 | + 10 | 887 | 858 | - 29 |
| 29 | 2622 | 2435 | 2474 | + 39 | 278 | 815 | +89 |
| 80 | 2869 | 2159 | 2159 | 0 | 802 | 276 | - 26 |
| 81 | 1847 | 1857 | 1883 | $+26$ | 194 | 242 | $+48$ |
| 82 | 2076 | 1668 | 1641 | - 22 | 219 | 211 | - 8 |
| 38 | 1666 | 1444 | 1430 | - 14 | 175 | 185 | +10 |
| 84 | 1508 | 1269 | 1245 | - 24 | 159 | 162 | + 8 |
| 85 | 1868 | 1110 | 1083 | - 27 | 165 | 142 | - 28 |
| 36 | 1243 | 945 | 941 | - 4 | 131 | 124 | - 7 |
| 87 | 944 | 814 | 817 | + 3 | 99 | 110 | $+11$ |
| 88 | 1056 | 715 | 707 | - 8 | 111 | 98 | - 15 |
| 39 | 725 | 604 | 611 | + 7 | 77 | 87 | $+10$ |
| 40 | 1040 | 527 | 524 | - 8 | 109 | 77 | - 82 |
| 41 | 607 | 418 | 447 | + 29 | 64 | 69 | + 5 |
| 42 | 816 | 354 | 378 | + 24 | 86 | 64 | - 18 |
| 43 | 734 | 268 | 814 | $+46$ | 77 | 69 | - 18 |
| 44 | 1075 | 191 | 255 | $+69$ | 118 | 54 | - 59 |
| 45 | 787 | 78 | 201 | +123 | 78 | 50 | - 28 |
| 46 | 88 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 | 86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 | 78 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49 | 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 \& over. | 237 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE XIV.
Ages of Wisconsin and Iowa Volunteers.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Ago } \\ \text { Athot } \\ \text { Wurthday. } \end{gathered}$ | Number at eech year of age. | Proportion at and over apecifiod a |  | Difierence.$\text { (C. }-0 .)$ | Proportion at each year of age. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Difiersece. } \\ & (0 .-0 .) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Obemered. | Calculatod. |  | Obeerred. | Caloulated. |  |
| 18 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 869 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 829 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 11083 | 10000 | 10000 | 0 | 1485 | 1221 | -264 |
| 19 | 6440 | 8515 | 8779 | +264 | 863 | 1048 | +185 |
| 20 | 4874 | 7652 | 7731 | + 79 | 658 | 902 | +249 |
| 21 | 7082 | 6999 | 6829 | -170 | 949 | 778 | -171 |
| 22 | 6271 | 6050 | 6050 | 0 | 707 | 673 | - 34 |
| 23 | 4240 | 5343 | 6377 | + 34 | 569 | 685 | + 16 |
| 24 | 8718 | 4774 | 4792 | + 18 | 499 | 610 | +11 |
| 25 | 8260 | 4275 | 4282 | + 7 | 437 | 447 | + 10 |
| 26 | 2958 | 3838 | 8835 | - 3 | 896 | 898 | - 3 |
| 27 | 2675 | 8442 | 8442 | 0 | 859 | 345 | - 14 |
| 28 | 2495 | 3083 | 3097 | + 14 | 334 | 310 | - 24 |
| 29 | 18.4 | 2749 | 2787 | + 38 | 247 | 277 | + 30 |
| 80 | 1978 | 2502 | 2510 | $+8$ | 264 | 250 | - 14 |
| 31 | 1472 | 2238 | 2260 | + 22 | 196 | 227 | + 81 |
| 82 | 1674 | 2042 | 2033 | - 9 | 224 | 207 | - 17 |
| 33 | 1482 | 1818 | 1826 | $+8$ | 192 | 191 | - 1 |
| 84 | 1237 | 1626 | 1635 | $+9$ | 166 | 177 | $+11$ |
| 35 | 1859 | 1460 | 1458 | - 2 | 182 | 165 | - 17 |
| 86 | 1154 | 1278 | 1293 | +15 | 155 | 155 | 0 |
| 37 | 1022 | 1123 | 1138 | $+15$ | 137 | 146 | $+9$ |
| 38 | 1104 | 986 | 992 | + 6 | 148 | 189 | - 9 |
| 89 | 878 | 888 | 853 | + 15 | 117 | 183 | $+16$ |
| 40 | 967 | 721 | 720 | - 1 | 130 | 128 | - 2 |
| 41 | 670 | 591 | 692 | $+1$ | 90 | 124 | +84 |
| 42 | 886 | 501 | 468 | - 33 | 119 | 120 | + 1 |
| 43 | 950 | 382 | 348 | -84 | 127 | 117 | $-10$ |
| 44 | 1874 | 255 | 231 | -24 | 184 | 114 | - 70 |
| 45 | 581 | 71 | 117 | $+46$ | 71 | 112 | + 41 |
| 46 | 113 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 | 108 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 | 115 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49 | 76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 \& over. | 632 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The agreement of these several special results with those deduced from their aggregate is remarkable. Only in one case, that of the Illinois troops, has the simple formula

$$
s_{n}=a-b n+c h^{n}
$$

failed to give all desired accordance between theory and observation; and throughout the whole series the same peculiarities in the residuals are recognizable. In this connection I may add, what is in itself very significant, that attempts to deduce a law of distribution of age for troops recruited in Missouri, Kentucky, Temnessee, and Virginia have proved fruitless, and only small success was attainable for the Maryland volunteers. The inference is obvious, that the volunteering of troops from these States was not subject to the undisturbed influence of any statistical law. In the case of Illinois troops, a curious anomaly manifested itself in the residuals, namely, a cyclical or periodic term. This was found to be represented with sufficient accuracy by adding to the formula a term $d \sin \sqrt{\bar{n}} \cdot 68^{\circ}$, in which $d=314$. I know of no satisfactory interpretation of this expression, but it has been used in the preparation of the table for that State.

In Table XV. is presented a summary of the results deduced from the special groups presented in Tables II. to XIV. All the constants are reduced to the same scale, and hold good for 10000 troops of the ages 18 to 45 at last birthday, inclusive. The mean ages, as here given, refer, not to the last birthday, but to the actual date of enlistment.

The values of the constants for these special tables have been determined from a smaller number of equations of condition than were used for the grand total. In that each year was specially used; in these the results were deduced from eight normal places.

## TABLE XV. <br> Constants deduced for Special Classes of Volunteers.

| Ona. | Namber of Sol- |  | Mean age at en-listment |  | $a$ | $b$ |  | $h$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | or all agen. | Of mill. tary age. | Yor all. | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Por } \\ 18 \text { to } 45 \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total Enlisted Men | 1012273 | 996647 | 25.8362 | 25.8083 | 2102.8 | 77.04 | 7897.2 | 0.8536 |
| Total Infantry | 785120 | 773271 | 25.7827 | 25.7484 | 2080.0 | 75.84 | 7920.0 | 0.8514 |
| Total Cavalry | 117405 | 115951 | 25.8110 | 25.7795 | 1595.0 | 57.90 | 8405.0 | 0.8593 |
| Total Artillery | 42862 | 42357 | 26.1576 | 26.1202 | 2239.0 | 81.20 | 7761.0 | 0.8585 |
| Me., N.H., Vt., Conn. | 76445 | 75881 | 26.8792 | 25.8423 | 2112.0 | 73.06 | 7889.0 | 0.8514 |
| Massachusetts | 54705 | 54137 | 26.0561 | $26.09+3$ | 2016.0 | 76.40 | 798. 0 | 0.8662 |
| New York | 183281 | 181591 | 26.1308 | 26.1642 | 2390.5 | 88.86 | 7609.5 | 0.8575 |
| Pennsylvania | 116043 | $1148+4$ | 28.8227 | 25.8331 | 2477.4 | 90.20 | 7523.0 | 0.8340 |
| Ohio | 153133 | 149936 | 25.4936 | 25.3859 | 2625.0 | 96.08 | 7375.0 | 0.8287 |
| Indiana | 70673 | 69536 | 24.7100 | 24.6858 | 1175.0 | 42.18 | 8825.0 | 0.8409 |
| Michigan | 39107 | 38489 | 25.5290 | 25.5276 | 1827.0 | 61.30 | 3173.0 | 0.8510 |
| Illinois | 96409 | 95003 | 25.9369 | 25.8935 | 2023.0 | 70.66 | 8057.0 | 0.8558 |
| Wisconsin and Iowa | 76057 | 74618 | 26.1571 | 25.9991 | 2737.0 | 100.20 | 7263.0 | 0.8456 |

In considering the residuals, the most striking feature is the excess of the recorded numbers at 18 and 21, which latter excess is counterbalanced by a deficiency at 20 and to some extent at 19 also. The explanation of this is readily found in the facts that enlistments of youths under 18 are not valid without the formal consent of parents, and that 21 is the period at which minority ceases. There can be no reasonable doubt that these residuals furnish the measure of the number under 18 and under 21, who misstated their age to the mustering officer. At the age of 18 the discordance is less marked than at 21 , since the inducements to misstate operated near this age in different directions, many of those at 18 probably representing themselves as 21 years old, while their number was made good by others who untruly declared themselves as having completed their 18th year.

The excess of the recorded number at 21 averages 14 per cent., that deficiency at 20 is about 2 per cent., and at 19 about 18 per cent. The number recorded for 18 years is in excess by 1 per cent., although it varies very considerably in the different groups.

A large excess, representing the number of those who from similar motives understated their ages, is also to be seen at the age
of 44 in most States, corresponding to an analogous deficiency at 45. This varies, however, in different States, owing in all probability to the different interpretation by the mustering officers of that provision of the law which precluded the acceptance of men over 45 years old. The average, in the more elaborately calculated table for the grand total, places the number at 44 in excess of the computed number by two thirds of its whole amount, and leaves that at 45 in defect by one fourth part.

For all other ages than those enumerated, the regular excess or defect of the residuals furnishes apparently the measure of the accuracy with which the ages were stated or recorded. It will be seen that at those ages which correspond to what are called round numbers, such as those divisible by 10 , also, though to a less extent, at those divisible by 5 , and in a still less but yet recognizable degree, at those divisible by 2 , the recorded numbers are in excess; while the adjacent numbers, especially those ending in 1,9 , and 7 , are in defect. The natural tendency which every one will recognize, and which inclines us to make use of certain more habitually employed numbers, rather than to use a minuteness repugnant to some persons, furnishes an adequate and, as I believe, the true explanation.

It will be readily noted that where any two of the above-named principles conflict, the residual is diminished; and that where they act in combination it is increased.

Lines showing the computed and the enrolled numbers of enlisted men are given on Chart $A$, and readily manifest these facts to the eye. The other data upon this chart are given for comparison, and will be referred to hereafter. It will be borne in mind that the numbers given do not, by a large amount, represent the actual numbers of enlisted volunteers or of volunteer officers, nor probably so much as two fifths of the total number of our soldiers in the struggle for national existence. They are relative quantities, deduced from only those data cited at the commencement of this paper, and illustrate, not the actual numbers for our troops, but the relative distribution of their ages.

The same results are presented in another form upon Chart $B$, which exhibits, for the enlisted men, the officers, and the white male population, the proportion at and over the specified ages and under 45 years, for each 10000 men of military age.

Charts $C$ and $D$ show the law by which the ratios of officers and enlisted men to the white male population vary with the age. All the numbers are reduced to the scale of ten thousand of population at 18 years, Chart $C$ being constructed in reference to the whole United States, and Chart $D$ to the Loyal States only.

## 3. Ages of Officers.

The total number of officers of all ages is 37184 , that of those between 18 and 46 being 35953 .

On comparing the numbers at the several ages with the formula

$$
s_{n}=a-b n+c h^{n}
$$

we find at once that for certain ages the value of $h$ would be an impossible one; and that for other years, which would yield possible values, these values are so discordant and the residuals to which they lead become so large that it is manifest that the curve can be represented neither by this nor by any similar law.

Many trials have led to the empirical formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{n}=a-b n^{v}+c \sin n^{k} \theta \tag{A}
\end{equation*}
$$

as that. which best represents the character of the curve. The extremely complicated manner, however, in which the six constants of this equation enter into the formula renders the attainment of a solution from six equations, by any direct process, a matter of great difficulty and inconvenience. Of course the constant $a$ represents the value of $8_{n}$ for $n=0$, so that the problem really consists in the determination of the five quantities $b, c, k^{\prime}, k$, and $\theta$. Graphic representations of the curve, by showing the points at which the third term becomes $=0$, facilitated the approximate determination of these constants, and thus equations of condition were formed which have led to quite satisfactory values, giving an agreement between the formula and the observed numbers nearly if not quite as good as that obtained for the enlisted men by the formula already described.

Subsequently, investigations made for the purpose of extending this formula to the ages from 46 to 50 showed a deviation for these later years. This deviation seems only to be reconciled by the employment of an additional term containing two more constants,
and the term thus found proves applicable to all ages above 30, essentially diminishing the residuals for all subsequent years.

The formula then stands for each 10000 officers

$$
s_{n}=10000-736 n^{0.75}+1259 \sin n^{0.58} \times 45^{\circ} .64+100 \sin (n-12) 18^{\circ}
$$

in which the last term is only to be employed for positive values of $n-12$, that is, for ages above 30 years.

The near agreement of this formula with the observations will be recognized on Table XVI., which exhibits for each year of age from 18 to 50 , as well as for those above 50 , the actual and the proportionate observed number of officers, both at, and at and over, the given age, together with the corresponding numbers as deduced from the formula, and the discordances between Computation and Observation.

The dissimilarity of the curves thus found for officers and for enlisted men is most striking, as will be perceived by reference to Charts $A$ and $B$. The chief discordance for the officers' curve is for the age of 18 years, at which, or at 19 , the formula seems to fail. This is probably due in part to the fact that comparatively few officers were commissioned under the age of legal maturity, so that the law governing the distribution by age ought not to be regarded as applicable below 21 years.

TABLE XVI.
Ages of Officers of United States Volunteers.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { A po } \\ & \text { at inst } \\ & \text { berehday. } \end{aligned}$ | Number et given sge. | Proportion at given age |  |  | Number at and over giren age. | Proportion at and over given ago |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Obeerrod. | Calenlated. | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Difforence. } \\ & (0 .-0 .) \end{aligned}\right.$ |  | Obeorred. | Calculated. | Diference. (c. - 0.) |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 1 |  |  |  | 87188 |  |  |  |
| 16 | 5 |  |  |  | 37182 |  |  |  |
| 17 | 5 |  |  |  | 37177 |  |  |  |
| 18 | 178 | 48 | -164 | -212 | 87172 | 10000 | 10000 | 0 |
| 19 | 409 | 110 | +233 | +123 | 37094 | 9952 | 10164 | +212 |
| 20 | 637 | 185 | 351 | +166 | 36685 | $98+2$ | 9931 | +89 |
| 21 | 1630 | 439 | 443 | + 4 | 35998 | 9657 | 9580 | - 77 |
| 22 | 1839 | 495 | 500 | + 5 | 34368 | 9218 | 9137 | -81 |
| 23 | 2101 | 565 | 537 | - 28 | 32529 | 8723 | 8637 | -86 |
| 24 | 2231 | 601 | 557 | - 44 | 30428 | 8158 | 8100 | - 58 |
| 25 | 2161 | 581 | 567 | - 14 | 28194 | 7557 | 7513 | - 14 |
| 26 | 2114 | 569 | 563 | - 6 | 26033 | 6976 | 6976 | 0 |
| 27 | 1963 | 529 | 535 | + 26 | 23919 | 6407 | 6413 | + 6 |
| 28 | 2071 | 557 | 536 | - 21 | 21951 | 6878 | 5858 | - 20 |
| 29 | 1756 | 472 | 516 | + 44 | 19880 | 5321 | 5322 | + 1 |
| 30 | 1836 | 494 | 457 | - 37 | 18124 | 4849 | 4806 | - 43 |
| 81 | 1429 | 384 | 480 | + 46 | 16288 | 4355 | 4349 | - 6 |
| 82 | 1613 | 484 | 405 | - 29 | 14859 | 8971 | 3919 | - 52 |
| 33 | 1422 | 883 | 381 | - 2 | 13246 | 3537 | 3514 | - 23 |
| 84 | 1324 | 356 | 359 | $+3$ | 11824 | 3154 | 3133 | - 21 |
| 85 | 1434 | 386 | 835 | - 61 | 10300 | 2798 | 2774 | - 24 |
| 86 | 1221 | 328 | 813 | - 13 | 9066 | 2412 | 2439 | + 27 |
| 87 | 1031 | 277 | 291 | + 14 | 7845 | 2084 | 2126 | + 42 |
| 88 | 1033 | 278 | 269 | - 9 | 6814 | 1807 | 1835 | + 28 |
| 39 | 813 | 219 | 243 | $+26$ | 5781 | 1529 | 1566 | + 37 |
| 40 | 874 | 235 | 222 | - 13 | 4968 | 1310 | 1321 | + 11 |
| 41 | 557 | 149 | 197 | $+48$ | 4094 | 1075 | 1099 | + 24 |
| 42 | 656 | 176 | 171 | - 5 | 3537 | 926 | 902 | - 24 |
| 48 | 485 | 130 | 148 | + 18 | 2881 | 730 | 731 | - 19 |
| 44 | 698 | 161 | 124 | - 37 | 2396 | 620 | 583 | - 87 |
| 45 | 478 | 130 | 100 | - 30 | 1798 | 459 | 459 | 0 |
| 46 | 217 | 58 | 86 | + 23 | 1320 | 329 | 359 | $+30$ |
| 47 | 184 | 50 | 70 | + 20 | 1103 | 271 | 273 | + 2 |
| 48 | 175 | 47 | 68 | + 11 | 919 | 221 | 203 | - 18 |
| 49 | 121 | 33 | 55 | + 22 | 744 | 174 | 145 | - 29 |
| 50 \& over. | 528 | 141 | 90 | - 51 | 523 | 141 | 90 | - 51 |

The mean age at last birthday for all the officers is . ${ }^{5} \mathbf{y} .4406$
" " " for those between 18 \& $45 \quad 29.8338$ and the mean age of the mean at last birthday is . 29.45 or about 29.94 at the time of their muster into the service. Above and below this age the number of officers was equal.

The amnexed Table XVII. exhibits the relative proportions of officers to the enlisted men, and of these to the white male population of the whole United States and of the Loyal States respectively, as given by the census of 1860, taken less than one year before the call to arms.

The caution must here be repeated, that the " proportion of enlisted men to the population," as here given, does not at all apply to the armies of the nation during the rebellion. It relates solely to the number of voluntecr troops here considered; and this Table XVII. is presented simply to make manifest the laws according to which the ratios of enlisted men to the population, and the ratios of officers to men, varied with the age.

## TABLE XVII.

Relative Proportions of Officers, E'ulisted Men, and White Male Population at same age, for the first million of Volunteers.

| AGE. | Proportion of Officers to Enlistod Men. | Proportion of Enlisted Men to Popu lation of U. States. | Proportion of Enlisted Men to Population of Loyal States. | AGE. | Proportion of Othicers to Enlisted Men. | Proportion of Einlisted Men to Popu lation of U. States. | Proportion of Einlinted Men to Population of Loyal States. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 0.001 | 0.448 | 0.570 | 32 | 0.072 | 0.100 | 0.128 |
| 19 | 0.007 | 0.393 | 0.502 | 33 | 0.074 | 0.093 | 0.119 |
| 20 | 0.013 | 0.345 | 0.442 | 34 | 0.076 | 0.088 | 0.112 |
| 21 | 0.019 | 0.305 | 0.391 | 35 | 0.077 | 0.084 | 0.105 |
| 22 | 0.025 | 0.269 | 0.363 | 36 | 0.078 | 0.080 | 0.100 |
| 23 | 0.031 | 0.239 | 0.308 | 37 | 0.077 | 0.077 | 0.096 |
| 24 | 0.037 | 0212 | 0.275 | 38 | 0.075 | 0.075 | 0.093 |
| 25 | 0.043 | 0.190 | 0.246 | 39 | 0.073 | 0.074 | 0.090 |
| 26 | 0.048 | 0.170 | 0.221 | 40 | 0.063 | 0.073 | 0.038 |
| 27 | 0.054 | 0.154 | 0.199 | 41 | 0.062 | 0.073 | 0.083 |
| 28 | 0.059 | 0.139 | 0.180 | 42 | 0.057 | 0.073 | 0.087 |
| 29 | 0.063 | 0.127 | 0.164 | 48 | 0.049 | 0.074 | 0.087 |
| 30 | 0.065 | 0.116 | 0.150 | 44 | 0.041 | 0.075 | 0.088 |
| 31 | 0.068 | 0.107 | 0.138 | 45 | 0.033 | 0.076 | 0.089 |

## 4. Population of the United States and of the Loyal States.

The great and unexpected dissimilarity between the law of distribution of age for officers and for men led; as I have already mentioned, to an investigation of the ages of the white male population, both of the whole United States, and of the Loyal States considered by themselves. And, in the absence of any distinct criterion, those States which were free from slavery in 1860, together with Delaware, Maryland, Kentucky, and Missouri, have been classed as Loyal States. The territory of West Virginia, eastern Tennessee, \&c., is thus excluded, although inhabited by a thoroughly loyal population, which contributed about twenty of the regiments here computed; and about ten other regiments, included in our data, were raised in States not accounted loyal. But all these are offset by the very considerable portion of the inhabitants of the four Slave States above named, from which the insurgent army was reinforced.

The only materials available for the inquiry are contained in the tables, derived from the official census of the United States in 1860 . Of course it is the male population alone which has any relation to the present research.

The difficulty of deducing from these meagre details the number of males at each year of military age is apparent at the first glance. Had the classification between the ages of 20 and 50 been in six groups of five years each, instead of three groups of ten years, the facility and accuracy of the investigation would have been incomparably greater. As it is, the only available data are contained in the second column of the following tables, XVIII. and XIX. These tables give, in column 3, the results of the formulas obtained for representing the observed numbers given in column 2. The degree of correctness of these formulas may be estimated by means of column 4, which shows the excess of the calculated number over the number given by the census, in decimals of the latter. The accordance for ages above 20 years is remarkably good. Beyond 50 years the agreement is not so close as between 20 and 50 , but is nevertheless quite tolcrable; but the comparison is omitted here as not pertinent to the subject, since none of the census-numbers for groups of ages above 50 have been employed in the computation.

The other columns require no explanation. It will be remembered that the numbers of enlisted men and officers here given are merely those belonging to the original volunteer regiments at the time of their enlistment, excluding all recruits, substitutes, drafted men, etc. Also, that the numbers apply only to those regiments which had been mustered into the United States service prior to the collection of our data, as shown on page 2.

## TABLE XVIII.

White Male Population of the United States in 1860.
Comparison between Computed and Observed Ages.

| Age at last birthday. | White Male Population of the United Stakes. |  | Ditierence.(c. - 0.) | Enlisted Men of Irst voluntears. | Officers of Arst volunteers. | Ratio to White Malo Population. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Consas. | Compated. |  |  |  | Men. | Omoess. |
| 10-15 | 1578274 | 1547730 | -0.0193 |  |  |  |  |
| 15-20 | 1391950 | 1422340 | +0.0245 |  |  |  |  |
| 18-20 |  | 553360 |  | 219200 | 587 | 0.395 | 0.0011 |
| 20-30 | 2465276 | 2436770 | -0.0116 | 529809 | 18561 | 0.217 | 0.0076 |
| 30-40 | 1847259 | 1847810 | 0.0000 | 165292 | 13156 | 0.090 | 0.0071 |
| 40-45 |  | 807860 |  | 63667 |  | 0.079 |  |
| 40-50 | 1215031 | 1216690 | +0.0014 |  | 4868 |  | 0.0040 |
| 18-45 |  | 5645800 |  | 977968 |  |  |  |

## TABLE XIX.

White Male Population of the Loyal States in 1860. Comparison between Computed and Observed Ages.

| Age at last birthday. | White Male Population of the Loyal 8tates. |  | Difference.$(\mathrm{C} .-0 .)$ | Enlisted Men of 8 rat volunteers. | Omcers of fret voluntsers. | Ratio to Whito Malo Population. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Consus. | Computed. |  |  |  | Men. | Omicers. |
| 10-15 | 1211521 | 1179260 | -0.0266 |  |  |  |  |
| 15-20 | 1095934 | 1110770 | +0.0135 |  |  |  |  |
| 18-20 |  | 435100 |  | 219200 | 587 | 0.502 | 0.0014 |
| 20-3v | 1971486 | 1956890 | -0.0075 | 529809 | 18561 | 0.271 | 0.0093 |
| 30-40 | 1517736 | 1517720 | 0.0000 | 165292 | 13156 | 0.109 | 0.0087 |
| 40-45 |  | 66+ 510 |  | 63667 |  | 0.096 |  |
| 40-50 | 996481 | 996350 | 0.0000 |  | 4868 |  | 0.0049 |
| 18-45 |  | 4574220 |  | 977968 |  |  |  |

The formulas which thus represent the number of white males from the age of 10 years upwards are, -
for the United States

$$
x=445440 \sin \left(134^{\circ} 34^{\prime}+(y-10) \cdot 52^{\prime}\right)
$$

for the Loyal States

$$
x=257870 \sin \left(111^{\circ} 6 \cdot 1+(y-10) \cdot 80^{\prime} .2\right)
$$

in which $x$ is the number at the year of age $y$.
Assuming these values to be correct, we find the distribution of the white male population in 1860 to have been as represented in Tables XX. and XXI.
These tables show, for the United States and the Loyal States respectively, the actual numbers:-first, at each year of age from 15 to 50 , inclusive; secondly, at and over each year of age from 15 to 50 , inclusive; thirdly, at and over each year within the limits of military age from 18 upwards, and also the corresponding relative or proportional numbers, using those for 18 years as the units.

Subsequent investigation has led to the detection of a formula totally different in structure from those above given, but which, although its agreement with the census-numbers within the years of military age is by no means so close as these afford, yet represents the various censuses of the United States and those of foreign countries throughout the period of human life with a degree of precision never before attained, so far as I am aware. It represents the number of infants under one year as well as, and indeed better than, the number at middle life or advanced years; and I cannot avoid the conviction that this formula affords an important step toward the true mathematical expression of what we may call the life-curve. Modifications will doubtless be made in it; indeed, it manifestly gives the numbers too small for the ages under 5 years, over 70 years, and between 20 and 45 years, while those of later childhood and youth on the one side, and of advanced maturity on the other, are in excess. But the discordances are small, and I hardly think that any expression of equal simplicity will be found which will represent the life-curve more closely.

Of this formula, which is simply

$$
s_{n}=a \sin n k^{n} \theta
$$

where $8_{n}$ represents the sum of all under the age $n, a$ is the total number, and $k, \theta$ are two constants characteristic of the especial population under examination, details and applications are given in the Appendix ; where also are tables exhibiting the distribution of the total white population of the United States, as given by this law. The values differ slightly from those in Tables XX. and XXI., which, for the census of 1860 at least, seem to be more accurate within the limits to which they are extended, although the corresponding numbers beyond these limits would be less accordant with observation.

## TABLE XX.

White Male Population of the United States in 1860.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Afp } \\ \text { at last } \\ \text { birthday. } \end{gathered}$ | Actual Number |  |  | Relative Number |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | At the given age. | At and over given age. | At and over given age and under 46. | At the given age. | At and over given age. | At and over given age \& under 46. |
| 15 | 294770 | 8252612 |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 289680 | 79578.42 |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 28+530 | 7668162 |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 279320 | 7383632 | 5645800 | 10000 | 10000 | 10000 |
| 19 | 274040 | 7104312 | 5366480 | 9811 | 9622 | 9505 |
| 20 | 268700 | 6830272 | 5092440 | 9620 | 9251 | 9020 |
| 21 | 263290 | 6561572 | 4823740 | 9426 | 8887 | 8544 |
| 22 | 257820 | 6298282 | 4560450 | 9230 | 8530 | 8078 |
| 23 | 252300 | 6040462 | 4302630 | 9033 | 8181 | 7621 |
| 24 | 216720 | 5788162 | 4050330 | 8833 | 7839 | 7174 |
| 25 | 241090 | 5541442 | 3803610 | 8681 | 7505 | 6737 |
| 26 | 235380 | 5300352 | 3562520 | 8427 | 7179 | 6310 |
| 27 | 229640 | 5 064972 | 3327140 | 8222 | 6860 | 5893 |
| 23 | 223810 | 4833332 | 3097500 | 8014 | 65.19 | 5486 |
| 29 | 217990 | 4611492 | 2873660 | 7804 | 6246 | 5090 |
| 30 | 212090 | 4393502 | 2655670 | 7593 | 5951 | 4704 |
| 31 | 206140 | 4181412 | 2443580 | 7380 | 5664 | 4328 |
| 32 | 200140 | 3975272 | 2237440 | 7165 | 5385 | 3963 |
| 33 | 194100 | 3775132 | 2037300 | 6949 | 5114 | 3609 |
| 84 | 188020 | 3581032 | 1843200 | 6731 | 4851 | 3265 |
| 35 | 181890 | 8393012 | 1655180 | 6512 | 4596 | 2932 |
| 36 | 175710 | 3211122 | 1473290 | 6291 | 4350 | 2610 |
| 37 | 169500 | 3035412 | 1297580 | 6068 | 4112 | 2299 |
| 38 | 163250 | 2865912 | 1128080 | 5845 | 3882 | 1999 |
| 39 | 156970 | 2702662 | 964830 | 5620 | 3661 | 1710 |
| 40 | 150640 | 2545692 | 807860 | 5393 | 3448 | 1432 |
| 41 | 144290 | 2395052 | 657220 | 5166 | 3244 | 1165 |
| 42 | 137900 | 2250762 | 512930 | 4937 | 3049 | 909 |
| 43 | 131470 | 2112862 | 375030 | 4707 | 2862 | 665 |
|  | 125020 | 1981392 | 243560 | 4476 | 2684 | 432 |
|  | 118510 | 1856372 | 118540 | 4244 | 2515 | 210 |
|  | 112030 | 1737832 |  | 4011 | 2354 |  |
| 48 | 105500 | 1625802 |  | 8777 | 2202 |  |
| 49 | 98940 | 1520302 |  | 8542 | 2059 |  |
| 50 | 92360 | 1421362 |  | 8807 | 1925 |  |
|  | 85760 | 1329002 |  | 8072 | 1800 |  |

## TABLE XXI.

White Male Population of the Loyal States in 1860.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Afo } \\ & \text { at hatt } \\ & \text { birth. } \\ & \text { dey. } \end{aligned}$ | Actual Namber |  |  | Relative Number |  |  | Prop. to <br> W. Male <br> Pop. of <br> United States. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { At the } \\ & \text { given age. } \end{aligned}$ | At and over given age. | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { At and over } \\ \text { given oger } \\ \text { and under } 4 . \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { At the } \\ \text { siven age. } \end{gathered}$ | At and over given age. | At and over given age \& under 46. |  |
| 15 | 228120 | 6675533 |  |  |  |  | 7739 |
| 16 | 225270 | 6447413 |  |  |  |  | 7776 |
| 17 | 222280 | 6222143 |  |  |  |  | 7812 |
| 18 | 219160 | 5999863 | 4574220 | 10000 | 10000 | 10000 | 7846 |
| 19 | 215940 | 5780703 | 4355060 | 9853 | 9634 | 9521 | 7880 |
| 20 | 212600 | 5564763 | 4139120 | 9700 | 9275 | 9049 | 7912 |
| 21 | 209130 | 5352163 | 3926520 | 9542 | 8920 | 8 684 | 7943 |
| 22 | 205550 | 5143033 | 3717390 | 9379 | 8572 | 8127 | 7973 |
| 28 | 201870 | 4937483 | 3511840 | 9211 | 8229 | 7678 | 8001 |
| 24 | 198070 | 4735618 | 3309970 | 9038 | 7893 | 7237 | 8028 |
| 23 | 194160 | 4537543 | 3111900 | 8859 | 7563 | 6804 | 8054 |
| 26 | 190150 | 4343383 | 2917740 | 8676 | 7239 | 6379 | 8078 |
| 27 | 186040 | 4153233 | 2727590 | 8488 | 6922 | 5963 | 8101 |
| 28 | 181820 | 3967193 | 2541550 | 8296 | 6612 | 5555 | 8123 |
| 29 | 177500 | 3785378 | 2359730 | 8099 | 6309 | 5157 | 8141 |
| 30 | 173100 | 3607873 | 2182230 | 7898 | 6013 | 4769 | 8162 |
| 81 | 168590 | 3434773 | 2009130 | 7692 | 5725 | 4391 | 8179 |
| 32 | 163990 | 3266183 | 1840540 | 7483 | 5444 | 4022 | 8194 |
| 33 | 159300 | 3102193 | 1676550 | 7269 | 5170 | 3663 | 8207 |
| 84 | $15+530$ | 2942893 | 1517250 | 7051 | 4905 | 3315 | 8219 |
| 85 | 149680 | 2788363 | 1362720 | 6829 | 4647 | 2977 | 8229 |
| 36 | 144730 | 2638683 | 1213040 | 6604 | 4398 | 2650 | 8237 |
| 37 | 139720 | 2493953 | 1068310 | 6375 | 4157 | 2334 | 8243 |
| 38 | 134620 | 2354233 | 928590 | 6143 | 8924 | 2029 | 8246 |
| 39 | 129460 | 2219613 | 793970 | 5907 | 3699 | 1735 | 8247 |
| 40 | 124230 | 2090153 | $66+510$ | 5668 | 3484 | 1452 | 8247 |
| 41 | 118920 | 1965923 | 540280 | 5426 | 8277 | 1180 | 8242 |
| 42 | 118550 | 1847003 | 421360 | 5181 | 3078 | 920 | 8234 |
| 43 | 108110 | 1733453 | 307810 | 4933 | 2889 | 672 | 8223 |
| 44 | 102620 | 1625343 | 199700 | 4683 | 2709 | 436 | 8209 |
| 45 | 97080 | 1522723 | 97080 | 4430 | 2538 | 212 | 8190 |
| 46 | 91480 | 1425643 |  | 4174 | 2876 |  | 8165 |
| 47 | 85830 | 1834163 |  | 8916 | 2224 |  | 8186 |
| 48 | 80130 | 1248333 |  | 3656 | 2081 |  | 8099 |
| 49 | 74400 | 1168203 |  | 3394 | 1947 |  | 8055 |
| 50 | 68640 | 1093808 |  | 3130 | 1823 |  | 8001 |

The results present some curious contrasts between the lifecurves for the total population in the loyal States and in the insurgent States, which may be best recognized by reference to the appended chart, marked $E$. This chart exhibits the number of white males at each year of age from 18 to 50 , corresponding to each 10000 at the age of 18 . It will be seen at once that the curvature of the line representing the population of the insurgent States is in the direction opposite to that of the lines belonging to the loyal States and to the whole country. The dotted line is straight, and shows what the distribution would be, did it follow a regular arithmetical progression. To what extent this difference may be due to immigration from Europe, which has been chiefly to the Free States, I will not undertake to estimate. We have seen, however, that the law of distribution of our volunteer troops according to ages was essentially the same for those States to which immigration is greatest as for those to which it is least.

The construction of all the curves laid down on the accompanying charts will be manifest without explanation. For those ordinates which belong to the respective ages they give the corresponding numbers.

## APPENDIX TO CHAPTER III.

## ON THE AGES OF A POPULATION.

In the course of the preceding investigation, the interesting question as to the general distribution of a population by ages became prominent; and the inquiry continually suggested itself, how far any simple formula might be capable of representing the observed numbers for all ages of life. This has incidentally led to the detection of what seems to be the true law, which, although not strictly pertaining to the subject in hand, seems yet to poisess sufficient practical value and importance in its indirect bearing to justify its introduction here, - the more especially, since endeavors to obtain information on this point elsewhere have proved fruitless.

It appears that, in a population at all homogeneous in its character, the number of persons under the age $n$ years may be represented by the simple expression

$$
N=a \sin n k^{n} \theta
$$

in which $a$ denotes the total number of the population, while $\boldsymbol{k}$ and $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ are constants peculiar to the country and epoch. The quantity $\theta$ is an angle somewhat larger than $1^{\circ}$, and $k$ is a number, generally a little less than unity.

For the special case $k=1$, the formula becomes .

$$
N=a \sin n \theta
$$

containing only one unknown quantity, the angle $\theta$, to be determined by investigation.

A very peculiar characteristic of this law is recognizable in the circumstance that the number at any given age appears to be strictly proportional to the whole population; so that the expectation of life, for the average individual, is as well represented as is the general distribution by ages of the total number of individuals, of whom the population is composed.

Inrestigating the values of the constants $k$ and $\theta$ for the people of the United States at each of the last four enumerations, we find

| Dato | $\boldsymbol{k}$ | $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1830 | 0.9918 | $2^{\circ} .0524$ |
| 1840 | 0.9921 | $1^{\circ} .9747$ |
| 1850 | 0.9932 | $1^{\circ} .8361$ |
| 1860 | 0.9941 | $1^{\circ} .7307$. |

The census of 1820 is not sufficiently distinct, in the assortment by ages, to permit a determination of the constants, but the indications are clear that a proper enumeration would have afforded results in conformity with the preceding series; the value of $k$ being smaller, and that of $\theta$ larger than for the population in 1830.
The curious fact thus becomes evident, that our population has, during the last forty years or more, been gradually assimilating itself to the normal type represented by $k=1$; growing, or developing itself, so to speak, toward a compliance with the simple law

$$
N=a \sin n \theta
$$

in which the value of $\theta$ indicates the longevity of the people, since, according to the formula, the entire population becomes extinct at the age when $n \theta=90^{\circ}$.
How far immigration has affected the values of the constants for the United States we will not now inquire. Were the tendency to immigrate independent of age, no appreciable influence could be traced to this source; and the character of the immigration into this country seems to have been such as to exhibit no overwhelming excess or deficiency for any one period of life, except that there is certainly a deficiency in the relative number at the most advanced ages. But the accessions to our population from Ireland and Germany appear to have been in most cases by families, and not composed chiefly of persons in the prime of life or fullness of strength, as is the cave in very new countries.
The English people appearing to afford a fair specimen of a permanent and normal population, the last two censuses of England and Wales were examined, and with the following result: -

| Dete | $\boldsymbol{k}$ | $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1851 | 0.9957 | $1^{\circ} .4702$ |
| 1861 | 0.9962 | $1^{\circ} .4316$. |

Thus a similar phenomenon is manifested by the English enumerations to that exhibited by the American census-returns; the values of $k$ approaching unity, and those of $\theta$ diminishing. The smaller value of the angle $\theta$
indicates a longer duration of life in that country; but $k$, the modulus of the change by geometric progression, was not larger for England in 1851 than it bids fair to be for the United States in ten years from the present time.

Passing next to the French population, we find the value $k=1$ as the result alike of the last three enumerations, the values of $\dot{\theta}$ being

| in | 1851 | $1^{\circ} .0553$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| " | 1856 | $1^{\circ} .0556$ |
| $"$ | 1861 | $1^{\circ} .0473$. |

The remarkable peculiarity of the life-curve for France, as regards the small infantile mortality, is well exhibited by the chart $F$, which shows the number living, at each year of age, for every million in the population. The several curves of this chart represent the distribution of ages for the United States in 1830 and 1860, (those for the intermediate decades being omitted to avoid confusion,) for England in 1861, and for France. The English curve for 1851 would differ too slightly from that for 1861 to be conveniently distinguished on the chart ; and the French curves for 1851, 1856, and 1861 would be undistinguishable from one another.

The chart $G$ shows the corresponding values of $N$, (the number under each year of age,) for each nation, and clearly manifests the differences in the law, corresponding to the diversity in the constants.

The tables of population deduced from the census-returns already cited, together with the values given by the formula, are here appended, reduced however, in each case, to the scale of 100,000 of population. The differences are given in decimals of the census-numbers, and the accordance between the formula and the recorded numbers will be manifest at the first inspection. The chief discrepancies will be found in the French tables, for the ages

| exceeding | 50, in the | census | of 1851 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| " | 55, " " | " | * 1856 |
|  | 60, " |  | 4 1861 |

This curious circumstance and the nature of the discordances suggest some historical explanation; which the disturbed condition of the French nation at the period corresponding to the birth of this portion of the population seems to render plausible.

## TABLE XXII.

Ages of the Population of the United States, as deduced from the Censis Returns of 1830 and 1840.

| 408. | Censes of 1830. |  |  | Census of 1840. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Proportional nambers. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Diderence } \\ & (\mathrm{N} .-0 .) \end{aligned}$ | Proportional nambera. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dicarenen. } \\ & \text { (C. - 0.) } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Obmarned. | Culoulated. |  | Obeerved. | Calecuated. |  |
| 0-5 | 17977 | 17082 | -0.050 | 17487 | 16334 | -0.063 |
| 6-10 | 14576 | 15254 | +0.046 | 14173 | 14651 | +0.034 |
| 10-15 | 12452 | 13280 | +0.014 | 12094 | 12981 | +0.069 |
| 15-20 | 11147 | 11818 | +0.024 | 10911 | 11205 | +0.027 |
| 20-30 | 17752 | 17244 | -0.029 | 18155 | 17456 | -0.088 |
| 80-10 | 10008 | 11287 | +0.085 | 11597 | 11790 | +0.017 |
| 40-50 | 6886 | 6982 | +0.007 | 7820 | 7468 | +0.020 |
| 50-60 | 4308 | 3978 | -0.078 | 4365 | 4889 | +0.005 |
| 60-70 | 2525 | 2100 | -0.168 | 2449 | 2848 | -0.048 |
| 70-80 | 1104 | 992 | -0.100 | 1182 | 1067 | -0.058 |
| 80 ¢ over | 865 | 540 |  | 867 | 868 |  |

## TABLE XXII.

Ages of the Population of the United States, as deduced from the Census Returns of 1850 and 1860.

| 4GR | Census of 1850. |  |  | Census of 1860. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Proportional numbers. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DIServace. } \\ & \text { (C. - 0.) } \end{aligned}$ | Proportiosal nambersa. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ditimence. } \\ & (0 .-0 .) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Obearred. | Caleulated. |  | Obmerved. | Oulculated. |  |
| 0-1 | 2751 | 8170 | +0.152 | 2998 | 3003 | +0.002 |
| 1-5 | 12070 | 12215 | +0.012 | 12300 | 11608 | -0.056 |
| 5-10 | 13836 | 14102 | +0.019 | 18117 | 18484 | +0.028 |
| 10-15 | 12292 | 12564 | +0.022 | 11588 | 12208 | +0.053 |
| 15-20 | 10892 | 10990 | +0.009 | 10625 | 10858 | +0.021 |
| 20-30 | 18562 | 17505 | -0.057 | 18242 | 17692 | -0.030 |
| 30-40 | 12368 | 12225 | -0.012 | 13012 | 12760 | -0.019 |
| 40-60 | 8130 | 8019 | -0.018 | 8496 | 8618 | +0.014 |
| 50-60 | 4903 | 4883 | -0.041 | 5214 | 5866 | +0.029 |
| 60-70 | 2667 | 2695 | +0.010 | 2910 | 2953 | +0.015 |
| 70-80 | 1147 | 1250 | +0.090 | 1158 | 1261 | +0.089 |
| 80 \& over | 382 | 382 |  | 840 | 196 |  |

## TABLE XXIV.

Ages of the Population of England and Wales, as deduced from the Census Returns of 1851 and 1861.

| AGE. | Census of 1851. |  |  | Census of 1861. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Proportional numbers. |  | Difference.$(0 .-0 .)$ | Proportional numbers. |  | Difference.$(\mathrm{c} .-0 .)$ |
|  | Observed | Calculated. |  | Observed. | Calculated. |  |
| 0-5 | 13006 | 12533 | -0.035 | 13352 | 12245 | -0.083 |
| 5-10 | 11590 | 11800 | +0.018 | 11588 | 11575 | -0.001 |
| 10-15 | 10616 | 10987 | +0.034 | 10415 | 10819 | +0.040 |
| 15-20 | 9832 | 10079 | +0.013 | 9688 | 10007 | +0.032 |
| 20-25 | 9441 | 9114 | -0.036 | 9317 | 9108 | -0.023 |
| 25-30 | 8307 | 8170 | -0.017 | 7932 | 8178 | +0.030 |
| 30-35 | 7168 | 7179 | +0.001 | 6950 | 7282 | +0.046 |
| 35-40 | 6083 | 6273 | +0.030 | 6111 | 6352 | +0.038 |
| 40-45 | 5393 | 5378 | -0.003 | 5638 | 5506 | -0.024 |
| 45-50 | 4440 | 4546 | +0.023 | 4617 | 4705 | +0.019 |
| 50-55 | 3934 | 3782 | -0.040 | 3995 | 3820 | -0.046 |
| 55-60 | 2919 | 3061 | +0.046 | 3039 | 3245 | +0.063 |
| 60-65 | 2668 | 2426 | -0.100 | 2751 | 2512 | -0.095 |
| 65-70 | 1815 | 1841 | +0.014 | 1862 | 1910 | +0.025 |
| 70-75 | 1386 | 1332 | -0.041 | 1391 | 1355 | -0.026 |
| 75-80 | 809 | 876 | +0.076 | 794 | 869 | +0.086 |
| 80-85 | 410 | 481 | +0.173 | 394 | 435 | +0.104 |
| 85 \& over. | 183. | 142 |  | 146 | 77 |  |
| Total, | 100000 | 100000 |  | 100000 | 100000 |  |

## FORMULAS

For 1851, $\quad N=100000 \sin n(0.99575)^{n} \cdot 1^{\circ} .4702$.
1861, $\quad N=100000 \sin n(0.99616)^{n} .1^{\circ} .4316$.

## TABLE XXV.

## Ages of the Population of France,

as deduced from the Census Returns of 1851, 1856, and 1861.

| AGR | Census of $185^{\circ}$. |  |  | Census of 1856. |  |  | Census of 1861. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Proportional numbers. |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l\|} \hline \text { Ditiverence. } \\ \text { (C. } \end{array}\right.$ | Proportional numbera |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Differonce. } \\ & \text { (c. - 0.) } \end{aligned}\right.$ | Proportional numbers. |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \text { Difference. } \\ (\mathrm{c} .-0 .) \end{array}\right\|$ |
|  | ober ob. | Caleulated. |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ob- } \\ \text { served. } \end{gathered}$ | CaleuLated. |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { ob- } \\ \text { corred. } \end{array}\right\|$ | Calculated. |  |
| 0-5 | 9291 | 9208 | -0.009 | 9568 | 9200 | -0.038 | 9677 | 9124 | -0.088 |
| 5-10 | 9216 | 9130 | -0.009 | 9120 | 9119 | 0.000 | 8767 | 9052 | +0.032 |
| 10-15 | 8800 | $89+6$ | +0.016 | 8821 | 8965 | +0.016 | 8668 | 8898 | +0.027 |
| 15-20 | 8805 | 8716 | -0.010 | 8530 | 8736 | +0.024 | 8701 | 8722 | +0.002 |
| 20-25 | 8326 | 8437 | +0.013 | 8077 | 8427 | +0.043 | 8237 | 8374 | +0.017 |
| 25-30 | 8020 | 8036 | +0.002 | 8075 | 8051 | -0.003 | 7857 | 8005 | +0.019 |
| 30-35 | 7565 | 7616 | +0.007 | 7575 | 7614 | +0.005 | 7421 | 7564 | +0.019 |
| 35-40 | 7188 | 7105 | -0.012 | 7255 | 7092 | -0.022 | 7098 | 7071 | -0.004 |
| 40-45 | 6596 | 6534 | -0.009 | 6656 | 6526 | -0.020 | 6625 | 6514 | -0.017 |
| 45-50 | 5869 | 5890 | +0.004 | 6041 | 5902 | -0.023 | 6155 | 5900 | -0.041 |
| 50-55 | 5782 | 5233 | -0.095 | 5317 | 5228 | -0.017 | 5382 | 5254 | -0.024 |
| 55-60 | 4390 | 4512 | +0.028 | 4838 | 4503 | -0.069 | 4559 | 4518 | -0.009 |
| 60-65 | 3670 | 3753 | +0.023 | 3784 | 3753 | +0.005 | 4160 | 3790 | -0.090 |
| 65-70 | 2785 | 2954 | +0.059 | 2757 | 2958 | +0.076 | 2941 | 3016 | +0.025 |
| 70-75 | 1952 | 2148 | +0.100 | 1902 | 2145 | +0.128 | 1940 | 2218 | +0.148 |
| 75-80 | 1062 | 1313 | +0.239 | 1088 | 1312 | +0.205 | 1123 | 1398 | +0.245 |
| 80-85 | 480 | 468 | -0.025 | 453 | 468 | -0.033 | 490 | 568 | +0.159 |
| 85 k over. | 203 | 1 |  | 193 | 1 |  | 199 | 14 |  |
| Total, | 100000 | 100000 |  | 100000 | 100000 |  | 100000 | 100000 |  |

## FORMULAS

For 1851, $\quad N=100000 \sin n\left(1^{\circ} .0553\right)$.
1856, $\quad N=100000 \sin n\left(1^{\circ} .0556\right)$.
1861, $\quad N=100000 \sin n\left(1^{\circ} .0473\right)$.

The agreement of the ubserved numbers with those given by our formula is indicated by the quantities in the columns headed $C$.- $O$. (i. e. Computed minus Observed), and appears to be entirely within the limits of probable error in the enumeration, - if we except those discordances for the French census already alluded to. It affords a strong argument for belief that the true form of the normal life-curve is closely represented by the sine-formula.

The only other statistics of ages for European populations, which have been conveniently accessible, are contained in the abstract of the Prussian census of 1852, given by Brachelli, in the second volume of his Deutsche Staatenkunde. A discussion of the numbers there recorded gives

$$
k=0.9960, \quad \theta=1^{\circ} .4702
$$

these values being closely similar to those for England and Wales in 1851.

It is manifest that if the number under any given age $n$ be represented by the expression

$$
N=a \sin n k^{n} \theta
$$

the number between the ages $n$ and $n+1$ will be expressed by

$$
2 a \sin \frac{1}{2} k^{n}(k n+k-n) \theta \cdot \cos \frac{1}{2} k^{n}(k n+k+n) \theta,
$$

and the mortality at the same period, by the finite difference of this quantity.
But when $k$ becomes unity, these values are greatly simplified, and we have

Population under the age $n$ years $=a \sin n \boldsymbol{\theta}$
Population at " $\quad$ " $\omega=2 a \sin \frac{1}{2} \theta \cos \left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) \theta$
Mortality " $\quad \omega \quad \omega \quad=4 a \sin ^{2} \frac{1}{2} \theta \sin (n+1) \theta$.
According to the formula here presented, the life-curve for advanced ages bears no similarity to an asymptote, but ceases abruptly when the quantity $n k^{n} \theta=90^{\circ}$; or for the case of $k=1$, when $n=\frac{90^{\circ}}{\theta}$. This indicates that all ages above this limit are exceptional, and to be regarded in the same light as deviations from the theoretical number at other periods of life.

The many paths of research afforded by the residual discordances from the formula must be passed by on this occasion, with the single remark that they offer indications of abundant reward for any explorer.

## CHAPTER IV.

## AGES OF RECRUITS.

## 1. Nature of the Problem.

To determine the law of distribution for recruits according to ages, in the same manner as we have already done for the volunteers, is impossible. The large inroads made upon the younger portion of the military population, by the enlistment of volunteers, materially changed the character of that population; and each successive subsequent call for troops not only tended to increase the irregularity of distribution of ages among the men left at home, but drew from the community as new recruits a class whose ages were themselves irregularly distributed, in consequence of the great disturbance of symmetry already existing in the military population. This process several times repeated gave a distribution of ages, in the aggregate of the recruits, which is subject to no simple law, and threatened to baffle all attempts at intelligent investigation.
Since the official musters have not always been so recorded as to permit the separate investigation of recruits enlisted in the different years, we must content ourselves with the consideration of only two general classes, "Volunteers" and "Recruits;" adopting for the former the definition given in the last chapter, and referring all other white troops furnished by the States, including reenlisted volunteers, to the latter class.

Our problem then becomes the following: - first, to determine as nearly as possible, from the information deduced by the discussion of Ages of Volunteers, what were the ages of the men enlisted during each successive year of the war; then, by comparing the aggregate of these with the numbers collected from the official muster-rolls, to learn how far our adopted law of enlistment by ages, as derived from volunteer troops, is corroborated by these records of ages of recruits, and what modification of the formula is requisite for producing the closest possible accordance between the computed and the observed numbers.

The ages of the military population in each successive year are an essential element in this investigation, inasmuch as the number enlisting at any given age must be dependent upon the total number at that age in the community; so that in the theoretical distribution of a certain number of recruits according to ages, it is not the absolute number at each age, but the tendency to enlist at that age, which must be inferred from the formula. In other words, whenever the normal distribution of ages in the population has been disturbed, the formula tells us not the actual, but the proportionate number enlisting at each year of age ; and in the absence of other information, the total number of enlistments in each year must be so distributed among the several military ages, as to assign to each a number whose ratio to the military population of that age, then at home, follows the law indicated by the formula.

It thus becomes necessary for the success of the investigation, that the statistics of population upon which the calculations are based should be specially adapted to the object in view; and a close approach to the truth in the fundamental formula adopted becomes doubly important.

## 2. Fundamental Statiztics.

In the general schedule of statistics of the war given in Chapter I., which must, from its very nature, be only approximate, the total number of troops enlisting in each year was deducted from the number of males of military age previously at home. This course, although in accordance with the object there in view, was not strictly accurate, inasmuch as it was based upon the erroneous assumption that the enlisted men were all within the limits of military age prescribed by law. In the present research this assumption is inadmissible, and it becomes necessary to prepare more detailed estimates, by increasing the military population at home, at any epoch, by the probable number of men who had already enlisted, but were not between the ages of eighteen and forty-five at the time of enlistment; also by distinguishing subsequent enlistments of men not within these limits of age, and separately considering the deaths at home of those who had, and of those who had not, served in the army.

The following table has consequently been used in the computations of this_chapter. It is accordant with the schedules of Chapter I. except in this special reference to irregular enlistments. In the fourth column is given, under the heading "Natural Growth," the excess of the number of white males, attaining the age of
eighteen, over the number of those arriving at forty-five years; the sum of the two classes of deaths deducted from the sum of the increases, by natural growth and by immigration, showing the actual, or net, increase of the military population. The deaths among men who had served in the army are here assumed to be essentially in the same proportion as among those who had not served. This is doubtless an underestimate, since the effect of wounds, exposure, and exhaustion must have manifested themselves in an increased rate of mortality; but in the absence of numerical data, little account is here taken of this influence, which would probably produce small perceptible effect upon the results of the present inquiry. The numbers are given in thousands, as before, and those of the last line are recorded as though the army were not disbanded until July 1865.

## TABLE I.

Military Population and Enlistments.

| Dato | Military Population at Home |  |  |  |  |  | New Enlistmenta |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Increase by |  | Deaths |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 旡 } \\ & \text { 高 } \\ & \stackrel{B}{3} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\frac{1}{E_{0}}$ | نٍ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 1860, July 1 | 4378 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1861, April 1 | 4472 | - | 87 | 35 | 28 | - | - | - |  |
| 1861, July 1 | 4338 | - | 29 | 11 | 9 | - | 165 | 5 |  |
| 1862, July 1 | 3890 | 145 | 117 | 32 | 34 | 2 | 563 | 17 | 60 |
| 1863, July 1 | 3563 | 363 | 122 | 32 | 31 | 3 | 450 | 17 | 50 |
| 1864, July 1 | 8302 | 590 | 132 | 56 | 28 | 5 | 421 | 18 | 200 |
| 1865, July 1 | 3126 | 882 | 143 | 62 | 27 | 6 | 854 | 16 | 60 |

## 3. Method of Investigation.

The formula deduced from the grand total of the ages of volunteers gave the number of men in each 10000 , at and over any given year of age at the time of enlistment, as

$$
s_{n}=2103-77.0 n+7897(0.85362)^{n}
$$

$n$ being the excess above eighteen years. But this formula also indicated a decided excess of the recorded numbers for the ages eighteen, twenty-one, and forty-four, as also a deficiency at the
ages nineteen and twenty; owing, without doubt, to misrepresentations.

In the hope of attaining numerical values of still greater precision, the computation was repeated, after modifying the original data by about one half the amount of the supposed misstatements. The results were quite satisfactory, showing not merely a smaller series of discordances between the calculated and the observed numbers, but a somewhat nearer approach to equality between the excess at twenty-one years, and the deficiency at nineteen and twenty. This gave encouragement for a repetition of the process, using as a correction to the recorded numbers, three fourths of the amount of misstatement as deduced from the second approximation; and gave a result which seems to express the distribution of ages of volunteers, taken as an aggregate, as closely as any formula attainable. This was assumed as the basis of the present investigation, and is as follows: -
(First assumed) $\quad 8_{n}=2068-77.5 n+7932$ ( 0.85588 ) ${ }^{n}$
It must now be noted, that the volunteers, of whom we have the recorded ages of somewhat more than one million, were chiefly enlisted before the middle of the year 1863. The total number, up to 1863 July 1, was 1327000 , and we may roughly suppose 800000 enlistments to have taken place from a normal population prior to July 1862, and 520000 to have been made a year later from the military population left at home after the withdrawal of these 800000 .

Following this hypothesis, and deducting from the military population in April 1861, such a number of men at each year of age as our assumed formula indicates for a total force of 800000 men (making allowance, however, for enlistments above and below the established limits of age, in the proportions indicated by the official records in Table II., Chapter III.), we obtain the distribution of ages which may be presumed to have existed in the military population at home, after the departure of these men to the army. Then all the ages of this "disturbed population" being increased by one year, the second installment of volunteers is to be distributed according to ages. This is accomplished by using the assumed formula and the original population to determine the ratio of enlistment to military population for each year of age, in the mode employed for Table XVII., Chapter III., and applying these ratios to the disturbed population, after multiplying them by such fraction as shall make the total resultant number just 520000 . Add-
ing now the numbers for each age thus obtained for the two installments, we obtain a calculated series quite different from that which the assumed formula would give if employed directly to assign the distribution of the whole 1320000 men at once. But from this series we may deduce a new formula, possessing the property that if so applied to the whole 1320000 directly, it will indicate the same distribution which the assumed formula gives if it is applied first to 800000 , and the ratios thus deduced for an undisturbed population are then used to obtain the ages of 520000 more, from the population as disturbed by the withdrawal of the 800000 , one year previous. This new expression is as fol-lows:-
(Hypothetical formula) $8_{n}=2011-76.2 n+7989(0.87052)^{n}$
What we desire, however, is neither of the formulas yet obtained, but such a one that if employed as we have just now used that first assumed, - namely, for the two installments separately, each from its proper population, - it will give essentially the same distribution that our assumed formula gives when applied to the aggregate of all the volunteers at once. In short, we need a law of ages which shall occupy precisely the same relation to an assumed law, in which this latter stands to the "hypothetical" law just deduced.

This we may very nearly obtain by applying with reversed signs, to the numerical values in the formula first assumed, the differences between the values in this and in the hypothetical formula. We thus obtain an expression which represents the actual tendency to enlistment on the part of our volunteers as closely as it seems practicable to deduce it by numerical processes from existing data; and which we shall adopt, for discovering the number of men, at each year of age withdrawn from the home population during each year of the War of the Rebellion. It is the following: -
or

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { (Adopted formula) } & s_{n}=2125-78.8 n+7875(0.84124)^{n} \\
& x_{n}=78.8+1250(0.84124)^{n}
\end{array}
$$

## 4. Changes of Home Population during the War.

The results deducible from the principles here laid down may readily be presented in tabular form, and the course of the investigation will easily be followed after a few preliminary comments.

For greater simplicity and convenience of computation, only so many of the men within the limits of military age as have never served in the army, and only original enlistments, are first considered, in investigating the condition of the military population during the successive years of the war; all necessity of considering the men returning home from the army being thus obviated. The reenlisted men are then classified by themselves, and assorted according to age by following that law of distribution which prevailed at the time of their first enlistment. This procedure assumes the proportion of reenlistments to original enlistments to have been the same at each age, - an assumption perhaps not strictly warrantable, and only to be defended by showing the inapplicability of any other principle; but the results of the investigation seem to indicate that this assumption cannot be far from correct.

A slight obstacle exists to the ready determination of the white male population, at each age, remaining at home in July 1862, inasmuch as the interval between this epoch and the preceding one is not twelve, but fifteen, months. This difficulty is mostly obviated by adding three fourths of the military population at each age $n$ in April 1861, corrected by subtracting the enlistments and deaths during the next fifteen months, to one fourth of the military population at the age $n-1$, similarly corrected; - the sum of these two quantities, increased by the immigration at the age $n$ during the next fifteen months, being used for the military population at the age $n+1$ in July 1862.

For the age sixteen years, in July 1862, the number of white males sixteen years old, a year and a quarter previously, is increased by one twentieth part to correspond with the normal annual growth of four per cent.

The mortality of the population at home is assumed to follow the same laws as in 1860 , for which year the statistics of mortality have been so thoroughly discussed by Dr. Jarvis, in the Results of the United States Census, ${ }^{1}$ and the adopted number of deaths is such as corresponds to the military population in the previous year, diminished by one half of the enlistments during the year. The adopted table of mortality has been prepared by determining the ratio of the deaths of males within given periods of age, in the loyal States, to the total number of males at the same ages in the

[^14]same region, as computed in Chapter III., Table XXI.; and then obtaining the ratio for each year of age by interpolation. It is here appended.

> T A BLE II.

Mortality of Military Population at Home.

| Age | Mortality | Age $^{2}$ | Mortality | Age | Mortallty | Age | Mortality | Age | Mortality |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | 0.0050 | 21 | 0.0077 | 27 | 0.0082 | 33 | 0.0085 | 39 | 0.0094 |
| 16 | .0055 | 22 | .0079 | 28 | .0082 | 34 | .0086 | 40 | .0097 |
| 17 | .0060 | 23 | .0080 | 29 | .0082 | 35 | .0087 | 41 | .0100 |
| 18 | .0065 | 24 | .0080 | 30 | .0083 | 36 | .0088 | 42 | .0103 |
| 19 | .0070 | 25 | .0081 | 31 | .0083 | 37 | .0090 | 43 | .0105 |
| 20 | 0.0074 | 26 | 0.0081 | 32 | 0.0084 | 38 | 0.0092 | 44 | 0.0108 |

Immigrants are distributed according to ages, in our table, by the same law which prevailed for the military population in the United States before the war. This unquestionably does not represent the true distribution of their ages; still it will serve as a sufficiently near approximation to the true numbers, for all the purposes of our present investigation.

## TABLE III.

Unenlisted Military Population and Enlistments in each Year, using Formula for Ages of Volunteers.

FIRST PART.

| A80 | Whito Military Population, April 1861 | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Enlint- } \\ \text { ments to } \\ \text { July } 1862 \end{array}\right\|$ | Deaths | Immsgrante | White Mill tary PopuLation July 1862 | Enlistments to July 1868 | Deatha | Immigrante |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | 225000 | 2100 | 1500 | 2200 | 238450 | 1670 | 1300 | 1630 |
| 17 | 222000 | 10700 | 1600 | 2100 | 227210 | 8280 | 1340 | 1610 |
| 18 | 218900 | 97470 | 1400 | 2100 | 214730 | 72540 | 1180 | 1590 |
| 19 | 215700 | 82910 | 1500 | 2100 | 144540 | 42160 | 880 | 1560 |
| 20 | 212400 | 70670 | 1600 | 2000 | 130480 | 32940 | 860 | 1540 |
| 21 | 208900 | 60370 | 1700 | 2000 | 139920 | 30680 | 970 | 1510 |
| 22 | 205300 | 51700 | 1800 | 2000 | 147150 | 28120 | 1060 | 1490 |
| 23 | 201600 | 44410 | 1800 | 2000 | 152560 | 25500 | 1120 | 1460 |
| 24 | 197800 | 38280 | 1800 | 1900 | 156390 | 22960 | 1160 | 1430 |
| 25 | 193900 | 33110 | 1800 | 1900 | 159040 | 20600 | 1200 | 1400 |
| 26 | 189900 | 28770 | 1800 | 1800 | 160470 | 18440 | 1220 | 1870 |
| 27 | 185800 | 25130 | 1800 | 1800 | 161050 | 16520 | 1250 | 1350 |
| 28 | 181600 | 22060 | 1700 | 1800 | 160780 | 14810 | 1260 | 1320 |
| 29 | 177300 | 19470 | 1700 | 1700 | 159800 | 13320 | 1250 | 1280 |
| 30 | 172900 | 17300 | 1700 | 1700 | 158260 | 12020 | 1250 | 1250 |
| 31 | 168400 | 15470 | 1700 | 1600 | 156050 | 10880 | 1240 | 1220 |
| 82 | 163800 | 13930 | 1600 | 1.600 | 153500 | 9910 | 1240 | 1190 |
| 33 | 159100 | 12640 | 1600 | 1500 | 150510 | 9070 | 1240 | 1150 |
| 34 | 154400 | 11550 | 1600 | 1500 | 147210 | 8360 | 1230 | 1120 |
| 35 | 149500 | 10630 | 1600 | 1400 | 143560 | 7750 | 1210 | 1080 |
| 36 | 144600 | 9860 | 1500 | 1400 | 139560 | 7230 | 1190 | 1050 |
| 87 | 139600 | 9220 | 1500 | 1300 | 135550 | 6790 | 1180 | 1010 |
| 88 | 134500 | 8670 | 1500 | 1300 | 131270 | 6420 | 1170 | 970 |
| 89 | 129800 | 8210 | 1500 | 1200 | 126670 | 6100 | 1160 | 940 |
| 40 | 124100 | 7830 | 1500 | 1200 | 121970 | 5840 | 1150 | 900 |
| 41 | 118800 | 7500 | 1400 | 1100 | 117080 | 5610 | 1140 | 860 |
| 42 | 113400 | 7230 | 1400 | 1100 | 112210 | 5430 | 1120 | 820 |
| 43 | 108000 | 7000 | 1400 | 1000 | 107060 | 5260 | 1100 | 780 |
| 4 | 102500 | 6810 | 1800 | 1000 | 101890 | 5180 | 1070 | 740 |
| 45 to 50 | 428400 | 9000 | 4600 | 4100 | 418900 | 6660 | 4570 | 3100 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 18 \text { to } 45 \\ & 16 \text { to } 50 \end{aligned}$ | 4472000 | $\begin{aligned} & 728200 \\ & 750000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43200 \\ & 50900 \end{aligned}$ | 48000 | 3889260 | 450390 <br> 467000 | $\begin{aligned} & 31100 \\ & 38810 \end{aligned}$ | 32380 |

## TABLE III.

Unenlisted Military Population and Enlistments in each Year, using Formula for Ages of Volunteers.
second part.

| 450 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Military } \\ & \text { Population } \\ & \text { Jaly } 1863 \end{aligned}$ |  | Deaths | Immlgrant | Military Population July 1864 | Enlistments to May 1865 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Deaths to to } \\ \text { Julj } \\ 18650 \end{gathered}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{c} \text { Immil } \\ \text { grants } \\ \text { to July } \\ 1865 \end{array}\right.$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | 248000 | 1810 | 1360 | 2840 | 267920 | 1710 | 1410 | 8160 |
| 17 | 237110 | 9020 | 1400 | 2810 | 247670 | 8550 | 1460 | 3110 |
| 18 | 219200 | 77240 | 1180 | 2770 | 229500 | 73430 | 1260 | 3070 |
| 19 | 142600 | 43380 | 860 | 2730 | 143550 | 39660 | 880 | 8020 |
| 20 | 103060 | 27140 | 670 | 2680 | 101090 | 24170 | 670 | 2980 |
| 21 | 98220 | 22460 | 680 | 2640 | 77930 | 16180 | 550 | 2930 |
| 22 | 109780 | 21880 | 790 | 2590 | 77720 | 14060 | 570 | 2880 |
| 23 | 119460 | 20820 | 880 | 2550 | 89700 | 14200 | 670 | 2830 |
| 24 | 127400 | 19520 | 940 | 2500 | 100310 | 13950 | 760 | 2770 |
| 25 | 133700 | 18070 | 1010 | 2450 | 109440 | 13430 | 830 | 2720 |
| 26 | 138640 | 16620 | 1050 | 2400 | 117070 | 12740 | 900 | 2660 |
| 27 | 142180 | 15210 | 1100 | 2350 | 123370 | 11990 | 960 | 2600 |
| 28 | 144630 | 13900 | 1120 | 2300 | 128220 | 11190 | 1000 | 2550 |
| 29 | 146020 | 12690 | 1140 | 2240 | 131910 | 10410 | 1030 | 2480 |
| 30 | 146510 | 11600 | 1160 | 2190 | 134430 | 9670 | 1060 | 2420 |
| 31 | 146240 | 10630 | 1160 | 2130 | 135940 | 8970 | 1080 | 2360 |
| 32 | 145150 | 9770 | 1170 | 2070 | 136580 | 8350 | 1100 | 2300 |
| 38 | 143540 | 9020 | 1170 | 2010 | 136280 | 7780 | 1120 | 2230 |
| 34 | 141350 | 8370 | 1170 | 1950 | 135360 | 7280 | 1130 | 2160 |
| 35 | 138740 | 7820 | 1170 | 1890 | 133760 | 6840 | 1130 | 2100 |
| 36 | 135680 | 7330 | 1160 | 1830 | 131640 | 6460 | 1130 | 2020 |
| 37 | 132190 | 6910 | 1160 | 1770 | 129020 | 6120 | 1130 | 1950 |
| 38 | 128590 | 6560 | 1150 | 1700 | 125890 | 5830 | 1120 | 1880 |
| 39 | 124650 | 6260 | 1140 | 1630 | 122580 | 5590 | 1120 | 1810 |
| 40 | 120340 | 6020 | 1130 | 1560 | 118880 | 5390 | 1120 | 1740 |
| 41 | 115880 | 5790 | 1120 | 1500 | 114750 | 5200 | 1120 | 1650 |
| 42 | 111190 | 5620 | 1110 | 1430 | 110470 | 5060 | 1110 | 1590 |
| 43 | 106480 | 5460 | 1090 | 1360 | 105890 | 4930 | 1090 | 1510 |
| 44 | 101480 | 5330 | 1070 | 1300 | 101290 | 1830 | 1070 | 1440 |
| 45 to 50 | 410770 | 6750 | 4480 | 5410 | 404950 | 6030 | 4420 | 6000 |
| 18 to 45 | 3562900 | 421420 | 28550 | 56520 | 3 302 570 | 353710 | 26710 | 62660 |
| 16 to 50 |  | 439000 | 35790 |  |  | 370000 | 34000 |  |

We have now the means - by summing the enlistments at each age, deduced by the preceding calculations, and combining them with the reenlistments of successive years, distributed as already explained - of obtaining the ages of recruits, as calculated by the formula adopted for the volunteers. The degree of accordance between the distribution of ages, thus obtained, and that actually derived from official records, will afford a criterion for estimating the extent to which the law connecting the ages of our volunteers, or first million of soldiers, with their tendency to enlist, is also applicable to the recruits, or last million and a quarter of troops. Of course we can only consider in the calculation those within the limits of military age.

## TABLE IV.

Ages of Recruits,
as derived from Formula for Ages of Volunteers.

| Ago | OriginalRnlist-ments18istis | Reenlistmenta |  |  |  | AggregateRecruits | Proportionate Numbers |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1862 | 1863 | 1864-6 | Total |  | Calculated | $\begin{gathered} \text { Re- } \\ \text { corded } \end{gathered}$ | C.-R. |
| Under 18 | 21090 | 1030 | 140 | - | 1170 | 22260 | - | - |  |
| 18 | 150670 | 7800 | 710 | 720 | 9230 | 159900 | 1410 | 1563 | -153 |
| 19 | 83040 | 6630 | 6500 | 3700 | 16830 | 99870 | 880 | 848 | + 32 |
| 20 | 51310 | 5650 | 5530 | 33790 | 44970 | 96280 | 849 | 707 | +142 |
| 21 | 38640 | 4830 | 4710 | 28740 | 38280 | 76920 | 678 | 905 | -227 |
| 22 | 35940 | 4140 | 4020 | 24500 | 32660 | 68600 | 605 | 686 | -81 |
| 23 | 35020 | 35.50 | 3450 | 20930 | 27930 | 62950 | 555 | 587 | - 32 |
| 24 | 33470 | 3060 | 2960 | 17920 | 23940 | 57410 | 506 | 504 | + 2 |
| 25 | 31500 | 2650 | 2550 | 15400 | 20600 | 52100 | 459 | 443 | + 16 |
| 26 | 29360 | 2300 | 2220 | 13270 | 17790 | 47150 | 416 | 390 | + 26 |
| 27 | 27200 | 2020 | 1920 | 11480 | 15420 | 42620 | 376 | 347 | + 29 |
| 28 | 25090 | 1760 | 1670 | 9970 | 13400 | 38490 | 339 | 352 | - 13 |
| 29 | 23100 | 1560 | 1470 | 8710 | 11740 | 34840 | 307 | 254 | + 53 |
| 30 | 21270 | 1380 | 1300 | 7640 | 10320 | 31590 | 278 | 281 | - 3 |
| 31 to 35 | 70170 | 4280 | 3950 | 22940 | 31170 | 101340 | 894 | 772 | +122 |
| 35 to 45 | 119350 | 6640 | 5850 | 32360 | 44850 | 164200 | 1448 | 1361 | +87 |
| Over 45 | 12780 | 720 | 1050 | 7930 | 9700 | 22480 | - | - | - |
| 18 to 45 | 775130 | 58250 | 48810 | 252070 | 359130 | 1134260 | 10000 | 10000 | - |
| 16 to 50 | 809000 | 60000 | 50000 | 260000 | 370000 | 1179000 | - | - |  |

## 5. Final Inferences.

The discrepancies between the calculated and recorded numbers, after reduction to the scale of 10000 , are of the same order of magnitude as those found in Chapter III., between the calculated and recorded numbers of volunteers at the several ages; and are indeed somewhat larger than those there found for the grand total of enlisted men. But it is manifest that if the tendency to enlistment for the recruits were governed by a law depending on their age, to the same extent as was found to hold good for the volunteers, the present more detailed method of investigation ought to show a decidedly closer accordance between theory and observation than was there manifested.
The algebraic form of the law being apparently as good as could be expected, attention was directed to discovering what modification of the numerical values would bring about a closer accordance with the recorded numbers. This investigation, being necessarily indirect, tedious, and in great measure tentative, need not be described; but it resulted in modifying the formula by some slight change in the numbers.
We thus obtain for the law of enlistment of recruits by ages -

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { (Formala for Recruits) }^{8_{n}=1631-62.8 n+8369(0.8353)^{n}} \\
x_{n}=62.8+1378.4(0.8353)^{n}
\end{array}
$$

The second part of Table III. thus requires modification throughout ; and the following tables (V. and VI.) which result from the employment of the "formula for recruits" in distributing all enlistments since July 1863 by ages, seem to present the facts in the most trustworthy form ; - the first part of Table III., as given on page 80 remaining unchanged.

## TABLE V.

Unenlisted Military Population and Enlistments in each Year, using Formula for Ages of Recruits.

| Age | Mil's Population July 1868 | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Enlist } \\ \text { monts to } \\ \text { Jouly } 1804 \end{array}\right\|$ | Deaths | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Immi- } \\ & \text { grante } \end{aligned}$ | Mil'y Population July 1804 | Enlistmente | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Deaths to } \\ \text { July } \\ 1865 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Immi. } \\ \text { grants } \\ \text { co July } \\ 1865 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | 248000 | 2010 | 1360 | 2840 | 257920 | 1920 | 1410 | 3160 |
| 17 | 237110 | 9910 | 1400 | 2810 | 247470 | 9480 | 1460 | 3110 |
| 18 | 219200 | 83920 | 1180 | 2770 | 228610 | 80230 | 1260 | 3070 |
| 19 | 142600 | 46680 | 860 | 2730 | 136870 | 41070 | 880 | 3020 |
| 20 | 103060 | 28920 | 670 | 2680 | 97790 | 25150 | 670 | 2980 |
| 21 | 98220 | 23680 | 680 | 2640 | 76150 | 16830 | 550 | 2930 |
| 22 | 109780 | 22820 | 790 | 2590 | 76500 | 14570 | 570 | 2880 |
| 23 | 119460 | 21480 | 880 | 2550 | 88760 | 14630 | 670 | 2830 |
| 24 | 127400 | 19890 | 940 | 2500 | 99650 | 14260 | 760 | 2770 |
| 25 | 133700 | 18200 | 1010 | 2450 | 109070 | 13610 | 830 | 2720 |
| 26 | 138640 | 16530 | 1050 | 2400 | 116940 | 12780 | 900 | 2660 |
| 27 | 142180 | 14940 | 1100 | 2350 | 123460 | 11890 | 960 | 2600 |
| 28 | 144630 | 13460 | 1120 | 2300 | 128490 | 10960 | 1000 | 2550 |
| 29 | 146020 | 12130 | 1140 | 2240 | 132350 | 10070 | 1030 | 2480 |
| 30 | 146510 | 10930 | 1160 | 2190 | 134990 | 9230 | 1060 | 2420 |
| 31 | 146240 | 9880 | 1160 | 2130 | 136610 | 8460 | 1080 | 2360 |
| 32 | 145150 | 8960 | 1170 | 2070 | 137330 | 7770 | 1100 | 2300 |
| 33 | 143540 | 8160 | 1170 | 2010 | 137090 | 7140 | 1120 | 2230 |
| 34 | 141350 | 7470 | 1170 | 1950 | 136220 | 6600 | 1130 | 2160 |
| 35 | 138740 | 6880 | 1170 | 1890 | 134660 | 6120 | 1130 | 2100 |
| 36 | 135680 | 6380 | 1160 | 1830 | 132580 | 5710 | 1130 | 2020 |
| 37 | 132 190' | 5950 | 1160 | 1770 | 129970 | 5360 | 1130 | 1950 |
| 38 | 128590 | 5590 | 1150 | 1700 | 126850 | 5050 | 1120 | 1880 |
| 89 | 124650 | 5290 | 1140 | 1630 | 123550 | 4800 | 1120 | 1810 |
| 40 | 120340 | 5030 | 1130 | 1560 | 119850 | 4590 | 1120 | 1740 |
| 41 | 115880 | 4800 | 1120 | 1500 | 115740 | 4400 | 1120 | 1660 |
| 42 | 111190 | 4630 | 1110 | 1430 | 111460 | 4250 | 1110 | 1590 |
| 43 | 106480 | 4480 | 1090 | 1360 | 106880 | 4120 | 1090 | 1510 |
| 44 | 101480 | 4350 | 1070 | 1300 | 102270 | 4020 | 1070 | 1440 |
| 45 to 50 | 410770 | 5650 | 4480 | 5410 | 406050 | 4930 | 4420 | 6000 |
| $\begin{gathered} 18 \text { to } 45 \\ \text { All } \end{gathered}$ | 3562900 | $\begin{aligned} & 421430 \\ & 439000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28550 \\ & 35790 \end{aligned}$ | 56520 | 3300690 | $\begin{aligned} & 353670 \\ & 370000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26710 \\ & 34000 \end{aligned}$ | 62660 |

Hence we deduce the following Table VI. for the true ages of recruits, in the stead of Table IV. It will be observed that the excess of recorded ages at twenty-one years is very nearly com-
pensated by a corresponding deficit at nineteen and twenty; so that we may be warranted in regarding these discrepancies, and also the excess at eighteen years, as representing very closely the actual amount of misrepresentation at these ages.

> T A B L E VI.
> Ages of Recruits,
> as deduced from most probable Formula.

| Age | Original Enlistments |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Reenlist- } \\ \text { mentent } \\ 186^{2}-5 \end{gathered}$ | Aggregate Hecruite | Proportionate Numbers |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1863-4 | 1894-5 | Total |  |  | Cale'd | Recorded | C. $-\mathbf{R}$. |
| Under 18 | 11920 | 11400 | 23320 | 1170 | 24490 |  |  |  |
| 18 | 83920 | 80230 | 164150 | 9230 | 173380 | 1529 | 1563 | - 84 |
| 19 | 46680 | 41070 | 87750 | 16830 | 104580 | 922 | 848 | + 74 |
| 20 | 28920 | 25150 | 54070 | 44970 | 99040 | 878 | 707 | +166 |
| 21 | 23680 | 16830 | 40510 | 38280 | 78790 | 695 | 905 | -210 |
| 22 | 22820 | 14570 | 37390 | 32660 | 70050 | 618 | 686 | - 68 |
| 23 | 21480 | 14630 | 36110 | 27930 | 64040 | 565 | 587 | - 22 |
| 24 | 19890 | 14260 | 34150 | 23940 | 58090 | 512 | 504 | + 8 |
| 25 | 18200 | 13610 | 31810 | 20600 | 52410 | 462 | 443 | + 19 |
| 26 | 16530 | 12780 | 29310 | 17790 | 47100 | 415 | 390 | + 25 |
| 27 | 14940 | 11890 | 26830 | 15420 | 42250 | 372 | 347 | + 25 |
| 28 | 13460 | 10960 | 24420 | 13400 | 37820 | 333 | 352 | - 19 |
| 29 | 12130 | 10070 | 22200 | 11740 | 33940 | 299 | 254 | + 45 |
| 30 | 10930 | 9230 | 20160 | 10320 | 30480 | 269 | 281 | - 12 |
| 31 to 35 | 34470 | 29970 | 64440 | 31170 | 95610 | 844 | 772 | + 72 |
| 35 to 45 | 53380 | 48420 | 101800 | 44850 | 146650 | 1292 | 1361 | - 69 |
| Over 45 | 5650 | 4930 | 10580 | 9700 | 20280 |  |  |  |
| 18 to 45 | 421430 | 353670 | 775100 | 359130 | 134230 | 10000 | 10000 |  |
| 16 to 50 | 439000 | 370000 | 809000 | 370000 | 1179000 |  |  |  |

By the process described in Chapter III., page 39 we may now compute for the recruits also the true age, $t$, corresponding to the averages of any given "Age last birthday," and shall find that the modification of the formula produces in no case a greater change than three units in the third decimal place. But the untrue returns for the ages eighteen to twenty-one inclusive affect the result materially, and we may obtain data for deducing values entitled to much confidence, by comparing the recorded numbers with those indicated by our formula.

A combination of the true ages of those recorded as of any
given age at the last birthday, will give the following ralues, which acquire importance from their effect upon the investigation of the law of growth in stature.

| Recorded Age <br> lust birthday | Correaponding <br> Average Age |
| :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 18.460 |
| 19 | 19.482 |
| 20 | 20.482 |
| 21 | 21.179 |
| 25 | 25.486 |
| 30 | 30.487 |
| 35 | 35.490 |
| 40 | 40.494 |
| 45 | 45.497 |
| 31 to 35 | 32.870 |
| 35 to 45 | 39.558 |

6. Ages of the Army in each Year.

In closing the present chapter, it may not be amiss to present an estimate of the ages of the troops here considered, who were serving in the national army in each successive year of the rebellion ; - the present investigations affording all needed data. And by combining our results for volunteers, recruits, and reenlisted men, we arrive at the following schedule, which cannot differ much from the truth.

## TABLE VII.

Ages of White Volunteer Army from Loyal States (excluding Pacific Coast).

| App last Birthday | July 1862 | July 1888 | Joly 1884 | May 1885 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | 800 | 600 | 750 | 685 |
| 17 | 4855 | 4130 | 4890 | 4595 |
| 18 | 40960 | 32370 | 88025 | 35420 |
| 19 | 68300 | 70300 | 72775 | 70265 |
| 20 | 58155 | 75685 | 80590 | 75380 |
| 21 | 49620 | 64320 | 76050 | 72305 |
| 22 | 42435 | 56490 | 65305 | 65270 |
| 23 | 36390 | 49505 | 58645 | 56825 |
| 24 | 31310 | 43840 | 52340 | 52025 |
| 25 | 27035 | 37955 | 46520 | 47180 |
| 26 | 23435 | 33285 | 41245 | 42510 |
| 27 | 20410 | 29250 | 36545 | 38140 |
| 28 | 17870 | 25785 | 32400 | 34145 |
| 29 | 15725 | 22830 | 28775 | 50550 |
| 30 | 13920 | 20305 | 25620 | 27350 |
| 31 | 12410 | 18140 | 22895 | 24525 |
| 32 | 11135 | 16305 | 20550 | 22065 |
| 83 | 10060 | 14750 | 18540 | 19935 |
| 34 | 9160 | 13425 | 16825 | 18095 |
| 35 | 8400 | 12305 | 15355 | 16510 |
| 36 | 7760 | 11355 | 14110 | 15135 |
| 37 | 7230 | 10560 | 13055 | 13980 |
| 38 | 6780 | 9895 | 12170 | 12990 |
| 39 | 6390 | 9320 | 11430 | 12160 |
| 40 | 6070 | 8840 | 10795 | 11480 |
| 41 | 5805 | 8435 | 10260 | 10880 |
| 42 | 5575 | 8095 | 9805 | 10380 |
| 43 | 5385 | 7810 | 9430 | 9960 |
| 44 | 5230 | 7565 | 9115 | 9615 |
| 45 and orer | 9390 | 17050 | 24190 | 28645 |
|  | 568000 | 740000 | 879000 | 889000 |

We are thus enabled to determine for the total white volunteer army, at each of the four dates comprised in the foregoing table, a tabular view of the mean age, the probable age for any individual, and the proportionate number of men below certain specified limits of age. This is analogous to the similar exhibit for the ages of the "Volunteers," in our restricted sense of the term, presented in
the preceding chapter. ${ }^{1}$ The gradual increase of the average age, from year to year, which is manifested by the annual changes in the distribution of the numbers at each age in Table VII. is shown, in a form perhaps more striking to the general reader, by the subjoined figures.

|  | July 1862 | July 1868 | July 1864 | May 1865 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average age last birthday | $25.104$ | $\stackrel{y}{25.766}$ | $26^{J} .067$ | $26.321$ |
| Average age at date | 25.590 | 26.252 | 26.553 | 26.807 |
| Age above and below which the numbers |  |  |  |  |
| were equal. | 23.96 | 24.76 | 25.11 | 25.49 |
| Percentage under 20 years last birthday | 19.76 | 14.30 | 13.06 | 12.36 |
| Percentage under 25 years last birthday | 59.16 | 54.58 | 52.32 | 50.00 |
| Percentage under 30 years last birthday | 78.06 | 75.34 | 74.18 | 72.51 |

1 Page 85.

## CHAPTER V.

## STATURES.

## 1. Statistics Collected, and Mode of Discussion.

The descriptive muster-rolls of the army promised to afford such valuable materials for studying the law of growth, as well as the mean stature belonging to different States and nativities, that steps were taken in November 1864 to obtain these important data from the military archives of the several States. Blanks were accordingly prepared, upon which the nativity, age, and height of each soldier could be easily transcribed, as well as the regiment or other organization into which he enlisted; and clerks were sent to the capitals of the loyal States to collect these records.

The desired facilities were accorded by the Adjutant-Generals with a ready courtesy and cordiality for which the grateful thanks of the Commission are due ; and in almost every instance personal kindness and assistance were offered and given to an extent which we should not have presumed to solicit. The Ages of Recruits, investigated in the preceding chapter, were mostly obtained in this way, as well as the Nativities discussed in Chapter II.; and this collection of materials was continued until all the records available had been transcribed. The number might probably have been still farther increased by additional records in the federal archives at Washington, had not all opportunity for such inquiries been refused the Commission by the Secretary of War ; but it is not probable that the results would have been appreciably modified by this relatively small increase of material. It is much to be regretted that the records of stature are so meagre for the first years of the war.
The facts indicated by the records of the State of New York rendering it desirable that similar information should be obtained concerning the men enlisting in the naval service, application for access to the naval records was made to the late Commander Albert N. Smith, Chief of the Bureau of Equipment and Recruiting, who in the most courteous manner afforded all requisite opportunities and assistance in our work.

The height, age, and nativity were thus collected for each one of nearly a million and a quarter of men, namely, for

| 1104841 | white soldiers; |
| ---: | :--- |
| 39615 | colored soldiers; |
| 83800 | white sailors; |
| 4000 | colored sailors : |
| 1232256 | in all. |

These records were then tabulated and assorted by distributing the records from each office according to nativities, and grouping, for each nativity, those of each age according to height ; all under seventeen years and all over thirty-five being aggregated, ás well as those of the four years of age between thirty-one and thirtyfive. Similarly the heights under sixty-one inches were grouped in one column, as were also those over seventy-five inches; but these were singly considered in taking the corresponding mean heights. A little less than one fifth of all under the height of sixty-one inches were under the age of eighteen years. No limit of stature appears to have been established for volunteer troops, and the rule of the Board of Enrollment was that "the matter of stature should be considered only in the general examination as to the physical fitness of the man for military service." For the regular army the minimum height was established in August 1861 at sixty-three inches, but this has no appreciable bearing on the results here found.

The number under sixty-one inches was 5445 , out of 1104841 , or a little less than one half of one per centum; the corresponding numbers for soldiers of twenty-one years and upward being 2524 out of 753666 ; or one third of one per cent. The full table of ages for the under-statures will be given hereafter. Since the heights were never recorded more minutely than to the nearest quarter of an inch, they were assorted by quarter-inches.
It soon became evident that a very large proportion of the measurements were given to the nearest inch only, and that the number recorded at the half inch greatly exceeded the sum of those given for the uneven quarters. The influence of this crude method of measuring manifests itself to some extent in our results, especially in those pertaining to the law of growth. Indeed there is no department of our statistical work in which the tendency to the employment of round numbers is not prominently exhibited, in some manner analogous to that already described in the consideration of the Ages of Volunteers. It is doubtless attendant upon all ,
statistical inquiries, if indeed not inherent in all quantitative determinations requiring human judgement to any extent, though decreasing with the training of the observer; and the scientific inquirer can only hope so to arrange his methods of investigation as to reduce the effect of this source of error to a minimum, without eliminating it entirely.
To obviate the danger of vitiating too large an amount of work by any accidental error in grouping, the materials from the more populous States were tabulated in successive installments; forty thousand being as large a number as it was found advisable to assort at once, although in some cases this number was exceeded. These successive installments, or "counts," followed the order in which the records were transcribed, but not necessarily that in which the men enlisted; so that the results obtained from consecutive counts afforded only a rough approximation to those which a strictly historical classification would have yielded. A classification by years of enlistment would have afforded a means of obtaining results of high interest and value; but for some States this was impossible, and for the others it would have entailed an additional amount of labor, altogether precluded by financial considerations.

It has been seen how very large a proportion of our soldiers were under the age of legal majority, and how rapidly their relative number decreased for increasing ages. The slightest consideration shows moreover that the mean stature of the enlisted men would fall far short of indicating the stature belonging to years of full development, or even to their mean age, since the growths before and after this mean age are at different rates. And, since the earliest inferences from the present research led to the conviction that the age of full stature was a much later one than is generally supposed, and that this age probably varied for different States and countries, it became still more clearly important that the typical stature for each should be deduced only from the statistics for ages subsequent to the attainment of maximum stature. It seems remarkable that this precaution should have been so little regarded by investigators. The mean stature of the white soldiers here considered would be increased by nearly three eighths of an inch by excluding from the computation those under the age of twenty-four years; and the average heights of those above and those below that age differ by more than two thirds of an inch.

There is reason to believe that the average stature of the volunteer soldiers (using this term in the sense in which it was employed in Chapter III., to designate the original members of volunteer
organizations) was decidedly greater than that of the recruits who subsequently enlisted, and it is therefore a source of much regret that so large a proportion of our material is afforded by the latter only. The successive "counts" for different States indicate a decided tendency to diminution of the average stature as the war went on ; and it is doubtless owing to this fact that the mean statures afforded by the present investigation, which comprises all those volunteers whose statures were recorded, range much higher than those given in the excellent report ${ }^{1}$ of Dr. Baxter, Chief Medical Officer of the Provost Marshal General's Bureau, inasmuch as these latter are deduced from the statistics of less than 350000 soldiers, all of whom were recruits, substitutes, or drafted men.

The regulations prescribe that the heights be taken with a measuring rod, while the men are without clothing. There is doubtless some difference in the average results obtained by different examining surgeons, but these must vanish from the mean of measurements by so many different officers. The most appropriate distribution of the soldiers according to nativities was a question of some difficulty, since it was necessary to decide upon the mode of distribution, before the relative numbers were known. The following eighteen classes were adopted, and although this division might with advantage be somewhat modified in the light of our present knowledge, it has, to preserve uniformity, been retained for all the statistics of the Commission.
A. The six New England States.
B. New York, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania.
C. Ohio and Indiana.
D. Michigan, Wisconsin, and Illinois.
E. Slave States, not including $\mathbf{F}$ and $\mathbf{G}_{\boldsymbol{s}}$
F. Kentucky and Tennessee.
$\mathrm{G}_{1}$. Free States west of the Mississippi.
$\mathrm{G}_{2}$. Slave States west of the Mississippi.
H. British America, exclusive of Canada.
I. Canada.
J. England.
K. Scotland.
L. Ireland.
M. France, Belgium, and Switzerland.
N. Germany.
O. Scandinavia.
P. Spain, Portugal, and Spanish America.
Q. Miscellaneous.

All statures exceeding 764 inches ( 195 centimeters) have been regarded as excessive, and especial inquiries have been instituted to verify the truth of the records in such cases. In about one sixth part of the number the records have proved erroneous, generally by one foot; for about one fourth of the entire number the record has been corroborated; and attempts to obtain farther information have failed in the remaining instances.

## 2. Heights at each Age, by States of Enlistment.

The general table here appended exhibits the Mean Heights, in inches for each age, of somewhat more than 1100000 soldiers, distinguishing them by the States in which they enlisted; and it farthermore shows, in every instance, the number of men from which this mean height was deduced. The number of men is given in a lighter type, just above the corresponding stature. Thas it will be seen that the mean stature of the 17563 men from West Virginia was 68.425 inches, while that of the 18875 men from New Jersey was 66.575 inches, or nearly two inches less.

The great discordances between the mean statures of men from different States seemed to follow no simple geographical rule, nor yet to depend upon the character of the respective populations historically considered, with reference either to the original stock or to later immigration. It seems needless to present here the special tables, showing the number of men at each age and each height, for the several States, although such tables exist in our archives.

## TABLE I.

Mean Heights at each Age, by States of Enlistment.

|  | $\frac{\text { g }}{\frac{2}{2}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\vec{g}$ 曷 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 17 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} 79 \\ 63.701 \end{array}$ | $$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 69 \\ \hline 63.721 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 60 \\ \hline 62.933 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 84 \\ 63.084 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 725 \\ 63.280 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22 \\ 62.898 \end{gathered}$ | 413 65.044 | $6$ | $65$ |
| 17 | $\begin{gathered} 134 \\ 66.917 \end{gathered}$ | 91 <br> 66.312 | 156 66.372 | 128 65.865 | ${ }_{145}^{65.346}$ | 1365 65.287 | 49 <br> 65.220 | 1877 65.590 | ${ }_{84}^{88} 8$ | 244 |
| 18 | $\begin{gathered} 9524 \\ 66.966 \end{gathered}$ | 8190 <br> 66.703 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 4084 \\ 66.720 \end{gathered}\right.$ | ${ }_{66.1818}$ | 66.169 | ${ }_{25}^{2088}$ | 65.602 | ${ }^{10958}$ | 1026 | 8441 |
|  | $66.966$ | 66.703 | $66.720$ | 66.181 | 66.169 | 66.103 | 65.602 | 65.974 | 65.996 | 67.335 |
| 19 | $\begin{gathered} 4390 \\ 67.856 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1972 \\ 67.062 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1907 | 8764 66.706 | 3021 | 14980 | 1275 | ${ }^{7007}$ | 676 | 1609 |
|  |  |  | 67.553 | 66.706 | 66.696 | 66.575 | 66.007 | 66.614 | 66.764 | 68.380 |
| 20 | 68.226 | 1830 67.287 | ${ }_{67.627}^{1648}$ | 2828 | ${ }_{66.912}^{2575}$ | 10961 | 1458 | 6152 | 565 | 1808 |
|  | 68.226 | 67.287 | 67.627 | 67.007 | 66.912 | 66.980 | 66.309 | 67.166 | 67.388 | 68.607 |
| 21 | 5411 | 3184 | 2764 | 5131 | 4204 | 19306 | 1934 | 6424 | 694 | 1479 |
|  | 68.279 | 67.235 | 67.741 | 67.101 | 66.927 | 67.241 | 66.61)2 | 67.305 | 67.582 | 68.592 |
| 22 | 8539 | 2280 | 1657 | 3090 | 3316 | 13263 | 1760 | 4768 | 688 | 1217 |
|  | 68.339 | 67.331 | 67.797 | 67.217 | 67.094 | 67.306 | 66.646 | 67.352 | 67.471 | 68.668 |
| 23 | 47 | 1828 | 1411 | 2879 | 2769 | 10810 | 1404 | 4139 | 504 | 952 |
|  | 68.547 | 67.525 | 67.878 | 67.259 | 67.358 | 67.372 | 66.785 | 67.414 | 67.960 | 69.040 |
| 24 | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 2420 \\ 68.439 \end{array}\right\|$ | 1334 | 1179 | 1999 | 2198 | 9067 | 1258 | 3544 | 360 | 807 |
|  | $68.432$ | 67.447 | 67.848 | 67.281 | 67.307 | 67.384 | 66.747 | 67.451 | 67.992 | 68.966 |
| 25 | 2167 | 1292 | 975 | 1695 | 1999 | 82 | 1020 | 2907 | 286 | 712 |
|  | 68.489 | 67.441 | 67.805 | 67.319 | 67.319 | 67.325 | 66.713 | 67.536 | 67.830 | 68.742 |
| 26 | 1904 | 1019 | 863 | 1545 | 1712 | 7169 | 89 | 2723 | 267 | 576 |
|  | 68.447 | 67.604 | 67.964 | 67.210 | 67.410 | 67.457 | 66.558 | 67.594 | 67.757 | 68.915 |
| 27 | 1696 | 962 | 769 | 1350 | 1489 | 6526 | 763 | 2484 | 242 | 477 |
|  | 68.618 | 67.682 | 68.071 | 67.296 | 67.432 | 67.424 | 66.727 | 67.529 | 68.084 | 68.613 |
| 28 | 1668 | 998 | 740 | 1409 | 1638 | 6518 | 781 | 2506 | 218 | 482 |
|  | 68.559 | 67.590 | 68.106 | 67.216 | 67.466 | 67.423 | 66.684 | 67.530 | 67.776 | 68.988 |
| 29 | 1143 | 695 | 506 | 1061 | 1148 | 4491 | 621 | 1898 | 183 | 337 |
|  | 68.561 | 67.751 | 67.993 | 67.405 | 67.385 | 67.455 | 66.688 | 67.575 | 67.728 | 69.111 |
| 30 | 1264 | 730 | 537 | 1118 | 1238 | 5177 | 608 | 1873 | 163 | 393 |
|  | 68.461 | 67.788 | 67.968 | 67.169 | 67.239 | 67.341 | 66.657 | 67.639 | 67.637 | 68.897 |
| 31-34 | 3482 | 1864 | 1598 | 2848 | 3256 | 14482 | 1577 | 5924 | 482 | 1078 |
|  | 68.555 | 67.894 | 68.091 | 67.444 | 67.389 | 67.478 | 66.889 | 67.665 | 67.712 | 68.937 |
| 35 \& over | 7081 | 3466 | 8215 | 5144 | 6005 | 29736 | 2572 | 12671 | 1001 | 2357 |
|  | 68.587 | 67.956 | 67.772 | 67.394 | 67.446 | 67.394 | 66.810 | 67.573 | 67.747 | 68.778 |
| Total | 62314 | 26821 | 24063 | 40855 | 41805 | 188008 | 18875 | 77761 | 7833 | 17563 |
|  | 68.122 | 67.402 | 67.613 | 67.050 | 67.083 | 67.085 | 66.575 | 67.136 | 67.312 | 68.425 |

## TABLE I．－（Continued．）

## Mean Heights at each Age，

by States of Enlistment．

| 䯩旁 |  | 응 | 晨 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 膏 } \\ & \text { 籴 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 若 } \\ & \text { 品 } \end{aligned}$ | E | T ex \＃ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Onder 17 | 144 | 494 | 270 | 1168 | 298 | 243 | 74 | 152 | 431 | 24 |
|  | 65.625 | 64.304 | 64.596 | 64.326 | 65.727 | 65.247 | 65.314 | 64.484 | 62.854 | 59.250 |
| 17 | 260 | 1188 | 634 | 2527 | 660 | 614 | 161 | 884 | 788 | 12 |
|  | 66.119 | 65.828 | 66.610 | 66.004 | 66.142 | 66.035 | 65.585 | 65.951 | 66.122 | 64.208 |
| 18 | 4543 | 19601 | 21935 | 28635 | 3145 | 6892 | 812 | 6886 | 7280 | 122 |
|  | 66.662 | 66.665 | 66.870 | 66.638 | 66.530 | 66.789 | 66.316 | 66.862 | 66.957 | 65.373 |
| 19 | 2099 | 9331 | 10519 | 16547 | 1612 | 8438 | 324 | 2566 | 4102 | 108 |
|  | 67.685 | 67.528 | 67.723 | 67.620 | 67.317 | 67.502 | 67.309 | 67.926 | 67.861 | 66.306 |
| 20 | 2009 | 8199 | 9485 | 18130 | 1782 | 2958 | 254 | 1615 | 3783 | 97 |
|  | 68.274 | 67.836 | 68.111 | 68.121 | 67.568 | 67.830 | 67.980 | 68.309 | 68.217 | 66.789 |
| 21 | 1794 | 7636 | 9705 | 14919 | 1670 | 8715 | 558 | 2198 | 4486 | 121 |
|  | 68.426 | 68.098 | 68.351 | 68.247 | 67.823 | 68.012 | 67.821 | 68.612 | 68.227 | 66.926 |
| 22 | 1619 | 6190 | 7835 | 13024 | 1288 | 2799 | 310 | 1519 | 3614 | 137 |
|  | 68.608 | 68.175 | 68.483 | 68.396 | 68.000 | 68.093 | 68.276 | 68.667 | 68.387 | 66.668 |
| 23 | 1383 | 5669 | 6789 | 11332 | 1269 | 2475 | 273 | 1829 | 3313 | 116 |
|  | 68.707 | 68.217 | 68.487 | 68.396 | 68.082 | 68.044 | 68.264 | 68.616 | 68.429 | 67.293 |
| 24 | 1212 | 4922 | 6012 | 10118 | 1169 | 2334 | 257 | 1188 | 2747 | 108 |
|  | 68.907 | 68.203 | 68.408 | ＇68．441 | 68.054 | 67.922 | 68.158 | 68.702 | 68.475 | 67.431 |
| 25 | 1026 | 4243 | 4890 | 9097 | 909 | 1996 | 232 | 1033 | 2790 | 135 |
|  | 68.530 | 68.252 | 68.536 | 68.387 | 67.915 | 68.068 | 68.046 | 68.691 | 68.275 | 66.909 |
| 26 | 851 | 3857 | 4283 | 7753 | 878 | 1788 | 220 | 956 | 2526 | 113 |
|  | 68.899 | 68.266 | 68.495 | 68.426 | 68.055 | 67.857 | 67.604 | 68.735 | 68.269 | 67.077 |
| 27 | ${ }^{716}$ | 3563 | 3738 | 6647 | 729 | 1783 | 23. | 967 | 2042 | 118 |
|  | 68.802 | 68.295 | 68.476 | 68.421 | 68.085 | 67.932 | 68.252 | 68.512 | 68.411 | 66.981 |
| 28 | ${ }^{742}$ | 3601 | 3929 | 6646 | 797 | 1807 | 267 | 870 | 2143 | 140 |
|  | 68.894 | 68.269 | 68.527 | 68.398 | 67.881 | 67.787 | 67.808 | 68.861 | 68.363 | 67.093 |
| 29 | 490 | 2706 | 2769 | 4883 | 649 | 1423 | 225 | 698 | 1453 | 102 |
|  | 68.763 | 68.261 | 68.498 | 68.452 | 67.865 | 67.986 | 68.019 | 69.037 | 68.261 | 67.201 |
| 30 | 642 | 2938 | 2000 | 5257 | 661 | 1587 | 229 | 790 | 1925 | 127 |
|  | 68.927 | 68.300 | 68.546 | 68.344 | 67.953 | 67.789 | 68.199 | 68.780 | 68.176 | 67.238 |
| 31－34 | 1342 | 8541 | 8351 | 18453 | 1884 | 4868 | 794 | 2148 | 4736 | 811 |
|  | 68.784 | 68.369 | 68.632 | 68.454 | 67.985 | 67.832 | 67.916 | 68.876 | 68.328 | 67.006 |
| 135 \＆orer | 8781 | 15661 | 14147 | 23421 | 8997 | 10582 | 1475 | 4299 | 9350 | 691 |
|  | 68.817 | 68.367 | 68.422 | 68.306 | 67.931 | 67.621 | 67.771 | 68.656 | 68.247 | 66.986 |
| Total | ${ }^{23943}$ | 108288 | 118251 | 188507 | 23322 | 51202 | 6697 | 29604 | 57497 | 2582 |
|  | 68.160 | 67.838 | 68.062 | 67.970 | 67.615 | 67.652 | 67.625 | 68.131 | 68.033 | 66.831 |

## 3. Heights at each Age by Natirities.

The soldiers of earh State being assorted by nativities, according to the schedule already described. and the results for each nativity then aggregated, we obtain a table similar in form to that given in the last section, but showing the mean height at each age, not by the State of enlistment, but by the State or country of birth. It may, however, not be without interest for the statistician, if a

## TABLE II.

Natires of Nerc England States, by Heights and Ayes.

| Height | Coder 1 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 2 | 23 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| in. <br> Coder 61 | 89 | 18 | 97 | 35 | 27 | 29 |  | 16 | 23 |
| 61 | 27 | 11 | 71 |  | 11 | 10 | 6 . | 5 | 5 |
| $61 \frac{1}{2}$ | 15 | 4 | 71 | 23 | 13 | 19 | 3 ! | 5 | 7 |
| 62 | 24 | 24 | 225 | 66 | 17 | 26 | 251 | 13 | 22 |
| $62 \frac{1}{2}$ | 12 | 13 | 174 | 55 | 48 | 30 | 25. | 13 | 20 |
| 63 | 30 | 56 | 951 | 236 | 123 | 183 | 107 | 80 | 75 |
| $63 \frac{1}{2}$ | 10 | 29 | 710 | 204 | 114 | 163 | 120 | 74 | 56 |
| 64 | 30 | 51 | 1665 | 513 | 276 | 417 | $292{ }^{1}$ | 214 | 172 |
| $64 \frac{1}{2}$ | 12 | 44 | 1182 | 429 | 292 | 363 | $230{ }^{\circ}$ | 191 | 184 |
| 65 | 46 | 73 | 2319 | 867 | 534 | 784 | 522 | 401 | 295 |
| $65 \frac{1}{2}$ | 20 | 30 | 1289 | 554 | 378 | 622 | $359{ }^{\text {' }}$ | 323 | 263 |
| 66 | 28 | 80 | 2752 | 1241 | 924 | 1242 | 783 . | 626 | 516 |
| $66 \frac{1}{2}$ | 8 | 30 | 1393 | 754 | 502 | 738 | 483 | 389 | 331 |
| 67 | 18 | 71 | 2473 | 1253 | 967 | 1351 | 850 | 711 | 617 |
| $68 \frac{1}{2}$ | 7 | 43 | 1313 | 755 | 614 | 946 | 582 , | 528 | 424 |
| 68 | 14 | 56 | 2317 | 1399 | 1111 | 1537 | 1112 | 893 | 705 |
| $68 \frac{1}{2}$ | 7 | 28 | 1037 | 731 | 598 | 859 | 561 ; | 496 | 415 |
| 69 | 10 | 43 | 1575 | 997 | 913 | 1377 | 856 . | 813 | 636 |
| $69 \frac{1}{2}$ | 10 | 21 | 731 | 550 | 410 | 667 | 460 | 439 | 360 |
| 70 | 7 | 27 | 1125 | 775 | 746 | 1036 | 715 | 682 | 573 |
| $70 \frac{1}{2}$ | 3 | 16 | 407 | 358 | 304 | 466 | 330 | 287 | 244 |
| 71 | 2 | 16 | 547 | 455 | 435 | 6.3 | 450 | 449 | 333 |
| $71 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 8 | 181 | 160 | 169 | 252 | 175 | 187 | 138 |
| 72 | 3 | 7 | 323 | 308 | 287 | 448 | 342 | 297 | 275 |
| 721 $\frac{1}{2}$ | - | 7 | 70 | 64 | 82 | 111 | 100 | 61 | 65 |
| 73 | - | 1 | 115 | 93 | 106 | 189 | 148 | 99 | 99 |
| 731 $\frac{1}{2}$ | - | - | 34 | 34 | 42 | 82 | 57 | 51 | 33 |
| 74 | - | 2 | 39 | 39 | 52 | 70 | 56 | 50 | 51 |
| 74 $\frac{1}{2}$ | - | - | 14 | 11 | 11 | 25 | 22 | 19 | 12 |
| 75 \& over | - | - | 19 | 20 | 32 | 35 | 35 | 29 | 30 |
| Total | 433 | 809 | 25219 | 13001 | 10140 | 14752 | 9790 | 8441 | 6979 |

few of the special tables be here given, showing the number of soldiers at each year of age for particular nativities, and to avoid too great diffuseness, we will give these tables for four nativities only, and will aggregate the measures recorded to quarter-inches with those given for the half-inches. The General Table VI. folluws these and exhibits the mean heights at each age for the several nativities.

TABLE II. - (Continued.)
Natives of New England States, by Heights and Ages.

| Heaght | 25 | 28 | 27 | 28 | 20 | 80 | 81-84 | 85 and | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| th. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Onder 61 | 15 | 12 | 11 | 9 | 2 | 9 | 25 | 36 | 477 |
| 61 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 11 | 19 | 233 |
| 61/ ${ }^{2}$ | 7 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 13 | 26 | 232 |
| 62 | 29 | 10 | 13 | 10 | 6 | 15 | 25 | 54 | 604 |
| $62 \frac{1}{2}$ | 13 | 13 | 8 | 15 | 18 | 9 | 27 | 67 | 545 |
| 63 | 40 | 54 | 50 | 33 | 40 | 43 | 103 | 221 | 2425 |
| $63 \frac{1}{2}$ | 56 | 59 | 49 | 38 | 31 | 39 | 71 | 155 | 1978 |
| 64 | 160 | 136 | 102 | 111 | 80 | 85 | 235 | 477 | 4946 |
| $64 \frac{1}{2}$ | 147 | 114 | 115 | 118 | 73 | 69 | 224 | 435 | 4224 |
| 65 | 276 | 240 | 184 | 179 | 144 | 164 | 410 | 962 | 8400 |
| $65 \frac{1}{8}$ | 217 | 191 | 152 | 180 | 128 | 139 | 412 | 731 | 5988 |
| 66 | 407 | 425 | 362 | 326 | 252 | 272 | 697 | 1630 | 12563 |
| $66 \frac{1}{2}$ | 302 | 263 | 222 | 209 | 174 | 149 | 505 | 1034 | 7486 |
| 67 | 552 | 530 | 460 | 423 | 316 | 351 | 914 | 1944 | $13801^{\prime}$ |
| ${ }_{68} 6$ | 408 | 337 | 304 | 274 | 203 | 223 | 672 | 1346 | 8979 |
|  | 703 | 550 | 566 | 530 | 355 | 412 | 1221 | 2449 | 15930 |
| $68 \frac{1}{2}$ 69 | 365 | 335 | 320 | 293 | 248 | 209 | 642 | 1326 | 8465 |
| 69 | 553 | 475 | 463 | 446 | 366 | 342 | 977 | 2171 | 13043 |
| 70 | 289 | 270 | 226 | 243 | 190 | 190 | 553 | 1035 | 6644 |
|  | 462 | 455 | 418 | 441 | 301 | 281 | 1007 | 2089 | 11135 |
| 71 | 259 | 194 | 196 | 212 | 128 | 168 | 422 | 908 | 4897 |
|  | 301 | 261 | 288 | 264 | 211 | 192 | 676 | 1247 | 6800 |
| 72 | 122 | 114 | 115 | 116 | 71 | 94 | 250 | 523 | 2676 |
|  | 213 | 227 | 178 | 197 | 156 | 147 | 426 | 931 | 4765 |
| $73{ }^{2}$ | 61 | 80 | 39 | 49 | 40 | 24 | 95 | 204 | 1122 |
| $73 \frac{1}{6}$ | 78 | 90 | 76 | 94 | 47 | 49 | 163 | 347 | 1794 |
| 74 | 30 | 35 | 37 | 20 | 28 | 28 | 62 | 127 | 700 |
| 741 | 36 | 41 | 38 | 82 | 33 | 27 | 87 | 160 | 813 |
| $75 \%$ ove | 18 | 18 | 9 | 11 | 4 | 6 | 22 | 54 | 256 |
|  | 27 | 19 | 25 | 17 | 14 | 9 | 54 | 84 | 449 |
| Total | 6148 | 6 530 | 5030 | 4902 | 3659 | 3754 | 11001 | 22782 | 152370 |
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TABLE III.
Natives of New York, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania, by Heights and Ages.

| Helght | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \text { Under } \\ 17 \end{array}$ | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 28 | 24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { in. } \\ \text { Under } 61 \end{gathered}$ | 217 | 69 | 316 | 110 | 69 | 100 | 66 | 61 | 38 |
| 61 | 57 | 31 | 214 | 75 | 41 | 44 | 36 | 27 | 17 |
| 61 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 23 | 25 | 239 | 85 | 50 | 58 | 27 | 24 | 20 |
| 62 | 67 | 120 | 609 | 168 | 97 | 121 | 92 | 62 | 53 |
| 621 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 18 | 56 | 552 | 205 | 87 | 108 | 90 | 50 | 46 |
| 63 | 160 | 260 | 2059 | 699 | 850 | 384 | 243 | 207 | 193 |
| 631 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 56 | 126 | 1266 | 482 | 267 | 282 | 196 | 178 | 142 |
| 64 | 146 | 389 | 3673 | 1318 | 775 | 926 | 539 | 460 | 434 |
| 641 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 46 | 152 | 1789 | 835 | 464 | 580 | 392 | 289 | 237 |
| 65 | 140 | 381 | 4462 | 1976 | 1341 | 1585 | 1065 | 893 | 725 |
| $65 \frac{1}{2}$ | 56 | 142 | 1903 | 982 | 721 | 851 | 662 | 503 | 440 |
| 66 | 136 | 438 | 5065 | 2691 | 1887 | 2412 | 1621 | 1441 | 1147 |
| 661 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 55 | 133 | 1814 | 1087 | 872 | 1103 | 764 | 653 | 546 |
| 67 | 84 | 297 | 4113 | 2427 | 1878 | 2621 | 1872 | 1625 | 1292 |
| $67 \frac{1}{2}$ | 27 | 105 | 1621 | 960 | 946 | 1277 | 874 | 750 | 711 |
| 68 | 66 | 255 | 3717 | 2396 | 2157 | 3157 | 2102 | 1816 | 1566 |
| $68 \frac{1}{2}$ | 29 | 63 | 1248 | 899 | 769 | 1116 | 855 | 776 | 645 |
| 69 | 47 | 165 | 2339 | 1762 | 1540 | 2333 | 1636 | 1409 | 1258 |
| $69 \frac{1}{2}$ | 16 | 36 | 739 | 593 | 551 | 823 | 592 | 529 | 506 |
| 70 | 25 | 97 | 1585 | 1349 | 1337 | 1880 | 1419 | 1270 | 1115 |
| $70 \frac{1}{2}$ | 5 | 23 | 418 | 366 | 413 | 515 | 390 | 362 | 343 |
| 71 | 14 | 43 | 724 | 666 | 683 | 1046 | 793 | 738 | 634 |
| $71 \frac{1}{2}$ | 3 | 14 | 230 | 170 | 174 | 306 | 257 | 219 | 173 |
| 72 | 3 | 26 | 411 | 399 | 479 | 672 | 531 | 507 | 429 |
| 721 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 5 | 71 | 74 | 73 | 104 | 87 | 100 | 65 |
| 73 | 2 | 7 | 106 | 116 | 139 | 224 | 160 | 163 | 152 |
| $73 \frac{1}{2}$ | - | - | 35 | 26 | 52 | 67 | 53 | 60 | 29 |
| 74 | 2 | 2 | 35 | 56 | 68 | 105 | 82 | 89 | 74 |
| 741 | - | - | 12 | 8 | 17 | 18 | 15 | 12 | 11 |
| 75 \& over | - | - | 13 | 23 | 21 | 71 | 48 | 48 | 41 |
| Total | 1501 | 3460 | 41378 | 23003 | 18318 | 24889 | 17559 | 15321 | 13082 |

## T A B L E III. - (Continued.)

Natives of New York, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania, by Heights and Ages.

| Height | 25 | 28 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 80 | 81-84 | $85 \text { and }$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tn. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Onder 61 | 37 | 45 | 21 | 31 | 24 | 20 | 77 | 179 | 1480 |
| 61 | 25 | 15 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 41 | 68 | 745 |
| $61 \frac{1}{2}$ | 14 | 21 | 12 | 8 | 11 | 13 | 26 | 70 | 726 |
| 62 | 35 | 23 | 33 | 27 | 25 | 22 | 75 | 106 | 1735 |
| $62 \frac{1}{2}$ | 37 | 34 | 27 | 29 | 20 | 23 | 68 | 129 | 1579 |
| 63 | 127 | 97 | 117 | 109 | 60 | 88 | 215 | 439 | 5807 |
| $63 \frac{1}{2}$ | 102 | 101 | 82 | 85 | 42 | 58 | 198 | 331 | 3994 |
| 64 | 304 | 265 | 243 | 214 | 202 | 163 | 542 | 1034 | 11627 |
| $64 \frac{1}{2}$ | 202 | 208 | 162 | 183 | 128 | 139 | 392 | 720 | 6918 |
| 65 | 608 | 497 | 486 | 481 | 867 | 349 | 962 | 2136 | 18454 |
| $65 \frac{1}{2}$ | 379 | 813 | 312 | 262 | 183 | 202 | 617 | 1143 | 9671 |
| 66 | 913 | 893 | 800 | 738 | 547 | 591 | 1665 | 3408 | 26393 |
| $66 \frac{1}{2}$ | 460 | 422 | 394 | 369 | 276 | 260 | 786 | 1539 | 11533 |
| 67 | 1104 | 1090 | 927 | 873 | 617 | 629 | 1866 | 3820 | 27185 |
| $67 \frac{1}{2}$ | 559 | 485 | 506 | 473 | 364 | 341 | 1005 | 1905 | 12909 |
| 68 | 1327 | 1234 | 1123 | 1108 | 839 | 844 | 2549 | 4915 | 31171 |
| $68 \frac{1}{2}$ | 577 | 496 | 426 | 461 | 337 | 340 | 1014 | 1922 | 11973 |
| 69 | 1157 | 1017 | 911 | 893 | 703 | 684 | 2070 | 4364 | 24288 |
| $69 \frac{1}{2}$ | 451 | 441 | 332 | 337 | 295 | 296 | 855 | 1621 | 9018 |
| 70 | 947 | 887 | 836 | 790 | 611 | 653 | 1943 | 3906 | 20650 |
| $70 \frac{1}{2}$ | 284 | 282 | 252 | 265 | 188 | 205 | 656 | 1160 | 6127 |
|  | 533 | 489 | 466 | 452 | 359 | 357 | 1097 | 2193 | 11287 |
| 712 | 158 | 149 | 153 | 149 | 113 | 117 | 340 | 655 | 3380 |
|  | 403 | 365 | 289 | 288 | 253 | 283 | 806 | 1592 | 7736 |
| $72{ }^{2}$ | 58 | 49 | 58 | 46 | 38 | 46 | 166 | 221 | 1262 |
|  | 139 | 146 | 99 | 122 | 81 | 105 | 303 | 523 | 2587 |
| 74 | 38 | 35 | 30 | 36 | 31 | 25 | 78 | 135 | 730 |
| 74 | 57 | 63 | 52 | 47 | 55 | 46 | 174 | 236 | 1243 |
| 75 \& oror | $\begin{array}{r}5 \\ 34 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 10 | 11 | 13 | 6 | 8 | 26 | - 54 | 226 |
| 75 \& oror | 34 | 34 | 27 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 71 | 144 | 647 |
| Total | 11074 | 10206 | 9200 | 8927 | 6813 | 6944 | 20683 | 40668 | 273026 |

## TABLE IV.

Natives of Ohio and Indiana, by Heights and Ages.

| Height | Under | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 28 | 24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { in. } \\ \text { Under } 61 \end{gathered}$ | 131 | 44 | 264 | 63 | 55 | 33 | 23 | 29 | 21 |
| 61 | 34 | 19 | 175 | 48 | 24 | 21 | 11 | 11 | 10 |
| $61 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 14 | 94 | 14 | 17 | 10 | 17 | 13 | 9 |
| 62 | 53 | 56 | 445 | 107 | 79 | 59 | 26 | 82 | 22 |
| $62 \frac{1}{2}$ | 11 | 21 | 253 | 68 | 29 | 35 | 27 | 12 | 20 |
| 63 | 83 | 182 | 1651 | 379 | 221 | 161 | 110 | 94 | 87 |
| 631 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 11 | 57 | 728 | 182 | 99 | 87 | 83 | 63 | 45 |
| 64 | 106 | 219 | 3178 | 885 | 553 | 456 | 304 | 261 | 245 |
| $64 \frac{1}{2}$ | 16 | 67 | 1174 | 326 | 263 | 199 | 133 | 94 | 130 |
| 65 | 96 | 250 | 4490 | 1371 | 1015 | 848 | 666 | 536 | 432 |
| $65 \frac{1}{2}$ | 25 | 79 | 1417 | 526 | 400 | 390 | 293 | 209 | 236 |
| 66 | 104 | 280 | 5880 | 2268 | 1671 | 1610 | 1098 | 905 | 795 |
| 661 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 30 | 66 | 1595 | 671 | 587 | 584 | 431 | 362 | 281 |
| 67 | 66 | 264 | 5089 | 2335 | 1839 | 1782 | 1300 | 1168 | 950 |
| 671 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 23 | 96 | 1635 | 851 | 690 | 744 | 546 | 509 | 439 |
| 68 | 64 | 219 | 4991 | 2702 | 2452 | 2461 | 1941 | 1719 | 1421 |
| $68 \frac{1}{2}$ | 12 | 54 | 1332 | 804 | 692 | 785 | 585 | 545 | 457 |
| 69 | 37 | 141 | 3273 | 2093 | 1814 | 1978 | 1572 | 1400 | 1147 |
| 691 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 8 | 34 | 901 | 647 | 589 | 626 | 563 | 517 | 423 |
| 70 | 81 | 112 | 2656 | 1907 | 1934 | 2041 | 1709 | 1576 | 1325 |
| $70 \frac{1}{2}$ | 8 | 11 | 503 | 459 | 421 | 476 | 428 | 391 | 330 |
| 71 | 13 | 41 | 1260 | 986 | 1026 | 1246 | 1022 | 846 | 728 |
| $71 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 10 | 302 | 243 | 298 | 342 | 290 | 235 | 203 |
| 72 | 4 | 32 | 843 | 690 | 810 | 946 | 815 | 781 | 717 |
| $72 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 4 | 118 | 97 | 120 | 129 | 126 | 120 | 100 |
| 73 | 1 | 8 | 251 | 252 | 279 | 401 | 298 | 264 | 226 |
| $73 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 8 | 62 | 75 | 72 | 94 | 75 | 63 | 80 |
| 74 | - | 5 | 99 | 128 | 151 | 183 | 152 | 139 | 108 |
| $74 \frac{1}{2}$ | - | 1 | 18 | 21 | $19^{\circ}$ | 47 | 33 | 85 | 87 |
| 75 \& over | - | 8 | 54 | 60 | 80 | 99 | 80 | 84 | 42 |
| Total | 966 | 2342 | 44731 | 21258 | 18299 | 18873 | 14757 | 13013 | 11066 |

## TABLE IV.- (Continued.)

Natives of Ohio and Indiana, by Heights and Ages.

| Hedabt | 25 | 28 | 27 | 28 | 20 | 80 | 81-84 | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \text { aod } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Onder 61 | 24 | 13 | 18 | 13 | 7 | 15 | 25 | 52 | 880 |
| 61 | 9 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 15 | 24 | 424 |
| $61 \frac{1}{8}$ | 9 | 7 | 4 | 9 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 22 | 251 |
| 62 | 17 | 10 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 11 | 26 | 32 | 1016 |
| $62 \frac{1}{8}$ | 20 | 18 | 10 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 27 | 28 | 599 |
| 63 | 46 | 72 | 34 | 47 | 85 | 81 | 84 | 100 | 8367 |
| 631 | 41 | 35 | 38 | 27 | 24 | 27 | 49 | 69 | 1665 |
| 64 | 156 | 147 | 143 | 112 | 76 | 69 | 186 | 321 | 7417 |
| 64 $\frac{1}{8}$ | 87 | 72 | 71 | 70 | 86 | 44 | 126 | 174 | 3082 |
| 65 | 367 | 322 | 255 | 265 | 184 | 166 | 447 | 613 | 12323 |
| $65 \frac{1}{2}$ | 172 | 178 | 123 | 108 | 91 | 73 | 211 | 317 | 4848 |
| 66 | 651 | 545 | 616 | 473 | 805 | 292 | 903 | 1181 | 19477 |
| $66 \frac{1}{2}$ | 225 | 185 | 187 | 164 | 119 | 137 | 346 | 462 | 6432 |
| 67 | 752 | 613 | 593 | 609 | 895 | 400 | 1116 | 1496 | 20767 |
| $67 \frac{1}{2}$ | 352 | 288 | 258 | 251 | 179 | 210 | 640 | 756 | 8367 |
| 68 | 1196 | 976 | 866 | 921 | 551 | 618 | 1643 | 2305 | 27046 |
| $68 \frac{1}{2}$ | 446 | 347 | 309 | 296 | 231 | 201 | 583 | 841 | 8520 |
|  | 933 | 827 | 779 | 794 | 573 | 578 | 1504 | 2195 | 21638 |
| $69 \frac{1}{2}$ | 353 | 345 | 280 | 272 | 220 | 207 | 587 | 799 | 7371 |
|  | 1119 | 941 | 816 | 840 | 592 | 678 | 1804 | 2664 | 22745 |
| $70 \frac{1}{2}$ | 298 | 281 | 211 | 216 | 203 | 180 | 475 | 752 | 5638 |
|  | 604 | 560 | 510 | 487 | 363 | 355 | 1087 | 1498 | 12632 |
| $71 \frac{1}{8}$ | 188 | 170 | 162 | 152 | 104 | 106 | 321 | 493 | 8620 |
|  | 559 | 524 | 415 | 422 | 285 | 379 | 997 | 1452 | 10671 |
| 728 | 88 | 60 | 74 | 63 | 65 | 43 | 166 | 193 | 1557 |
|  | 201 | 187 | 182 | 185 | 121 | 134 | 398 | 572 | 3960 |
| 73 | 70 | 76 | 64 | 44 | 82 | 38 | 103 | 153 | 1105 |
| 74 | 96 | 102 | 80 | 73 | 65 | 60 | 182 | 284 | 1907 |
| $75 \times 2$ | 22 | 26 | 28 | - 16 | 12 | 19 | 50 | 64 | 448 |
| ¢ \& or | 61 | 43 | 54 | 62 | 39 | 26 | 134 | 152 | 1073 |
| Total | 9162 | 7978 | 7099 | 7019 | 4925 | 5102 | 14142 | 20064 | 220796 |

## TABLE V.

Natives of Ireland,
by Heights and Ages.

| Helght | Under | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 28 | 28 | 24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| in. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 61 | 24 | 11 | 49 | 20 | 17 | 28 | 27 | 16 | 8 |
| 61 | 7 | 4 | 31 | 21 | 15 | 26 | 15 | 15 | 12 |
| $61 \frac{1}{2}$ | 4 | 2 | 48 | 82 | 35 | 26 | 39 | 18 | 11 |
| 62 | 8 | 8 | 76 | 57 | 88 | 51 | 43 | 36 | 16 |
| $62 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 7 | 85 | 88 | 48 | 82 | 68 | 88 | 84 |
| 63 | 5 | 12 | 247 | 164 | 101 | 141 | 112 | 108 | 87 |
| 631 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 8 | 195 | 145 | 116 | 196 | 127 | 106 | 92 |
| 64 | 5 | 21 | 442 | 348 | 231 | 362 | 260 | 220 | 186 |
| 641 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 5 | 5 | 236 | 228 | 213 | 321 | 258 | 203 | 158 |
| 65 | 5 | 22 | 493 | 486 | 344 | 623 | 491 | 401 | 329 |
| $65 \frac{1}{2}$ | 4 | 5 | 241 | 249 | 273 | 425 | 364 | 270 | 228 |
| 66 | 4 | 20 | 541 | 523 | 435 | 885 | 690 | 525 | 462 |
| 661 | 4 | 7 | 212 | 251 | 256 | 474 | 398 | 312 | 280 |
| 67 | 3 | 12 | 365 | 475 | 433 | 843 | 705 | 699 | 447 |
| $67 \frac{1}{2}$ | 2 | 6 | 183 | 231 | 260 | 435 | 409 | 296 | 246 |
| 68 | 2 | 15 | 330 | 351 | 420 | 766 | 648 | 556 | 480 |
| $68 \frac{1}{2}$ | 3 | 1 | 113 | 166 | 155 | 332 | 839 | 258 | 234 |
| 69 | - | 8 | 154 | 238 | 219 | 502 | 479 | 431 | 301 |
| 691 $\frac{1}{2}$ | - | 5 | 57 | 104 | 99 | 197 | 208 | 180 | 136 |
| 70 | 2 | 6 | 95 | 152 | 175 | 855 | 306 | 262 | 245 |
| $70 \frac{1}{2}$ | - | - | 48 | 43 | 45 | 129 | 107 | 110 | 87 |
| 71 | - | 4 | 51 | 70 | 89 | 151 | 161 | 112 | 127 |
| $71 \frac{1}{2}$ | - | 1 | 12 | 24 | 14 | 51 | 51 | 44 | 36 |
| 72 | - | 1 | 26 | 81 | 37 | 81 | 65 | 63 | 68 |
| 721 $\frac{1}{2}$ | - | 1 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 18 | 19 | 11 | 11 |
| 73 | - | - | 7 | 10 | 12 | 28 | 81 | 21 | 19 |
| $73 \frac{1}{2}$ | - | - | - | 8 | 4 | 9 | 7 | 10 | 4 |
| 74 | - | - | 2 | 4 | 2 | 10 | 12 | 10 | 8 |
| 741 $\frac{1}{2}$ | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 |
| 75 \& over | - | - | 8 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 7 |
| Total | 84 | 187 | 4845 | 4519 | 4095 | 7550 | 6445 | 5235 | 4360 |

## TABLE V. - (Continued.)

Natives of Ireland, by Heights and Ages.

| Height | 25 | 28 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 80 | 81-84 | $\begin{gathered} 85 \text { and } \\ \text { over } \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| in. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Onder 61 | 17 | 9 | 13 | 14 | 4 | 17 | 36 | 61 | 371 |
| 61 | 6 | 5 | 11 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 10 | 34 | 228 |
| $61 \frac{1}{2}$ | 12 | 7 | 15 | 14 | - | 9 | 22 | 38 | 332 |
| 62 | 33 | 22 | 19 | 17 | 10 | 24 | 44 | 99 | 596 |
| $62 \frac{1}{2}$ | 43 | 22 | 35 | 30 | 22 | 29 | 48 | 105 | 785 |
| 63 | 96 | 87 | 70 | 71 | 32 | 88 | 151 | 349 | 1921 |
| $63 \frac{1}{2}$ | 98 | 79 | 59 | 68 | 29 | 74 | 106 | 256 | 1755 |
| 64 | 186 | 168 | 131 | 147 | 86 | 148 | 282 | 722 | 3945 |
| $64^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 146 | 112 | 116 | 135 | 88 | 114 | 237 | 551 | 3126 |
| 65 | 376 | 246 | 239 | 284 | 179 | 285 | 543 | 1353 | 6699 |
| $65 \frac{1}{2}$ | 271 | 171 | 186 | 178 | 128 | 165 | 337 | 805 | 4300 |
| 66 | 459 | 443 | 380 | 458 | 284 | 458 | 836 | 2057 | 9460 |
| $66 \frac{1}{2}$ | 286 | 248 | 195 | 225 | 152 | 191 | 457 | 868 | 4816 |
| 67 | 490 | 402 | 437 | 477 | 258 | 424 | 843 | 1810 | 9023 |
| $67 \frac{1}{2}$ | 288 | 224 | 228 | 244 | 163 | 225 | 457 | 919 | 4816 |
| 68 | 515 | 433 | 407 | 452 | 259 | 458 | 890 | 1947 | 8929 |
| $68 \frac{1}{2}$ | 234 | 183 | 159 | 210 | 126 | 156 | 384 | 663 | 3716 |
| 69 | 352 | 284 | 271 | 295 | 176 | 246 | 573 | 1276 | 5800 |
| $69 \frac{1}{2}$ | 140 | 139 | 110 | 120 | 91 | 102 | 259 | 442 | 2389 |
| 70 | 270 | 219 | 206 | 198 | 110 | 221 | 440 | 931 | 4193 |
| $70 \frac{1}{2}$ | 86 | 58 | 73 | 90 | 40 | 51 | 167 | 239 | 1373 |
| 71 | 118 | 80 | 108 | 112 | 70 | 103 | 221 | 431 | 2008 |
| $71 \frac{1}{2}$ | 47 | 31 | 38 | 41 | 22 | 37 | 75 | 170 | 694 |
|  | 54 | 48 | 51 | 58 | 30 | 59 | 111 | 212 | 995 |
| $72 \frac{1}{2}$ | 14 | 12 | 12 | 10 | 4 | 8 | 22 | 39 | 192 |
|  | 20 | 12 | 12 | 26 | 11 | 12 | 32 | 66 | 319 |
| $73 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 14 | 30 | 115 |
|  | 7 | 3 | 6 | 7 | 11 | 7 | 13 | 32 | 134 |
| $754 \frac{1}{8}$ | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 8 | 28 |
| 75 \& over | 8 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 9 | 15 | 70 |
| Total | 4679 | 3760 | 3596 | 3994 | 2400 | 8730 | 7621 | 16528 | 83128 |

## TABLEVI．

Mean Heights at each Age，by Nativities．

|  | $\Delta$ | B | C | D | E | $\boldsymbol{F}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{3}$ | H | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ＊ |  | 7 | 咅 | 虫永 | 莒。 | I |  |  | $\stackrel{\square}{\mathbf{q}}$ |  |
| \％ | 最 | $\dot{z}$ | 总 | EF | 号㐓 | g | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E } \\ & 80 \end{aligned}$ | 엽 | 宅品 |  |
| \＃ | 鹵 | $8$ | B |  | $0$ |  | 易品 | 总 | ＜ |  |
| 80 | 4 | 言品 | 공 | 릋 릉 | 呂宫 | 枵品 | 上 | ๕๐ | ¢ | 8 |
| Under 17 | 488 | 1501 | 968 | 886 | 187 | 219 | 62 | 290 | 7 | 78 |
| Under 17 | 63.520 | 64.206 | 64.505 | 64.819 | 64.169 | 65.250 | 64.762 | 63.346 | 63.357 | 64.125 |
| 17 | 809 | 84 | 2842 | 1764 | 441 | 444 | 127 | 858 | 19 | 202 |
| 17 | 66.210 | 65.677 | 66.251 | 66.096 | 66.101 | 66.235 | 65.963 | 66.186 | 65.737 | 65.364 |
| 18 | 25219 | 41878 | 44781 | 18219 | 6223 | 7560 | 1746 | 4277 | 542 | 4088 |
| 18 | 66.726 | 66.287 | 66.880 | 66.698 | 66.867 | 66.924 | 66.709 | 66.848 | 66.137 | 65.892 |
| 19 | 18001 | 23003 | 21258 | 891 | 3394 | 8742 | 518 | 2091 | 420 | 2958 |
|  | 67.465 | 66.967 | 67.785 | 67.736 | 67.711 | 68.034 | 67.829 | 67.802 | 66.792 | 66.596 |
| 20 | 140 | 18818 | 18299 | 6834 | 2802 | 84 | 885 | 1764 | 380 | 2287 |
|  | 67.840 | 67.444 | 68.157 | 68.205 | 68.127 | 68.552 | 68.561 | 68.344 | 67.281 | 66.953 |
| 21 | 14762 | 24889 | 18878 | 7120 | 8414 | 8568 | 863 | 1851 | 871 | 8708 |
| 21 | 67.922 | 67.639 | 68.427 | 68.395 | 68.207 | 68.640 | 68.481 | 68.410 | 67.425 | 67.034 |
| 22 | 9790 | 17569 | 14757 | 5426 | 2814 | 8090 | 197 | 1809 | 588 | 2748 |
|  | 68.021 | 67.742 | 68.565 | 68.504 | 68.294 | 68.897 | 68.657 | 68.592 | 67.389 | 67.356 |
| 23 | 8411 | 15821 | 13018 | 4120 | 2474 | 2815 | 138 | 990 | 492 | 21 |
|  | 68.174 | 67.847 | 68.638 | 68.610 | 68.466 | 68.950 | 68.360 | 68.766 | 67.581 | 67．385 |
| 24 | 6979 | 18082 | 11088 | 8584 | 2050 | 2495 | 88 | 712 | 403 | 1671 |
|  | 68.135 | 67.878 | 68.617 | 68.628 | 68.624 | 69.028 | 68.557 | 68.776 | 67.742 | 67.516 |
| 25 | 6148 | 11074 | 9162 | 2633 | 1881 | 2192 | 55 | 655 | 825 | 1433 |
|  | 68.146 | 67.969 | 68.700 | 68.669 | 68．577 | 68.946 | 68.150 | 68.777 | 67.901 | 67.544 |
| 26 | 5680 | 10206 | 7978 | 2078 | 1640 | 1946 | 84 | 467 | 272 | 1284 |
|  | 68.184 | 68.000 | 68.751 | 68.699 | 68.707 | 69.163 | 67.890 | 68.597 | 67.698 | 67.459 |
| 27 | 5080 | 8200 | 7099 | 1688 | 1407 | 1635 | 27 | 842 | 244 | 1058 |
|  | 68.269 | 67.974 | 68.753 | 68.737 | 68.620 | 69.050 | 67.907 | 69.132 | 67.834 | 67.630 |
| 28 | 4902 | 8927 | 7019 | 1484 | 1498 | 1701 | 21 | 822 | 251 | 1124 |
|  | 68.316 | 68.012 | 68.774 | 68.792 | 68.791 | 69.202 | 67.298 | 68.967 | 67.784 | 67.500 |
| 29 | 8659 | 6818 | 4925 | 998 | 1085 | 1218 | 15 | 281 | 171 | 750 |
|  | 68.286 | 68.082 | 68.837 | 68.804 | 68.870 | 69.039 | 67.867 | 69.276 | 67.822 | 67.465 |
| 30 | 3754 | 6944 | 5102 | 998 | 1191 | 1416 | 7 | 218 | 158 | 7.4 |
|  | 68.169 | 68.099 | 68.906 | 68.917 | 68.837 | 69.098 | 68.143 | 68.563 | 68.429 | 67.417 |
| 81－34 | 11001 | 20883 | 14142 | 2277 | 8609 | 4041 | 22 | 544 | 446 | 1875 |
| 81－34 | 68.359 | 68.134 | 68.959 | 68.949 | 68.802 | 69.356 | 67.545 | 68.926 | 68.135 | 67.696 |
| 35 and over | 22782 | 40868 | 20064 | 2298 | 8579 | 8821 | 88 | 677 | 781 | 8815 |
| 35 and ove | 68.300 | 68.096 | 68.980 | 68.781 | 68.854 | 69.274 | 68.098 | 68.866 | 68.063 | 67.300 |
| Total | 152870 | 278028 | 220798 | 71186 | 44689 | 50884 | 8811 | 17088 | 6820 | 81698 |
|  | 67.834 | 67.529 | 68.169 | 67.877 | 68.255 | 68.605 | 67.419 | 67.964 | 67.501 | 67.066 |

It is thus manifest that the variation of stature for different classes of troops is clearly shown，whether we arrange them by the

## T A BLE VI.- (Continued.)

Mean Heights at each Age, by Nativities.


States of enlistment, or by the nativities of the men. But the fact, that the variations are more marked when the assortment is
made by nativities, is conspicuous. To permit this comparison to be made with greater ease, the annexed table has been prepared. Its materials are identical with those of Table I., but the States of enlistment have been combined in the same groups as those of the classification by nativities.

## TABLE VII.

Mean Heights at each Age, by Regions in which Enlisted.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 宕 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 17 | $\begin{gathered} 368 \\ 63.141 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{1160}$ | $\begin{gathered} 764 \\ 64.407 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1709 \\ 64.702 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 144 \\ 64.361 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 144 \\ 65.625 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{64.757}^{226}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline 455 \\ \mathbf{6 2 . 6 6 4} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4970 \\ 64.186 \end{gathered}$ |
| 17 | 652 66.152 | ${ }_{\text {27, }}^{65.435}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1770 \\ 66.108 \end{gathered}$ | 3701 <br> 66.033 | ${ }_{66.334}^{332}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 260 \\ 66.119 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 545 \\ 65.842 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 748 \\ 66.091 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 10799 \\ \hline 65.902 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 18 | 26624 | 37 140 | 41536 | $\left.\begin{gathered} 38672 \\ 66.656 \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | 4467 | 4563 | ${ }_{6}^{6698}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7402 \\ 66.931 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 168102 \\ & 66.570 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 66.606 15054 | 66.051 23262 | 66.773 19850 | 66.656 21597 | 67.027 | 66.662 2099 | 66.805 2890 | 66.931 4210 | $\begin{array}{\|c} 66.570 \\ 91247 \end{array}$ |
| 19 | 67.194 | 66.555 | 67.631 | 67.579 | 67.902 | 67.685 | 67.857 | 67.821 | 67.298 |
| 20 | 12341 | 18571 | 17634 | 17820 | 1873 | 2069 | 1869 | 3850 | 76057 |
|  | 67.454 | 66.989 | 67.983 | 68.019 | 68.239 | 68.274 | 68.264 | 68.189 | 67.693 |
| 21 | 20694 | 27664 | 17341 | 20304 | 2173 | 1794 | 2756 | 4607 | 97838 |
|  | 67.480 | 67.212 | 68.240 | 68.169 | 68.270 | 68.426 | 68.452 | 68.193 | 67.774 |
| 22 | 18882 | 19789 | 14025 | 17111 | 1745 | 1619 | 1829 | 3751 | 78751 |
|  | 67.562 | 67.259 | 68.347 | 68.317 | 68.306 | 68.608 | 68.601 | 68.324 | 67.906 |
| 23 | 11334 | 16353 | 12458 | 15076 | 1456 | 1388 | 1602 | 3429 | 63191 |
|  | 67.739 | 67.332 | 68.365 | 68.312 | 68.666 | 68.707 | 68.556 | 68.391 | 67.996 |
| 24 | 9130 | 13864 | 10934 | 13611 | 1167 | 1212 | 1423 | 2855 | 54196 |
|  | 67.689 | 67.344 | 68.316 | 68.319 | 68.666 | 68.907 | 68.604 | 68.436 | 67.995 |
| 25 | 8128 | 121 | 9138 | 12002 | 998 | 1028 | 1295 | 2925 | 47 ¢63 |
|  | 67.708 | 67.325 | 68.404 | 68.298 | 68.480 | 68.530 | 68.576 | 68.212 | 67.981 |
| 26 | 7048 | 10786 | 8140 | 10414 | 842 | 861 | 1176 | 2649 | 41902 |
|  | 67.742 | 67.417 | 68.387 | 68.297 | 68.548 | 68.899 | 68.524 | 68.218 | 68.014 |
| 27 | 6268 | 9778 | 7301 | 9159 | 719 | 716 | 1199 | 21.0 | 87293 |
|  | 67.841 | 67.396 | 68.388 | 68.299 | 68.434 | 68.802 | 68.461 | 68.333 | 68.022 |
| 28 | 453 | 9805 | 7639 | 9250 | 700 | 742 | 1187 | 2283 | 87900 |
|  | 67.786 | 67.391 | 68.404 | 68.234 | 68.610 | 68.894 | 68.614 | 68.285 | 68.010 |
| 29 | 4558 | 6910 | 6475 | 6905 | 620 | 490 | 921 | 1555 | 27829 |
|  | 67.809 | 67.430 | 68.381 | 68.301 | 68.623 | 68.763 | 68.788 | 68.192 | 68.041 |
| 30 | 7 | $7 \mathrm{~T}, \mathrm{~S} 8$ | 5938 | 7505 | 655 | 642 | 1019 | 2:52 | 30247 |
|  | 67.703 | 67.360 | 68.424 | 68.192 | 68.529 | 68.927 | 68.650 | 68.118 | 67.973 |
| 31-34 | 1304 | 21983 | 16902 | 20205 | 1560 | 1342 | 2942 | 5087 | 830 |
|  | 67.870 | 67.485 | 68.499 | 68.261 | 68.558 | 68.784 | 68.617 | 68.247 | 68.072 |
| 35 and over | 24911 | 44979 | 29508 | 87990 | 3358 | 3031 | 57 T 4 | 10041 | 159842 |
|  | 67.873 | 67.410 | 68.393 | 68.076 | 68.471 | 68.817 | 68.430 | 68.160 | 67.957 |
| Total | 185858 | 284644 | 226539 | 263031 | 24896 | 23993 | 86301 | $60 \bigcirc 79$ | 1104841 |
|  | 67.485 | 67.065 | 67.955 | 67.876 | 68.097 | 68.160 | 68.037 | 67.981 | 67.639 |

It will be seen at a glance how essentially the two tables differ from one another ; the statures of the nativity-tables for American States being reduced in the enlistment-tables in consequence of the admixture of foreigners, and the amount of their difference for different regions being also essentially modified by reason of the inequality in their respective proportions of foreigners and Americans.
Careful examination will disclose the fact that, for Americans, both the State of enlistment (which in a majority of cases is the State where the physical growth has in great measure taken place) and the State of birth (which indicates the ancestry) seem to exert a marked influence upon the stature. In other words, the genealogical stock and the region where the men have been reared combine to prescribe the stature, and the rate and duration of growth.
This is made especially manifest by the tables XII. and XIII. given hereafter, for comparing the stature of natives of certain sections of the country, who enlisted in the place of their birth, with that of natives of the same sections enlisting elsewhere; also by Table XIV., which shows the extent to which the mean stature of natives of some foreign countries varies with the region in which they enlisted. The subject is more fully considered, in our section concerning the Full Stature.

## 4. Law of Growth.

The statistics here presented are perhaps the first which have been collected on a scale sufficiently large, and with sufficient detail of classification, to permit definite conclusions regarding the age at which the maximum stature is normally attained, and the rate of growth for the years immediately preceding this age. Thirty years ago, Quetelet, in his classic work "Sur l'Homme," ${ }^{1}$ expressed the belief that the growth of man was not entirely at an end even at the age of twenty-five years; but his opinion was based upon statistics derived from the one city of Brussels ; namely, nine hundred instances, for ages between nineteen and twenty-six, from municipal registers of an enrollment in 1816, and the remainder from recent measurements of students of the university. The results of the present research corroborated this opinion from the beginning, and indeed tended to fix the epoch of maximum stature much later than even Quetelet seems to have suspected. More copious data and more thorough investigation now leave small

[^15]doubt upon this point, although the increase of stature after the age of twenty-three years is relatively quite small.
Examination of the materials collected leads to the following inferences for white soldiers.

1. That the rate of growth undergoes a sudden diminution at about the age of twenty years, the increase of stature continuing nevertheless uninterruptedly until about the age of twenty-four.
2. That for a year or two after this latter epoch the height remains nearly stationary, if indeed it does not diminish, after which a slight increase again manifests itself, and continues until the full stature is attained.
3. That the normal epoch of maximum stature must generally be placed, at least for American States, ${ }^{1}$ as late as thirty years, but that it varies for different classes of men.
4. That the annual variations after twenty-three years, or thereabouts, are of an order of magnitude not much larger than the possible errors of the determinations themselves; and that the epochs of the changes vary considerably for different States and nativities; so that these are less conspicuous in the total of a large number of different classes, than when the soldiers from a particular State, or those of a particular nativity, are considered by themselves.

Since the fluctuations of the total height during the several ages from twenty-three to thirty-four, at last birthday, are generally comprised within a range not much exceeding the tenth of an inch, or less than three millimeters, it becomes necessary to inquire whether these fluctuations do actually represent some natural law, or whether they can be regarded as fortuitous, and explained on the assumption either of inadequate data, or of want of accuracy in the original measurements. But since the minimum number at any year of age exceeds 27000 , the first of these assumptions may safely be rejected; not so, however, with the second, for a little reflection will show that the regularity of the curve of growth might thus be seriously impaired.

The most natural means of testing this question a would seem to be by an examination of the several groups in which our materials have been classified, in order to determine how far they severally corroborate the inference indicated by their total. Of such groups we have three series, namely : in thirty-eight "counts," the largest of which contains less than 54000 men ; then according to

[^16]twenty States of enlistment ; and finally according to eighteen nativities. The number of times at which the highest mean stature occurred for any year of age (no matter how small its excess above the mean height for any other year), was as follows : -

| Age | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31-34 | 35 and over | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| By Counts . | 3 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 7 | $8{ }^{*}$ |  |
| By States . | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 20 |
| By Nativities | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 18 |
| In all | 6 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 6 | 10 | 6 | 20 | 5 | 76 |

The argument from independent probabilities thus corroborates the inference derived from the totals, regarding the epoch of maximum stature. It becomes yet stronger when we consider that in three out of the six instances of maxima at twenty-two, these are derived from a number of men too small to be entitled to any considerable weight, the same being the case with two out of the five maxima at twenty-four. And it may perhaps be most strongly appreciated, if the number of men be also taken into account, as when the ages of maximum stature deduced from the classification by nativities are presented in the following form : -

| A50 Nativities |  |  |  | 220796 men. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35 and upwards | for | 1 | comprising |  |  |
| 31-34 | " | 6 | " | 661752 | ${ }^{6}$ |
| 30 | " | 1 | * | 6320 | " |
| 29 | " | 4 | " | 101340 | " |
| 28 | " | 1 | " | 7313 | " |
| 27 | " | 1 | ${ }^{\prime}$ | 6809 | " |
| 26 | " | 1 | * | 897 | " |
| 25 | " | 1 | " | 6782 | * |
| 23 | " | 1 | " | 89021 | " |
| 22 | $\omega$ | 1 | " | 3811 | " |
|  |  | 18 |  | 1104841 |  |

The last of these values is manifestly entitled to no weight; but all other natives of the United States excepting the classes E and $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{n}}$, together with the Irish and Canadians, are comprised in the first two groups, numbering nearly nine elevenths of the whole. The Southerners (not including Kentucky or Tennessee) and the English composed most of those for whom the maximum is at twenty-nine, and the Germans form the large class whose maximum appears at twenty-three.

If the classification by States be similarly analyzed, we find that 767366 , or somewhat less than eight elevenths of the whole number, are to be found in the two groups for which the greatest mean stature belongs to an age above thirty years (last birthday).

The fact, that this highest mean stature exceeds the mean stature for any other year by only a very slight amount, impairs in no degree the correctness of our inference that such a maximum actually exists. Indeed, if we confine ourselves to the first six nativitics of our schedule, which include all the native Americans (United States), excepting less than 21000 who were born west of the Mississippi River, and comprise more than eight elevenths of all the white soldiers whose descriptive musters we possess, and if for these we compare the height at twenty-six years, last birthday (which represents the mean stature at 26.486 years of age), with the full stature subsequently attained, we find the excess of the latter to be -

| Nativity | Number of Men | Rxcese of Pull Stature |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. New England | 152370 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { inchose } \\ & 0.175 \end{aligned}$ |
| B. New York, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania | 273026 | 0.134 |
| C. Ohio and Indiana . . . . | 220796 | 0.229 |
| D. Michigan, Wisconsin, and Illinois | 71196 | 0.250 |
| E. Slave States not including $\mathbf{F}$ and $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ | 44689 | 0.163 |
| F. Kentucky and Tennessec . . . . . | 50334 | 0.193 |
|  | 812411 | 0.148 |

As regards the more delicate question concerning the slight depression of the curve of stature at about the age of twenty-four years, a similar mode of research affords a similar corroboration. An inspection of the mean results themselves, as indicated together with the empirical curves on Charts H and I, will show the character and amount of this disturbance of regularity in the curve. Of the eighteen groups according to nativity, two only, B and D , fail to make this temporary diminution of height distinctly manifest. The variations may be seen from the appended table, which gives the mean change of stature for each of four consecutive years of age; the ages cited being for "last birthday," and therefore requiring in the mean an increase by nearly half a year. The total number of men comprised in the several nativities has been given above.

| Nutivity | 22-23 | 28-24 | 24-25 | 25-28 | 28-28 | 28 to maximum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Inches | inches | inches | inches | Inches | Ioches |
| A | +0.153 | -0.039 | + 0.011 | +0.038 | +0.132 | +0.043 |
| B | +0.105 | +0.031 | +0.091 | +0.031 | +0.012 | + 0.122 |
| C | + 0.073 | -0.021 | +0.083 | +0.051 | +0.023 | +0.206 |
| D | +0.106 | +0.018 | + 0.041 | +0.030 | +0.093 | +0.157 |
| E | +0.172 | +0.158 | -0.047 | +0.130 | +0.084 | +0.079 |
| F | +0.053 | +0.078 | -0.082 | +0.217 | +0.039 | +0.154 |

It will be perceived at once that those two nativities which exhibit no negative sign in the annual variations between twentythree and a half and twenty-five and a half show nevertheless smaller positive values than the regular curve would imply.
Arranging in a similar manner the annual variations of mean stature for the men enlisted in the several States, we obtain analogous results. The values from those nine States, for whose soldiers the maximum stature occurred after the age of thirty, are here presented: -

| State | 22-23 | 23-24 | 24-25 | 25-28 | 28-28 | 28 to maximum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ne | inches | Inches | Inches | Inches | Inches |  |
| New hampshire | +0.194 | -0.078 | -0.006 | +0.163 | -0.014 | + 0.366 |
| Massachusetts. | + 0.042 | + 0.022 | +0.038 | -0.109 | +0.006 | + 0.228 |
| New Jersey | +0.066 | +0.012 | -0.059 | +0.132 | -0.034 | + 0.055 |
|  | + 0.139 | -0.038 | -0.034 | -0.155 | +0.126 | + 0.205 |
| Kentucky | +0.062 | +0.037 | +0.085 | + 0.058 | -0.064 | + 0.135 |
| Ohio . . | +0.099 | +0.200 | -0.377 | +0.369 | -0.005 | + 0.033 |
| Indiana | + 0.042 | -0.014 | + 0.049 | +0.014 | +0.003 | +0.100 |
| Illinois | +0.004 | -0.079 | + 0.128 | -0.041 | +0.032 | +0.105 |
| Hinois | +0.000 | +0.045 | -0.054 | +0.039 | -0.028 | + 0.056 |

These results seem to warrant the inference, that, during a period commencing near the age of twenty-three or twenty-four, a temporary diminution of the rate of growth occurs. Whether the apparent diminution of stature be real, or whether, taken in connection with the mean values for preceding and following years, it is to be interpreted as an accidental fluctuation about a nearly stationary mean value, we will not venture to decide. Did a complete arrest of growth take place, this would doubtless manifest itself as a diminution of stature, in consequence of consolidation of the cartilages and intervertebral substance, such as scts in after the attainment of the full stature, and is indeed manifested in the diurnal fluctuations of the height of individuals.
The variation of the epoch of this point of flexure in the curve of stature for different classes, will be manifest in the various tables already given, as well as its tendency to obliterate the phe-
nomenon in the curve for their total. The dots near the curves upon Charts H and I present the mean values for each age as obtained directly from the recorded observations.

After various unsuccessful attempts to obtain a formula which should represent in some simple form the law of growth between the ages of seventeen and thirty-eight years, this endeavor has been abandoned. Such a formula would have small value unless it represented equally well the law for earlier ages; and the investigation of this interesting topic, from our military statistics, is of course impossible. Should the statistical labors of the Sanitary Commission stimulate to the acquisition of anthropological statistics of youth, for which our schools and colleges afford so great facilities, the material thus collected, combined with that discussed in the present volume, may render possible a thorough discussion of the laws of human growth, not only in stature, but in the various other dimensions here recorded. And by distributing its measuring apparatus to educational and scientific institutions in different parts of the country, the Commission trusts that it may have done something toward aiding these much needed inquiries.

It remains to construct by empirical means the best approximation to the curve of growth deducible from our collected data for the various nativities. For this, graphic methods have proved the most available, and the tables following indicate the resultant stature for each actual age, for the soldiers of the several nativities enlisted in the national army. The same values are represented on the charts H and I , upon each of which the total for all the soldiers is also shown. The dots near the curves upon these charts indicate the observed mean values, and in those cases where danger of confusion exists between the values for different nativities, the letters indicating the nativities are also appended.
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## TABLE VIII．

Mean Statures at each Age，
for fourteen Nativities．

| 8 8 8 8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 聂 } \\ & \text { 曷 } \\ & \text { 喜 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 总 } \\ & \text { 昌 } \\ & \text { 号品 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | $\begin{gathered} \text { ln. } \\ 64.24 \end{gathered}$ |  | ${ }_{64.46}^{\text {in．}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 65.41 | 65.11 | 65.48 | 65.45 | 65.33 | 65.58 | 64.84 | 7 |
| 18 | 66.33 | 65.95 | 66.54 | 66.38 | 66.44 | 66.55 | 65.68 | 65.39 |
| 19 | 67.16 | 66.70 | 67.39 | 67.38 | 67.37 | 67.58 | 66.37 | 66.04 |
| 20 | 67.64 | 67.25 | 67.97 | 68.02 | 68.01 | 68.31 | 66.81 | 66.35 |
| 21 | 67.89 | 67.58 | 68.33 | 68.32 | 68.28 | 68.67 | 67.09 | 66.55 |
| 22 | 68.03 | 67.73 | 68.51 | 68.47 | 68.40 | 68.84 | 67.28 | 66.70 |
| 23 | 68.10 | 67.80 | 68.62 | 68.56 | 68.49 | 68.95 | 67.42 | 66.81 |
| 24 | 68.14 | 67.85 | 68.66 | 68.63 | 68.57 | 69.00 | 67.52 | 66.90 |
| 25 | 68.14 | 67.90 | 68.67 | 68.66 | 68.64 | 69.03 | 67.57 | 66.94 |
| 26 | 68.16 | 67.94 | 68.69 | 68.70 | 68.68 | 69.05 | 67.58 | 66.97 |
| 27 | 68.20 | 67.98 | 68.73 | 68.78 | 68.74 | 69.09 | 67.58 | 67.00 |
| 28 | 68.25 | 68.01 | 68.78 | 68.77 | 68.77 | 69.12 | 67.58 | 67.02 |
| 29 | 68.29 | 68.05 | 68.82 | 68.80 | 68.80 | 69.15 | 67.57 | 67.03 |
| 30 | 68.32 | 68.09 | 68.86 | 68.84 | 68.82 | 69.20 | 67.59 | 67.03 |
| 31 | 68.34 | 68.11 | 68.9 | 68 |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | 68 |  |  | 68.87 | 68.82 | 69.23 | 67.61 | 67.03 |
| 33 |  | 68 | 68 | 68.90 | 68.83 | 69.25 | 67.62 | 67.03 |
| 3 | 68.35 | 68.13 | 68.94 | 68.91 | 68.84 | 69.27 | 67.62 | 67.03 |
| 34 | 68.35 | 68.14 | 68.95 | 68.91 | 68.84 | 69.28 | 67.61 | 67.02 |
| 35 | 68.36 | 68.14 | 68.96 | 68.90 | 68.84 | 69.29 | 67.61 | 67.02 |
| 36 | 68.36 | 68.14 | 68.97 | 68.89 | 68.84 | 69.29 | 67.60 | 67.01 |
| 37 | 68.35 | 68.13 | 68.97 | 68.87 | 68.84 | 69.28 | 67.58 | 67.01 |
| 38 | 68.34 | 68.12 | 68.98 | 68.84 | 68.84 | 69.28 | 67.57 | 67.00 |
| 39 | 68.32 | 68.10 | 68.98 | 68.81 | 68.83 | 69.27 | 67.55 | 67.00 |
| 40 | 68.30 | 68.08 | 68.98 | 68.79 | 68.83 | 69.26 | 67.53 | 66.99 |

T A BLE VIII．－（Continued．）
Mean Statures at each Age，
for fourteen Nativities．

| \％ | 星 | 易 |  | 最 篤 |  | E ¢ B | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Z } \\ & \text { 응 } \\ & \text { g } \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | in． | ta． | ${ }_{68 .}$ | in． | In． | in． | In． |
| 16 |  | 64.10 | 63.83 |  | 64.16 |  | 64.16 |
| 17 | 64.26 | 64.94 | 64.60 | 63.98 | 65.14 | 64.09 | 65.26 |
| 18 | 65.47 | 65.58 | 65.25 | 65.27 | 66.12 | 65.31 | 66.23 |
| 19 | 66.34 | 66.09 | 65.75 | 66.04 | 66.83 | 65.98 | 67.01 |
| 20 | 66.83 | 66.47 | 66.12 | 68.44 | 67.21 | 66.30 | 67.62 |
| 21 | 67.03 | 66.76 | 66.41 | 66.68 | 67.43 | 66.51 | 67.77 |
| 22 | 67.16 | 66.97 | 66.60 | 66.82 | 67.64 | 66.61 | 67.89 |
| 23 | 67.26 | 67.07 | 66.78 | 66.91 | 67.61 | 66.63 | 67.97 |
| 24 | 67.33 | 67.10 | 66.77 | 66.90 | 67.67 | 66.62 | 68.00 |
| 25 | 67.38 | 67.09 | 66.76 | 66.86 | 67.69 | 66.64 | 67.99 |
| 26 | 67.41 | 67.11 | 66.73 | 66.82 | 67.68 | 66.67 | 67.99 |
| 27 | 67.43 | 67.14 | 66.72 | 66.80 | 67.65 | 66.70 | 68.01 |
| 28 | 67.44 | 67.19 | 66.73 | 66.78 | 67.60 | 66.74 | 68.02 |
| 29 | 67.45 | 67.21 | 66.74 | 66.78 | 67.56 | 66.76 | 68.02 |
| 80 | 67.46 | 67.22 | 66.74 | 66.79 | 67.54 | 66.78 | 68.02 |
| 81 | 67.46 | 67.28 | 66.74 | 66.80 | 67.53 | 66.79 | 68.02 |
| 82 | 67.47 | 67.22 | 66.74 | 66.80 | 67.52 | 66.80 | 68.02 |
| 33 | 67.47 | 67.22 | 66.74 | 66.79 | 67.52 | 66.80 | 68.01 |
| 34 | 67.48 | 67.21 | 66.73 | 66.79 | 67.51 | 66.80 | 68.01 |
| 35 | 67.48 | 67.20 | 66.73 | 66.78 | 67.50 | 66.80 | 68.00 |
| 36 | 67.49 | 67.19 | 66.72 | 66.77 | 67.49 | 66.80 | 68.00 |
| 37 | 67.50 | 67.17 | 66.71 | 66.76 | 67.48 | 66.80 | 68.00 |
| 38 | 67.51 | 67.15 | 66.70 | 66.75 | 67.46 | 66.79 | 67.99 |
| 39 | 67.52 | 67.13 | 66.70 | 66.74 | 67.44 | 66.79 | 67.99 |
| 40 | 67.52 | 67.09 | 66.69 | 66.73 | 67.41 | 66.78 | 67.98 |

These tables may be employed with advantage in referrng the mean stature of a class of men, whose mean age is known or may be estimated, to their corresponding mean stature for any other age. But, like other averages, though correct for the type or the mean of all, they are by no means necessarily correct for individual cases, nor indeed for groups of considerable size, if belonging only to some one subdivision of the general class.
The variation manifest in the age of full stature for soldiers of different nativities appears to be, to a considerable extent at least, a normal phenomenon. The growth in height clearly continues longest for natives of the United States (excepting the farthest southern portion) and the Irish population, for all of whom the maximum appears to be decidedly later than thirty-one years. For natives of the southern part of the Southern States, and for natives of the British provinces on this continent other than Canada, ${ }^{1}$ it is found at $t$ wenty-nine or thirty; then come the English, Scotch, French, Spanish, Scandinavians, and Germans, in successive gradations, the latter attaining their full stature at twenty-three. Furthernore, if, instead of the assortment by nativities, we consult that made by States of enlistment, we find the age of greatest stature to be at twenty-four years, or earlier, for the troops of Missouri, Wisconsin, and Minnesota, all of which contain a large Teutonic element in their population. Similar inferences may be deduced from the results of different counts for the troops of some of the larger States, especially Illinois and New York; but the discussion of these is omitted as being too minute for a general statistical investigation, - especially since such slight differences in the measurement are to be considered.
It will have been remarked that the whole of this investigation is based upon the assumption, that, where the number of men discussed is sufficiently large, we may obtain by computing the mean stature for different men, at the several ages involved, the same results as though we had obtained the mean stature of the same body of men in successive years. This assumption seems a reasonable one, and is entirely justifiable; yet the objection may logically be urged that it takes for granted an equal vitality among men of different statures, since if the mortality rate were slightly less for tall men than for shorter ones, this circumstance would produce an apparent increase of height in successive years, by reason of the increase in the proportional number of tall men.
$T_{0}$ meet this objection, so far as it applies to the inference which

[^17]these researches appear to warrant, that the growth in stature continues in general until the thirtieth year, or even later, it might be urged, that so far as any deductions may be drawn from existing statistics, these seem to indicate that the maximum vitality belongs to the average stature, so that the influence of such a source of inaccuracy would be in the direction opposite to that which our results imply. ${ }^{1}$ Indeed this same argument has been used ${ }^{2}$ to explain the observed gradual diminution of mean stature after the age of forty years. But a much more satisfactory disposition of this doubt is afforded by the series of manuscript tables (nearly 700 in all), in which for each class of men considered, the number is given who were found of each given height and age. From these tables (of which the summaries for four nativities have already been given in Tables II., III., IV., and V., and the remainder of which afford the same result), it may readily be perceived that the relative number at the higher statures slowly increases with the age, in the same proportion in which that at the lower statures diminishes; whereas, were our results appreciably influenced by a difference in the vitality, or mortality, for different statures, the increase of the relative number having the higher statures would not be commensurate with the decrease of the relative number of men of less height. And, furthermore, the relative number of very tall or very short men, at ages when the full stature is approximately attained, say at twenty-four and upwards, would systematically change on this account, as the ages increase, which is not found to be the case.

The facts here presented are those upon which our knowledge of the Law of Growth for the average man within the limits of military age must chiefly depend. But our discussion would be incomplete, did it omit to recognize and illustrate the truth, that inferences drawn from the mean of all the men at each year of age, may not always represent the facts for the average man with perfect correctness. This is well illustrated by Lehmann, in an able and ingenious memoir, ${ }^{8}$ in which he treats of the possibility of applying to individual cases the laws which have been deduced for the average man. That these laws may fail to indicate phenomena

[^18]even of a strikingly marked character, occurring in every individual, and yet so masked in the averages as actually to escape notice, will be manifest when we consider the so-called "shoot" or sudden increase of growth, which occurs at, or just preceding, the chief epoch of physical development. The rate of increase in stature seems to diminish, regularly or nearly so, from birth, until the time at which the shoot takes place; it is then suddenly augmented by a very considerable amount, after which it diminishes again. If then the growth of any individual be represented by a curve for which the abscissas are the years of age, and the ordinates are the corresponding statures, this curve will consist of two distinct branches, each of them concave toward the axis of abscissas; the two branches meeting in a cusp where the shoot commences. Yet inasmuch as the epoch of the shoot is extremely variable, fluctuating between the eleventh and the nineteenth year of age, the tokens of its occurrence disappear from the corresponding curve of mean growth. This latter manifests a nearly even progression during the ages in question, and rises at the average age for the shoot scarcely more rapidly than at adjacent ages, since the sadden accession of growth does not in the majority of cases occur at the average age. All indications of a sudden change in the rate of growth are wanting in the curve of mean stature; so that the investigator, who studies the Law of Growth solely by the mean results from many individuals, might easily allow one of the most salient and unfailing phenomena connected with this law to escape unnoticed.

The very impressive suggestion has been made by Burdach, that the phenomena at this epoch of chief physical development may be regarded as equivalent to a new birth. Indeed it is an epoch more marked, in its physical relations, than is that of birth; and the form of the curve of stature corroborates this philosophic idea. And we are thus naturally led to the query, whether there may not perhaps be other epochs at which a sudden accession takes place in the rate of development in stature. Statistics are as yet inadequate for determining whether any such accession probably accompanies the second dentition; but the curious depression in the curve of stature at about the twenty-fourth year, of which we have spoken, suggests a suspicion that some secondary "shoot," on a much diminished scale, may occur at this age.

Indeed, it would seem by no means an unreasonable conjecture that several such shoots may normally occur in the regular course of life; and, moreover, that the idea thrown out by Lehmann and
others, may not be unfounded, which suggests that the growth in stature may never be brought to full termination. The diminution in height with advancing years - first pointed out by Quetelet as occurring after the age of about forty years, and, according to our own statistics, beginning at a yet earlier date - may be considered as brought about by the predominance of influences in this direction by no means inconsistent with the existence of a slight continuance of growth. Such influences are the permanent consolidation of the cartilages, and of the intervertebral substance, analogous to the temporary compression, which is well known to follow long-continued standing or subjection to heavy weights; the less vigorous and erect carriage of the body ; perhaps also a chronic curvature of certain parts, all of which may coexist with an actual continuance of growth in stature. It may be true that the increase in length of the larger bones is at the epiphyses, and that the complete ossification of these epiphyses is usually completed by the twenty-first year; yet we have evidence that the increase in stature usually continues for many years after this age, so that there must be some other mode of increase in height, perhaps by growth in the spinal column, perhaps by growth of the bones, as bones, after the disappearance of their cartilage.

Should these views be correct, it would not be difficult to explain an actual diminution of stature at about the twenty-fourth year, as apparently indicated by our mean values, but not otherwise readily explicable. For while the occurrence of a shoot at this age would impart to the curves of growth for individuals the appearance of a reentering angle at the corresponding point, and might produce in the curve for the average an apparent depression, in consequence of the change of curvature, yet it could not effect an actual diminution of stature. But if other influences are simultaneously at work, which would diminish the actual height were it not for a continuance of growth, these might easily attain a temporary preponderance, and a real diminution thus take place.

## 5. Full Stature.

The height of the full-grown man has been the subject of as wide a diversity of statement, and seems as completely undetermined even for any one nationality, as the law of the growth by which it is attained. Among the values given by the principal investigators within the author's knowledge, the following may be cited, all the numbers being here reduced to centimeters and to English (American) inches.

| Buffon ${ }^{1}$ (mean value) | $\begin{gathered} \text { contimete } \\ 169.2 \end{gathered}$ | $66.60$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tenon, ${ }^{2}$ from 60 men between the ages of 25 and 45, |  |  |
| Quetelet, ${ }^{8}$ from 900 men enrolled for draft at Brus- |  |  |
| sels | 168.41 | 66.30 |
| t, from 9500 Belgian |  |  |
| Brabant) | 163.80 | 64.49 |
| Quetelet, ${ }^{\text {s }}$ from 69 convicts at the penitentiary of |  |  |
| Vilvorde | 166.40 | 65.51 |
| Hargenvilliers, ${ }^{\text {d }}$ from French conscripts (20 years old) | 161.50 | 63.58 |
| Quetelet, ${ }^{7}$, from 80 students at Cambridge, England (measured in shoes ) ${ }^{8}$ | 174.21 | 68.60 |
| Forbes, ${ }^{9}$ from Scotch students at Edinburgh (ditto) | 173.45 | 68.30 |
| Silbermann, ${ }^{10}$ from 559 conscripts in one Paris arron- |  |  |
| dissement | 164.34 | 64.70 |
| Carus, ${ }^{1}$ | 171.20 | 67.40 |
| Schadow, ${ }^{19}$ from his own measures | 172.60 | 67.96 |
| Zeising, ${ }^{18}$ from his own measures and Quetelet | 173.00 | 68.11 |
| Liharžik, ${ }^{14}$ from 300 selected men in Vienna | 175.00 | 68.90 |
| Danson, ${ }^{15}$ from 733 Liverpool prisoners, aged 25 and |  |  |
| upwards | 168.80 | 66.46 |
| 1 Histoire Naturelle, ed. Sonnini, XVIII. 482. |  |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ Amales d'Hygiene, X. 27. |  |  |
| 2 Sur P Homme, II. pp. 13, 23. |  |  |
| 1 Jbid. p. 11. |  |  |
| 6 Jid. p. 17. |  |  |
| ${ }^{6}$ Recherches el considerations sur la formation el le recrutement de Parmée en France, Pans, |  |  |
| 1817, p. 65 (Villermé, Ann. d' Hygiène, 1. 352). |  |  |
| 7 Sur 6 Homme, II. p. 21. |  |  |
| ${ }^{1}$ Dr. A. S. Thomson states ( Contributions to Nat. Hist. of the New Zealand Race of Men, |  |  |
| Joural Statistical Soc., London, XVII. 27) that these students, like those at Edinburgh, whose height is recorded by Prof. Forbes, were measured in their shoes, and that an inch |  |  |
| should be deducted on that account. This has been done for the value here given, and the |  |  |
| same estimate is adopted for the Edinburgh shoes. |  |  |
| 'Proceedings Royal Society of Edinburgh, I. 160; Lond. and Edinb. Phil. Mag., X. 200. <br> ${ }^{10}$ Sur les proportions du corps humain, Comptes Rendus de I'Acad. des Sciences, XLII. 498. |  |  |
| ${ }^{4}$ Proportiondelire, Leipsic, 1854. |  |  |
| 12 Polyklet, Berlin, 1834-35, p. 61. (See Zeising, p. 881.) |  |  |
| 18 Ueber die Metamorphosen in den Verhallaissen der menechlicken Gestalt, Nove Acta |  |  |
| Acad. Imp. Nat. Cur., XXVI. 805. <br> 14 Der Baw und das Wachethwon des Menechen, 8itzungsberichte der Wiener Aked. XLIV. |  |  |
| 2, p. 638. |  |  |
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Coolidge, ${ }^{1}$ mean of 100 U . S. soldiers, ${ }^{2}$ natives of contmeters tncbe
Indiana . . . . $175.58 \quad 69.125$

| Kentucky . . . . | 175.96 | 69.275 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ohio . . . . | 175.37 | 69.044 |

Tennessee . . . . 176.1169 .335
Maine . . . . 174.6968 .777
Vermont and New Hampshire $\quad 173.58 \quad 68.341$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Massachusetts and Connecticut } & 173.19 \quad 68.185\end{array}$
North Carolina . . . 176.2269 .377
Georgia . . . . $177.61 \quad 69.926$
South Carolina . . . 175.9669 .275
Alabama . . . . 175.7169 .176
Virginia . . . . . 1752268.986
New York . . . . 172.2367 .806
Pennsylvania . . . . 172.9968 .107
New Jersey and Delaware . $\quad 172.24 \quad 67.811$
Maryland . . . . $174.13 \quad 68.556$
Illinois . . . . $175.85 \quad 69.235$
Missouri . . . . . 174.2368 .594
The exceedingly wide range of these data, can scarcely be accounted for by any one influence. Nor, indeed, are the means afforded in most cases for determining to what extent the variations are fortuitous, and in what measure they are due to differences in the classes of men under consideration, or how far they may be dependent upon the employment of different limits of age, in those cases where limits were regarded.

Even for our vastly more copious statistics, the ages for which the corresponding mean heights may be properly used in determining the full stature of the average man, remain somewhat uncertain. It seems to be shown by the present investigation, that these ages differ greatly for different nationalities, and even for different classes of the same people. The suggestion of Villerme ${ }^{8}$ that the stature is greater, and the growth sooner completed, all other things being equal, in proportion as the country is richer, and the comfort of its inhabitants more general, seemed from his data quite plausible; but it is not supported as a general law by the information here collected. It was based upon the hypothesis "that misery, that is to say the circumstances which accompany it, dimin-

[^19]ishes the stature and retards the epoch of complete development of the body." Misery, in its here intended sense of excessive poverty, affecting the supply of nutriment, physical protection from weather, and needful rest, hardly exists in the United States ; yet the epoch of full development appears to be later in this than in any other country. The fact, however, that privations or exposure will "stunt" or prevent the attainment of the normal height is beyond question, and appears to explain the results obtained for sailors, as will be mentioned hereafter.
Whether in deducing the measure of the completed stature, or fall height, we shall use the same limits of age for all the classes of men considered, and what these limits shall be in any case, thus become questions of some difficulty. To afford a clearer oversight of the values resulting from the adoption of different limits, two tables are here presented; the first showing the mean heights of soldiers of the several States, and the second, the mean heights of soldiers of different nativities.

## TABLE IX.

Mean Statures for different Periods of Age.
By States.

| State | 21-28 | 24-28 | 27-80 | 81-84 | 85 \& over | 31 \& over | 24\& over |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maine . . . | 11897 68.363 | 6491 68.455 | $\begin{gathered} 5771 \\ 68.556 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8482 \\ 68.555 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7081 \\ 68.587 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10568 \\ 68.576 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22825 \\ 68.537 \end{gathered}$ |
| N. Hampshire . | 7292 | 8645 | 3885 | 1864 | 8468 | 5830 | 12380 |
|  | 67.338 | 67.489 | 67.692 | 67.894 | 67.956 | 67.934 | 67.736 |
| Vermont . . | 6882 | 8017 | 2552 | 1598 | 8215 | 4818 | 10382 |
|  | 67.790 | 67.867 | 68.044 | 68.091 | 67.772 | 67.878 | 67.916 |
| Massachusetts . | 10600 | 5239 | 4838 | 2848 | 5144 | 7992 | 18164 |
|  | 67.170 | 67.272 | 67.268 | 67.444 | 67.394 | 67.412 | 67.333 |
| R.I. and Conn. | 10289 | 5009 | b508 | 8258 | 6005 | 9281 | 20678 |
|  | 67.097 | 67.341 | 67.389 | 67.389 | 67.446 | 67.426 | 67.392 |
| New York . . | 48879 | 24465 | 22712 | 14482 | 29788 | 42218 | 91805 |
|  | 67.294 | 67.386 | 67.411 | 67.478 | 67.394 | 67.421 | 67.409 |
| New Jersey . - | 5098 | 8167 | 2878 | 1577 | 2572 | 4149 | 8980 |
|  | 66.668 | 66.683 | 66.691 | 66.889 | 66.810 | 66.840 | 66.750 |
| Pennsylvania . | 15829 | 9174 | 8761 | 6924 | 12671 | 18596 | 38580 |
|  | 67.349 | 67.521 | 67.563 | 67.665 | 67.573 | 67.601 | 67.672 |
| Maryland . . | 1728 | 918 | 808 | 482 | 1001 | 1488 | 8202 |
|  | 67.659 | 67.872 | 67.829 | 67.712 | 67.747 | 67.736 | 67.798 |
| West Virginia | 8648 | 2095 | 1689 | 1078 | 2857 | 8485 | 7219 |
|  | 68.735 | 68.876 | 68.885 | 68.987 | 68.778 | 68.828 | 68.855 |
| Kentucky . . | 4796 | 8099 | 2590 | 1888 | 8081 | 4878 | 10002 |
|  | 68.569 | 68.780 | 68.852 | 68.784 | 68.817 | 68.807 | 68.810 |
| Ohio . . . . | 19495 | $13 \mathrm{c22}$ | 12808 | 8541 | 15681 | 24202 | 50088 |
|  | 68.157 | 68.237 | 68.281 | 68.369 | 68.367 | 68.367 | 68.312 |
| Indiana . . . | 24829 | 15185 | 18488 | 8881 | 14147 | 22508 | 51129 |
|  | 68.432 | 67.474 | 68.511 | 68.632 | 68.422 | 68.500 | 68.495 |
| Illinois . . . | 89275 | 26968 | 28383 | 18458 | 28421 | 88874 | 87225 |
|  | 68.339 | -68.419 | 68.404 | 68.454 | 68.306 | 68.360 | 68.389 |
| Michigan . . | 4227 | 2941 | 2838 | 1884 | 8887 | 5871 | 11648 |
|  | 67.954 | 68.011 | 67.946 | 67.985 | 67.931 | 67.948 | 67.964 |
| Wisconsin . . | 8989 | 6118 | 6800 | 4868 | 10582 | 15450 | 28168 |
|  | 68.046 | 67.950 | 67.870 | 67.832 | 67.621 | 67.687 | 67.787 |
| Minnesota . . | 1141 | 709 | 958 | 794 | 1476 | 2239 | 8881 |
|  | 68.051 | 67.951 | 68.060 | 67.916 | 67.771 | 67.821 | 67.903 |
| Iowa . . . . | 5048 | 8185 | 8328 | 2148 | 4299 | 6447 | 12965 |
|  | 68.630 | 68.709 | 68.777 | 68.876 | 68.656 | 68.729 | 68.736 |
| Missouri . . | 11418 | 8088 | 7668 | 4776 | 9850 | 14128 | 29762 |
|  | 68.336 | 68.341 | 68.309 | 68.328 | 68.247 | 68.274 | 68.301 |
| Louisiana . . | 874 | 858 | 487 | 811 | 691 | 1002 | 1845 |
|  | 66.946 | 67.124 | 67.127 | 67.006 | 66.986 | 66.992 | 67.053 |
| Totals | $\begin{aligned} & 281175 \\ & 67.876 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 148761 \\ 67.996 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 182769 \\ & 68.011 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 88009 \\ 68.072 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 169892 \\ & 67.957 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 292961 \\ & 67.996 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 519491 \\ & 68.000 \end{aligned}$ |

## TABLE X.

Mean Statures for different Periods of Age.
By Nativities.

| Mattrity | 21-28 | 24-28 | 27-80 | 81-84 | 35 \& over | 81 \& over | 24 \& over |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Engla | 32988 68.016 | 18657 68.153 | 17845 68.264 | $\begin{gathered} 11001 \\ 68.359 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22782 \\ 68.300 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38788 \\ 68.319 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 69785 \\ 68.261 \end{gathered}$ |
| N. Y., N. J., and Penn. | 57769 | 84388 | 81884 | 20683 | 40688 | 61351 | 127597 |
|  | 67.725 | 67.943 | 68.035 | 68.134 | 68.096 | 68.109 | 68.046 |
| Ohio and Indiana | 46648 | 28208 | 24145 | 14142 | 20064 | 84208 | 86557 |
|  | 68.529 | 68.682 | 68.809 | 68.959 | 68.980 | 68.971 | 68.832 |
| Michigan, Wisconsin, \& III. | 16668 | 8230 | 5058 | 227 | 2223 | 4570 | 17918 |
|  | 68.520 | 68.658 | 68.801 | 68.949 | 68.781 | 68.865 | 68.751 |
| Slave States not incl. $F \& G_{2}$ | 8702 | 5571 | 5181 | 3609 | 8579 | 12188 | 22940 |
|  | 68.308 | 68.632 | 68.771 | 68.802 | 68.854 | 68.838 | 68.773 |
| Kentacky and Tennessee | 9468 | 6633 | 5970 | 4041 | 8821 | 12862 | 25485 |
|  | 68.816 | 69.041 | 69.102 | 69.356 | 69.274 | 69.300 | 69.186 |
| Free States west of Miss. R. | 686 | 177 | 70 | 22 | 88 | 55 | 302 |
|  | 68.507 | 68.302 | 67.739 | 67.545 | 68.098 | 67.877 | 68.094 |
| Slave States west of Miss. R. | 4150 | 1834 | 1118 | 544 | 677 | 1221 | 4168 |
|  | 68.552 | 68.731 | 69.003 | 68.926 | 68.866 | 68.892 | 68.851 |
| Brit. Amer. not incl. Canada | 1951 | 1000 | 824 | 446 | 781 | 1177 | 8001 |
|  | 67.453 | 67.781 | 67.931 | 68.135 | 68.063 | 68.090 | 67.943 |
| Canada . . . | 8578 | 4858 | 3708 | 1875 | 8815 | 5490 | 18564 |
|  | 67.224 | 67.508 | 67.512 | 67.696 | 67.300 | 67.435 | 67.480 |
| England | 6828 | 4279 | 4114 | 2905 | 6994 | 8899 | 17692 |
|  | 66.714 | 66.896 | 67.020 | 66.999 | 66.990 | 66.993 | 66.976 |
| Scotland | 1307 | 1039 | 1218 | 821 | 174 | 2565 | 4822 |
|  | 67.161 | 67.383 | 67.472 | 67.453 | 67.647 | 67.585 | 67.513 |
| Ireland . . . | 19230 | 12789 | 18720 | 7621 | 18628 | 24149 | 50688 |
|  | 66.954 | 67.126 | 67.174 | 67.242 | 67.090 | 67.138 | 67.145 |
| France, Belginm, \& Switzerland | 1084 | 1065 | 1194 | 890 | 1735 | 2565 | 4824 |
|  | 66.628 | 66.579 | 66.745 | 66.592 | 66.714 | 66.675 | 66.671 |
| Germany . . | 14909 | 12822 | 14290 | 10488 | 22071 | 82559 | 59611 |
|  | 66.829 | 66.828 | 66.790 | 66.785 | 66.718 | 66.739 | 66.771 |
| Scandinavia | 1297 | 1020 | 1087 | 659 | 1423 | 2082 | 4189 |
|  | 67.412 | 67.754 | 67.471 | 67.502 | 67.299 | 67.363 | 67.486 |
| Spain, Portagal, <br> \& Span. Amer. | 285 | 165 | 151 | 58 | 80 | 189 | 454 |
|  | 66.107 | 66.359 | 66.081 | 66.328 | 66.153 | 66.227 | 66.227 |
| Miscellaneous . | 1841 | 1474 | 1484 | 1047 | 2054 | 8101 | 6038 |
|  | 66.650 | 66.607 | 66.820 | 66.719 | 66.826 | 66.790 | 66.752 |
| Totals | 284175 | 148761 | 182760 | 83069 | 159892 | 242961 | 519491 |
|  | 67.876 | 67.996 | 68.011 | 68.072 | 67.957 | 67.996 | 68.000 |

It would hence seem that the well-known phenomenon of a decrease in height after the age of forty-five or fifty years, exerts but a small influence here. Indeed the total number of the men here considered who were over forty-five years old at enlistment amounts only to about 13300 , out of 159892 who were upwards of thirtyfive, and of 242961 who were upwards of thirty-one years of age; so that an average diminution of stature by a centimeter, or 0.39 inches, among those older than forty-five, would diminish the mean height by only 0.033 inches for those of thirty-five and over, and by 0.022 inches for those who had passed the age of thirty-one.

Notwithstanding the uncertainty of the upper limit, the ages 'thirty-five and over' are probably best adapted to our purpose, where the number of cases available is sufficiently large; but for a considerable number of the nativities this is not the case. Consequently the most appropriate method of obtaining the average full stature for any nativity seems, under the circumstances, to be by taking the mean height of all over thirty-one years, when the number in this category is sufficiently large to afford a trustworthy estimate; but where the number falls short of about 3500 , by fixing the limit of age at the latest year which will afford that number of men, provided, however, that it be not placed earlier than the age of apparent maximum for the State or country under consideration. There seems no occasion for hesitancy as to adopting this rule, since it so happens that those nativities for which the age of full growth is the latest, are also those for which we possess the most copious statistics; so that by determining our results in this way, we are most likely to obtain the same values which would be afforded by an increased number of men at thirty-one and over.

We thus arrive at the measures of full stature for the average man of the several classes, and will, as before, assort them both by their States and by nativities, giving the numerical values in inches and centimeters.

## TABLEXI.

Full Statures.
By States and by Nativities.


A comparison of these values can hardly fail to suggest the suspicion, that the full stature for a given nativity may be different in the different States, and this is strongly corroborated by the comparison of the special nativity-tables made for the men of each ${ }^{s e v e r a l}$ State. Indeed the evidence thus obtained falls but little short of demonstration. These special tables, of which there are eighteen for each one of the thirty-eight counts for those States whose troops are here discussed, are of course too voluminous for publication in this place. The character of their indications in this respect may be seen from two tables which permit a comparison between the mean heights for natives of the New England States and for natives of New York, enlisting in their native States, and those of the same nativities who enlisted at the West.

## TABLE XII.

Stature of Natives of Nerw England. By Ages and Regions where Enlisted.

| A80 | Enluted in Now Eagland |  | Enilisted at Weet |  | Excess of Height at Weat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Halght | Number | Helght |  |
|  |  | In. |  | in. | tn. |
| Under 18 | 888 | 65.232 | 281 | 65.612 | 0.380 |
| 18 | 22539 | 66.765 | 1913 | 66.636 | - |
| 19 | 11403 | 67.606 | 1034 | 67.550 | 0.044 |
| 20 | 8901 | 67.835 | 873 | 68.135 | 0.300 |
| 21 | 13076 | 67.943 | 991 | 68.115 | 0.172 |
| 22 | 8362 | 68.047 | 941 | 68.268 | 0.221 |
| 23 | 7135 | 68.170 | 882 | 68.262 | 0.092 |
| 24 | ¢ 735 | 68.115 | 883 | 68.413 | 0.298 |
| 25 | 5022 | 68.163 | 821 | 68.249 | 0.086 |
| 26 | 4492 | 68.174 | 746 | 68.488 | 0.314 |
| 27 | 4031 | 68.277 | 702 | 68.489 | 0.212 |
| 28 | 3951 | 68.279 | 684 | 68.628 | 0.349 |
| 29 | 2928 | 68.243 | 554 | 68.621 | 0.378 |
| 30 | 2968 | 68.168 | 569 | 68.508 | 0.340 |
| 31-34 | 8545 | 68.358 | 1748 | 68.527 | 0.169 |
| 35 \& over | 16910 | 68.302 | 3857 | 68.448 | 0.146 |

From these tables and other similar ones which might be formed from our statistics, the deduction is palpable, that agencies connected with the State furnishing the men to the national army, produced a decided effect upon the stature, superposed upon whatever other influences may have proceeded from the particular stock from which the men sprang.

It is not difficult to form conjectures regarding the nature of these agencies. A large proportion of those enlisting in other than their native States had doubtless migrated in childhood, while their constitution, and especially their osseous development, was readily affected by external influences. Whether these were climatic, social, or alimentary, it is perhaps premature to discuss at present. That residence in the Western States, during the years of growth, tends to produce increase of stature, seems established; and the indications are strong that the same is the case with many of the Southern States. It would moreover appear that those States which show for their natives the highest statures, are those which

## TABLE XIII.

Stature of Natives of New York. ${ }^{1}$
By Ages and Regions where Enlisted.

| 480 | Palited in Now Yori |  | Tanlsted in the Weat |  | Excees of Helghtat West |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Holutit | Number | Hetght |  |
|  |  | tm. |  | in. | in. |
| Ondxe 18 | 1711 | 64.828 | 1504 | 65.478 | 0.650 |
| 18 | 18680 | 66.307 | 11040 | 66.604 | 0.297 |
| 19 | 9288 | 66.900 | 6175 | 67.506 | 0.606 |
| 20 | 6303 | 67.869 | 5445 | 67.889 | 0.520 |
| 21 | 10884 | 67.614 | 6512 | 68.101 | 0.487 |
| 22 | 6750 | 67.700 | 5437 | 68.179 | 0.479 |
| 23 | 5660 | 67.736 | 6098 | 68.270 | 0.584 |
| 24 | 4700 | 67.795 | 4619 | 68.246 | 0.451 |
| 25 | 8949 | 67.819 | 4163 | 68.843 | 0.524 |
| 26 | 3549 | 67.906 | 3945 | 68.321 | 0.415 |
| 27 | 8183 | 67.856 | 8559 | 68.847 | 0.491 |
| 28 | 2895 | 67.930 | 3607 | 68.359 | 0.429 |
| 29 | 2099 | 67.926 | 2879 | 68.447 | 0.521 |
| 30 | 2181 | 67.947 | 3027 | 68.391 | 0.444 |
| $81-34$ | 6632 | 67.981 | 8504 | 68.459 | 0.478 |
| $35 \pm 0$ ver | 12874 | 67.902 | 17318 | 68.401 | 0.499 |

tend most strongly to increase the stature of those who remove thither during the period of development. The westward course of population precludes any trustworthy inferences regarding the converse of this statement. And furthermore, it is evident that the relative stature for different States follows no manifest geographical law.
The suggestion that calcareous districts, by furnishing a more abundant and continuous supply of lime for the bones while growing, promote their development, and thus tend to increase the stature, seems to afford a partial explanation for this phenomenon; but it gives by no means a complete solution of the problem, for the variations of stature are not by any means proportionate to the amount of calcareous formations near the surface of the soil. Thus the marked differences, in the average statures of the natives, be-

[^20]tween Maine and New Hampshire, and between Vermont and New York, cannot be accounted for on this theory.

An instructive and interesting table may be formed, by presenting the full stature of natives of those European countries which have contributed most largely to our population, namely, Ireland and Germany, - as obtained from enlistments in different States, - side by side with the corresponding statures for natives of the same States enlisting at home. Such a table is here presented for men of twenty-eight years and upwards, this limit being adopted in order to obtain an adequate number of men.

## TABLE XIV.

Full Statures of Irish and Germans, enlisting in various States, compared with those of Natives enlisting at home.

| State of Enlistment | Natives of Ireland |  | Natives of Germany |  | Natives of the Region |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | 8tature | No. | 8tature | No. | 8tatare |
| Maine | 829 | In. 67.262 | 83 | $\begin{gathered} \text { ln. } \\ 67.922 \end{gathered}$ | 12263 | th. 68.781 |
| New Hampshire | 746 | 66.610 | 299 | 66.373 | 5239 | 68.418 |
| Vermont | 413 | 67.078 | 34 | 66.596 | 4832 | 68.172 |
| Massachusetts | 2304 | 66.834 | 570 | 66.329 | 6535 | 67.705 |
| New York | 12138 | 67.068 | 8196 | 66.527 | 26681 | 67.930 |
| Pennsylvania . | 1863 | 67.060 | 3259 | 66.639 | 17283 | 67.883 |
| Indiana . | 1340 | 67.268 | 2475 | 66.842 | 6887 | 68.979 |
| Missouri | - 1625 | 67.584 | 6700 | 66.965 | 1293 | 69.085 |

The adjoining States of Ohio and Indiana have in general been considered together in these investigations, as "Nativity C." Circumstances led, however, to the separation of the natives of these two States, during the assortment of about two thirds of the Indiana soldiers. This has made it possible to give the figures for these soldiers in the last table; and here also a comparison of the results, obtained from these groups separately, illustrates the same principle which is manifested by our other statistics. The relative smallness of the difference between the statures of natives of these two States might reasonably be supposed to elude detection under the circumstances, yet for the mean heights we find -

## TABLEXV.

> Statures of Natives
> of Ohio and Indiana, enlisting in Indiana.

| Age | Under 21 | 21-28 | 24-26 | 27-80 | 81-84 | 35 and |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Natives of Indiana $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Number } \\ \text { Height }\end{array}\right.$ | 18248 67.424 | 9300 68.628 | 4900 68.774 | 8784 68.891 | $\begin{gathered} 2017 \\ 69.095 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2239 \\ 68.929 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Natives of } \\ & \text { Ohio } \end{aligned}\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { Number } \\ \text { Height } \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} 4962 \\ \mathbf{6 7 . 2 6 3} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8341 \\ 68.456 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2204 \\ 68.614 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1930 \\ 68.668 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1287 \\ 68.865 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1882 \\ 68.787 \end{gathered}$ |
| Excess for Indiana | 0.161 | 0.172 | 0.160 | 0.223 | 0.230 | 0.142 |

It is needless to occupy more space with illustrations of this principle, which the foregoing tables will have made manifest, and which might be deduced by a comparison of the mean heights for any nativity in different $S$ tates. And the fact must be conceded, that the full stature for any class of men is dependent both upon their lineage and their residence during the period of development.
The separate consideration of the men drawn from rural and from urban districts would be full of instruction; and some attempts have been made to follow out this question; but the character of our data renders it a matter of so much difficulty, to say the least, that these endeavors were reluctantly abandoned.
The social classes to which the men belonged would afford another basis for useful classification, and the relations of stature and other physical characteristics to the special parentage, occupation, and education, as also the mutual relations of stature, complexion, and temperament, are among the problems of which our statistics would permit the discussion, and which were among those which we desired to include in the present chapter. But the limited outlay, which the Sanitary Commission has felt warranted in devoting to the present researches, is inadequate to the proper investigation of these points.
It has been already stated that the measures ${ }^{1}$ of eighty students between eighteen and twenty-five years old at Cambridge (England) gave a mean stature of 69.60 inches, and that similar measures of more than ten times that number at the Edinburgh Univer-

[^21]sity by Prof. Forbes, gave the mean stature as 68.70 ; but that from each of these values an inch ought to be deducted because the young men were measured in their shoes or boots.

The rapid movements of our army on one occasion temporarily prevented the prosecution of measurements in the field, and the opportunity was improved to make various bodily measurements of the older students of the universities at Cambridge (Massachusetts) and New Haven, for comparison with the corresponding ones of men of the same age in the army.

The results of these measures will be given in the proper place; here the statures only need be adduced. They comprise all members of the Senior and Junior class who could be conveniently collected, and a few members of the professional schools, taken as opportunity offered, no selection whatever being made. The ages are for the last birthday, and the heights were measured to tenths of inches.

> TABLE XVI.

Heights and Ages of Harvard and Yale Students.

| $\Delta g e$ | $68-64$ | $64-65$ | $65-68$ | $68-67$ | $67-68$ | $68-69$ | $09-70$ | $70-71$ | $71-72$ | $72-78$ | $78-74$ | over <br> 74 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 18 | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| 19 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | - |
| 20 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 15 | 14 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 2 | - |
| 21 | - | 4 | 7 | 11 | 13 | 9 | 11 | 13 | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| 22 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 2 | - | 1 | 1 |
| 23 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | - | 2 | - | - | 1 |
| 24 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 8 | 5 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 1 |
| 25 | - | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| 26 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| 27 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - |
| 0 ver 27 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Total | 9 | 20 | 32 | 37 | 37 | 45 | 46 | 29 | 22 | 7 | 3 | 4 |

The resultant mean statures are -

| Age | Height |  | e. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | 67.467 | 171.37 | 8 |
| 18 | 67.143 | 170.54 | 7 |
| 19 | 67.354 | 171.08 | 89 |
| 20 | 68.411 | 173.76 | 78 |
| 21 | 68.037 | 172.81 | 70 |
| 22 | 67.900 | 172.46 | 44 |
| 23 | 68.208 | 173.25 | 13 |
| 24 | 68.918 | 175.05 | 17 |
| 25 | 68.300 | 173.48 | 11 |
| 26 | 68.660 | 174.40 | 6 |
| 27 | 69.180 | 175.72 | 6 |
| Above 27 | 68.600 | 174.24 | 4 |
| Total | 68.099 | 172.97 | 291 |

And may be classified thus : -

| Age | Height |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| lu. | e. | Number |  |
| 17-20 | 67.976 | 172.66 | 122 |
| $21-23$ | 68.007 | 172.74 | 127 |
| $24-26$ | 68.673 | 174.43 | 83 |
| 27 \& over | 68.922 | 175.06 | 9 |
| Total | 68.099 | 172.97 | 291 |

The two extremes were 63.1 inches for one young man of twenty years, and 77.4 inches for one of twenty-two years.
We may sum up many of our general inferences regarding the full stature, in a few closing sentences.
That the stature of a population is not in ordinary cases affected by the temperature of the region which it inhabits, as was supposed by Buffon, ${ }^{1}$ may be regarded as established by the small influence which the latitude appears to exert. The statistics here collected show how slight any such influence must be within the territory of the United States; for the differences of stature here seem altogether independent of climatic agencies, as will be perceired from a very cursory inspection of Table XI. For South America the same fact is established by the researches of D'Orbigny, ${ }^{2}$ who especially discards this theory with emphatic repetition. For Europe the non-dependence of stature upon latitude is too well known to require illustration, and although there is a wide diversity between the statures of the Latin and the Teutonic races, it is in the direction opposite to that which this theory implies. ${ }^{3}$

[^22]That stature is not a distinctive characteristic of nationality is demonstrated with equal certainty by these statistics. Our tables XII. to XV. show incontestably the agency of some local influence, by exhibiting the difference in stature between men, of the same stock and nativity, reared in different States. The same conclusion was forced upon D'Orbigny by his South American investigations, ${ }^{1}$ and the statistics of conscription in France and Prussia also make this truth manifest by showing the wide diversity in the mean stature of men of the same race, and born in districts by no means remote from each other.

That the stature depends in any controlling degree upon the domestic circumstances of a population, as affected by abundance or need of the comforts of life, according to the opinion of Villermé, ${ }^{2}$ can scarcely be maintained after consideration of the facts here presented, although the effects of privation or exposure upon the physical growth are doubtless recognizable.

That the stature is chiefly affected by the elevation of the districts inhabited, as suggested by D'Orbigny, who attributes ${ }^{8}$ the supposed inferior stature in mountainous regions to the prolonged influence of a rarefied atmosphere, seems equally untenable. Among the tallest men of Kentucky, Tennessee, and West Virginia, are the dwellers upon the slopes of the Alleghanies; the Green Mountains of Vermont furnish a race of men among the tallest in all the New England States; yet on the other hand the prairies and level fields of Indiana and Illinois afford a population of preeminent stature. The tallest men of France inhabit the slopes of the Jura.

That all the influences here considered, - climate, nationality, comfort, elevation, - may contribute in some measure to affect the stature is more than probable ; that both ancestral and local influences are recognizable is certain. And although we cannot succeed in determining what is the chief agent, it may not be without value that we furnish evidence of what it is not.

## 6. Stature of Sailors.

The assortment of one of the installments, or "counts," of the New York soldiers indicated for all the ages, without exception, a mean height less by more than an inch, than that given by the other counts for the same State. Examination revealed the fact

[^23]that these records contained the statistics of about 25000 sailors, enlisted for the naval service in New York city, and credited to the State, so that they had been recorded with the soldiers. The special discussion of the heights of these men showed a stature for the sailors less than that for the soldiers enlisted at the same time, by amounts varying systematically with the age, but averaging an inch and a quarter.
Comparing the results for each year of age directly with one another, we find the mean statures of soldiers enlisted in the State of New York to surpass those of sailors enlisted in the same State by the following amounts.


The large excess at the age of seventeen, taken in connection with the gradual diminution of this excess for subsequent ages, seems to point both to a postponement of the development in stature, and to a permanent arrest of this development to a considerable extent.
Steps were immediately taken to procure the ages and heights of sailors enlisted elsewhere, and through the ready aid of Dr. P. J. Horwitz, Chief of the Naval Bureau of Medicine and Surgery, and of Commander A. N. Smith, Chief of the Bureau of Equipment and Recruiting, statistics were obtained without difficulty for about 62000 additional sailors, 4000 of them being negroes. The naval musters classify most of the men in the three divisions, "ordinary seamen," "seamen," and " landsmen." In our tabulations the two former have been combined under the title "seamen;" and the accompanying tables, XVII., XVIII., XIX., and XX., present the results for seamen, landsmen, and for the New York naval musters, as originally assorted, and for the several classes combined. With the "landsmen" are combined the miscellaneous classes, such as " firemen," "coal-heavers," "boys," etc., etc. The number of men from which each result was deduced is indicated in a lighter type, as in the Tables I. and VI.

It will be perceived at a glance that the stature of "landsmen" exceeds that of "seamen," which latter also exceeds that of the sailors, credited to New York.

The remarks already made while considering the Law of Growth, and the Full Stature, throw sufficient light upon this phenomenon, which appears at first so remarkable. The privations and exposures of a nautical life evidently exert a stunting effect upon the development, and the class of sailors enlisted at a great sea-port like New York, might reasonably be supposed to contain a larger proportion of "old salts," that is to say of men bred to seamanship from early youth. The effect of the sailor's life in delaying the growth, is indicated by the great difference between the statures of soldiers and sailors at the ages of seventeen and eighteen years, as already shown.

The attainment of full stature seems to be earliest for seamen, for whom our statistics indicate twenty-eight years as the corresponding age ; and latest for landsmen, for whom it does not occur until "thirty-five and upwards." For the combination of the two in the New York naval musters, it is at the intermediate age, 31$34 .{ }^{1}$

[^24]| Nativity | Seamen |  | New York Sallors |  | Landmmen |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ago | No. | Age | No. | Age | No. | Age | No. |
| $A^{2}$ | 28 | 372 | 28 | 263 | 30 | 108 | 30 | 446 |
| B | 28 | 274 | 81-34 | 486 | 35 \& over | 800 | 31-34 | 1818 |
| C, D, G1 | 24 | 182 | 31-84 | 6 | 85 \& over | 39 | 35 \& ovor | 135 |
| E, F, G $\mathbf{2}$ | 24 | 95 | 29 | 84 | 30 | 56 | 30 | 156 |
| H, I | 29 | 84 | 30 | 20 | 29 | 26 | 29 | 127 |
| J | 28 | 121 | 30 | 52 | 27 | 58 | 31-34 | 445 |
| K | 29 | 35 | 28 | 89 | 35 \& over | 52 | 28 | 110 |
| L | 29 | 141 | 27 | 275 | 29 | 160 | 29 | 493 |
| $\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{P}$ | 30 | 23 | 26 | 16 | 27 | 8 | 27 | 40 |
| N | 26 | 89 | 26 | 53 | 23 | 63 | 26 | 175 |
| 0 | 25 | 66 | 27 | 85 | 29 | 6 | 27 | 91 |
| Q | 19 | 18 | 27 | 31 | 29 | 8 | 27 | 95 |
| Total | 28 | 1095 | 81-34 | 1632 | 35 \& over | 1692 | 29 | 2436 |

## TABLEXVII.

Heights of Sailors, by Ages and Nativities.

| 480 | Now England |  |  |  | New York, New Jerney, and Peanaylvanis |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Seamen | Landsmen | N. Y. Sailor: | Total | Seamen | $\begin{gathered} \text { Landa- } \\ \text { men } \end{gathered}$ | N. Y. 8allore | Total |
| Under 17 | $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ 64.696 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 883 \\ 61.504 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 74 \\ 62.358 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 551 \\ 61.743 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{6}{63.458}$ | $\begin{gathered} 291 \\ \mathbf{6 0 . 3 7 9} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 414 \\ 61.801 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 711 \\ 61.233 \end{gathered}$ |
| 17 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 43 \\ 64.669 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 280 \\ 63.513 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47 \\ 64.032 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 870 \\ 63.713 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ 64.357 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 158 \\ 63.203 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 840 \\ 62.928 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 612 \\ 63.052 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 18 | 234 | 868 | 65 | 1157 | 106 | 458 | 883 | 922 |
|  | 65.637 | 65.298 | 64.964 | 65.350 | 65.597 | 65.280 | 64.247 | 64.910 |
| 19 | 223 | 528 | 51 | 800 | 140 | 338 | 297 | 805 |
|  | 66.054 | 65.811 | 65.515 | 65.860 | 66.370 | 66.381 | 65.084 | 65.900 |
| 20 | 245 66.093 | ${ }_{6635}^{435}$ | ${ }_{55}^{55}$ | ${ }_{665}^{7316}$ | 177 | 364 66.447 | 816 65.553 | 857 66.138 |
|  | 66.093 | 66.320 | 65.941 | 66.216 | 66.548 | 66.447 | 65.553 | 66.138 |
| 21 | 942 | 1411 | 486 | 2839 | 437 | 1068 | 2152 | 8357 |
|  | 66.586 | 66.641 | 66.157 | 66.540 | 66.510 | 66.573 | 65.909 | 66.175 |
| 22 | 762 | 754 | 839 | 1855 | 435 | 699 | 1218 | 2352 |
|  | 66.432 | 66.810 | 66.197 | 66.543 | 66.600 | 66.654 | 65.994 | 66.302 |
| 23 | 646 | 481 | 263 | 1890 | 878 | 498 | 834 | 1708 |
|  | 66.512 | 66.760 | 66.659 | 66.626 | 66.607 | 66.744 | 66.110 | 66.403 |
| 24 | 622 | 384 | 215 | 1071 | 887 | 388 | 716 | 1498 |
|  | 66.589 | 66.939 | 66.194 | 66.619 | 66.651 | 66.755 | 66.224 | 66.474 |
| 25 | 603 | 289 | 172 | 944 | 897 | 838 | 539 | 1214 |
|  | 66.600 | 66.958 | 66.263 | 66.641 | 66.522 | 66.759 | 66.103 | 66.402 |
| 26 | 409 | 208 | 137 | 749 | 293 | 257 | 430 | 980 |
|  | 66.549 | 66.860 | 65.954 | 66.524 | 66.687 | 66.751 | 66.156 | 66.471 |
| 27 | 363 | 181 | 111 | 655 | 256 | 195 | 295 | 748 |
|  | 66.721 | 67.054 | 65.766 | 66.651 | 66.543 | 66.774 | 66.057 | 66.411 |
| 28 | 372 | 185 | 124 | 681 | 274 | 197 | 827 | 798 |
|  | 67.136 | 67.039 | 65.978 | 66.899 | 66.970 | 67.018 | 66.344 | 66.725 |
| 29 | 279 | 107 | 82 | 468 | 200 | 189 | 216 | 555 |
|  | 66.944 | 66.860 | 66.064 | 66.770 | 66.723 | 66.926 | 66.363 | 66.634 |
| 30 | 288 | 108 | 72 | 446 | 169 | 132 | 200 | 501 |
|  | 67.020 | 67.148 | 66.569 | 66.978 | 66.768 | 66.841 | 65.990 | 66.477 |
| 31.34 | 685 | 245 | 198 | 1128 | 521 | 311 | 486 | 1818 |
|  | 66.687 | 67.137 | 66.470 | 66.748 | 66.965 | 66.818 | 66.436 | 66.735 |
| 35 \& orer | 124 | 269 | 834 | 1847 | 855 | 800 | 599 | 1754 |
|  | 66.834 | 66.989 | 66.499 | 66.796 | 66.697 | 67.140 | 66.177 | 66.595 |
| Total | ${ }^{7752}$ | 7019 | 2810 | 17581 | 4988 | 6156 | 9742 | 20881 |
|  | 66599 | 66.124 | 66.080 | 66.327 | 66.640 | 66.206 | 65.678 | 66.063 |

## TABLE XVII. - (Continued.)

Heights of Sailors,
by Ages and Nativities.

| Age | Northwestern States |  |  |  | Slave States |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Seamen | Landsmen | N. Y. <br> Sailors | Total | Seamen | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lands- } \\ & \text { men } \end{aligned}$ | N. Y. <br> Sailors | Total |
| Onder 17 | $\underset{64.345}{21}$ | $\begin{gathered} 185 \\ 61.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ 61.125 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} 194 \\ 61.367 \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{4}{65.875}$ | $\begin{gathered} 154 \\ 60.054 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21 \\ 61.762 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 179 \\ 60.384 \end{gathered}$ |
| 17 | $\begin{gathered} 46 \\ 64.674 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85 \\ 63.229 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ 64.950 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 186 \\ 63.781 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ 65.909 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 103 \\ 65.041 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ 63.308 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 127 \\ 64.939 \end{gathered}$ |
| 18 | $\begin{gathered} 233 \\ 66.038 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 355 \\ 63.549 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ 63.562 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} 696 \\ \mathbf{6 5 . 5 9 4} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 49 \\ 65.663 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{\mathbf{6 6 . 0 5 5}}^{286}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28 \\ 63.393 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|c\|} \hline 363 \\ 65.797 \end{array}$ |
| 19 | $\begin{gathered} 173 \\ 67.366 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 164 \\ \mathbf{6 6 . 2 9 9} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ 64.600 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 242 \\ \mathbf{6 6 . 8 1 4} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 68 \\ \mathbf{6 6 . 9 5 3} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 213 \\ 66.979 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27 \\ 65.426 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 203 \\ 66.831 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 20 | $\begin{gathered} 177 \\ 67.133 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 108 \\ 66.917 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{9}{66.083}$ | $\begin{gathered} 294 \\ 67.021 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 68 \\ \mathbf{6 7 . 0 9 9} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 220 \\ 67.048 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85 \\ 65.643 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 323 \\ 66.906 \end{gathered}$ |
| 21 | $\begin{gathered} 247 \\ 67.817 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 182 \\ 67.430 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 57 \\ 66.487 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} 436 \\ 67.526 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 154 \\ 66.617 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{687}^{487}$ | $\begin{gathered} 208 \\ \mathbf{6 5 . 8 2 6} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} 799 \\ \mathbf{6 6 . 6 5 1} \end{array}$ |
| 22 | $\begin{gathered} 167 \\ 67.445 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ 67.177 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87 \\ 66.872 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 804 \\ 67.287 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 132 \\ 66.841 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 289 \\ 67.283 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 140 \\ 65.682 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 561 \\ 66.779 \end{gathered}$ |
| 23 | 130 67.863 | $\begin{gathered} 78 \\ 67.314 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23 \\ 66.022 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 228 \\ 67.499 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 92 \\ 67.111 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 234 \\ 67.947 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 83 \\ 65.928 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 409 \\ 67.349 \end{gathered}$ |
| 24 | $\begin{gathered} 132 \\ 68.097 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52 \\ 67.274 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31 \\ 66.161 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 215 \\ 67.619 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 95 \\ 67.524 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 207 \\ \mathbf{6 7 . 6 9 9} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70 \\ 65.404 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} 372 \\ 67.222 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 25 | $\begin{gathered} 103 \\ 67.791 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42 \\ 66.423 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ \mathbf{6 6 . 6 2 5} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 157 \\ \mathbf{6 7 . 3 3 6} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 72 \\ 66.674 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 128 \\ 67.262 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 78 \\ 66.474 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 276 \\ 66.886 \end{gathered}$ |
| 26 | $\begin{gathered} 75 \\ 67.577 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 48 \\ 67.610 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ 65.250 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 182 \\ 67.341 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81 \\ 67.046 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 146 \\ 67.808 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 64 \\ 66.348 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 291 \\ 67.275 \end{gathered}$ |
| 27 | $\begin{gathered} 68 \\ 67.654 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26 \\ 67.269 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ 66.312 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 106 \\ 67.408 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 79 \\ \mathbf{6 6 . 9 2 1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 88 \\ 66.958 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ \mathbf{6 6 . 0 5 9} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 217 \\ 66.717 \end{gathered}$ |
| 28 | $\begin{gathered} 48 \\ 67.714 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41 \\ 67.591 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ 65.864 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ 67.460 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 68 \\ 66.908 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 97 \\ 67.531 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76 \\ 66.158 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 241 \\ 66.922 \end{gathered}$ |
| 29 | $\begin{gathered} 43 \\ 67.570 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ 68.100 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ 67.036 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70 \\ 67.668 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56 \\ 67.286 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 68 \\ 67.583 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 84 \\ 66.574 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 153 \\ \mathbf{6 7 . 2 5 0} \end{gathered}$ |
| 30 | $\begin{gathered} 42 \\ 67.315 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25 \\ 67.530 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{7}{64.679}$ | $\begin{gathered} 74 \\ 67.139 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ 67.050 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56 \\ 68.594 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ 66.305 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 156 \\ 67.365 \end{gathered}$ |
| 31-34 | $\begin{gathered} 94 \\ 67.646 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 66 \\ 67.909 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ 67.125 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 166 \\ \mathbf{6 7 . 7 3 2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 182 \\ 67.062 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 191 \\ 67.817 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 111 \\ 66.077 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 434 \\ 67.142 \end{gathered}$ |
| 35 \& over | $\begin{gathered} 88 \\ 67.810 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 89 \\ 68.135 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ 66.156 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 185 \\ 67.806 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 227 \\ 66.870 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 192 \\ 68.359 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 161 \\ 66.304 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 680 \\ \mathbf{6 7 . 1 5 7} \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 1887 \\ \mathbf{6 7 . 3 2 1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1536 \\ 65.870 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 260 \\ \mathbf{6 6 . 0 0 3} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3683 \\ 66.623 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1523 \\ 66.904 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8097 \\ \mathbf{6 6 . 8 9 6} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1254 \\ 65.851 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6874 \\ 66.675 \end{gathered}$ |

## T ABLE XVII. - (Continued.)

Heights of Sailors, by Ages and Nativities.

| A80 | British Provinces |  |  |  | England |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Seamen | Lands. men | $\mathbf{N} . \mathbf{Y} .$ <br> Sallors | Total | Seamen | Landsmen | $\mathbf{N} . \mathbf{Y}$ <br> Sailora | Total |
| Onder 17 | $\stackrel{2}{62.750}$ | $\stackrel{21}{\mathbf{6 2 . 7 3 8}}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ 63.350 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} 83 \\ \hline 62.924 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 29 \\ \mathbf{6 0 . 2 2 4} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21 \\ 60.976 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ 60.540 \end{gathered}$ |
| 17 | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ 64.656 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81 \\ 64.669 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ 61.523 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ 63.975 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ 64.455 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22 \\ 62.591 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24 \\ 61.698 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 57 \\ \mathbf{6 2 . 5 7 5} \end{gathered}$ |
| 18 | $\begin{gathered} 57 \\ 65.342 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 162 \\ 64.679 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ 63.021 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 231 \\ 64.756 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 49 \\ 64.015 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 79 \\ 64.291 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \\ 63.214 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 163 \\ 63.977 \end{gathered}$ |
| 19 | $\stackrel{84}{65.958}$ | $\begin{gathered} 145 \\ 65.816 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ 65.817 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 244 \\ 65.865 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\sigma 9}{64.924}$ | $\begin{gathered} 62 \\ 64.731 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40 \\ 63.369 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 161 \\ 64.475 \end{gathered}$ |
| 20 | $\begin{gathered} 114 \\ 66.485 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 108 \\ \mathbf{6 6 . 7 4 3} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23 \\ 65.630 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 245 \\ 66.518 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87 \\ 65.256 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ 65.285 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54 \\ 64.694 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 206 \\ 65.118 \end{gathered}$ |
| 21 | 6640 66.605 | $\begin{gathered} 284 \\ \mathbf{6 6 . 8 2 0} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 106 \\ 66.429 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 710 \\ 66.659 \end{gathered}$ | 250 65.313 | $\begin{gathered} 183 \\ 65.587 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 320 \\ \mathbf{6 5 . 0 5 4} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 753 \\ \mathbf{6 5 . 2 7 0} \end{gathered}$ |
| 22 | $\begin{gathered} 277 \\ 66.893 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 192 \\ \mathbf{6 7 . 4 4 3} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81 \\ 66.571 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} 650 \\ 67.037 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 262 \\ 65.421 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 187 \\ 65.443 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 174 \\ 65.394 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 573 \\ 65.418 \end{gathered}$ |
| 23 | $\stackrel{2233}{66.805}$ | $\begin{gathered} 112 \\ 66.944 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 62 \\ 66.582 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} 387 \\ 66.815 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 193 \\ 65.710 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 91 \\ 65.566 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 167 \\ \mathbf{6 5 . 4 8 8} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 451 \\ 65.599 \end{gathered}$ |
| 24 | $\begin{gathered} 15 t \\ 66.818 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 79 \\ 67.161 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34 \\ 66.110 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} 267 \\ 66.830 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 160 \\ 65.752 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 93 \\ 65.573 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 131 \\ 65.441 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34 \\ 65.602 \end{gathered}$ |
| 25 | $\begin{gathered} 154 \\ 66.854 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 73 \\ 66.969 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81 \\ 65.669 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} 258 \\ \mathbf{6 6 . 7 4 4} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 175 \\ 66.127 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \\ 65.787 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 112 \\ 65.708 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 367 \\ 65.925 \end{gathered}$ |
| 26 | $\begin{gathered} 114 \\ 67.004 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 48 \\ 67.432 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24 \\ 66.708 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} 186 \\ \mathbf{6 7 . 0 7 7} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 123 \\ 65.878 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ 65.558 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81 \\ 65.855 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 269 \\ \mathbf{6 5 . 7 9 4} \end{gathered}$ |
| 27 | $\begin{gathered} 111 \\ 66.626 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 43 \\ 67.110 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ 66.486 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 172 \\ 66.733 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 123 \\ 66.122 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 58 \\ \mathbf{6 6 . 4 6 6} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 83 \\ 65.349 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{65.955}^{264}$ |
| 28 | $\begin{gathered} 130 \\ 66.896 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85 \\ \mathbf{6 6 . 6 6 8} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ 67.325 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} 205 \\ 66.877 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 121 \\ 66.277 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 63 \\ 65.925 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 94 \\ 65.628 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 268 \\ \mathbf{6 5 . 9 7 9} \end{gathered}$ |
| 29 | $\begin{gathered} 84 \\ 67.253 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28 \\ 67.875 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ 67.647 \end{gathered}$ | 67.433 | $\begin{array}{c\|} 86 \\ 66.166 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 43 \\ 66.064 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 49 \\ 65.347 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 178 \\ 65.916 \end{gathered}$ |
| 30 | $\begin{gathered} 72 \\ 67.212 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81 \\ 67.331 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ 67.650 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 123 \\ 67.313 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 84 \\ 65.703 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 49 \\ 65.633 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52 \\ \mathbf{6 6 . 0 2 9} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 185 \\ \mathbf{6 5 . 7 7 6} \end{gathered}$ |
| 31-34 | $\begin{gathered} 182 \\ 67.209 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 66 \\ 67.232 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85 \\ 67.150 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 273 \\ 67.206 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{\text {2688 }}^{2081}$ | $\begin{gathered} 114 \\ 66.362 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 123 \\ 65.764 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 445 \\ 66.061 \end{gathered}$ |
| 35 \& orer | $\stackrel{227}{66.984}$ | $\begin{gathered} 62 \\ 66.875 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24 \\ 66.917 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 20 f \\ 66.957 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 352 \\ 65.700 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 116 \\ \mathbf{6 6 . 3 9 0} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 161 \\ 65.929 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 629 \\ 65.886 \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 2238 \\ 66.781 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1508 \\ 66.569 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 633 \\ \mathbf{6 6 . 3 1 6} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4387 \\ 66.651 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2353 \\ 65.695 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1329 \\ 65.494 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1721 \\ 65.272 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 「403 } \\ 65.511 \end{gathered}$ |

## TABLE XVII. - (Continued.)

## Heights of Sailors, by Ages and Nativities.

| Ag\% | Scotland |  |  |  | Ireland |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Seamen | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lands- } \\ & \text { mea } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbf{N} . \mathbf{Y}$ <br> Sailort | Total | Seamen | Landsmon | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N. } \mathbf{Y} . \\ & \text { Sailore } \end{aligned}$ | Total |
| r 17 | - | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ 62.417 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{7}{62.429}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ 62.423 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{2}{66.125}$ | $\begin{gathered} 71 \\ 60.437 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 89 \\ 61.212 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} 112 \\ 60.808 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 17 | 1 65.000 | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ 61.536 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{4}{65.687}$ | 12 63.208 | 9 63.861 | $\begin{gathered} 68 \\ \mathbf{6 3 . 6 3 2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42 \\ 61.095 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 104 \\ 62.627 \end{gathered}$ |
| 18 | 8 | 28 | 1 | 87 | 68 | 285 | 76 | 879 |
|  | 64.687 | 63.732 | 65.500 | $63.986$ | 64.952 | 64.428 | 63.914 | 64.357 |
| 19 | $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ 65.304 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ 65.056 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ 64.250 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40 \\ 64.981 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 98 \\ 66.341 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 264 \\ 65.658 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 88 \\ 65.560 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 485 \\ 65.784 \end{gathered}$ |
| 20 | 23 | 12 | 12 | 47 | 160 | 277 | 184 | 621 |
|  | 64.696 | 65.771 | 65.104 | 65.074 | 65.916 | 66.144 | 65.649 | 65.939 |
| 21 | 68 | 50 | 84 | 200 | 885 | 1010 | 997 | 2872 |
|  | 65.989 | 65.455 | 65.158 | 65.506 | 65.990 | 66.387 | 66.010 | 66.167 |
| 22 | 60 | 88 | 56 | 154 | 445 | 778 | 788 | 1961 |
|  | 65.904 | 66.441 | 65.527 | 65.899 | 66.129 | 66.711 | 66.156 | 66.370 |
| 23 | 62 | 25 | 88 | 125 | 857 | 547 | 635 | 1489 |
|  | 65.512 | 65.690 | 66.336 | 65.798 | 66.089 | 66.573 | 66.078 | 66.269 |
| 24 | 55 | 81 | 48 | 134 | 285 | 419 | 428 | 1127 |
|  | 66.405 | 66.476 | 65.958 | 66.261 | 66.377 | 66.625 | 66.370 | 66.467 |
| 25 | 60 | 20 | 45 | 125 | 282 | 858 | 456 | 1128 |
|  | 66.137 | 65.975 | 65.378 | 65.838 | 66.368 | 66.492 | 66.087 | 66.297 |
| 26 | 49 | 19 | 30 | 98 | 258 | 861 | 882 | 946 |
|  | 65.766 | 65.882 | 65.042 | 65.566 | 66.508 | 66.648 | 66.217 | 66.459 |
| 27 | 89 | 23 | 25 | 87 | 203 | 254 | 275 | 782 |
|  | 66.391 | 65.750 | 66.390 | 66.221 | 66.282 | 66.485 | 66.484 | 66.428 |
| 28 | 45 | 28 | 39 | 110 | 248 | 821 | 298 | 857 |
|  | 66.094 | 67.000 | 67.128 | 66.675 | 66.353 | 66.540 | 66.252 | 66.389 |
| 29 | 35 | 9 | 21 | 65 | 141 | 160 | 192 | 498 |
|  | 66.900 | 66.000 | 66.155 | 66.535 | 66.814 | -66.863 | 66.391 | 66.665 |
| 30 | 38 | 15 | 81 | 82 | 179 | 228 | 205 | 612 |
|  | 66.042 | 67.283 | 66.411 | 66.409 | 66.485 | 66.658 | 66.116 | 66.426 |
| 31-34 | 82 | 87 | 64 | 183 | 868 | 427 | 335 | 1175 |
|  | 66.299 | 66.939 | 65.898 | 66.288 | 66.492 | 66.728 | 66.278 | 66.508 |
| 35 \& over | 171 | 52 | 97 | 320 | 531 | 487 | 446 | 1464 |
|  | 66.515 | 67.365 | 66.361 | 66.606 | 66.264 | 66.560 | 66.075 | 66.305 |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 806 \\ 66.119 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 416 \\ 66.007 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 010 \\ 65.842 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1832 \\ 66.001 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} 8979 \\ \mathbf{6 6 . 2 5 5} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6268 \\ \mathbf{6 6 . 3 4 3} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5705 \\ \mathbf{6 6 . 0 4 0} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15963 \\ 66.213 \end{gathered}$ |

## TABLE XVII. - (Continued.)

Heights of Sailors, by Ages and Nativities.

|  | Latin Reces |  |  |  | Germany |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Seamen | Lands. men | N. Y. <br> Sailors | Total | Seamen | Lendsmen | N. $\mathbf{Y}$. <br> Sallors | Total |
| 17 | - | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ 58.250 \end{gathered}$ | - | 58.250 | $\stackrel{1}{67.000}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ 60.162 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ 60.357 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25 \\ 60.490 \end{gathered}$ |
| 17 | - | $\stackrel{4}{61.438}$ | $\stackrel{2}{61.500}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ 61.458 \end{gathered}$ | 5 64.900 | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ 63.487 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ 62.150 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34 \\ 63.301 \end{gathered}$ |
| 18 | 63.625 | 11 <br> 64.886 | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ 62.450 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} 20 \\ 64.025 \end{array}$ | 89 65.256 | $\begin{gathered} 79 \\ 64.104 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ 64.333 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 186 \\ \mathbf{6 4 . 4 6 5} \end{gathered}$ |
| 19 | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ 64.333 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ 64.605 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ 64.812 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 64.025 \\ 85 \\ 64.536 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 63 \\ 64.835 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ 65.115 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21 \\ 64.940 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 124 \\ 64.966 \end{gathered}$ |
| 20 | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ 64.824 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} { }^{6} \\ 64.833 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ 64.667 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 64.536 \\ 29 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 68 \\ 65.723 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 49 \\ 66.296 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44 \\ 65.943 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 159 \\ 65.961 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 21 | $\begin{gathered} 43 \\ 65.017 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 88 \\ 65.281 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27 \\ 64.426 \end{gathered}$ | 102 | 150 | 84 | 190 | 424 |
|  |  |  |  | 64.944 | 65.897 | 66.339 | 65.508 | 65.810 |
| 22 | $\begin{gathered} 81 \\ 65.202 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ 64.736 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{28}{64.364}$ | 71 | 207 | 61 | 149 | 417 |
|  |  |  |  | 64.824 | 66.377 | 66.324 | 65.745 | 66.302 |
| 23 | $\begin{gathered} 87 \\ 65.743 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ 64.981 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24 \\ 65.177 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 74 \\ 65.426 \end{gathered}$ | 154 | 58 | 181 | 838 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 66.266 | 66.995 | 65.798 | 66.199 |
| 24 | $\begin{gathered} 46 \\ 65.837 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ 65.096 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ 65.547 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} 75 \\ 65.647 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 140 \\ 66.389 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44 \\ 66.716 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 79 \\ 65.911 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} 288 \\ 66.300 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | $\begin{gathered} 41 \\ 65.207 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ 65.250 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28 \\ 65.033 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65.647 \\ 80 \\ 65.166 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 186 \\ 66.006 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{29}{66.000}$ | $\begin{gathered} 72 \\ 66.156 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 237 \\ 66.051 \end{gathered}$ |
| 26 | ${ }_{65.175}^{20}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ 66.222 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ 65.891 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45 \\ 65.639 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 89 \\ \mathbf{6 6 . 8 5 7} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 83 \\ 66.311 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 68 \\ 66.759 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 175 \\ 66.724 \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | $\begin{gathered} 28 \\ 66.125 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ 67.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{4}{64.125}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} 40 \\ 66.100 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 99 \\ 66.206 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 82 \\ 66.781 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54 \\ 66.347 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} 165 \\ 66.364 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | $\begin{gathered} { }^{27} \\ 65.778 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ 66.182 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ 65.146 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ 65.715 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 118 \\ 66.270 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81 \\ 66.065 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47 \\ 66.261 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 191 \\ 66.234 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ 65.176 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ 66.875 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ 64.786 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} 28 \\ 65.321 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87 \\ 66.241 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \\ 66.633 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{8 6} \\ 66.472 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} 153 \\ \hline 66.373 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | $\begin{gathered} 23 \\ 66.696 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ 65.583 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ 65.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} 42 \\ 66.012 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 64 \\ 65.903 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{29}{66.078}$ | $\begin{gathered} 86 \\ 65.250 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 119 \\ 65.748 \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31-84 | $\stackrel{44}{65.670}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ 66.312 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{21}{64.750}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} 77 \\ 65.519 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 189 \\ 66.365 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ 66.015 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 96 \\ 66.310 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 300 \\ \mathbf{6 6 . 2 7 2} \end{gathered}$ |
| 35 \& over | $\begin{gathered} 69 \\ 65.250 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28 \\ 66.098 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 82 \\ 64.297 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} 124 \\ 65.161 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 210 \\ 66.435 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 99 \\ \mathbf{6 6 . 6 9 7} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 88 \\ 66.014 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} 897 \\ 66.468 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 458 \\ 65.457 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 210 \\ 65.207 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 284 \\ 64.765 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} 908 \\ 65.220 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1722 \\ 66.189 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 804 \\ 65.919 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1181 \\ 65.828 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} 8857 \\ 66.018 \end{array}$ |

## TABLE XVII. - (Continued.)

Heights of Sailors, by Ages and Nativities.

| Age | Scandinavis |  |  |  | Miscellaneous |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Seamen | Landsmen | N. $\mathbf{Y}$. <br> Sailors | Total | Seamen | Landsmen | $\mathbf{N} . \mathbf{Y} .$ <br> Sailors | Total |
| Under 17 | - | 8 61.000 | - | 8 61.000 | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 66.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ 59.039 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ 63.781 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28 \\ 60.643 \end{gathered}$ |
| 17 | ${ }_{62.125}$ | - | - | $\mathrm{2}^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ 64.375 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{6}{62.100}$ | $\stackrel{4}{60.375}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ 61.886 \end{gathered}$ |
| 18 | ${ }_{66.556}^{9}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ 63.925 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 46 \\ 64.582 \end{gathered}$ |
| 19 | 66.556 15 | 63.925 2 | 65.375 | 65.190 21 | 64.956 18 | 64.750 20 | 63.344 15 | 64.582 53 |
|  | 66.417 | 66.875 | 63.937 | 65.988 | 66.250 | 64.275 | 64.933 | 65.132 |
| 20 | $\begin{gathered} 86 \\ 65.958 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ 66.542 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ 65.933 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 57 \\ 66.013 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 82 \\ 64.937 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ 64.827 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ 64.794 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} 62 \\ 64.875 \end{array}$ |
| 21 | 68 | 18 | 78 | 154 | 91 | 38 | 78 | 207 |
|  | 66.421 | 66.069 | 65.949 | 66.156 | 65.354 | 65.079 | 64.782 | 65.088 |
| 22 | 120 | 10 | 107 | 287 | 75 | 25 | 85 | 185 |
|  | 66.065 | 67.400 | 65.834 | 66.017 | 65.667 | 66.200 | 64.818 | 65.349 |
| 23 | 72 | ${ }^{9}$ | 64 | 145 | 47 | 21 | 67 | 135 |
|  | 65.997 | 65.694 | 66.379 | 66.147 | 64.989 | 64.964 | 65.627 | 65.302 |
| 24 | 68 | 6 | 68 | 130 | 69 | 25 | 59 | 148 |
|  | 66.223 | 65.542 | 65.884 | 66.040 | 65.877 | 65.040 | 64.725 | 65.255 |
| 25 | 66 | 11 | 67 | 144 | 72 | 26 | 88 | 181 |
|  | 66.958 | 66.341 | 65.974 | 66.453 | 65.562 | 66.269 | 65.684 | 65.720 |
| 26 | 56 |  | 40 | 103 | 49 | 22 | 49 | 120 |
|  | 66.406 | 66.679 | 65.681 | 66.143 | 65.745 | 66.159 | 65.281 | 65.631 |
| 27 | 49 | 7 | 85 | 91 | 65 | 9 | 81 | 95 |
|  | 66.602 | 65.964 | 67.014 | 66.712 | 65.450 | 65.972 | 66.274 | 65.768 |
| 28 | 62 | 11 | 51 | 114 | 27 | 10 | 45 | 82 |
|  | 66.538 | 67.068 | 66.010 | 66.353 | 65.565 | 65.125 | 65.594 | 65.527 |
| 29 | 87 | 6 | 29 | 72 | 90 | 8 | 41 | 74 |
|  | 66.561 | 67.917 | 66.069 | 66.476 | 65.583 | 69.500 | 65.537 | 65.716 |
| 30 | 54 | ${ }^{7}$ | 19 | 80 | 80 | 10 | 28 | 68 |
|  | 66.847 | 66.714 | 66.224 | 66.688 | 65.633 | 65.125 | 65.554 | 65.526 |
| 31-34 | 98 | 13 | 56 | 167 | 88 | 16 | 56 | 160 |
|  | 66.398 | 66.885 | 66.446 | 66.452 | 65.213 | 65.531 | 65.540 | 65.359 |
| 35 \& over | 148 | 15 | 109 | 270 | 98 | 83 | 70 | 208 |
|  | 66.214 | 65.467 | 66.275 | 66.197 | 65.727 | 66.329 | 65.075 | 65.617 |
| Total | 941 | 141 | 729 | 1811 | 791 | 821 | 744 | 1856 |
|  | 66.352 | 66.135 | 66.094 | 66.231 | 65.501 | 65.080 | 65.211 | 65.312 |

## TABLE XVIII.

Heights of Landsmen,
by Periods of Age and Nativities.

| Nativity | $\begin{gathered} \text { Under } \\ 21 \end{gathered}$ | 21-28 | 24-26 | 2i-30 | 81-34 | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \text { sind } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ | 81 and over | $\begin{gathered} 24 \text { and } \\ \text { orer } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England <br> N. Y., N. J., and Penn. | ${ }_{64.827}^{247}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2646 \\ 66.711 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 806 \\ \hline 66.925 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 681 \\ 67.031 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} 245 \\ \hline 67.137 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | ${ }^{\text {'259 }} 6$ | $514$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 1901 \\ \hline 66.994 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | 1634 | 2230 | 988 | 668 | 811 | 300 | 611 | 2282 |
|  | 64.714 | 66.635 | 66.755 | 66.892 | 66.818 | 67.140 | 66.976 | 66.855 |
| Northwestern States | 877 | 805 | 187 | 112 | 66 | 39 | 105 | 354 |
|  | 64.696 | 67.320 | 67.119 | 67.594 | 67.909 | 68.135 | 67.993 | 67.528 |
| Slave States . . . | 976 | 960 | 479 | 299 | 191 | 192 | 383 | 1161 |
|  | 65.426 | 67.341 | 67.617 | 67.582 | 67.817 | 68.359 | 68.089 | 67.764 |
| British Provinces | 467 | 568 | 200 | 155 | 56 | 62 | 118 | 473 |
|  | 65.421 | 67.055 | 67.156 | 67.126 | 67.232 | 66.875 | 67.044 | 67.118 |
| England . . . . | 247 | 411 | 238 | 203 | 114 | 116 | 230 | 671 |
|  | 64.016 | 65.535 | 65.641 | 66.037 | 66.362 | 66.390 | 66.376 | 66.013 |
| Scotland . . . . | 71 | 118 | 70 | 73 | 87 | 62 | 89 | 232 |
|  | 64.085 | 65.838 | 66.171 | 66.541 | 66.939 | 67.365 | 67.188 | 66.678 |
| Ireland . . . . . | 890 | 2335 | 1166 | 983 | 427 | 487 | 914 | 3043 |
|  | 64.921 | 66.539 | 66.588 | 66.607 | 66.728 | 66.560 | 66.639 | 66.609 |
| Latin Races, etc. | 45 | 63 | 38 | 29 | 12 | 23 | 35 | 102 |
|  | 63.717 | 65.063 | 65.428 | 66.379 | 66.313 | 66.098 | 66.171 | 65.953 |
| Germany . . . . | 214 | 198 | 106 | 122 | 65 | 99 | 164 | 392 |
|  | 64.474 | 66.510 | 66.394 | 66.395 | 66.015 | 66.697 | 66.427 | 66.408 |
| Scandinaria . . . | 21 | 87 | 24 | 31 | 18 | 15 | 28 | 83 |
|  | 64.536 | 66.338 | 66.240 | 66.903 | 66.885 | 65.467 | 66.125 | 66.449 |
| Miscellaneous | 78 | 84 | 73 | 82 | 16 | 88 | 54 | 159 |
|  | 63.080 | 65.384 | 65.815 | 65.773 | 65.531 | 66.329 | 66.093 | 65.901 |
| Total | 7992 | 9980 | 4825 | 8288 | 1553 | 1692 | 3245 | 10833 |
|  | 64.843 | 66.667 | 66.757 | 66.836 | 66.947 | 66.984 | 66.966 | 66.853 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## TABLE XIX.

Heights of Seamen, by Periods of Age and Nativities.

| Nativity | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & 21 \end{aligned}$ | 21-23 | 24-28 | 27-80 | 81-34 | 85 and over | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 81 \text { and } \\ \text { over } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $24 \text { and }$ over |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N. Y., N. J., and Penn. | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 759 \\ 65.834 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | ${ }_{66.516}^{2350}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1434 \\ 66.581 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1280 \\ 66.952 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 685 \\ 66.687 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1244 \\ 66.834 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 1929 \\ 66.782 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 4648 \\ \hline 66.767 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | ${ }_{66.153}^{448}$ | ${ }_{66.571}^{1248}$ | ${ }_{66.618}^{1017}$ | ${ }_{66.755}^{898}$ | ${ }_{66.965}^{621}$ | ${ }_{66.697}^{858}$ | ${ }_{66.799}^{1376}$ | ${ }_{66.731} \mathbf{6 2 9}$ |
| Northwestern States | 650 | 544 | 310 | 201 | 94 | 88 | 182 | 698 |
| Northwestern States | 66.538 | 67.714 | 67.869 | 67.580 | 67.646 | 67.810 | 67.725 | 67.747 |
| Slave States - . | , | 878 | 248 | 258 | 182 | 827 | 459 | 980 |
|  | 66.580 | 66.815 | 67.121 | 67.024 | 67.062 | 66.870 | 66.925 | 67.002 |
| British Provinces - | 285 | 840 | 422 | 897 | 182 | 220 | 402 | 1221 |
|  | 65.989 | 66.753 | 66.882 | 66.953 | 67.209 | 66.984 | 67.086 | 66.972 |
| England . . . . | 216 | 705 | 458 | 414 | 208 | 852 | 560 | 1482 |
|  | 64.828 | 65.462 | 65.929 | 66.091 | 66.071 | 65.700 | 65.838 | 65.940 |
| Scotland . . . . | 46 | 188 | 164 | 155 | 82 | 171 | 258 | 572 |
|  | 64.886 | 65.805 | 66.116 | 66.339 | 66.299 | 66.515 | 66.445 | 66.322 |
| Ireland . . . . . | 882 | 1167 | 820 | 768 | 863 | 681 | 894 | 2480 |
|  | 65.783 | 66.073 | 66.414 | 66.450 | 66.492 | 66.264 | 66.357 | 66.405 |
| Latin Races, etc. | 83 | 111 | 107 | 95 | 44 | 69 | 118 | 815 |
|  | 64.500 | 65.311 | 65.472 | 65.995 | 65.670 | 65.250 | 65.414 | 65.609 |
| Germany . . . - | 164 | 511 | 835 | 833 | 139 | 210 | 349 | 1047 |
|  | 65.308 | 66.203 | 66.360 | 66.188 | 66.365 | 66.435 | 66.407 | 66.321 |
| Scandinavia . . |  | 255 | 188 | 192 | 98 | 146 | 24 | 624 |
|  | 66.032 | 66.133 | 66.536 | 66.646 | 66.398 | 66.214 | 66.288 | 66.473 |
| Miscellaneous | \% | 213 | 180 | 142 | 88 | 98 | 186 | 608 |
|  | 65.279 | 65.384 | 65.715 | 65.539 | 65.213 | 65.727 | 65.484 | 65.581 |
| Total . . . . | 8225 | 8510 | 5718 | 6127 | 2838 | 4811 | 6947 | 17787 |
|  | 65.940 | 66.399 | 66.550 | 66.665 | 66.650 | 66.571 | 66.601 | 66.603 |

TABLE XX.
Heights of Sailors, by Periods of Age and Nativities.

| Nativity | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Onder } \\ & 21 \end{aligned}$ | 21-28 | 21-26 | 27-80 | 81-84 | 85 and over | $\begin{gathered} 81 \text { and } \\ \text { over } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24 \text { and } \\ \text { over } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England . . <br> N. Y., N. J., and Penn. | 8518 65.012 | ${ }_{66.560} 00$ | 66.601 27 | ${ }_{26.816}$ | 1128 | $\begin{gathered} 1847 \\ 66.796 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2970 \\ \mathbf{6 6 . 7 7 8} \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 7984 \\ \mathbf{6 6 . 7 2 7} \end{gathered}\right.$ |
|  | 8807 64.459 | ${ }_{66.264} 7$ | 8690 66.449 | ${ }_{\mathbf{2 6 0 0}}^{\mathbf{6 6 . 5 6 8}}$ | 1818 <br> 66.735 | . 1764 | ${ }_{66.655}$ | ${ }_{\text {9362 }} \mathbf{6 6 . 5 5 0}$ |
| Northwestern States | 65.447 | ${ }_{67} 968$ | ${ }_{604} 67.458$ | ${ }^{850} 6$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 168 \\ 67.732 \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  | $1155$ |
| Slave States . . . | 65.447 1285 | 67.444 1769 | 67.458 <br> 939 | 67.418 767 | 67.732 484 | 67.806 680 | 67.765 1114 | 67.526 2820 |
|  | 65.473 | 66.853 | 67.140 | 67.020 | 67.142 | 67.157 | 67.151 | 67.112 |
| British Provinces | 03 | 1647 | 71 | 627 | 278 | 806 | 579 | 1917 |
|  | 65.507 | 66.822 | 66.863 | 67.036 | 67.206 | 66.957 | 67.074 | 66.983 |
| England . . . . | ${ }^{687}$ | 1777 | 20 | ${ }^{895}$ | 445 | 29 | 74 | 2989 |
|  | 64.077 | 65.401 | 65.769 | 65.917 | 66.061 | 65.886 | 65.958 | 65.881 |
| Scotland . . . . | 149 | 479 | 857 | 844 | 183 | 20 | 3 | 1204 |
|  | 64.398 | 65.709 | 65.922 | 66.470 | 66.288 | 66.606 | 66.491 | 66.316 |
| Irelan | 861 | 6772 | 8196 | 2694 | 1175 | 1464 | 2639 | 8529 |
|  | 64.978 | 66.261 | 66.405 | 66.458 | 66.508 | 66.305 | 66.395 | 66.419 |
| Latin Races, etc. | 95 | 247 | 200 | 180 | 77 | 124 | 201 | 561 |
|  | 68.982 | 65.054 | 65.452 | 65.820 | 65.519 | 65.161 | 65.299 | 65.502 |
| Germany . . |  | 1179 | 675 | 628 | 800 | 897 | 697 | 20 |
|  | 64.802 | 66.039 | 66.323 | 66.210 | 66.272 | 66.468 | 66.349 | 66.296 |
| Scandinavia . . . |  | 538 | 877 | 357 | 167 | 270 | 437 | 1171 |
|  | 65.623 | 66.092 | 66.226 | 66.545 | 66.452 | 66.197 | 66.295 | 66.349 |
| Miscellaneous |  |  | $444$ | 819 | 160 |  | 5 | 65 |
|  | 119 | 65.234 | $65.546$ | 65.643 | 65.359 | 65.617 | 65504 | 65.560 |
| Total |  | 28695 | 14877 | 1 | 1 | 8182 | 3 | 40821 |
|  | 64.908 | 66.330 | 66.454 | 66.562 | 66.621 | 66.546 | 66.577 | 66.528 |

The argument that the exigencies of naval service would promote enlistments among the shorter class of men, by preference, since these are in general the most agile and active, so that thus an apparent inferiority of stature may be exhibited in the mean, may be entitled to some weight in diminishing the amount of effect to be attributed to other influences. But it can hardly do more than this, since the differences are the largest at those ages where, by reason of incomplete stature, no such tendency to natural selection exists. This argument is, however, especially precluded by a comparison of the mean statures of soldiers and sailors, after omitting from the data all those whose stature exceeds some limit not inconsistent with perfect nautical convenience. Such a compari-
son, for men whose stature does not exceed 66 inches, gives, for all ages, results in conformity with those already obtained from the whole number of cases.

## 7. Stature of other Races of Men.

For discussing the stature of other races than our own, comparatively few materials are known to the writer.

Tenon, in his manuscript notes, written about the year 1783, and posthumously edited by Villerme, says, ${ }^{1}$ that the mean height of the Laplanders is 138 centimeters ( 54.3 inches), and that of the Patagonians, from 175.9 to 202.9 (691 to 797 inches), 一the range of variation for a people diminishing with the stature.

Pauw states ${ }^{2}$ that the average height of the Esquimaux is but 130 centimeters.
Rollin, the surgeon of La Perouse's expedition, gives ${ }^{8}$ the stature of some of the inhabitants of the shores of the Pacific Ocean in 1786 and 1787, as follows, for full grown males : -

|  |  | Inches | Centimetars |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Natives of Concepcion, in Chile | 65.1 | 165 |  |
| " " Monterey, in California, | 66.6 | 169 |  |
| " " Baie des Français," | 67.1 | 171 |  |
| "Tartars of Saghalicn Island, | 63.9 | 162 |  |
| " " Mouth of Amoor River, | 61.8 | 157 |  |

Humboldt, in his "Personal Narrative," ${ }^{5}$ states that the ordinary stature of the Chayma race of Indians is about 62 inches, or 157 centimeters.

The statures of the Caribes of the Orinoco, Humboldt found ${ }^{6}$ to range in general from 5 feet 6 in . to 5 feet 10 in ., old French measure, being equivalent to a mean of 72.47 inches, or 184 centimeters. But he himself ${ }^{7}$ regards this stature as an exceptional one for the race to which they belong, favorable circumstances having doubtless increased their normal stature. ${ }^{8}$

A summary of authorities concerning the great stature of the Patagonians, or at least of one tribe of the Patagonian Indians, which, according to Falkner, was the Puelche tribe, may be found in Lawrence's "Lectures on Man," ${ }^{9}$ - according to which there

[^25]would seem to be small doubt that men much exceeding six feet in stature were common on the Patagonian coast in the last centary ; and the evidence seems to be strong that many individuals exceeded 78 inches, and that some surpassed 80 inches in height. But a more thorough and exhaustive monograph, on the history and bibliography of the statements regarding the stature of these men, may be found in the treatise ${ }^{1}$ of Alcide d'Orbigny, who lived for eight months ${ }^{2}$ among this tribe, on the banks of the Rio Negro, where, besides studying the habits and characteristics of the natives, he measured a very large number of them. In his remarkable work on the South American man, the fruit of eight years of sojourn among the Indian races, ${ }^{8}$ and four years more of study, we find the mean stature of thirty-nine tribes of the aborigines of South America, classified by tribes, branches, and races. D'Orbigny says that he did not meet with a single man who surpassed the stature of 192 centimeters ( 75.6 inches), and that the mean stature of the full-grown Patagonians was found ${ }^{4}$ to be 173 centimeters ( 68.1 inches). That of the Puelche tribe was ${ }^{5} 170$ centimeters, few being found below the height of 162 centimeters ( 63.8 inches), and some attaining 180 centimeters ( 70.9 inches). A probable explanation of the exaggerated accounts of the stature of this really tall race of men is given by D'Orbigny, who says that the breadth of their shoulders, their bare heads, and the manner in which they drape themselves from head to foot in the skins of wild animals, produce such an illusion, that his own party had attribated to them an excessive stature, before any actual comparison or measurement became possible.

The mean statures and maximum limits for seven groups of Indians were found by D'Orbigny ${ }^{6}$ to be as follows : -

|  |  | Menn | Oppor $\mathbf{L}$ milt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1.597 | ${ }_{1700}$ |
| Ando- | Peravian | 1.597 | 1.700 |
| Pernvian | Antisian | 1.645 | 1.760 |
|  | Araucanian | 1.641 | 1.730 |
|  | ( Pampean ${ }^{7}$ | 1.688 | 1.920 |
| Pampean | $\{$ Chiquitean | 1.663 | 1.760 |
|  | Moxean | 1.670 | 1.785 |
| Brasilia- | uaranian | 1.620 | 1.730 |

[^26]Dr. A. S. Thomson has also given ${ }^{1}$ some interesting statistics regarding the New Zealanders, and found the mean height of 147 men of this race, of different ages, to be $66 \frac{3}{4}$ inches, or 169.5 centimeters.

Freycinet says ${ }^{2}$ that the stature of the Bushmen is but four French feet, or 51.16 inches ( 129.9 centimeters), which seems, however, to be rather below their actual stature.

Du Chaillu reports ${ }^{8}$ the existence of a race in the interior of equatorial Africa, called Obongoes, whose mean stature does not exceed 56 inches ( 142 centimeters). He measured the height of several women, but was able to measure but one man. His stature was 54 inches.

Copious materials for determining the stature of the Negro race, as it is in the United States, must exist in the War Department, derived from the descriptive musters of the 180000 men, enlisted ${ }^{4}$ in the national armies during the later years of the rebellion. The same antagonism of the Hon. Secretary of War towards the Sanitary Commission which has so materially impeded its work in other respects, and has deprived its Statistical Department of large opportunities, has here also restricted our materials to the 40000 colored soldiers enlisted by the several States, and the 4000 colored sailors; but the number of these who are of mixed race is so large, and the relative amount of the mixture is so diverse, that this number is inadequate for a thorough investigation of the subject. Several widely different varieties of the negro race are to be found among the recently enslaved population of the Southern States, and these are mixed with each other, with the white, and sometimes with the different Indian races, to an extent which precludes the attainment of statistical results based upon intelligent classification, unless from a much larger number of cases than is at our disposal. In the height-tables given in the report of the Provost Marshal General, the colored soldiers do not appear to have been separately considered; but there is ground for expectation that the extended discussion of the Medical and Vital Statistics of the Provost Marshal's Bureau, for which an appropriation has been made by Congress, and which has been intrusted to the very competent hands of Dr. J. H. Baxter, late Chief Medical

[^27]Officer of the Bureau, and author of the valuable tables already published, will largely contribute to our knowledge of this and kindred subjects.
After some fruitless endeavor to obtain satisfactory results by treating the pure negroes and the mulattoes separately, it was decided to assort them in two classes only, namely, those born in the Free States, and those born in the Slave States. The mean results from these two classes differ so little from each other, that it has also appeared advisable to combine the two tabulations. The tables accordingly present the two classes separately, and their aggregates.

> T ABLE XXI.

Mean Heights of Colored Soldiers, by Ages.

| 480 | Nattree of Pree Staces |  | Natives of Slave States |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Helght | Number | Height | Number | Helght |
| Onder 17 | 8 | $\stackrel{\mathrm{ln} .}{63.400}$ | 340 | $\stackrel{\ln .}{63.368}$ | 848 | $\begin{gathered} \text { in. } \\ 63.369 \end{gathered}$ |
| 17 | 44 | 63.847 | 422 | 64.602 | 466 | 64.631 |
| 18 | 961 | 65.439 | 4016 | 65.581 | 4977 | 65.554 |
| 19 | 777 | 65.807 | 2889 | 66.096 | 3666 | 66.035 |
| 20 | 561 | 66.219 | 2533 | 66.575 | 3094 | 66.510 |
| 21 | 745 | 66.454 | 2433 | 66.784 | 3178 | 66.707 |
| 22 | 517 | 66.691 | 2119 | 67.057 | 2636 | 66.985 |
| 23 | 471 | 66.752 | 1682 | 66.886 | 2153 | 66.857 |
| 24 | 411 | 66.965 | 1450 | 67.072 | 1861 | 67.048 |
| 25 | 399 | 67.006 | 1606 | 67.082 | 2005 | 67.066 |
| 26 | 290 | 66.559 | 1111 | 67.333 | 1401 | 67.173 |
| 27 | 294 | 67.147 | 925 | 67.302 | 1219 | 67.265 |
| 28 | 262 | 66.943 | 945 | 67.144 | 1207 | 67.100 |
| 29 | 200 | 67.062 | 555 | 67.290 | 755 | 67.229 |
| 30 | 219 | 66.918 | 997 | 67.032 | 1216 | 67.011 |
| 8134 | 522 | 66.872 | 1747 | 67.257 | 2269 | 67.168 |
| $35 \&$ over | 1397 | 67.125 | 5767 | 67.108 | 7164 | 67.111 |
| Totals | 8078 | 66.538 | 31537 | 66.685 | 39615 | 66.655 |

Grouping the same data by periods of age, we find -
TABLE XXII.

Mean Heights of Colored Soldiers, by Periods of Age.

| Ago | Natives of Pree States |  | Natives of Slave States |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Height | Number | Height | Number | Helght |
| Under 21 | 2351 | 65.710 | 10200 | 65.859 | 12551 | 65.831 |
| 21.23 | 1733 | 66.606 | 6234 | 66.904 | 7967 | 66.840 |
| 24-26 | 1100 | 66.872 | 4167 | 67.145 | 5267 | 67.088 |
| 27-30 | 975 | 67.023 | 3422 | 67.178 | 4397 | 67.144 |
| 31 \& over | 1919 | 67.056 | 7514 | 67.143 | 9433 | 67.125 |
| 24 \& over | 3994 | 66.998 | 15103 | 67.151 | 19097 | 67.119 |
| Total | 8078 | 66.538 | 31537 | 66.685 | 39615 | 66.655 |

These figures indicate a somewhat inferior stature, but a rather longer continuance of growth for men of color, born in the Northern States. It will be borne in mind, in this connection, that the negro population in the North was chiefly confined to the States of the Atlantic seaboard, there being an extremely small number of this race in the Free States west of New York and Pennsylvania. Consequently those regions of the Free States, which produce the tallest men, were almost unrepresented among the black soldiers, and the small excess in stature, of negroes born in the Southern States, corresponds with that found for white natives of the same regions. The indications are also that the diminution of stature after attainment of the maximum, begins sooner and is more marked at its commencement, than is the case for the white race. How far this phenomenon is real, and if real, to what extent it may be explained by the condition of the Southern negroes, are difficult questions to decide.
In considering the law of growth deducible from these statistics of negro-stature, it must be remembered that the recorded ages are not as correct as for the whites. A large number of the blacks at the South are unable to state their age, and to a very considerable extent this must have been a subject of estimate by the mustering officer. This fact is well illustrated by the series of num-
bers of men at the several years of age in Table XXI. For natives of the Free States it will be seen that the successive numbers follow a law closely similar to that deduced for white soldiers; even the relative excess at twenty-one years and the corresponding deficiency at twenty being clearly manifest; while on the other hand the numbers for successive ages among the natives of Slave States are much farther from the regular gradations of an equable law. The corresponding mean statures must of course be somewhat affected.
For the colored sailors our data assume the following form : -

> TABLE XXIII.

Mean Heights of Colored Sailors, by Ages.

| 480 | Natires of Pree 8tates |  | Natives of Slave Staciee |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Hedght | Number | Hedrcht | Number | Hedst |
| Osder 17 | 86 | 61.768 | 105 | 62.069 | 161 | 61.964 |
| 17 | 40 | 64.018 | 75 | 64.077 | 115 | 64.054 |
| 18 | 104 | 64.954 | 161 | 64.887 | 265 | 64.918 |
| 19 | 79 | 65.111 | 158 | 65.359 | 237 | 65.276 |
| 20 | 71 | 66.035 | 229 | 65.762 | 800 | 65.827 |
| 21 | 203 | 65.789 | 299 | 65.738 | 502 | 65.759 |
| 22 | 136 | 66.075 | 241 | 66.009 | 877 | 66.033 |
| 23 | 110 | 65.918 | 189 | 66.209 | 299 | 66.102 |
| 24 | 72 | 65.951 | 148 | 68.378 | 220 | 66.235 |
| 25 | 83 | 66.307 | 160 | 66.437 | 243 | 66.393 |
| 26 | 48 | 66.380 | 108 | 66.570 | 151 | 66.510 |
| 27 | 41 | 66.585 | 89 | 66.090 | 180 | 66.246 |
| 28 | 56 | 66.473 | 79 | 65.981 | 185 | 66.183 |
| 20 | 46 | 65.462 | 47 | 66.463 | 93 | 65.968 |
| 30 | 40 | 67.269 | 97 | 66.224 | 137 | 66.629 |
| 31-34 | 89 | 66.337 | 183 | 66.641 | 222 | 66.519 |
| Wto onm | 131 | 66.309 | 282 | 66.936 | 418 | 66.787 |
| To | 1405 | 65.758 | 2595 | 65.867 | 4000 | 65.827 |

## TABLE XXIV.

## Mean Heights of Colored Sailors, by Periods of Age.

| A80 | Natives of Free States |  | Natives of Slave States |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Height | Number | Height | Number | Height |
| Under 21 | 350 | $\stackrel{\ln .}{64.591}$ | 728 | $\stackrel{\ln .}{64.775}$ | 1078 | $\underset{64.715}{\mathrm{in} .}$ |
| 21.23 | 449 | 65.908 | 729 | 65.950 | 1178 | 65.934 |
| 2426 | 203 | 66.198 | 411 | 66.448 | 614 | 66.315 |
| 2730 | 183 | 66.418 | 312 | 66.159 | 495 | 66.255 |
| 31 \& over | 220 | 66.320 | 415 | 66.842 | 635 | 66.661 |
| Total | 1405 | 65.753 | 2595 | 65.867 | 4000 | 65.827 |

The inferences already deduced, from comparison of the statures of sailors with those of soldiers, receive an entire corroboration from the statistics of negroes. Here too we find a great disparity between the statures of these two classes at all ages - the difference amounting to more than an inch for persons under twentyone years, and gradually decreasing year by year. And the deduction is unavoidable, that the stature is permanently stunted and its rate of growth also affected by the influences of a nautical life.

Many minor indications of these tables will suggest themselves to the careful student; but the number of colored sailors upon which our inferences must be based is only 4000 in all, and does not warrant a more minute discussion.

After the close of the war full measurements were taken of about five hundred Indians of military age, belonging mostly to the Iroquois ${ }^{1}$ people, and dwelling on their reservation near Buffalo. None were measured but those claiming and appearing to have no admixture of white blood; how far this assumption is correct must remain a matter of conjecture. All available men of this class above twenty-one years old were measured, as were also some below this limit of age, but no attempt was made at other discrimination or selection, so that the mean results fairly represent the

[^28]Iroquois men. The dimensions in general will be given in their place, but the statures are presented here. The ages are probably correct.

> TABLE XXV.

Heights and Ages
of Iroquois Indians.

| 480 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Onder } \\ 64 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $64-65$ | 65-66 | 66-67 | 67-68 | 88-69 | 69-70 | 70-71 | 71-72 | 72-78 | 78-74 | Over 74 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 18 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 19 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| 20 | - | - | 8 | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| 21 | - | 1 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 5 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| 22 | - | - | 6 | 8 | 10 | 5 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 23 | - | - | 4 | 1 | 14 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| 24 | - | - | 2 | 2 | 22 | 7 | 5 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - |
| 25 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 4 | 4 | - | 8 | - | - | - | - |
| 26 | - | - | 4 | 8 | 14 | 12 | 5 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| 27 | - | - | 1 | - | 8 | 12 | 2 | 3 | 2 | - | - | - |
| 28 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 16 | 12 | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| 29 | - | - | - | 8 | 14 | 11 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| 80 | - | - | - | - | 5 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 1 | - | - | - |
| 31-34 | - | - | - | 2 | 24 | 21 | 11 | 4 | 8 | 8 | - | - |
| 85 \& over | 1 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 26 | 47 | 24 | 11 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Total | 2 | 6 | 26 | 40 | 164 | 152 | 65 | 84 | 13 | 11 | 2 | 2 |

The resultant mean statures are -

| A80 | Hedght | Number | Ago | Hedght | Namber |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | $\begin{gathered} \ln . \\ 68.400 \end{gathered}$ | 1 | 25 | $\ln _{68.093}$ | 14 |
| 18 | 66.300 | 2 | 26 | 67.842 | 45 |
| 19 | 69.617 | 6 | 27 | 68.668 | 28 |
| 20 | 67.980 | 10 | 28 | 67.624 | 38 |
| 21 | 67.579 | 14 | 29 | 68.423 | 39 |
| 22 | 67.110 | 30 | 30 | 68.877 | 22 |
| 23 | 67.984 | 32 | 31-34 | 68.665 | 68 |
| 24 | 68.093 | 41 | 35 \& ovor | 68.583 | 127 |

and may be classified thus:-

| Age | Height | Nambor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $16-20$ | 68.311 |  |
| $21-23$ | 67.564 | 19 |
| $24-26$ | 67.980 | 160 |
| $27-30$ | 68.317 | 127 |
| 81 \& over | 68.612 | 195 |

The two extremes were 61.4 for one man twenty-eight years old, and 75.7 for one over thirty-five. It will be perceived that the statures of these New York Indians are greater than those of natives of New York enlisted in the State, and that the growth of red men continues, like that of white and black men, until after the thirtieth year of age.

## 8. Extremes of Stature.

It has already been stated that all statures exceeding $76 \frac{3}{4}$ inches (195 centimeters) have been regarded as excessive, and inquiries specially instituted to test their correctness, as also that the records have thus been found erroneous in about one sixth part of the cases. These excessive statures have been regarded as worthy of particular investigation, and no pains have been spared in investigating them. So too all statures below 61 inches ( 155 centimeters) have seemed worthy of separate tabulation, although here the defect of stature has been in a very large number of instances due to immaturity of age. Thus out of the 5445 instances among 1104841 enlistments (being less than the half of one per centum), where the height was below this limit of 61 inches, there were 1027 who were below the age of eighteen, and 1216 who gave their age as eighteen last birthday. Of these it has already been proved that a very considerable proportion had not probably attained this age. Of those who registered their age as nineteen and upwards, only 3202 , or about two sevenths of one per cent. of the entire number were below this stature.

We will first consider the extremely large statures, under which title all are comprehended whose height amounts to 75 inches ( 190.5 centimeters). There are of this class 3613 instances, or about one third of one per cent.

From the distribution of statures of French conscripts, published by Hargenvilliers in 1817 in the pamphlet already cited, Quetelet has computed ${ }^{1}$ that out of each million of men there were -

[^29]1186 at and over the stature of 191.5 , and below that of 131.5 centim.
26 at and over the stature of 201.5 , and below that of 121.5 centim.
1 at and over the stature of 211.5 , and below that of 111.5 centim.
Lihařik, in his elaborate and learned work on "Proportionality in Nature as based upon the Square numbers," gives ${ }^{1}$ the minimum height observed for a dwarf, as 86 centimeters ( 33.86 inches), and as the maximum height that of the "giant" Murphy, which was 210 centimeters, or 82.7 inches.
Several well authenticated cases are on record, of men largely exceeding eight feet in height, reaching the stature of 255 to 259 centimeters, also of well-proportioned dwarves from 75 to 92 centimeters.
On the other hand, our own data show for each million of men -

| 3270 at and over the stature of $\mathbf{7 5}$ inches, or 190.5 centimeters |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1180 | " | " | " | 76 | " | 193.0 | * |
| 360 | " | ${ }^{6}$ | * | 77 | " | 195.6 | " |
| 169 | " | " | * | 78 | " | 198.1 | " |
| 47 | " | c | ${ }^{*}$ | 79 | " | 200.7 | " |
| 22 | " | " | ${ }^{*}$ | 80 | " | 203.2 | * |
| 11 | $\omega$ | " | 4 | 81 | " | 205.7 | $\cdots$ |
| 7 | $\omega$ | " | * | 82 | " | 208.3 | " |
| 6 | " | ${ }^{\prime}$ | " | 83 | " | 210.8 | " |
| 2 | " | " | " | 84 | " | 213.4 | " |

These numbers are, however, derived from enlisted men of all ages, and if we restrict ourselves to the men between twenty and ${ }^{\text {twenty-one years of age, we find, for each million of men, the pro- }}$ portionate numbers as follows:-

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2761 at and over 75 | inches, or | 190.5 | centimeters. |  |  |
| 1012 | " | 76 | " | 193.0 | " |
| 342 | " | 77 | " | 195.6 | " |
| 171 | " | 78 | " | 198.1 | " |
| 92 | " | 79 | " | 200.7 | " |
| 53 | " | 80 | " | 203.2 | " |
| 26 | " | 81 | " | 205.7 | " |
| 13 | " | 82 | " | 208.3 | " |
| 13 | " | 83 | " | 210.8 | " |

thus indicating a larger proportion of extreme cases than were found among the French conscripts. The tables here appended show the entire number of extremely high statures found, after correcting the errors discovered by special inquiry. The first,

[^30](XXVI.,) shows the actual number at each year of age, by gradations of single inches, and is followed by a corresponding one which presents the same data in the form of proportional numbers for each 100000 men of the same age. The line entitled "Total" in this table (XXVII.), indicates the proportional number at each height, without reference to the age. The next pair of tables is similar to these, except that the division into groups is by States of Enlistment instead of Age; while the two following these give in like manner the classification by Heights and Nativities, and the next two that by Ages and Nativities. Doubtless many other enlisted men passed the limit of 75 inches by growth subsequent to enlistment, and an estimate on this point may be attained by means of Table XXXIV., which is based upon the same materials as Table XXXIII., but presents the proportional numbers at and over 75 inches for each 10000 men of the same age, as well as of the same nativity, thus indicating the increase of the relative numbers with increasing years. The headings are to be understood as including the first-named and excluding the last-named stature.

TABLE XXVI.
Number of Soldiers upwards of 75 Inches tall, by Heights and Ages.

| Age. | 75-76 | 76-77 | 77-78 | 78-79 | 79-80 | 80-81 | 81-82 | 82-88 | 88-84 | 84-85 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 |
| 18 | 103 | 31 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 1 | - | 153 |
| 19 | 108 | 56 | 10 | 5 | 4 | - |  |  | - | - | 183 |
| 20 | 133 | 51 | 13 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 210 |
| 21 | 226 | 71 | 16 | 8 | 8 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 326 |
| 22 | 176 | 61 | 17 | 11 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | 269 |
| 23 | 156 | 63 | 16 | 12 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 250 |
| 24 | 142 | 52 | 14 | 9 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 218 |
| 25 | 120 | 62 | 10 | 11 | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 207 |
| 26 | 108 | 51 | 14 | 3 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 178 |
| 27 | 100 | 38 | 13 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 159 |
| 28 | 99 | 46 | 10 | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 163 |
| 29 | 72 | 35 | 7 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 117 |
| 30 | 65 | 29 | 9 | 6 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 110 |
| 31-34 | 277 | 104 | 22 | 17 | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 424 |
| 35 \& ovar | 419 | 156 | 32 | 24 | 5 | 4 | 1 | - | - | - | 641 |
| Total | 2309 | 906 | 211 | 135 | 28 | 12 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 3613 |

## TABLE XXVII.

Proportional number of Tall Men, in each 100000 of same Age, by Heights and Ages.

| 189 | 75-76 | 76-77 | 77-78 | 78-79 | 79-80 | 80-81 | 81-82 | 82-88 | 83-84 | 84-85 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | 46 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 46 |
| 18 | 61 | 18 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 91 |
| 19 | 119 | 61 | 11 | 6 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 201 |
| 20 | 175 | 67 | 17 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 276 |
| 21 | 232 | 73 | 17 | 8 | 3 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 335 |
| 22 | 239 | 83 | 23 | 15 | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | 1 | 365 |
| 23 | 247 | 100 | 25 | 19 | 3 | - | 2 | - | - | - | 396 |
| 24 | 262 | 96 | 26 | 16 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 402 |
| 25 | 252 | 130 | 21 | 23 | 4 | - | - | - | 4 | - | 434 |
| 26 | 258 | 122 | 84 | 7 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 425 |
| 27 | 268 | 102 | 35 | 21 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 426 |
| 28 | 262 | 121 | 26 | 18 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 430 |
| 29 | 263 | 128 | 26 | 7 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 428 |
| 30 | 215 | 96 | 30 | 20 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | 364 |
| 81-34 | 333 | 125 | 26 | 21 | 4 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 510 |
| 35 \% over | 262 | 97 | 20 | 15 | 3 | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | 401 |
| Total | 209 | 82 | 19 | 12 | 3 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 - | 0.5 | 0 | 327 |

## TABLE XXVIII.

Number of Soldiers upwards of 75 Inches tall, by Heights and States of Enlistment.

| Helght | Mo. | N. H. | Vt. | Mase. | R. I. \& Conn. | N. Y. | N. J. | Penn. | Md. | W. Va. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75-76 | 147 | 34 | 40 | 29 | 39 | 169 | 7 | 82 | 9 | 72 |
| 76-77 | 47 | 12 | 12 | 2 | 18 | 61 | 2 | 27 | 1 | 18 |
| 77-78 | 9 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 5 | - | 6 | 1 | 3 |
| 78-79 | 8 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 8 | - | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 79-80 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |
| 80-81 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 81-82 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 82-83 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 83-84 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 84-85 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 212 | 50 | 58 | 36 | 60 | 238 | 10 | 118 | 13 | 94 |

TABLE XXIX.
Proportional Number of Tall Men in each 100000 from same State, by Heights and States of Enlistment.

| Height | Me. | N. H. | Vt. | Mas. | R. I. \& Conn. | N. $\mathbf{Y}$. | N. J. | Penn. | Md. | W. ve. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75-76 | 281 | 127 | 166 | 71 | 95 | 90 | 37 | 105 | 123 | 410 |
| 76-77 | 90 | 45 | 50 | 5 | 44 | 32 | 11 | 35 | 14 | 102 |
| 77-78 | 17 | 7 | 17 | 7 | 2 | 8 | - | 8 | 18 | 17 |
| 78-79 | 15 | 4 | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | 8 | 14 | 6 |
| 79-80 | - | 4 | 4 | 5 | 2 | - | 5 | 1 | 13 | - |
| 80-81 | 2 | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 81-82 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 82-83 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 83-84 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 84-85 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 405 | 187 | 241 | 88 | 145 | 127 | 53 | 152 | 177 | 635 |

## TABLE XXVIII. - (Continued.)

Number of Soldiers upwards of 75 Inches tall, by Heights and States of Enlistment.

| Houbt | Ey. | Ohio | Ind. | m. | Mich. | Whe. | Minn. | Iow | Mo. | La. | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75-76 | 100 | 241 | 367 | 541 | 32 | 96 | 8 | 102 | 188 | 6 | 2309 |
| 76-77 | 29 | 111 | 159 | 14 | 34 | 248 | 31 | 5 | 72 | 3 | 906 |
| 77-78 | 7 | 24 | 35 | 12 | 6 | 53 | 15 | 1 | 23 | 1 | 211 |
| 78-79 | 6 | 18 | 26 | 6 | 2 | 41 | 9 | - | 15 | - | 135 |
| 79-80 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 28 |
| 80-81 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 8 | - | - | - | - | 12 |
| 81-82 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 4 |
| 82-83 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 83-84 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 8 | 1 | - | - | - | 5 |
| 84-85 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 |
| Total | 143 | 393 | 598 | 576 | 78 | 451 | 65 | 110 | 300 | 10 | 3618 |

TABLE XXIX. - (Continued.)
Proportional Number of Tall Men in each 100000 from same State, by Heights and States of Enlistment.

| Hedrat | Kg. | Ohlo | Ind. | III. | Mch. | Wis. | Minn. | Iow | Mo. | 1. | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75-76 | 417 | 223 | 810 | 287 | 137 | 187 | 120 | 345 | 327 | 232 | 209 |
| 76-77 | 121 | 102 | 134 | 7 | 146 | 484 | 463 | 17 | 125 | 116 | 82 |
| 77-78 | 29 | 22 | 80 | 6 | 26 | 104 | 224 | 3 | 40 | 39 | 19 |
| 78-79 | 25 | 12 | 22 | 3 | 8 | 80 | 134 | - | 26 | - | 12 |
| 79-80 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 10 | 15 | 7 | 2 | - | 3 |
| 80-81 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 18 | 6 | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 81-82 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | 0.5 |
| 82-83 | - | - | 1 | , | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | . |
| 83-84 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 6 | 15 | - | - | - | 0.5 |
|  | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| Total | 696 | 868 | 506 | 806 | 384 | 881 | 971 | 372 | 522 | 887 | 827 |

## TABLE XXX.

Number of Soldiers upwards of 75 Inches tall, by Heights and Nativities.

| Height | New Kng. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N. Y., } \\ & \text { N. J., } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { Penn. } \end{aligned}$ | Ohio and Indians | Mich., Wis., III. | Slave States not including Fand $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ken. } \\ & \text { nnd } \\ & \text { Tenn. } \end{aligned}$ | Pree Staten W. of Mias. River | Slave Stater W. of Mise. River | $\begin{aligned} & \text { British } \\ & \text { Prov. } \\ & \text { excl. of } \\ & \text { Canada } \end{aligned}$ | Can- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75-76 | 302 | 414 | 663 | 202 | 189 | 274 | 4 | 60 | 11 | 40 |
| $76 \cdot 77$ | 106 | 173 | 295 | 76 | 52 | 103 | 2 | 22 | 4 | 11 |
| 7778 | 22 | 32 | 68 | 25 | 14 | 26 | - | 8 | - | 2 |
| 78-79 | 12 | 14 | 35 | 21 | 11 | 18 | 1 | 7 | - | 3 |
| 79-80 | 5 | 8 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| 80-81 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| 81-82 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| 82-83 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 83-84 | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| 84-85 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 449 | 647 | 1073 | 332 | 268 | 426 | 7 | 97 | 15 | 56 |

TABLE XXXI.
Proportional Number of Tall Men, in each 100000 of same Nativity, by Heights and Nativities.

| Height | New Eng. | N. Y., <br> N. J., <br> and Penn. | Ohio and ana | Mich. Wis , and 111. | $\underset{\text { States not }}{\text { Slave }}$ <br> including <br> $F$ and $G_{2}$ | Ken. and Tenn. | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Free } \\ \text { Statea W. } \\ \text { of Mises. } \\ \text { River } \end{array}$ | Slave States $W$. of Mins. Kiver | British Prov. exel of Capada | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Can- } \\ & \text { ada } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75-76 | 198 | 152 | 301 | 284 | 423 | 545 | 105 | 352 | 174 | 126 |
| 76-77 | 70 | 63 | 134 | 107 | 117 | 205 | 53 | 129 | 63 | 35 |
| 77-78 | 15 | 12 | 31 | 35 | 31 | 52 | - | 47 | - | 6 |
| 78-79 | 8 | 5 | 16 | 29 | 25 | 36 | 26 | 41 | - | 10 |
| 79.80 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 4 | - | - | - | - |
| 80.81 | 1 | 1 | - | 4 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| 81-82 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| $82 \cdot 83$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 8384 | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| 84-85 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 295 | 237 | 486 | 466 | 600 | 848 | 184 | 569 | 237 | 177 |

TABLE XXX. - (Continued.)
Number of Soldiers upwards of 75 Inches tall, by Heights and Nativities.

| Helght | Eng. | Scot. | Ireland. | Fr., Belg., \& Svita. | Ger. | Scand. | Spain, etc. | Miscel. | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75-76 | 18 | 7 | 46 | 5 | 58 | 9 | 2 | 5 | 2309 |
| 76-77 | 9 | 4 | 16 | 4 | 25 | 4 | - | - | 906 |
| 77-78 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | - | - | - | 211 |
| 78-79 | 1 | - | 4 | 2 | 6 | 1 | - | - | 185 |
| 79.80 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 28 |
| 80.81 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 12 |
| 81-82 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 |
| 82-83 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 83.84 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 |
| 84-85 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| Total | 81 | 18 | 70 | 18 | 94 | 15 | 2 | 5 | 3613 |

TABLE XXXI. - (Continued.)
Proportional Number of Tall Men, in each 100000 of same Nativity, by Heights and Nativities.

| Helgat | $\mathrm{Eng}_{\text {g. }}$ | 8cot. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Iro- } \\ & \text { land } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pr., Belg., } \\ & \text { \& }{ }^{2}, \end{aligned}$ | Ger. | Scand. | Spain, etc. | Miscel. | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75.76 | 60 | 96 | 55 | 73 | 65 | 132 | 223 | 52 | 209 |
| 7677 | 30 | 55 | 19 | 59 | 28 | 59 | - | - | 82 |
| 7778 | 10 | 27 | 8 | 15 | 7 | - | - | - | 19 |
| 7879 | 8 | - | 5 | 29 | 6 | 15 | - | - | 12 |
| 7980 | - | - | 1 | 15 | - | - | - | - | 8 |
| 8081 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 15 | - | - | 1 |
| 8182 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.5 |
| 8283 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 8384 |  | - | - _ | - | - | - | - | - | 0.5 |
| 8485 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 108 | 178 | 84 | 191 | 106 | 221 | 223 | 52 | 327 |

## TABLE XXXII.

Number of Soldiers upwards of 75 Inches tall, by Ages and Nativities.

| Ago | New Eng. | N. Y., and Penn. | Ohio and Indiane | Mioh., Wis., and Ill. | Slave States not including F and $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ken. } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { Tenn. } \end{aligned}$ | Free States W. of Miss. River | Slave States W of Miss. River | Britioh Prov. exel. of Cansda |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | - | - | 8 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| 18 | 19 | 13 | 54 | 22 | 12 | 14 | - | 6 | - |
| 19 | 20 | 23 | 60 | 27 | - 10 | 21 | 2 | 7 | 1 |
| 20 | 32 | 21 | 80 | 25 | 12 | 23 | 2 | 7 | - |
| 21 | 35 | 71 | 99 | 46 | 14 | 25 | 1 | 11 | 2 |
| 22 | 35 | 48 | 80 | 31 | 16 | 28 | - | 11 | 1 |
| 23 | 29 | 48 | 84 | 26 | 19 | 20 | - | 12 | 1 |
| 24 | 30 | 41 | 42 | 28 | 19 | 25 | 1 | 8 | 8 |
| 25 | 27 | 34 | 61 | 21 | 11 | 22 | - | 9 | 8 |
| 26 | 19 | 34 | 48 | 20 | 19 | 20 | - | 3 | 1 |
| 27 | 25 | 27 | 54 | 14 | 9 | 13 | - | 8 | - |
| 28 | 17 | 24 | 62 | 15 | 11 | 23 | - | 3 | - |
| 29 | 14 | 24 | 89 | 5 | 11 | 12 | - | 2 | - |
| 80 | 9 | 24 | 26 | 15 | 12 | 13 | - | 1 | - |
| 31-34 | 54 | 71 | 134 | 22 | 28 | 73 | 1 | 5 | 1 |
| 35 \& over | 84 | 144 | 152 | 14 | 65 | 94 | - | 8 | 2 |
| Total | 449 | 647 | 1078 | 332 | 268 | 426 | 7 | 97 | 15 |

## TABLE XXXII. - (Continued.)

Number of Soldiers upwards of 75 Inches tall, by Ages and Nativities.

| 180 | ${ }_{c}^{\text {casen }}$ | Rog. | 8001. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ire- } \\ & \text { land } \end{aligned}$ | Fr., Belg., \& 8wita. | Ger. | Scand. | 8pain, etc. | Miscel. | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 |
| 18 | 2 | 4 | - | 8 | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | 158 |
| 19 | 4 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | - | - | 183 |
| 20 | 2 | - | 2 | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | - | 210 |
| 21 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 9 | - | - | - | 326 |
| 22 | 5 | 2 | - | 6 | 2 | 8 | 1 | - | - | 269 |
| 23 | 1 | 1 | - | 3 | - | 5 | 1 | - | - | 250 |
| 24 | 6 | - | 2 | 7 | 1 | 5 | - | - | - | 218 |
| 25 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 207 |
| 28 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 5 | - | 4 | - | - | 1 | 178 |
| 27 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 8 | - | 8 | - | - | - | 159 |
| 28 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 5 | - | - | - | 168 |
| 29 | 8 | - | - | 2 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 1 | 117 |
| 30 | - | 1 | 1 | 8 | - | 3 | 2 | - | - | 110 |
| 31-34 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 12 | 3 | - | - | 424 |
| 35 \% over | 7 | 9 | 2 | 15 | 8 | 31 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 641 |
| Total | 56 | 31 | 18 | 70 | 18 | 94 | 15 | 2 | 5 | 3618 |

## TABLE XXXIII.

Proportional Number of Tall Men in each 100000 of same Nativity, by Ages and Nativities.

| Ago | New Eng. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N. Y., } \\ & \text { N. J., } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { Penn. } \end{aligned}$ | Ohio and Indisna | Mich., Wis., and III. | Slave Stater not including F and $G^{2}$ | Ken. Tonn. | Pree statea $W$. of Mise. River | $\underset{\text { States } W}{\text { Slave }}$ of Miss. Biver | $\begin{gathered} \text { British } \\ \text { Prov. } \\ \text { Prel. of } \\ \text { Canada } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 6 | - |
| 18 | 12 | 5 | 24 | 31 | 27 | 28 | - | 35 | - |
| 19 | 13 | 8 | 27 | 38 | 22 | 42 | 53 | 41 | 16 |
| 20 | 21 | 8 | 36 | 35 | 27 | 46 | 53 | 41 | - |
| 21 | 23 | 26 | 45 | 65 | 31 | 50 | 26 | 64 | 31 |
| 22 | 23 | 18 | 36 | 44 | 35 | 55 | - | 64 | 16 |
| 23 | 19 | 18 | 38 | 36 | 43 | 40 | - | 70 | 16 |
| 24 | 20 | 15 | 19 | 39 | 48 | 50 | 26 | 47 | 47 |
| 25 | 18 | 12 | 28 | 29 | 25 | 44 | - | 53 | 47 |
| 26 | 12 | 12 | 20 | 28 | 43 | 40 | - | 18 | 16 |
| 27 | 17 | 10 | 24 | 20 | 20 | 26 | - | 18 | - |
| 28 | 11 | 9 | 28 | 21 | 25 | 46 | - | 18 | - |
| 29 | 9 | 9 | 18 | 7 | 25 | 24 | - | 12 | - |
| 30 | 6 | 9 | 12 | 21 | 27 | 26 | - | 6 | - |
| 3134 | 36 | 26 | 61 | 81 | 62 | 145 | 26 | 29 | 16 |
| 35 \& cver | 55 | 52 | 69 | 20 | 145 | 186 | - | 47 | 32 |
| Total | 295 | 237 | 486 | 466 | 600 | 848 | 184 | 569 | 237 |

## TABLE XXXIII. - (Continued.)

Proportional Number of Tall Men in each 100000 of same Nativity, by Ages and Nativities.

| 480 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Canas. } \\ \text { das } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Eng. | Scot. | ${ }_{\text {Irea }}^{\text {Ired }}$ | Fr. Belg., | Ger. | Scand. | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Spain, } \\ \text { stetc. } \end{array}$ | Mincel. | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 18 | 6 | 13 | - | 4 | 14 | 3 | - | - | - | 14 |
| 19 | 13 | - | - | 1 | 15 | 6 | 15 | - | - | 17 |
| 20 | 6 | - | 27 | 2 | - | 2 | - | - | - | 19 |
| 21 | 16 | 7 | 14 | 2 | 44 | 10 | - | - | - | 29 |
| 22 | 16 | 7 | - | 7 | 29 | 3 | 15 | - | - | 24 |
| ${ }^{23}$ | 8 | 3 | - | 4 | - | 6 | 15 | - | - | 23 |
| 24 | 19 | - | 27 | 8 | 15 | 6 | - | - | - | 20 |
| 25 | 16 | 7 | 27 | 10 | 15 | 1 | - | - | - | 19 |
| 26 | 16 | 10 | 14 | 6 | - | 5 | - | - | 10 | 16 |
| 27 | 16 | 7 | 14 | 4 | - | 3 | - | - | - | 14 |
| 28 | 3 | - | 14 | 1 | - | 6 | - | - | - | 15 |
| 29 | 9 | - | - | 2 | - | 3 | 15 | - | 10 | 11 |
| 30 | - | 3 | 14 | 4 | - | 8 | 29 | - | - | 10 |
| 31-3 | 16 | 16 | - | 11 | 15 | 14 | 44 | - | - | 38 |
| 3580 orer | 22 | 30 | 27 | 18 | 44 | 35 | 88 | 223 | 32 | 58 |
| Total | 177 | 103 | 178 | 84 | 191 | 106 | 221 | 223 | 52 | 327 |

## TABLE XXXIV.

Proportional number of Tall Men in each 10000 of same Age and Nativity.

| A80 | New Eng. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N. Y., } \mathbf{Y} \text { N., } \\ & \text { and. } \\ & \text { Ponn. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ohio } \\ & \text { and In- } \\ & \text { dians } \end{aligned}$ | Mich., Wis., and II. | Slave Stater not including F and $\mathrm{G}_{8}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kon. } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { Tenn. } \end{aligned}$ | Free Staten W. of Mise. River | Slave States $\mathbf{W}$. of Mise. River | British Prov. excl. of Canada |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | - | - | 13 | 6 | - | - | - | 28 | - |
| 18 | 7 | 3 | 12 | 12 | 19 | 18 | - | 14 | - |
| 19 | 15 | 10 | 28 | 30 | 29 | 56 | 89 | 33 | 24 |
| 20 | 32 | 11 | 44 | 37 | 48 | 67 | 65 | 40 | - |
| 21 | 24 | 28 | 52 | 65 | 41 | 70 | 28 | 59 | 28 |
| 22 | 36 | 27 | 54 | 57 | 57 | 91 | - | 84 | 17 |
| 28 | 34 | 31 | 65 | 63 | 77 | 71 | - | 121 | 20 |
| 24 | 43 | 81 | 38 | 78 | 98 | 100 | 114 | 112 | 74 |
| 25 | 44 | 31 | 67 | 80 | 58 | 100 | - | 137 | 98 |
| 26 | 84 | 33 | 54 | 96 | 116 | 103 | - | 64 | 87 |
| 27 | 50 | 29 | 76 | 86 | 64 | 80 | - | 88 | - |
| 28 | 35 | 27 | 88 | 105 | 78 | 185 | - | 93 | - |
| 29 | 38 | 35 | 79 | 50 | 101 | 98 | - | 87 | - |
| 80 | 24 | 35 | 51 | 151 | 101 | 92 | - | 46 | - |
| 81-84 | 49 | 84 | 95 | 97 | 78 | 181 | 454 | 92 | 22 |
| \$5 \& orer | 37 | 35 | 76 | 61 | 76 | 107 | - | 118 | 27 |
| Total | 29 | 24 | 49 | 47 | 60 | 85 | 18 | 57 | 24 |

## TABLE XXXIV.- (Continued.)

Proportional Number of Tall Men in each 10000 of same Age and Nativity.

| 180 | $C_{\text {Cana }}^{\text {da }}$ | Bngl. | Scotl. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ire- } \\ & \text { land } \end{aligned}$ | France, ete. | Gorm. | Scand. | Spain, etc. | Miscol. | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 |
| 18 | 5 | 18 | - | 7 | 30 | 5 | - | - | - | 9 |
| 19 | 13 | - | - | 2 | 39 | 12 | 80 | - | - | 20 |
| 20 | 9 | - | 61 | 5 | - | 5 | - | - | - | 28 |
| 21 | 13 | 8 | 21 | 3 | 70 | 16 | - | - | - | 33 |
| 22 | 18 | 9 | - | 9 | 61 | 6 | 22 | - | - | 36 |
| 23 | 5 | 5 | - | 6 | - | 11 | 29 | - | - | 40 |
| 24 | 86 | - | 56 | 16 | 26 | 11 | - | - | -. | 40 |
| 25 | 35 | 14 | 58 | 17 | 27 | 2 | - | - | - | 48 |
| 26 | 40 | 25 | 30 | 13 | - | 10 | - | - | 22 | 42 |
| 27 | 47 | 17 | 33 | 8 | - | 8 | - | - | - | 43 |
| 28 | 9 | - | 80 | 2 | - | 12 | - | - | - | 43 |
| 29 | 40 | - | - | 8 | - | 10 | 48 | - | 36 | 48 |
| 80 | - | 9 | 82 | 8 | - | 8 | 73 | - | - | 86 |
| 81-84 | 27 | 17 | - | 12 | 12 | 11 | 45 | - | - | 81 |
| 35 \& over | 19 | 15 | 11 | 9 | 17 | 14 | 42 | 250 | 15 | 40 |
| Total | 18 | 10 | 18 | 8 | 19 | 11 | 22 | 22 | 5 | 83 |

During the investigation of the correctness of the records for cases of extreme height, a very considerable number of similar cases among the earlier volunteers were brought to our knowledge ; and it seems probable that the proportion of very tall men, among the troops whose descriptive musters are not on file, was at least not inferior to that among the later enlistments from which our statistics are necesssarily derived.
Among our own data 51 cases of statures not less than 80 inches were recorded; but many of these were found erroneous on special investigation. Great exertions were made to obtain information regarding others, who are recorded as follows on the official mus-ters:-

| Ingramat | Hogight | Ase | Place of Birth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unassigned Maine Infantry | 80 inches | 26 | Maine, |
| Vermont Infantry | 80 | 40 | ermont, |
| 128th New York Infantry | 81 | 21 | eland, |
| th Ohio Infantry | 84 | 22 | New Yo |


| Regrment | Hedght | Ago | Place of Birth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 169th Ohio Infantry | 80 inches | 37 | Ireland, |
| 29th Indiana Infantry | $80 \frac{1}{2}$ " | 20 | Ohio, ${ }^{1}$ |
| 59th Indiana Infantry . | $83 \frac{3}{4}$ | 30 | Indiana, |
| 59th Indiana Infantry | $83 \frac{1}{2}$ " | 38 | Indiana, |
| 81st Indiana Infantry . | $80 \frac{1}{2}$ " | 23 | Indiana, |
| 89th Indiana Infantry | 82 " | 24 | Ohio, |
| 153d Indiana Infantry | 83 แ | 25 | Ohio, |
| 1st Indiana Artillery | 80 " | 31 | Kentucky, |
| 31st Illinois Infantry | 811 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 21 | Tennessee, |
| 106th Illinois Infantry | 83爯 6 | 25 | Illinois, |
| 109th Illinois Infantry | 80 " | 22 | Illinois, |
| 149th Illinois Infantry - | $83 \frac{1}{2}$ " | 18 | Ohio, |
| Unassigned Illinois Infantry | 80 " | 18 | Illinois, |
| Unassigned Illinois Infantry | 83 " | 20 | Illinois, |
| Unassigned Illinois Infantry | 80 " | 20 | Illinois, |
| 11th Michigan Cavalry | 80 " | 22 | New York, |
| 1st Michigan Artillery | $81 \frac{1}{2}$ " | 20 | Michigan, |
| 8th Wisconsin Infantry | 80 " | 20 | New York, ${ }^{2}$ |
| 46th Wisconsin Infantry | 80 " | 39 | Norway, |
| 46th Wisconsin Infantry | 80 « | 39 | New York, |
| 26th Missouri Infantry | 843 ${ }^{3}$ | 28 | Pennsylvania |

The tallest man for whose stature the testimony is complete and unimpeachable, is Lieutenant Van Buskirk, of the 27 th Indiana Infantry. General Silas Colgrove, formerly colonel of that regiment, writes that he has frequently seen him measured, and that his stature was fully $82 \frac{1}{2}$ inches, without shoes, or 209.5 centimeters. General Colgrove adds that he was a brave man, and bore the fatigues of marching as well as most men of ordinary stature.

Corporal Ira Stout, of the 50th Indiana Infantry, Company E, was 24 years of age, and 81 inches high ( 205.7 centimeters) at the date of his enlistment, September 1861. He was born in Ohio County, Indiana, was a farmer by occupation, had blue eyes, light hair, and fair complexion. This information is corroborated by Captain Percy Rous, his commanding officer, who states that the man was soon discharged on account of disability, and had done but little marching at the time.

Colonel Gregory, of the 29th Indiana Infantry, has obtained for us precise information from Captain Charles Ream, of Company $K$, concerning one of his men, for whom he confirms the record. The somewhat inappropriate name of this man was John Bunch; he was born in Ohio, and at his enlistment, September 1861, was

[^31]20 years old, $80 \frac{1}{2}$ inches tall ( 204.5 centimeters), by occupation a farmer, with hazel eyes, light hair, and light complexion. He was a notorious skulker, was never with the regiment in a single battle, and deserted in August 1862. He was known in the regiment as the "United States Ramrod."
Colonel M. W. Tappan, of the 1st New Hampshire Infantry ( 3 months' regiment), believes our information to be correct in the case of Joseph H. Harris, of that regiment, also $80 \frac{1}{2}$ inches ( 204.5 centimeters) in height, aged 26 years, born in Vermont, by occupation a mechanic, eyes blue, hair brown, complexion dark.
Captain J. B. Redfield, formerly commanding Company A of the 8th Wisconsin Volunteers, vouches for the record concerning a man in that company, Andrew J. Sanders, who was born in New York, and was at his enlistment 20 years old, and 80 inches ( 203.2 centimeters) in height.
These are the five tallest men whose cases are well identified, but only two of them, Bunch and Sanders, are included in our tables. The circumstance that three of them are from Indiana, may be perhaps explained by the especially careful inquiries which were made in that State, on account of the high average stature of its iuhabitants. The testimony is overwhelming that very tall men do not bear the fatigues of a campaign so well as persons of ordinary stature; that they are less capable of performing long marches, and are more frequently on the sick list at other times. ${ }^{1}$
The statistics for persons of under-stature are neither so interesting nor valuable as those for very tall men, even if we consider only those whose small size is not fairly attributable to the nonattainment of full stature. The number of men under 61 inches who have reached the age of $23 \frac{1}{2}$ years ( 23 last birthday), is 1951 , or about thirteen twenty-fourths of the number of men 75 inches tall. Of the whole number of "short men," about 54 per centum were under 21 years of age, and the number of those whose subsequent growth would carry them past the limit of 61 inches cannot well be determined. But if we assume the number who would remain below this limit after attaining their full stature to be proportional to the number of men who have reached the age of 25 without reaching the height of 61 inches, we should have 3692 as the number of men included in our statistics, whose full stature

[^32]would not attain this height. In the tables presenting the statistics of enlisted men under 61 inches, the line of Totals shows the effect of growth after enlistment in a striking manner, since the relative numbers continue to diminish until the age of 29 . Here too the effect of misstatement of age appears in a very distinct form in the numbers for 20 and 21 years. On the other hand, the number of men who were less than 75 inches high at the time of their enlistment, but who must have passed that limit of stature in their subsequent growth, is doubtless quite considerable. This is abundantly shown by the last column of Table XXVI., which exhibits a progressive increase of the actual number of tall men until the age of 21 , although the total number of enlistments rapidly decreases with the age after 18 ; and by Table XXVII., in which a progressive increase of the relative number is manifest until the age of 25 at last birthday. Applying, as before, to our whole number of men, the ratio deduced from the records of men above 25 , we should find 4747 as the probable number of men whose stature was not less than 75 inches, and our numbers would thus be changed from 3613 tall and 5445 short, to 4747 tall and 3692 short men.

The disproportion between these two classes of men in the population is probably yet greater than these figures would indicate, inasmuch as the tendency to enlist cannot have been so great for very tall as for very short men. Obvious considerations of comfort and incommensurate exposure point to this inference, so that in all likelihood the very tall men were much less fully represented in the army than in the population.

No especial scrutiny has been instituted to test the accuracy of the records for short men excepting in some extreme cases; but the indications are, that could we deal with an equally large number of men who had attained their full stature, taken at random from the population, the number of those whose stature attains the limit of 75 inches would be found nearly, if not quite, twice as large, and that of those who reach the limit of 76 inches one half as large, as the number of those whose full stature falls short of 61 inches.

Among the descriptive musters of very short men there are four cases of men at ages near, or subsequent to, that of full stature, whose height did not exceed 533 inches (or 136.5 centimeters).

The shortest man for whom the record is satisfactorily verified was a member of the 192 d Ohio Infantry; at the time of enlistment he was 24 years old, and 40 inches in height. Colonel F. W.

Butterfield, his commanding officer, vouches for the correctness of this record. He also assures us that he knew the man well, and that there was no soldier in his command who could endure a greater amount of fatigue or exposure.
In the musters of the 128th Indiana Infantry is described a man 44 years old and 49 inches in height. General R. P. De Hart, formerly colonel of this regiment, confirms the statement, and states that the man was a good soldier, and able to bear the hardships of a campaign as well as men of medium stature.
One man is recorded as $39 \frac{1}{2}$ inches in height, but concerning him we have not succeeded in obtaining special information.

Four tables will suffice for these statistics. Both for the States where enlisted, and for the Nativities, one table gives the actual number of men below 61 inches, recorded at each age, and another, analogous to Table XXXIV., shows the corresponding proportional number for each 10000 men of the same class.

## TABLE XXXV.

Number of Soldiers below 61 Inches in Height, by Ages and States.

| Age | Me. | N. H. | Vt. | Mass. | R. I. \& Conn. | N. Y. | N. J. | Ponn. | Md. | W. Ve. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 17 | 17 | 21 | 8 | 15 | 24 | 165 | 5 | 19 | 17 | 11 |
| 17 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 55 | - | 17 | 6 | 1 |
| 18 | 35 | 13 | 9 | 24 | 29 | 288 | 8 | 58 | 17 | 14 |
| 19 | 14 | 11 | 1 | 10 | 8 | 123 | 5 | 29 | 3 | 1 |
| 20 | 8 | 11 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 86 | 6 | 11 | 2 | 1 |
| 21 | 14 | 10 | 8 | 11 | 9 | 121 | 6 | 21 | 3 | 5 |
| 22 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 10 | 14 | 87 | 11 | 22 | - | 1 |
| 23 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 79 | 2 | 18 | 1 | 1 |
| 24 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 11 | 9 | 55 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 2 |
| 25 |  | 4 | 6 | 4 | 8 | 60 | 4 | 6 | - | - |
| 26 | 3 | 2 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 57 | 3 | 5 | - | - |
| 27 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 33 | 2 | 8 | - | - |
| 28 | 1 | 5 | - | 6 | 6 | 45 | 4 | 4 | - | - |
| 29 | - | - | - | - | 4 | 21 | 8 | 6 | - | - |
| 30 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 41 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |
| 81-34 | 6 | 5 | - | 9 | 13 | 117 | 1 | 20 | 2 | - |
| 35 \& over | 11 | 10 | 7 | 16 | 9 | 212 | 21 | . 28 | - | 2 |
| Total | 138 | 116 | 61 | 145 | 169 | 1645 | 88 | 276 | 54 | 59 |

## TABLE XXXV. - (Continued.)

Number of Soldiers below 61 Inches in Height, by Ages and States.

| 480 | [y. | Ohio | Ind. | 1 m. | Mich. | Wis. | Minn. | Iowa | Mo. | La. | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Onder 17 | 13 | 80 | 45 | 153 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 20 | 123 | 14 | 764 |
| 17 | 12 | 27 | 12 | 50 | 2 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 35 | 1 | 263 |
| 18 | 99 | 81 | 187 | 179 | 18 | 33 | 13 | 36 | 73 | 2 | 1216 |
| 19 | 25 | 24 | 45 | 39 | 5 | 21 | 1 | 11 | 20 | - | 396 |
| 20 | 14 | 26 | 30 | 33 | 5 | 14 | 1 | 9 | 8 | - | 282 |
| 21 | 8 | 14 | 13 | 27 | 12 | 17 | - | 7 | 18 | 1 | 325 |
| 22 | 12 | 7 | 15 | 25 | 6 | 7 - | 1 | 2 | 13 | 2 | 248 |
| 23 | 8 | 19 | 13 | 31 | 3 | 6 | - | 2 | 10 | - | 215 |
| 24 | 4 | 14 | 22 | 15 | - | 6 | 2 | 2 | 3 | - | 181 |
| 25 | 13 | 12 | 15 | 11 | 4 | 4 | - | 1 | 12 | - | 166 |
| 26 | 4 | 6 | 12 | 9 | 5 | 9 | 2 | - | 8 | - | 142 |
| 27 | 4 | 7 | 13 | 11 | 8 | 9 | - | 4 | 4 | - | 110 |
| 28 | 2 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 4 | - | 113 |
| 29 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 8 | 7 | 5 | - | - | 2 | 1 | 71 |
| 30 | 4 | 11 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 3 | - | 1 | 8 | - | 100 |
| 31-34 | 4 | 25 | 19 | 24 | 8 | 18 | 3 | 2 | 14 | - | 290 |
| $35 \&$ ove | 13 | 39 | 42 | 57 | 16 | 32 | 4 | 10 | 32 | 2 | 563 |
| Total | 240 | 408 | 501 | 688 | 102 | 205 | 44 | 116 | 387 | 23 | 5445 |

## TABLE XXXVI.

Proportional Number of Short Men in each 10000 of same Age and State.

| Ago | Me. | N. H. | Vt. | Mess. | R. I. \& Conn. | N. Y. | N. J. | Pean. | Md. | W. Va. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 17 | 2152 | 2442 | 1356 | 2500 | 2857 | 2276 | 2273 | 460 | 3400 | 1170 |
| 17 | 224 | 110 | 256 | 397 | 414 | 403 | - | 123 | 682 | 41 |
| 18 | 37 | 41 | 22 | 45 | 64 | 114 | 81 | 53 | 166 | 41 |
| 19 • | 32 | 56 | 5 | 27 | 26 | 82 | 89 | 41 | 44 | 6 |
| 20 | 23 | 60 | 18 | 25 | 27 | 78 | 41 | 18 | 35 | 8 |
| 21 | 26 | 31 | 29 | 21 | 21 | 68 | 31 | 83 | 43 | 84 |
| 22 | 14 | 22 | 18 | 32 | 42 | 66 | 62 | 46 | - | 8 |
| 23 | 14 | 33 | 28 | 4 | 25 | 73 | 14 | 43 | 20 | 10 |
| 24 | 41 | 45 | 34 | 55 | 41 | 61 | 48 | 23 | 56 | 25 |
| 25 | 14 | 31 | 51 | 24 | 40 | 73 | 39 | 21 | - | - |
| 26 | 16 | 20 | 35 | 52 | 35 | 79 | 34 | 18 | - | - |
| 27 | 18 | 42 | 13 | 30 | 34 | 51 | 26 | 12 | - | - |
| 28 | 6 | 50 | - | 43 | 37 | 69 | 51 | 16 | - | - |
| 29 | - | - | - | - | 35 | 47 | 58 | 32 | - | - |
| 80 | 8 | 27 | 19 | 86 | 40 | 79 | 16 | 5 | 61 | - |
| 81-34 | 17 | 27 | - | 32 | 40 | 81 | 6 | 34 | 41 | - |
| 35 \& over | 15 | 29 | 22 | 81 | 15 | 71 | 82 | 22 | - | 8 |
| Total | 26 | 43 | 25 | 35 | 41 | 87 | 47 | 85 | 74 | 22 |

## TABLE XXXVI. - (Continued.)

Proportional Number of Short Men in each 10000 of same
Age and State.

| 480 | Ey. | Ohio | Ind. | m. | Mioh. | Wis. | Minn. | Iows | мо. | La. | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Odder 17 | 903 | 1619 | 1667 | 1310 | 34 | 288 | 811 | 1816 | 2854 | 5833 | 1587 |
| 17 | 461 | 238 | 189 | 198 | 80 | 156 | 621 | 208 | 475 | 833 | 243 |
| 18 | 217 | 41 | 85 | 62 | 67 | 48 | 160 | 52 | 100 | 164 | 72 |
| 19 | 119 | 26 | 43 | 24 | 81 | 61 | 31 | 43 | 49 | - | 43 |
| 20 | 68 | 82 | 32 | 25 | 29 | 47 | 39 | 56 | 21 | - | 87 |
| 21 | 45 | 18 | 13 | 18 | 72 | 46 | - | 32 | 40 | 83 | 83 |
| 22 | 74 | 11 | 19 | 19 | 47 | 25 | 82 | 18 | 36 | 146 | 84 |
| 28 | 58 | 83 | 19 | 27 | 24 | 24 | - | 15 | 80 | - | 34 |
| 24 | 38 | 28 | 87 | 15 | - | 26 | 78 | 17 | 11 | - | 33 |
| 25 | 127 | 28 | 31 | 12 | 44 | 20 | - | 9 | 48 | - | 85 |
| 28 | 45 | 15 | 28 | 12 | 67 | 50 | 91 | - | 82 | - | 34 |
| 27 | 58 | 20 | 35 | 17 | 41 | 50 | - | 41 | 20 | - | 29 |
| 29 | 27 | 19 | 23 | 14 | 88 | . 83 | 87 | 11 | 19 | - | 80 |
|  | 20 | 83 | 14 | 17 | 108 | 85 | - | - | 14 | 98 | 26 |
|  | 62 | 87 | 17 | 13 | 60 | 19 | - | 18 | 42 | - | 83 |
| 31-84 | 30 | 29 | 23 | 18 | 42 | 87 | 38 | 9 | 29 | - | 85 |
| We \% ores | 43 | 25 | 80 | 24 | 40 | 30 | 27 | 28 | 84 | 29 | 85 |
| Total | 100 | 88 | 42 | 36 | 44 | 40 | 66 | 89 | 67 | 89 | 49 |

## TABLE XXXVII.

- Number of Soldiers below 61 Inches in Height, by Ages and Nativities.

| Age | New Eng. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N. Y., } \\ & \text { N. J., } \\ & \text { and } \end{aligned}$ Penn. | Ohio and Indians | Mich., Wisc., and 11. | Slave <br> States not including $\mathrm{F}_{\text {and }} \mathrm{G}_{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ken. } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { Tean. } \end{gathered}$ | Free Staten W. of Miss. River | Slave Staten $W$ of Miss. River | $\begin{aligned} & \text { British } \\ & \text { Prov. } \\ & \text { excl. of } \\ & \text { Canade } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Onder 17 | 89 | 217 | 131 | 77 | 33 | 23 | 15 | 61 | 2 |
| 17 | 18 | 69 | 44 | 24 | 9 | 25 | 7 | 16 | - |
| 18 | 97 | 316 | 264 | 115 | 56 | 94 | 22 | 42 | 7 |
| 19 | 35 | 110 | 63 | 27 | 9 | 29 | 5 | 7 | 3 |
| 20 | 27 | 69 | 65 | 19 | 7 | 11 | 1 | 4 | 2 |
| 21 | 29 | 100 | 83 | 16 | 13 | 10 | 2 | 11 | 6 |
| 22 | 24 | 66 | 23 | 15 | 8 | 13 | 1 | 6 | 1 |
| 23 | 16 | 61 | 29 | 14 | 7 | 13 | - | 2 | 2 |
| 24 | 23 | 38 | 21 | 8 | 6 | 8 | - | 2 | 8 |
| 25 | 15 | 87 | 24 | 5 | 6 | 10 | - | 2 | - |
| 26 | 12 | 45 | 13 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 1 | - | 1 |
| 27 | 11 | 21 | 18 | 5 | 2 | 8 | - | - | - |
| 28 | 9 | 31 | 13 | 1 | 8 | 1 | - | - | 2 |
| 29 | 2 | 24 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| 80 | 9 | 20 | 15 | 1 | 4 | 1 | - | - | - |
| 31-34 | 25 | 77 | 25 | 4 | 10 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 35 \& over | 36 | 179 | 52 | 4 | 12 | 11 | - | 1 | 2 |
| Total | 477 | 1480 | 830 | 841 | 183 | 268 | 55 | 147 | 82 |

TABLE XXXVII. - (Continued.)
Number of Soldiers belon 61. Inches in Height, by Age and Nativity.

| 480 | Clane | Eng. | 8 cot . | Iroland | France, etc. | Germ. | Scand. | Spain, otc. | Miccol. | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Onder 17 | 6 | 20 | 2 | 24 | 6 | 59 | 8 | - | 6 | 764 |
| 17 | 8 | 11 | - | 11 | 1 | 21 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 263 |
| 18 | 28 | 80 | 8 | 49 | 8 | 80 | - | 1 | 9 | 1216 |
| 19 | 15 | 13 | 5 | 20 | 8 | 43 | - | 1 | 8 | 396 |
| 20 | 9 | 10 | 5 | 17 | 8 | 40 | - | 1 | 2 | 282 |
| 21 | 18 | 18 | 1 | 28 | 2 | 83 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 325 |
| 22 | 10 | 11 | 4 | 27 | 2 | 87 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 248 |
| 23 | 9 | 12 | 2 | 16 | 8 | 26 | 1 | - | 2 | 215 |
| 24 | 8 | 14 | 2 | 8 | 5 | 82 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 181 |
| 25 | 8 | 8 | - | 17 | 2 | 26 | - | - | 6 | 166 |
| 26 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 25 | 4 | - | 2 | 142 |
| 27 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 25 | - | - | 4 | 110 |
| 28 | 8 | 3 | - | 14 | 3 | 29 | 1 | - | - | 113 |
| 29 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 16 | - | - | 1 | 71 |
| 80 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 17 | 3 | 18 | 1 | - | - | 100 |
| 31.84 | 4 | 15 | 4 | 36 | 9 | 57 | 2 | - | 9 | 290 |
| 85 \& orem | 21 | 26 | 10 | 61 | 13 | 120 | 6 | 1 | 8 | 563 |
| Total | 155 | 208 | 45 | 371 | 65 | 687 | 22 | 11 | 68 | 5445 |

TABLE XXXVIII.
Proportional Number of Short Men in each 10000 , of same Age and Nativity.

| Aģ | New Eng. | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { N. Y. Y., } \\ \text { N. J., } \\ \text { end } \\ \text { Pena. } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Ohio and Indiana | Mich., Wisc., and III | Slave States not including $F$ and $G:$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ken. } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { Tenn. } \end{aligned}$ | Freo 8tates $W$ of Miss. Bluer | Slavo States $W$ of Mise. River |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 17 | 2055 | 1446 | 1356 | 869 | 1765 | 1050 | 2419 | 2217 | 2857 |
| 17 | 222 | 199 | 188 | 136 | 204 | 563 | 551 | 447 | - |
| 18 | 38 | 76 | 69 | 63 | 90 | 124 | 126 | 98 | 129 |
| 19 | 27 | 48 | 30 | 30 | 26 | 77 | 97 | 33 | 71 |
| 20 | 27 | 38 | 80 | 28 | 25 | 32 | 27 | 23 | 58 |
| 21 | 20 | 40 | 17 | 22 | 38 | 28 | 65 | 59 | 69 |
| 22 | 24 | 38 | 16 | 28 | 11 | 42 | 51 | 46 | 17 |
| 28 | 19 | 40 | 22 | 34 | 28 | 46 | - | 20 | 41 |
| 24 | 33 | 29 | 19 | 22 | 29 | 32 | - | 28 | 74 |
| 25 | 24 | 33 | 26 | 19 | 32 | 46 | - | 30 | - |
| 26 | 22 | 44 | 16 | 19 | 12 | 81 | 294 | - | 87 |
| 27 | 22 | 23 | 25 | 81 | 14 | 18 | - | - | - |
| 28 | 18 | 35 | 18 | 7 | 20 | 6 | - | - | 80 |
| 29 | 6 | 35 | 14 | 20 | 9 | 8 | - | 43 | - |
| 30 | 24 | 29 | 29 | 10 | 34 | 7 | - | - |  |
| 31-34 | 23 | 37 | 18 | 18 | 28 | 22 | 454 | 37 | 22 |
| 35 \& over | 16 | 44 | 26 | 17 | 14 | 12 | - | 15 | 27 |
| Total | 81 | 54 | 38 | 48 | 41 | 53 | 144 | 86 | 51 |

## TABLE XXXVIII. - (Continued.)

Proportional Number of Short Men in each 10000 of same Age and Nativity.

| $4{ }^{0}$ | ${ }_{\text {crene }}^{\text {cose }}$ | kngl. | Sootl. | $\underset{\substack{\text { Irond } \\ \text { land }}}{ }$ | Frrnee, | Germ. | Scand. | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Spein, } \\ \text { etc. }, \end{array}\right.$ | Miscel. | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Odder 17 | 769 | 2532 | 2000 | 2857 | 4000 | 3242 | 2308 | - | 4000 | 1537 |
| 17 | 149 | 664 | - | 588 | 556 | 587 | 233 | 2000 | 465 | 243 |
| 18 | 69 | 134 | 62 | 113 | 90 | 145 | - | 200 | 135 | 72 |
| 19 | 51 | 76 | 151 | 44 | 118 | 101 | - | 256 | 158 | 43 |
| 20 | 39 | 60 | 152 | 41 | 107 | 95 | - | 164 | 43 | 37 |
| 21 | 48 | 68 | 21 | 37 | 47 | 59 | 20 | 202 | 29 | 33 |
| 22 | 36 | 51 | 90 | 42 | 61 | 75 | 22 | 179 | 32 | 34 |
| ${ }^{23}$ | 42 | ${ }^{66}$ | 51 | 31 | 91 | 58 | 29 | - | 38 | 34 |
| 24 | 18 | 86 | 56 | 18 | 131 | 73 | 25 | 328 | 101 | 33 |
| 25 | 56 | 55 | - | 36 | 54 | 60 | - | - | 114 | 35 |
| 26 | 71 | 50 | 30 | 24 | 64 | 61 | 135 | - | 44 | 34 |
| 27 | 19 | 34 | 33 | 36 | 37 | 70 | - | - | 103 | 29 |
| 28 | 27 | 24 | - | 35 | 85 | 73 | 32 | - | - | 30 |
| 29 | 40 | 33 | 75 | 17 | 140 | 51 | - | - | 36 | 26 |
| 30 $31-34$ | 52 | 37 | 95 | 46 | 104 | 50 | 36 | - | - | 33 |
| -31-34 | 21 | 52 | 49 | 47 | 108 | 54 | 30 | - | 86 | 35 |
| 35 k orer | 58 | 43 | 57 | 37 | 75 | 54 | 42 | 125 | 39 | 35 |
| Total | 49 | 69 | 61 | 45 | 95 | 77 | 32 | 123 | 71 | 49 |

18

The extent to which mean statures, computed directly from data, military or otherwise, from which all cases below a given limit have been excluded, are affected by such restriction of the fundamental data, may be estimated from the statistics here presented. By far the greater portion of the materials available for determining or comparing the statures of different people or races are derived from military records, and a neglect of proper regard to the conditions under which the statistics are collected, may easily result in error as gross and as absurd as that occasioned by the failure to record that an inch or more of the registered height of English and Scottish students was the handiwork of the shoemaker, who had thus succeeded in adding at least a part of a cubit to their stature.

Similar to these precautions is the other one, regarding the needfulness of which these researches will leave no room for doubt, that only persons of the same age, or of full stature, be compared with each other, in determining differences due to race, or nation, or class. The mean age corresponding to a given stature is also a very false guide unless the limits of age be quite narrow, or unless those ages only be taken into account, which may afford guaranty of an approximate attainment of the full stature.

## SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES.

As these pages are passing through the press, the author has succeeded in obtaining, through the kindness of his friends Dr. S. Weir Mitchell and Dr. John H. Packard, of Philadelphia, a copy of the Récueil de Mémoires de Médecine, de Chiruıgie et de Pharmacie Militaires, for March and July 1863, forming parts of Vols. IX. and X., and containing Boudin's learned and valuable memoir, "Etudes ethnologiques sur la taille et le poids de thomme chez divers peuples": a memoir, without some reference to which the present chapter would be incomplete, yet which sundry efforts had previously failed to procure. Since it is too late to incorporate any of the results of M. Boudin's researches in the body of the chapter, it may not be regarded as inappropriate, to devote a few paragraphs, in the form of supplementary notes, to such of the new materials which he has given, as have an especial bearing upon the results of our own inquiries.
§3. Heights by Nativities. - The mean stature of French conscripts, from 1818 to 1828 inclusive, is stated to have been 165.7 centimeters ( 60.24 inches), their mean age being $20 \frac{1}{2}$ years, and the limit of stature 157 centimeters ( 61.81 inches). And from the other data here given Mr. Elliott finds ${ }^{1}$ the mean stature of the conscripts from 1831 to 1862 to be 165.5 centims. ( 65.16 inches). the mean age remaining the same, but the minimum of stature having been reduced to 156 centimeters.
Our statistics (Tables VI., VIII.) have shown that for the natives of France, Belgium, etc., aged 20 at last birthday, who enlisted in our army, the mean height was 66.24 inches, or 168.24 centimeters, being greater by 1.08 inches, or 2.74 centimeters, than that found in France.
It is true that the Belgians and Swiss have been aggregated with the French in constructing our table, but the French form nuch the largest proportion, while their combination with Belgians would tend to decrease the resultant mean, inasmuch as the Belgian stature is less than the French. ${ }^{2}$

From these facts the inference appears legitimate that the mean stature of the natives of France who enlisted in the American army during their twenty-first year was nearly three centimeters greater than

[^33]that of the conscripts of the same age in their native country, notwithstanding that all below the stature of 156 centimeters were rejected in France, while no such rejections were made in this country. Thus we are again led to the conclusion, which so many other considerations have forced upon us, that the natives of European countries who enlisted in America were on the average taller than those who enlisted at home; just as the mean height of men born in Massachusetts and enlisting in Indiana was found greater than that of Massachusetts men who enlisted in their native State. ${ }^{1}$

The statistics of relative stature of Irish, English, and French, quoted from Marshall, ${ }^{2}$ and derived from the official documents of the recruiting offices, have afforded results so widely at variance with those deduced from our own materials, that some little investigation has seemed well bestowed in eliciting the sources of discrepancy.

From our Table VI. it will be seen that among our soldiers the stature of natives of Ireland somewhat exceeded that of natives of England, at nearly every age. Yet the statistics of recruits to the British army in 1860, as given in the official documents cited ${ }^{4}$ indicate the reverse, provided we assume that those who enlisted in England were all English, and those who enlisted in Ireland all Irish.

We have in our Table V. an assortment by Age and Stature of the Irish-born soldiers in the American army; and an easy means is thus afforded for collating our results directly with the British official statistics. These are given in columns 2, 3, and 4 of the subjoined table, and show the relative number of men at each stature enlisting in Ireland, England, and Scotland. The fifth column gives the actual number of Irish enlisting in the American army, whose heights and ages we possess; while the sixth gives the relative number of those exceeding 64 inches in stature, and is directly comparable with the column of Irish recruits to the British army.

[^34]
## TABLE XXXIX.

Comparative Distribution of Irish Soldiere,
by Stature.

| Balight | British Recraits, 1860 |  |  | Irish in U. S. Army |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Englich | Scotch | Irich | Sotual | Ealativo |
| thebee |  |  |  |  |  |
| Belov 64 | - | - | - | 7960 | - |
| 64.65 | 2458 | 2475 | 8235 | 8448 | 1124 |
| $63 \cdot 66$ | 2276 | 2026 | 2238 | 12380 | 1647 |
| 6667 | 1995 | 1785 | 1622 | 14058 | 1870 |
| 67.68 | 1368 | 1397 | 1198 | 13792 | 1835 |
| 6869 | 845 | 1083 | 852 | 11080 | 1474 |
| 69-70 | 519 | 571 | 478 | 7886 | 983 |
| 70-71 | 320 | 372 | 260 | 4473 | 595 |
| 71-72 | 159 | 176 | 89 | 2196 | 292 |
| 72 \% over | 60 | 115 | 28 | 1355 | 180 |
| Total | 10000 | 10000 | 10000 | 83128 | 10000 |

$A_{n}$ instant's comparison of the relative number of Irish of any given stature, in the British and American armies, will suffice to show the uncertainty of any deductions which do not account for the totally different distribution of the numbers, or at least eliminate its influence upon the mean stature. An adequate explanation of this diversity is afforded by Table XL., which shows the enormous difference of the distribution by age, in the two armies. The 2d and 3d columns exhibit the actual number of Irish, at each age, recorded in our own army, both before and after excluding those whose stature was below 64 inches; while the 4th, which is formed like the 5th, and is comparable with it, is obtained from the preceding one, by reducing the numbers to decimals of their total.

## TABLE XL.

Comparative Distribution of Irish Soldiers, by Age.

| Age last <br> birthday | In the United States Army |  |  | British Recrulta$\qquad$ Belative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Excluding all below 64 in . |  |  |
|  |  | Actual | Relative |  |
| Below 17 | 84 | 36 | 5 | 101 |
| 17 | 187 | 124 | 17 | 433 |
| 18 | 4345 | 3393 | 451 | 2501 |
| 19 | 4519 | 3818 | 508 | 1283 |
| 20 | 4095 | 3609 | 480 | 1272 |
| 21 | 7550 | 6819 | 907 | 848 |
| 22 | 6445 | 5884 | 783 | 756 |
| 23 | 5235 | 4788 | 637 | 534 |
| 24 | 4360 | 4007 | 533 | 580 |
| $25 \%$ apward | 46308 | 42690 | 5679 | 1692 |
| Total | 83128 | 75168 | 10000 | 10000 |

It will thus be seen that, while nearly 56 per cent. of the Irish in the American army were above the age of 25 years, about an equal proportion of the British recruits with whom they are compared had not attained their 21 st year. In the absence of other information, we naturally assume that the distribution of the Irish by age was the same as that of the English and Scotch recruits, and we need no farther information to account for the wide diversity in the distribution by stature of the Irish in the two armies.

If now we may suppose, what the numbers in Table XXXIX. certainly suggest, that the Irish recruits to the British army were in general younger than the English recruits, the preceding argument is rendered yet stronger, while an explanation is afforded of the discordant inferences regarding the relative stature of English and Irish, as drawn from the American and the British statistics.

Considering next the difference in stature between the English and French armies, the numbers given by Marshall, page 89, and cited ${ }^{1}$ by Boudin, would indicate the enormous difference of about five inches, or 12 centimeters. This is quoted as an illustration of "how far the stature is independent of welfare or misery, and how strictly on the other

[^35]hand it is subordinated to the race; in other words, how great a part is played by hereditary transmission."
In the table alluded to, only four men in each 1000 of the British army are given as below the height of 66 inches, indicating that the troops were recruited with this stature as the minimum limit; while in the French army, 735 in each thousand were below this limit, and the distribution of only 265 remains for comparison with that of 996 British soldiers. Add to this that the French conscripts are taken at the age of 20 years, while nearly one half of the British recruits appear to have been older, and $22 \frac{3}{4}$ per cent. of them were more than 24 years old. Moreover this exhibit is totally contradicted by the tables of stature subsequently given for the French army, ${ }^{1}$ and the British recruits in $18600^{2}$ Whether the former gives the actual stature at the time or the stature at enlistment of the men then in the army is not c'ear. On the former supposition, it would be improper to compare the actual statures of the army with those of the British recruits at the time of enlistment; but, on the other hand, the minimum stature admitted was 156 centimeters in the one case, and 64 inches, or more than $162 \frac{1}{2}$ centimeters, on the other. Yet notwithstanding these serious obstacles to a fair comparison, we find that in the assortment by inches of stature, the largest group is between 64 and 65 inches for the soldiers of each nation.
The attempt to deduce any results of value from a comparison of data obtained under such exceedingly different circumstances is simply preposterous, and no better illustrations than those here considered can be found of the erroneous inferences to which the statistical investigator may conduct the incautious student. It was from the.consideration of inferences drawn from the collocation of such incongruous data that Bischoff, in a publication ${ }^{8}$ which, like that of Boudin, has just been received, was led to say, "I have arrived at the conviction that the materials, which the statistics of recruiting apparently afford on the grandest scale, for estimating the condition of a people as regards development and health, and for comparing it with others, are practically as good as useless, and have consequently already led to many false deductions."
§5. Full Statures. - Mr. Boudin arrives at the same result to which we have been led in the present investigation, namely, that the influences of comfort or deprivation upon the stature of a community are by no means so controlling as Villermé, and others following him, have supposed, and that the race, or stock, is a much more potent element in determining the stature. But his estimate of the effect of local influences acting upon the individual during the period of his growth, is very far below that which the present investigations seem to render indisputable. ${ }^{4}$

[^36]§ 7. Stature of other Races of Men. - Mr. Boudin quotes ${ }^{1}$ from Pauw ${ }^{2}$ the mean stature of the Esquimaux as 130 centimeters, and from the "Foreign Quarterly Review" ${ }^{8}$ (as cited by Marshall), the mean stature and weight of two Sepoy regiments. For the stature of these the mean value is ${ }^{4} 173.3$ for the Bengal, and 168.2 for the Madras, native infantry ; but as 66 inches ( 167.6 centimeters) was the established minimum stature, the result has an anthropological value only so far as it manifests the difference of stature between the native populations of Bengal and Madras.
§ 8. Extremes of Stature. - In a very elaborate discussion ${ }^{5}$ of the geographical distribution in France of exceptionally tall men, with a historical and ethnical investigation as to the races from which the present population of the several districts is derived, Mr. Boudin finds new ground for the conviction that the differences of stature observable in different localities are to be attributed to ethnological in a higher degree than to physiological influences.

Thus the recruits of minimum stature are ${ }^{6}$ from three to four times more numerous in Brittany than Normandy ; in three departments of Franche-Comté the proportion of stature above 1732 millimeters is found to be more than three times greater than in three other contiguous departments, nearly adjacent to the former. There were, according to the statistics of 1836-40 inclusive, only 18 departments in which were found men surpassing 189.5 centimeters in stature ( 74.61 inches), the number of these amounting on the average to 34 in each 10000 recruits, although the proportion was 16 in 10000 for the department of Vosges; while statures surpassing 192.2 ( 75.67 inches) occurred ${ }^{7}$ in only 5 departments; the average proportion in these being $3 \frac{z}{s}$ in 10000 , but in Vosges alone twice this number.

The departments which afford the largest number of exceptionally tall men are not necessarily the same as those in which the number exceeding the average stature was a maximum. In the latter class Doubs takes the lead, in the former Vosges. These districts are on the slopes of the Jura.

In Belgium and Prussia similar inferences are deducible. Thus the Belgian military statistics of the ten years $1840-50$ show the exemptions for insufficient stature in eastern Flanders and in Namur to be in the proportion of 187 to 56. And the Prussian statistics of the decade preceding show the ratio of similar exemption in Silesia to be $4 \frac{1}{2}$ times greater than in Westphalia.

These facts are thoroughly analogous to those elicited in our own investigations; but the effect of geographical, or rather of local, influences upon the stature may be regarded as demonstrated by our statistics, quite as thoroughly as is that of race or stock.

[^37]
## CHAP'TER VI.

## COMPLEXIONS: COLOR OF HAIR AND EYES

## 1. Available Records.

In the early part of the war there was, as has been already stated, a very large number of soldiers for whom no descriptive muster-rolls were made out in such a form as to indicate any of their physical characteristics. And when subsequently the statures were recorded, these were not always accompanied by records of complexion, color of eyes, or color of hair, until an advanced stage of the war.
The records of these physical characteristics are, however, too copious not to prove instructive to the anthropologist, and perhaps may prove serviceable for the investigation of problems yet unsolved, besides possessing much value as a basis for a physical knowledge of our nation. The clerks who were stationed at the several State capitals were therefore instructed to tabulate these descriptions, so far as could well be done without incurring too great expense, or neglecting the collection of other statistics which were regarded as more important.
In gathering these data no attempt was made at an exhaustive collection, such as was desired for the nativities and the statures; but it was simply proposed to tabulate a number sufficiently large to afford the means for a near estimate of the proportions of the different classes, and of the manner and degree with which they vary for different races and in different regions. In this way the statistics have been collected for about 668000 men, of whom the complexions, color of hair, and color of eyes are classified in the tables here given.
The volunteers proper are kept distinct from the recruits, the former term being used, as in the discussion of their ages, to designate the original members of the several State organizations, while the latter includes all who subsequently joined these organizations. The numbers of the two classes were not far from equal ; but it is to be remarked that for the reasons already stated, the earlier
volunteers are not included in our statistics; while of the recruits, the omissions are generally of the later ones, inasmuch as the clerks in transcribing usually followed the order in which the descriptions were recorded, and ceased collecting when the number transcribed seemed adequate for the purposes in view.

The results of these researches are presented in two modes: first, according to the States by which the troops were furnished, and secondly, according to the nativity of the men, without reference to the State of enlistment. The assortment by nativities is identical with that employed for the investigation of statures, there being eighteen classes for white soldiers.

## 2. Color of Hair.

## TABLE I.

Color of Hair. Original Volunteers,
by States.

| State of Enlistment | Black | Dark | Brown | Light | Sandy | Red | Gray | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maine | 6178 | 13352 | 11681 | 6189 | 1186 | 203 | 502 | 39291 |
| New Hampshire | 2178 | 3371 | 7297 | 4224 | 754 | 163 | 124 | 18111 |
| Vermont | 1995 | 2234 | 5351 | 2420 | 489 | 105 | 112 | 12706 |
| Massachusetts . | 2114 | 4556 | 6621 | 4644 | 516 | 133 | 103 | 18687 |
| Connecticut | 2306 | 3727 | 5716 | 3592 | 713 | 133 | 278 | 16465 |
| Pennsylvania | 3263 | 8968 | 5964 | 5431 | 1316 | 762 | 272 | 25976 |
| West Virginia | 2412 | 4234 | 1981 | 4447 | 567 | 494 | 147 | 14282 |
| Kentucky | 2202 | 4384 | 1076 | 5185 | 458 | 504 | 100 | 13909 |
| Ohio . | 8835 | 15392 | 12780 | 15190 | 2170 | 2579 | 323 | 57269 |
| Indiana | 8197 | 18166 | 8429 | 17347 | 2077 | 3425 | 518 | 58159 |
| Illinois | 10170 | 15722 | 15864 | 19548 | 2120 | 3706 | 688 | 67818 |
| Michigan | 1073 | 1829 | 3347 | 2085 | 274 | 291 | 54 | 8953 |
| Wisconsin | 3918 | 4812 | 12461 | 7622 | 1103 | 240 | 267 | 30423 |
| Iowa . | 2491 | 3212 | 4051 | 2954 | 591 | 185 | 452 | 13936 |
| Missouri | 4341 | 6390 | 6992 | 8529 | 2256 | 383 | 442 | 29333 |
| Total | 61673 | 110349 | 109611 | 109407 | 16590 | 13306 | 4382 | 425318 |

## TABLE II.

Color of Hair.
Recruits, by States.

| State of Rallitment | Black | Dark | Brown | Lght | Sandy | Red | Gray | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maine | 2591 | 4742 | 8130 | 3085 | 492 | 162 | 131 | 19333 |
| Sew Hampshire | 1430 | 670 | 5487 | 866 | 267 | 66 | 75 | 8761 |
| Vermont | 1304 | 1566 | 3945 | 1535 | 340 | 63 | 41 | 8794 |
| Massachusetts. | 2797 | 5730 | 10374 | 5047 | 741 | 382 | 284 | 25355 |
| Connecticut | 1943 | 2937 | 7672 | 2096 | 543 | 206 | 191 | 15588 |
| New York | 5985 | 11655 | 22264 | 9269 | 1718 | 650 | 612 | 52153 |
| Pennsylvania . | 5376 | 14406 | 13900 | 9352 | 1866 | 1241 | 376 | 46517 |
| West Virginia | 484 | 1053 | 219 | 870 | 71 | 67 | 21 | 2785 |
| Kentacky | 701 | 1937 | 365 | 2294 | 188 | 205 | 23 | 5713 |
| Ohio . | 875 | 1872 | 1975 | 1900 | 232 | 266 | 39 | 7159 |
| Indiana | 671 | 2052 | 1173 | 1498 | 271 | 309 | 51 | 6025 |
| Illinois | 244 | 444 | 748 | 441 | 43 | 67 | 21 | 2008 |
| Michigan | 1832 | 3745 | 8396 | 3757 | 556 | 491 | 84 | 18861 |
| Wisconsin | 2560 | 2610 | 8766 | 4169 | 647 | 114 | 156 | 19022 |
| Iowa | 644 | 1127 | 1327 | 993 | 244 | 51 | 40 | 4426 |
| Yissouri | 68 | 131 | 149 | 154 | 34 | 8 | 3 | 542 |
| Total | 29505 | 56577 | 94890 | 47326 | 8253 | 4343 | 2148 | 243042 |

## TABLE III.

Color of Hair.
U. S. Soldiers, by States.

| State of Enlistment | Black | Dark | Brown | Light | Sandy | Red | Gray | Totale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maine . . . | 8769 | 18094 | 19811 | 9274 |  |  |  |  |
| New Hampshire | 3608 | 3941 | 12784 | 5274 | 1678 | 365 | 633 | 58624 |
| Vermont . . | 3299 | 3800 | - 9296 | 5 5950 | 1021 | 229 | 199 | 26872 |
| Massachusetts. | 4911 | 10286 | 16995 | 9 9691 | 829 1257 | 168 | 153 | 21500 |
| Connecticut | 4249 | 6664 | 13388 | ¢691 | 1257 | 515 | 887 | 44042 |
| New York . | 5985 | 11655 | \| | 5688 <br> 9669 | 1256 | 339 | 469 | 32053 |
| Pennsylvania. | 8639 | 23374 | 19864 | 9269 1483 | 1718 | 650 | 612 | 52153 |
| West Virginia | 2896 | 5287 | 2200 | 14783 5317 | 3182 | 2003 | 648 | 72498 |
| Kentucky | 2903 | 6321 | 1441 | 6317 <br> 7479 | 638 | 561 | 168 | 17067 |
| Ohio . | 9710 | 17264 | 14755 | 7479 17090 | 646 2402 | 709 2845 | 123 | 19622 |
| Indiana . | 8868 | 20218 | 9602 | 17098 | 2402 | 2845 | 362 | 64428 |
| Illinois . | 10414 | 16166 | 16612 | 18845 | 2348 | 3734 | 569 | 64184 |
| Michigan | 2905 | 5574 | 11743 | $\begin{array}{r}19989 \\ 5842 \\ \hline 15\end{array}$ | 2163 830 | 3773 | 709 | 69826 |
| Wisconsin . | 6478 | 7422 | 21227 | 6842 11791 | 830 1750 | 782 | 138 | 27814 |
| Iowa . . | 3135 | 4339 | 5378 | 11791 3947 | 1750 835 | 354 238 | 428 | 49445 |
| Missouri | 4409 | 6521 | 7141 | 89683 | 835 2290 | 236 | 492 | 18362 |
|  |  |  | 714 | 8683 | 2290 | 886 | 445 | 29875 |
| Total . . . 91 | 91178 | 166926 | 2045011 | 1567332 | 24843 | 17649 | 6530 | 68860 |

## TABLE IV.

Color of Hair.
Original Volunteers, by Nativities.

| Natrity | Black | Dark | Brown | Light | Sandy | Red | Gray | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 13364 | 24852 | 33042 | 19551 | 3195 | 819 | 1060 | 95883 |
| B | 9821 | 18266 | 19607 | 15766 | 2824 | 2172 | 984 | 69440 |
| C | 16616 | 30631 | 21117 | 31315 | 4212 | 5383 | 496 | 109770 |
| D | 3935 | 6157 | 6417 | 8216 | 797 | 1304 | 52 | 26878 |
| E | 3315 | 5516 | 2841 | 5274 | 689 | 708 | 289 | 18632 |
| P | 3925 | 7147 | 2387 | 7704 | 918 | 986 | 283 | 23350 |
| $G$ | 170 | 269 | 347 | 329 | 34 | 27 | 2 | 1178 |
| $\mathrm{G}_{8}$ | 947 | 1558 | 1244 | 1967 | 357 | 135 | 19 | 6227 |
| H | 258 | 513 | 698 | 410 | 73 | 19 | 20 | 1991 |
| I | 1162 | 1419 | 2109 | 1075 | 186 | 114 | 44 | 6109 |
| J | 1043 | 1954 | 3015 | 2230 | 429 | 249 | 165 | 9085 |
| K | 260 | 450 | 599 | 501 | 106 | 59 | 55 | 2030 |
| L | 2637 | 4875 | 5795 | 3743 | 966 | 513 | 455 | 18984 |
| M | 298 | 375 | 420 | 312 | 50 | 38 | 33 | 1526 |
| N | $\mathbf{3} 325$ | 5387 | 8490 | 9144 | 1449 | 673 | 359 | 28827 |
| 0 | 131 | 314 | 740 | 1178 | 152 | 59 | 13 | 2587 |
| P | 17 | 15 | 4 | 3 | - | - | - | 39 |
| Q | 449 | 651 | 739 | 689 | 153 | 48 | 53 | 2782 |
| Total | 61673 | 110349 | 109611 | 109407 | 16590 | 13806 | 4382 | 425918 |

TABLE V.
Color of Hair.
Recruits, by Nativities.

| Nativity | Black | Dark | Brown | Light | Sandy | Rod | Gray | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 5273 | 9016 | 19060 | 8020 | 1277 | 472 | 378 | 43496 |
| B | 10449 | 21767 | 32510 | 16898 | 3009 | 1669 | 698 | 87000 |
| C | 1961 | 4367 | 4666 | 4067 | 668 | 543 | 65 | 16337 |
| D | 1129 | 1600 | 3653 | 2012 | 219 | 174 | 13 | 8800 |
| E | 885 | 1877 | 1281 | 1310 | 178 | 143 | 54 | 5728 |
| F | 765 | 1865 | 599 | 2055 | 195 | 189 | 29 | 5697 |
| $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ | 67 | 149 | 211 | 174 | 20 | 5 | 7 | 633 |
| $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ | 97 | 177 | 188 | 150 | 23 | 7 | - | 642 |
| H | 494 | 879 | 1645 | 472 | 92 | 52 | 22 | 3656 |
| I | 1736 | 2248 | 4806 | 1278 | 277 | 106 | 59 | 10510 |
| J | 1022 | 1937 | 4335 | 1568 | 333 | 152 | 105 | 9452 |
| K | 259 | 523 | 1192 | 400 | 134 | 66 | 39 | 2613 |
| L | 2888 | 5696 | 11105 | 3481 | 1039 | 522 | 449 | 25180 |
| M | 362 | 443 | 631 | 163 | 34 | 12 | 18 | 1663 |
| N | 1469 | 3251 | 7417 | 4242 | 573 | 192 | 176 | 17320 |
| 0 | 61 | 178 | 703 | 712 | 129 | 20 | 8 | 1811 |
| P | 113 | 101 | 59 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 291 |
| Q | 475 | 503 | 829 | 313 | 51 | 18 | 24 | 2213 |
| Total | 29505 | 56577 | 94890 | 47326 | 8253 | 4343 | 2148 | 243042 |

## TABLE VI:

Color of Hair.
U. S. Soldiers, by Nativities.

| Nattrity | Black | Dark | Brown | Light | Sandy | End | Gray | Totala |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 18637 | 33868 | 52102 | 27571 | 4472 | 1291 | 1438 | 139379 |
| B | 20270 | 40033 | 52117 | 32664 | 5833 | 3841 | 1682 | 156440 |
| C | 18577 | 34998 | 25783 | 35382 | 4880 | 5926 | 561 | 126107 |
| D | 5064 | 7757 | 10070 | 10228 | 1016 | 1478 | 65 | 35678 |
| E | 4200 | 7393 | 4122 | 6584 | 867 | 851 | 343 | 24360 |
| F | 4690 | 9012 | 2986 | 9759 | 1113 | 1175 | 312 | 29047 |
| $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ | 237 | 418 | 558 | 503 | 54 | 32 | 9 | 1811 |
| $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ | 1044 | 1735 | 1432 | 2117 | 380 | 142 | 19 | 6869 |
| H | 752 | 1392 | 2343 | 882 | 165 | 71 | 42 | 5647 |
| I | 2898 | 3667 | 6915 | 2353 | 463 | 220 | 103 | 16619 |
| J | 2065 | 3891 | 7350 | 3798 | 762 | 401 | 270 | 18537 |
| K | 519 | 973 | 1791 | 901 | 240 | 125 | 94 | 4643 |
| L | 5525 | 10571 | 16900 | 7224 | 2005 | 1035 | 904 | 44164 |
| M | 660 | 818 | 1051 | 475 | 84 | 50 | 51 | 3189 |
| N | 4794 | 8638 | 15907 | 13386 | 2022 | 865 | 535 | 46147 |
| 0 | 192 | 492 | 1443 | 1890 | 281 | 79 | 21 | 4398 |
| P | 130 | 116 | 63 | 14 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 330 |
| Q | 924 | 1154 | 1568 | 1002 | 204 | 66 | 77 | 4995 |
| Total | 91178 | 166926 | 204501 | 156733 | 24843 | 17649 | 6530 | 668360 |

The corresponding relative proportions for each State and each nativity may be more readily seen from the following tables, in which the several numbers are reduced to the scale of 1000 . The degree of reliance to be placed upon these results may be readily estimated by reference to the tables of absolute numbers, from which they are deduced.

## TABLE VII.

Color of Hair.
Proportionate Numbers for different States.

| State of Ralistment | Bleok | Dart | Brown | Light | Sandy | Red | Gray | Totale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maine | 149 | 309 | 838 | 158 | 29 | 6 | 11 | 1000 |
| N. Hampshire. | 134 | 147 | 476 | 189 | 38 | 9 | 7 | 1000 |
| Vermont | 153 | 177 | 432 | 184 | 39 | 8 | 7 | 1000 |
| Massachusetts . | 111 | 234 | 386 | 220 | 28 | 12 | 9 | 1000 |
| Connecticut | 132 | 208 | 418 | 177 | 39 | 11 | 15 | 1000 |
| New York | 115 | 223 | 427 | 178 | 33 | 12 | 12 | 1000 |
| Pennsylvania | 119 | 322 | 274 | 204 | 44 | 28 | 9 | 1000 |
| West Virginia | 170 | 310 | 129 | 811 | 37 | 33 | 10 | 1000 |
| Kentucky | 148 | 322 | 74 | 381 | 33 | 36 | 6 | 1000 |
| Ohio . | 151 | 268 | 229 | 265 | 87 | 44 | 6 | 1000 |
| Indiana. | 138 | 815 | 150 | 294 | 36 | 58 | 9 | 1000 |
| Illinois . | 149 | 232 | 238 | 286 | 81 | 54 | 10 | 1000 |
| Michigan | 105 | 200 | 422 | 210 | 30 | 28 | 5 | 1000 |
| Wisconsin | 131 | 150 | 429 | 239 | 35 | 7 | 9 | 1000 |
| Iowa. | 171 | 236 | 293 | 215 | 45 | 13 | 27 | 1000 |
| Missouri | 147 | 218 | 239 | 291 | 77 | 13 | 15 | 1000 |
| Total . | 136 | 250 | 306 | 235 | 37 | 26 | 10 | 1000 |

## TABLE VIII.

Color of Hair.
Proportionate Numbers for different Nativities.

| Naturity | Bleck | Dark | Brown | Ught | 8andy | Eed | Gray | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 134 | 243 | 374 | 198 | 32 | 9 | 10 | 1000 |
| B | 130 | 256 | 333 | 209 | 87 | 24 | 11 | 1000 |
| C | 147 | 278 | 204 | 281 | 39 | 47 | 4 | 1000 |
| D | 142 | 217 | 282 | 287 | 29 | 41 | 2 | 1000 |
| E | 172 | 304 | 169 | 270 | 36 | 35 | 14 | 1000 |
| $F$ | 162 | 310 | 103 | 386 | 88 | 40 | 11 | 1000 |
| G | 131 | 231 | 808 | 278 | 30 | 17 | 5 | 1000 |
| $\mathrm{G}_{3}$ | 152 | 253 | 208 | 308 | 55 | 21 | 8 | 1000 |
| H | 133 | 247 | 415 | 156 | 29 | 13 | 7 | 1000 |
| I | 174 | 221 | 416 | 142 | 28 | 18 | 6 | 1000 |
| J | 111 | 210 | 396 | 205 | 41 | 22 | 15 | 1000 |
| K | 112 | 209 | 386 | 194 | 52 | 27 | 20 | 1000 |
| L | 125 | 239 | 383 | 164 | 45 | 28 | 21 | 1000 |
| M | 207 | 256 | 330 | 149 | 26 | 16 | 16 | 1000 |
| $N$ | 104 | 187 | 345 | 290 | 44 | 19 | 11 | 1000 |
| 0 | 43 | 112 | 328 | 430 | 64 | 18 | 5 | 1000 |
| P | 394 | 852 | 191 | 42 | 6 | 8 | 12 | 1000 |
| Q | 185 | 231 | 814 | 201 | 41 | 13 | 15 | 1000 |
| Total | 136 | 250 | 806 | 235 | 87 | 26 | 10 | 1000 |

## 3. Color of Eyes.

## TABLE IX.

Color of Eyes.
Volunteers by States.

| State of Enlistment | Blue | Gray | Hasel | Dark | Bleck | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maine | 17847 | 6820 | 6783 | 2828 | 5013 | 39291 |
| New Hampshire | 9692 | 2957 | 2327 | 1599 | 1536 | 18111 |
| Vermont - . | 7222 | 1833 | 860 | 1288 | 1503 | 12706 |
| Massachusetts | 9477 | 3279 | 3101 | 1515 | 1316 | 18688 |
| Connecticut . | 8274 | 8418 | 1227 | 2083 | 1462 | 16464 |
| Pennsylvania | 8330 | 9176 | 8261 | 4098 | 1111 | 25976 |
| West Virginia | 6176 | 8644 | 1118 | 1819 | 1526 | 14283 |
| Kentucky | 6388 | 8085 | 1291 | 1321 | 1823 | 13908 |
| Ohio . | 22698 | 16601 | 6680 | 6523 | 4766 | 57268 |
| Indiana . | 24714 | 14928 | 7690 | 5557 | 5258 | 58147 |
| Illinois | 30275 | 16608 | 8187 | 7218 | 5571 | 67804 |
| Michigan | 4534 | 1980 | 915 | 611 | 905 | 8945 |
| Wisconsin | 16256 | 6343 | 2995 | 2834 | 1995 | 30423 |
| Iowa | 6620 | S 192 | 1669 | 1210 | 1241 | 13932 |
| Missouri | 13505 | 7175 | 3372 | 8132 | 2129 | 29313 |
| Total . | 192008 | 101039 | 51426 | 43631 | 87165 | 425259 |

## TABLEX.

Color of Byes.
Recruits by States.

| Batu or militavat | Blve | Gray | Hasol | Dart | Bleck | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maine . . . | 8971 | 8220 | 4525 | 1275 | 1342 | 19833 |
| New Hampehire | . 8575 | 2225 | 2183 | 420 | 858 | 8761 |
| Vermont . . | 4723 | 1355 | 894 | 820 | 1004 | 8796 |
| Massachusetts | 12783 | 4839 | 4582 | 1884 | 1867 | 25355 |
| Connecticat - | 6984 | 3874 | 2746 | 1219 | 763 | 15586 |
| New York . - | 24342 | 13314 | 8910 | 7326 | 8261 | 52158 |
| Pennsylvania | 14829 | 16626 | 7047 | 6743 | 1273 | 46518 |
| West Virginia | 1158 | 754 | 325 | 325 | 223 | 2785 |
| Kentacky . | 2754 | 1230 | 494 | 591 | 644 | 5718 |
| Indiana | 2632 | 2261 | 1055 | 691 | 519 | 7168 |
| Illinois. | 2374 | 1658 | 1237 | 474 | 286 | 6024 |
| Michigan | 897 | 518 | 834 | 169 | 89 | 2007 |
| Wisconsin . | 9977 | 4261 | 1673 | 1749 | 1200 | 18860 |
| Iowa . | 10101 | 3658 | 2240 | 1758 | 1265 | 19022 |
| Miseouri | 1857 | 1196 | 706 | 362 | 806 | 4426 |
|  | 236 | 138 | 66 | 63 | 46 | 548 |
| Total | 108193 | 61117 | 88967 | 25819 | 18944 | 243040 |

TABLE XI.
Color of Eyes.
U. S. Soldiers by States.

| 8tate of Inilintment | Blve | Gray | Hasol | Dark | Bleck | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maine . . . | 26818 | 10040 | 11808 | 4103 | 6355 | 58624 |
| New Hampshire | 18267 | ¢ 182 | 4510 | 2019 | 1894 | 26872 |
| Vermont . . | 11945 | 8188 | 1754 | 2108 | 2507 | 21502 |
| Massachusetts | 22260 | 8118 | 7638 | 8849 | 2683 | 44043 |
| Connecticut | 15258 | 7292 | 8973 | 8302 | 2225 | 32050 |
| New York . | 24842 | 18314 | 3910 | 7326 | 8261 | 52158 |
| Pennsylvania. | 28159 | 25802 | 10308 | 10841 | 2384 | 72494 |
| Weat Virginia | 7884 | 4398 | 1443 | 2144 | 1749 | 17068 |
| Kentucky | 9142 | 4315 | 1785 | 1912 | 2467 | 19621 |
| Ohio . | 25380 | 18862 | 7735 | 7214 | 5285 | 64426 |
| Indiana . | 27088 | 16581 | 8927 | 6081 | 5544 | 64171 |
| Illinois . | 81172 | 17126 | 8471 | 7882 | 5660 | 69811 |
| Michigan - | 14511 | 6241 | 2588 | 2360 | 2105 | 27805 |
| Wisconsin . | 26857 | 10001 | 5235 | 4592 | 8260 | 49445 |
| Iowa | 8477 | 4388 | 2375 | 1572 | 1546 | 18858 |
| Missouri | 18741 | 7308 | 8488 | 8195 | 2174 | 29856 |
| Total . | 300201 | 162156 | 85898 | 69450 | 51099 | 668299 |

## TABLE XII.

Color of Eyes.
Volunteers by Nativities.

| Neturity | Blag | Gray | Heol | Dark | Bleck | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 47633 | 16632 | 18295 | 8821 | 10001 | 95882 |
| B | 29193 | 18837 | 8280 | 8126 | 4994 | 69430 |
| C | 45834 | 29033 | 18565 | 11339 | 9989 | 109760 |
| D | 11901 | 6415 | 8428 | 2512 | 2606 | 26862 |
| E | 8206 | 4545 | 1904 | 2028 | 1944 | 18627 |
| F | 10777 | 6 209 | 2506 | 2113 | 2740 | 28345 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | 479 | 824 | 176 | 98 | 97 | 1174 |
| $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 2746 | 1504 | 785 | 585 | 605 | 6225 |
| ${ }_{\text {I }}$ | 1015 | 379 | 309 | 158 | 129 | 1990 |
| J | 2760 | 1290 | 698 | 677 | 684 | 6109 |
| K | 4514 | 2078 | 1091 | 862 | 538 | 9083 |
| L | 1012 | 535 | 225 | 169 | 98 | 2029 |
| M | 9820 | 5004 | 1878 | 1452 | 836 | 18985 |
| $N$ | 522 | 864 | 228 | 257 | 155 | 1526 |
| 0 | 12819 | 7674 | 2610 | 4267 | 1458 | 28828 |
| P | 1764 | 444 | 187 | 182 | 60 | 2587 |
| $Q$ | 1 | 5 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 89 |
| 8 | 1007 | 767 | 808 | 485 | 211 | 2778 |
| Total | 192008 | 101089 | 51426 | 43631 | 87155 | 425259 |

TABLE XIII.
Color of Eyes.
Reoruits by Nativitics.

| Nativity | Blce | Gray | Hesol | Dark | Breok | Totes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 21890 | 7777 | 7568 | 3318 | 2956 | 43499 |
| B | 35737 | 24954 | 10248 | 11632 | 4432 | 87003 |
| C | 6747 | 4464 | 2470 | 1547 | 1110 | 16338 |
| D | 4112 | 2021 | 877 | 923 | 867 | 8800 |
| E | 2321 | 1518 | 816 | 653 | 421 | 5729 |
| F | 2689 | 1228 | 580 | 617 | 688 | 5697 |
| $G_{1}$ | 236 | 189 | 112 | 53 | 43 | 633 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 243 | 168 | 93 | 72 | 65 | 641 |
| H | 1602 | 769 | 786 | 285 | 214 | 8656 |
| I | 4415 | 2831 | 1856 | 1109 | 799 | 10510 |
| J | 4282 | 2825 | 1548 | 877 | 463 | 9445 |
| K | 1206 | 645 | 876 | 224 | 161 | 2612 |
| $\mathbf{L}$ | 12486 | 7073 | 3367 | 1614 | 689 | 25179 |
| M | 525 | 858 | 385 | 225 | 175 | 1663 |
| N | 7700 | 4463 | 2321 | 2229 | 609 | 17322 |
| 0 | 1246 | 810 | 141 | 80 | 84 | 1811 |
| $\mathbf{P}$ | 73 | 66 | 46 | 65 | 61 | 291 |
| Q | 783 | 478 | 487 | 806 | 257 | 2211 |
| Total | 108198 | 61117 | 88967 | 25819 | 18944 | 243040 |

## TABLE XIV.

## Color of Eyes.

U. S. Soldiers by Nativitics.

| Mettrity | Htmo | Ony | Hanol | Dark | - Brook | Toter |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 69823 | 24409 | 20853 | 11639 | 12957 | 139381 |
| B | 64930 | 48791 | 18528 | 19758 | 9426 | 156483 |
| C | 52581 | 88497 | 16035 | 12886 | 11099 | 126098 |
| D | 16013 | 8436 | 4305 | 3435 | 8473 | 35662 |
| E | 10527 | 6063 | 2720 | 2681 | 2365 | 24356 |
| $F$ | 18468 | 6432 | 8036 | 2730 | 3378 | 29042 |
| ${ }^{\text {G1 }}$ | 715 | 513 | 288 | 151 | 140 | 1807 |
| $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ | 2989 | 1672 | 878 | 657 | 670 | 6868 |
| H | 2617 | 1148 | 1095 | 443 | 843 | 6646 |
| I | 7175 | 8621 | 2554 | 1786 | 1483 | 16619 |
| J | 8746 | 4403 | 2639 | 1739 | 1001 | 18528 |
| K | 2218 | 1180 | 601 | 383 | 259 | 4641 |
| 4 | 22806 | 12077 | 6 240 | 8066 | 1475 | 44164 |
| M | 1047 | 717 | 613 | 482 | 330 | 8189 |
| $N$ | 20519 | 12137 | 4931 | 6496 | 2067 | 46150 |
| 0 | 8010 | 754 | 278 | 262 | 94 | 4398 |
| P | 79 | 61 | 54 | 65 | 71 | 330 |
| Q | 1740 | 1245 | 745 | 791 | 468 | 4989 |
| Total | 800201 | 162156 | 85393 | 69450 | 51099 | 668299 |

TABLE XV.
Color of Eyes.
Proportionate Numbers for different States.

| 8tate of Folistment | Etao | Gray | Heaml | Dart | Bleck | Totale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maine | 458 | 171 | 198 | 70 | 108 | 1000 |
| New Hampshire | 494 | 198 | 168 | 75 | 70 | 1000 |
| Vermont . . | 555 | 148 | 82 | 98 | 117 | 1000 |
| Massachusetts. | 506 | 184 | 178 | 76 | 61 | 1000 |
| Connecticut | 476 | 228 | 124 | 108 | 69 | 1000 |
| New York | 467 | 255 | 75 | 140 | 63 | 1000 |
| Pennsylvania . | 819 | 856 | 148 | 150 | 83 | 1000 |
| West Virginia | 430 | 258 | 84 | 126 | 102 | 1000 |
| Kentucky | 466 | 220 | 91 | 97 | 126 | 1000 |
| Ohio . . | 393 | 293 | 120 | 112 | 82 | 1000 |
| Indiana. | 422 | 258 | 189 | 94 | 87 | 1000 |
| Illinois . | 447 | 245 | 121 | 106 | 81 | 1000 |
| Michigan . | 522 | 224 | 98 | 85 | 76 | 1000 |
| Wisconsin | 588 | 202 | 106 | 98 | 66 | 1000 |
| Iowa. | 462 | 239 | 129 | 86 | 84 | 1000 |
| Missouri | 460 | 245 | 115 | 107 | 73 | 1000 |
| Total . | 449 | 248 | 128 | 104 | 76 | 1000 |

## TABLE XVI.

Color of Eyes.
Proportionate Numbers for different Nativities.

| Nattrity | Bloe | Gras | Hasol | Dark | Black | Totale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 499 | 175 | 150 | 83 | 93 | 1000 |
| B | 415 | 280 | 119 | 126 | 60 | 1000 |
| C | 417 | 266 | 127 | 102 | 88 | 1000 |
| D | 449 | 237 | 121 | 96 | 97 | 1000 |
| E | 432 | 249 | 112 | 110 | 97 | 1000 |
| F | 464 | 221 | 105 | 94 | 116 | 1000 |
| $G_{1}$ | 396 | 284 | 159 | 84 | 77 | 1000 |
| $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ | 435 | 243 | 128 | 96 | 98 | 1000 |
| H | 464 | 208 | 194 | 78 | 61 | 1000 |
| 1 | 432 | 218 | 154 | 107 | 89 | 1000 |
| J | 472 | 238 | 142 | 94 | 64 | 1000 |
| K | 478 | 254 | 129 | 83 | 56 | 1000 |
| M | 505 | 274 | 119 | 69 | 33 | 1000 |
|  | 328 | 225 | 192 | 151 | 104 | 1000 |
| 0 | 445 | 262 | 107 | 141 | 45 | 1000 |
|  | 684 | 172 | 63 | 60. | 21 | 1000 |
|  | 239 | 185 | 164 | 197 | 215 | 1000 |
| Q | 849 | 250 | 149 | 158 | 94 | 1000 |
| Total | 449 | 248 | 128 | 104 | 76 | 1000 |

## 4. Complexions.

## TABLE XVII.

Complexions. By States.

| state of <br> Folistment | Volunteers |  |  |  | Eeoruite |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dark | Leght | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mo- } \\ & \text { dium } \end{aligned}$ | Totale | Dark | Leght | Mia- | Totale |
| Maine | 17002 | 21175 | 1106 | 39283 | 5142 | 13013 | 1173 | 19328 |
| New Hampshire | 6900 | 11310 | 898 | 18108 | 3852 | 3744 | 1659 | 8755 |
| Vermont . . | 4307 | 7340 | 1052 | 12699 | 2746 | 5368 | 647 | 8761 |
| Massachusetts . | 6171 | 11899 | 608 | 18678 | 8060 | 15882 | 1395 | 25337 |
| Connecticut | 5124 | 10782 | 549 | 16455 | 4793 | 8849 | 1939 | 15581 |
| New York | - | - | - | - | 13523 | 23879 | 14712 | 52114 |
| Pennsylvania . | 9061 | 14789 | 2125 | 25975 | 15748 | 24478 | 6.292 | 46518 |
| West Virginis | 4783 | 9498 | 2 | 14283 | 878 | 1907 | - | 2785 |
| Kentacky | 4584 | 9325 | - | 13909 | 1729 | 8984 | - | E 718 |
| Ohio . | 18310 | 38916 | 44 | 57270 | 1942 | 5195 | 22 | 7159 |
| Indiana . | 21165 | 34426 | 2489 | 58080 | 2099 | 3783 | 190 | 6022 |
| Illinois | 22451 | 42105 | 3241 | 67797 | 581 | 1344 | 78 | 2003 |
| Michigan | 2357 | 6582 | 16 | 8955 | 4557 | 14287 | 16 | 18860 |
| Wisconsin | 8906 | 21515 | 2 | 30423 | 5927 | 13095 | - | 19022 |
| Iowa. | 4584 | 5388 | 3964 | 13936 | 1376 | 1799 | 1251 | 4426 |
| Missouri | 8879 | 20138 | 314 | 29331 | 160 | 380 | 3 | 543 |
| Total . | 143584 | 265188 | 16410 | 425182 | 72613 | 140987 | 29877 | 242927 |

## TABLE XVIII.

Complexions. By Nativities.

| Metrits | Volunteers |  |  |  | Recruits |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dast | Lught | Mediam | Totals | Dark | LJght | Medium | Totals |
| A | 34815 | 57375 | 3673 | 95863 | 12217 | 28190 | 3063 | 43470 |
| B | 22945 | 48017 | 8470 | 69432 | 25689 | 47776 | 13492 | 86957 |
| C | 36768 | 68875 | 4098 | 109739 | 4818 | 10634 | 881 | 16333 |
| D | 8484 | 17523 | 911 | 26868 | 2447 | 6058 | 292 | 8797 |
| $\mathbf{L}$ | 6753 | 11444 | 428 | 18625 | 2051 | 8243 | 426 | 5720 |
| 1 | 8247 | 14613 | 488 | 23848 | 1753 | 8795 | 149 | 5697 |
| $G_{1}$ | 369 | 602 | 206 | 1177 | 175 | 291 | 167 | 633 |
| $\mathrm{G}_{9}$ | 1935 | 4205 | 80 | 6220 | 219 | 871 | 48 | 638 |
| H | 699 | 1186 | 104 | 1989 | 1238 | 2054 | 363 | 8655 |
| I | 2425 | 8431 | 249 | 6105 | 8929 | 5573 | 1005 | 10507 |
| J | 2732 | 5998 | 852 | 9082 | 2773 | 5325 | 1351 | 9449 |
| K | 645 | 1297 | 88 | 2030 | 663 | 1514 | 435 | 2612 |
| $\underline{4}$ | 6291 | 11752 | 927 | 18970 | 7423 | 13482 | 4272 | 25177 |
| N | 708 | 769 | 62 | 1524 | 863 | 678 | 222 | 1663 |
|  | 8381 | 19273 | 1147 | 28801 | 4807 | 9804 | 2701 | 17312 |
| 0 | 447 | 2079 | 61 | 2587 | 838 | 1382 | 140 | 1810 |
| P | 25 | 14 | - | 39 | 193 | 48 | 48 | 289 |
| Q | 972 | 1735 | 76 | 2783 | 1017 | 869 | 322 | 2208 |
| Total | 143584 | 265188 | 16410 | 425182 | 72618 | 140937 | 29377 | 242927 |

TABLE XIX.
Complexions.
U. S. Soldiers by States.

| State of <br> Folistment | Abeolute |  |  |  | Relative |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dark | Light | Medium | Total | Dark | Light | Medium | Total |
| Maine | 22144 | 34188 | 2279 | 58611 | 878 | 583 | 39 | 1000 |
| New Hampshire | 9252 | 15054 | 2557 | 26863 | 345 | 560 | 95 | 1000 |
| Vermont . . | 7053 | 12708 | 1699 | 21460 | 329 | 592 | 79 | 1000 |
| Massachusetts | 14231 | 27781 | 2003 | 44015 | 323 | 631 | 46 | 1000 |
| Connecticut | 9917 | 19681 | 2488 | 32036 | 309 | 613 | 78 | 1000 |
| New York . | 13523 | 23879 | 14712 | 52114 | 260 | 458 | 282 | 1000 |
| Pennsylvania. | 24809 | 39267 | 8417 | 72493 | 342 | 642 | 116 | 1000 |
| West Virginia | 5661 | 11405 | 2 | 17068 | 332 | 668 | 0 | 1000 |
| Kentucky - | 6813 | 18309 | 0 | 19622 | 322 | 678 | 0 | 1000 |
| Ohio . . | 20252 | 44111 | 66 | 64429 | 814 | 685 | 1 | 1000 |
| Indiana. | 23264 | 38159 | 2679 | 64102 | 363 | 695 | 42 | 1000 |
| Illinois . | 23032 | 43449 | 8319 | 69800 | 330 | 622 | 48 | 1000 |
| Michigan | 6914 | 20869 | 32 | 27815 | 249 | 750 | 1 | 1000 |
| Wisconsin . | 14833 | 34610 | 2 | 49445 | 300 | 700 | 0 | 1000 |
| Iowa. | 5960 | 7187 | 5215 | 18362 | 825 | 891 | 284 | 1000 |
| Missouri | 9039 | 20518 | 817 | 29874 | 303 | 686 | 11 | 1000 |
| Total . | 216197 | 406125 | 45787 | 668109 | 324 | 608 | 68 | 1000 |

## TABLE XX.

Complexions.
U. S. Soldiers by Nativities.

| Matirity | Abeolute |  |  |  | Relative |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dart | Light | Medium | Total | Dark | Light | Medium | Total |
| A | 47032 | 85565 | 6736 | 139333 | 338 | 614 | 48 | 1000 |
| B | 48634 | 90793 | 16962 | 156389 | 811 | 581 | 108 | 1000 |
| C | 41584 | 79509 | 4979 | 126072 | 330 | 631 | 89 | 1000 |
| D | 10881 | 23581 | 1203 | 35665 | 305 | 661 | 34 | 1000 |
| E | 8804 | 14687 | 854 | 24345 | 362 | 603 | 35 | 1000 |
| F | 10000 | 18408 | 637 | 29045 | 344 | 634 | 22 | 1000 |
| G | 544 | 893 | 878 | 1810 | 301 | 493 | 206 | 1000 |
| $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ | 2154 | 4576 | 128 | 6858 | 814 | 667 | 19 | 1000 |
| H | 1937 | 3240 | 467 | 5644 | 843 | 574 | 83 | 1000 |
| I | 6354 | 9004 | 1254 | 16612 | 383 | 542 | . 75 | 1000 |
| J | 5505 | 11323 | 1703 | 18531 | 297 | 611 | 92 | 1000 |
| $\underline{L}$ | 1308 | 2811 | 523 | 4642 | 282 | 605 | 118 | 1000 |
| L | 18714 | 25234 | 5199 | 44147 | 811 | 571 | 118 | 1000 |
| M $N$ | 1566 | 1347 | 274 | 3187 | 491 | 423 | 86 | 1000 |
| N 0 | 18188 | 29077 | 3848 | 46113 | 286 | 681 | 83 | 1000 |
|  | 785 | 3411 | 201 | 4397 | 178 | 776 | 46 | 1000 |
|  | 218 | 62 | 48 | 328 | 665 | 189 | 146 | 1000 |
| Q | 1989 | 2604 | 398 | 4991 | 898 | 522 | 80 | 1000 |
| ${ }^{\text {Total }}$ | 216197 | 406125 | 45787 | 668109 | 824 | 608 | 68 | 1000 |

## 5. Inferences.

It will not require any very close scrutiny of these tables to perceive that deductions must be drawn with caution. They present simply the official records, as reported by a large number of mustering officers, no one of whom probably aimed at anything more than a rough description, sufficient to aid in the identification of the soldier, should this ever become necessary. These records seem indeed to have been regarded by most of the mustering offlcers as a mere formality, upon which it was needless to expend much attention. If not in clear contradiction to the truth, the entries were considered satisfactory. Thus, for example, while out of 49445 soldiers from Wisconsin the complexion of only 2 was recorded as "medium," there were 14712 out of 52114 from New York, and 5215 out of 18362 from Iowa, whose complexion was thus noted. Similarly, among the Pennsylvania troops the proportion of "dark" eyes to "black" ones was as 150 to 33 ; while this proportion for the Kentucky soldiers was as 97 to 126. These discordances are, of course, not to be attributed to any real difference existing, to such an extent, but to the habitudes and peculiarities of the mustering officers.

Yet a proper caution will prevent any serious error in our deductions here, arising from influences of this sort, which cannot have produced the great difference manifested by our tables between the complexions prevailing in most of the Western States on the one hand, where the light complexions overwhelmingly predominate, and those in the Eastern States on the other, where this predominance is by no means so great. So, too, while of every thousand men 58 in Indiana, 54 in Illinois, and 44 in Ohio had red hair, the corresponding number was but 6 in Maine, and 8 in New Hampshire and Vermont. This can no more be due to any carelessness of recruiting officers than can the fact that but 32 men from Pennsylvania for each 56 from Vermont had blue eyes; or that the dark eyes, including black, formed nearly 23 per cent. of the whole number in Kentucky and West Virginia, while they were scarcely 14 per cent. in New Hampshire and Massachusetts. How far these differences are to be attributed to climate, how far to ancestry, and how far to looseness of record, it is not our province to inquire. So far as the army records can throw light upon the subject, the materials are here presented.

When the comparison is made, nut between troops from differ-
ent States, bat between men of different nativities, the variations become more manifest and are more easy of interpretation. And we have thus a means of fixing an outer limit, at least, for the inaccuracies of the original records. A comparison of the recoras for the two nativities $\mathbf{O}$ and $\mathbf{P}$ illustrates the difference of national characteristics most forcibly, although the descriptions of but 330 individuals belonging to the latter class are among our data. For the first, comprising natives of Denmark, Sweden, and Norway, the ratio of light complexions to dark ones is as 78 to 18 ; while for the second, which includes natives of Spain, Portugal, and Spanish America, this ratio is as 19 to 66 . The cases where the hair was black or dark number 16 per cent. in the former and 75 per cent. in the latter case; while on the other hand those recorded as light, sandy, or red, are in the first instance 51 per cent., and in the second only one tenth part as numerous. The proportion of blue eyes in the two cases is as 68 to 24 ; that of dark or black eyes as 8 to 41.

## CHAPTER VII.

## PREVIOUS OCCUPATIONS.

The occupations of our soldiers before the war are given upon the descriptive muster-rolls, and have been tabulated by the agents of the Commission at the same time with the physical descriptions given on the same rolls. The principles followed in our classification will be most easily set forth by giving the following extract from the instructions to clerks engaged in the work.
" A certain amount of judgement must be used in assorting the 'occupations.' All whose pursuits were mechanical, implying any skill whatever, are to be entered as ' mechanics,' with the single exception of printers, who have a column for themselves. All who depended on their strength, merely, for livelihood, should be classed as 'laborers,' unless their pursuits were purely agricultural. Under 'professional' put those whose occupations are essentially intellectual. In the absence of other clews, a man's rank may sometimes be a guide. As 'engineer,' for instance, the fireman, or the constructor, or the designer of an engine might be recorded, as well as the brakeman and the driver of a railroad train, or the man who laid out the road; yet we should have here laborer, mechanic, and professional, all recorded under one title. So, too, a teacher of music, a maker of instruments, and a drummer or fifer, might all be recorded as musicians; yet the occupation of the first would be professional, of the second mechanical, and the third would have to be classed as miscellaneous. It will be seen that no general rule can be given, bat much must be left to judgement. A hostler might be recorded as 'miscellaneous'; an ordinary sailor as 'laborer'; a grocer and a peddler as 'commercial'; a butcher or a baker as a 'mechanic.'"

The class of "printers" was kept distinct from those engaged in other mechanic arts because a considerable number of descriptions had been collected in the year 1863 in which this special occupation was made a class by itself. Although the collection alluded to was subsequently superseded, yet it was not thought amiss to continue the usage thus commenced.

The previous occupations of 666530 men are thus assorted, among whom it is estimated that about 3330 commissioned officers
are included, who had never served as private soldiers, as also some men who enlisted as sailors. To the remaining enlisted men, aboat 660000 in all, must have belonged somewhat more than 16000 other commissioned officers (not here included), besides those who were promoted from the ranks and are consequently registered on the descriptive muster-rolls as enlisted men.
A large proportion of the original commissioned officers, probably four fifths, went from the "professional" class; indeed it is certainly not too much to say, that of the soldiers from this class at least eight out of eleven joined the army as commissioned officers. Yet our records give 158 in each 10000 enlisted men as taken from professional pursuits, which would at first seem to imply that the proportion of our defenders belonging to this class reached the enormous proportion of 579 in each 10000 ; an estimate altogether inadmissible when we bear in mind that, according to the census of 1860 , the proportion of the white male population of the loyal States above 18 years of age, who were engaged in professional avocations, was but 336 in each 10000 . It-will, however, be manifest that the muster-rolls of enlisted men alone would fall far short of doing justice to the patriotism and self-sacrifice of this portion of our people.
The disproportion of the figures appears to be due to the circumstance that the descriptions here collected include some organizations composed almost entirely of educated men. In several cases companies were composed exclusively of professors and students of colleges; and the inclusion of these exceptional organiza tions with the rest tends to vitiate the averages, so as to render them inapplicable to the whole army. Deducting the estimated number in these organizations, or about 1700 , both from the total number of enlisted men described, and from that of occupations of 2 professional character, we may attain a better estimate of the general constitution of the army in this respect ; and careful study leads to the belief that the true proportion of men from professional pursuits among the private soldiers of our army was about 94, and for recruits alone 102, in each 10000 . For officers and men taken together it was about 321 in each 10000.
Those who know the extent to which our colleges and universities were drained of pupils and teachers, need no reminder of the fact that the proportionate numbers for the most highly educated class are inadequately given in the appended tables, for the reasons just stated; yet it may not be amiss to place here upon record the fact that many of our seminaries of learning were compelled for
a season to suspend their activity and close their doors, in consequence of the departure of instructers and students for scenes of higher and nobler duty. Even the most frequented seminaries, such as Harvard, Yale, and Princeton, found their sphere of usefulness contracted during the war to an extent almost incredible, and the long "rolls of honor," on which it has been their pride to commemorate the beloved sons whom they have offered on their country's altar, bear witness to the unsurpassed zeal with which the most educated classes of the community bore their part in defense of their native land, its nationality, and freedom.

The annexed tabular statements present the statistics collected for the enlisted men (subject to the qualifications already made); but it will not be forgotten that of these men $29 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent. were under the age of 21 years, and twice as many were under 25 years, so that the larger portion of them had not yet become definitely wedded to any especial occupation, - a fact which the peculiar versatility of the American people renders especially noticeable.

> TABLE I.

## Occupations of Volunteers, by States.

| State of Enlistment | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Agricul- } \\ \text { tural } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Me- chanie | $\underset{\text { mercial }}{\text { Com- }}$ | Profes. sional | Printert | Laborers | Mincel- <br> laneour | Totale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maine | 11862 | 13235 | 1062 | 856 | 201 | 10455 | 1620 | 39291 |
| New Hampshire | 7273 | 7142 | 523 | 221 | 187 | 2177 | 588 | 18111 |
| Vermont | 8419 | 2275 | 523 | 475 | 58 | 890 | 54 | 12694 |
| Massachusetts | 2394 | 10230 | 1881 | 178 | 175 | 2317 | 1513 | 18688 |
| Connecticut | 6427 | 7535 | 710 | 171 | 88 | 1599 | 933 | 16463 |
| Pennsylvania . | 7142 | 8051 | 398 | 206 | 129 | 8664 | 1386 | 25976 |
| West Virginia | 8983 | 3213 | 285 | 201 | 47 | 1396 | 125 | 14250 |
| Kentucky | 9718 | 2035 | 800 | 117 | 42 | 1014 | 227 | 13453 |
| Ohio . | 82076 | 14005 | 3525 | 1824 | 361 | 4812 | 666 | 57269 |
| Indiana. | 41127 | 10142 | 1371 | 981 | 819 | 2593 | 1341 | 57874 |
| Illinois | 44987 | 11027 | 2266 | 1565 | 491 | 3514 | 8359 | 67159 |
| Michigan | 4928 | 1717 | 175 | 120 | 50 | 1217 | 779 | 8986 |
| Wisconsin . | 19649 | 4483 | 164 | 329 | 226 | 5471 | 101 | 30423 |
| Iowa . | 10445 | 2065 | 159 | 421 | 90 | 413 | 343 | 13986 |
| Missouri | 16895 | 6553 | 1172 | 386 | 200 | 2932 | 861 | 28999 |
| Total . | 231275 | 103708 | 14514 | 8051 | 2664 | 49464 | 13896 | 423572 |

## TABLE II.

Occupations of Recruits, by States.

| 8tate of Tolistment | $\begin{gathered} \text { Agrical } \\ \text { taral } \end{gathered}$ | Mo- | $\xrightarrow[\text { com- }]{\text { Corcial }}$ <br> mercial | Professional | $\begin{gathered} \text { Prin- } \\ \text { teri } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Labor- } \\ & \text { ers } \end{aligned}$ | MiscelLaneous | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maine . | 6648 | 8890 | 617 | 306 | 55 | 8695 | 4122 | 19333 |
| New Hampshire | 1108 | 2364 | 847 | 78 | 68 | 4407 | 389 | 8761 |
| Vermont . . | 5487 | 1348 | 232 | 127 | 30 | 1481 | 90 | 8795 |
| Massachusetts | 3771 | 11861 | 1877 | 251 | 219 | 5862 | 1994 | 25335 |
| Connecticat | 2582 | 5656 | 765 | 170 | 139 | 4896 | 1381 | 15589 |
| Nem Yort. | 18090 | 13817 | 3815 | 684 | 476 | 13516 | 1727 | 52125 |
| Pennsylvania. | 11201 | 14658 | 760 | 191 | 284 | 16678 | 2723 | 46495 |
| Wert Virginia | 2042 | 437 | 27 | 18 | 6 | 241 | 14 | 2785 |
| Kentacky | 4278 | 676 | 92 | 38 | 12 | 435 | 182 | 5713 |
| Indiana. | 4109 | 1564 | 208 | 140 | 46 | 1010 | 80 | 7157 |
|  | 4547 | 812 | 64 | 56 | 14 | 890 | 146 | 6029 |
|  | 1365 | 276 | 56 | 14 | 6 | 176 | 114 | 2007 |
| Wisconin | 12059 | 3663 | 823 | 187 | 63 | 2365 | 199 | 18859 |
| Iows | 12450 | 2461 | 65 | 134 | 49 | 3786 | 87 | 19022 |
| Missour | 8393 | 618 | 25 | 82 | 32 | 160 | 111 | 4421 |
| uspoun | 298 | 120 | 19 | 4 | - | 63 | 28 | 532 |
| Total. . . | 93428 | 64221 | 8782 | 2480 | 1499 | 59161 | 13387 | 242958 |

TABLE III.
Occupations of U. S. Soldiers,
by States.

| State of Enlistment | Agricultural | Mo- | Commercial | ProfesBronal | Print©r | Laborors | Miscellaneous | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maine | 18510 | 17125 | 1679 | 1162 | 256 | 14160 | 5742 | 58624 |
| New Hampshire | 8381 | 9506 | 870 | 299 | 255 | 6584 | 977 | 26872 |
| Vermont | 13906 | 8623 | 755 | 602 | 88 | 2371 | 144 | 21489 |
| Massachusetts | 6165 | 22091 | 8258 | 429 | 394 | 8179 | 3507 | 44023 |
| Connecticut | 8009 | 13191 | 1475 | 341 | 227 | 6495 | 2314 | 82052 |
| New York | 18090 | 13817 | 8815 | 684 | 476 | 13516 | 1727 | 52125 |
| Pennsylvania | 18343 | 22709 | 1158 | 397 | 413 | 25342 | 4109 | 72471 |
| West Virginia | 11025 | 8650 | 312 | 219 | 53 | 1637 | 139 | 17035 |
| Kentucky | 13996 | 2711 | 892 | 155 | 54 | 1449 | 409 | 19166 |
| Ohio . | 86185 | 15569 | 8733 | 1964 | 407 | 5822 | 746 | 64426 |
| Indians. | 45674 | 10954 | 1435 | 1037 | 833 | 2983 | 1487 | 63903 |
| Illinois | 46302 | 11303 | 2322 | 1579 | 497 | 8690 | 3473 | 69166 |
| Michigan | 16987 | 5380 | 498 | 307 | 113 | 8582 | 978 | 27845 |
| Wisconsin | 32099 | 6944 | 219 | 463 | 275 | 9257 | 188 | 49445 |
| Iowe. | 13838 | 2683 | 184 | 503 | 122 | 673 | 454 | 18357 |
| Missouri | 17193 | 6673 | 1191 | 390 | 200 | 2995 | 889 | 29531 |
| To | 324703 | 167929 | 28296 | 10531 | 4163 | 108625 | 27283 | 666530 |

## TABLE IV. <br> Occupations of Volunteers, by Nativities.

| Nativity | $\Delta$ gricultural | $\stackrel{\text { Me- }}{\text { chanje }}$ | Commercial | Professional | Print6n | Laborers | MiscelLancous | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 35540 | 84815 | 4599 | 2093 | 684 | 14056 | 4034 | 95821 |
| B | 33228 | 18313 | 2202 | 1521 | 543 | 10466 | 2963 | 69236 |
| C | 78426 | 17063 | 3734 | 2701 | 639 | 4940 | 1979 | 109482 |
| D | 20839 | 2383 | 617 | 413 | 193 | 1409 | 893 | 26747 |
| E | 12899 | 3437 | 384 | 313 | 86 | 1165 | 312 | 18596 |
| F | 18558 | 2545 | 330 | 299 | 63 | 692 | 410 | 22897 |
| $\mathbf{G}_{1}$ | 924 | 99 | 14 | 18 | 21 | 52 | 37 | 1165 |
| G2 | 4737 | 687 | 203 | 49 | 46 | 270 | 139 | 6131 |
| H | 529 | 603 | 39 | 22 | 20 | 665 | 112 | 1990 |
| I | 3131 | 1469 | 135 | 70 | 32 | 1052 | 211 | 6100 |
| J | 8564 | 8251 | 249 | 103 | 59 | 1836 | 500 | 9062 |
| K | 670 | 797 | 57 | 26 | 31 | 304 | 145 | 2030 |
| L | 4926 | 4775 | 421 | 96 | 67 | 7642 | 960 | 18887 |
| M | 544 | 557 | 61 | 17 | 7 | 242 | 76 | 1504 |
| N | 10212 | 11430 | 1268 | 253 | 143 | 4352 | 895 | 28553 |
| 0 | 1620 | 503 | 60 | 15 | 4 | 825 | 50 | 2577 |
| $\mathbf{P}$ | 7 | 16 | 8 | - | 1 | 5 | 6 | 38 |
| Q | 921 | 965 | 138 | 42 | 25 | 491 | 174 | 2756 |
| Total | 281275 | 103708 | 14514 | 8051 | 2664 | 49464 | 13896 | 423572 |

## TABLE V. <br> Occupations of Recruits, <br> by Nativities.

| Nativity | Agricultaral |  | Com- | Professional | Printen | Laboren! | Miscellancous | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 16930 | 13596 | 1826 | 641 | 244 | 6284 | 3978 | 43499 |
| B | 35075 | 23402 | 3231 | 830 | 656 | 20141 | 3618 | 86953 |
| C | 11606 | 2379 | 300 | 215 | 78 | 1457 | 296 | 16831 |
| D | 6165 | 1047 | 176 | 82 | 38 | 1148 | 150 | 8806 |
| E | 3168 | 1249 | 181 | 42 | 81 | 915 | 185 | 5721 |
| F | 4555 | 639 | 97 | 81 | 13 | 811 | 149 | 5 695 |
| $G_{1}$ | 474 | 67 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 53 | 32 | 633 |
| $\mathrm{G}_{9}$ | 289 | 145 | 26 | 10 | 4 | 102 | 61 | 637 |
| H | 582 | 895 | 117 | 28 | 30 | 1506 | 494 | 3652 |
| I | 8425 | 2503 | 301 | 82 | 76 | 3589 | 530 | 10506 |
| J | 1861 | 2877 | 422 | 104 | 94 | 3369 | 720 | 9447 |
| K | 589 | 914 | 154 | 23 | 28 | 761 | 145 | 2609 |
| L | 2568 | 6858 | 639 | 80 | 134 | 13216 | 1682 | 25177 |
| M | 214 | 449 | 97 | 81 | 10 | 660 | 200 | 1661 |
| N | 4905 | 6345 | 1010 | 207 | 46 | 4007 | 798 | 17818 |
| 0 | 811 | 261 | 49 | 10 | 2 | 606 | 72 | 1811 |
| $\mathbf{P}$ | 8 | 69 | 32 | 4 | - | 150 | 28 | 291 |
| Q | 203 | 636 | 168 | 55 | 14 | 886 | 249 | 2211 |
| Total | 93428 | 64221 | 8782 | 2480 | 1499 | 59161 | 13887 | 242958 |

## TABLE VI.

Occupations of U. S. Soldiers, by Nativities.

| Natjrity | Agricultural | $\begin{gathered} \text { Me- } \\ \text { chanie } \end{gathered}$ | Commercial | ProfenElonal | Printers | Labor: ers | Mincellaneous | Totale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 52470 | 48411 | 6425 | 2734 | 928 | 20340 | 8012 | 139320 |
| B | 68303 | 41715 | 5433 | 2351 | 1199 | 30607 | 6581 | 156189 |
| C | 90032 | 19442 | 4034 | 2916 | 717 | 6397 | 2275 | 125813 |
| D | 27004 | 3430 | 793 | 495 | 231 | 2557 | 1043 | 35553 |
| E | 16067 | 4686 | 515 | 355 | 117 | 2080 | 497 | 24317 |
| F | 23113 | 3084 | 427 | 830 | 76 | 1003 | 599 | 28592 |
| $\mathbf{G}_{1}$ | 1398 | 156 | 20 | 23 | 27 | 105 | 69 | 1798 |
| G ${ }_{3}$ | 5026 | 832 | 229 | 59 | 50 | 872 | 200 | 6768 |
| H | 1111 | 1498 | 156 | 50 | 50 | 2171 | 606 | 5642 |
| I | 6556 | 3972 | 436 | 152 | 108 | 4641 | 741 | 16606 |
| J | 5425 | 6128 | 671 | 207 | 153 | 4705 | 1220 | 18509 |
| K | 1259 | 1711 | 211 | 49 | 64 | 1065 | 290 | 4639 |
| L | 7494 | 11663 | 1060 | 176 | 201 | 20858 | 2642 | 44064 |
| M | 758 | 1006 | 158 | 48 | 17 | 902 | 276 | 8165 |
| N | 15117 | 17775 | 2278 | 460 | 189 | 8359 | 1693 | 45871 |
| 0 | 2431 | 764 | 109 | 25 | 6 | 931 | 122 | 4388 |
| $\mathbf{P}$ | 15 | 85 | 35 | 4 | 1 | 155 | 34 | 829 |
| Q | 1124 | 1601 | 306 | 97 | 89 | 1377 | 423 | 4967 |
| Total | 324703 | 167929 | 23296 | 10531 | 4163 | 108625 | 27283 | 668530 |

## TABLE VII.

Occupations.
Proportionate Numbers for Different States.

| 8tate of Enlistinent | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Agricul- } \\ \text { tural } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\xrightarrow[\text { Mo- }]{\text { chanje }}$ | Commercial | Professional | Printers | Laborers | Miecellaneous | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maine - | 816 | 292 | 29 | 20 | 4 | 241 | 98 | 1000 |
| New Hampshire | 812 | 354 | 52 | 11 | 10 | 245 | 36 | 1000 |
| Vermont - | 647 | 169 | 35 | 28 | 4 | 110 | 7 | 1000 |
| Massachusetts | 140 | 502 | 74 | 10 | 9 | 186 | 79 | 1000 |
| Connecticut | 250 | 411 | 46 | 11 | 7 | 203 | 72 | 1000 |
| New York . | 847 | 265 | 73 | 18 | 9 | 260 | 33 | 1000 |
| Pennsylvania. | 253 | 313 | 16 | 5 | 6 | 350 | 57 | 1000 |
| West Virginia | 647 | 214 | 19 | 18 | 8 | 96 | 8 | 1000 |
| Kentucky . | 730 | 142 | 20 | 8 | 3 | 76 | 21 | 1000 |
| Ohio . . | 562 | 242 | 58 | 80 | 6 | 90 | 12 | 1000 |
| Indiana. - | 715 | 171 | 23 | 16 | 5 | 47 | 23 | 1000 |
| Ilinois . . | 670 | 163 | 34 | 23 | 7 | 63 | 50 | 1000 |
| Michigan | 610 | 193 | 18 | 11 | 4 | 129 | 35 | 1000 |
| Wisconsin . | 649 | 141 | 4 | 9 | 6 | 187 | 4 | 1000 |
| Iowa. | 754 | 146 | 10 | 27 | 7 | 31 | 25 | 1000 |
| Missouri | 582 | 226 | 40 | 18 | 7 | 102 | 30 | 1000 |
| Total . | 487 | 252 | 85 | 16 | 6 | 168 | 41 | 1000 |

## TABLE VIII.

Occupations.
Proportionate Numbers for Different Nativities.

| Nestrity | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{S}_{\text {striont }}^{\text {tranal }} \end{gathered}$ | chent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Com- } \\ \text { necald } \end{gathered}$ | Profer | Print | $\underset{\text { Lers }}{\substack{\text { Ler }}}$ | Mrook- | Toanh |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 877 | 847 | 46 | 20 | 7 | 146 | 67 | 1000 |
| B | 487 | 267 | 35 | 15 | 8 | 196 | 22 | 1000 |
| C | 716 | 154 | 82 | 23 | 6 | 51 | 18 | 1000 |
| D | 760 | 96 | 22 | 14 | 7 | 72 | 29 | 1000 |
| E | 661 | 193 | 21 | 15 | 5 | 85 | 20 | 1000 |
| $F$ | 808 | 108 | 15 | 11 | 8 | 85 | 20 | 1000 |
| $\mathrm{Gl}_{1}$ | 778 | 87 | 11 | 13 | 15 | 58 | 88 | 1000 |
| G | 743 | 123 | 34 | 9 | 7 | 55 | 29 | 1000 |
| H | 197 | 265 | 28 | 9 | 9 | 885 | 107 | 1000 |
| I | 895 | 239 | 26 | 9 | 7 | 279 | 45 | 1000 |
| J | 293 | 831 | 86 | 11 | 9 | 254 | 68 | 1000 |
| K | 271 | 869 | 45 | 11 | 12 | 230 | 62 | 1000 |
| L | 170 | 264 | 24 | 4 | 5 | 473 | 60 | 1000 |
| M | 240 | 818 | 50 | 15 | 5 | 285 | 87 | 1000 |
| N | 350 | 887 | 50 | 10 | 4 | 182 | 87 | 1000 |
| 0 | 554 | 174 | 25 | 6 | 1 | 212 | 28 | 1000 |
| P | 46 | 258 | 107 | 12 | 8 | 471 | 103 | 1000 |
| Q | 228 | 322 | 62 | 20 | 8 | 277 | 85 | 1000 |
| Total | 487 | 252 | 85 | 16 | 6 | 168 | 41 | 1000 |

## CHAPTER VIII.

## mean dimensions of body.

## 1. History of the Investigation.

In the early part of the year 1863, an extensive series of inquiries as to the physical and social condition of our soldiers was prepared by Mr. Olmsted, the General Secretary of the Commission, and Mr. Elliott, the Actuary. These were intended to inclade the most important physical dimensions and personal characteristics, and the necessary apparatus was procured without delay. Similar investigations had already been undertaken, to some extent, by Professor Henry, in behalf of the Smithsonian Institution, who had caused apparatus to be constructed for the purpose; and new instruments for measuring were made at the Coast Survey office, under the supervision of the Vice President of the Commission and Superintendent of the Coast Survey, the late Professor Bache. Two inspectors were appointed, and charged with the duty of obtaining the desired measurements and information for as many men as possible. One of these, Dr. S. B. Buckley, was assigned to the army of the Potomac, while the other, Mr. Risler, measured soldiers in Washington City. The latter was, after a month's service, relieved by Mr. E. B. Fairchild, who was stationed first at a camp on one of the islands in New York harbor, and subsequently at that for rebel prisoners at Point Lookout in Maryland.

The schedule to be filled out by the examiner was in two parts, one pertaining solely to physical characteristics, and such other questions as might be supposed to be of importance in connection with these, and the other having only a bearing on the purely moral and social condition of the same men. The former series only is here discussed, the blank schedule containing them, and known as Form E, having been as follows : -

1. Number of soldier in order of examination?
2. Name of soldier?

Rank?
3. Regiment?
4. Entire height (in stockings - inches and tenths) ?
5. IIeight from ground to lower part of neck (7th cervical rertebra) ?
6. Height to perinæum?
7. Breadth of neck?
8. Breadth of shoulders?
9. Breadth of pelvis?
10. Circumference of chest over the nipple (under the coat and vest inches and tenths)?
11. Circumference of waist?
12. Length of arm - from arm-pit to tip of middle finger?
13. Capacity of chest (cubic inches) ?
14. Weight (lbs. and half lbs.) without coat, hat, arms, or accoutrements?
15. Dynamometer?
16. In the opinion of Inspector, from appearance and statements of subject, is he of American stock of three or more generations? (In cases where this question cannot be answered with confidence, affirmatively or negatively, it will be best not to pursue the examination.)
17. If so, period of immigration of ancestry? (Detail of both sides desirable.)
18. Where born - country or State?

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
« & \text { county? } \\
« & \text { parish or town ? }
\end{array}
$$

19. If foreign born, year of arrival in this country ?

Supposed about?
20. Country of birth - of father?
" " of mother?
u $\quad \omega \quad$ of grandparents ?
21. Enlisted - when?
where?
for what period?
22. Conjugal relation (as single, married, or widower)?
23. Age (last birthday)?
24. Former occupation?
25. Hair - color ?

Bald ?
c slightly?
If so, at what age did baldness become distinct?
26. Eyes - color ?
" distance between pupils ?
" prominent?
27. Complexion?
28. Pulse (regular), beats per minute?
29. Respiration (number of inspirations per minute)?
30. Muscular development?
31. State if in usual vigor?
if reduced by disease?
" wounds?
" recent exertion ?
" hardship?
" poor fare?
32. Is he, when ordinarily well, a tougher and more vigorous man than before he entered the army?

## Less so?

33. Condition of teeth ?

Number lost?

- Number decayed ?

Number filled?
34. Head - circumference about frontal eminence and greatest projection of occiput?

Distance between the condyloid process of lower jaw over os frontis - longest measurement?
Distance between condyloid processes over parietal bones?
Distance from frontal eminence to protuberance of occiput?
35. Facial angle?

The questions of which the numbers are omitted here belonged to the social series.

Of examinations and measurements made in conformity with his schedule, there are existing very nearly 8000 , which will be specified in detail hereafter.

In June 1864 the author of this treatise was appointed Actuary of the Commission, and the following passage is quoted from his first report, made after an examination into the statistical materials of the Commission, and their condition, and dated 1864, July 12.
"Of the reports of physical and social condition of soldiers not quite 7200 have been received, namely, about 5200 for national, and 1970 for rebel soldiers. . . . The results of the physical inspections are tabulated for all the 1970 rebel prisoners, and for 3277 of the United States soldiers ; also for about 760 returns from the convalescent camp.
"A cursory examination of these returns has impressed me forcibly not only with the great value of the work, but also with the importance of some more distinct understanding and interchange of ideas between different inspectors, if their results are to be combined or compared with one another. Those questions which are necessarily general in their nature have been answered by the inspectors according to their individual interpretation of somewhat vague words, and it appears to me essential that some arbitrary directions be prescribed for their guidance,
or better still, agreed upon by the inspectors themselves after personal conference.
"In view of the slowness with which these valuable data can be collected, I would strongly recommend as large an increase of the number of inspectors as may appear feasible to the Commission. Twenty inspectors could furnish but about 7500 to 8000 returns a month, and the best exertions of the Commission can only obtain a comparatively small number. No examinations of the negro troops seem to have yet been made, and the importance of such inspections needs no comment. The blank forms might perhaps be somewhat modified with advantage.
"Should it accord with the views of the Commission to organize a large force of inspectors of physical condition at least, I would farther suggest the desirableness of some official chief of the corps, a part of whose duty it should be to insure uniformity in the interpretation of the questions, and in the signification attributed to the phraseology of answers."

The Commission, with the ready aid and confidence which they have never failed to accord their Actuary, and which will always remain among his most gratifying recollections of an agreeable personal intercourse of nearly four years, adopted the suggestions of this report, and authorized the construction of twelve sets of measuring apparatus, as well as the employment of twelve examiners, who should devote their attention to these investigations and measurements exclusively, - and a sufficient number of clerks to tabulate the results as fast as received.

Considerable modifications were introduced into the apparatus, already excellent, and the schedule of questions was enlarged and revised, with the view of introducing as much precision as possible regarding the points of the body which should serve as bases for measurement. It has always been a source of regret to the writer, that the preparation of this series of questions had not fallen to more competent and experienced hands, since his previous studies had been in totally different departments of research. But the circumstances of the case rendered this impossible, and he endeavored to render the consequent disadvantages a minimum by consultation with friends whose pursuits are of an anthropological or physiological nature. Among those whom he would especially mention with gratitude, as having aided with useful counsel, are Professors Agassiz, J. Wyman, and Holmes, as well as Dr. J. H. Douglas, till that time Chief Inspector and Assistant Secretary of the Commission. Many points of the present inquiry would have been more jadiciously ordered, and many of the measure-
ments more effectively conducted, had the knowledge and experience which have necessarily followed this work been available at its commencement; but the author ventures to hope that the materials obtained, and the elaboration which has been found possible for them, may be regarded as contributions to human knowledge, sufficient to palliate the want of the ampler results which these opportunities would have yielded to abler and more experienced inquirers. It was only after the measurements were completed that he first saw the learned and instructive "Vorlesungen uber den Menschen," by Professor Vogt, which would have given most valuable guidance.

The apparatus employed will be described hereafter. Unfortunately the difficulties under which all mechanic arts were suffering at that period of the war, from lack of men and materials, prevented the prompt completion of the apparatus, and it was not till after four months that all the instruments were ready for use. The fact that all the previous measurements had been made in inches seemed to render it advisable that the new ones should be likewise recorded in inches as the units, which was accordingly done, instead of employing the metric system. This has since been a subject of regret, on several accounts, not the least of which is the almost insuperable tendency of all measurers to record their results in some full mumber of units whenever possible, so that the degree of accuracy is increased to a marked extent as the magnitude of the unit is decreased. Had the dimensions been taken in centimeters instead of inches, not only would the results have been more universally apprehended, but they would really have gained in precision.

The new form prescribed for the examinations received the title "Form EE." To avoid confusion, the same numbers were retained for the questions as had been given in Form E, the new questions being interpolated with fractional numbers or discriminated by small letters affixed.

The following was the schedule, in which the nature of the modifications introduced will be recognized at once.
[Form EE.]
SANITARY COMMISSION.

## INDIVIDUAL INSPECTION.

1. Number of soldier in order of examination?
2. Name of soldier?

## Rank?

3. Reginent?
4. Entire height (in stockings - inches and tenths)?

4 $\frac{1}{2}$. Distance from tip of middle finger to level of upper margin of patella (in " attitude of the soldier") ?
5. Height to lower part of neck (spine of the prominent, i. e., 7th cervical vertebra)?
$5 \frac{1}{2}$. Height to knee (middle of patella)?
6. Height to perinæum?
$6 \frac{1}{2}$. Perinæum to most prominent part of pubes?
7. Breadth of neck?
$7 \frac{1}{2}$. Girth of neck ?
8. Breadth of shoulders between acromion processes?
9. Breadth of pelvis between crests of ilia ?
10. Circumference of chest across the nipples -
a. Full inspiration?
b. After expiration?

10 $\frac{1}{2}$. Distance between nipples?
11. Circumference of waist above hips?
111. Circumference around hips on level with trochanters?

12 a. Length of arm - from tip of acromion to tip of middle finger?
b. Distance from middle of top of sternum to tip of middle finger, arm extended ?
c. Distance from tip of acromion to extremity of elbow?
13. Capacity of chest in cubic inches (i. e., amount exhaled after full inhalation)?
14. Weight (lbs. and half lbs.) without coat, hat, arms, or accoutrements? -
142. Weight (from memory) at enlistment ?
15. Dynamometer?
16. In the opinion of the Inspector, from appearance and statements of subject, is he of American stock of three or more generations?
17. If so, period of immigration of ancestry? (Detail of both sides desirable.)
18. Where born - country or State?
" county ?
" parish or town?
19. If foreign born, year of arrival in this country?

Supposed about?
20. Country of birth - of father?
" of mother?
" of grandparents ?
21. Enlisted - when ?
where?
for what period?
22. Conjugal relation (as single, married, or widower)?
23. Age (last birthday)?
24. Former occupation or occupations?
25. Hair - color?
amount?
texture?
If bald, at what age did baldness become distinct?
26. Eyes - color?
distance between outer angles?
" " inner angles ?
prominent?
27. Complexion ?
28. Pulse (regular) beats per minute ?
29. Respiration (number of inspirations per minute, when quiet)?
30. Muscular development?
31. Is he in usual vigor?
reduced by disease?
" wounds?
" recent exertion?
« hardship?
" poor fare?
32. Is he, when ordinarily well, a tougher and more vigorous man than before he entered the army?
33. Condition of teeth?

Number lost?
34. Head - a. Circumference about frontal eminence, and greatest projection of occiput?
b. Distance between the condyloid processes of lower jaw over os frontis, longest measurement?
c. Distance between condyloid processes over parietal bones?
d. Distance between condyloid processes over occipital protuberance?
e. Distance from frontal eminence to protuberance of oc ciput?
$f$. Width between angles of jaws?
g. Width between condyloid processes?

## 35. Facial angle ?

36. Foot - a. Length from tip of great toe to extremity of heel?
b. Length from tip of great toe to hollow above heel?
c. Thickness at instep?
d. Circumference around heel and anterior ligament?
37. Was he, before the war, given to athletic recreations, and if so, what kind?
38. Education - Limited common school?

Good common school?
High school?
Professional?
57. Distance of distinct vision for small pica double-leaded type ?
58. Does he distinguish colors correctly?

If not, describe the irregularity ?
To secure uniformity in the mode of measurement by different examiners, Dr. Buckley, whose experience and scientific attainments had already proved serviceable in the examinations under Form E, was appointed Chief Examiner, and all the other gentlemen engaged upon the work went through some days' practice in measuring with him. The following printed instructions were also furnished to each examiner.

## INSTRCCTIONS FOR EXAMINATION OF INDIVIDUALS. - [FORM EE.]

The persons examined should not be selected, but should be taken indiscriminately, - by companies and regiments, when possible.

The object of Question $4 \frac{1}{2}$, is to determine the point on the outer side of the thigh corresponding with the tip of the middle finger, in the "attitude of the soldier." It is best measured with the calipers.

Question $6 \frac{1}{2}$ cannot be answered by means of the andrometer, but may be omitted, as also may Question $10 \frac{1}{2}$, when no opportunity is found for examination of the individual without clothing. Such opportunities are never to be lost; although the ordinary examination requires merely the removal of hat, coat, waistcoat, and boots, and loosening the shirt at the breast.

The Girth of neck (Question $7 \frac{1}{2}$ ) is to be taken around the pomum Adami.

The Circumference of chest (10) is to be measured under all the clothing ; the Distance between nipples ( $10 \frac{1}{2}$ ), taken with calipers.

For ascertaining the Capacity of the chest (13), the lungs are to be fully inflated, and then as completely emptied as may be, by breathing through the tube of the spirometer. The results of three consecutive trials are to be recorded.

The Questions 28 and 29 , as to the number of pulsations and inspi-
rations in a minute, must both be answered before the trial of the Dynamometer (15), which would derange the normal condition. The respirations are of course to be counted without the knowledge of the individual. It is recommended that they be noted immediately after the arm-measurements (13), when the person examined is not suspecting a change in the order of questions as printed; and before the trials with the Spirometer. The precautions for insuring accurate answers are self-evident.

In answer to Question 16, state the stock, if possible (as English, Irish, French, etc.) ; if not, state the race, unless Caucasian, (as African, Malay, etc.) ; or if of mixed races, and what.

In Trades (Question 24), the journeyman is to be distinguished from the master in all cases - as, Baker (journeyman); Carpenter (master). Laborers are to be described according to the nature of their employment - as Agricultural Laborer, Railway Laborer. The term Farmer should be applied only to those who have themselves owned or rented land. The sons of farmers, living on the farm and working on it, may be returned "Farmers' sons." Descriptions of occupation should be precise - they are too often incomplete : for example, engine feeder, engine driver, not engineer; brass founder, iron founder, not founder simply; commercial clerk, lawyer's clerk, not clerk, simply. If a Mechanic, state the Branch of manufacture; if a Shopkeeper or Salesman, state the kind of business.

The Color of the Hair (25), may be described as Black, Dark-Brown, Brown, Light-Brown, Sandy, Red, Gray (if gray, the original color should also be ascertained and recorded) ; its Amount, as Thick, Medium, Scanty, or the degree of baldness indicated; its Texture, as Straight, Wavy, or Curly, and as Coarse, Medium, or Fine.

The Color of the Eyes (26), - as Blue, Gray, Hazel, Light-Brown, Dark-Brown, Black.

The Complexion (27), - as Fair, Ruddy, Medium, or Dark.
The Muscular Development (30), - as Large, Moderate, or Deficient.

In the Measurcments of Head (34), - the lengths under the hair are desired. The measures $a$ and $b$ refer to the " frontal eminence," or most prominent part of the forehead above the superciliary ridge. But the distance $e$ should be measured from the angle of the skull between the eyebrows to that at the base behind. The widths $f$ and $g$ are to be taken with calipers; the other measures with the tape.

The Length and Thickness of Foot (36), are to be measured with calipers.

In answering Question 55, record the apparent degree of actual culture or intelligence, rather than the mode in which it was obtained.

The Facial Angle (35), has its center at the alveolar process, and the angle desired is included between lines drawn to the orifice of the ear, and to the " frontal eminence" as above defined.

The lines entitled "Objects of the Examination" are printed on the back of the Forms EE in small-pica double-leaded, and may be used for Question 57.
The object of Question 58 is to determine the comparative frequency of what is called color-blindness, by ascertaining whether green can be distinguished easily from red, yellow from blue, etc.

All measurements are to be noted in inches and tenths, so far as possible; and if for any reason it should not be found practicable to obtain satisfactory and accurate answers, it is better to make a dash against the question, omitting the answer entirely, than to record an uncertain result.
In examining negro troops, give, as answer to Question 30, an estimate of the proportion of black blood, such as Full Black, Mulatto, Quadroon, Octroon; as well as of the negro race, if this can be discriminated. In answer to Question 55, a statement of the apparent intelligence may be given, such as Very low, Low, Average, Quick, etc.; - the ordinary white private soldier being taken as the standard of comparison. Also state whether he can read or write, or both, well or imperfectly; and when this was learned.

The blanks, when filled, are to be sent to the Statistical Department of the Commission, at Washington, - weekly, if possible. Not more than one hundred sets of measures should ever remain in the hands of the examiner at a time.

Cambridge, Murck 1, 1865.

The close of the war happily deprived us of the opportunities for measuring, by dispersing the citizen soldiery to their homes; but all means of obtaining the desired data were actively improved, so that our total number of men measured according to the new form nearly reaches the number of 15900 . Some of these it has seemed desirable not to incorporate with our results, but the measurements of 15781 men seem entitled to full confidence, as honestly, carefully, and intelligently made.

In arranging the stations of the different examiners, and giving instructions as to the special duties of each, efforts were made to provide so far as possible that the measurements by each person should be confined to no one class of men, and that the measurements of no class should be restricted to a single examiner. The various exigencies of the work, and a proper regard to economy, prevented entire compliance with this rule; yet it was never overlooked, and in those cases where the physical examination of any class of men was conducted by one person only, the duty was assigned to the most experienced and careful person available, and
to some one moreover whose other duties had, when possible, been such as to permit his work to be easily compared with that of more than one other examiner.
The military officers at the various camps and stations afforded all needful opportunities for these examinations with unfailing readiness, no obstacles having been encountered in any instance from want of coöperation on the part of commanding officers. By the Navy Department here, as in all other cases, facilities were accorded with cordiality, and both the late Chief of the Medical Bureau, Dr. Whelan, and the present Chief of Bureau, Dr. Horwitz, issued orders which greatly aided our endeavors. To Admiral Stringham, then commanding the Charlestown Navy Yard, as also to Admiral Thatcher, and to the officers of the Naval Recruiting Station, in New York city, our thanks are also due. In those cases where application to the Secretary of War became necessary, we were less fortunate, all such applications being refused without exception. This has unfortunately precluded us from repeating the measurements of prisoners of war, in order to test the correctness of the differences found by comparison of the results of examinations according to the earlier form. Farther permission was refused, nor could appeals or explanations to the Surgeon-General or the Secretary avail to obtain permission for the agents of the Commission to measure any of the large number of full-blooded Indians, who were held for a considerable time as prisoners of war near Rock Island, on the Upper Mississippi.

A detailed exhibit of the materials collected will be presented in the next section.

The reports from the examiners were sent in weekly, whenever possible, and were immediately tabulated upon sheets prepared for the purpose. Those data which seemed capable of influence by ethnological agencies, were then assorted according to the nativities of the men; those who were in their ordinary health being kept distinct from those who were not, and different classes of men being separately tabulated, so far as was possible. Subsequently a minute comparison was made between the original report and the tabulated copy, for the detection of errors ; those of the copyist were corrected; and the examiner was called upon without delay for information as to any measurements or statements which seemed probably erroneous in his reports. At a later period a different scrutiny was also applied, as will be described in its proper order.

In the distribution by nativities, the same classification was em-
plosed for the later measurements, which was adopted in the discussion of the Statures, and has been described in Chapter V. But for the earlier measurements and examinations, the arrangement is different, the subdivision being only into ten classes.

Careful discussion of the earlier measures soon made manifest the great importance, not to say necessity, of the precautions, fortunately already taken, to provide that methods of measurement should be the same with different examiners. Differences of the most marked and peculiar kind appeared to exist between the United States soldiers and the rebel prisoners, natives of Southern States. So, too, a comparison of the physical conformation of soldiers measured at the Convalescent Camp, with that of men in active service, seemed to point to very remarkable inferences; yet subsequent measurements of other men of the same classes do not appear to confirm these deductions, and it is more than probable that the discordances arose from different modes of measurement to a much greater extent than from real differences between the classes of men. No pains have been spared in the arrangement of the later measurements [EE], to avoid and to eliminate errors of this kind, yet it would be vain to suppose that they have been entirely obviated; and indeed their influence can be made perceptible by minute discussion in almost every one of the measures prescribed by the schedule. This is especially the case with the head-measurements, but the phenomenon is well known to anthropologists; and there is ground to hope that the employment of the results obtained by many examiners, each of whom aimed at the same object, may afford a means for final deductions comparatively free from individual error. For some questions, such as the facial angle, special determinations of personal difference have been made, and applied as a correction to the result. Accidental errors of measurements follow a general law, and are absolutely eliminated when the mean value is deduced from a sufficiently large number of cases; but no amount of repetition by the same individual can eliminate these constant personal peculiarities. Their elimination implies measurements of the same quantity by a number of different persons.

After the tabulation, classification by nativities, and verification of the numbers by a new comparison with the original reports, had been completed, the mean values for each dimension were computed, and the individual cases assorted by magnitude.

A system of groups was arranged, each group corresponding to certain limits of variation from the mean value for that particu-
lar dimension, and the number of cases was counted which be longed within each group. Several desirable ends were attained by this process, but its principal object was to determine the extent to which the distribution of the individual values, around their mean, conformed to the Law of Error, and thus to decide whether the mean already determined truly represented a type; in which case it would not be essentially changed by any increase in the number of equally good measurements; while, on the other hand, any different system of distribution would indicate that the true type had not been attained, so that our mean would require an increase of measurements for its proper determination. An opportunity was also thus afforded and improved for discovering and investigating cases of excessive discordance from the mean.

At a later period of the investigation, when from study of the Law of Growth, it became manifest that the dimensions of the body are very dependent upon the age of the individual, and that the increase of stature generally continues for more than ten years after the age at which most enlistments took place, the full importance of considering the age, as an element of the inquiry, was first appreciated. This would require a classification of the men of each nativity according to age, and a comparative discussion of their dimensions at different ages. Three of the nativities appear to include a sufficient number of individuals to permit some inferences to be obtained in this manner, especially since the statistics of stature are so thoroughly deduced from a large number of cases. Financial considerations, only, have prevented this investigation, which is among the many of which the prosecution was most reluctantly foregone. The materials, however, exist, available for any future inquirer, and in a form which will require a minimum amount of labor for attaining the desired results. Whether the several dimensions which depend upon the development of the bony structure increase according to the saune or similar laws, or in the same proportions, during the years between the ages of eighteen and forty-five, is the question to be determined.

One important part of the discussion of our materials it has happily been found possible to complete, namely, the reduction of all the measured dimensions to decimals of the stature. Thus the proportions, as well as actual dimensions, are determined for nearly twenty-four thousand men; and if we are justified in the assumption that the osseous system is symmetrically developed after eighteen years, all our data for each nativity may be combined, without fear of affecting the mean results by the aggrega-
tion of the individual dimensions of men of different age. And on the other hand, since any variation in the relative dimensions, for different classes, must be on a scale much smaller than the variations of the actual dimensions, our mean results are entitled to greater confidence, the peculiarity of abnormal cases is more distinctly manifested, and the materials for farther investigation of the modification of bodily proportions by age, stature, nativity, place of residence, occupation, class of society, etc., as well as by race, are brought into the form most favorable for use.

The excessively laborious character of the processes to which these measurements have already been subjected, will be palpable upon the most cursory examination, and will doubtless lead to as full an appreciation of what has been accomplished, as of what has been omitted. Still, it may be well to record that the omissions are not altogether the result of neglect, or of want of desire to continue the inquiries for which these measurements afford a fuller scope than has before been available for anthropologists or statisticians; but it is in great part due to the limits of pecuniary outlay, and of time, to which the Sanitary Commission has felt bound to restrict their researches.

The results of the measurements so carefully planned and carried out by Drs. Schultz and Scherzer of the Exploring Expedition in the Austrian steam-frigate "Novara," would doubtless have aided in the discussion of the materials here presented, by affording the guidance which the inquiries of scholars learned and trained in anthropological researches could not fail to offer; but although anxiously awaited, these results have not yet been published, so far as the author of this volume is aware.

To give as wide usefulness as possible to these researches in their ethnological relations, the Commission has distributed the apparatus with which the measurements were made, among various institutions of learning in the United States; and has disseminated the blank forms [EE] and the instructions to examiners as widely as possible among scientific travellers. Governor MacTavish, of the Red River Territory of British North America, has cordially undertaken to obtain similar measurements of Indians of that region, and to send them to the Smithsonian Institution ; and analogous measurements of Indians of the Pacific Coast, both in North and South America, have been promised, and are probably now making. Although this schedule is doubtless defective, the large number of men who have been measured according to its provisions, will probably render it more useful now than a better one would be, as a guide for ethnological determinations.

## 2. Measurements obtained.

It has been stated that about 8000 men were examined according to the original Form E. Of these examinations, by far the greater portion were made before the present Actuary assumed the charge of the work. Some of the results, based upon measures of 776 volunteers made by Dr. Buckley at the Convalescent Camp near Alexandria, and those of 916 men made by the same examiner at the camp at Aquia Creek Landing, were communicated in behalf of the Commission by the former Actuary, Mr. Elliott, to the Statistical Congress at Berlin in September 1863, and subsequently elaborated, and published in a paper, "On the Military Statistics of the United States," with the Proceedings of that Congress. Mr. Elliott's well known ability and learning render this document one of high interest. Until the new apparatus was completed for use in the examinations according to the Schedule [EE], the former system was continued, and the total number of the earlier physical examinations now in our possession is as follows. ${ }^{1}$

| Examiner | No. | and Class of Men | Place | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dr. S. B. Buckley | 776 | U. S. soldiers | Conval. Camp, Va. | Jan.-Apr. 1863 |
| " | 916 | " | Aquia Creek, Va. | Apr.June 1863 |
| " | 4045 | " " | Camps in D. C. | July 1863-Sept. 1864 |
| H. Risler | 234 | " | Washington | May and June 1863 |
| E. B. Fairchild |  | Rebel pris'rs | \} David's Island, N. Y. | Sept. 1863 |
| " | $1915$ | U. S. soldiers | $\}$ Pt. Lookout, Md. | Oct. 1863-Feb. 1864 |

The uncertainties which may arise, and the possible errors incurred by comparing or combining these several sets of measures by different examiners, have been already alluded to. The mean dimensions deduced from measurements by any one examiner, for men of different classes or nativities, may legitimately be compared; but it is not so for the mean values obtained for one class by one examiner, and for another class by another examiner, unless a sufficient number of some one class has been measured by both examiners, to permit a trustworthy determination of the mean difference of their results. The effect of the want of some good method

[^38]of determining this mean difference, for the earlier measures, will seriously impair the reliance to be placed upon any comparative inference from these. Thus, for example, for natives of the New England States, the mean breadth of the pelvis appears to be 12.96 inches, and the distance over the top of the head between the frontal and occipital eminences 14.44 inches; while for natives of the Slave States, the corresponding mean values are found to be 13.41 and 13.57 inches. Or, if we consider relative dimensions only, expressed in terms of the height as a unit, the average length of the legs is 0.459 for natives of Pennsylvania, and 0.473 for rebel prisoners; and the head measure already cited gives 0.215 for New Englanders, and 0.199 for Southerners. Or, yet again, if we compare men in perfect health with men not in their usual vigor, we shall find the heads of the former to be, on the average, above three tenths of an inch larger in circumference. These differences do not exist in the men measured, but in the usages and judgements of the men measuring - the different class of soldiers being chiefly examined by different persons.

Ineffectual efforts have been made to deduce the personal differences between Messrs. Buckley and Fairchild, so as to permit a safer comparison of their respective results. In the absence of this important means of referring one system of measures to the other, the results of these earlier measures have been classified by nativities only, and directly combined. Therefore, in those nativities which include measurements by both of these gentlemen, the results are intermediate between those which would have been derived from the measurements by each examiner separately.

The mean values of the Actual and Relative dimensions, or as we will designate them, the Dimensions and the Proportions, which are deducible from this series of physical examinations, will be given in their appropriate place, with the other values which result from the subsequent series of measurements with improved apparatus, and according to the new schedule. Where marked differences are found to exist between the two determinations purporting to be of the same dimensions, the explanation will generally suggest itself upon comparison of the language of the question in the two blank forms.

The instruments employed consisted of an andrometer, spirometer, dynamometer, facial-angle instrument, platform-balance, calipers, and measuring tape.

The andrometer is said to have been originally devised by a tailor in Edinburgh, named McDonald, who used it to determine
the proper size for soldiers' clothing, for which he had undertaken a considerable contract with the military authorities. Ballingal! has given ${ }^{1}$ some account, as well as a representation of it; and states that the instrument is deposited in the Museum of the Edinburgh University. It enables the total height, breadth of neck, of shoulders, and of pelvis, the length of legs and height to the knee to be measured with greater accuracy and rapidity than otherwise would be possible, since when the man to be measured has taken his position, gauges are quickly set for the measures of all these dimensions, and the numerical values read off after the man has left the instrument. Instruments of this kind were con-
 structed for the Sanitary Commission in 1863, at the office of the U. S. Coast Survey, under the special supervision of the late Professor Bache, the lamented Superintendent of the Survey, and Vice President of the Commission. These contained some improvements upon the original instrument, especially such as permitted more accurate adjustment to the person, as well as an additional gauge for measuring the height of the body proper, of which the seventh cervical vertebra was taken as the limit. When in August and September, 1864, the new instruments were ordered, Dr. Douglas kindly charged himself with the supervision of the work, which was executed with great care and fidelity by Mr. William Belcher of New York. In the new instruments many addi-
1 Outlines of Military Surgery, 1855, pp. 35, 36.
tional improvements were introduced, a considerable part of them being suggested by the experience obtained by the use of the two former ones, which were themselves correspondingly modified as soon as they could be spared for the purpose. The annexed figures will indicate the general construction of the andrometer, and the manner of use.


The graduations of this instrument, and of all our implements for linear measure, are in inches and tenths, all danger of error from the use of divisions not decimal being thus avoided. It is a source of regret to the author that he did not employ the metric system for all these measurements, not only as attended with less uncertainty on account of the smaller unit employed when centi-
meters are substituted for inches, and for the more obvious reason of greater facility in comparing them with other similar measurements, but also as a means of contributing in some small degree towards the important and philanthropic work, now going on among civilized nations, of promoting a uniform decimal international sys tem of weights and measures.

The great deficiency of skillful mechanics in the country during the last years of the war delayed the completion of the apparatus, the first set of which was not ready until the middle of December, and but one hundred and eighty men had been examined according to the new programme, at the beginning of the year 1865.

- The overthrow of the rebellion was finished early in April, and the disbandment of the army soon commenced, so that more than five sixths of our data in this series were collected during the first eight months of 1865 .

Examiners were appointed as rapidly as the sets of apparatus were completed, and each examiner practised a day or two with Dr. Buckley before commencing his own independent series of measurements. The first examinations attempted were at Elmira, N. Y., where was a large camp of rebel prisoners. A set of apparatus was provisionally made up, by the use of some of the old and some of the new instruments, and taken by Dr. Buokley to Elmira, where he instructed Mr. William S. Baker in their use, and remained for some time in the expectation of permission to commence the desired measurements. Every courtesy and assistance possible was afforded by the officers in command, but access to the prisoners could only be obtained by permission of the Secretary of War, and our application was refused by him. This camp was, however, found to be a very favorable position for obtaining measurements of our own soldiers, and about a thousand men were measured there by Mr. Baker.

During the month of December, 1864, five more examiners were appointed, instructed, and assigned to duty. Mr. Arthur Phinney was stationed at the Naval Rendezvous in New York City, where he was able to measure the men while entirely unclothed, immediately after their examination by the medical officer of the station. Here he measured more than eight hundred men at the time of their acceptance into the navy, thus obtaining a peculiarly valuable collection of data, to which his scrupulous accuracy has given additional worth. Dr. W. B. Wells was assigned to the Marine Barracks at the Brooklyn Navy Yard, and Messrs. F. H. Smith and G. F. Murray to Fort McHenry and another of the military stations near Baltimore, where quarters and all de-
sired assistance were readily afforded them by General Morris, then commanding the defenses of Baltimore. Arrangements had also been made for the examination of uncivilized Indians, a large number of whom were held as prisoners of war near Rock Island in Illinois, but these were rendered futile by the failure of repeated attempts on the part of various officers of the Commission to obtain the needed authority from the War Department, the SurgeonGeneral reporting officially "that the scientific results did not promise to be of sufficient value to warrant the introduction of irresponsible persons into our large prison camps."

In January 1865, Messrs. C. D. Lewis, Horatio T. Myers, and James Russell, together with Dr. Buckley, commenced the examination of soldiers of Western regiments and of the First Army Corps near Washington. In February Mr. Russell established himself at City Point, Va., where he commenced the measurement of colored soldiers; and Dr. B. G. Wilder, a naturalist of distinction, then Assistant Surgeon of a Massachusetts negro regiment, and Major Sigourney Wales measured sailors on board the receiving ship at the Charlestown Navy Yard with Dr. Buckley, as preliminary to a series of examinations of black troops in South Carolina, whither they returned in the month following. In March, Dr. John Elsner relieved the last named gentleman at the Charlestown Navy Yard; Mr. Lewis was transferred to Detroit, where was a large camp; and Mr. Myers to New Orleans, to measure Southern white men. This last undertaking, however, proved unsuccessful. Mr. Myers's health gave way under the climatic influences; and he was able to reach his home in New York State but a few weeks before he fell a victim to the debility resulting from malarial fever.

The collapse of the rebellion in April, and the extensive military movements which preceded and followed this event, together with the cessation of recruiting for the navy, interrupted or restricted most of the work of the examiners; while the approaching concentration of the Armies of the Potomac and of the West, around Washington, indicated that a very short-lived but abundant opportunity for the collection of materials was near at hand. Another examiner, Mr. James M. Stark, was accordingly added to the corps; and measures were taken to transfer to Washington or vicinity all of our examiners whose supply of men did not promise to be abundant for two or three months to come, excepting Mr. Russell, who accompanied the Twenty-fifth Army Corps to the Rio Grande, in order to increase the number of measurements of colored troops. The interval was improved to obtain similar
measurements, by Dr. Elsner, of the older students of the universities at Cambridge and New Haven, of whom two hundred and ninety-one were examined, as has been already stated in Chapter V., where their statures are discussed.

With the disbandment of the grand armies around Washington, and the mustering out of service, which so promptly followed for other soldiers, our opportunities for obtaining men were greatly diminished, and the examinations were discontinued wherever the supply of subjects became insufficient to furnish measures of eighty men a week.

At a later period, a considerable number of examinations, both of white and colored men, were made at New Orleans, by Dr. George W. Avery, Surgeon of the 1st Louisiana Infantry ; and Mr. Thomas Furniss and Dr. Buckley measured somewhat more than five hundred Indians, belonging to the Iroquois or Six Nations, including all the full-grown men of unmixed race accessible on the Iroquois reservations in Western New York.

The total number of the men of different classes whose measurements have been made and tabulated according to Schedule [EE], will be most readily seen from the accompanying tabular view, in which the work of each examiner is indicated.

| Examiners | White Soldiers |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { Sail- } \\ \text { ors }}}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ma} \\ & \text { rines } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Stu- } \\ & \text { dents } \end{aligned}\right.$ | Full BloodNegroes |  | Mulattoes |  | Indians |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { vigor } \\ \text { vigu } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { Not in } \\ \text { Vigor } \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\underset{\text { vigor }}{\text { vign }}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \text { Not in } \\ \text { Vigor } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In } \\ & \text { Vigor } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Not in } \\ \text { Vigor } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { In } \\ \text { Vigor } \end{array}$ | Not in Vigor |  |
| Buckley . | 1498 | 549 | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | - | 507 | 6 | 2567 |
| Baker. | 1754 | 69 | - | - | - | 305 | 22 | 57 | 3 | - | - | 2210 |
| Phinney . | 747 | 250 | 822 | - | - | 45 | 1 | 20 | 1 | 1 | - | 1887 |
| Lewis. | 2455 | 169 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 2625 |
| Smith. . | 1340 | 256 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1597 |
| Russell | 149 | 24 | - | - | - | 601 | 53 | 148 | 34 | - | - | 1009 |
| Myers. . | 168 | 54 | - | - | - | 116 | 31 | 34 | 17 | - | - | 420 |
| Wells. | - | 13 | - | 68 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 81 |
| Murray | 68 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 68 |
| Elsner | 607 | 170 | 295 | - | 291 | 29 | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | 1396 |
| Wales | - | - | 28 | - | - | 504 | 118 | 103 | 40 | - | 2 | 795 |
| Wilder | 1 | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | 30 | 11 | - | - | 45 |
| Stark . | 225 | 29 | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 261 |
| Avery . | 50 | 2 | - | - | - | 48 | - | 300 | 35 | - | - | 435 |
| Furniss | 209 | 20 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 231 |
| Marcy | - | - | - | - | - | 138 | - | 16 | - | - | - | 154 |
| Total | 9271 | 1605 | 1146 | 68 | 291 | 1792 | 228 | 719 | 144 | 508 | 9 | 15781 |

In making these examinations the usual course was to cause the man to take off shoes, coat, and waistcoat, the trousers and under-clothing remaining; but the girth of the chest was measured under the shirt. Men thus measured are recorded as "clothed." In many cases all clothing was removed, except trousers and drawers; and men thus measured are recorded as "halfnaked." Others still were measured while entirely divested of clothing.
Our materials, assorted on this basis, are as follows:-

|  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { White } \\ \text { Soldiers } \end{array}$ | White Sailora | Marines | Studente | Negroes | Mixed Races | Indians | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clothed . | 10876 | - | - | 291 | 1196 | 607 | 517 | 13487 |
| Half-naked | - | 85 | 68 | - | 147 | 47 | - | 347 |
| Naked. | - | 1061 | - | - | 677 | 209 | - | 1947 |
| Total . | 10876 | 1146 | 68 | 291 | 2020 | 863 | 517 | 15781 |

Of these there are some belonging to each of the classes into which the nativities have been divided, as already described, althongh to some, such as the 'Free States west of the Mississippi,' or 'Spain and Spanish Colonies,' there belong but few. About one ninth of the total number of white soldiers were born in the New England States, about one third in New York, Pennsylvania, or New Jersey, and nearly one sixth in Ohio and Indiana, while between one fifth and one sixth were born on the other continent.
A very considerable number of measurements of certain dimensions were erroneously made, in spite of all efforts to the contrary. This was especially the case with the width of shoulders, where, not the distance between the acromion processes, but the full width, was measured for a while by some examiners, giving results analogous to those obtained in the early series according to Form E. Similar misconceptions took place in some of the head measurements, especially $34 e$, and in the facial angles. In all these instances, however, the erroneous methods were soon detected, investigated, and remedied; while the results, though valueless as regards the answer to the real question, are yet not without their use as affording some measurement, other than the one demanded.
To guard against dangers of this sort, the tabulation of the returns was made to keep pace as far as possible with the examinations made ; and the mean dimensions resulting from the measure-
ments by each examiner were frequently computed and collated. Any indication of systematic discordance was followed up without delay, and traced either to some peculiarity of individual method, or to some characteristic of the class of men involved.

The value of the earlier measurements (Form E) is of course incommensurate with that of the later ones (Form EE), apart from the much larger number of these latter. The relative trustworthiness of the two series can be estimated from the details already given, and the results from each have been independently elaborated, by similar methods. It has been already stated, however, that the classification by nativities is not the same for the two series; that which was finally employed for the discussion of the later measurements and of the statures having been adopted after considerable progress had already been made in the reduction of the earlier ones.

In the present chapter, only the linear dimensions of the body will be considered; while the proportions deducible from these, as well as the measures of the head, will form the subjects of subsequent chapters, the latter being followed in their turn by some discussion of the other points regarding which information is afforded by our physical examinations.

A few remarks on the nature of the inferences legitimately deducible from our results will perhaps be appropriate here; after which they will be presented in as condensed and concise a form as the nature of the case seems properly to admit. It will be remembered by the considerate student of the facts which we have gathered, and striven to offer in this compact form, that the present investigation does not aspire to, and may not even aim at, any thorough discussion of the large mass of data which have been collected. The means of the Commission and the pursuits of the author alike forbid such an undertaking; but it is hoped and believed that the means for such researches have been collected and arranged in a form well adapted for the use of the anthropological inquirer, and that such facts as are deducible from our materials, though not from their printed results, may be obtained with comparative ease from the manuscript archives of the Statistical Department, which it is the desire of the Commission to preserve in a form convenient for access.

## 3. Averages, Types, etc.

The value of the results of these measurements will depend shiefly upon the degree of approximation with which their mean
represents the normal dimensions of the classes of men under consideration. These normal dimensions would, for any one class of persons, be afforded by the arithmetical mean, or average value, of the corresponding dimensions of all men of the same class, provided an indefinitely large number could be obtained ; and it becomes an important problem to ascertain the limits within which our finally adopted determinations would probably be varied by an indefinite increase in the number of men measured, - or, in other words, to obtain some numerical expression of the degree of reliance which should be placed on the mean values derived from our respective measurements, as indicating the normal dimensions.
It seems, therefore, not amiss to offer here a few words concerning the true significance of averages, and the nature of typical forms. The subject has been so thoroughly elaborated, both in its mathematical and its philosophical bearings, that few, if any, remarks on its elementary principles may claim the credit of originality. Even the mode of presenting the ideas involved in a popular form offers little unoccupied ground, since the elegant and learned treatises by Quetelet, De Morgan, and others. And the only endeavor in this place will be to present such considerations as are requisite for proper criticism of our materials.
If after a marksman has fired a large number of times at a distant target, we examine the several shots, measuring their distances and directions from the center, we shall soon be able to discover in this experimental way a number of theorems, which hold good, not merely for all similar cases, but for all human efforts in science or art, and for all phenomena in which those complex influences are involved which are implied in such words as accident, fortune, hazard, chance, or random. Among these theorems, two are especially important.
We shall find that there is a mean or average point from which the sum of the distances of all the individual shots is a minimum. This point may not have been struck by a single ball, yet it represents the average of all the shots, and is in fact the point more likely than any one other to have been hit by each individual ball. If it coincide with the central point of the target, this is the highest testimony to the accuracy of the marksman, since it is thus made evident that his aim was affected by no vicious habit in pointing or in firing; but that the divergence of the several shots from their central or average point was exclusively due to errors which may be classed as fortuitous.
Practically, however, such accordance will seldom, and strictly
speaking, it will probably never, be found; but it will be seen that this mean or central point of all the shots fired deviates from the center of the target by a certain amount, and in a certain direction. This amount and direction measure the constant or personal error of aim, which will usually be found a very decided and well marked quantity, both in its character (i. e. the direction) and in its intensity ( $i$. e. the distance). Under the same circumstances it will be essentially the same for the same individual ; but it is only partially dependent either upon the person or the circumstances. The amount and direction of the wind, the position of the sun, the rifle used, and other influences, will modify the error due to the individual.

We shall also find that the shots are systematically grouped about their central point, being more numerous in its immediate vicinity, and their number decreasing with the distance, in conformity with some regular law. This law is known; it is deducible from abstract mathematical investigation; its sway is supreme throughout the whole domain of chance or hazard, wherever this may extend. And the precise proportion of the shots which belong to each successive interval of distance from the mean of all, may be computed either before or after the event. This proportion is not necessarily that which will be found there, but it will closely approximate thereto; the degree of accordance will be greater, the greater the number ; and if the number be indefinitely increased, the accordance will be absolute. The scale of application of this law, as exhibited by the magnitude of the successive equal intervals of distance, will vary with different individuals, and must be deduced by experiment before the actual numbers can be assigned for each inch or centimeter, or other definite linear dimension. This depends upon a numerical value easily deduced, and known as the " measure of precision," and in the case supposed indicates, not the accuracy of the aim, but its regularity; the former being measured by the uniform or constant, and the latter by the accidental or variable, error. Now the degree of accordance between the theoretical distribution of the distances of the several shots about their central point, on the one hand, as computed by the mathematical formula, when the measure of precision is known, - and the distribution actually observed, on the other hand, affords a criterion as to how far the central point found represents the true point which it is desired to find, and which would be shown after an indefinite number of shots. $\mathbf{A}$ close accordance between the computed and predicted series shows
that the true point has been so well determined by observation, that no considerable increase in accuracy would probably be attained by a considerable increase in the number of trials. But a marked discordance between the two series implies an inadequate number of trials, and consequently an untrustworthy determination of the desired mean.
Let ns now suppose the same marksman to make similar trials on a large number of different occasions, under varying physical conditions, at various hours of the day, in various states of the weather, and, in short, under circumstances as diverse as possible; and let us consider the several resulting determinations of the point at which he actually does aim, while intending to aim at the center of the target. Here the positions deduced for the central puint of his shots on different days will also be grouped about a mean position, and in accordance with the same law of error, and under the same conditions as already described. And this group of points will give us the measure and direction of that portion of the several errors (constant under certain circumstances), which belongs to the individual alone, and is constant for him under all circumstances. Moreover we may here deduce a " measure of precision" which indicates the average effect of extraneous influences, and by its aid may determine the accordance between theory and observation, - thus measuring the degree of accuracy with which the true point of individual aim has been determined.
Taking yet another step, we may similarly combine the points of aim, thus found, for a large number of individual marksmen, and shall find the same laws to prevail: Different individuals will be found affected with tendencies to constant errors varying in magnitude and in direction ; and, unless some overruling influence exist, commun to all or nearly all, we shall find that the central point of aim for a large corps of marksmen coincides with the center of the target, their individual points of aim being grouped around this center, according to the same law of error. Should any agency affect all to such an extent as to prevent a coincidence between their average ain and the true center of the target, this want of coincidence would disclose the existence, and lead to the detection, of the disturbing influence.
It is manifest that the steps here considered in succession need not be successively taken, but that a considerable number of men, practising together on various ocrasions, would enable us, by finding the mean of all the shots, and their several divergences therefrom, to arrive at a close approximation to the central point of
the target, after all other means of recognition had been effaced or destroyed. We should, moreover, attain a knowledge of the average skill displayed, as affected by the average circumstances.

Now we may regard the laws of Nature, to which the Supreme Being has assigned the duty of carrying out his creative mandates, as occupying, in the almost infinitely varied circumstances under which they find application, a position analogous to that of marksmen aiming at a target. There exists, for plant and beast and man, a type, - not necessarily clothed with a material body, yet none the less a real entity. And as, among hundreds of thousands of shots, no single one may centrally strike the target, while their grouping may indicate its center, with a precision greater than our senses permit us to appreciate; so, by a sufficient number of measurements, under circumstances sufficiently varied, upon a sufficient number of subjects, we may arrive at a knowledge of the form and dimensions of the ideal, typical plant, or animal, or man,to which all individuals are approximations, although no one of them may ever have attained, or hoped to attain, its accurate impersonation. Varieties and individual dissimilarities here occupy positions relatively analogous to the constant and variable errors of aim on the part of the marksman; and possibly in the exalted scheme of Nature, even species and genera, to go no higher, may in their turn occupy the same relative stations, when our field of view is adequately magnified.

Applying these principles to the present investigation, we see that there is a human type to be sought, though attainable only by the combination of results from many races; a type of race, attainable through the study of many nationalities; a type of nationality, and a type of each class within its bounds. Our measurements pertain almost exclusively to American soldiers, and these not of the same age, nor all of them of mature growth; yet they are from wide-spread regions of the continent, and many of them belonged by birth to other nations. Our aim has been to deduce the types for as many as may be of these various classes of men, and to test the trustworthiness of the results by the accordance between the series of observed and theoretical deviations of the several measurements from their mean.

The existence of types for man, and for the races and classes of men, was first demonstrated by Quetelet, who has done more than any one else to study and discuss the average man, in his various relations, physical, social, and moral. He has illustrated the relation of the theoretical laws of chance to investigations like the
present so happily, that, even at the risk of prolixity, it seems well to reproduce the illustration here. It must first be premised that, by the mean or average result of measurement, two distinct kinds of inference may be denoted. The mean result may be the mean of many measurements of a single object, - and thus afford the closest attainable representation of a material thing, - or it may be a mean of the measurements of many different, although similar objects, and thus represent no particular thing. In the first instance, the individual measures, and in the second, the measures of individuals, group themselves about the mean in conformity with the law of error; but there is this wide distinction, that while in the former case the several values are closely connected, varying only by the errors of the measurer, they are in the latter case devoid of all mutual connection of a material kind; and the existence of any mutual connection must be determined by the degree and nature of the accordance of the measures. When sach connection exists, the accordance or discordance of the several measures follows precisely the same laws in the two instances; and the adoption of the idea of a type, in approximate conformity to which all individuals of a class are fashioned, abolishes the practical distinction between the two sorts of means.

To borrow Quetelet's illustration, let us suppose that it is desired to obtain by measurement the dimensions of a statue. Measuring any portion ten or twelve times successively, with all possible care, it is improbable that any two of the results would be identical; and in a thousand repetitions of the process we should obtain a series of numerical values, the mean of which would differ very little from the true one, while the amount of discordance in individual cases would be inversely proportional to the precision of the measures. And assorting the results by order of discordance from the mean, we should find their distribution to follow the law of probability, since the only deviations would be those due to want of skill, or care, or to imperfection of the senses.

If, instead of a statue, a living person be taken as the subject of measurement, the chances of error are much more numerous, and the magnitude of the errors would be increased by the absence of rigidity of the flesh, and by the real fluctuations of the dimensions in consequence of respiration and other involuntary motions, and unconscious changes of attitude by the subject. Yet the mean of a thousand measurements of each dimension would afford an approximation to the true average dimensions of the living person, nearly as close as to those of the statue in the former instance, and the variations of the several results would follow a similar law.

Modifying the supposition, imagine a thousand sculptors em ployed to copy the statue or the person, with all possible precision, and their copies measured in the place of the original. Then, to the original sources and chances of error would be added the inaccuracies of the copyists; still from the mean of all we should derive essentially the same value, and the discordances would be similarly grouped about this mean.

Finally, suppose that while the number of the copyists is adequately increased, many of them are hampered by the prejudices or prepossessions of their several schools of art ; that their material varies in character, both for the different copies and for the different portions of the same copy ; that many are supplied with improper tools; that some are partially blind, others crippled in their hands and arms; and that their degrees of skill are very diverse; still the mean of all the results would enable the archetype to be reproduced with much accuracy, and the agreement, in number and amount, of the variations with those prescribed by the law of error, would establish the fact that such a common model had actually existed.
Thus it is that we may hope to discover the type of humanity, as well as the types of the several classes and races of man. In the present research we are dealing only with some of his external physical manifestations, but we aim at the deduction of the numerical expressions of these as a step toward constructing the typical or average man, who, though probably never clad in flesh, is yet a reality, not merely existing in the Divine mind, but capable of perception and recognition by human sense. Indeed the external form of this average man may legitimately be adopted as a standard of beauty and a model for art. The eminent scientist already named has shown that we may discover not merely the outward semblance of this abstract being, but his needs, capacities, intellect, judgement, and tendencies; and Quetelet may thus be regarded as the founder of statistical anthropology, indeed of social science, in the true significance of the word, according to which science.depends upon the investigation of laws, not upon the consideration of isolated facts, nor the dissemination of correct principles.

It is only when statistical research conducts to the discovery of types, or when the inferences drawn from it may be tested, and confirmed by detection of some systematic subordination to law in their variations, that statistics afford a safe guidance. The discredit in which this mode of investigation is held by many able men,
and the errors in which it has frequently involved candid inquirers, may thus be accounted for. To hold any means of research in disrepute is unphilosophical ; to regard any process as responsible for the results of its misapplication is absurd. Many moral, social, political, and physical laws seem only deducible, and are certainly only demonstrable, by statistical investigation, although no methods in the whole range of science require more caution and skill in their employment, or can more easily delude the unwary.
"The average man," says Quetelet, "is for a nation what the center of gravity is for a body; to the consideration of this are referred all the phenomena of equilibrium." The full discussion of many of the data collected in these examinations, and preserved in the archives of the Sanitary Commission, would doubtless bring many important facts clearly to light. But various considerations, especially that of financial means, restrict the present discussion to some of the more important physical characteristics.
The mathematical presentation of the subject is needless here; for the several quantities involved have been abundantly investigated by analysts, and are well understood. Special tables have been computed for most of the more important dimensions, showing not only the actual distribution of the variations, but also that distribution which would be indicated by the theoretical law of error, on the assumption that the number of cases is sufficient to allow the full application of the doctrine of probability. A very few words will suffice to indicate the mode of computation and the significance of the auxiliary quantities.
In the formula -

$$
y=\frac{h}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-h^{2} \Delta^{2}} d \Delta
$$

$y$ represents the probability that the error of an observation, or the variation of a single case from a type, will fall between the limits $\Delta$ and $d \Delta$; and the integral of this equation, between the definite limits $\Delta=0$ and $\Delta=a$, will express the probability that such error will be found between 0 and $a$, or that it will be found between 0 and -a. The quantities $\pi, e$, and $h$ are constants, the two former denoting, as usual, the ratio of the circumference of a circle to its diameter and the base of the Neperian system of logarithms, while the latter is the "measure of precision." ${ }^{1}$

Effecting the integration of this formula, after putting for con-

[^39]venience $h \Delta=t$, we find the probability that any discordance from the mean is less than $a$, or, in other words, the proportional num ber of cases where the variation is less than $a$, to be
$$
P=\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{a t} e^{-\beta} d t
$$
one half this number corresponding to positive, and one half to negative discordances.

Since, in tabulating the number of instances found at each specific dimension $x$, we record all those which are nearer to this value than to either of the adjacent ones $x \pm \Delta x$, the corresponding theoretical values are best found by computing $\frac{1}{2} P$ for the interval between the mean, $x_{0}$, and the value $x+\frac{1}{2} \Delta x$, for successive values of $x$. The difference of the corresponding successive values of $\frac{1}{2} P$ thus gives that theoretical proportion of all the instances recorded, which belongs to the interval between $x+\frac{1}{2} \Delta x$ and $x-\frac{1}{2} \Delta x$.

Tables for $P$ are given in most works upon probability, based upon numerical values given by Kramp in a treatise ${ }^{1}$ on Refractions. They have been largely expanded for the purposes of the present investigation.

Denoting by $\eta$ the average discordance from the mean, the measure of precision will be approximately

$$
h=\frac{\Delta x}{\eta \sqrt{ } \pi}=0.56419 \frac{\Delta x}{\eta}
$$

The so-called "probable error" (probable discordance from the type), in any series of measurements, is the amount of variation from the mean for which it may be asserted that in the case of any single measurement, the probabilities are equal that the discordance will be greater or less than this amount. It is generally denoted by $r$, and we may use $r=0.8453 \eta$

The "mean error" (mean discordance from the type) is that amount of variation from the mean, of which the square is the mean of the squares of the individual discordances. It is denoted by $e$, and $e=1.4826 r=1.2533 \eta$

When the circumstances are such that the law of error may be strictly applied, the precision of the mean of any number of observations increases as the square root of their number, so that the probable error of the mean of any series of measurements is equal to the probable error of a single measurement divided by the square root of their total number. Hence we may estimate the accuracy with which the typical value of any dimension has been

[^40]attained, by dividing the probable discordance, $r$, of an isolated measurement, by the square root of the number of measurements, to obtain $r_{0}$, the probable error of the result.
In all this investigation, however, it must not be forgotten that our results are dependent upon the assumption that the number of men measured, and the number of measurers, and the precision of their implements, are all sufficient to give full scope for the application of the law of error. This assumption is, of course, not conformable with fact; still, until the work can be repeated upon a more extended and elaborate scale, the present results must necessarily suffice.
The numerical values of some of the quantities here described are given, with some of the mean results of measures of the several dimensions, in order to aid the student in estimating the degree of reliance to which the results are entitled. But he must remember that the average discordances, being deduced from the variations of individual measures from their mean, show the numerical values, not of the tendency to error in the measurements, but of the tendency of single members of a class to vary from the mean or type corresponding to that class. So, too, the quantity which we call the Probable Error of the Mean denotes the value of this probable error, as deduced from intrinsic evidence alone, this same degree of variation in individual results furnishing the basis. Whether the value obtained is a typical value or not, must be inferred from the degree of accordance between the system of computed and the system of observed variations. This degree of accordance between the two systems is itself capable of expression in a concise numerical form, by deducing its modulus from the series of differences between the theoretical and actual values, after each difference has been affected with its proper weight; but such computation is somewhat laborious, and it has appeared unadvisable to undertake it here.

## 4. White Soldiers.

The total number of white soldiers of whom we possess measures tabulated according to the later schedule is 10876 ; thirteen different persons having been engaged in measuring them, as will be seen by the tabular view given in the second section of this chapter. These and all the other classes of men measured, have been discussed in two divisions, those who were in possession of ordinary health being considered separately from those who were not in usual vigor, in order to determine whether any of the resalts might be sufficiently different for these two divisions to
afford any clews to the hygienic tendencies of physical proportions. The number of men reported as not in usual vigor is 1605 , leaving 9271 as the number in ordinary health.

The men have also, as heretofore stated, been classed according to nativities, upon the same basis as was adopted in Chapter V. for the discussion of the statures, with the additional separation of the natives of Wales and the Isle of Man, 20 in number, from the 306 natives of England proper. Various causes have slightly modified the number of the measurements for different dimensions, but the numbers given in the General Table of Results (p. 238), have not been essentially changed except for the Question 8 , "Breadth of shoulders between acromion processes "; for which about one fifth of the answers give the simple "breadth of shoulders" at the widest part, like the measurements according to the first schedule. These two sorts of measures have been carefully kept distinct, and in some cases both have intentionally been taken for the same man. Question $10 \frac{1}{2}$ is answered for only 2068 soldiers; Question $6 \frac{1}{2}$ for none of the soldiers, and for only 1013 white men.

The measurements by the earlier schedule were all for white soldiers; 5736 being of men who were, and 2168 of men who were not in their ordinary health, - the whole number of cases in our tabulation being 7904 .

Thus for the entire number of white soldiers included in the two series, we have 15007 in usual vigor, and 3773 others, 18780 in all.

The heights of white soldiers specially measured are given in the appended table, which may possess some interest in connection with the researches of Chapter V. The number and amount of variations from the mean, and the trustworthiness of that mean, were not there discussed for the several nativities; since the labor thus entailed, though perhaps not very great, in the present condition of our records, would yet be needless, - inasmuch as the large number of our data, and their mutually confirmatory results, make manifest the correctness of our inferences, and the limited financial means available for our researches preclude many desirable computations.

The mean value of the height of our soldiers, here deduced, can make no claim to precision, since no account is taken of their ages, although an overwhelming proportion of the whole number had not attained therr full growth; and in this table men of all nativities are indiscriminately combined. The number given for each inch of height comprises all whose stature was between a half inch below, and a half inch above the height named.

Distribution by Height of White Soldiers measured.

| Height <br> Inchee | Actual Number | Proportional Number in 10000 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Observed | Calculated | Calo.-Obs. |
| 61 | 197 | 105 | 100 | -5 |
| 62 | 317 | 169 | 171 | +2 |
| 63 | 692 | 369 | 368 | -1 |
| 64 | 1289 | 686 | 675 | -11 |
| 65 | 1961 | 1044 | 1051 | +7 |
| 66 | 2613 | 1391 | 1399 | + 8 |
| 67 | 2974 | 1584 | 1584 | 0 |
| 68 | 3017 | 1607 | 1531 | -76 |
| 69 | 2287 | 1218 | 1260 | +42 |
| 70 | 1599 | 852 | 884 | +32 |
| 71 | 878 | 467 | 531 | +64 |
| 72 | 520 | 277 | 267 | -10 |
| 73 - | 262 | 139 | 118 | -21 |
| 74 etc. | 174 | 92 | 61 | -31 |

The excess of men of 73 inches and upwards, is probably due to an unconscions bias of the examiners in selecting their subjects for measurement ; although it was carefully endeavored to avoid any principle of selection, and, whenever possible, to have the men detailed for measurement without any choice on the part of the examiner. The average and probable discordances are thus enlarged.
The average age of the men was 25.76 years, and their mean height 67.240 inches, which would (roughly) correspond to a full stature of 67.33 inches. ${ }^{1}$
The average discordance, $\eta$, is 1.983 inches; the probable discordance of a single determination, $r$, is 1.676 ; and the probable error of the final result is 0.012 inches.
The distribution of the statures of men of different classes, examined according to Form [EE] has been specially studied. This was, however, not with the expectation of deducing any valuable result for their mean heights, since the aggregation of all ages in one class would preclude this, and the numbers, likewise, are inadequate ; but for the sake of thoroughly scrutinizing the individual results, which were to be adopted as units of measure for all

[^41]the other dimensions. It seems, therefore, unadvisable to present the assortments for special classes, although the accordance between their computed and recorded numbers for the several dimensions is much better than that found in the preceding table; yet it may be worth while to give a few of the results. The following were found, among others, for men in usual vigor :-

| Nativity | Number of Men | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \mathbf{A g e} \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { Meight }}{\text { Mean }}$ | Probable Variation for an Individual | Probable Krror of Mean | Corresponding Full Stature ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. - New England . | 978 | 25.30 | $\begin{gathered} \text { in. } \\ 67.202 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{in} .}{1.625}$ | 0.052 | $\stackrel{\ln .}{67.40}$ |
| New York | 2098 | 25.84 | 67.150 | 1.666 | 0.036 | 67.31 |
| B. - N. Y., N. J., Penn. | 3125 | 25.67 | 67.132 | 1.648 | 0.029 | 67.29 |
| N. Jersey \& Penn. | 1036 | 25.33 | 67.097 | 1.635 | 0.051 | 67.27 |
| C. - Ohio and Indiana | 1418 | 24.43 | 67.687 | 1.566 | 0.042 | 67.98 |
| D. - Mich., Wisc., Ill. . | 938 | 24.44 | 67.223 | 1.542 | 0.050 | 67.51 |
| L. - Ireland | 659 | 28.09 | 66.703 | 1.492 | 0.063 | 66.74 |

Distance from tip of middle finger to level of upper margin of patella (in "attitude of the soldier"). -The object of this question, which was originally suggested by Dr. Wm. H. Van Buren, was to expose, if possible, any ethnological differences or peculiarities in the relative proportions of arms, legs, and body, which might, in their combined influence, be more conspicuous than when severally considered ; and the results seem to show its aptitude for this purpose.

Comparisons of the actual and theoretical discordances from the mean for men in usual vigor, have been made separately for the three nativities, A, B, and D, comprising about 5000 men , as also for the men from New York State by themselves. The results are satisfactory, the chief want of accordance being due to the unconquerable tendency of examiners to record their measurements in inches or half inches when the true quantity differs slightly from such values. The means are manifestly typical for the nativities specially tested, and probably for all those nativities or classes which comprised so many as 500 men . The total range of the means is between 4.70 inches for Canadians, and 6.07 inches for natives of Kentucky and Tennessee; but this difference is very largely due to the maintenance of the same proportional value among men differing in stature. The amounts of probable variation of a single individual from the mean of all of the same nativity, and of this

[^42]mean from its true value, are as follows in the four classes mentioned: -

| Nativity | Number | Moan Value | Probable Variation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Individual <br> $r$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Moan } \\ r_{0} \end{gathered}$ |
| New England States | 977 | $\underset{4.862}{\ln .}$ | $\ln _{0.856}^{\text {m. }}$ | ${ }_{0.027}^{\mathrm{in} .}$ |
| New York . . . . . | 2087 | 4.883 | 0.825 | 0.018 |
| v. Y., N. J., and Penn. | 3122 | 4.891 | 0.827 | 0.015 |
| Mich., Wis., and Illinois | 938 | 4.806 | 0.767 | 0.025 |

Height to the spine of the seventh cervical vertebra. - This point, the highest distinctly recognizable one which is not moved by flexure of the head and neck, was taken as the limit of the body proper, which may be regarded as extending from the seventh cervical vertebra, to the perinæum.
Deducting the height to this point from the total height, we obtain the measure of Head and Neck, which is in general a very little short of ten inches for the white race, or 0.148 of the average height of the men measured. The ordinary value is about 9.95 inches, varying from this amount by scarcely more than half an inch for the extreme groups, and by so much as one inch in very few individual cases. The variation is by no means proportional to that of the stature, and it would seem that its greater part is due to differences in the length of the neck, rather than to the height of the head itself, which seems to be more uniform than almost any other physical dimension. The greatest deviation in the mean value for any of our nativity-groups, is for the small group $G_{2}$, which comprises natives of the Slave States west of the Mississippi. It contains but 51 cases, 19 of which are in a series measured by Dr. Avery, at New Orleans, and in which I suspect some error. Omitting these, the remaining 32 cases give an average of 9.95 inches, quite in conformity with the results for other nativities.
The most marked discordance in the length of head and neck, among those nativities of which an adequate number of men were examined, is for Germans, for whom this dimension averaged 9.76 inches, from 562 cases. Omitting all measurements made by Dr. Avery and Mr. Furniss, the two examiners whose average measures of this dimension are smallest, the average is still but 9.81 inches. The results for those nativities for which the height to
the seventh cervical vertebra has been specially assorted, are here appended. They apply only to men in usual vigor. For all of them the theoretical distribution of individual cases has been carefully computed, and its accordance with the observed distribution found satisfactory.

| Nativity | Number | Mean | $r$ | $\boldsymbol{r}_{0}$ | Height | Head and Neck |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England States | 977 | 57.241 | 1.525 | 0.049 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In. } \\ & 67.202 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { in. } \\ & 9.961 \end{aligned}$ |
| New York | 2088 | 57.230 | 1.642 | 0.036 | 67.150 | 9.920 |
| New Jersey and Penn. | 1034 | 57.080 | 1.515 | 0.047 | 67.097 | 10.017 |
| Ohio and Indiana | 1414 | 57.692 | 1.452 | 0.039 | 67.687 | 9.995 |
| Mich., Wisc., \& Illinois | 936 | 57.288 | 1.510 | 0.049 | 67.223 | 9.935 |
| Ireland . . . . | 558 | 56.738 | 1.395 | 0.059 | 66.703 | 9.965 |

Length of Body. - Deducting, from this height to the seventh cervical vertebra, the height to the perinæum as recorded in answer to Question 6, we have the length of the body. This has not been investigated according to nativities, but in the discussion of the spirometer results ( Qu .13 ) it appeared desirable to classify them with reference to the length of the body; so that we have the number of cases for each half inch of lepgth as derived from the aggregate of all in usual vigor, who were examined with reference to their pulmonary capacity. These are as follows; the number for each half inch being the sum of those recorded for the five consecutive tenths of which this is the mean.

| Loogth of Body | Leter Mencures |  |  | Harlier Meesures |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. in usual Vigor | Others | Total | No. in usual Vigor | Others | Total |
| m. |  |  |  |  |  | . 4 |
| 22 or leen | 55 | 7 | 62 | 41 | 14 | 55 |
| $22 \frac{1}{2}$ | 36 | 4 | 40 | 32 | 3 | 35 |
| 23 | 72 | 21 | 93 | 68 | 19 | 87 |
| $23 \frac{1}{2}$ | 183 | 38 | 221 | 140 | 43 | 183 |
| 24 | 381 | 67 | 448 | 238 | 67 | 305 |
| $24 \frac{1}{2}$ | 617 | 109 | 726 | 415 | 117 | 632 |
| 25 | 1007 | 194 | 1201 | 542 | 180 | 722 |
| $25 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1221 | 190 | 1411 | 634 | 187 | 821 |
| 26 | 1400 | 246 | 1646 | 641 | 231 | 872 |
| $26 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1233 | 216 | 1449 | 500 | 212 | 712 |
| 27 | 1027 | 156 | 1183 | 422 | 203 | 625 |
| $27 \frac{1}{8}$ | 723 | 106 | 829 | 328 | 155 | 483 |
| 28 | 470 | 65 | 535 | 201 | 112 | 313 |
| $28 \frac{1}{2}$ | 316 | 43 | 359 | 150 | 67 | 217 |
| 29 | 180 | 28 | 208 | 107 | 55 | 162 |
| $29 \frac{1}{2}$ | 93 | 19 | 112 | 58 | 41 | 99 |
| 30 | 46 | 15 | 61 | 43 | 23 | 66 |
| $30 \frac{1}{2}$ | 57 | 8 | 65 | 45 | 35 | 80 |
| Total | 9117 | 1532 | 10649 | 4605 | 1764 | 6369 |

The mean of all gives for the average length of body of white soldiers -

| Form EE, | by measures of | 9243 men in usual vigor | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ioches. } \\ & 26.149 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1598 " not in " " | 26.091 |
|  |  | 10841 men in all | 26.140 |
| Form E, | by measures of | 5569 men in usual vigor | 26.011 |
|  |  | 2102 " not in " " | 26.331 |
|  |  | 7671 men in all | 26.100 |

The discordance of the results in the measurements by the earlier schedule between those who were, and those who were not, in ordinary health, is without question chiefly due to the circumstance that a very large proportion of the latter class were men at the convalescent camp, measured by Dr. Buckley, whose measures differed somewhat from those of Mr. Fairchild in consequence of want of an accordant method of measuring, and possibly also of a peculiarity in one of the earlier instruments. The total mean from the earlier measures may be regarded as corroborating that from
the more careful later ones, and it seems clear that no relation between the length of body and liability to disease is deducible from these later statistics.
If we assort the length of body by Nativities, we find -

| Nativity | Later Meneares |  | Rarlier Meseame |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Namber | Length | Number | Leagth |
| A. New England States. | 1208 | $\underset{26.14}{\text { in. }}$ | 914 | $\underset{26.31}{\text { min }}$ |
| B. N. Y., N. J., and Penn. | 3758 | 26.13 | 3133 | 26.20 |
| C. Ohio and Indiana | 1657 | 26.28 |  |  |
| D. Mich., Wis., and Ilinois | 1012 | 26.27 |  | 26.75 |
| E. Coast Slave States | 365 | 26.00 | \} 2009 |  |
| F. Kentucky and Tenn. | 266 | 26.95 | ¢ 2009 | 25.78 |
| H. I. British Provinces . | 556 | 26.25 | 177 | 25.94 |
| J. England, Wales, etc. | 324 | 25.89 | 205 | 25.86 |
| K. Scotland | 81 | 26.12 | $\} 205$ | 25.86 |
| L. Ireland | 821 | 25.98 | 440 | 25.93 |
| M. France | 98 | 25.52 |  |  |
| N. Germany | 561 | 25.70 | 251 | 25.86 |
| O. P. Q. All others | 73 | 26.37 | 79 | 25.54 |
| Total | 10780 | 26.14 | 7671 | 26.10 |

The inferences warrantable from this exhibit are not very manifest, so far as they pertain to any characteristic difference in the length of body between men of different nativities, since many of the distinctions most marked in the later measures are contradicted by the earlier ones. The trustworthiness of the means from the later series is probably four times greater than that of the others, still no deduction is entitled to much reliance which the earlier series does not corroborate.

Nevertheless, it would seem probable that the length of the body is somewhat greater for Americans in general than for Europeans, although perhaps not more than is required for maintaining the same proportion to the stature ; as also that it is greater for natives of the Northern and Western, than for those of the extreme Southern, States.

Height to Perinoum. - The length of the legs is clearly that dimension upon which the differences in stature of the white soldiers chiefly depend. In this the distinctions between the different nativities are clearly marked, and the inferences deduced in the chapter upon Statures seem corroborated in general by the results
of our independent measurements of the height to the perinæum made upon soldiers in the field.
The results for those nativities for which the theoretical distribution of the individual cases has been computed, and found satisfactorily accordant with the distribution observed, are these : - ${ }^{1}$

| Netivits | $\begin{gathered} \text { Numb ber of } \\ \text { Men } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & \text { Age } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & \nabla \text { aluo } \end{aligned}$ | $r$ | $r_{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England States | 976 | 25.30 | $\underset{31.088}{\ln }$ | $\stackrel{\ln .}{1.075}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { in. } \\ 0.034}}$ |
| New York . . | 2087 | 25.84 | 31.078 | 1.075 | 0.023 |
| New York, New Jersey, and Penn. | 3120 | 25.67 | 31.052 | 1.055 | 0.919 |
| Ohio and Indiana | 1415 | 24.43 | 31.462 | 1.025 | 0.027 |
| Ireland . . . | 558 | 28.09 | $\mathbf{3 0 . 6 5 0}$ | 1.018 | 0.043 |

The maximum values of the means for other nativities are -

| Nativity | Number of Mea | Mean Age | Mean Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kentucky and Tennessee. | 266 | 26.0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { in. } \\ & 31.68 \end{aligned}$ |
| Coast Slave States. | 866 | 26.9 | 31.57 |
| Scandinavia . . . . | 84 | 29.2 | 31.45 |
| States west of Mississippi River . | 61 | 24.1 | 31.12 |
| Michigan, Wisconsin, and Illinois | 1012 | 24.4 | 31.05 |

and by the earlier measures -

| Natevity | No. of Men | Mean Ago | Mean Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Late Slave States | 2015 | 25.48 | $\begin{gathered} \text { in. } \\ \mathbf{3 2 . 3 8} \end{gathered}$ |
| Western States (C and D) . . | 479 | 23.54 | 31.15 |

while the well established minima are -

| Nativity | Later Mcosures |  |  | Earlier Monsuren |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of Mon | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { Age } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & \text { Value } \end{aligned}$ | No. of Men | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { Age } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & \text { Valco } \end{aligned}$ |
| France, Belgium, etc. . | 98 | 27.7 | $\begin{gathered} \text { in. } \\ \mathbf{3 0 . 2 0} \end{gathered}$ | - | - | in. |
| Ireland. | 824 | 29.2 | 30.67 | 466 | 27.15 | 30.76 |
| Germany | 562 | 29.8 | 30.71 | 256 | 27.65 | $\mathbf{3 0 . 7 2}$ |
| British American Provinces | 556 | 25.5 | $\mathbf{3 0 . 8 2}$ | 184 | 24.72 | 80.93 |

${ }^{1}$ All these tables of distribution for white soldiers are deduced from men in actual vigor colly.

There is no one of the eight nativities within the United States for which the mean value is below 31 inches, according to the later series of measures; for the earlier series the averages are generally smaller, owing probably to want of sufficient care in measuring.

It will be remembered that all the white soldiers measured were partially clothed.

Height to Middle of Patella. - The typical value of the height of the knee has been tested, and found satisfactory for the soldiers in usual vigor of the nativities following : -

| Nattrity | No. of Men | Value | r | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England States | 978 | $18.753$ | $\underset{\text { ln. }}{\text { ln. }}$ | $\frac{\operatorname{tn} .}{0.028}$ |
| New York State, aloue | 2084 | 18.610 | 0.772 | 0.017 |
| New York, New Jersey, and Penn. | 3119 | 18.635 | 0.764 | 0.014 |
| Michigan, Wisconsin, and Illinois | 936 | 17.836 | 0.706 | 0.023 |

We may compare the height of the knee with that of the thigh, by subtracting the former from the total height to the perinæum, and thus obtain relative values for the different nativities. The appended table presents these values for all the soldiers measured.

| Nativits | $\underset{\substack{\text { Number of } \\ \text { Men }}}{ }$ | Height to Knee | Knee to Parinseum | Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England States | 1208 | $\operatorname{lng}_{18.75}$ | $12.84$ | 1.62 |
| New York, New Jersey, and Penn. | 8758 | 18.64 | 12.41 | 1.50 |
| Ohio, Indiana | 1659 | 18.76 | 12.70 | 1.48 |
| Michigan, Wisconsin, and Illinois | 1012 | 18.09 | 12.96 | 1.40 |
| Coast Slave States . | 366 | 19.06 | 12.51 | 1.58 |
| Kentucky and Tennessee | 266 | 19.19 | 12.49 | 1.54 |
| States West of Mississippi River | 61 | 18.90 | 12.22 | 1.55 |
| British Amer. Prov., excl. Canada | 88 | 18.69 | 12.09 | 1.65 |
| Canada | 518 | 18.43 | 12.39 | 1.49 |
| England . . | 304 | 18.30 | 12.15 | 1.51 |
| Wales and Isle of Man | 20 | 18.63 | 11.98 | 1.55 |
| Scotland | 81 | 18.36 | 12.47 | 1.47 |
| Ireland . . | 824 | 18.54 | 12.13 | 1.53 |
| France, Belgium, etc. | 98 | 18.19 | 12.01 | 1.51 |
| Germany . | 562 | 18.52 | 12.19 | 1.52 |
| Scandinaria | 84 | 18.97 | 12.48 | 1.52 |
| Spain, etc. | 7 | 18.04 | 11.65 | 1.55 |
| Miscellaneous | 82 | 18.65 | 12.18 | 1.64 |
| Total | 10848 | 18.609 | 12.456 | 49 |

The normal ratio between these two dimensions would thus appear to be very nearly as three to two, the extreme deviations ${ }^{1}$ from this ratio being 1.396 for nativity D , and 1.555 for Wales, etc., the latter depending on only 20 men. The extreme variation in the mean values of the height to the knee, in any of the abovenamed groups, is 1.15 inches, or .062 of the mean of all. The variation in the mean distance from knee to perinæum is comprised within 1.31 inches, or .105 of the total mean.
Perinceum to the most prominent part of Pubes. - The position of the symphysis pubis renders it a prominent point for any series of measurements based on the structure of the skeleton, and this has been frequently stated to indicate the medial point as regards stature : an assumption approximately, but not strictly true. Any determination of this point through clothing is difficult and uncertain ; and no attempts were made at measuring it excepting when the suljects could be examined while perfectly naked.
This was not the case for any white soldiers; but 1013 white sailors were thus measured, mostly by Mr. Phinney, as will be hereafter described, giving the mean value of this distance as 1.891 inches, their mean stature being 65.99, and the mean height to the perinæum 31.37.
Breadth of Neck. - The mean breadth of neck for all the white soldiers examined is 4.2 i inches; the maximum for any nativity being 4.31 inches, for 1014 natives of Michigan, Wisconsin, and Illinois, and the minimum for any, which comprised an adequate number of men, being for the two groups of natives of Southern States; for each of which it is 4.15 inches. Comparisons between the theoretical and observed distribution for individuals have been made for only four groups, namely, the men of nativities A, $B, D$, and $L$, who were in usual vigor. These give -

| Naturity | No. of Men | Mean Breadth | $r$ | $r_{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England States | 976 | $\stackrel{\mathrm{ln} .}{4.177}$ | $\ln _{0.160}$ | 0.005 |
| New York, New Jersey, and Penn. | 3122 | 4.244 | 0.178 | 0.003 |
| Michigan, Wisconsin, and Illinois | 937 | 4.326 | 0.143 | 0.005 |
| Ireland. . . . . . . . . . | 558 | 4.206 | 0.153 | 0.006 |

\footnotetext{
${ }^{1}$ The small value of this dimension in nativity $D$ appears, after careful examination, to be owing to a systematic personal error in the measurements made by Mr. Lewis, who examined a large proportion of these men, and whose records of this dimension appear uniformly too small. Excluding his measurements, we have for natives of Michigan, Wiscousin, and Illinois -

| No. of Men | Height to Knee in. | Knee to Porinaum in. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 254 | 18.85 | 12.34 | 1.528 |

The values for men not in usual vigor are markedly and universally less, the average difference being about one thirty-second part. The results of the earlier measures are not altogether in accord with these; the mean value deduced from them being 4.098 for men in usual vigor, and 4.053 for others. The natives of the Southern States surpassed this maximum value, almost all of them having been measured by Mr. Fairchild.

Girth of Neck. - The mean girth of neck, from nearly 9300 men in usual vigor, is 13.633 inches, and for 1600 not in usual vigor it is 13.521 inches, there being but a single nativity-group containing so many as a hundred representatives, in which a similar difference is not manifest. It is also to be observed that the periphery, being measured around the pomum Adami, is larger than the circumference of a circle of which the breadth of the neck constitutes the diameter. The smallest observed mean value in any of the large groups is for New Englanders, 13.44 inches, from 1210 men ; the largest (excluding groups of less than 40 men ) is for natives of Germany, 13.79 inches, from 562 men.

The assortment for five groups of men in usual vigor gives the following results : -

| Nativity | No. of Men | Mean Girth | $r$ | $r_{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England States . | 978 | $\stackrel{\text { in. }}{13.436}$ | $\mathrm{in.}_{0.442}$ | ${ }_{0.014}^{\mathrm{in}}$ |
| New York, New Jersey, and Penn. | 8123 | 13.629 | 0.466 | 0.008 |
| New York State, alone . . . | 2089 | 13.593 | 0.460 | 0.010 |
| Ohio and Indiana . . . . . . | 1416 | 13.699 | 0.459 | 0.012 |
| Michigan, Wisconsin, and Illinois | 939 | 13.526 | 0.414 | 0.013 |

Breadth of Shoulders. - It has been already stated that the earlier measurements gave simply the maximum breadth of the shoulders, whereas it was specially provided in the schedule for the later series that this measure should be taken between the tips of the acromion processes; the purpose being, both to select distinctly marked points of the bony structure, and to furnish a control and test for the dimensions $12 a$ and $12 b$. These two dimensions are from the tip of the middle finger to the acromion, and to the middle of the sternum respectively, so that they should differ by one half the distance between the acromia.

Through some misapprehension, the old method of measuring was retained by Dr. Buckley for a time, and the new examiners instructed accordingly; so that nearly one fifth part of the measures of white soldiers were thus made, before the fact was discov-
ered and special instructions given. Consequently we have from the series of examinations by Form [EE] 8796 measures of the distance between the tips of the acromia, and 2072 of the full breadth of shoulders. The former have been tabulated as $8 a$; and the latter, which are strictly comparable with the results of the earlier series, have been classified as $8 b$.
The mean of these last named measures, $8 b$, is 16.350 inches by the later series, and 16.359 by the earlier, which are nearly four times as numerous. The differences of the dimensions for different nativities do not seem to be characteristic, nor to correspond in the two series of measurements. The means for the several nativities are quite accordant in both series, wherever the number of men is sufficiently great to render the results at all worthy of confidence. For individual men, this dimension ranges between 13 and 19 inches.
The mean distance between the tips of the acromion processes, as given by the 8796 measures of this dimension, is 12.731 inches, the individual cases ranging between the limits $9 \frac{1}{2}$ and $16 \frac{1}{2}$ inches. Among natives of this country, the mean value is decidedly largest for natives of Kentucky and Tennessee, being 13.51 ; but the assortment-tabulation shows such discordance from the theoretical distribution that this inference is entitled to but small reliance. Nativities $A, B$, and $C$ give mean values not diverse from that of the grand total, but for D this value is but 12.34 , while for G it rises to 13.21 inches. The computation for 878 New England men in usual vigor gives 12.790 , with a probable variation of 0.646 for an individual, and a probable error of 0.022 for the mean ; but one half of this quantity exceeds the difference between $12 a$ and $12 b$ by half an inch, and it is to be feared that our determination of this dimension is not entitled to much confidence. The identification of this apophysis is not easy, and some of our examiners seem to have succeeded here but ill. The results deduced by others appear, however, to be very trustworthy, and will be specially considered hereafter when the arm-measurements are described.

A thorough scrutiny into the mean results obtained from the returns of different examiners, with a view to determining their personal equations, shows a gradual improvement in many cases, and leads to the belief that inaccuracies are mostly eliminated from the mean of all. Yet the tendency has unquestionably been to record this dimension as larger than its true value.
Breadth of Pelvis between Crests of Mia. - For this dimension,
which was apparently determined with care, we have 11.916 inches as the mean value; the mean result for men in usual vigor being greater by 0.14 than for men not in full health. This dimension is not one of those which seem to show the most characteristic differences for different nativities, although the corresponding dimension deducible from the earlier series exhibits very marked distinctions.

The latter, which was taken under the title of "Breadth of Pelvis," is on the average an inch and a quarter greater than the dimension here considered, and seems, so far as now discoverable, to have been the breadth between the trochanters, - the breadth of hips, rather than of pelvis. The earlier measures are accordant among themselves, and are much larger for Southern than for Northern men ; the difference between the values for natives of the Slave States and of New England amounting to half an inch. The mean value is 12.96 inches for New England men; 13.15 for Western men; $\mathbf{1 3 . 4 1}$ for Southerners; $\mathbf{1 3 . 1 5 3}$ for the whole 7905 men measured.

The assortments of the later series for men in usual vigor, give the following values:-

| Nativity | No. of Men | Mean Value | $r$ | ror |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England | 976 | $\stackrel{\text { in. }}{11.890}$ | $\ln _{0.675}^{\ln .}$ | $\underset{0.022}{\text { tn. }}$ |
| New York alone | 2085 | 12.046 | 0.628 | 0.012 |
| New York, New Jersey, and Penn. | 3119 | 12.014 | 0.523 | 0.009 |
| Ohio and Indiana | 1417 | 11.890 | 0.474 | 0.013 |
| Ireland . . . | 556 | 12.036 | 0.625 | 0.022 |

Circumference of Thorax. - This measurement was directed to be made in the later series "under all the clothing" and "across the nipples" ; also both while the lungs were fully inflated and after exhalation. We thus have two measurements of actual dimensions, whence the mean circumference and the mobility of the chest may each be deduced.

In the earlier series [E] the "circumference of the chest" was required, without any farther instruction than that it should be measured " over the nipples," and under the coat and waistcoat.
It may perhaps be assumed that, in the absence of any instruction as to the state of expansion in which the thorax should be measured, the mean deduced from the 7907 returns according to Form E would represent an average condition of the lungs. How far this is correct would be difficult to determine at present, but the circumstance that these measures were taken around the flannel
shirt, and yet with results smaller than those of the later series, which are made directly around the body without the intervention of clothing, suggests either that such an average condition is not represented by the mean value from the earlier series, or that in the slightly ambiguous phrase "over the nipples," the word over may have been sometimes construed in the sense of "higher than," instead of its intended signification of "across." These earlier measures give as the mean circumference of chest over the nipples -
35.424 inches, for 5734 soldiers in usual vigor. 35.166 inches, for $2 \mathbf{1 7 3}$ soldiers not in usual vigor.
35.353 inches, for $\mathbf{7} 907$ soldiers in all.

The later series of examinations gives the mean circumference of the chest across the nipples and under all the clothing -

|  | Fall Inspiration | After Explration | Mean of Both |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From 9270 men in usual vigor | $\begin{gathered} \text { in. } \\ \mathbf{8 7 . 1 9 5} \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{ln} .}{84.476}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { fn. } \\ \mathbf{3 5 . 8 3 6} \end{gathered}$ |
| 1604 men not in usual vigor | 36.846 | 34.604 | 85.725 |
| 10874 men in all . . . . | 37.143 | 34.494 | 35.818 |

It is thus seen that for men in ordinary health the circumference was not merely greater than for the others, while the lungs were inflated, but was also less after expiration, owing without doubt to the superior muscular force in the thorax exerted by the stronger men. Also that the mean value of the two measurements was only the ninth part of an inch, or about three tenths of one per cent. less for the feebler class of men.

From the measures of circumference of the chest of 5738 Scotch soldiers, - given ${ }^{1}$ by an anonymous author in the Edinburgh "Medical and Surgical Journal," and used ${ }^{2}$ by Quetelet, in illustration of the application of the law of error, and of the typical character of the mean deduced from an adequate number of such measures, - the mean circumference of the chest is found to be 39.8 inches, or more than two inches and a half greater than the mean here found for men in usual vigor during full inspiration. The 80 natives of Scotland examined by us, measured 37.45 inches when the lungs were fully inflated and 34.67 after expiration. Of these 80 there were but 11 cases in which the circumference at full inspiration was found so large as the mean value resulting from the Edin-

[^43]2 Theorie des Probabilitis, p. 136.
burgh measures, which ranged from 33 to 48 inches. Unless these measures were made upon men very much larger than the average, our present results would almost lead to the suspicion that some considerable amount of clothing was included in the dimension published as "circumference of the chest."

The mean circumference of chest for 343764 drafted men, recruits and substitutes, examined by the military boards of enrollment, are given ${ }^{1}$ by Dr. Baxter, chief Medical Officer of the late Provost Marshal General's Bureau, in the Report of the medical branch of that bureau. He has published the results of measurements at inspiration and expiration, arranged by nations of birth, and, for natives of the United States, by States. His totals give as the mean circumference -

|  | At Inspiration | At Expiration | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From 273891 natives of the U. S. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ln. } \\ & 35.61 \end{aligned}$ | in. <br> 33.11 | $\underset{84.36}{\text { ln. }}$ |
| 343764 of all nativities | 35.59 | 33.12 | 34.36 |

these values being less than ours by nearly an inch and a half, and less than the Edinburgh values by nearly five and a half inches. Among the men measured were 2127 natives of Scotland, for whom the mean circumference was 35.97 inches at inspiration, and 33.14 at expiration (or 1.48 inches in the one case and 1.53 in the other less than our values) ; the results for Scotchmen thus differing by essentially the same amount as the total means from those here found.

In these examinations by the medical officers of the Provost Marshal General's Bureau, it is not stated at what part of the chest the measurement was made. Of course a very considerable number of the men examined were those whose physical condition excluded them from acceptance for military duty, and for these a smaller girth of chest should be expected.

In all these cases the mean circumference of the chest exceeds half the height. Other deductions from these chest-measurements will be considered hereafter.

The distribution of the individual variations in our returns is so symmetrical as to produce great confidence in the trustworthiness of the results deduced. For the aggregate of white soldiers, in usual vigor, we have, moreover, the following values of individual discordance, and probable error of mean -

[^44]
## Circumference of Chest.

|  | No. of Men | Cireumference | $\boldsymbol{r}$ | $r_{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| At inspiration | 9271 | $\begin{gathered} \text { in. } \\ \mathbf{8 7 . 1 9 5} \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{1.469}{\ln .}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { in. } \\ & 0.015 \end{aligned}$ |
| At expiration . | 9270 | 34.476 | 1.428 | 0.015 |
| Mean |  | 35.836 |  | 0.021 |

It will be remembered that the measurements were made with out the intervention of any clothing. The mean stature being 67.150 inches, it will be seen that the circumference of chest exceeded half the height even after full expiration.
Distance between Nipples. - This dimension seemed entitled to some importance on account of the belief, which obtains very generally, that in a normally proportioned body it is equal to one fourth of the entire circumference of the chest. Thus Dr. Hammond, in his " Military Hygiene," after citing sundry proportions given by Brent as holding good for all cases in which there is no positive deformity, says: "A more convenient method, however, is to measure the distance between the nipples with a pair of dividers, or a graduated rule, and to multiply the result by four. As we have seen, this gives us the entire circumference of the chest." Regarding the correctness of the inferences as to such simple relations between different dimensions of the human body, we shall have something to say in Chapter IX. At present it will suffice to say, that our results do not appear to confirm the theory of Brent, but indicate that this dimension is uniformly less than one fourth the circumference. Thus we have the following mean val-ues:-

|  | Height | Mean Circumference of Chest | Distance between Nipples | Ratio to Circumference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From 1771 soldiers in usual vigor. | $\underset{67.185}{\text { in. }}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ln. }}{\mathbf{3 5 . 9 7 3}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { in. } \\ & 8.142 \end{aligned}$ | 0.2263 |
| From 297 soldiers not in usual vigor | 67.124 | 85.646 | 8.101 | 0.2273 |
| From 2068 soldiers in all . . . . . | 67.176 | 35.926 | 8.136 | 0.2265 |

The minimum and maximum values of this distance which occur upon our records are : -

[^45]| Distance | Mean Circumference of Cheat | Nativity | Helght | Ratio to Circumference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m. <br> 5.4 | $\frac{\operatorname{th} .}{25.8}$ | Indiana | in. $58.8$ | 0.218 |
| 10.8 | 38.7 | New York |  | 0.266 |

Circumference of Waist. - In the later series of measurements, the "circumference of the waist above the hips" was required, and the examiners were instructed to measure below the ribs. In the earlier series, the question asked simply the "circumference of the waist." The means of the two series are -

|  | In unual Vigor |  | Not in usual Figor |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of Men | Inches | No. of Mon | Inches | No. of Men | Inchee |
| Earlier Series . | 6729 | 32.059 | 2173 | 32.166 | 7902 | $\mathbf{3 2 . 0 8 9}$ |
| Later Series | 9271 | 81.483 | 1605 | 31.377 | 10876 | 81467 |

the values of the earlier series being larger on the average by about six tenths of an inch.

Using the later measures only, we find the mean circumference of the waist for 9271 men in usual vigor, at the mean age 25.7 years, to have been less than that of the chest at inspiration by 5.712 inches, and at expiration by 2.993 inches, and less than the mean circumference of the chest across the nipples by 4.353 inches. If we compare the mean value of these dimensions for the 1605 men not in their ordinary health, and averaging 29.2 years of age, we find the difference to be 4.348 inches, or practically the same as for the others.

The values of this dimension differ somewhat with the different nativities, but the distribution of the discordances is in general quite satisfactory. The three following nativities will suffice to exhibit the range of individual discordances.

|  | No. of Men | Cireumferemee | P | Po |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England States . . . | 977 | $\begin{gathered} \text { in. } \\ 81.809 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{ln} .}{1.517}$ | $\stackrel{\text { in. }}{0.048}$ |
| New York, New Jersey, Penn. | 8124 | 81.431 | 1.608 | 0.027 |
| Ohio and Indiana . . . | 1417 | 32.031 | 1.469 | 0.039 |

Circumference around Hips. - This dimension was taken on the level of the trochanters, and the mean values, for all those nativities which comprise more than 51 individual cases, vary between
36.51 and 37.77 inches; the former being deduced from 1211 New England men, the latter from 267 natives of Kentucky and Tennessee, and the diversity being clearly typical. The mean from the entire series of nearly eleven thousand men is 36.930 inches.

The assortment of the results shows a very satisfactory accordance with law in the distribution of the errors for most of the several nativities. The range of variation for these nativities is shown in the appended table, deduced from men in usual vigor only.

| Nativity | No. of Men | Circumference | r | $r_{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England. | 978 | la. | $\stackrel{\mathrm{ln} .}{1.298}$ | $\underset{0.041}{\stackrel{\mathrm{~m}}{0}}$ |
| New York, New Jersey, Penn. | 8124 | 37.037 | 1.250 | 0.022 |
| Ohio and Indiana | 1417 | 37.280 | 1.365 | 0.036 |

Length of Arm. - The measurement taken in the earlier series was from the armpit to the tip of the middle finger. The mean values were -

From 5721 men in usual vigor . 29.284 inches.
From 2168 men not in usual vigor, 28.973 inches.
From 7889 men in all . . . . 29.200 inches.
In the later series this dimension was measured from the tip of the acromion to the tip of the middle finger, and we have the mean values.

From 9198 men in usual vigor . 29.139 inches.
From 1605 men not in usual vigor, 29.235 inches. From 10803 men in all . . . . 29.153 inches.
The extreme values for nativities comprising an adequate number of men are 30.02 inches from 267 natives of Kentucky and Tennessee, and 28.52 from 100 Frenchmen, etc. The range of error may be seen by the results for men in usual vigor, for four nativities.

| Tativits | No. of Men | Leagth | r | ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England Statee . . . | 978 | ${ }_{2 \mathrm{ln}}^{29.253}$ | $\stackrel{\ln .}{0.969}$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{fn} .}{0.031}$ |
| New York, New Jersey, Penn. | 8123 | 29.096 | 0.963 | 0.017 |
| Ohio and Indiana | 1417 | 29.503 | 0.948 | 0.025 |
| Ireland | 659 | 28.922 | 0.987 | 0.042 |

A second measurement was made from the middle of the tip of the breast-bone to the tip of the middle finger, this length being, ac-
cording to some writers on the fine arts, just one half the height in a well-formed man, - a supposition which our results do not corroborate.
Of the 10865 white soldiers for whom this distance was measured, there were found but 625 men, being $5 \frac{3}{4}$ per cent., whose height was equal to twice this dimension. These were distributed among the several nativities as follows : -

| Nativity | Total Number Examined | No. of Cases Found | Proportion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England States | 1211 | 98 | . 081 |
| New York, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania | 3761 | 263 | . 070 |
| Ohio and Indiana | 1660 | 35 | . 021 |
| Michigan, Wisconsin, and Illinois . | 1014 | 42 | . 041 |
| Coast Slave States . . | 365 | 23 | . 063 |
| Kentucky and Tennessee . | 267 | 11 | . 041 |
| West of Mississippi River . . . | 61 | 13 | . 213 |
| British American Provinces . . . | 558 | 44 | . 079 |
| England. . | 326 | 22 | . 067 |
| Scotland . | 81 | 8 | . 099 |
| Ireland | 826 | 36 | . 044 |
| Germany | 562 | 19 | . 034 |
| All others . . . . . . . . . . . | 173 | 11 | . 064 |
| Total . . . . . . . . . . . . | 10865 | 625 | . 0575 |

The mean value of this dimension was: -

|  | Mean Height <br> in | Mean Value <br> in. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From 9263 men in usual vigor, | 67.150 | 35.040 |
| $\mathbf{1 6 0 5}$ men not in usual vigor, | 67.148 | 35.055 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 8 6 8}$ men in all, averraging | $\mathbf{6 7 . 1 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 . 0 4 2}$ |

The mean for nativity C gives 35.47 inches, from 1660 men, that for Kentucky and Tennessee gives 35.99 inches, from 267 men. For Germans the mean from 562 men is 34.78 . These differences appear to be characteristic, and we have for men in health : -

| Nativity | No. of Men | Length | $r$ | $r_{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England States | 978 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { tm. } \\ & 35.087 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{in}_{1.055}$ | $\underset{0.034}{\substack{\text { in. } \\ \hline}}$ |
| New York, New Jersey, Pa. . | 3122 | 35.011 | 1.071 | 0.019 |
| Ohio, Indiana . | 1416 | 35.473 | 1.022 | 0.027 |
| Ireland | 558 | 34.891 | 1.043 | 0.044 |

Length of Upper Arm. - The mean distance from tip of acromion to extremity of elbow was found -
From 9253 men in usual vigor, 13.604 inches, making the lower arm and hand 15.535 inches.
From 1603 men not in usual vigor, 13.609 inches, making the lower arm and hand 15.626 inches.
From 10856 men in all, 13605 inches, making the lower arm and hand, 15.548 inches.
It is a source of regret that the length of the hand was not determined, and a means thus afforded for comparing the length of the humerus and radius, from which comparison valuable ethnological inferences might have been deduced; but this measurement was not provided for in the schedule. A comparison of our results for different nativities gives : -

| Nativity | No. of Men | Oppor Arm | Lower Arm and Hand | Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England States | 1199 | in. | $\ln$ | 1.12 |
| New York, New Jersey, and Penn. | 3742 | 13.62 | 16.50 | 1.14 |
| Ohio and Indiana . | 1646 | 18.72 | 15.81 | 1.15 |
| Michigan, Wisconsin, and Illinois | 1012 | 18.39 | 15.42 | 1.15 |
| Coast Slave States. | 364 | 18.75 | 15.65 | 1.14 |
| Kentucky and Tennessee | 267 | 13.63 | 15.39 | 1.20 |
| British American Provinces | 557 | 13.61 | 15.38 | 1.18 |
| England, Wales, etc. | 323 | 13.39 | 15.29 | 1.14 |
| Scotland | 81 | 13.53 | 15.43 | 1.14 |
| Ireland. | 826 | 13.46 | 15.53 | 1.15 |
| France, etc. | 99 | 1322 | 15.30 | 1.16 |
| Germany . | 554 | 13.54 | 15.43 | 1.14 |
| Scandinaria . | 84 | 13.86 | 16.03 | 1.16 |
| All others . . . . . . . . | 39 | 13.40 | 15.42 | 1.15 |

The range of individual variation from the mean for the corresponding nativity, may be seen from the appended results, for men in usual vigor, belonging to three nativities which exhibit a satisfactory distribution of these variations.

| Nativity | No. of Men | Length | $r$ | $r_{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England Statas | 978 | $\stackrel{\ln .}{18.865}$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{In} .}{0.708}$ | $\stackrel{\ln .}{0.028}$ |
| New York, New Jersey, and Penn. | 3117 | 18.617 | 0.639 | 0.011 |
| Michigan, Wisconsin, and Illinois | 938 | 18.365 | 0.488 | 0.016 |

It has already been remarked that an estimate may be made of the correctness of the mode of measuring adopted, by comparing
half the measured distance between the acroinia with the difference between the two dimensions from the tip of the middle finger, $12 a$ to the acromion, and $12 b$ to the middle of the breastbone, respectively; as also that the measurements have not in many cases borne this test satisfactorily. The errors committed seem however to have been not so much in the length of the arm as in the breadth of the shoulders; and a word of comment here may be advisable.

The examiners were severally instructed by Dr. Buckley, and only commenced independent operations after he considered them well versed, and warned against all probable dangers of error. As a precaution, however, the results deduced from the returns of the several examiners were compared as frequently as the progress of the tabulation permitted, and whenever the values for any dimension, resulting from the measures by any one person appeared to be systematically different from those given by the others, this examiner was informed of the discordance, and cautions were impressed upon him if they seemed called for. Thus, the first quarter of the measurements by most of the examiners differed, in some one or more respects, from the subsequent ones. The breadth of shoulders and the head-measurements were those in which such criticisms were found chiefly necessary ; and it may therefore not be amiss to give the results as derived from those examinations only, in which such discordances were not so large, or which were subsequent to special caution upon the subject.

The following results are derived from such data only as appear to have been made with the greatest care; their number being not quite nine sixteenths of the full number purporting to have been made between the acromia. They do not comprise all those which seem beyond question, but merely those which it has been found convenient to aggregate without too large an expenditure of labor.

The table presents the mean values for the stature, and for the three arm-measurements of the same men, together with a final column exhibiting the difference between one half the mean breadth of shoulders between the acromia, as obtained from the direct measurements, and the value deduced by subtracting the mean distance "acromion to finger-tip" from the mean distance " from middle of top of sternum to finger-tip."

The values in this last column are, with a single exception, positive, and suggest that even here the recorded width of shoulders may have exceeded the true value. But the discrepancy may not improbably arise from a slight deficiency in the recorded distance
from the middle of the breast-bone to the finger-tip. The former of these dimensions is gauged between the arms of the andrometer, so that the errors can arise only from an incorrect determination of the points to be measured; but in the latter it may well be that the graduated tape was made to form 2 chord between the two extremities of the line, and that it thus gave lengths short of the truth by an amount averaging nearly the tenth of an inch. It will be seen in the next chapter that the negative value here obtained for the nativity D disappears when proportions only, and not actual dimensions, are considered.

## Means of Arm and Shoulder Measures

(including only the most trustworthy returns).

| Nativity | No. | Mean Stature | Breadth between Acromia, $8 a$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Acro- } \\ \text { mion } \\ \text { to Fin- } \\ \text { ger-tip, } \\ \hline 12 a \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Middle } \\ \text { of Ster- } \\ \text { num to } \\ \text { Yinger- } \\ \text { Tip, } \\ \text { 12i } \end{array}$ | Acromion to ELbow, 12c | 18a-(12b-12a) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | , | , | in. | ${ }^{\text {la }}$. | 1 l. |  |
| New England States | 322 | 67.168 | 12.377 | 28.926 | 35.004 | 13.440 | 0.090 |
| N. Y., N. J., and Penn. | 1866 | 67.891 | 12.351 | 29.043 | 35.085 | 13.743 | 0.134 |
| Ohio and Indiana | 840 | 67.701 | 12.248 | 29.389 | 35.402 | 13.677 | 0.111 |
| Mich., Wisc., and Ill. . | 842 | 67.229 | 12.231 | 28679 | 34.820 | 13.325 | -0.026 |
| Coast Slave States . | 44 | 67.366 | 12.027 | 29.327 | 35.332 | 13.702 | 0.008 |
| Kentucky and Tennessee | 32 | 68.916 | 12.700 | 29.884 | 36.178 | 13.744 | 0.056 |
| States W. Miss. River | 18 | 67.861 | 12.549 | 29.400 | 35.539 | 13.650 | 0.135 |
| British Amer. Provinces | 273 | 67.074 | 12.338 | 28.900 | 34.949 | 13.485 | 0.120 |
| England. | 153 | 66.548 | 12.436 | 28.601 | 34.686 | 13.288 | 0.133 |
| Scotland | 50 | 66.653 | 12.241 | 28.667 | 34.685 | 13.320 | 0.103 |
| Ireland | 205 | 66.736 | 12.459 | 28.868 | 35.035 | 13.241 | 0.062 |
| France, etc. | 17 | 65.929 | 12.288 | 28.417 | 34.429 | 13.100 | 0.132 |
| Germany | 175 | 66.413 | 12.308 | 28.828 | 34.887 | 13.394 | 0.095 |
| Miscellaneous . | 18 | 67.028 | 12.361 | 28.956 | 35.078 | 13.511 | 0.058 |
| Total | 4853 | 67.484 | 12.316 | 28.998 | 35.061 | 13.566 | 0.095 |

Distance between Eyes. - In the later series, the distances between the outer and the inner angles of the eyes were measured with calipers. Half the sum of these measures gives the distance between the centers of the eyeballs; half their distance is the width of the eye. The resultant mean values of these quantities, assorted by nativities, are as follows : -

| Nativity | No. of Men | Distance of Centers | Whath of Eye |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England States | 1211 | $\stackrel{\text { in. }}{2.508}$ | $\stackrel{\text { in. }}{1.288}$ |
| N. Y., N. J., and Penn. . . | 3765 | 2.496 | 1.266 |
| Ohio and Indiana . . . | 1662 | 2.466 | 1.272 |
| Michigan, Wisconsin, Illinois | 1016 | 2.425 | 1.201 |
| Coast Slave States . | 867 | 2.457 | 1.280 |
| Kentucky and Tennessee . . | 267 | 2.520 | 1.296 |
| States W. of Mississippi River . | 61 | 2.486 | 1.242 |
| British American Provinces . | 558 | 2.579 | 1.325 |
| England, Wales, etc. . | 326 | 2.474 | 1.249 |
| Scotland | 81 | 2.475 | 1.256 |
| Ireland . . . . . . | 827 | 2.612 | 1.262 |
| France, Belgium, Switzerland | 100 | 2.498 | 1.254 |
| Germany . . . . | 562 | 2.526 | 1.276 |
| Scandinavia . . . . | 84 | 2.520 | 1.286 |
| All others . | 89 | 2.523 | 1.285 |
| Total | 10876 | 2.492 | 1.267 |

The probable discordance of the individual variations in the measured dimensions, from the mean, is found by a discussion of results for four nativities to be less than 0.15 inch . For the nativity B the probable variation of individuals from the mean, derived from 3121 cases, is 0.157 inch for the distance between the outer angles, and 0.110 inch for that between the inner angles. Other nativities give less average variations for the larger dimension. The extreme values found for the distance between outer angles were 2.4 inches and 5.1 inches; for the interval between the inner angles they were 0.6 inch and 1.9 inch . The probable error of the mean varies for the larger nativities, between 0.002 inch and 0.004 inch.

The mean "distance between the pupils," as given by the Earlier Series, is also appended, assorted in the same manner. This measurement appears to have been taken by holding a graduated tape or foot-rule in front of the eyes, and thus estimating the distance. The uncertainty of this method is obvious, and it will be seen that the interval is, for all nativities, about one tenth of an inch larger than that deduced from the later series.

| Nativity | No. of Mon | Distance of Pupils |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England States | 880 | 2.605 |
| N. Y., N. J., and Penn. . . . | 3072 | 2.606 |
| Ohio and Indiana . | 268 | 2.604 |
| Michigan, Wisconsin, Illinois | 130 | 2.601 |
| Coast Slave States . . . | 218 | 2.696 |
| Kentucky and Tennessee . | 10 | 2.587 |
| States W. of Mississippi River . | 8 | 2.647 |
| British American Provinces . | 168 | 2.601 |
| England, Wales, etc. | 158 | 2.614 |
| Scotland . | 39 | 2.641 |
| Ireland . . | 387 | 2.612 |
| France, Belgium, Switzerland | 44 | 2.602 |
| Germany | 211 | 2.608 |
| Scandinavia | 9 | 2.681 |
| All others . | 17 | 2.581 |
| Total | ¢ 619 | 2.606 |

Dimensions of Foot. - These were measured only in those examinations which were made according to Form [EE].
The mean length was found for no nativity to exceed 10.24 inches, and for none to fall below 9.89 inches; the value for the total being 10.058 inches. These differences, moreover, correspond closely with differences in the mean stature, and it would appear that, considerable as is the variation in this respect between individuals, the mean value is very well marked; its ratio to the stature differing but very slightly in the different nativities, and being very close to 0.15 .

The range of variation may be inferred from the results for men in usual vigor, of four nativities.

| Nattrity | No. of Men | Length | $r$ | $r_{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Fngland States . | 976 | $\begin{gathered} \text { in. } \\ 10.092 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { In. } \\ 0.330 \end{gathered}$ | in. |
| New York, New Jersey, and Penn. | 3116 | 10.072 | 0.326 | 0.006 |
| Ohio and Indiana | 1416 | 10.106 | 0.316 | 0.008 |
| Michigan, Wisconsin, and Illinois | 938 | 10.035 | 0.328 | 0.011 |

The largest value on our record was 12.1 inches, and belonged to a native of New York 71.8 inches in height, and aged 30 years, thus measuring 0.169 of the stature. The shortest foot 18
measured was 7.8 inches in length, and belonged to a native of Scotland, who was 59.2 inches in height and 17 years old. This foot was 0.182 of the height.

The dimension $36 b$ was taken for comparison with the length of the foot proper. It was measured from the tip of the great toe to the hollow above the heel, and the difference between these two dimensions thus gives a close approximation to the length of the heel itself, by the addition of 0.3 inch as a correction, upon the assumption that the angle at the toe subtended by the height of the heel is about $14^{\circ}$. The average variation, and the probable error of the mean were found for the nativities examined, to be between two and three per cent. smaller than for the length of the foot, as measured to the extremity of the heel; the difference being probably due to the greater facility with which the measures can be correctly made, in consequence of the less compressible character of the tendon.

The mean length of the heel, thus measured, is 0.485 inch for the aggregate of white soldiers, and very constant for the several nativities.

The mean thickness of the foot at instep varies in our results for different nativities from 2.844 inches ( 0.041 of the stature) for 267 natives of Kentucky and Tennessee, to 2.438 inches ( 0.036 of the stature) for 520 Canadians.

Uncertainty as to the precise point at which the calipers were applied renders comparisons of this dimension unsatisfactory at the best; still the differences deduced for the several nativities would appear to be not altogether due to peculiarities or errors of the examiners. The mean value is 2.572 inches for white soldiers. All these measures are proved to have an important ethnological bearing, as will be seen hereafter.

A satisfactory distribution of the individual measurements was found only in the two nativities B and C, which give -

| Nativity | No. of Men | Thickness | $\boldsymbol{r}$ | $r_{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New York, New Jersey, and Penn. | 3115 | $\underset{2.495}{\text { in. }}$ | $\underset{0.237}{\ln .}$ | $\underset{0.004}{\ln .}$ |
| Ohio and Indiana . . | 1415 | 2.684 | 0.204 | 0.005 |

The extreme values of this dimension upon our record are 1.6 inch for a native of Canada, and 4.0 inches for a native of New York. The former corresponds to 0.025 , and the latter to 0.055 of the stature.

The fourth foot-measurement prescribed by our schedule is the circumference around the extremity of the heel and the anterior ligament ; and was, like the second, designed to permit ethnological comparisons, without affecting the sense of caste of the newly enfranchised colored troops by any odious suggestions; and the results have been found entirely satisfactory. The mean values for those different nativities which comprise more than 60 men range from 13.023 to 13.675 inches, corresponding to 0.197 and 0.200 of the stature. The mean of all gives 13.201.

The probable variations for men in actual vigor belonging to three nativities are -

| Nativity | No. of Men | Circumference | r | ro |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New York, New Jersey, and Penn. | 8110 | $\begin{gathered} \text { in. } \\ 13.210 \end{gathered}$ | $\ln _{0.375}$ | $\stackrel{\text { in. }}{0.007}$ |
| Ohio and Indiana | 1415 | 13.412 | 0.439 | 0.012 |
| Michigan, Wisconsin, and Illinois | 939 | 13.219 | 0.368 | 0.012 |

The largest and smallest values upon our records are -
17.1 inches for a native of Germany, aged 31 and 73.4 inches high, being 0.233 of the stature,
and 10.0 inches for a native of England, aged 31 and 60.0 inches high, being 0.167 of the stature.

The results thus found for white soldiers are appended in tabular form, the mean values deduced from men not in their usual health and strength being also given, separately from the others. ${ }^{1}$ Of the six pages of which this Table I. consists, the first three pertain to the first ten nativities, and the last three contain the remaining eight nativities, which have been separately considered, together with a " miscellaneous," class comprising all not included in the preceding eighteen, and finally the means derived from the aggregate of all. It is probably needless to call attention to the fact that the trustworthiness of the mean dimensions for any nativity depends largely upon the number of men from which these mean dimensions were deduced. The mean age of the men at the time of measurement, is also given for every group.

[^46]
## TABLE I．

Mean Dimensions of White Soldiers．

| Nativity |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { e } \\ & \text { 品 } \\ & \text { 星 } \\ & \text { 辰 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 6 <br> 3 <br>  |  | 7 <br> 5 <br> 窇 <br> 曷落 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A．New England States In usual vigor Others Total．．． <br> B．N．Y．，N．J．，and Penn． |  |  | in． | in． | in． | in． | in． | In． |
|  | 1000 | 25.36 | 67.21 | 4.90 | 57.25 | 18.75 | 31.10 | 4.18 |
|  | 211 | 27.67 | 67.15 | 5.06 | 57.15 | 18.73 | 31.02 | 4.11 |
|  | 1211 | 25.76 | 67.20 | 4.93 | 57.23 | 18.75 | 31.09 | 4.17 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3177 | 25.71 | 67.13 | 4.92 | 57.18 | 18.64 | 31.06 | 4.25 |
|  | 588 | 28.71 | 67.20 | 4.96 | 57.16 | 18.65 | 31.04 | 4.11 |
|  | 3765 | 26.18 | 67.14 | 4.92 | 57.18 | 18.64 | 31.05 | 4.23 |
| C．Ohio and Indiana In usual vigor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1443 | 24.44 | 67.68 | 6.37 | 57.69 | 18.74 | 31.43 | 4.18 |
| Others ． | 219 | 26.46 | 68.12 | 5.37 | 58.08 | 18.87 | 31.64 | 4.10 |
| Total．．－ | 1662 | 24.70 | 67.74 | 6.37 | 57.74 | 18.76 | 31.46 | 4.17 |
| D．Mich．，Wisc．，and Ill． In usual vigor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 945 | 24.44 | 67.22 | 4.82 | 57.29 | 18.06 | 31.02 | 4.32 |
| Others ．－ | 71 | 23.54 | 67.60 | 5.13 | 57.57 | 18.44 | 31.30 | 4.16 |
| Total．． | 1016 | 24.38 | 67.26 | 4.84 | 57.32 | 18.09 | 31.05 | 4.31 |
| E．Coast Slave States |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigor | 315 | 25.89 | 67.62 | 5.25 | 57.62 | 19.08 | 31.60 | 4.18 |
| Others． | 52 | 32.80 | 67.19 | 5.21 | 57.28 | 18.91 | 31.41 | 3.99 |
| Total | 367 | 26.88 | 67.56 | 5.24 | 57.57 | 19.06 | 31.57 | 4.15 |
| F．Kentucky and Tenn． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigor | 223 | 25.19 | 68.57 | 6.07 | 58.68 | 19.20 | 31.68 | 4.16 |
| Others． | 44 | 30.13 | 68.31 | 5.69 | 58.35 | 19.16 | 31.67 | 4.13 |
| Total． | 267 | 26.00 | 68.53 | 6.01 | 58.63 | 19.19 | 31.68 | 4.15 |
| G $_{1}$ ．W．of Miss．R．－Free ． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigor | 10 | 22.28 | 67.89 | 5.83 | 58.00 | 18.90 | 31.32 | 4.10 |
| G2．W．of Miss．R．－Slave |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigor | 46 | 24.50 | 66.29 | 5.52 | 56.66 | 18.88 | 31.06 | 4.25 |
| Others ．． | 5 | 25.09 | 66.56 | 5.52 | 56.62 | 19.06 | 31.16 | 3.94 |
| Total．． | 61 | 24.56 | 66.32 | 5.52 | 56.65 | 18.90 | 31.07 | 4.22 |
| H．Brit．Prov．excl．Canada |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigor | 36 | 27.16 | 67.31 | 5.25 | 57.45 | 18.74 | 30.85 | 4.22 |
| Others ． | 2 | 23.48 | 66.40 | 5.90 | 56.30 | 17.70 | 29.58 | 4.00 |
| Total． | 38 | 26.96 | 67.26 | 5.28 | 57.39 | 18.69 | 30.78 | 4.21 |
| I．Canada $\begin{array}{cc} \\ & \text { In usual } \\ & \text { Others } \\ & \text { Total }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 474 | 24.91 | 66.85 | 4.70 | 57.05 | 18.43 | 30.82 | 4.30 |
|  | 46 | 30.64 | 67.20 | 5.04 | 57.02 | 18.43 | 30.84 | 4.18 |
|  | 520 | 25.43 | 66.88 | 4.78 | 57.04 | 18.43 | 30.82 | 4.29 |

## TABLE I. - (Continued.)

## Mean Dimensions of White Soldiers.

| Netivity |  |  | 88 |  | $10 a$ Clirer ence or |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. New England States | , | in. | In. | 1 fm . | 1 ln . | in. | In. | in. |
|  | 13.44 | 12.77 | $16.28$ | 11.91 | 36.74 | 34.06 | 31.08 | 36.50 |
|  | 13.42 | 12.71 | 16.26 | 11.76 | 36.58 | 34.33 | 30.98 | 36.52 |
|  | 13.44 | 12.76 | 16.28 | 11.88 | 36.71 | 34.11 | 31.06 | 36.61 |
| B. N. Y., N. J., and Penn. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 51 | 12.69 <br> 12.69 | 16.38 | 12.02 | 86.88 | 34.33 <br> 34.65 | 31.42 | 37.08 |
| Total | 13.61 | 12.69 | 16.36 | 11.99 | 37.06 | 34.38 | 31.41 | 37.01 |
| C. Ohio and Indiana <br> In usual vigor <br> Others . | 13.70 | 12.74 | 16.40 | 11.90 | 37.60 | 34.98 | 32.01 | 37.27 |
|  | 13.57 | 12.61 | 16.28 | 11.77 | 37.07 | 34.76 | 31.81 | 36.95 |
| Total | 13.68 | 12.72 | 16.38 | 11.88 | 37.53 | 34.95 | 31.98 | 37.22 |
| D. Mich., Wisc., and IIl. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Others . . . | 13.50 | 12.47 | 16.48 | 11.80 | 36.78 | 34.42 | 31.20 | 36.93 |
| Total . $\cdot$. | 13.52 | 12.34 | 16.23 | 11.69 | 37.29 | 34.04 | 31.08 | 36.79 |
| E. Coast Slave States |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigor | 13.64 | 12.75 | 15.85 15.64 | 11.73 | 36.68 | 34.27 34.00 | 31.30 |  |
| Total | 13.61 | 12.68 | 15.82 | 11.71 | 36.64 | 34.23 | 31.25 | 36.61 |
| F. Kentucky and Tenn. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Others . | 13.83 | 13.17 | 16.22 | 11.80 | 37.61 | 35.23 | 32.31 | 37.51 |
| Total | 13.73 | 13.51 | 16.65 | 11.99 | 37.83 | 35.30 | 32.63 | 37.77 |
| G. W. of Miss. R. - Free |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigor | 14.01 | 13.12 | 17.30 | 11.84 | 37.53 | 34.84 | 31.83 | 38.09 |
| G2. W. of Miss. R. - Slave |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigor | 13.32 | 13.34 | 15.83 | 11.65 | 35.64 | 33.38 | 29.89 | 35.40 |
| Others . | 13.48 | 12.38 | - | 11.47 | 34.60 | 32.70 | 29.32 | 36.00 |
| Total . . | 13.33 | 13.23 | 15.83 | 11.64 | 35.54 | 33.81 | 29.83 | 35.46 |
| H. Brit. Prov. excl. Canada |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigor | 13.87 | 12.90 | 16.77 | 11.84 | 37.24 | 34.91 | 81.25 | 36.60 |
| Others . | 13.05 | 13.00 | - | 11.50 | 35.05 | 33.10 | 30.50 | 35.55 |
| Total | 13.83 | 12.91 | 16.77 | 11.82 | 37.13 | 34.81 | 31.21 | 36.54 |
| I. Canada |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigor | 13.60 | 12.64 | 16.30 | 12.05 | 37.13 | 34.30 | 31.38 | 37.00 |
| Others . | 13.57 | 12.78 | 15.90 | 11.79 | 37.26 | 34.90 | 32.17 | 37.07 |
| Total | 13.60 | 12.65 | 16.29 | 12.03 | 37.14 | 34.35 | 31.45 | 87.00 |

## TABLE I. - (Continued.)

## Mean Dimensions of White Soldiers.

| Nativity | 12a <br>  |  |  | $28 b$ 2bc |  | $86 a$ | 866 | 36 c | 86d |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Distance betwean Angles of Eyes |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Outer | Inner |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigo | 29.26 | 35.09 | 13.80 | 3.805 | 1.224 | 10.092 | 9.912 | 2.53 | 13.07 |
| Others . | 29.08 | 34.85 | 13.58 | 3.760 | 1.202 | 9.931 | 9.749 | 2.65 | 13.05 |
| Total | 29.23 | 35.05 | 13.76 | 3.797 | 1.220 | 10.065 | 9.883 | 2.55 | 13.06 |
| B. N. Y., N. J., Penn. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigor | 29.10 | 35.01 | 13.62 | 3.765 | 1.237 | 10.071 | 9.878 | 2.50 | 13.20 |
| Others . | 29.25 | 35.13 | 13.62 | 3.744 | 1.196 | 9.970 | 9.793 | 2.67 | 13.16 |
| Total | 29.12 | 35.03 | 13.62 | 3.761 | 1.230 | 10.055 | 9.864 | 2.53 | 13.20 |
| C. Ohio and Indiana |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigor | 29.50 | 35.47 | 13.70 | 3.744 | 1.199 | 10.105 | 9.918 | 2.68 | 13.40 |
| Others . | 29.72 | 35.50 | 13.83 | 3.695 | 1.157 | 10.112 | 9.949 | 2.76 | 13.30 |
| Total | 29.53 | 35.47 | 13.72 | 3.738 | 1.194 | 10.106 | 9.922 | 2.69 | 13.39 |
| D. Mich., Wisc., and Ill. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigor | 28.74 | 34.74 | 13.37 | 3.622 | 1.225 | 10.036 | 9.854 | 2.47 | 13.21 |
| Others . | 29.33 | 35.26 | 13.67 | 3.680 | 1.213 | 10.070 | 9.886 | 2.69 | 13.30 |
| Total | 28.81 | 34.77 | 13.39 | 3.626 | 1.224 | 10.039 | 9.856 | 2.49 | 13.22 |
| E. Coast Slave States |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigor | 29.40 | 35.08 | 13.74 | 3.747 | 1.188 | 10.108 | 9.926 | 2.67 | 13.20 |
| Others . | 29.42 | 35.02 | 13.84 | 3.679 | 1.110 | 9.979 | 9.798 | 2.72 | 13.14 |
| Total | 29.40 | 35.07 | 18.75 | 3.737 | 1.177 | 10.089 | 9.908 | 2.67 | 13.19 |
| F. Kentucky and Tenn. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigor | 30.01 | 36.00 | 13.61 | 3.828 | 1.231 | 10.270 | 10.077 | 2.85 | 13.68 |
| Others. | 30.10 | 35.94 | 13.74 | 3.763 | 1.188 | 10.123 | 9.957 | 2.80 | 13.67 |
| Total | 30.02 | 35.99 | 13.63 | 3.817 | 1.224 | 10.245 | 10.057 | 2.84 | 13.67 |
| G. W. of Miss. R.-Free |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigor . | 29.19 | 35.09 | 18.30 | 3.860 | 1.230 | 10.000 | 9.840 | 2.84 | 13.42 |
| G9. W. of Miss. R.-Sl. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In nsual vigor | 29.10 | 34.36 | 13.43 | 3.700 | 1.254 | 9.891 | 9.678 | 2.65 | 1290 |
| Others . | 29.16 | 34.66 | 13.52 | 3.720 | 1.180 | 9.880 | 9.600 | 2.64 | 12.90 |
| Total . . | 29.11 | 34.39 | 13.44 | 3.702 | 1.247 | 9.890 | 9.671 | 2.65 | 12.90 |
| H. Brit. Prov. excl. Can. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigor | 29.28 | 35.11 | 13.88 | 3.800 | 1.225 | 10.075 | 9.908 | 2.56 | 13.17 |
| Others . . | 28.20 | 33.50 | 12.80 | 3.600 | 1.200 | 10.150 | 9.900 | 2.80 | 13.80 |
| Total | 29.22 | 35.03 | 13.82 | 3.789 | 1.224 | 10.079 | 9.908 | 2.57 | 13.20 |
| L. Canada |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigor | 28.93 | 34.82 | 13.57 | 3.926 | 1.265 | 10.082 | 9.889 | 2.41 | 13.19 |
| Others . | 29.38 | 35.10 | 13.90 | 3.772 | 1.169 | 9.989 | 9.843 | 2.72 | 13.21 |
| Total . . | 28.97 | 34.83 | 13.60 | 3.912 | 1.256 | 10.074 | 9.885 | 2.44 | 13.19 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## T A BLE I．－（Continued．）

## Mean Dimensions of White Soldiers．

| Nativity |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 㕃 } \\ & \text { 哥 } \\ & \text { 皆 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{J}_{1}$ ．England <br> In usual vigor <br> Others ．．． <br> Total ．．． <br> $J_{2}$ ．Wales \＆I．of Man |  |  | In． | In． | in． | in． | in． | n． |
|  | 261 | 26.16 | 66.17 | 4.90 | 56.27 | 18.28 | 30.39 | 4.23 |
|  | 45 | 31.33 | 66.75 | 4.84 | 56.62 | 18.41 | 30.76 | 4.12 |
|  | 306 | 27.08 | 66.25 | 4.90 | 56.32 | 18.30 | 30.45 | 4.21 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18 | 30.10 | 66.83 | 5.45 | 56.78 | 18.58 | 30.59 | 4.19 |
|  | 2 | 40.49 | 67.25 | 5.20 | 56.95 | 19.10 | 30.80 | 4.00 |
|  | 20 | 31.14 | 66.87 | 5.42 | 56.80 | 18.63 | 30.61 | 4.17 |
| K．Scotland |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 70 | 28.48 | 66.83 | 4.89 | 56.87 | 18.34 | 30.75 | 4.23 |
|  | 11 | 31.67 | 67.59 | 5.25 | 57.51 | 18.52 | 31.30 | 4.16 |
|  | 81 | 28.91 | 66.94 | 4.94 | 56.95 | 18.36 | 30.83 | 4.22 |
| L．Ireland |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 648 | 28.36 | 66.68 | 5.08 | 56.75 | 18.57 | 30.71 | 4.24 |
|  | 179 | 32，42 | 66.29 | 5.07 | 56.28 | 18.42 | 30.51 | 4.09 |
|  | 827 | 29.24 | 66.59 | 5.08 | 56.65 | 18.54 | 30.67 | 4.21 |
| M．France，etc． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 84 | 27.38 | 65.73 | 5.01 | 55.77 | 18.22 | 30.24 | 4.23 |
|  | 16 | 29.62 | 65.31 | 4.97 | 55.48 | 18.03 | 29.99 | 4.10 |
|  | 100 | 27.74 | 65.66 | 5.00 | 55.72 | 18.19 | 30.20 | 4.22 |
| N．Germany |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigor | 462 | 28.88 | 66.22 | 5.00 | 56.49 | 18.54 | 30.76 | 4.31 |
| Others ．．． | 100 | 33.85 | 65.96 | 4.88 | 56.06 | 18.44 | 30.51 | 4.14 |
| Total ．． | 562 | 29.76 | 66.17 | 4.98 | 56.41 | 18.52 | 30.71 | 4.28 |
| O．Scandinavia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigor | 28 | 27.92 | 68.06 | 5.14 | 58.20 | 19.04 | 31.63 | 4.34 |
| Others ．． | 6 | 34.99 | 66.37 | 5.30 | 56.40 | 18.67 | 30.63 | 3.98 |
| Total ．． | 34 | 29.17 | 67.76 | 5.17 | 57.88 | 18.97 | 31.45 | 4.27 |
| P．Spain，Portugal，etc． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigor | 6 | 31.99 | 65.52 | 5.70 | 55.93 | 18.15 | 29.72 | 4.22 |
| Others ．． | 1 | 29.49 | 63.90 | 5.30 | 54.80 | 17.40 | 29.50 | 4.30 |
| Total ． | 7 | 31.63 | 65.29 | 5.64 | 55.77 | 18.04 | 29.69 | 4.23 |
| Q．Miscellaneous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigo | 25 | 26.0 | 67.07 | 5.15 | 57.17 | 18.68 | 30.82 | 4.24 |
| Others ．． | 7 | 32.49 | 66.43 | 5.73 | 57.04 | 18.56 | 30.64 | 3.91 |
| Total ． | 32 | 27.48 | 66.93 | 5.27 | 57.14 | 18.65 | 30.78 | 4.17 |
| All Nativities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual rigor Others ．．． | 9271 1605 | 25.705 29.165 | 67.150 | 5.028 | 57.218 <br> 57.131 | 18．603 | 31.069 31.040 31.065 | 4.238 <br> 4.108 |
| Total． | 10876 | 26.215 | 67.149 | 5.036 | 57.205 | 18.609 | 31.065 | 4.219 |

## TABLE I. - (Continued.) <br> Mean Dimensions of White Soldiers.



## TABLE I. - (Continued.)

## Mean Dimensions of White Soldiers.



Although, for most of the dimensions, differences of value corresponding to the different states of health are not so strongly marked as to appear attributable to any other source than the inadequacy of the number of men belonging to the smaller class, this is not everywhere the case.

The most prominent difference between the classes is in their age, the class " not in usual vigor" having a mean age greater by some years than the other. There is but one exception to this rule, in any nativity for which the class not in usual. health consists of more than two persons. The mean age for the aggregate in the two classes differs by nearly $3 \frac{1}{2}$ years; that of the men in full vigor being 25.7 , and of the others 29.2 years. We have here a clew of great importance for arriving at the relative power of endurance at different ages, and a most useful investigation might be made from our materials did time and means permit, by excluding from the comparison all those who were enfeebled by wounds, and classifying the remaining cases by age. Then the proportions of men of each age found in the two classes, or even the relative number in each class for the several ages, would afford very suggestive indications. When we bear in mind the very large proportion of the total number who were at the carlier military ages, as has been fully developed in Chapters III. and IV., we cannot fail to perceive at once how much greater must have been the proportion of invalids at the more advanced ages, in order to produce such an effect upon the mean of all. Without having entered upon this desirable research, which the present circumstances forbid, it may be allowable to express an opinion that the results of this inquiry would probably indicate a decided decrease of capacity for enduring the hardship of military life, after the age of thirty-five years.

In the breadth of the neck a difference between the two classes is well marked, the feebler men measuring in the average about one thirtieth less in this dimension. In the girth of the neck an analogous difference of course exists, although not so conspicuous, probably because the measures were taken around the pomum Ada$m i$, the prominence of which, being the same for the two classes, masks the other phenomenon.

In the full breadth of shoulders, $8 b$, the distinction between the classes is manifest, as also to some extent in the circumference of the waist and hips (11 and 11 $\frac{1}{2}$ ).

The breadth of pelvis seems also systematically less for the feebler men, and the difference in the circumference of the chest has been already commented upon; this circumference being
greater at full inhalation, and somewhat less at exhalation, for the stronger class of men.

The differences above mentioned are not so well manifest in the earlier series of measures [Form E]. This is probably due to the circumstance already narrated, that the respective classes of men were measured by different persons, between whom a large personal equation existed, and who were governed by no distinct rules in ambiguous cases. The inferences, too, which are deducible from these earlier measurements regarding characteristic differences for the several nativities, have not been corroborated in general by the later and more elaborate measures, of which the results are given in Table I. Still they form a valuable collection of materials, and their mean results are here presented.

## TABLE II. <br> Mean Dimensions of White Soldiers, from Earlier Measures.
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## Mean Dimensions of White Soldiers, from Earlier Measures.

| Nativity and Clace |  | 8 <br> $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ <br> 鿊品 |  |  |  | 12 <br> $\%$ <br>  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England. | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{in} . \\ 4.07 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{16.17}^{\ln .}$ | $\begin{gathered} \ln . \\ 12.87 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { in. } \\ 35.29 \end{gathered}$ | in. | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{kn} . \\ 29.26 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 4.04 | 16.32 | 13.11 | 35.31 | 32.54 | 28.96 |
|  | 4.06 | 16.23 | 12.96 | 35.30 | 32.25 | 29.14 |
| New York. In usual vigor | 4.07 | 16.36 | 13.05 | 35.44 | 32.25 | 29.41 |
| Not in usual vigor | 4.02 | 16.35 | 13.07 | 35.20 | 32.12 | 29.19 |
| In all | 4.05 | 16.35 | 13.06 | 35.38 | 32.22 | 29.35 |
| N. Jersey, Penn. In | 4.08 | 16.41 | 13.06 | 35.64 | 32.29 | 29.86 |
|  | 4.02 | 16.45 | 13.23 | 35.21 | 32.64 | 28.67 |
|  | 4.06 | 16.42 | 13.11 | 35.51 | 32.40 | 29.50 |
| Western States. In usual vigor . | 4.11 | 16.63 | 13.13 | 35.74 | 32.30 | 29.84 |
| Not in usual vigor | 4.05 | 16.31 | 13.18 | 35.09 | 32.43 | 29.03 |
| In all | 4.09 | 16.44 | 13.15 | 35.49 | 32.35 | 29.53 |
| Slave States. In usual vigor . | 4.14 | 16.83 | 13.41 | 35.14 | 31.67 | 28.88 |
| Not in usual vigor | 4.13 | 16.31 | 13.40 | 34.82 | 31.45 | 29.19 |
| In all | 4.14 | 16.32 | 13.41 | 35.08 | 31.63. | 28.94 |
| Canada. In usual vigor . | 4.08 | 16.33 | 13.00 | 35.50 | 32.17 | 29.40 |
| Not in usual vigor | 4.10 | 16.46 | 13.13 | 35.31 | 32.15 | 28.90 |
| In all | 4.09 | 16.37 | 13.03 | 35.45 | 32.16 | 29.26 |
| Eng. \& Scot. In usual vigor . | 4.09 | 16.28 | 13.07 | 35.37 | 31.94 | 28.75 |
| Not in usual vigor | 4.02 | 16.09 | 12.93 | 34.62 | 31.38 | 28.21 |
| In all | 4.07 | 16.22 | 13.02 | 35.12 | 31.76 | 28.57 |
| Ireland. In usual vigor . | 4.10 | 16.38 | 13.09 | 35.97 | 32.25 | 29.20 |
| Not in usual vigor | 4.09 | 16.52 | 13.14 | 36.04 | 32.43 | 28.81 |
| In all . . | 4.09 | 16.63 | 13.11 | 35.98 | 32.29 | 29.10 |
| Germany. In usual vigor . | 4.13 | 16.34 | 13.10 | 35.66 | 32.20 | 28.95 |
| Not in usual vigor . | 4.09 | 16.34 | 13.05 | 35.13 | 31.85 | 28.98 |
| In all | 4.12 | 16.34 | 13.09 | 35.50 | 32.10 | 28.96 |
| All others. In usual vigor . . | 4.10 | 16.45 | 13.14 | 35.56 | 31.89 | 2858 |
| Not in usual vigor . | 4.08 | 16.24 | 13.15 | 34.38 | 31.56 | 2820 |
| In all. | 4.10 | 16.36 | 13.14 | 35.28 | 31.80 | 28.49 |
| Total. In usual vigor . . . | 4.098 | 16.342 | 13.146 | 35.424 | 32.059 | 29.28 ! |
| Not in usual vigor . | 4.053 | 16.400 | 13.174 | 35.166 | \| 32.166 | 28975 |
| In all . . | 4.085 | 16359 | 13.153 | 35.353 | 32.089 | 29200 |

## 5. Sailors.

Of the 1146 sailors whose physical characteristics have been collected, 822 examined by Mr. Phinney at the Naval Recruiting Station in New York, and 289 examined by Dr. Elsner and Major Wales at the Receiving Ship at the Charlestown Navy Yard, were entirely unclothed, so that no impediment existed to the facility of the measurements. In addition to these, 85 others were examined at Charisstown while wearing only trowsers and drawers, and 68 marines at the Brooklyn Navy Yard by Dr. Wells in the same way. No one of our examiners was more scrupulously exact and thorough than Mr. Phinney, and this series of results seems the most accurate and trustworthy of all that we have collected, especially since the personal error of the examiners appears to be remarkably small. The 1061 men who were examined without clothes have been assorted by nativities, like the soldiers of Table I., and the 85 others who were partially clad, as well as the 68 marines, have been tabulated by themselves, without assortment according to nativity. Most of them were examined at the time of their enlistment, and almost all were in full health; so that no classification depending upon their state of health seemed desirable, especially since all that such classification would suggest has been attained on a larger scale, in the discussion of the results from soldiers.

The mean age of the sailors examined differs by just a month from that of the soldiers in Table I., and their height is less by 1.14 inch, thus corroborating the results obtained in Chapter V. for the difference in stature between soldiers and sailors. The average height of the 68 marines was precisely midway between that of the sailors and the soldiers. But here, as indeed for sailors of the several nativities, the numbers are in general altogether too small to permit any safe inductions from a comparison of the mean results.

A few brief remarks as to the comparison of some of the dimensions with those of soldiers, may perhaps be appropriate.

The values of the dimension $4 \frac{1}{2}$ are decidedly larger for sailors, owing in part to the greater length of their thighs. The height to perincum seems, notwithstanding the inferior stature, to be absolutely greater for the seamen. There are, to be sure, two considerations which should qualify any inference from direct comparison of our mean values, namely, that the soldiers wore trowsers and drawers while subjected to measurement, so that the thickness of their clothing was practically deducted from the true height to
perinæum ; and that the distribution of nativities is very different in the two cases.

The first-named consideration is apparently borne out by a comparison of the mean length of legs for the partially clothed sailors and marines, since for the 85 sailors this average comes out 1.3 inch less, and for the 68 marines 0.88 inch less, than for those who were measured while naked; yet only a portion of these differences can be due to the presence or absence of clothing. For the marines, the mean value of the dimension $4 \frac{1}{2}$ appears actually more than an inch greater than for the sailors without clothing, in consequence of their short arms and greater length of body. But all these measures of marines were made by Dr. Wells, who made but few others; and too great stress ought not to be laid upon them.

The second consideration is more serious. But each of the four nativities $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{J}$, and L , comprises more than one hundred sailors, so that we may collate the mean values for these special nativities, and thus obtain comparisons free from this source of error.

## Mean Values of Dimension $4 \frac{1}{2}$.

(Distance from Tip of Middle Finger to Level of Upper Margin of Knee-pan.)

|  | New EnglandStates |  | New York, New Jersey, Penn. |  | England |  | Ireland |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of Men | Distance | No. of Men | Distance | No. of Men | Distance | No. of Men | Distance |
| Soldiers | 1208 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { in. } \\ & 4.93 \end{aligned}$ | 3761 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In. } \\ & 4.92 \end{aligned}$ | 306 | $\begin{gathered} \ln . \\ 4.90 \end{gathered}$ | 876 | $\stackrel{\text { in. }}{5.08}$ |
| Sailors | 129 | 5.57 | 155 | 6.06 | 102 | 5.55 | 335 | 6.07 |
| Excess |  | 0.64 |  | 1.14 |  | 065 |  | 0.99 |

Thus the original inference as to the excess of this dimension in the sailors is thoroughly justified, and the difference of 0.70 inch between the mean values for soldiers and sailors is seen to be probably due neither to the clothing, nor to any error in the mode of measurement, nor to the different proportions of men of the several nativities.
From a similar comparison it will become manifest whence this difference arises. The following tables present the mean values of the height to perfnæum (Qu.6), and of the length of arm as measured from the central line of the body (12b) for soldiers and sailors of the same four nativities.

## Mean Height to Perircum.

|  | Now RoglendStates |  | Now York, New Jerney, Peun. |  | England |  | Ireland |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of Men | Helght | No. of Men | Helght | No. of Men | Height | No. of Men | Heght |
| Soldiers | 1208 | $\begin{gathered} \text { fn. } \\ 81.09 \end{gathered}$ | 3759 | $\stackrel{\ln .}{31.05}$ | 304 | $\stackrel{\text { in. }}{30.45}$ | 824 | $\begin{gathered} \text { in. } \\ \mathbf{3 0 . 6 7} \end{gathered}$ |
| Sailors . | 129 | 81.44 | 155 | 31.75 | 102 | 30.69 | 835 | 31.52 |
| Excess |  | 0.35 |  | 0.70 |  | 0.24 |  | 0.85 |

Mean Values of Dimension $12 b$.
(Distance from Middle of Top of Sternum to Tip of Middle Finger, Arm extended.)

|  | Now InglandStates |  | New York, New Jersey, Penn. |  | Fogland |  | Ireland |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of Men | Distance | No. of Mon | Distance | No. of Men | Distance | No. of Men | Distance |
| Soldiers | 1211 | $\stackrel{\mathrm{in} .}{\mathbf{3 5 . 0 5}}$ | 3762 | $\stackrel{\ln .}{\mathbf{3 5 . 0 3}}$ | 306 | $\mathrm{in}_{\mathbf{8 4 . 5 5}}$ | 826 | $\frac{\mathrm{tn} .}{\mathbf{3 4 . 8 4}}$ |
| Sailors | 129 | 34.10 | 155 | 33.79 | 102 | 33.82 | 835 | 33.82 |
| Defect |  | 0.95 |  | 1.24 |  | 1.23 |  | 1.02 |

It is thus palpable that, notwithstanding a superiority of stature on the part of the soldiers over the sailors measured, amounting to 0.73 inch for the New Englanders, 0.87 for the natives of the Middle States, 1.14 for the Englishmen, and 0.37 for the Irishmen, the legs of the sailors are all longer, the excess amounting to 0.217 for the aggregate averages; and their arms all shorter, by an amount averaging 1.09 inch for the men whose measures are here given, and entirely disproportionate to the difference in height.

The mean height to the knee for the aggregate of the sailors is 18.47 inches, or 0.14 less than for the aggregate of the soldiers, although the height to the perinæum is greater; thus showing that the chief difference is in the length of the thigh. If from the height to the perinæum we subtract the height to the knee, we find the values of the dimension for each of the four nativities before compared.

Mean Distance from Knee to Perinoeum.

|  | N. E. Statem |  | N. Y., N. J., Pa.\| |  | Fingland |  | Irolend |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of Men | $\underset{\text { Dise }}{\text { Dise }}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { No. of } \\ & \text { Men } \end{aligned}\right.$ | Distance | No. of Men | Distance | No. of Men | Distance |
| Soldiers - | 1208 | $\frac{\mathrm{ln} .}{12.34}$ | 3758 | $\frac{\mathrm{in} .}{12.41}$ | 304 | $\stackrel{\mathrm{fn}}{12.15}$ | 824 | $\begin{gathered} \text { In. } \\ 12.18 \end{gathered}$ |
| Sailors | 129 | 12.98 | 155 | 13.05 | 102 | 12.50 | 335 | 12.95 |
| Excess . . . . . . |  | 0.64 |  | 0.64 |  | 0.35 |  | 0.82 |

The ratio of the height of knee to the distance between knee and perinæum, which we found to be 1.494 for the aggregate of the soldiers, is 1.442 for the aggregate of the sailors.

The breadth and girth of the neck appears to be systematically greater for sailors, by nearly 3 per cent.; the breadth of pelvis, the circumference of chest, of waist, and of hips, to be severally less by almost as much.

The length of arm and hand has been already seen, by a comparison of the dimension $12 b$, to be relatively, as well as actually, less for sailors than soldiers. And if we compare, not the distance from the medial line of the body to the tip of the middle finger, but the distances from the acromion process to the elbow and to the tip of the middle finger, we arrive at the same result, as the annexed comparisons make evident.

Length of Arm and Hand,
from Acromion to Tip of Middle Finger.


## Length of Upper Arm

## from Acromion to Elbow.



The distance between perinæum and pubes was measured for no white men excepting sailors; but this dimension has been already given with the measurement of the soldiers, since the general discussion of dimensions there given appeared to render that a more appropriate place than this, for such measurements as are not presented for the sake of comparison. From 1013 cases we find -

| Mean Elight | Mean Distance | Ratio to IIeight | Minimum | Maximum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| in. | in. |  | in. | in. |
| 65.99 | 1.891 | .0287 | 1.2 | $\mathbf{8 . 7}$ |

The distance between nipples was measured for not quite three fourths of the sailors; for whom the following mean dimensions were found -

| No. of Men | Height | Cireum. of Cheat | Dist. betw. Nipples | Ratio to Ciroume. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 753 | in. | in. | in. |  |
| 7 | 65.836 | 35.141 | 8.304 | 0.2363 |

The ratio of this distance to the mean circumference of thorax is thus seen to be decidedly greater than for the soldiers.

The foot dimensions obtained for sailors and soldiers are not essentially different, with the exception of the thickness at the instep, which appears to be much larger for sailors. For the marines this is not the case, and it is not improbable that this greater thickness may be due to the habit of climbing shrouds, and standing upon ropes.

Table III. presents, in three pages, the mean dimensions of the sailors measured, classified as already described.

## TABLE III．

Mean Dimensions of Sailors．

| Nativat |  |  | 4 |  |  | 6 <br> 8 <br> 薄易 |  | 7 <br> $\overleftarrow{8}$ <br> 急落 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A．N．E．States | 129 | 25.81 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{in} . \\ 68.47 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { in. } \\ & 5.57 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{ln} . \\ 56.30 \end{gathered}$ | $18.46$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{In} . \\ 31.44 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \ln . \\ 4.16 \end{gathered}$ |
| B．N．Y．，N．J．，Penn． | 155 | 26.30 | 66.27 | 6.06 | 56.22 | 18.70 | 31.75 | 4.35 |
| C．Ohio and Indiana | 2 | 31.49 | 64.87 | 6.73 | 54.97 | 17.60 | 30.30 | 4.23 |
| D．Mich．，Wisc．，Ill． | 6 | 25.49 | 68.21 | 6.09 | 57.96 | 19.83 | 32.71 | 4.46 |
| E．Coast Slave States | 19 | 27.53 | 65.89 | 5.66 | 55.80 | 18.77 | 31.23 | 4.30 |
| F，Gy．Other Sl．States | 2 | 24.49 | 70.50 | 6.35 | 60.10 | 19.00 | 31.70 | 4.00 |
| H．Br．Prov．ex．Can． | 50 | 25.70 | 66.96 | 5.83 | 56.78 | 18.74 | 31.79 | 4.38 |
| I．Canada ． | 16 | 25.65 | 66.62 | 5.47 | 56.27 | 18.48 | 31.16 | 4.25 |
| J1．England | 102 | 25.40 | 65.11 | 5.65 | 65.05 | 18.19 | 30.69 | 4.31 |
| J2．Wales，Isle of Man | 6 | 28.32 | 64.42 | 4.92 | 54.38 | 18.30 | 31.10 | 4.62 |
| K．Scotland ． | 27 | 29.19 | 64.79 | 5.53 | 64.85 | 17.96 | 30.30 | 4.25 |
| L．Ireland ． | 835 | 25.90 | 66.22 | 6.07 | 66.09 | 18.57 | 31.52 | 4.41 |
| M．France，etc． | 20 | 26.84 | 65.35 | 5.14 | 55.55 | 18.30 | 31.23 | 4.20 |
| N．Germany ．．． | 62 | 25.83 | 66.09 | 6.01 | 56.13 | 18.65 | 31.58 | 4.40 |
| O．Scandinavia ．． | 82 | 26.19 | 65.55 | 5.21 | 55.49 | 18.19 | 31.15 | 4.30 |
| P．Spain，etc．．．－ | 18 | 27.54 | 64.94 | 5.06 | 54.89 | 18.49 | 31.02 | 4.28 |
| Q．Miscellaneous ． | 80 | 27.68 | 64.77 | 5.12 | 54.75 | 18.26 | 30.80 | 4.40 |
| Total without clothes | 1061 | 26.132 | 66.018 | 5.778 | 55.927 | 18.498 | 31.378 | 4.336 |
| Sailors partly clothed | 85 | 26.12 | 65.95 | 5.27 | 55.64 | 18.15 | 30.08 | 4.03 |
| Marines，＂＂ | 68 | 26.270 | 68.58 | 6.86 | 56.62 | 18.32 | 30.50 | 4.29 |

## TABLE III. - (Continued.)

Mean Dimensions of Sailors.

| Nattrity |  |  | 86 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. N. E. States | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{fn} . \\ 18.99 \end{array}$ | $\frac{\ln .}{12.70}$ | $1 \mathrm{in} .$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{in} . \\ 11.24 \end{gathered}$ | in. | $3 \mathrm{in} .$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { in. } \\ 30.15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { in. } \\ 34.91 \end{gathered}$ |
| B. N. Y., N. J., Penn. | 18.79 | 12.51 | 16.12 | 11.74 | 35.51 | 33.85 | 29.94 | 34.67 |
| C. Ohio and Indiana | 18.57 | 11.50 | 16.45 | 11.30 | 35.50 | 32.63 | 29.77 | 34.80 |
| D. Mich., Wisc., Ill. | 14.06 | 18.30 | 16.17 | 13.47 | 36.33 | 34.27 | 30.01 | 86.00 |
| E. Coast Slave States | 13.68 | 1240 | 16.09 | 11.61 | 35.50 | 33.45 | 29.95 | 34.51 |
| F, G 2 . Other Sl. States | 14.10 | 13.05 | - | 11.60 | 38.65 | 37.90 | 33.15 | 36.80 |
| H. Br. Prov. ex. Can. | 14.21 | 18.40 | 16.41 | 11.63 | 36.79 | 34.80 | 31.02 | 35.52 |
| I. Canada | 14.08 | 12.82 | 16.55 | 11.39 | 36.69 | 34.79 | 31.04 | 35.55 |
| J1. England | 18.98 | 12.89 | 16.26 | 11.49 | 35.76 | 33.71 | 30.31 | 34.69 |
| $\mathrm{J}_{2}$. Wales, Isle of Man | 14.05 | - | 16.42 | 11.78 | 36.00 | 33.67 | 30.00 | 38.92 |
| K. Scotland | 14.07 | 12.82 | 16.44 | 11.50 | 37.19 | 35.22 | 30.58 | 34.76 |
| L. Ireland | 14.05 | 13.10 | 16.40 | 11.74 | 36.41 | 34.26 | 30.68 | 34.92 |
| M. France, etc. | 14.15 | 12.92 | 16.26 | 11.25 | 36.39 | 34.46 | 30.79 | 34.77 |
| N. Germany | 18.97 | 13.12 | 16.89 | 12.00 | 36.42 | 34.22 | 30.36 | 35.57 |
| O. Scandinavia | 14.06 | 12.85 | 16.59 | 11.63 | 37.06 | 34.91 | 31.03 | 35.40 |
| P. Spain, etc. . | 18.99 | 13.01 | 16.33 | 11.22 | 36.07 | 34.28 | 30.09 | 34.48 |
| Q. Miscellaneous . | 14.26 | 12.39 | 16.67 | 11.63 | 36.07 | 33.96 | 30.10 | 34.46 |
| Total without clothes | 14.001 | 12.879 | 16.310 | 11.625 | 36.162 | 34.085 | 30.457 | 34.942 |
| Sailors partly clothed | 14.08 | 12.44 | - | 10.92 | 38.44 | 35.42 | 31.53 | 35.68 |
| Marines, " " | 13.96 | - | 15.42 | 11.64 | 36.45 | 34.55 | 30.42 | 36.56 |

## T ABLE III．－（Continued．）

Mean Dimensions of Sailors．

| Nativity | $\begin{gathered} 12 a \\ \text { 最 } \\ \text { \% } \\ \text { 卆 } \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $12 c$ <br> 8 <br> 名 臬電 4． | 283 <br> Distancen <br> tween <br> of E <br> Outer | $28 c$ ang be－ Anglee Eyes Inner |  |  | 886 <br> \＃ <br> 若会 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A．N．E．States | $\begin{array}{\|c} \mathrm{ln} . \\ 28.83 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{ln} . \\ & \mathbf{3 4 . 1 0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { in. } \\ 13.28 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{in} . \\ \mathbf{3 . 8 3 1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{tn} . \\ 1.153 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{in} . \\ 10.025 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { in. } \\ 9.866 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{in} . \\ 2.90 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { in. } \\ 13.13 \end{array}$ |
| B．N．Y．，N．J．，Pa． | 28.49 | 33.79 | 18.19 | 3.693 | 1.195 | 10.129 | 9.934 | 2.89 | 13.07 |
| C．Ohio and Ind． | 27.70 | 32.63 | 13.47 | 3.600 | 1.167 | 9.567 | 9.467 | 2.60 | 12.53 |
| D．Mich．，Wisc．，Ill． | 29.96 | 35.27 | 18.66 | 3.843 | 1.329 | 10.500 | 10.314 | 2.99 | 13.47 |
| E．Coast Slave St． | 28.67 | 33.89 | 13.29 | 3.654 | 1.162 | 10.100 | 9.896 | 2.97 | 13.06 |
| F，G．Other Sl．St． | 30.10 | 37.20 | 13.85 | 3.950 | 1.050 | 10.900 | 10.900 | 2.50 | 14.20 |
| H．Br．Pr．ex．Can． | 28.90 | 34.43 | 18.27 | 3.842 | 1.187 | 10.096 | 9.908 | 2.98 | 13.11 |
| I．Canada | 28.81 | 34.43 | 13.47 | 3.863 | 1.174 | 10.084 | 9.942 | 2.96 | 13.17 |
| $J_{1}$ ．England ． | 28.09 | 33.32 | 12.97 | 3.712 | 1202 | 10.033 | 9.845 | 2.90 | 12.99 |
| $\mathrm{J}_{2}$ ．Wales，I．of Man | 28.25 | 32.83 | 13.03 | 3.617 | 1.300 | 10.017 | 9.567 | 2.83 | 12.98 |
| K．Scotland | 28.07 | 33.29 | 12.92 | 3.690 | 1.197 | 10.003 | 9.827 | 2.87 | 12.98 |
| L．Ireland | 28.47 | 33.82 | 18.14 | 3.713 | 1.189 | 10.095 | 9.912 | 2.94 | 18.09 |
| M．France，etc． | 28.66 | 34.01 | 18.17 | 3.870 | 1.205 | 10.130 | 10.005 | 2.90 | 13.17 |
| N．Germany ． | 28.72 | 33.92 | 18.40 | 3.764 | 1.269 | 10.342 | 10.108 | 2.95 | 13.19 |
| O．Scandinavia． | 28.85 | 34.00 | 13.28 | 3.836 | 1.206 | 10.173 | 10.014 | 2.95 | 13.23 |
| P．Spain，etc． | 27.98 | 33.89 | 12.96 | 3.828 | 1.189 | 9.994 | 9.811 | 2.92 | 13.13 |
| Q．Miscellaneous | 28.16 | 33.44 | 12.70 | 3.827 | 1.263 | 10.071 | 9.894 | 2.89 | 12.96 |
| Total with＇t clothes | 28.538 | 33.848 | 13.171 | 3.752 | 1.194 | 10.114 | 9.920 | 2.921 | 13.098 |
| Sailors part．cloth＇d | 29.04 | 35.08 | 18.50 | 3.981 | 1.115 | 10.036 | 9.975 | 2.84 | 13.34 |
| Marines＂＂ | 28.66 | 35.02 | 13.22 | 4.253 | 1.056 | 10.065 | 9.881 | 2.41 | 13.05 |

## 6. Students.

It has already been stated that the temporary suspension of opportunities for measuring soldiers in the field, was made the occasion for obtaining similar data for the elder students at Cambridgeand New Haven. The members of the Senior and Junior classes being at the same age as a large portion of the soldiers who had been examined, afforded an excellent opportunity for comparing the physical characteristics of the two classes of men. Accordingly the students of the two higher classes and of the Scientific Schools were requested to permit themselves to be measured, and all who complied with the request were examined in the same manner as the soldiers. The materials presented in Table IV. are derived from these examinations, 291 in number, all of which were made by Dr. Elsner.

A column has been inserted, giving the full stature which corresponds with the mean height found at the mean age. These values can however make no claim to accuracy. Were the individuals classified by ages at half-year intervals, then the mean height found for each half year could be reduced, with a tolerable approximation to correctness, to the corresponding full stature ; and the mean of the values for full statures thus obtained would represent quite closely that mean height which would be found for the same young men after their full development in stature had been attained. The values here given are simply those which would be correct were all the students at their mean age, and are intended only as a rough estimate. Since the rate of growth at ages prior to this mean was greater than at those subsequent, the "corresponding full statures" as given fall short of those which would have been attained by the more accurate process. In the reduction it has been assumed that the nativities of the students in each class were distributed in the same proportion as the aggregate of those examined at the same university.

The actual nativities were as follows : -

|  | N. B. Statee | Madule Smates | Others | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Harvard. | 94 | 17 | 18 | 124 |
| Yale | 62 | 78 | 27 | 167 |
| Total . . . . . | 156 | 95 | 40 | 291 |

The statures of the students are seen to be nearly an inch greater than those of the soldiers of the same nativities; the dimension $4 \frac{1}{2}$ is more than an inch greater, in consequence both of the shorter fore-arm and of the longer thigh. In four instances this dimension attained the limit of 9.2 inches, and in three it did not exceed 3.4 inches. The mean distance from knee to perinæum is 12.65 inches, and the mean height of knee 19.24 , the variations ranging from 16.3 to 24.0 , these values for soldiers of the nativities $A$ and $B$ being 12.39 and 18.67 respectively.

The breadth and girth of neck are less for the students, as also is the breadth of the pelvis; the length of body and circumference of chest are about the same.

The mean distance between the nipples and its relative magnitude were found to be -

|  | No. of Men | Height | Mean Circ. of Chest | Dist. betw'n Nipplee | Ratio so Circume. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Harvard . | 124 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { in. } \\ & 68.601 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ln. } \\ 85.290 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { in. } \\ 8.115 \end{gathered}$ | 0.2300 |
| Yale | 167 | 67.726 | 35.329 | 8.038 | 0.2275 |
| Total | 291 | 68.099 | 35.313 | 8.071 | 0.2286 |

From acromion to elbow we have the mean distance 13.71 inches, and from elbow to finger-tip 15.31; the corresponding values for soldiers having been found 13.66 and 15.49 respectively.

The Yale students measured were in general shorter than those of Harvard; this difference is conspicuously manifest in the height to the perinæum, and many of the dimensions are clearly affected by this circumstance, being relatively about the same for the New Haven men, though absolutely smaller. It would seem that the inequality of ages is greater among the latter, so that the mean development of size for the same mean age is not quite so great as for Cambridge students.

TABLE IV．
Mean Dimensions of Students of Harvard and Yale Colleges．

| Clase |  |  | 4 | 6 | 4 |  | 51 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 品。 | 4\％ | 9 9 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 最号 | 穴品 | 880 | 8 |  |
|  |  |  | 5 | 亩家 | 능 | 랄 | 咎 | 号晏 |
|  |  |  | 苗 | O） |  |  |  | 㖴定 |
| Harvard，Seniors |  | 21.93 | 68.76 | $\begin{gathered} \text { in. } \\ 69.12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { in. } \\ & 6.17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { In. } \\ 58.26 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{19.35}{\text { in. }}$ | $\underset{32.08}{\mathrm{ln}}$ |
|  | 69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Juniors | 51 | 21.03 | 68.29 | 69.08 | 6.00 | 57.79 | 19.57 | 32.04 |
| Scientific | 4 | 21.73 | 69.82 | 70.17 | 6.77 | 59.70 | 20.60 | 33.27 |
| Total | 124 | 21.555 | 68.601 | 69.00 | 6.121 | 58.117 | 19.482 | 32.098 |
| Yale，Seniors | 92 | 22.70 | 67.82 | 68.13 | 6.78 | 57.78 | 19.15 | 31.72 |
| Juniors | 63 | 21.10 | 67.73 | 68.19 | 6.74 | 58.23 | 19.00 | 31.77 |
| Scientific | 12 | 19.15 | 66.99 | 68.24 | 6.71 | 57.27 | 18.69 | 31.77 |
| Total ． | 167 | 21.841 | 67.726 | 68.10 | 6.735 | 57.916 | 19.060 | 81.740 |
| Aggregate ． | 291 | 21.719 | 68.099 | 68.49 | 6.473 | 58.001 | 19.240 | 31.892 |


| Clase |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 10 a \quad 10 b \\ \text { Clre. of Chest } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Harvard，Seniors | $\begin{gathered} \ln . \\ \mathbf{4 . 0 2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \ln . \\ 18.28 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{in} . \\ 12.38 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{11.18}{\mathrm{in} .}$ | $\ln _{36.75}$ | $\underset{33.78}{\text { in. }}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \mathrm{in} . \\ 31.13 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { in. } \\ 35.68 \end{gathered}$ |
| Juniors | 8.97 | 13.25 | 13.30 | 11.49 | 36.86 | 33.98 | 30.77 | 36.21 |
| Scientific | 4.00 | 12.80 | 12.95 | 11.45 | 35.92 | 32.95 | 29.77 | 34.42 |
| Total | 4.002 | 13.247 | 12.781 | 11.314 | 36.772 | 38.809 | 30.943 | 35.854 |
| Yale，Seniors | 3.96 | 13.28 | 13.15 | 11.06 | 37.12 | 33.93 | 31.58 | 36.98 |
| Juniors | 4.11 | 13.34 | 18.49 | 11.20 | 36.73 | 33.59 | 31.57 | 37.25 |
| Scientific | 4.02 | 12.95 | 18.59 | 10.77 | 36.20 | 33.27 | 30.02 | 37.07 |
| Total | 4.026 | 13.281 | 13.811 | 11.093 | 36.902 | 33.756 | 31.460 | 37.065 |
| Aggregate ． | 4.015 | 13.267 | 13.085 | 11.187 | 36.847 | 38.779 | 31.240 | 36.649 |

TABLE IV.- (Continued.)
Mean Dimensions of Students
of Harvard and Yale Colleges.

| Claen | $\begin{gathered} 12 a \\ \text { 星 } \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ \text { Ib } \\ \vdots \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Harvard, Seniors | $\begin{gathered} \ln . \\ 29.36 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { in. } \\ 35.19 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { in. } \\ 13.76 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{in} . \\ \mathbf{3 . 8 4} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{in} . \\ 1.11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{in} . \\ 10.10 \end{gathered}$ | in. 9.97 | ${ }_{2.73}^{\text {n. }}$ | in. |
| Juniors | 29.07 | 34.97 | 13.56 | 3.83 | 1.12 | 10.15 | 9.98 | 2.62 | 13.30 |
| Scientific | 29.50 | 35.55 | 13.65 | 3.85 | 1.17 | 10.05 | 9.92 | 2.42 | 12.95 |
| Total | 29.244 | 35.113 | 13.673 | 3.837 | 1.117 | 10.120 | 9.975 | 2.675 | 13.150 |
| Yale, Seniors | 28.83 | 34.80 | 13.49 | 3.85 | 1.12 | 9.84 | 9.67 | 2.83 | 13.06 |
| Juniors | 28.96 | 34.84 | 14.09 | 3.91 | 1.10 | 9.85 | 9.67 | 2.92 | 13.06 |
| Scientific | 28.45 | 34.24 | 13.83 | 3.83 | 1.03 | 9.72 | 9.55 | 2.90 | 12.83 |
| Total | 28.854 | 34.776 | 13.741 | 3.872 | 1.107 | 9.837 | 9.664 | 2.868 | 13.043 |
| Aggregate | 29.021 | 34.920 | 13.712 | 3.857 | 1.111 | 9.957 | 9.797 | 2.786 | 18.088 |

## 7. Colored Soldiers.

Our measurements of colored men have already been described in § 2 , and the number specified which were made by the several examiners, as well as the number of men measured in the different conditions as regards clothing.

Strenuous endeavors have been made to assort them with more nicety than has been found practicable, using various bases of classification. Three or more distinct races of negroes are to be found in the Southern States, and these present themselves in every degree and mode of admixture with one another and with the Indian and white races. The investigation of the effect of climate and soil upon the blacks is a research of interest and importance, yet all attempts to prosecute our inquiries in this direction have proved unavailing. The impossibility of discriminating among the numerous classes, sufficiently to obtain an adequate number of cases belonging without doubt to any one class, made itself felt at an early stage of our work; and it soon became evident that even the different African races could not be habitually distinguished from
one another by our examiners. The colored men measured have therefore been divided into two classes; one containing, under the title of "Full Blacks," all in whom no admixture of white or red ancestry was perceptible, and the other giving as "Mixed Races" all other colored men. Our records contain all information that could be collected regarding the ancestry of each individual, so that they are capable of combination in whatever manner future study or discovery may render desirable. Each of the classes has been subdivided into natives of the Free States, and natives of the [late] Slave States; those who were in their usual vigor have been treated separately from those who were not; and those who were partially clothed when measured have also been kept distinct from the rest.

The average height of the colored men examined was less than the mean height of those obtained from the records which furnished the materials for Chapter V. This discrepancy is not surprising, when we consider the limited extent of our materials, as well as the fact that the men whose statures are discussed in the chapter on that subject were only those for whom the descriptive musters are on file in the State archives. Had the Commission been allowed to consult the large store of materials on file at the War Department in Washington, it is probable that our results regarding the growth and development of the negro races would have been comparable with those obtained for the whites. Much information on this subject may be expected from the forthcoming report of Dr. Baxter upon the medical statistics of the Provost Marshal General's Bureau.

The dimension $4 \frac{1}{2}$ is, as would have been anticipated by ethnologists, one which manifests the most striking contrast with the white race. We find the mean value to be as follows : -

|  | No. of Mon | Distance | Minimum | Maximum | Renge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Full Blacks | 2020 | $\mathrm{ing}_{2.884}$ | ${ }_{\text {ln. }}^{\text {ma }}$ | $\mathrm{ln}_{7.6}$ | \% 8.1 |
| Mixed Races | 868 | 4.125 | +0.2 | 7.2 | 7.0 |

For the full blacks the smaller value of this dimension among natives of the Slave States is also quite noticeable, although for the mixed races the results of this mode of classification are variant and contradictory. Thus for the full blacks we have the mean value -


The mean length of head and neck, obtained by subtracting the height to the seventh cervical vertebra from the total height, is 9.62 inches for the full blacks, and 9.56 for the mixed races, the corresponding value for whites being 9.94 inches. This length is markedly less for natives of the Slave States than for those born the Free States.
The length of body, too, is less for the colored race than for the white, and for mixed races somewhat greater than for the full blacks. This quantity, which we have found to be 26.14 inches for the average white soldier, is by our measurements of colored men -

|  | Born in Pree States |  | Born to Slave Statee |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of Mon | Longth | No. of Mon | Length | No. of Men | Length |
| Full Blacks | 226 | $\underset{24.20}{ }$ | 1794 | $\begin{gathered} \text { in. } \\ 24.52 \end{gathered}$ | 2020 | $\begin{gathered} \text { In. } \\ 24.487 \end{gathered}$ |
| Mixed Races | 169 | 24.87 | 694 | 24.76 | 863 | 24.680 |

Among the colored troops, natives of the Southern States, are incorporated a considerable number of men measured in New Orleans after the close of the war. These are 385 in number, and appear to have been so much less accurate than the rest that it is a source of regret that they have been incorporated with the means. They were no doubt conscientiously made, but both of the examiners appear to have been habitually and unconsciously biased, to some extent, in their measures for certain dimensions, especially in their estimates of the position of the seventh cervical vertebra, of the center and upper margin of the patella, in questions $4 \frac{1}{2}$ and $5 \frac{1}{2}$, and of the elbow. The mean results are probably not largely affected by the incorporation of these measurements, but the range of individual variation is considerably extended thereby.

The height to perinocum appears greater for colored men than for whites, the excess being both above and below the knee. Thus we find: -


The distance from perinæum to pubes is clearly greater for blacks than for whites. We have this dimension for only 89 colored men, but it was taken by our most exact examiners, and any effect of personal equation is mostly eliminated by the large proportion of both classes which was measured by Mr. Phinney.

FULL BLACKS.


The colored men measured by Mr. Phinney were sailors, enlisting at the New York rendezvous, and mostly natives of the Northern States. Those measured by Dr. Wilder were mostly members of the Fifty-fifth Massachusetts Regiment, serving in South Carolina; about one half of them having been born in the Slave States, and a considerable proportion of the remainder in Indiana.

The mean girth of neck, which was 13.62 inches for the white soldiers, is 13.92 for the full blacks, and 13.83 for the mixed races.

The breadth of shoulders appears also decidedly greater when measured between the acromia, and slightly greater when the full breadth is taken.

The circumference of thorax at full inspiration is less than for whites by an inch and a quarter for the full blacks, and an inch and four tenths for the mixed races. The difference after exhalation is somewhat less than a quarter-inch for the former, and somewhat greater for the latter class. The play of chest in breathing appears to be not much more than three fifths as great as for white men.

The distance between nipples has been found as follows:-

| Clam | No. of Men | Mean Height | Mean Cire. of Chest | Distance between Nipples | Ratio to Circ. of Chest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Full Blacks. - In usual vigor | 617 | ${ }_{\text {in. }}^{65.661}$ | ${\underset{\text { In. }}{35.368}}^{2}$ | $\stackrel{\ln .}{7.970}$ | $\underset{0.2253}{\ln .}$ |
| Not in usual vigor | 129 | 65.748 | 35.595 | 7.971 | 0.2239 |
| Total | 746 | 65.676 | 35.407 | 7.970 | 0.2251 |
| Mixed Races. - In usual vigor | 510 | 65.821 | 84.798 | 7.878 | 0.2264 |
| Not in usual vigor | 94 | 66.152 | 34.952 | 7.963 | 0.2278 |
| Total . | 604 | 65.873 | 34.822 | 7.891 | 0.2266 |

The smallest value found for this dimension was 6.2 inches, being 0.196 of the mean circumference of chest ; the largest was 10 inches or 0.274 .

The circumference of waist and hips are less than for whites; the mean value of the former being larger by a quarter inch, and that of the latter smaller by not quite so much, for the mulattoes than for the full blacks.

The arms of the black men are relatively longer than in the white races, the excess being principally in the fore-arm. This will be best perceived by means of a tabular view.

| Olase | No. of Men | Helght | $12 b$ <br> Middle of Body to Finger-Tip | $12 a$ Acromion to Tip of Finger | $12 e$ Acromion to Elbow | Lower Arm and Iand | Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Full Blacks | 2020 | $\underset{66.210}{\text { tn. }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { In. } \\ 35.808 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ln. } \\ 29.405 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\text { tn. }}{18.302}$ | ${ }_{16.103}^{\text {fn. }}$ | 1.211 |
| Mixed Races . | 863 | 66.251 | 35.822 | 30.271 | 18.856 | 16.415 | 1.185 |
| Whites . | 10803 | 67.149 | 85.042 | 29.153 | 18.605 | 15.548 | 1.148 |

The ratio given in the last column is that obtaining between the two preceding ones, or the proportion which the distance, from elbow to tip of middle finger, bears to the distance from the acromion process to the elbow. The preeminent excess of the lower arm for the full blacks and the intermediate value for the mixed races are as conspicuous as the increased length of the arm.

If we compare the lengths of arms and legs for the same classes of men, we find the proportional differences less conspicuous.

|  | Height to Peringum | Distance from Acromion to Finger-Tip | Patio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Full Blacks . | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{ln} . \\ 82.100 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{29.405}^{\ln .}$ | 1.092 |
| Mixed Races . . | 32.010 | 80.271 | 1.057 |
| Whites . . . . . . . | 31.065 | 29.168 | 1.066 |

The eyes of the black man seem in general wider, and more distant from each other, than those of the white man. Our measures give the mean values:-

|  |  | Distance <br> Outer Angles | between <br> Inner Angles | Distance of Centers | Width of Eye |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Full Blacks | - • - • - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In. } \\ & \mathbf{4 . 0 9 0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { in. } \\ & 1.338 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ln. } \\ & 2.714 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { in. } 1.376$ |
| Mixed Races | - • - . - | 3.981 | 1360 | 2.670 | 1.310 |
| Whites | - • - • - | 8.759 | 1.225 | 2.492 | 1.267 |

The well known difference between the two races, in the size and shape of the foot, will be recognized by a glance at our numerical results.

We find, namely, -

|  | Length of Foot | Length to Hollow nbove Heel | Cire. around Heel and Anterior Lig't | Heel | Thicknees at Instep |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Full Blacks . | $\frac{\mathrm{in} .}{10.600}$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{ln} .}{10.079}$ | it. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { in. } \\ & 0.821 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { in. } \\ & 2.672 \end{aligned}$ |
| Mixed Races | 10.439 | 10.172 | 13.463 | 0.567 | 2.770 |
| Whites | 10.058 | 9.873 | 13.201 | 0.485 | 2.672 |

The largest foot measured belonged to a full blooded negro, 72.7 inches tall. The length was 12.4 inches, the heel was 0.7 inch long, and the thickness at instep, 3 inches.

No measures of the breadth of the foot, and none of any dimension of the hand, were recorded.

In the annexed table, the mean results of these measurements of colored men are given, classified in as large a variety of ways as seems worth the while.

TABLE V．
Mean Dimensions of Full Blacks．

| Chas |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 晨 } \\ & \text { 皆 } \\ & \text { 喜 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 51 <br> 3 <br> 惑最 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Naked，Free | 123 | 26.08 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { in. } \\ 65.93 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { in. } \\ 3.09 \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{in}_{55.85}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{In} . \\ 18.35 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { in. } \\ 32.21 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { in. } \\ 4.12 \end{array}$ |
| Slave States | 554 | 24.75 | 65.80 | 2.59 | 56.05 | 18.45 | 32.12 | 4.14 |
| All | 677 | 24.993 | 65.821 | 2.682 | 56.012 | 18.429 | 32.140 | 4.137 |
| Half Naked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Free States | 2 | 22.98 | 66.65 | 3.00 | 57.15 | 19.90 | 31.20 | 4.35 |
| Slave States | 145 | 28.18 | 65.15 | 2.45 | 55.98 | 19.35 | 30.49 | 4.23 |
| All | 147 | 28.112 | 65.169 | 2.456 | 55.998 | 19.359 | 30.501 | 4.233 |
| Clothed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Free States | 101 | 24.20 | 66.86 | 3.55 | 57.24 | 19.48 | 32.40 | 4.30 |
| Slave States | 1095 | 25.89 | 66.53 | 3.01 | 56.96 | 19.51 | 32.26 | 4.22 |
| All ． | 1196 | 25.750 | 66.558 | 3.053 | 56.984 | 19.509 | 32.275 | 4.227 |
| In usual vigor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Free States | 194 | 24.88 | 66.35 | 3.37 | 56.49 | 18.91 | 32.32 | 4.21 |
| Slave States | 1598 | 25.42 | 66.22 | 2.86 | 56.62 | 19.18 | 32.10 | 4.20 |
| All ．． | 1792 | 25.364 | 66.237 | 2.914 | 56.610 | 19.148 | 32.123 | 4.202 |
| Not in usual vigor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Free States | 32 | 27.21 | 66.39 | 2.86 | 56.48 | 18.63 | 32.08 | 4.14 |
| Slave States | 196 | 28.25 | 65.94 | 2.62 | 56.39 | 19.11 | 31.89 | 4.16 |
| All | 228 | 28.104 | 66.003 | 2.655 | 56.405 | 19.043 | 31.917 | 4.160 |
| Total born in |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Free States | 226 | 25.212 | 66.354 | 3.298 | 56.487 | 18.870 | 32.289 | 4.212 |
| Slave States | 1794 | 25.727 | 66.192 | 2.832 | 56.599 | 19.169 | 32.076 | 4.196 |
| Grand Total ．．． | 2020 | 25.668 | 66.210 | 2.884 | 56.587 | 19.136 | 32.100 | 4.197 |

## TABLE V. - (Continued.)

## Mean Dimensions of Full Blacks.

| Chas | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \frac{1}{2} \\ & \\ & 4 \\ & \frac{4}{4} \\ & 8 \\ & 8 \\ & \frac{7}{5} \end{aligned}$ |  | 86 |  | $10 a$ Cirenm of C |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Naked, Free | $\begin{array}{r} \text { in. } \\ 18.98 \end{array}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{ln} .}{14.72}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { fn. } \\ 16.33 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{gn} . \\ 10.56 \end{array}$ | $36.05$ | $\underset{34.18}{\text { in. }}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { in. } \\ 29.81 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { ln. } \\ \hline 4.94 \end{array}$ |
| Slave States | 13.89 | 15.06 | 16.13 | 10.34 | 36.28 | 34.87 | 29.51 | 34.53 |
| All | 13.907 | 15.003 | 16.271 | 10.378 | 36.240 | 34.745 | 29.568 | 34.606 |
| Half Naked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Free S | 13.75 | 15.00 | - | 10.85 | 38.15 | 36.20 | 29.75 | 36.85 |
| Slave SLI ${ }_{\text {s }}$ | 13.61 | 14.00 | - | 10.77 | 35.99 | 34.50 | 29.81 | 36.64 |
| All | 18.615 | 14.010 | - | 10.775 | 36.018 | 34.524 | 29.812 | 36.639 |
| Clothed | 14 | 13.55 | 16.25 | 11.44 | 35.66 | 33.92 | 30.91 | 36.44 |
| Slave States | 13.96 | 18.56 | 16.44 | 11.29 | 35.69 | 33.98 | 30.75 | 35.94 |
| All | 13.966 | 13.556 | 16.378 | 11.300 | 35.691 | 33.979 | 30.767 | 35.983 |
| In usual vigor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Free Stat | 14.05 | 14.12 | 16.35 | 10.99 | 35.80 | 33.99 | 30.32 | 35.64 |
| Slave States | 13.92 | 13.99 | 16.40 | 10.97 | 35.88 | 34.28 | 30.32 | 35.59 |
| All . | 13.933 | 14.000 | 16.390 | 10.969 | 35.870 | 34.248 | 30.320 | 35.598 |
| Not in usual vigor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Free States | 18.85 | 15.18 | 15.72 | 10.75 | 36.45 | 34.61 | 30.20 | 35.58 |
| Slave States | 13.82 | 14.70 | - | 10.83 | 36.07 | 34.47 | 30.09 | 35.31 |
| All | 18.826 | 14.759 | 15.717 | 10.819 | 36.123 | 34.487 | 30.103 | 35.346 |
| Total born in |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Free States | $\begin{aligned} & 14.018 \\ & 18.909 \end{aligned}$ | 14.276 | 16.276 | 10.954 10.952 | 35.893 35.890 | 34.082 34.300 | 30.303 30.295 | 35.630 85.562 |
| Slave Stat |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Total . | 13.921 | 14.089 | 16.358 | 10.952 | 35.899 | 34.275 | 30.296 | 35.569 |

## TABLE V．－（Continued．）

Mean Dimensions of Full Blacks．

| Claes | $\begin{gathered} 120 \\ 0 \\ 8 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 286 <br> $\begin{array}{c}\text { Distan } \\ \text { tween } \\ \text { of }\end{array}$ <br> Outer | $23 c$ <br> nce be－ Angles Hyea <br> Inner |  |  | 88 <br> \＃ <br> 最罢 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Naked，Free States | $29.32$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{in} . \\ 35.50 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { in. } \\ 13.14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \ln . \\ \mathbf{3 . 9 2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { in. } \\ 1.26 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{In} . \\ 10.44 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{in} . \\ 10.19 \end{array}$ | $\underset{2.60}{\operatorname{in} .}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { in. } \\ 13.54 \end{array}$ |
| Slave States | 29.20 | 35.54 | 12.99 | 4.03 | 1.32 | 10.61 | 10.27 | 2.44 | 13.82 |
| All | 29.222 | 35.573 | 13.014 | 4.009 | 1.310 | 10.583 | 10.252 | 2.471 | 13.766 |
| Half Naked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Free State | 28.95 | 36.45 | 14.00 | 3.65 | 1.25 | 10.75 | 10.70 | 2.65 | 13.85 |
| Slave States | 29.07 | 35.99 | 14.30 | 3.73 | 1.37 | 10.53 | 10.17 | 2.69 | 13.80 |
| All | 29.067 | 35.997 | 14.292 | 3.727 | 1.366 | 10.534 | 10.180 | 2.695 | 13.803 |
| othed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Free Stat | 30.10 | 35.54 | 14.32 | 4.24 | 1.41 | 10.61 | 10.32 | 2.90 | 13.55 |
| Slave States | 29.50 | 35.98 | 13.27 | 4.17 | 1.34 | 10.62 | 9.94 | 2.77 | 13.56 |
| All | 29.549 | 35.939 | 13.346 | 4.181 | 1.350 | 10.618 | 9.969 | 2.783 | 13.555 |
| In usual vigor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Free States | 29.69 | 35.49 | 13.62 | 4.07 | 1.33 | 10.52 | 10.24 | 2.76 | 13.54 |
| Slave States | 29.32 | 35.84 | 13.21 | 4.10 | 1.34 | 10.61 | 10.03 | 2.67 | 13.64 |
| All | 29.362 | 35.801 | 13.247 | 4.101 | 1.337 | 10.596 | 10.053 | 2.683 | 13.631 |
| Not in usual vigor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Free State | 29.55 | 35.77 | 13.54 | 4.00 | 1.32 | 10.55 | 10.33 | 2.57 | 13.58 |
| Slave States | 29.77 | 35.87 | 13.76 | 4.00 | 1.35 | 10.65 | 10.28 | 2.69 | 13.76 |
| All | 29.740 | 35.861 | 13.732 | 4.004 | 1.345 | 10.633 | 10.289 | 2.586 | 13.735 |
| Total born in Free States | 29.66 | 35.525 | 13.604 | 4.061 | 1.329 | 10.522 | 10.253 | 2.734 |  |
| Slave States | 29.371 | 35.843 | 13.267 | 4.094 | 1.339 | 10.610 | 10.058 | 2.664 | 13.655 |
| Grand Total ． | 29.405 | 35.808 | 18.302 | 4.090 | 1.338 | 10.600 | 10.079 | 2.672 | 13.643 |

TABLE VI．
Mean Dimensions of Mixed Races．

| Clave | $\begin{aligned} & \text { g } \\ & \text { B } \\ & \text { b } \\ & \text { 最 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { g } \\ & \text { a } \\ & \text { g } \\ & \text { 2 } \\ & \text { a } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{4} \end{aligned}$ | 4 |  |  | 5 <br> $s$ <br> 惑曷 |  | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Naked，Free States | 98 | 27.08 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{In} . \\ 66.38 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{in} . \\ 3.71 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{in} . \\ 56.11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{In} . \\ 18.44 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{in} . \\ 32.34 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { in. } \\ 4.09 \end{gathered}$ |
| Slave States | 111 | 26.41 | 66.43 | 3.60 | 56.46 | 18.63 | 32.23 | 4.04 |
| All ． | 209 | 26.726 | 66.408 | 3.652 | 56.300 | 18.544 | 32.281 | 4.065 |
| Half Naked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Slave States | 47 | 27.423 | 65.794 | 3.474 | 56.660 | 19.170 | 30.296 | 4.215 |
| Clothed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Free States | 71 | 24.47 | 66.25 | 4.36 | 56.70 | 19.25 | 31.52 | 4.22 |
| Slave States | 536 | 26.18 | 66.23 | 4.33 | 56.84 | 19.64 | 32.12 | 4.44 |
| All ． | 607 | 25.942 | 66.232 | 4.337 | 56.826 | 19.594 | 32.050 | 4.416 |
| In usual vigor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Free States | 127 | 25.56 | 66.16 | 4.09 | 56.20 | 18.76 | 31.90 | 4.16 |
| Slave States | 592 | 25.93 | 66.25 | 4.16 | 56.88 | 19.48 | 32.03 | 4.38 |
| All ． | 719 | 25.864 | 66.235 | 4.147 | 56.760 | 19.355 | 32.003 | 4.340 |
| Not in usual vigor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Free States | 42 | 27.25 | 66.85 | 3.68 | 56.88 | 18.88 | 32.30 | 4.10 |
| Slave States | 102 | 28.49 | 66.12 | 4.15 | 56.13 | 19.23 | 31.94 | 4.27 |
| All ． | 144 | 28.126 | 66.330 | 4.014 | 56.339 | 19.132 | 32.045 | 4.221 |
| Total born in |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Free States | 169 | 25.983 | 66.324 | 3.990 | 56.362 | 18.787 | 31.993 | 4.146 |
| Slave States | 694 | 26.305 | 66.233 | 4.157 | 56.770 | 19.446 | 32.015 | 4.362 |
| Grand Total ．． | 863 | 26.242 | 66.251 | 4.125 | 56.690 | 19.318 | 32.010 | 4.320 |

## TABLE VI. - (Continued.)

Mean Dimensions of Mixed Races.

| Clae | 71 | $8 a$ | 86 | 9 | $10 a 106$ |  | 11 | 112 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\frac{\square}{\square}$ | Circumference of Chest |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Naked, Free States | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{in} . \\ 13.81 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{In} . \\ 15.10 \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{r\|} \text { in. } \\ 16.12 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{In} . \\ 10.69 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{in} . \\ 35.90 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{in} . \\ 33.94 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { in. } \\ 29.94 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \ln . \\ 34.76 \end{array}$ |
| Slave States | 13.65 | 14.83 | 16.47 | 10.50 | 35.90 | 34.13 | 29.69 | 34.48 |
| All | 13.725 | 14.913 | 16.184 | 10.588 | 35.903 | 34.040 | 29.808 | 34.609 |
| Half Naked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Slave States | 13.602 | 13.632 | - | 10.957 | 35.772 | 34.111 | 29.585 | 36.232 |
| Clothed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Free States | 13.83 | 13.40 | 16.53 | 11.61 | 35.97 | 34.39 | 31.22 | 36.51 |
| Slave States | 13.90 | 14.84 | 16.73 | 11.51 | 35.66 | 34.21 | 30.83 | 35.42 |
| All . | 13.889 | 14.772 | 16.601 | 11.525 | 35.700 | 34.234 | 30.874 | 35.548 |
| In usual vigor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Free States | 13.82 | 14.49 | 16.40 | 11.15 | 35.86 | 34.04 | 30.54 | 35.47 |
| Slave States | 13.86 | 14.79 | 15.95 | 11.31 | 35.71 | 34.18 | 30.57 | 35.29 |
| All . | 13.851 | 14.755 | 16.343 | 11.285 | 35.736 | 34.157 | 30.568 | 35.322 |
| Not in usual vigor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Free States | 13.82 | 15.05 | 16.31 | 10.85 | 36.16 | 34.39 | 30.29 | 35.56 |
| Slave States | 13.72 | 14.52 | 16.93 | 11.31 | 35.70 | 34.26 | 30.50 | 35.52 |
| All . | 13.748 | 14.663 | 16.708 | 11.175 | 35.836 | 34.299 | 30.436 | 35.533 |
| Total born in |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Free States | 13.818 | 14.652 | 16.381 | 11.077 | 35.933 | 34.127 | 30.480 | 35.494 |
| Slave States | 13.838 | 14.755 | 16.681 | 11.313 | 35.709 | 34.193 | 30.562 | 35.324 |
| Grand Total | 13.834 | 14.742 | 16.473 | 11.267 | 35.753 | 34.180 | 30.546 | 35.357 |

## TABLE VI．－（Continued．）

Mean Dimensions of Mixed Races．

| Clase |  |  | $12 c$ <br> 8 <br> 兑 最 是 | 286 <br> $\begin{array}{c}\text { Distan } \\ \text { tween } \\ \text { of }\end{array}$ <br> Outer | $28 c$ <br> ncen be－ Angles सуеs | $\begin{gathered} 36 a \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  | ${ }^{88} \mathrm{c}$ <br> $\%$ <br>  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Naked，Free States | $29.19$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{in} . \\ 35.28 \end{array}$ | $12.98$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { in. } \\ \mathbf{3 . 8 9} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{in} . \\ 1.29 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { in. } \\ 10.40 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{in} . \\ 10.09 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \ln . \\ 2.57 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { in. } \\ 13.38 \end{gathered}$ |
| Slave States | 28.98 | 34.62 | 12.86 | 3.86 | 1.29 | 10.43 | 10.13 | 2.49 | 13.55 |
| All | 29.080 | 34.928 | 12.912 | 3.879 | 1.288 | 10.415 | 10.109 | 2.531 | 13.464 |
| Half Naked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Slave States | 28.730 | 35.345 | 14.002 | 3.696 | 1.326 | 10.428 | 10.185 | 2.689 | 18.755 |
| Clothed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Free Stat | 29.95 | 35.46 | 18.74 | 3.95 | 1.38 | 10.37 | 10.09 | 2.78 | 13.38 |
| Slave States | 30.91 | 36.13 | 14.23 | 4.05 | 1.39 | 10.46 | 10.21 | 2.87 | 13.45 |
| All | 30.797 | 36.049 | 14.176 | 4.038 | 1.387 | 10.447 | 10.193 | 2.859 | 13.439 |
| In usual vigor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Free Stat | 29.38 | 35.15 | 13.23 | 3.93 | 1.32 | 10.36 | 10.06 | 2.69 | 13.36 |
| Slave States | 30.49 | 35.99 | 14.01 | 4.01 | 1.37 | 10.46 | 10.20 | 2.81 | 13.46 |
| All | 30.296 | 35.838 | 13.869 | 3.996 | 1.359 | 10.438 | 10.173 | 2.786 | 13.445 |
| Not in usual vigor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Free States | 29.89 | 35.97 | 13.49 | 3.89 | 1.34 | 10.47 | 10.16 | 2.56 | 18.44 |
| Slave States | 30.26 | 35.65 | 13.91 | 3.92 | 1.37 | 10.43 | 10.17 | 2.74 | 13.33 |
| All | 30.148 | 35.744 | 13.793 | 3.909 | 1.364 | 10.443 | 10.167 | 2.687 | 13.365 |
| Total born in |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Free States | 29.508 | 35.353 | 13.298 | 3.917 | 1.329 | 10.386 | 10.089 | 2.659 | 13.379 |
| Slave States | 30.458 | 35.937 | 13.944 | 3.997 | 1.367 | 10.451 | 10.193 | 2.797 | 13.484 |
| Grand Total ． | 30.271 | 35.822 | 13.856 | 3.981 | 1.360 | 10.439 | 10.172 | 2.770 | 13.463 |

## 8．Indians．

Of the 517 Indians who have been physically examined by the agents of the Commission， 503 were measured by Dr．Buckley at the Reservations belonging to the Iroquois，or Six Nations，near Buffalo，and comprise all the full－grown males of unmixed blood who were accessible there．Ten of the remaining 14 cases were measured by the same examiner in the Army of the Potomac， where they were enlisted in the First Regiment of Michigan Sharp－shooters．

Only 9 of them were not in ordinary health．For the other 508， comparative tables of actual and theoretical distribution of the vari－
ations in the several dimensions have been computed, analogous to those for white soldiers of certain nativities.

The mean stature of these men was greater than that for any nativity of white soldiers examined, with the exception of Kentucky and Tennessee, and 1.075 inch greater than the mean for the white soldiers born in the same State. But on the other hand, the proportion of men who have attained their full stature is unquestionably much larger in these Indian measurements than in those of any group of enlisted men, so that while the average full stature of white men born in New York probably reaches 68.13 inches, it appears improbable that for these Indians it can surpass the limit of 68.40 . The lowest stature recorded is 61.4 inches, being for a man of South American descent; the lowest for an Iroquois was 64.0 inches, and the highest, 75.7. The amount of probable variation of any individual from the mean is $r=0.898$, and the probable error of the mean value $r_{o}=0.040$.

The length of head and neck is small, like that of the negro, averaging but 9.55 inches, or 0.4 less than for white soldiers. The probable variations of the height to the seventh cervical vertebra are $r=0.875, r_{o}=0.039$, or almost identical with the analogous values for the total height.

The length of body is 26.87 inches, being greater than for the white soldiers measured; and although some allowance should be made for the' difference of stature, the body is decidedly longer than in the white race.

The dimension $4 \frac{1}{2}$, which for white soldiers averaged 5.04 inches, for blacks 2.88 , and for mulattoes 4.12 , is for the Indians 3.65 inches, being thus short in consequence of the excessive length of the arm, notwithstanding that the body and the thigh are also longer than for whites. The probable variation in this dimension in an individual case is 0.55 inch, and the probable error of the mean 0.024 inch. The maximum value found was 7.0 inches, and the minimum 1.6 inch.

As regards the length of legs, both above and below the knee, the structure of the red man appears to be intermediate between the white and the black. Thus we find -

|  | No. of Mon | Helght to Perinspum | Height to Enee | Enee to Perinseum | Batio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | in. | In. | in. |  |
| White Soldiers . . | 10848 | 31.06 | 18.61 | 12.46 | 1.494 |
| Indians . . . . | 517 | 31.81 | 19.01 | 12.80 | 1.485 |
| Full Blacks . . . . | 2020 | 82.10 | 19.14 | 12.98 | 1.478 |

For the height to perinæum, $r=0.931 \mathrm{in} . \quad r_{0}=0.041 \mathrm{in}$.
For the height to knee, $\quad r=0.631 \mathrm{in} . \quad r_{0}=0.028 \mathrm{in}$.
But it is in the length of the arm that the difference in proportions between the Indians and the other races manifests itself most prominently, and seems most characteristic. It would appear that the arm of the red man is certainly longer by more than an inch and a half on the average than that of the white. For the distance from acromion to the tip of middle finger we find the average to be 30.792 inches, with a probable error of 0.035 for this mean, and a probable variation of 0.799 for individuals; the maximum value being 33.1 , and the minimum value 27.3. For the distance from acromion to elbow, the mean result is 13.757 inches, the probable error of this mean being 0.022 , the probable variation for an individual 0.486 , the maximum record 16.4 , and the minimum 12.1.

The comparison of these mean dimensions with those of the two other races gives -

|  | Medial IIne to Finger Tip | Acromion to Yinger Tip | Ratio of Leg to $\mathbf{A r m}$ | Acromion to Klbow | Lower Arm and Hand | Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | in. | in. |  | In. | in. |  |
| White Soldicrs | 35.042 | 29.153 | 1.066 | 13.605 | 15.548 | 1.143 |
| Full Blacks | 35.808 | 29.403 | 1.092 | 13.302 | 16.103 | 1.211 |
| Indians . . . | 37.198 | 30.792 | 1.033 | 13.757 | 17.035 | 1.238 |

The third column of numbers shows the proportion which the height to perinæum bears to the total distance from the tip of the acromion to the tip of middle finger; and the last column shows the ratio existing between the lower arm, including the hand, and the upper arm. It is noteworthy that this also is much greater for the Indian than for the Caucasian; while the corresponding ratio for the inferior limbs of the Indian is intermediate between those which hold for the two other races.

For the breadth of neck $r=0.081 \mathrm{in} . ; r_{0}=0.004 \mathrm{in}$. and for the girth of neck $r=0.228 \mathrm{in}$. ; $r_{0}=0.010 \mathrm{in}$.

The mean breadth of pelvis is greater for the Indian than for the white man by nearly one twelfth part, and greater than for the black man by more than twice that amount. For the waist, too, the circumference is about one tenth part larger than for the white, and one seventh larger than for the black man. The probable variations of this dimension are $r=0.836 \mathrm{in}$. and $r_{0}=0.037 \mathrm{in}$. So too in the circumference around hips, a similar, though somewhat less predominance is manifest, and we have $r=0.961, r_{o}=0.043$.

The circumference of thorax is much greater than in the whites, although its play during respiration appears not to be so wide. We find, namely, for the mean circumference -

|  | At Inspiration | At Explation | Play | Mman |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Whites | $\underset{\mathbf{3 7 . 1 4 3}}{\text { In. }}$ | $\ln _{\mathbf{3 4 . 4 9 4}}$ | $\underset{\mathbf{l n} .649}{\ln .}$ | $\begin{gathered} \ln . \\ 35.818 \end{gathered}$ |
| Blacks | 35.899 | 34.275 | 1.624 | 35.087 |
| Red | 38.920 | 37.082 | 1.838 | 38.001 |

The measures during inspiration ranged from 50.2 inches to 34.6 ；those after expiration from 48 inches to 32.

For the distance between the eyes，the mean value is 2.715 inches， the same as for the full blacks；but the mean width of the eye is 1.312 inchy being the same as for the mixed races，and nearly midway between the values for whites and blacks．
Lastly we find the mean length of foot but slightly greater than for whites；although the distribution of the values indicates that we have not a number of measures sufficient to give this mean a typical character．The heel is no longer than for white men，but the foot appears somewhat thicker．

Our means derived from measurements of Indians are given in Table VII．，in which the nine men who were not in their usual vigor have been separately classified．

> TABLE VII.

Mean Dimensions of Indians．


| Cless |  |  | 86 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 10 a \quad 10 b \\ & \text { Circumference } \\ & \text { of Choot } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 吕品 } \\ & \text { 気品 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| In usual vigor | $\begin{gathered} \text { in. } \\ 13.67 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{In} . \\ 12.82 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { in. } \\ 17.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{In} . \\ & 12.90 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{In} . \\ 38.94 \end{gathered}$ | $37.10$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{in} . \\ 34.63 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{in} . \\ 38.99 \end{gathered}$ |
| Others ． | 13.64 | 13.58 |  | 12.18 | 37.96 | 36.16 | 32.53 | 37.40 |
| Tutal | 13.665 | 12.830 | 17.000 | 12.889 | 38.920 | 37.082 | 34.593 | 38.962 |

## TABLE VII. - (Continued.)

Mean Dimensions of Indians.

| Clas |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 12 c \\ & 8 \\ & 8 \\ & \text { 吕 } \\ & \text { 首 } \\ & 0 \\ & c_{4} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In usual vigor . | $\begin{gathered} \text { in. } \\ 30.80 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { in. } \\ 37.21 \end{gathered}$ | $13.76$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { in. } \\ & 4.028 \end{aligned}$ | $1 .$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { in. } \\ 10.120 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { in. } \\ 9.938 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { in. } \\ 2.69 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { in. } \\ 13.45 \end{gathered}$ |
| Others | 30.23 | 36.47 | 13.63 | 3.988 | 1.312 | 10.278 | 9.989 | 2.67 | 13.69 |
| Total | 30.792 | 37.198 | 13.757 | 4.027 | 1.404 | 10.123 | 9.939 | 2.687 | 13.457 |

## 9. Abnormal Cases.

The presence in Washington of three dwarves, who were on exhibition there while Dr. Buckley was engaged in the measurement of soldiers, suggested their measurement in the same manner ; especially since their dimensions and proportions might thus be compared with those of the noted dwarf Stratton, alias "Tom Thumb," whom Quetelet measured in 1845, and whose dimensions ${ }^{1}$ may be found in his "Théorie des Probabilité," p. 404. Stratton was at that time but 27.56 inches high, but since his age was only $13 \frac{1}{2}$ years, his subsequent growth was doubtless quite considerable.

The three dwarves here considered were all of German parentage, their ages were 23,17 , and 15 years, and the full reports of their examination are here presented.

To these may also be added the corresponding data regarding the so-called Australian children, exhibited in various American cities in the years 1864 and 1865, and measured in New York by Dr. Buckley in December 1864.

\footnotetext{
${ }^{1}$ For the sake of more convenient comparison, those of Mr. Quetelet's measurements which represent dimensions also determined for these dwarves, are here copied, with their equivalents in American inches.

| Height | $\mathrm{m}_{0.700}^{\mathrm{m}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \ln . \\ \mathbf{2 7 . 6} \end{gathered}$ | Circumference around hips | $\mathrm{m}_{0.478}$ | $\frac{\operatorname{mn}}{18.8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Head and neck | 0.173 | 6.8 | Length of arm from acromion | 0.245 | 9.6 |
| Height to knee | 0.175 | 6.9 | Half span of extended arms | 0.330 | 13.0 |
| Height to perinæum | 0.265 | 10.4 | Length of foot | 0.105 | 4.1 |
| Breadth of shoulder between acromia | $0.202$ | 8.0 |  |  |  |

## TABLE VIII.

## Results of Physical Examination of Three Droarves and the two "Australian Children."

| 2. Name? | Joseph Hunter alias Col. Small | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Chas. W. W. } \\ \text { Nestel } \\ \text { alias Com. } \end{array}\right\|$ | Eliza <br> Nestel | Hoomio (Tom) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Iola } \\ & \text { (Hetty) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4. Height ? | 40.4 | 37.4 | 31.4 | 62.6 | 49.5 |
| 23. Age (last birthday) ? | 17 | 23 | 15 | $21^{\text {a }}$ | $16^{6}$ |
| 4놀. Distance from tip of middle finget to patella | 2.4 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 5.1 | 7. |
| 5. Height to 7 th cerv. vertebra? | 33.2 | 31.4 | 25.2 | 54.9 | 43.1 |
| 5t. Height to middle of patella? | 11.2 | 10.1 | 8 | 21 | 15.5 |
| 6. Height to perin®um? . . | 18 | 18.5 | 12.3 | 31.2 | 23.1 |
| 7. Breadth of neck ? . | 3.4 | 3 | 2.7 | 3.6 | 3.1 |
| 71 ${ }^{2}$. Girth of neck ? | 11.8 | 9.3 | 9 | 13.2 | 11 |
| 8a. Breadth of shoulders? | 9.5 | 9.4 | 8 | $13.6{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $12.1{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| 9. Breadth of pelvis? . | 8 | 8.2 | 8.6 | 11.8 | 9.2 |
| 10. Circumference of chest a. Full inspiration? . | 21.9 | 23.2 | 19.1 | 40.4 | 32.1 |
| b. After expiration? | 21 | 21 | 18.1 | 37.2 | 29.9 |
| 10t. Distance between nipples? . | - | - |  | 9.2 | - |
| 11. Circumference of waist ? | 20.0 | 20.0 | 16.0 | 26.2 | 24.0 |
| 11t. Circumference around hips ? | 22.7 | 23.4 | 20.1 | 33.2 | 28.2 |
| 12a. Length of arm - from tip of acromion? | 17.3 | 14.8 | 12 | 30.2 | 27.8 |
| b. Distance from middle of sternum to tip of finger? | 21.9 | 19.1 | 15.8 | 35.0 | 80.6 |
| c. Distance fr. acromion to elbow? | 8 | 6.4 | 5.1 | 13.3 | 11.0 |
| 14. Weight (estimated) ? | 40 lbs . | 40 lbs. | 25 lbs. | 105 | 80 lbs. |
| 18. Where born ? . . | Germany | Indiana | Indiana | Ad |  |
| 19. Arrival in this country? | 1849 | - | - | 1862 | 1862 |
| 20. Country of father? . | Germany | Germany | Germany | - | - |
| of mother? . |  |  |  | - | - |
| of grandparents ? . . | " | " | " |  | - |
| 25. Hair - color ? . . . | Brown | Brown | Brown | Dar | brown |
| amount? | Average | Average | Average | Ver | hort |
| texture? | Straight | Straight | Straight | Rather | coarse |
| 26. Eyes - color? . | Gray | Gray | Blue | D's hazel | Black |
| distance outer angles? | 2.5 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 4.1 | 8.2 |
| " inner angles? | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 1.2 |
| prominent ? . . . | No | No | No | No | No |
|  |  |  |  | Dark; | a little |
| 27. Complexion ? . . . . . . | Fair | Fair | Fair | lighter | han the |
|  |  |  | 90 | American 60 | $\begin{array}{l\|l} \text { n Indian } \\ \hline 18 \end{array}$ |
| 29. Inspirations per minute? | 17 | 17 | 17 | - | - |
| 30. Muscular development ? . . | Small | Small | Small | Moderate | Small |

[^47]
## TABLE VIII. - (Continued.)

## Results of Physical Examination of Three Dwarves and the two "Australian Children."



Note. - The curious beings known as the Australian children were exhibited by Capt. J. Reid, who professes to have captured them in the interior of Australia while he was, in company with two other New Yorkers, conducting an exploring party. He states that when among the mountains in the interior, they discovered three children drinking from a spring at the bottom of a deep gorge, and captured them with lassoes; that they were naked, and at first " wild and fierce," but were soon tamed by kindness. They were carried first to California, and exhibited in the principal cities of that State, after which they were brought to the Atlantic seaboard, arriving in New York in November 1863.

They appear certainly not to belong to the Malay race, their color being entirely different. Their gait is stooping, and their arms crooked, and incapable of being straightened at the elbows beyond the ordinary posture of the arm of our own race when standing at ease. The development of the chest is large; the pelvis comparatively small, elongated and circular. The female is entirely different from the white race in this respect. Dr. Buckley had excellent opportanities for the examination, through the courtesy of Capt. Reid. Their legs are spare, with small calves.

[^48]But their heads are the most remarkable part. The faces are large, and the crania small; the superciliary ridge very prominent, nose and lips large. The other marked peculiarities will be seen in the table of dimensions.
Their eyes are bright and sparkling, and Capt. Reid says that they show a good deal of intelligence. The male speaks a few words of English, and they have a peculiar "gibberish," by which they communicate with each other.

Regarding the real origin and character of these very peculiar specimens of the human family, the author of this volume is unable to express an opinion. A pair of singular children were exhibited several years since in this country and in Europe in 1855-56, under the name of the Aztec children, who seemed to be idiotic, and apparently dwarfish specimens of some Central American race of Indians, but whether of mixed blood or not it would be difficult to say. No measurements ${ }^{1}$ of these are accessible to the writer; if there are any such, a comparison of their relative dimensions with those deduced from this examination of the pair here referred to might be interesting. At any rate the present measurements appear worth placing upon record, and not out of place here. Certainly their microcepbalous character is extremely analogous to that of the so-called Aztecs, although their stature is not so much below that of many adult whites. Their hair was so closely shorn that its characteristics could not well be recognized. The proportions are certainly quite different from those deduced by Vogt ${ }^{2}$ from measures of the Aztec children in 1856.

## 10. General Inferences.

It will now be useful to bring into juxtaposition some of the principal mean dimensions and ratios, already deduced from our measurements of the several classes of men, and thus to facilitate their comparison.

[^49]
## TABLE IX.

Comparison of Mean Dimensions.

|  | Whito Soldiers |  | Sallors | Students | $\underset{\text { Pullacks }}{\text { Pus }}$ | Mixed Becen | Indians |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Later Series | Farlier <br> Series |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Men | 10876 | 7904 | 1061 | 291 | 2020 | 863 | 517 |
| Mean Age | 26.2 | $25.1$ | $\stackrel{y}{26.1}$ | $21.7$ | $25.7$ | $\stackrel{y}{26.2}$ | 30.7 |
| Length Head \& Neck | in. 9.944 | $\stackrel{\ln .}{9.981}$ | $\begin{gathered} \ln . \\ 10.091 \end{gathered}$ | in. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { fa. } \\ & \mathbf{9 . 6 2 3} \end{aligned}$ | in. 9.561 | $\operatorname{lin}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\mathrm{in} 7}$ |
| Length of Body . | 26.140 | 26.099 | 24.549 | 26.109 | 24.487 | 24.680 | 26.870 |
| Knee to Perinæum | 12.456 | - | 12.880 | 12.652 | 12.964 | 12.692 | 12.799 |
| Height to Knee | 18.609 | - | 18.498 | 19.240 | 19.136 | 19.318 | 19.009 |
| Stature | 67.149 | 67.366 | 66.018 | 68.099 | 66.210 | 66.251 | 68.225 |
| Acromion to Elbow . | 13.605 | - | 18.171 | 13.712 | 13.302 | 13.856 | 13.757 |
| Elbow to Finger-tip . | 15.548 | - | 15.367 | 15.309 | 16.103 | 16.415 | 17.035 |
| Dist. betw. Acromia | 12.731 | $16.359^{\text {a }}$ | 12.879 | 13.085 | 14.089 | 14.742 | 12.830 |
| Ratio of parts of Arm | 1.143 | - | 1.167 | 1.116 | 1.211 | 1.185 | 1.238 |
| " " Leg | 1.494 | - | 1.436 | 1.621 | 1.476 | 1.522 | 1.485 |
| Med. line to Finger-tip | 35.042 | - | 83.848 | 34.920 | 35.808 | 35.822 | 37.198 |
| Acromion " " | 29.153 | $29.200^{\text {b }}$ | 28.638 | 29.021 | 29.405 | 30.271 | 30.792 |
| Height to Perinæum | 31.065 | 31.286 | 31.378 | 31.892 | 32.100 | 32.010 | 31.808 |
| Ratio of Leg to Arm | 1.066 | 1.071 | 1.100 | 1.099 | 1.092 | 1.058 | 1.033 |
| Height to Pubes . | - | - | 33.269 | - | 34.302 | 34.634 | - |
| Finger-tip to Patella | 5.036 | - | 5.778 | 6.473 | 2.884 | 4.125 | 3.653 |
| Circumf. of Waist | 31.467 | 32.089 | 30.457 | 31.240 | 30.296 | 30.546 | 34.693 |
| Circumf. of Hips | 36.930 | - | 34.942 | 36.549 | 35.569 | 35.357 | 38.962 |
| Circumf. of Chest | 35.818 | $35.353{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 35.124 | 35.313 | 35.087 | 34.966 | 38.001 |
| Play of Chest . . | 2.65 | - | 2.08 | 3.07 | 1.62 | 1.57 | 1.84 |
| Dist. between Nipples | 8.136 | - | 8.304 | 8.071 | 7.970 | 7.891 | - |
| Ratio to circum. Chest | 0.226 | - | 0.236 | 0.229 | 0.225 | 0.227 | - |
| Dist. between Eyes . | 2.492 | 2.606 | 2.473 | 2.484 | 2.714 | 2.670 | 2.716 |
| Breadth of Pelvis | 11.916 | $13.153^{d}$ | 11.625 | 11.187 | 10.952 | 11.267 | 12.889 |
| Length of Foot . | 10.058 | - | 10.114 | 9.957 | 10.600 | 10.439 | 10.123 |
| Thickness of Foot | 2.572 | - | 2.921 | 2.786 | 2.672 | 2.770 | 2.687 |
| Length of Heel e . | 0.48 | - | 0.49 | 0.46 | 0.82 | 0.57 | 0.48 |

[^50]Inspection of this table discloses many curious and interesting facts, full of significance to the physiologist and ethnologist, and possibly not without some bearing upon doubtful points of theory. Upon these it seems more proper to leave the discussion to experts, trusting that the results may have been so elaborated and presented, as to be available for them in a convenient form.
The ratio between the lower and upper parts of the arm seems one of the most characteristic numerical values. ${ }^{1}$ The average values found for the several races are: -


This is, however, the only respect in which so marked differences between the different classes of men have been observed to follow this order of sequence. In the ratio between the two parts of the leg, no such relation is manifest. Nor does any ethnological significance show itself in our results for the relative length of the arm and leg. The distance between the eyes follows the same order of races; but when it is considered with reference to the stature, the order of the relative dimensions is modified.

Some other ratios between parts of the frame seem to possess an ethnological significance; especially those between the lengths of the body and of the arm, between the upper arm and the length of body and width of shoulders respectively, and between the width of shoulders and the length of body. ${ }^{2}$ The latter proportion is affected with sundry elements of uncertainty; both in consequence of the difficulties, already described, ${ }^{8}$ in obtaining an accu-

1 The length of the hand was not specially determined. According to Vogt (Vorlesurgen, I. 193), this is in white men about 0.53 of the length of the humerus.

2 " In the orang the clavicle decidedly exceeds one fourth of the length of the spine (as measured from the atlas to the coccygeal end of the sacrum), while in man and the troglodyles it always, as far as I have observed, falls short of that proportion. The clavicle of the orang also more nearly equals the length of the scapula than in the higher forms." Mivart, "On the Skeleton of the Primates," Trans. Zool Soc. Lond., VI. 179.
"As in the gorilla, the humerus exceeds three fifths the length of the spine measured from the atlas to the lower end of the sacrum - a proportion decidedly exceeding that existing in the chimpanzee, and greatly so that found in man. It is nearly twice the length of the scapula, which is less than in man, though more than in troglodytes." lbid. pp. 180, 181.
${ }^{8}$ See Pp. 48, 59, 60.
rate determination of the mean distance between the acromia for any class of men, and still more by reason of the actual change which this dimension undergoes in persons of the same class, according to their mode of life. Still its results are interesting.

The proportion of the length of the body to that of the arm is found, from our mean results, to be as follows: -

| White Students |  | 0.8997 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Soldiers, | Later Series | 0.8966 |  |
| " | Earlier | 0.8938 |  |
| Sailors | . . . . | 0.8601 |  |
| Total | . . . . . | . . | 0.8936 |
| Indians . | -•••• | - • | 0.8727 |
| Full Blacks | . . . . . | . . | 0.8328 |
| Mixed Races | - . . | . | 0.8153 |

Between the upper arm (acromion to elbow) and the length of body, we find the average proportion to be -

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Indians . . . . . . . . . . . } 0.512 \\
& \text { White Soldiers . . . . . . } 0.520 \\
& \text { Students . . . . . . } 0.525 \\
& \text { Sailors . . . . . . . } 0.537 \\
& \text { Total . . . . . . . . } 0.522 \\
& \text { Full Blacks . . . . . . . . . } 0.543 \\
& \text { Mixed Races . . . . . . . . . } 0.561
\end{aligned}
$$

The proportion between the length of upper arm and the distance of the acromia, as deduced from our table of mean dimensions, is found to be -

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Indians . . . . . . . . . . . } 1.072 \\
& \text { White Students } \\
& 1.048 \\
& \text { Sailors . . . . . . . } 1.022 \\
& \text { Soldiers . . . . . . } 1.069 \\
& \text { Total . . . . . . . . } 1.065 \\
& \text { Full Blacks . . . . . . . . . } 0.944 \\
& \text { Mixed Races . . . . . . . . . } 0.940
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, the ratio of the mean distance between the acromia to the mean length of body is: -

| Indians - - | - 0 | 0.4775 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White Soldiers | . 0.4870 |  |
| Students | - 0.5012 |  |
| Sailors | 0.5246 |  |
| Total | - • - | 0.4906 |
| Full Blacks | -••• | 0.5754 |
| Mixed Races | - . . . | 0.5973 |

The curious and important fact that the mulattoes, or men of mixed race, occupy so frequently in the scale of progression a place outside of, rather than intermediate between, those races from the combination of which they have sprung, cannot fail to attract attention. The well-known phenomenon of their inferior vitality may stand, possibly, in some connection with the fact thus brought to light.
In the length of head and neck, and in the distance from the middle of the sternum to the tip of the middle finger, the order by races is the same as that deduced from the ratio between the upper and the lower arm, except that the men of mixed race come after the full blacks.

As regards the breadth of pelvis, the red men come first, then the whites, mulattoes, and blacks, in order; and the same holds true for the circumference of hips, excepting that here also the mulattoes follow the pure negroes.

The most marked characteristics of the races, here manifested, appear to be - for the whites, the length of head and neck and the short fure-arms; for the reds, the long fore-arms and the large lateral dimensions, excepting at the shoulders; for the blacks, the wide shoulders, long feet, and protruding heels.

Among the whites, the sailors are conspicuous for their shortness of body, which is clearly the chief element of their defect in stature, while the students are remarkable for their height to the knee.

It will be seen that the simple numerical ratios popularly supposed to exist between the normal dimensions of different parts of the body do. not here exhibit themselves, otherwise than as coarse approximations. Thus the average ${ }^{1}$ span of the extended arms uniformly exceeds the height; the height to the pubes surpasses half the stature; the mean ${ }^{2}$ distance between the nipples is always

[^51]less than one fourth the circumference of the chest; and similarly for the other dimensions. These supposed simple numerical proportions seem to be the offspring of fancy and conjecture rather than of accurate observation; and, while they always represent a near approach to the true typical ratio, they are demonstrably removed from it in the cases here investigated. The predisposition to believe in the existence of such harmonic relations as may accord with preconceived ideas of symmetry, and to assume that a near approach to commensurability implies an organic tendency toward its absolute attainment, seems to furnish all needful explanation of this general belief, which appears to be almost universally adopted by artists, and has been inculcated by many eminent and learned men. A striking analogy to this hypothesis is afforded by the doctrine, - so long cherished by astronomers, and even now retained in some of the books, - regarding supposed simple numerical ratios in the planetary distances. The proportionate dimensions of the several parts, discussed in the ensuing chapter, will afford means of considering these questions yet more understandingly.

Farther discussion of the results of the present chapter belongs apparently so fully within the realms of physiology and ethnology, with which the author is too little acquainted to venture upon any special inquiries, that it seems most advisable to leave the materials for the scrutiny of others. In the different mean values of the several dimensions and ratios for men of different nativities here grouped in the same class; in the determination of typical or characteristic ratios, not mentioned here, between the various dimensions; in the pursuit of the clew which is afforded by the constant excess of the mean age of men not in usual vigor; in the comparison of the varying proportions of the respective classes and races with the corresponding ones of anthropoid quadrupeds, there seems to be opportunity for extensive and valuable research. And for those points elicited by the schedule of examination, but unavoidably left undiscussed and untabulated in the present volume, the records, which have been tabulated with care and which will be preserved in the form permitting the most convenient consultation, afford copious material, as yet unused.

## CHAPTER IX.

## MEAN PROPORTIONS OF BODY.

## 1. Preliminary

The mean results obtained for the several dimensions, in the preceding chapter, will doubtless be regarded as, in general, highly satisfactory. Yet the variations between the values deduced for those nativities in which the number of men is small are much greater than those between the larger groups. And, although for these larger groups, as indeed for all those which comprise more than three or four hundred men in usual vigor, the test applied, by comparing the observed distribution of individual cases around their mean with that distribution which the law of probability would prescribe, indicates this mean to be typical, still the average variation in individual cases is so large as to excite a wish that the number of men examined had been greater yet.

The mean age of the men examined falls, for most of the nativ-ity-groups, much below that of full stature; and since the mean rate of growth during the years immediately preceding this mean age is very different from that which corresponds to the years immediately following, the probability is strong that we have not attained, for any group, precisely the mean dimensions belonging to the mean age of that group, but that the deduced values are smadler than the true ones.

Beside the influence of the different degrees of immaturity in the physical development, that of difference in the full stature also makes itself strongly manifest, in the wide range of the difference of value for the same dimension. And could we assume that the growth of all parts of the frame is proportionate as the period of full development in size is approached, we might, by referring all the dimensions to the actual height as a unit of length, greatly increase the precision of our determinations; while the range of individual discordance would be diminished. The assumption that the same normal type of form holds good for men differing in stature, but otherwise strictly belonging to the same class, seems
warrantable, and is certainly susceptible of test by such a procedure. If warrantable, we are justified in regarding the typical or normal man, of any class, in his two distinct relations of normal stature and normal proportions separately, and no error will result from the fact that these two relations are separated in their respective discussions. If not warrantable, the character and distribution of the discordances from the mean would betray the error of our assumption. And it therefore seemed well worth consideration, whether the labor of reducing the several actual dimensions of each individual to their corresponding relative or proportionate dimensions, in decimal fractions of the stature taken as unity, would not be fully repaid, in spite of the immense labor which it would entail.

The characteristic differences between the races are in general shown by the relative dimensions more distinctly than by the actual ones; those dissimilarities which are due to differences in general size disappearing, while those which actually exist in the type are rendered more prominent. The only exception to this remark, if indeed it be an exception, is formed by those parts of the body, such as the head for instance, which do not appear to vary to the same extent as the general dimensions of the physical frame. The normal variations of the stature, arms, feet, etc., are as distinctly a part of the fundamental scheme as are their normal mean dimensions; and the present computations show that the range of these variations is relatively not very diverse for most of the dimensions; and that the development by growth is also at a rate not far from the same. Not so with the head, the general size of which varies less with individual differences of stature, and increases less with the growth, than most other portions of the physical structure, as we have already seen in the last chapter. On this account it might perhaps have been satisfactory had the proportionate dimensions been computed relatively to the height to the last cervical vertebra. Yet the present form of computation will probably answer all reasonable demands.

Our materials for determining the normal stature, for different classes and nativities of men, promised to be so ample as to leave little to be desired on this point, could they be properly collected and discussed. Thus the investigation regarding statures and the law of growth, the results of which have been presented in Chapter V., seemed to derive a new importance from their applicability to the investigation of the normal dimensions of the average man, by means of a determination of his proportions as expressed in a
relative instead of an absolute unit; and the increased value, which each of these researches would derive from the other, was a strong incentive to the prosecution of both.

The results presented in this chapter are deduced from the reduction of the individual measurements of each of our 23685 men to the form of thousandths of his height, and the mean results for any group are of course applicable to the mean stature for that group. And the application of the relative dimension or proportional number, obtained for any class or nativity of men, to the normal stature of the same class or nativity as derived from more ample sources, is but another form of application of the very hypothesis which we must necessarily adopt in this investigation, namely, that the proportions of the body remain practically unchanged for men of the different ages comprised in our examinations. These ages are chiefly between 19 and 30 years for white soldiers; and for the other classes and races of men examined the great majority of cases is included within the same limits. That this hypothesis is correct, the writer is far from being disposed to maintain, but he is equally indisposed to believe that any serious error will result in the present case from its incorrectness. Even the error to which this incorrectness may give rise will, from the nature of the case, be in great measure eliminated from the mean result as applied to the mean age. It is a source of much regret that the limits of the present investigation preclude the prosecution of the inquiry as to the extent to which the proportions of the bodily frame vary during the years of military age.

These Relative Dimensions for each man are tabulated and preserved in the archives of the Sanitary Commission with the same care as the Actual Dimensions, the mean values of which for given nativities have been presented in Chapter VIII. The same man is designated by the same number in the two series of records, and the documents containing the computations have been made, so far as possible, to correspond with each other, for the greater facility of reference.

Tables exhibiting, for each class, the distribution of the values observed for each proportionate dimension, - and for many classes the corresponding theoretical distribution according to the law of error, - have also been computed in the same manner as for the actual dimensions.

Regarding the amount of labor involved in the execution, verification, and discussion of these computations, there is small need of speaking, since the case will speak fur itself after the slightest con-
sideration. The principal hesitation in carrying out the plan has arisen, not from the labor and time which it has entailed, but from anxiety lest this labor and time might be better bestowed in other directions. The event appears to justify the course taken ; the results are even more satisfactory than we had ventured to anticipate ; and by combining the typical proportions, thus attained, with the typical unit of dimension, as resulting from the discussion of statures, it would seem that close approximation may be made to a knowledge of the normal man, in the different ages, and places, and belonging to the different races and classes, for whom our data have been collected.

The present chapter makes no claim to the character of an exhaustive research; indeed it disclaims such an object. Only the more obvious results of the investigation are here collected and presented, since our resources permit no more than this. But the materials available for the anthropologist in the tabulated results for individuals are large; and by a proper determination of the personal errors of the several examiners, by classification according to ages, according to previous pursuits, according to parentage as well as nativity, and in numerous other ways, there is small room for doubt that results of great value may be deduced with minimum labor.

The various classes of men will be considered in this chapter, in the same order as in the chapter upon the Mean Dimensions of the body.

Attention has already been asked to the fact, that in arranging the schedule of questions adopted for the later series, and known as Form [EE], it was a leading principle to require the measurements to be made when possible between points corresponding to prominent points in the bony frame. It is hoped that this may render the comparison or combination of the present results with those of the skeleton itself less embarrassing than would otherwise be the case ; and that the comparatively exact measures which may be instituted in a museum may be found susceptible of employment in connection with the proportionate numbers here deduced.

Since the results of the present chapter have been prepared for the press, the author has seen for the first time the magnificent work of Bougery and Jacob, upon human anatomy. In this the dimensions of the human frame are similarly reduced to decimals of the stature - a form of expression which the authors state that they have borrowed from Montabert. Their results are derived from measures of "a great number of individuals," and, so far as
they can be tested by the present materials, appear to be closely approximate to the truth ; the relative dimensions given by them, for men, rarely differing from those here deduced from the white soldiers, by much more than one hundredth of the stature. ${ }^{1}$

## 2. White Soldiers.

The extreme range of the height to the 7th cervical vertebra, or of its converse, the length of head and neck, -among any of the nineteen mean values by nativity in the later series, is but 0.006 ; corresponding, for the average stature, to four tenths of an inch; whereas the corresponding variation in the mean actual dimensions for this height was 2.91 inches.

The aygregate mean value for length of head and neck is 0.1481 , nor does the mean for any nativity which comprises more than 306 men differ from this aggregate by more than 0.001 . The largest value is 0.151 for the group of 100 French; the smallest 0.145 for 267 natives of Kentucky and Tennessee. From the assortment tables we find :-

| Nativity | Number | Head and Neck\| | $\boldsymbol{\%}$ | ${ }_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England States . . . . . | 977 | 0.1482 | 0.0052 | 0.0002 |
| New York, New Jersey, and Penn. | 3123 | 0.1484 | 0.0056 | 0.0001 |

showing that the probable error of the mean cannot amount to so much as 0.014 inch, and indicating that it would be quite needless to push the test for other nativities.
Even for the Germans, among whom the wide discordance of this dimension from that found for the other large nativity-groups was noticeable in the dimension-tables, we here find the same value 0.148 as its proportion to the stature, thus conclusively showing that the type for this nativity was identical with that for the other large groups, and that the discordance arose solely from the smaller stature.
In the Earlier Series the variation in this mean height for the several nativity-groups is but 0.005 , corresponding to only one third of an inch for the average stature ; the corresponding variation in the mean actual dimensions being 2.03 inches. The mean value for head and neck is 0.148 ; as in the series [EE]. Tho largest value is 0.1508 for 204 British; the smallest are 0.1458 for the Western, and 0.1471 for the Southern men.

In the length of body, of which the proportional mean value is

[^52]0.8893 by the later, and 0.3876 by the earlier series of measurements, the different nativities appear to present some characteristic differences. We find for this dimension the proportions following: -

| Fativity | Later Mowares |  | Harlior Mcesures |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Length of Body | Number | Length of Body |
| New England States . | 1208 | 0.390 | 912 | 0.391 |
| N. Y., N. J., and Penn. . | 3758 | . 389 | 8128 | . 390 |
| Ohio and Indiana. - | 1657 | . 387 | \} 458 | . 894 |
| Mich., Wisc., and Illinois | 1012 | . 391 | \} 458 | . 894 |
| Coast Slave States | 365 | . 384 | \} 2007 | . 880 |
| Kentucky and Tennessee | 266 | . 394 | $\}^{2007}$ | . 880 |
| Slave States W. of Miss. R. | 51 | . 385 | - | - |
| British American Provinces | 566 | . 393 | 177 | . 389 |
| England, Wales, etc. | 324 | . 391 | \} 204 | 888 |
| Scotland. | 81 | . 891 | \} 204 | . 88 |
| Ireland . | 821 | . 391 | 440 | . 389 |
| France, Belgium, etc. | 98 | . 390 | - | - |
| Germany . . . . . | 561 | . 388 | 251 | . 389 |
| All others | 78 | 0.394 | 79 | 0.387 |
| Total . . . . . . | 10831 | 0.3893 | 7656 | 0.3876 |

From this table it is manifest that the superior length of body, which appeared, from the figures of the last chapter, to belong to natives of this country, is attributable to their greater stature, and that in several nativities the mean length, while actually greater, is relatively smaller, in consequence of the much greater length of the legs for the men of those nativities. In other words, a higher stature seems in general to imply a longer, but not a proportionally longer, trunk.

The mean distance from middle finger to top of knee-pan is 0.075 for the aggregate of all measured, but is seen to be especially variable, ranging from 0.070 to 0.087 even in groups containing more than 250 men, the smallest value being for Canadians, and the largest for natives of Kentucky and Tennessee. The explanation of this large fluctuation is readily seen by comparing the variations in the lengths of body, arms, and legs, for the several nativities involved.

The variations and probable errors deduced for Nativities A and B are appended: -

| Resturis | Number | Dim. 4t | $\boldsymbol{r}$ | ${ }^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England States | 977 | 0.0721 | 0.0120 | 0.0004 |
| New York, New Jersey, and Penn. | 8123 | 0.0725 | 0.0118 | 0.0002 |

The mean height to perinceum for the small group of 7 Spaniards is but 0.455 of the stature, but this of course is an untrustworthy determination. For each of two groups comprising 326 English, Welsh, etc., and 100 French, we find the mean value 0.459 , while for natives of the Southern States (excluding Kentucky and Tennessee), we find the large value 0.468 , and this for each of the two groups, both for those in, and those not in, their usual health. The tables of probable distribution are computed for two nativities only.

| Nativity | Number | Helght | $r$ | ro |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England States | 976 | 0.4625 | 0.0096 | 0.0008 |
| Ohio and Indiana | 1415 | 0.4646 | 0.0095 | 0.0008 |

The mean of the earlier measures accords with that of the later within 0.0015 , and these measures also agree with the other in assigning a low value 0.461 to natives of Great Britain, and the maximum value 0.473 to natives of the Slave States. But the minimum value here belongs to the natives of New Jersey and Pennsylvania, for whom it is 0.459 .

The distance from perinceum to the symphysis pubis was not measured in any of the examinations of soldiers. From 1013 measurements of sailors the mean value of this distance was found to be 0.0287 of the height. This would make the total height to the symphysis 0.4913 of the stature, for soldiers.
Height to Knee. - The average proportion for this dimension varies in the large groups from 0.269 , for 1015 Northwestern men, to 0.282 , for 367 Southerners. The range of variation is sufficiently manifest from the assortment of the first two nativities, which give -

| Nativity | Number | Helight | $r$ | r. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England States | 978 | 0.2788 | 0.0073 | 0.0002 |
| New York, New Jersey, Penn. | 3119 | 0.2776 | 0.0081 | 0.0001 |

Comparing the average proportionate numbers representing the height to the knee, with those representing the length of the thigh, we find -

| Nativity | Number of Men | Height to Knee | Knee to Perlnseum | Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England States . . . . . | 1208 | 0.279 | 0.183 | 1.52 |
| New York, New Jersey, and Penn. | 3757 | . 278 | . 185 | 1.50 |
| Ohio and Indians . . . . | 1659 | . 277 | . 188 | 1.47 |
| Michigan, Wisconsin, and Illinois | 1012 | . 269 | . 192 | $1.40^{\text {a }}$ |
| Coast Slave States . . . . . . | 365 | . 282 | . 186 | 1.58 |
| Kentucky and Tennessee . . | 266 | . 280 | . 181 | 1.55 |
| States West of Mississippi River . | 61 | . 283 | . 185 | 1.63 |
| British Amer. Prov., excl. Canada | 38 | . 277 | . 180 | 1.54 |
| Canada . . . . . . . . | 518 | . 275 | . 186 | 1.48 |
| England . . . . . . . . . | 304 | . 276 | . 183 | 1.51 |
| Wales and Isle of Man . . . . | 20 | . 278 | . 179 | 1.55 |
| Scotland | 81 | . 275 | . 186 | 1.48 |
| Ireland . | 824 | . 278 | . 182 | 1.63 |
| France, Belgium, etc. . . . . | 98 | . 277 | . 182 | 1.52 |
| Germany . . . . . . . . . | 562 | . 280 | . 184 | 1.52 |
| Scandinavia . . . . . . . . | 84 | . 280 | . 184 | 1.62 |
| Spain, etc. . . . . . . . . | 7 | . 276 | .179 | 1.54 |
| Miscellaneous . . . . . . . | 32 | 0.279 | 0.181 | 1.54 |
| Total . . . . . . . . . | 10846 | 0.2771 | 0.1855 | 1.494 |

The ratios given in the last column differ somewhat from the corresponding ones deduced from the actual dimensions, although the range of the variation is not much restricted, and the ratio for the total is identical.

The mean breadth of neck is 0.063 , and varies from this value by more than 0.002 for no nativity of any importance. We have

| Nativity | Number | Breedth | $r$ | ro |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England States | 976 | 0.0623 | 0.0027 | 0.00009 |
| New York, New Jersey, and Penn. | 3122 | 0.0633 | 0.0027 | 0.00005 |

The measures by Form E give only 0.060 , and the mean for no nativity-group reaches so high as 0.0620 if we carry it to four decimals. The explanation of this difference must apparently be sought either in the examiners, or, what is equally possible, in the andrometers, the gauges of which, as first constructed, were liable to become loosened by the rough treatment inseparable from military transportation.

The girth of neck varies from its mean value 0.203 by more than 0.003 for only two nativities comprising over 10 men. These

[^53]are the 100 French and 562 Germans, for whom the resultant values are 0.210 and 0.209 respectively.

| Natioty | Number | Glirth | $\boldsymbol{r}$ | $r_{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England States | 978 | 0.1998 | 0.0066 | 0.0002 |
| New York, New Jersey, Penn. | 8123 | 0.2032 | 0.0066 | 0.0001 |
| Ohio and Indiana . . . . | 1416 | 0.2025 | 0.0068 | 0.0002 |

The mean breadth of shoulders, between the acromia, fluctuates in the large nativity-groups from 0.182 for the Northwestern men, to 0.195 for the Irish. The probable variation for a single individual among New Englanders was not quite 0.010 .
If however we consider only those measurements which appear to be entitled to the fullest reliance, as given on page 271, we find 0.1828 as the total mean, - the several mean values for particular nativities varying between the limits 0.179 , for 44 natives of the seaboard Slave States, and 0.187, which value is given alike by the English, the Irish, and the French group, numbering 375 in the aggregate.

For the following table the same returns have been used which were employed for the analogous table in the last chapter, on page 271. It will be seen that the average discordance between the half-width of shoulders, as measured by the half-span of extended arms diminished by the length of the arm from acromion to fingertip, and the same dimension directly observed, is here always positive, and amounts to less on the average than 0.002 .

## Results of Arm and Shoulder Measurements.

## (Excluding all Unsatisfactory Returns.)

| Nativity | No. | Mean Stature | Breadth between Acromia, 8a | Middle of Sternum to FingerTip, 12a | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aero- } \\ \text { mion } \\ \text { to Fin- } \\ \text { ger-tip, } \end{gathered}$ | Aeromion to Elbow, | $\frac{1}{1} 8 a-(12 b-12 a)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England States | 322 | 67.17 | . 184 | . 431 | . 521 | . 200 | +. 002 |
| N. Y., N. J., and Penn. | 1866 | 67.89 | . 183 | . 428 | . 517 | . 202 | . 0025 |
| Ohio and Indiana . | 840 | 67.70 | . 181 | . 434 | . 523 | . 202 | . 0015 |
| Mich., Wisc., and III. | 842 | 67.23 | . 181 | . 427 | . 517 | . 198 | . 0005 |
| Coast Slave States . | 44 | 67.37 | . 179 | . 435 | . 524 | . 204 | . 0005 |
| Kentucky and Tenn. | 32 | 68.92 | . 185 | . 434 | . 526 | . 199 | . 0005 |
| States W. Miss. River | 18 | 67.86 | . 185 | . 433 | . 524 | . 201 | . 0015 |
| British Amer. Provinces | 273 | 67.07 | . 184 | . 432 | . 521 | . 201 | . 0025 |
| England | 153 | 66.55 | . 187 | . 429 | . 520 | . 200 | . 0025 |
| Scotland | 50 | 66.65 | . 184 | . 431 | . 521 | . 200 | . 002 |
| Ireland | 205 | 66.74 | . 187 | . 433 | . 525 | . 199 | . 0015 |
| France, etc. | 17 | 65.93 | . 187 | . 432 | . 523 | . 199 | . 0025 |
| Germany . | 175 | 66.41 | . 185 | . 434 | . 526 | . 201 | . 0005 |
| Miscellaneous. | 18 | 67.03 | . 185 | . 432 | . 524 | . 201 | +.0005 |
| Total | 4855 | 67.48 | . 1828 | . 4299 | . 6195 | . 2008 | +. 0018 |

Where the full breadth was measured, we find it to vary among those nativity-groups which number not less than 40 cases, between the limits 0.235 for Southerners, and 0.250 for Germans, in the later series of measurements; the mean value being 0.2435 . The mode of life and previous occupation doubtless influence this dimension in a large degree. In the earlier series, the mean value of the full breadth comes out as 0.2432 for the aggregate, thus closely agreeing with the other determination. Here, too, it is a minimum for men born in the Slave States.

The average proportionate breadth of pelvis, for the several nativities, seems to have varied from its mean value 0.1775 for the aggregate of all, by more than 0.003 for the French only, for whom it is 0.182 . It certainly seems less for Western than for Eastern men, among Americans. Our distribution tables give -

| Nativits | Number | Breedth | r | $r_{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England States | 976 | 0.1770 | 0.0057 | 0.0002 |
| New York, New Jersey, Penn. | 3119 | 0.1790 | 0.0077 | 0.0001 |
| Ohio and Indiana | 1417 | 0.1752 | 0.0061 | 0.0002 |

The series E, regarding the measurements of which we would refer to the statements made in the last chapter, gives the mean value 0.1951 . This is probably the width of the hips at the trochanters.

The circumference of chest (under the clothes) was found to be as follows:-

|  | Inspiration | Explration | Play | Mean Valme |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From 9270 men in usual vigor* | 0.5539 | 0.5134 | 0.4405 | 0.5336 |
| From 1604 men not in usual vigor | 0.5485 | 0.5153 | 0.0332 | 0.5319 |
| From 10874 men in all | 0.5531 | 0.5187 | 0.0394 | 0.6334 |

thus corroborating the inferences deduced in Chapter VIII.
The distribution tables give us -

| Nativity | No. of Men | Cire. at Insp'n | $\boldsymbol{r}$ | $P_{0}$ | Cire. at Bxp'n | $\boldsymbol{r}$ | ro |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England States | 978 | 0.5473 | 0.0210 | 0.0007 | 0.5074 | 0.0221 | 0.0007 |
| N. Y., N. J., and Penn. | 3125 | 0.5527 | 0.0198 | 0.0004 | 0.5115 | 0.0203 | 0.0004 |

From the earlier series, we obtain the mean values for the circumference of chest -

| For 5722 men in usual vigor | 0.5257 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2163 | « | not | « |
| 7885 | a | in all |  |
| 785220 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0.5247 |  |

No rules existed in the schedule for this series, either as to the part of the chest, or regarding the degree of inflation at which the measurement was to be taken.

The mean girth of waist, for the soldiers measured in the later series, varied between 0.463 and 0.480 , excepting for nativity $G_{2}$, but was of course dependent upon the mean age of the men, which it has not been possible to discuss in this connection, although ample material exists for determining its average variation with the age, for men between 18 and 35 . The degree of accuracy of the measures may be inferred from the results for two nativity-groups.

| Vetivity | No. of Men | Wast | $\boldsymbol{r}$ | Po |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England States . . . . . | 977 | 0.4635 | 0.0203 | 0.0007 |
| New York, New Jersey, and Penn. | 3124 | 0.4687 | 0.0215 | 0.0004 |

The mean of all examined by Form EE is 0.4685 ; but for those of the earlier series it is 0.4767 .

The mean distance between nipples was found to be -
For 1771 soldiers in usual vigor 0.1212
297 " not " " " 0.1207
being very nearly one eighth part of the height, but measurably diverse therefrom. The extreme values found were 0.090 and 0.152 .

The mean circumference around hips for the aggregate of all is found to be 0.550 ; it varies, however, with the nativity, from 0.541 for 367 natives of the Slave States, to 0.563 for 100 Frenchmen, if we omit the value for nativity $G_{2}$, which appears discordant in many respects. We also find -

| Natirity | No. of Mon | Crraumerence | $\boldsymbol{r}$ | $\boldsymbol{r}_{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England States | 978 | 0.5440 | 0.0222 | 0.0007 |

but the distribution of the individual discordances for this dimension seems to be far from conformable with theory.

The distance, from the middle of the top of the breast-bone to the tip of the middle finger, is for the aggregate of all the soldiers 0.5218 . This dimension, so often alleged to be equal to half the height in a well formed man, is thus seen to be nominally much greater, its minimum being 0.517 for the group composed of natives of Michigan, Wisconsin, and Illinois, and its maximum 0.529 for Swedes and Norwegians. The confidence to be placed in the results may be inferred from the fact that, for the only two nativities for which the distribution of discordances has been investigated, the probable variation, $r$, of an individual from the mean was found to be but 0.010 , and the probable error, $r_{0}$, of the mean was but 0.0003 in the one case, and 0.0002 in the other.

From the acromion to the end of the middle finger the average distance was 0.4341 , and the variations of individual cases, being tested for the same nativities as the last-named dimension, gave results almost identical, thus furnishing satisfactory indications of equal precision in the measurements, and in the mean results.

The measures from acromion to elbow prove even more accordant ; the mean value for the aggregate of all nativities being 0.2025 ,
and the probable individual variations from the mean, in the first two nativities, being respectively 0.0087 and 0.0076 , which correspond to the probable errors of the mean 0.0003 and 0.0001 .

From these values we find the ratios between the two parts of the arm, and between the height to perinæum and the length from acromion to finger-tip, to be as follows:-

| Nativity | No. of | Acromion to Elbow | Elbow to <br> Finger-tip | Ratio of Lower to Upper Arm | Ratio of Leg to Arm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England States | 1199 | 0.205 | 0.230 | 1.12 | 1.06 |
| N. Y., N. J., and Penn. | 3741 | . 203 | . 231 | 1.14 | 1.07 |
| Ohio and Indiana | 1646 | . 202 | . 234 | 1.16 | 1.07 |
| Michigan, Wisconsin, Illinois | 1011 | . 199 | . 230 | 1.16 | 1.07 |
| Coast Slave States . | 363 | . 203 | . 233 | 1.15 | 1.07 |
| Kentucky and Tennessee. | 266 | . 199 | . 239 | 1.20 | 1.05 |
| Free States W. of Miss. River . | 10 | . 196 | . 234 | 1.19 | 1.07 |
| Slave States W. of Miss. River. | 50 | . 203 | . 236 | 1.16 | 1.07 |
| Br. Provinces excluding Canada | 87 | . 205 | . 229 | 1.12 | 1.05 |
| Canada . | 518 | . 203 | . 230 | 1.13 | 1.06 |
| England | 303 | . 202 | . 230 | 1.14 | 1.06 |
| Wales, and Isle of Man | 20 | . 206 | . 229 | 1.11 | 1.05 |
| Scotland | 81 | . 202 | . 231 | 1.14 | 1.06 |
| Ireland . . | 824 | . 202 | . 234 | 1.16 | 1.05 |
| France, Belgium, etc. | 98 | . 202 | . 231 | 1.14 | 1.06 |
| Germany . | 554 | . 205 | . 233 | 1.14 | 1.06 |
| Scandinaria . . | 84 | . 205 | . 236 | 1.15 | 1.05 |
| Spain, Portagal, etc. | 7 | . 204 | . 229 | 1.12 | 1.05 |
| Miscellaneous . | 32 | 0.200 | 0.232 | 1.16 | 1.06 |
| Total . . . . | 10794 | 0.2025 | 0.2316 | 1.144 | 1.066 |

It has already been seen that the length of the arm, as measured from the armpit, in the earlier series was closely accordant with the length as measured from the tip of the acromion process, in the later series. In the actual dimensions, the mean value of the former was found to be 29.200 inches, and that of the latter 29.153 . In the comparison of relative dimensions, this accordance is seen to be closer yet, the resultant from the aggregate of all being 0.4339 for the mean length from armpit, for 7865 men measured by Form E, and 0.4341 for the mean length from the acromion, for 10800 men in the later series.

Computing the ratio between the height to the perinæum and the length of arm with hand, as deduced from the relative dimensions in the earlier series, we have -

| Nativity | No. of Men | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ratio of Leg } \\ & \text { to Arm } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England States | 936 | 1.06 |
| New York . | 2048 | 1.05 |
| New Jersey and Pennsylvania | 1191 | 1.04 |
| Western States | 474 | 1.05 |
| Slave States | 2010 | 1.11 |
| Canada . | 184 | 106 |
| Great Britain . | 214 | 1.08 |
| Ireland . . . . . . . . | 466 | 1.05 |
| Germany . . . . . . . | 256 | 1.06 |
| All others . . . . . . . . | 81 | 1.07 |
| Total . . . . . . . . | 7860 | 1.070 |

The caution with which inferences must be drawn from the collation of the results for different classes of men, when determined by different examiners, need scarcely be mentioned here. In the present instance, this is especially noticeable in the large proportionate value obtained for the length of legs of natives of the Slave States, a result not corroborated by the subsequent series of measurements. Yet the close accordance of the ratios deduced from the two series is noteworthy.

The proportionate length of foot as deduced from 10851 measures in the later series is 0.1498 , this dimension varying for the several nativity-groups between the limits 0.147 and 0.153 , and being largest for French and Germans.

The distribution-tables give, for the men in usual vigor -

| Nativity | No. | Length | $r$ | ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England States | 976 | 0.15022 | 0.0039 | 0.0001 |
| New York, New Jersey, and Penn. | 3117 | 0.15005 | 0.0038 | 0.0001 |
| Ohio and Indiana | 1416 | 0.14833 | 0.0036 | 0.0001 |

and show the close precision with which this length is relatively determined, as well as the comparatively small individual variation from the normal proportion.

The longest foot in proportion to the stature which was measured, was that of an Englishman, and amounted to 0.181 ; the shortest was 0.114 in length and belonged to a native of New York aged 43 years.

Tables I. and II. present the mean proportions for white soldiers, assorted and combined in the same manner as the actual dimensions of the same men in Chapter VIII., but with the omission of some of the smaller measurements, for which this mode of discussion seemed unnecessary.

TABLE I．
Mean Proportional Dimensions of White Soldiers．
（Later Series．）

| Nativity |  | 4 <br> 気荡 <br> 曲品 <br>  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \frac{1}{2} \\ & \frac{8}{8} \\ & \frac{1}{6} \\ & \frac{0}{4} \\ & \frac{4}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigor | 1000 | ． 073 | 852 | 279 | ． 463 | 062 | ． 200 | 190 | 243 |
| Others． | 211 | ． 075 | 50 | ． 279 | ． 462 | ． 061 | ． 200 | ． 189 | 241 |
| Total | 1211 | ． 073 | ． 852 | ． 279 | ． 462 | ． 062 | ． 200 | ． 190 | 243 |
| B．N．Y．，N．J．，\＆Penn． In usual vigor |  | ． 073 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Others | 588 | ． 073 | ． 8581 | ． 278 | ． 463 | ． 0661 | ． 203 | ． 189 | 42 |
| Total． | 3765 | ． 073 | ． 852 | ． 278 | ． 463 | ． 063 | ． 203 | ． 189 | 244 |
| Ohio and Indiana |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigor | 1443 | ． 079 | ． 852 | ． 277 | ． 465 | ． 062 | ． 203 | ． 188 | 42 |
| Others ． | 219 | ． 079 | ． 852 | ． 276 | ． 465 | ． 060 | ． 199 | ． 186 | 237 |
| Total． | 1662 | ． 079 | ． 852 | ． 277 | ． 465 | ． 062 | ． 202 | ． 188 | 241 |
| D．Mich．，Wisc，and III． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigor | 945 | ． 072 | ． 852 | ． 269 | ． 461 | ． 064 | ． 201 | ． 182 | 241 |
| Others． | 71 | ． 07 | ． 851 | ． 273 | ． 463 | ． 062 | ． 200 | ． 184 | 245 |
| Total．． | 1016 | ． 072 | ． 852 | ． 269 | ． 461 | ． 064 | ． 201 | ． 182 | 241 |
| E．Coast Slave States |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigor | 315 | ． 078 | ． 852 | ． 283 | ． 468 | ． 062 | ． 202 | ． 188 | 236 |
| Others | 52 | ． 077 | ． 852 | ． 281 | ． 468 | ． 059 | ． 200 | ． 183 | ． 231 |
| Total．．． | 867 | ． 078 | ． 852 | ． 282 | ． 468 | ． 061 | ． 201 | ． 188 | 235 |
| F．Kentucky and Tenn． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigor | 223 | ． 088 | ． 855 | ． 280 | ． 461 | ． 061 | ． 201 | ． 198 | ． 239 |
| Others ． | 4 | ． 0 | ． 855 | ． 281 | ． 464 | ． 061 | ． 203 | ． 193 | 232 |
| Total． | 267 | ． 087 | ． 855 | ． 280 | ． 461 | ． 061 | ． 201 | ． 198 | 239 |
| G．W．of Miss．R．－Free |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigor | 10 | ． 085 | ． 854 | ． 278 | ． 461 | ． 060 | ． 207 | ． 194 | 244 |
| $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ W．of Miss．R．－SL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigor | 46 | ． 083 | ． 85 | ． 284 | ． 469 | ． 064 | ． 201 | ． 203 | 234 |
| Others ． | 5 | ． 08 | ． 85 | ． 286 | ． 468 | ． 059 | ． 201 | ． 186 |  |
| Total ．． | 51 | ． 083 | ． 854 | ． 284 | ． 469 | ． 064 | ． 201 | ． 201 | 234 |
| H．Brit．Prov．excl．Can． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigor | 36 | ． 078 | ． 853 | ． 278 | ． 458 | ． 063 | ． 206 | ． 193 | 245 |
| Others ． | 2 | ． 088 | ． 848 | ． 267 | ． 444 | 1.060 | ． 196 | ． 195 |  |
| Total． | 38 | ． 078 | ． 853 | ． 277 | ． 457 | ． 063 | ． 20 | ． 193 | ． 245 |
| I．Canada |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual | 474 | ． 070 | ． 853 | ． 276 |  |  | ． 204 | ． 189 | 244 |
| Others | 46 | ． 076 | ． 849 | ． 275 | ． 459 |  | ． 202 | ． 190 | 236 |
| Total | 520 | ． 070 | ． 853 | ． 275 | ． 461 | ． 064 | ． 203 | ． 189 | 244 |

## TABLE I．－（Continued．） <br> Mean Proportional Dimensions of White Soldiers．

（Later Serice．）

| Siativity | 98 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 10a } \\ \text { Crirou } \\ \text { ence of } \end{gathered}$ |  | 11 <br>  |  |  |  | $12 c$ <br> 3 <br>  | $\begin{gathered} 88 a \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 9 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A．New England States |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigor | ． 177 | ． 547 | ． 507 | ． 463 | ． 644 | ． 435 | ． 622 | ． 205 | ． 150 |
| Others ． | ． 175 | ． 545 | ． 511 | ． 461 | ． 544 | ． 433 | ． 519 | ． 202 | ． 148 |
| Total | ． 177 | ． 647 | ． 508 | ． 463 | ． 544 | ． 435 | ． 521 | ． 205 | ． 150 |
| B．N．Y．，N．J．，\＆Penn． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigor | ． 179 | ． 558 | ． 611 | ． 469 | ． 552 | ． 484 | ． 621 | ． 203 | ． 150 |
| Others | ． 176 | ． 549 | ． 516 | ． 466 | ． 550 | ． 486 | ． 528 | ． 203 | ． 149 |
| Total | ． 179 | ． 552 | ． 512 | ． 468 | ． 551 | ． 434 | ． 622 | ． 203 | ． 150 |
| C．Ohio and Indiana |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual rigo | ． 176 | ． 556 | ． 617 | ． 478 | ． 551 | ． 436 | ． 524 | ． 202 | ． 149 |
| Total | ． 175 | ． 554 | ． 516 | ． 472 | ． 549 | ． 436 | ． 624 | ． 202 | ． 149 |
| D．Mich．，Wisc．，and Ill． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigor | ． 174 | ． 556 | ． 506 | ． 463 | ． 547 | ． 428 | ． 617 | ． 199 | ． 149 |
| Others ． | ． 175 | ． 544 | ． 609 | ． 461 | ． 546 | ． 434 | ． 522 | ． 202 | ． 149 |
| Total ． | ． 174 | ． 655 | ． 506 | ． 463 | ． 547 | ． 429 | ． 517 | ． 199 | ． 149 |
| E．Coast Slave States |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigor | ． 174 | ． 541 | ． 507 | ． 468 | ． 642 | ． 435 | ． 617 | 203 | ． 149 |
| Others | ． 173 | ． 542 | ． 506 | ． 460 | ． 539 | ． 438 | ． 521 | ． 206 | ． 148 |
| Total | ． 174 | ． 641 | ． 607 | ． 468 | ． 541 | ． 436 | ． 618 | 203 | ． 149 |
| F．Kentacky and Tenn． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual rigor | ． 175 | ． 552 | ． 515 | ． 477 | ． 551 | ． 437 | ． 525 | 198 | ． 150 |
| Others | ． 173 | ． 551 | ． 516 | ． 473 | ． 550 | ． 441 | ． 527 | 201 | ． 148 |
| －Total ． | ． 175 | ． 552 | ． 515 | ． 476 | ． 651 | ． 438 | ． 525 | ． 189 | ． 150 |
| G．W．of Miss．R．－Free |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigor | ． 174 | ． 653 | ． 618 | ． 469 | ． 661 | ． 430 | ． 617 | ． 196 | ． 147 |
| G2．W．of Miss．R．－81． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigor | ． 176 | ． 538 | ． 504 | ． 451 | ． 634 | ． 439 | ． 519 | ． 203 | ． 149 |
| Others ． | ． 176 | ． 519 | ． 491 | ． 441 | ． 542 | ． 438 | ． 521 | ． 204 | ． 148 |
| Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ． 176 | ． 636 | ． 503 | ． 450 | ． 685 | ． 434 | ． 519 | ． 203 | ． 149 |
| H．Brit．Prov．excl．Can． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual rigor | ． 176 | ． 554 | ． 619 | ． 464 | ． 544 | ． 435 | ． 521 | 206 | ． 150 |
| Others ． | ． 178 | ． 627 | ． 498 | ． 458 | ． 635 | ． 425 | ． 505 | 193 | ． 153 |
| Total | ． 176 | ． 558 | ． 517 | ． 464 | ． 644 | ． 434 | ． 621 | ． 205 | ． 150 |
| In usual vigor | ． 180 | ． 555 | ． 618 | ． 470 | ． 554 | ． 433 | ． 521 | ． 203 | ． 151 |
| Others ． | ． 175 | ． 555 | ． 520 | ． 479 | ． 652 | ． 436 | ． 523 | ． 207 | ． 149 |
| Total | ． 180 | ． 555 | ． 514 | ． 470 | ． 554 | ． 433 | ． 521 | ． 203 | ． 151 |

## TABLE I．－（Continued．） <br> Mean Proportional Dimensions of White Soldiers． <br> （Later Series．）

| Nativity | $\begin{aligned} & \text { y } \\ & \text { 音 } \\ & \text { 品品 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 74 <br> $\%$ <br> 형훙 |  | （8b |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| J1．England |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigor | 261 | ． 074 | ． 850 | ． 276 | ． 459 | ． 064 | ． 207 | ． 193 | ． 246 |
| Others ． | 45 | ． 072 | ． 848 | ． 276 | ． 461 | ． 062 | ． 201 | ． 191 | ． 250 |
| Total ． | 306 | ． 073 | ． 850 | ． 276 | ． 459 | ． 064 | ． 206 | ． 193 | ． 246 |
| J．Wales \＆I．of Man |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigor | 18 | ． 082 | ． 849 | ． 278 | ． 457 | ． 063 | ． 205 | ． 186 | ． 246 |
| Others ．－ | 2 | ． 077 | ． 846 | ． 284 | ． 458 | ． 059 | ． 212 | ． 216 | － |
| Total． | 20 | ． 081 | ． 849 | ． 278 | ． 457 | ． 062 | ． 206 | ． 190 | ． 246 |
| K．Scotland |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigor | 70 | ． 073 | ． 853 | ． 275 | ． 460 | ． 063 | ． 204 | ． 187 | ． 246 |
| Others ．． | 11 | ． 077 | ． 850 | ． 274 | ． 463 | ． 061 | ． 199 | ． 184 | ． 241 |
| Total ． | 81 | ． 074 | ． 852 | ． 275 | ． 461 | ． 063 | ． 203 | ． 186 | ． 246 |
| L．Ireland |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigor | 648 | ． 076 | ． 851 | ． 278 | ． 461 | ． 064 | ． 206 | ． 196 | ． 248 |
| Others ．． | 179 | ． 076 | ． 849 | ． 279 | ． 460 | ． 062 | ． 204 | ． 192 | ． 241 |
| Total ．．． | 827 | ． 076 | ． 851 | ． 278 | ． 460 | ． 068 | ． 206 | ． 195 | ． 248 |
| M．France，etc． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigor | 84 | ． 076 | ． 850 | ． 277 | ． 459 | ． 065 | ． 211 | ． 196 | ． 255 |
| Others ．． | 16 | ． 076 | ． 849 | ． 276 | ． 459 | ． 063 | ． 208 | ． 199 | ． 245 |
| Total． | 100 | ． 076 | ． 849 | ． 277 | ． 459 | ． 064 | ． 210 | ． 197 | ． 255 |
| N．Germany |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigor | 462 | ． 076 | ． 852 | ． 280 | ． 464 | ． 065 | ． 209 | ． 196 | ． 251 |
| Others ．－ | 100 | ． 074 | ． 849 | ． 280 | ． 462 | ． 063 | ． 207 | ． 194 | ． 236 |
| Total ． | 562 | ． 075 | ． 852 | ． 280 | ． 464 | ． 064 | ． 209 | ． 195 | ． 250 |
| O．Scandinavia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigor | 28 | ． 075 | ． 855 | ． 280 | ． 465 | ． 064 | ． 207 | ． 193 | ． 244 |
| Others ．． | 6 | ． 079 | ． 850 | ． 281 | ． 462 | ． 060 | ． 204 | ． 193 | － |
| Total ．．． | 34 | ． 076 | ． 854 | ． 280 | ． 464 | ． 064 | ． 206 | ． 193 | ． 244 |
| P．Spain，Portugal，etc． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigor Others | 6 | ． 086 | ． 854 | ． 276 | ． 454 | ． 064 | ． 211 | ． 200 | 232 |
| Others ．－ | 7 | ． 086 | ． 855 | ． 272 | ． 462 | ． 066 | ． 210 | ． 200 | ． 232 |
| Q．Miscellaneous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigor | 25 | ． 077 | ． 853 | ． 280 | ． 469 | ． 063 | ． 207 | ． 192 | ． 249 |
| Others ．． | 7 | ． 086 | ． 859 | ． 279 | ． 461 | ． 059 | ． 198 | ． 201 | ． 227 |
| Total．． | 32 | ． 079 | ． 854 | ． 279 | ． 460 | ． 062 | ． 205 | ． 194 | ． 246 |
| All Nativities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In usual vigor | 9271 | ． 0748 | ． 8521 | ． 2770 | ． 4627 | ． 0631 | ． 2031 | ． 1894 | ． 2440 |
| Others ． | 1605 | ． 0758 | ． 8507 | ． 2777 | ． 4623 | ． 0612 | ． 2014 | ． 1890 | ． 2406 |
| Total ．． | 10876 | ． 0749 | ． 8519 | ． 2771 | ． 4626 | ． 0628 | ． 2028 | ． 1893 | ． 2435 |

## T A BLE I. - (Continued.) <br> Mean Proportional Dimensions of White Soldiers. <br> (Later Series.)



> TABLE II.

Mean Proportional Dimensions of White Soldiers．
（Earlior Series．）

|  | rectuts | g \％ \％ \％ 晨 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England．${ }^{\text {I }}$ | In unual vigor ． | 688 | ． 850 | ． 461 | ． 060 | ． 241 |
|  | Others | 355 | ． 854 | ． 460 | ． 060 | ． 242 |
|  | Total | 943 | ． 852 | ． 461 | ． 060 | ． 241 |
| New York． 1 | In usaal rigor | 1506 | ． 851 | ． 463 | ． 061 | ． 244 |
|  | Others ． | 560 | ． 852 | ． 459 | ． 060 | ． 240 |
|  | Total | 2056 | ． 851 | ． 462 | ． 060 | ． 245 |
| N．Jersey，Penn．I | In usual vigor | 833 | ． 852 | ． 459 | ． 060 | ． 244 |
|  | Others | 368 | ． 852 | ． 459 | ． 060 | ． 245 |
|  | Total | 1196 | ． 852 | ． 459 | ． 060 | ． 244 |
| Western Stater | In usual vigor | 298 | ． 853 | ． 459 | ． 060 | ． 248 |
|  | Others ． | 185 | ． 858 | ． 461 | ． 059 | ． 241 |
|  | Total | 478 | ． 854 | ． 460 | ． 060 | ． 248 |
| Slave States．I | In usual vigor | 1650 | ． 858 | ． 478 | ． 060 | ． 241 |
|  | Others ． | 974 | ． 858 | ． 474 | ． 060 | ． 238 |
|  | Total | 2024 | ． 868 | ． 478 | ． 060 | ． 240 |
| Canada．I | In usual vigor | 134 | ． 850 | ． 462 | ． 061 | ． 244 |
|  | Others ． | 51 | ． 863 | ． 463 | ． 061 | ． 246 |
|  | Total | 185 | ． 851 | ． 462 | ． 061 | ． 245 |
| Fing．\＆Scot．I | In usual vigor | 145 | ． 849 | ． 463 | 061 | ． 244 |
|  | Others ． | 71 | ． 848 | ． 457 | ． 061 | ． 244 |
|  | Total | 216 | ． 849 | ． 461 | ． 061 | ． 244 |
| Irelend．I | In ueanal vigor | 845 | ． 850 | ． 462 | ． 061 | ． 248 |
|  | Others ．．． | 122 | ． 851 | ． 458 | ． 061 | ． 248 |
|  | Total | 467 | ． 850 | ． 461 | ． 061 | ． 240 |
| Germmay． 1 | In usual rigor | 179 | ． 850 | ． 468 | ． 062 | ． 240 |
|  | Others ． | 77 | ． 868 | ． 461 | ． 081 | ． 245 |
|  | Total | 256 | ． 851 | ． 462 | ． 062 | ． 248 |
| Miscellaneous．In | In usual rigor | 68 | ． 848 | ． 462 | ． 062 | ． 249 |
|  | Others ．． | 20 | ． 852 | ． 461 | ． 061 | ． 244 |
|  | Total ． | 88 | ． 849 | ． 462 | ． 062 | ． 248 |
| All Nativities．In | In usual vigor | 5736 | ． 8518 | ． 4649 | ． 0608 | ． 2481 |
|  | Others ． | 2168 | ． 8527 | ． 4619 | ． 0590 | ． 2438 |
|  | Total | 7804 | ． 8517 | ． 4641 | ． 0604 | ． 2482 |

TABLE II. - (Continued.)
Mean Proportional Dimensions of Whits Soldiers.
(Earlier Serice.)

| Mativity |  |  |  |  | 19 <br> 8 <br> ${ }^{5}{ }^{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England. I | In usual vigor . . . . . | . 192 | . 526 | . 478 | . 436 |
|  | Others . . . | . 195 | . 524 | . 482 | . 429 |
|  | Total | . 193 | . 625 | . 479 | . 488 |
| New Yori. | In usual vigor . . . . . | . 195 | . 627 | . 488 | . 489 |
|  | Others . . . . . . | . 198 | . 624 | . 484 | . 485 |
|  | Total . | . 194 | . 526 | . 482 | . 438 |
| N. Jersey, Penn. I | In usual vigor . | . 194 | . 630 | . 479 | . 445 |
|  | Others . . . . . . | . 197 | . 526 | . 485 | . 428 |
|  | Total. | . 195 | . 529 | . 481 | . 440 |
| Western States. I | In usual vigor . . . . | . 193 | . 526 | . 476 | . 439 |
|  | Others . . . . . . | . 195 | . 520 | . 481 | . 430 |
|  | Total . . . . . . | . 194 | . 524 | . 478 | . 436 |
| Slave States. I | In usual vigor . . . . . | . 197 | . 616 | . 465 | . 426 |
|  | Others . . . . . . . | . 196 | . 607 | . 458 | . 424 |
|  | Total . | . 197 | . 514 | . 464 | . 426 |
| Canade In | In usual vigor . . . . | . 194 | . 530 | 481 | . 437 |
|  | Others . . . . . . | . 196 | . 528 | . 481 | $433$ |
|  | Total . . . . . . | . 196 | . 630 | . 481 | . 436 |
| Eng. \& Scot. I | In usual vigor . . . . . | . 196 | . 533 | . 481 | . 425 |
|  | Others . . . . . . | . 196 | . 525 | . 478 | . 427 |
|  | Total . . . . . . | . 196 | . 530 | . 479 | . 426 |
| Ireland. | In usual vigor . . . . | . 196 | . 542 | . 483 | . 438 |
|  | Others . . . . . . . | . 189 | . 537 | . 484 | . 437 |
|  | Total . . . . . . | . 194 | . 541 | . 483 | . 438 |
| Germany. | In usual vigor . . . . . | . 197 | . 537 | . 485 | . 436 |
|  | Others . . . . . . | . 196 | . 526 | . 479 | . 486 |
|  | Total . . . . . . . | . 197 | . 684 | . 488 | . 486 |
| Miscellaneous. In | In usual vigor . . . . | . 199 | . 688 | . 488 | . 434 |
|  | Others . . . . . . | . 197 | . 517 | . 474 | . 424 |
|  | Total . . . . . | . 198 | . 533 | . 481 | . 432 |
| All Nativities. | In usual vigor . . . . | . 1952 | . 5257 | . 4760 | . 4351 |
|  | Others . . . . . . | . 1948 | . 5220 | . 4785 | . 4804 |
|  | Total . . . . . . | . 1951 | . 5247 | . 4767 | . 4389 |

## 3. Sailors.

In Table III. the mean proportional dimensions are given for sailors and marines, arranged as in the corresponding table of the last chapter.

The dimension $4 \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}}$ is seen to be relatively larger than for soldiers, thus confirming the corresponding inference deduced from the actual dimensions. Notwithstanding the inferior length of body, which would diminish the interval in question by .017 , this interval is greater by .012 , making a difference of .029 to be accounted for. About one third of this difference is referable to the superior length from knee to perinæum, and since the arms are only shorter by .002 , the remainder of the difference must be accounted for by a less slope of the shoulders in the sailors.

The greater length of thigh will become manifest upon comparison of the annexed table with the similar one given for soldiers in the last section. The table includes all the nativity-groups which comprise more than 30 men . It should be repeated that all these sailors were measured while naked, excepting the group of 85 who are separately classed, and who were mostly measured by Dr. Elsner, while the marines were examined by Dr. Wells, who made but few measurements of any other class, in consequence of the brevity of his connection with our work. The results for the marines accord closely with those for white soldiers.

| Nativity | No. of Men | Height to Knee | Knee to Perinæum | Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England States | 129 | 0.278 | 0.194 | 1.43 |
| New York, New Jersey, and Penn. | 155 | . 283 | . 196 | 1.44 |
| British Provinces | 66 | . 279 | . 194 | 1.44 |
| England | 102 | . 279 | . 192 | 1.45 |
| Ireland. . | 835 | . 280 | . 195 | 1.43 |
| Germany . | 62 | . 282 | . 196 | 1.44 |
| Scandinavia . | 82 | 0.277 | 0.198 | 1.40 |
| Total Sailors naked. | 1061 | 0.2802 | 0.1948 | 1.438 |
| Sailors clothed . . | 85 | . 275 | . 181 | 1.52 |
| Marines . . . . . . . . | 68 | 0.275 | 0.188 | 1.61 |

These mean relative dimensions corroborate the inferences drawn from the actual ones, with regard to the greater size of the neck, and the smaller girth of chest, waist, and hips.

The mean distance from perinæum to the prominent bone of the
pubes being 0.0287 , we have the mean height to the symphysis 0.5037 , or very slightly more than half the total height, while for the soldiers it was found decidedly less than half the stature.
For the distance between nipples, the mean value from 753 sailors was 0.1258 , being also greater than for the soldiers by nearly four per centum.
The arm-measures give us, as the average distance from the middle of the breast to the tip of the middle finger, 0.5143 , a value somewhat less than that found for the soldiers; and the proportion between the different members as follows: -

| Nativity | No. of Mun | Acromion to Klbow | Elbow to <br> Finger-tip | Ratio of Lower to Uppar 4 rm | Ratio of Leg to Arm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England States | 129 | 0.200 | 0.234 | 1.17 | 1.09 |
| N. Y., N. J., and Penn. | 155 | . 199 | . 231 | 1.16 | 1.11 |
| British Provinces | 66 | . 199 | . 233 | 1.17 | 1.09 |
| England | 102 | . 199 | . 232 | 1.17 | 1.09 |
| Ireland . | 335 | . 198 | . 232 | 1.17 | 1.11 |
| Germany | 62 | . 203 | . 281 | 1.14 | 1.10 |
| Scandinavia . | 82 | 0.202 | 0.238 | 1.18 | 1.08 |
| Total Sailors naked. | 1061 | 0.1995 | 0.2328 | 1.17 | 1.10 |
| Sailors clothed | 85 | . 205 | . 236 | 1.15 | 1.08 |
| Marines . . | 68 | 0.198 | 0.232 | 1.17 | 1.07 |

The ratio between the two parts of the arm is here modified, unlike that between the two parts of the leg, by the relative elongation of the lower portion. But the excess of relative length in the leg is very marked, while the arm is relatively shorter.

Finally, the relative length of foot is seen to be about two per cent. greater than in the case of the soldiers.

## TABLE III．

## Mean Proportional Dimensions of Sailors．

| Nattrity |  | 4 <br> 氝。呂 당를 HS |  | 54 <br> 8 <br>  |  | 7 <br> \％ <br> 弆萿 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A．New Eng．States | 129 | ． 084 | ． 847 | ． 278 | ． 472 | ． 062 | ． 210 | ． 191 | ． 241 |
| B．N．Y．，N．J．，Pa． | 155 | ． 091 | ． 849 | ． 283 | ． 479 | ． 066 | ． 208 | ． 192 | ． 242 |
| C．Ohio and Indiana | 2 | ． 088 | ． 848 | ． 272 | ． 467 | ． 065 | ． 209 | ． 174 | ． 256 |
| D．Mich．，Wisc．，Ill． | 6 | ． 089 | ． 850 | ． 290 | ． 480 | ． 065 | ． 206 | ． 188 | ． 238 |
| E．Coast Sl．States | 19 | ． 086 | ． 847 | ． 285 | ． 474 | ． 065 | ． 207 | ． 185 | ． 245 |
| F，G．Other S．States | 2 | ． 090 | ． 852 | ． 271 | ． 457 | ． 057 | ． 200 | ． 186 | － |
| H．Br．Pr．excl．Can． | 50 | ． 087 | ． 848 | ． 280 | ． 475 | ． 066 | ． 212 | ． 200 | ． 246 |
| I．Canada ． | 16 | ． 082 | ． 845 | ． 277 | ． 467 | ． 064 | ． 211 | ． 195 | ． 245 |
| $J_{1}$ ．England ．．． | 102 | ． 085 | ． 846 | ． 279 | ． 471 | ． 066 | ． 215 | ． 199 | ． 249 |
| $J_{\mathbf{3}}$ ．Wales，I of Man | 6 | ． 077 | ． 844 | ． 284 | ． 483 | ． 072 | ． 218 | － | ． 255 |
| K．Scotland ． | 27 | ． 085 | ． 847 | ． 277 | ． 467 | ． 066 | ． 217 | ． 198 | ． 254 |
| L．Ireland ． | 835 | ． 091 | ． 847 | ． 280 | ． 476 | ． 077 | ． 212 | ． 199 | ． 247 |
| M．France，etc．． | 20 | ． 079 | ． 850 | ． 280 | ． 478 | 064 | ． 217 | ． 197 | ． 250 |
| N．Germany ． | 62 | ． 091 | ． 849 | ． 282 | ． 478 | ． 067 | ． 211 | ． 198 | ． 248 |
| O．Scandinavia ． | 82 | ． 079 | ． 847 | ． 277 | ． 475 | ． 066 | ． 215 | ． 198 | ． 252 |
| P．Spain，etc ．． | 18 | ． 078 | ． 845 | ． 284 | ． 477 | ． 066 | ． 216 | ． 200 | ． 258 |
| Q．Miscellaneous | 30 | ． 079 | ． 845 | ． 282 | ． 476 | ． 068 | ． 221 | ． 196 | ． 263 |
| Total with＇t clothes | 1061 | ． 0873 | ． 8472 | ． 2802 | ． 4750 | ． 0657 | ． 2122 | ． 1960 | ． 2470 |
| Sailors part．clothed | 85 | ． 0798 | ． 8434 | ． 2753 | ． 4563 | ． 0611 | ． 2136 | ． 1890 | － |
| Marines＂＂ | 68 | ． 1029 | ． 8510 | ． 2750 | ． 4576 | ． 0649 | ． 2095 | － | ． 2316 |

## 4．Students．

Discussion of the mean proportions deduced from the Student－ measures shows that the relative length of the body is smaller than for soldiers，by nearly .006 of the stature，and the height to the knee greater by nearly the same amount．The length of head and neck appears the same，and the length of thigh scarcely different． The lower arm（with the hand）is decidedly shorter，and the humerus slightly so．

## TABLE III.- (Continued.)

## Mean Proportional Dimensions of Sailors.

| Fativity |  | 10a 106 <br> Circumf. of Chest |  |  |  | 12n <br>  |  |  | 88a <br>  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 离号 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. New England States | . 169 | . 534 | . 506 | . 454 | . 525 | . 434 | . 513 | . 200 | . 151 |
| B. N. Y., N. J., and Pa. | . 177 | . 636 | . 503 | . 452 | . 523 | . 430 | . 510 | . 199 | . 153 |
| C. Ohio and Indiana . | . 174 | . 548 | . 504 | . 459 | . 536 | . 427 | . 503 | . 208 | . 147 |
| D. Mich., Wisc., \& Ill. | . 183 | . 532 | . 502 | . 440 | . 528 | . 439 | . 517 | . 200 | . 154 |
| E. Coast Slave States | . 176 | . 539 | . 508 | . 455 | . 524 | . 435 | . 515 | . 202 | . 153 |
| F, G. Other SI. States | . 165 | . 548 | . 537 | . 470 | . 522 | . 427 | . 528 | . 197 | . 155 |
| H. Brit. Pr. excl. Can. | . 174 | . 550 | . 520 | . 464 | . 531 | . 432 | . 514 | . 198 | . 151 |
| L. Canada | . 171 | . 551 | . 523 | . 466 | . 534 | . 432 | . 517 | . 202 | . 151 |
| J. England . | . 176 | . 550 | . 518 | . 466 | . 533 | . 431 | . 513 | . 199 | . 154 |
| $\mathrm{J}_{2}$ Wales, Isle of Man | . 183 | . 559 | . 523 | . 466 | . 526 | . 438 | . 510 | . 202 | . 155 |
| K. Scotland . | . 178 | . 575 | . 544 | . 473 | . 537 | . 433 | . 514 | . 199 | . 154 |
| L. Ireland | . 177 | . 550 | . 518 | . 463 | . 527 | . 430 | . 511 | . 198 | . 153 |
| M. France, etc. . | . 172 | . 557 | . 528 | . 476 | . 533 | . 438 | . 521 | . 201 | . 155 |
| N. Germany . - | . 182 | . 551 | . 518 | . 460 | . 537 | . 434 | . 513 | . 203 | . 156 |
| O. Scandinavia. | . 178 | . 566 | . 534 | . 475 | . 541 | . 440 | . 519 | . 202 | . 155 |
| P. Spain, etc. . | . 173 | . 556 | . 528 | . 464 | . 531 | . 431 | . 522 | . 199 | . 154 |
| Q. Miscellaneous | . 179 | . 557 | . 524 | . 465 | . 532 | . 435 | . 517 | . 196 | . 154 |
| Total without clothes . | . 1761 | . 5481 | . 5167 | . 4617 | . 5295 | . 4323 | . 5129 | . 1995 | . 1531 |
| Sailors partly clothed. | . 1662 | . 5575 | . 5361 | . 4782 | . 5415 | . 4414 | . 5317 | . 2051 | . 1534 |
| Marines " " | . 1748 | . 5475 | . 5186 | . 4584 | . 6495 | . 4296 | . 5249 | . 1984 | . 1509 |

The shoulders are very slightly broader; the play of chest too is greater, but this may perhaps arise from the superior and better directed effort to inflate and collapse the lungs, which might be expected from a more highly educated class.

All other measures of breadth and girth are smaller. The neck is narrower by 6 per cent., and less in girth by 4 per cent. ; the pelvis narrower by $7 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent. ; the waist and hips smaller. The
mean age of the students examined was, however, less than that of the soldiers. Few of either class were below 19 years of age, but while few of the students had passed the age of 25 , many of the soldiers measured were above the age of 30 years; so that a fuller development in breadth was to be expected. The average weight ${ }^{1}$ of the soldiers was 144.8 lbs ., that of the students on the other hand but 139.7.

The mean values of the relative dimensions for the several groups of students, and their aggregate, are presented in the following table: -

> TABLE IV.

> Mean Proportional Dimensions of Harvard and Yale Students.

| Claes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Harrard, Seniors . | 69 | . 090 | . 847 | . 281 | . 466 | . 059 | . 193 | . 180 |
| Juniors . | 51 | . 088 | . 846 | . 287 | . 469 | . 058 | . 194 | . 195 |
| Scientific | 4 | . 097 | . 855 | . 294 | . 476 | . 057 | . 188 | . 186 |
| Total | 124 | . 0893 | . 8472 | . 2840 | . 4679 | . 0584 | . 1933 | . 1864 |
| Yale, Seniors | 92 | . 099 | . 852 | . 282 | . 468 | . 059 | . 196 | . 194 |
| Juniors . | 63 | . 100 | . 860 | . 280 | . 469 | . 061 | . 197 | . 199 |
| Scientific | 12 | . 101 | . 855 | . 279 | . 474 | . 060 | . 193 | . 203 |
| Total . | 167 | . 0995 | . 8551 | . 2813 | . 4688 | . 0596 | . 1963 | . 1965 |
| Aggregate . . . | 291 | . 0951 | . 8518 | . 2825 | . 4684 | . 0591 | . 1950 | . 1922 |

[^54]TABLE IV．－（Continued．）
Mean Proportional Dimensions
of Harvard and Yale Students．

| Clase | 0 | $10 a \quad 10 b$ <br> Circ．of Chent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ¢ |  | 离口 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 䋯管 | 首兑 | 为号 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Harvard，Seniors ． | ． 263 | ． 535 | ． 491 | ． 453 | ． 519 | ． 427 | ． 512 | ． 200 | ． 147 |
| Juniors ． | ． 168 | ． 540 | ． 498 | ． 450 | ． 630 | ． 426 | ． 513 | ． 198 | ． 149 |
| Scientific | ． 164 | ． 516 | ． 473 | ． 427 | ． 493 | ． 422 | ． 508 | ． 196 | ． 144 |
| Total． | ． 1649 | ． 5363 | ． 4930 | ． 4511 | ． 5228 | ． 4263 | ． 5121 | ． 1993 | ． 1476 |
| Yale， $\begin{aligned} & \text { Seniors ．} \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \text { Sunibrs ．} \\ & \text { Scientific }\end{aligned}$ | ． 163 | ． 647 | ． 500 | ． 466 | ． 545 | ． 425 | ． 513 | ． 199 | ． 145 |
|  | ． 165 | ． 543 | ． 497 | ． 466 | ． 550 | ． 428 | ． 514 | ． 208 | ． 145 |
|  | ． 161 | ． 541 | ． 497 | ． 448 | ． 554 | ． 424 | ． 511 | 206 | ． 145 |
| Total ．．．． | ． 1638 | ． 5452 | ． 4989 | ． 4648 | ． 5477 | ． 4260 | ． 5135 | ． 2029 | ． 1454 |
| Aggregate ．． | .1643 | ． 6414 | ． 4964 | ． 4589 | ． 5371 | ． 4261 | ． 5129 | ． 2014 | ． 1464 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 5．Colored Troops．

The characteristic differences between the colored troops and the whites，as manifested by the computation of their proportional di－ mensions，differ little from those previously deduced by the study of the means from actual measurements．But the range of varia－ tion is so much restricted，that their characteristic nature becomes more evident，and the inferences to be drawn from them become more trustworthy．

Regarding these differences little need be added to the comments in the last chapter，which may not readily be gathered from the Tables V．and VI．，where are presented the relative dimensions of the full blacks，and the men of mixed races，respectively．

The distance from finger－tip to knee－pan（dimension 41！）shows probably the greatest diversity；the mean values being for the full blacks less than three fifths，and for the mixed races only five sixths，as large as for white soldiers．This is due to the greater length of the arms，and less length of body．We have，namely ：－

| Ones | Finger-tip to Kneo-pan | $\underset{\text { Body }}{\text { Length }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Iogegth or } \\ & \text { arm } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Iagesth ol } \\ & \text { Thigh } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Full Blacks | 0.0487 | 0.3698 | 0.4516 | 0.1957 |
| Mixed Races. | 0.0623 | 0.3785 | 0.4569 | 0.1915 |
| White Soldiers | 0.0749 | 0.8898 | 0.4341 | 0.1855 |

The length of the legs is greater than in white soldiers by two hundredths of the entire stature; and the mean value for men of mixed race is almost as large as that for the full blacks. The excess appears to be divided nearly equally between the thigh and the part below the knee, being however a little greater in the latter.

The length of head and neck is decidedly less. This dimension, of which the mean value was 0.1481 for white soldiers, is found to average .1455 in the blacks, and .1433 in the mixed races. Those who were naked when measured give a mean value most nearly approaching that of the whites. About three fourths of this class were natives of the Southeastern States, and were measured by Major Wales.

The arms are longer than in whites, both above and below the elbow, very much so in the forearm. Thus we find as mean values -

| Cless | Medial Une to Finger-tip | $\underset{\text { bow }}{\substack{\text { Acromion to } \\ \text { En- }}}$ | zabow to Fin-sar-dip |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Full Blacks | 0.5408 | 0.2101 | 0.2415 |
| Mixed Races . | . 6406 | . 2095 | . 2474 |
| White Soldiers | 0.5218 | 0.2025 | 0.2816 |

The average ratios between the two parts of the arm, the two parts of the leg, and the whole arm and leg are -

| Orese | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Lower Arm and } \\ & \text { Hand to Upper } \Delta r m \end{aligned}\right.$ | Height below Knee to Thigh | Ing to Atm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Full Blacks | 1.16 | 1.48 | 1.07 |
| Mixed Races | 1.18 | 1.62 | 1.06 |
| White Soldiers | 1.14 | 1.49 | 1.07 |

Comparing the black with the white soldiers, we find the mean circumference of waist and breadth of pelvis to be decidedly smaller, and these dimensions in the men of mixed race to be generally intermediate between the two.

The distance between the nipples is about the same as in white soldiers, the mean of our measurements giving -


Finally the foot is longer by about 7 per cent. for the full blacks, and about $5 \nmid$ per cent. for the mulattoes.
The detailed means are given in Tables V. and VI., arranged in the same manner as the actual mean dimensions in the preceding chapter.

## TABLEV.

Mean Proportional Dimensions of Full Blacks.

| Clem |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 7 \frac{1}{2} \\ 7 \\ \frac{5}{4} \frac{1}{8} \\ \frac{5}{4} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Naked - Free States . | 123 | . 047 | . 848 | . 279 | . 488 | . 063 | . 212 | . 223 | . 246 |
| Slave States | 554 | . 040 | . 852 | . 281 | . 488 | . 063 | . 211 | . 222 | . 242 |
| All | 677 | . 041 | . 851 | . 280 | . 488 | . 063 | . 211 | . 228 | . 245 |
| Half Naked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Free States | 2 | . 046 | . 857 | . 298 | . 467 | . 065 | . 206 | . 226 | - |
| Slave States | 145 | . 038 | . 860 | . 297 | . 468 | . 065 | . 209 | . 215 | - |
| All | 147 | . 038 | . 860 | . 297 | . 468 | . 065 | . 209 | . 215 | - |
| Clothed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Free States. | 101 | . 053 | . 856 | . 291 | . 484 | . 064 | . 210 | . 202 | . 245 |
| Slave States | 1095 | . 045 | . 856 | . 293 | . 485 | . 064 | . 210 | . 204 | . 247 |
| All . | 1196 | . 046 | . 856 | . 293 | . 485 | . 064 | . 210 | . 204 | . 246 |
| In usual vigor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Free States | 194 | . 051 | . 851 | . 285 | . 487 | . 064 | . 212 | . 213 | . 246 |
| Slave States | 1598 | . 043 | . 855 | . 290 | . 485 | . 064 | . 210 | . 211 | . 246 |
| All . . | 1792 | . 044 | . 854 | . 289 | . 485 | . 064 | . 210 | . 211 | 246 |
| Not in usual vigor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Free States . | 32 | . 043 | . 851 | . 281 | . 483 | . 062 | . 209 | . 228 | . 240 |
| Slave States | 196 | . 040 | . 855 | . 290 | . 484 | . 063 | . 210 | . 223 | - |
| All | 228 | . 040 | . 855 | . 288 | . 483 | . 063 | . 210 | . 224 | . 240 |
| Total born in |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Free States . | 226 | . 0497 | . 8813 | . 2847 | . 4865 | . 0634 | . 2114 | . 2152 | . 2454 |
| Slave States | 1794 | . 0429 | . 8549 | . 2896 | . 4845 | . 0636 | . 2103 | . 2127 | . 2461 |
| Grand Total . | 2020 | 0437 | . 8545 | . 2890 | . 4847 | . 0636 | . 2104 | . 2130 | . 2458 |

## TABLE V. - (Continued.)

Mean Proportional Dimensions of Full Blacks.


## TABLE VI. <br> Mean Proportional Dimensions of Mulattoes.

| Clane | $\begin{aligned} & \text { g } \\ & \text { 曷 } \\ & \text { \% } \\ & \text { 首 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 61 \\ & 8 \\ & s \\ & \text { s. } \\ & \text { Berun } \end{aligned}$ |  | 7 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Naked - Free States . Slave States All | 96 | . 055 | . 848 | . 278 | . 487 | . 062 | . 208 | . 229 | . 241 |
|  | 111 | . 054 | . 849 | . 280 | . 485 | . 061 | . 206 | . 223 | . 242 |
|  | 207 | . 055 | . 848 | . 279 | . 486 | . 061 | . 207 | . 226 | . 241 |
| Half Naked Slave States |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 47 | . 058 | . 858 | . 291 | . 461 | . 064 | . 207 | . 207 | - |
| Clothed $\begin{array}{r}\text { Free Statas } \\ \text { Slave Sta } \\ \text { All . . }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 71 | . 066 | . 856 | . 291 | . 476 | . 064 | . 209 | . 208 | . 249 |
|  | 536 | . 065 | . 860 | . 297 | . 485 | . 067 | . 210 | . 224 | . 250 |
|  | 607 | . 066 | . 860 | . 296 | . 484 | . 067 | . 210 | . 223 | . 250 |
| In usual vigor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Free States. | 127 | . 062 | . 850 | . 284 | . 482 | . 063 | . 209 | . 220 | . 247 |
| Slave States | 592 | . 063 | . 858 | . 294 | . 488 | . 066 | . 209 | . 228 | . 286 |
| All . | 719 | . 063 | . 857 | . 292 | . 488 | . 066 | . 209 | . 223 | . 246 |
| Not in usual vigor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Free States . | 42 | . 054 | . 851 | . 282 | . 483 | . 062 | . 207 | . 227 | . 243 |
| Slave States | 102 | . 068 | . 857 | . 291 | . 483 | . 064 | . 208 | . 222 | . 258 |
| All | 144 | . 060 | . 856 | . 288 | . 488 | . 068 | . 207 | . 222 | . 250 |
| Total born in |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Free States . |  | . 0599 | . 8500 | . 2836 | . 4823 | . 0627 | . 2086 | . 2219 |  |
| Slave States | 694 | . 0629 | . 8583 | . 2937 | . 4834 | . 0659 | . 2091 | . 2280 | . 2489 |
| Grand Total . | 863 | . 0623 | . 8567 | . 2917 | . 4882 | . 0653 | . 2090 | . 2228 | . 2471 |

TABLE VI. - (Continued.)
Mean Proportional Dimensions
of Mulattoes.

| Cher | 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 10 a \quad 106 \\ & \text { Circumf. of } \\ & \text { Chest } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 12a <br>  |  |  | 8888886555 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Naked - Free States . | . 161 | . 542 | . 512 | . 451 | . 525 | . 440 | . 531 | . 196 | . 157 |
| Slave States | . 158 | . 541 | . 516 | . 447 | . 518 | . 436 | . 530 | . 193 | . 157 |
| All | . 160 | . 541 | . 514 | . 449 | . 521 | . 438 | . 531 | . 194 | . 157 |
| Half Naked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Slave States | . 166 | . 544 | . 518 | . 450 | . 651 | . 486 | . 587 | . 212 | . 159 |
| Clothed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Free States. | . 175 | . 543 | . 519 | . 472 | . 551 | . 452 | . 536 | . 210 | . 157 |
| Slave States | . 174 | . 538 | . 518 | . 466 | . 537 | . 467 | . 545 | . 215 | . 158 |
| All . | . 174 | . 537 | . 518 | . 466 | . 639 | . 465 | . 544 | . 214 | . 158 |
| In usual vigor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Free States . | . 168 | . 542 | . 515 | . 462 | . 537 | . 444 | . 532 | . 202 | . 157 |
| Slave States | . 171 | . 537 | . 517 | . 462 | . 535 | . 460 | . 543 | 211 | . 158 |
| All . | . 170 | . 538 | . 517 | . 462 | . 535 | . 457 | . 541 | . 210 | . 158 |
| Not in usual vigor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Free States. | . 168 | . 542 | . 516 | . 454 | . 633 | . 448 | . 538 | . 202 | . 156 |
| Slave States | . 171 | . 540 | . 520 | . 461 | . 687 | . 457 | . 588 | . 211 | . 158 |
| All . | . 169 | . 541 | . 519 | . 459 | . 636 | . 454 | . 689 | . 208 | . 157 |
| Total born in Free States | . 1673 | . 5423 | . 5151 | . 4600 | . 5363 |  |  |  |  |
| Free States . Slave States | . 176 | . 5423 | . 6175 | . 4600 | . 5350 | . 44598 | . 63824 | . 2018 | . 1580 |
| Grand Total | . 1702 | . 5382 | . 6170 | . 4613 | . 5352 | . 4569 | . 5406 | . 2095 | . 1577 |

## 6. Indians.

The relative distance from the finger-tip to the patella, which we have seen to be so small for the negro race, is also small for the Indian, the mean value being not far from midway between those of the full blacks and of the mulattoes. This is owing to the length of his arm.

The length of head and neck is apparently less, and that of the body greater, than for any other class of men measured. This effect would, it is true, be produced by an erroneous habit on the part of the examiner in deciding on the common terminal point of both dimensions, namely, the protuberant spine of the vertebra; and it is not to be overlooked that all our measurements of Indians, excepting four, were made by one and the same examiner. The difference in question seems altogether too large to be satisfactorily explained by any such hypothesis; still it is desirable to test the question, by comparing these means with those obtained by Dr. Buckley alone for men of other races.

The height to perinæum, the size of neck and length of foot, are not essentially different from the corresponding dimensions as found for white soldiers. In the lateral dimensions of the body, however, a marked diversity is exhibited. The mean circumference of the thorax and the hips exceeds that of the whites by about 4 per cent. ; that of the waist is greater by twice this ratio, and the breadth of the pelvis by $6 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent.

But it is in the length of the fore-arm that the most characteristic difference seems to be manifest. Here the excess for the Indians, above the full blacks, is nearly as great as that of the latter class above the white soldiers or sailors. The difference between the mean values for the Indians and the whites is nearly 0.02 , or eight per cent. of the whole amount, if we deduce it from the dimension $12 a$ and $12 c$; and if we deduce it from $12 b$ it will amount to yet more than this.

No corresponding excess is manifest in the height to the knee.
These peculiarities of the Indian type are so marked, that it has seemed well worth the while to compare, not only the lengths of head and body, but some of the other measurements, with the results deduced from those white soldiers only, who had been measured by the same examiner. All influence of personal error in observation will then be eliminated from the differences.

We thus find from Dr. Buckley's examinations alone, taking at random such of the white soldiers in usual vigor as were most read-
ily separated from the aggregate, the following mean values for the two races of men:-

| Measures by Dr. Buckley. |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |

A comparison of these values certainly warrants us in referring the characteristic differences observed to peculiarities in the respective classes of men, and not to any idiosyncrasy of the examiner.

The mean relative dimensions for the Indians here follow, together with the probable variation for individuals, and the probable error of the mean, for some of the dimensions of those in usual vigor. It has been deemed unnecessary to compute these subsidiary quantities for all the dimensions; and those here given will afford a fair criterion for the range of individual discordance, and the probable error of the results in general.

## TABLE VII．

Mean Proportional Dimensions
of Iroquois Indians．

| dian | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 量 } \\ & \text { 8 } \\ & \text { 复 } \\ & \text { 压 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 86 $\square$ <br> 8 <br> 롬흘 <br>  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In usial vigor ．． $r$ $r_{0}$ Not in ustual vigor | 508 8 | $\begin{aligned} & .053 \\ & .059 \end{aligned}$ | .860 .0038 .0002 .858 | .278 .278 | .466 .0088 .0004 .464 | $\left(\left.\begin{array}{l} .061 \\ .0010 \\ .0000 \\ .061 \end{array} \right\rvert\,\right.$ | ． 200 | .188 .189 | ． 272 |
| Total ：．． |  | ． 0586 | ． 8001 | ． 2784 | ． 4663 | ． 0606 | ． 2004 | ． 1882 | ． 272 |



## 7．Abnormal Cases．

For the sake of completeness，and to facilitate any comparisons which may be found desirable，the dimensions of the dwarves，etc．， given in the last chapter，are here reproduced in the form of pro－ portionate numbers．They require no additional comment．

## TABLE VIII．

Proportional Dimensions of Certain Dwarves，etc．

|  | 告氝 | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{9}{5} \frac{5}{6} \\ & \frac{1}{2} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | E 兑 勻 $=$ | $\hat{*}$ ¢ $=0$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actual Height | in. | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{ln} . \\ \mathbf{3 7 . 4} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { in. } \\ 27.6 \end{array}$ | $31.4$ | in. | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{in} . \\ 49.5 \end{array}$ |
| Age ． | 17 | 23 | 131 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 15 | 21 | 16 |
| 4 $\frac{1}{2}$ Finger－Tip to Patella ．．．．． | 0.059 | 0.088 | － | 0.121 | 0.081 | 0.144 |
| 5．Height to 7th Cervical Vertebra | ． 822 | ． 840 | 0.754 | ． 803 | ． 877 | ． 871 |
| 51．Height to Knee ． | ． 277 | ． 270 | ． 250 | ． 255 | ． 335 | ． 313 |
| 6．Height to Perinæum | ． 446 | ． 495 | ． 377 | ． 392 | ． 498 | ． 467 |
| 7．Breadth of Neck． | ． 084 | ． 080 | － | ． 086 | ． 058 | ． 063 |
| 7 $\frac{1}{2}$ ．Girth of Neck ．．．．．．．．． | ． 292 | 249 | － | ． 287 | ． 211 | ． 222 |
| 8a．Breadth of Shoulders betw＇n Acromia | ． 235 | ． 251 | ． 290 | ． 255 | ．217 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $245{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 9．Breadth of Pelvis | ． 198 | ． 219 | － | ． 274 | ． 181 | ． 186 |
| 10．Circumference of Chest <br> a．Full Inspiration | ． 642 | ． 620 | － | ． 608 | ． 645 | ． 649 |
| b．After Expiration ．．．．．． | ． 620 | ． 562 | － | ． 577 | ． 594 | ． 604 |
| 101 ${ }_{8}$ ．Distance between Nipples ．．．． | － | － | － | － | ． 147 | － |
| 11．Circumference of Waist ．． | ． 495 | ． 535 |  | ． 610 | ． 419 | ． 485 |
| 1112．Circumference around Hips ．．．． | ． 662 | ． 626 | ． 681 | ． 640 | ． 530 | ． 570 |
| 12a．Length of Arm \＆Hand，fr．Acromion | ． 428 | ． 396 | ． 348 | ． 882 | ． 482 | ． 552 |
| 12b．From Medial Line to Finger－Tip－ | ． 542 | ． 511 | ． 471 | ． 503 | ． 559 | ． 618 |
| 12c．Acromion to Elbow ．．．．．． | ． 198 | ． 171 | － | ． 163 | ． 212 | ． 222 |
| 36a．Length of Foot ．．．．．．．． | 0.131 | 0.144 | 0.149 | 0.134 | 0.141 | 0.149 |

## 8．Deductions and General Remarks．

Some of the mean values of the proportionate dimensions here deduced，are collected and arranged in the appended table，which presents most of the principal results in a compendious form，en－ tirely analogous to the corresponding Table IX．of the last chapter．

[^55]
## TABLE IX. <br> Comparison of Proportional Dimensions.

|  | White Soldiers |  | Sallors | 8tudents | Full Blacks | Mized Races | Indiens |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Later Series | Earlier Series |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Men . . | 10876 | 7904 | 1061 | 291 | 2020 | 863 | 517 |
| Length Head and Neck | 0.1481 | 0.1483 | 0.1528 | 0.1482 | 0.1455 | 0.1433 | . 01399 |
| Length of Body | . 3893 | . 3876 | . 3722 | . 3834 | . 3698 | . 3785 | . 3938 |
| Knee to Perinæum | . 1855 | - | . 1948 | . 1859 | . 1957 | . 1915 | . 1879 |
| Height to Knee . . | . 2771 | - | . 2802 | . 2825 | . 2890 | . 2917 | . 2784 |
| Acromion to Elbow | . 2025 | - | . 1995 | . 2014 | . 2101 | . 2095 | . 2015 |
| Elbow to Finger-tip | . 2316 | - | . 2328 | . 2247 | . 2416 | . 2474 | . 2501 |
| Med. Line to Finger-tip | . 5218 | - | . 6129 | . 5129 | . 5408 | . 6406 | . 5449 |
| Acromion to " | . 4341 | .4339 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | . 4323 | . 4261 | . 4516 | . 4569 | . 4516 |
| Height to Perinæum | . 4626 | . 4641 | . 4750 | . 4684 | . 4847 | . 4832 | . 4663 |
| Height to Pubes . . | - | - | . 5087 | - | . 6183 | . 5210 | - |
| Finger-tip to Patella . | . 0749 | - | . 0873 | . 0951 | . 0437 | . 0623 | . 0536 |
| Circumf. of Waist . | . 4685 | . 4767 | . 4617 | . 4589 | . 4579 | . 4613 | . 5068 |
| Circumf. of Hips . | . 6500 | - | . 6295 | . 5371 | . 6366 | . 5352 | . 6712 |
| Circumf. of Chest. | . 6334 | . $5247^{8}$ | . 5324 | . 5189 | . 5303 | . 5276 | . 6558 |
| Play of Chest - | . 0394 | - | . 0314 | . 0450 | . 0252 | . 0212 | . 0262 |
| Distance betw. Nipples | . 1211 | - | . 1258 | . 1185 | . 1218 | . 1198 | - |
| Distance between Eyes | . 0371 | . 0387 | . 0376 | . 0365 | . 0410 | . 0403 | . 0398 |
| Breadth of Pelvis . | . 1775 | $.1951{ }^{\circ}$ | . 1761 | . 1643 | . 1654 | . 1702 | . 1890 |
| Length of Foot. | . 1498 | - | . 1531 | . 1464 | . 1601 | . 1577 | . 1484 |
| Thickness of Foot . . | 0.0383 | - | 0.0442 | 0.0409 | 0.0404 | 0.0418 | 0.0394 |

The absolute elimination here of all influences resulting from the scale of magnitude, either as varying with individuals or as normal for classes or races, enables us to form much more definite

[^56]ideas concerning the order of the various classes, as arranged according to the proportionate value of any physical dimension.

Thus we see that the distance between the eyes, so very large in the embryonic condition, increases in the order - 1 , student $; 2$, sailor ; 3, soldier ; 4, Indian ; 5 , mulatto ; 6 , negro.

For the length of the foot, we have the sequence - 1 , student; 2, Indian ; 3, soldier ; 4, sailor ; 5, mulatto ; 6, negro.

In length of body the red man is preeminent; in the length of legs, the negro ; and in both these races the arms are longer than in the white.

Notwithstanding their small play of chest, the difference between the mulattoes and the full blacks is here very conspicuous, whether the actual or the proportional values are considered; the blacks in their turn falling below the Indians, and these vastly below the whites, of whatever class.

By comparing the values obtained for the average interval, between the tip of the middle finger and the upper margin of the patella, with the difference between the length of arms and the combined length of body and thigh, we find among the whites a wide diversity, between the soldiers on the one hand, and the sailors and students on the other. The soldiers, however, represent the great mass of the population, unaffected by special training or peculiar avocations, since their military character arose from the emergency of the period and not from personal habitudes; while on the other hand the sailors and students may be assumed to represent particular classes, to which most of the individuals had probably belonged from a comparatively early age. The peculiarities implied in the difference ought therefore to be referred to the latter classes.

The mean difference, between the dimension $4 \frac{1}{2}$, and the height from the knee to the 7th cervical less the length of arm, comes out as .066 for soldiers, and .048 for both sailors and students. The agreement in value for the latter classes is fortuitous, being produced by a concurrence of different circumstances, the shorter bodies of the sailors being nearly compensated by the longer thighs. The difference in question is the sum of half the diameter of the patella, the amount of curvature of the arms and the slope of the shoulders, the last-named constituting the principal source of diversity. The amount of slope appears to be a minimum for the sailors, and for the students intermediate between the sailors and soldiers.

The mean values obtained, and presented in this and the preceding chapter, may be regarded as typical within very restricted lim-
its of possible error, for the great majority of all the dimensions and ratios. Where they do not possess this degree of accuracy the fact has been indicated in the special discussion. With these values the scientific anthropologist may safely compare his measurements of individuals, classes, or races ; the ethnologist may determine the position of any race of men relatively to those here considered; and the artist may calculate the proportions and dimensions of his statue or drawing, emancipated from the dictum of any human authority, or from the prejudice of any conventional school. Is it too much to hope that the time may come when measurements, for the twofold object of determining the type and the limits of normal variation, may be made to furnish a criterion for the discrimination of varieties, and even species, in other departments of biology? Not only in animate, but in inanimate nature, opportunity seems to be afforded for what may be termed the statistical method of investigation. For the naturalist to determine by the inspection of a single specimen what are the characteristics of a species, or even of a genus, might lead to consequences as absurd as those which would follow the determination of a human type from the Australian children, or of the characteristics of the Caucasian type from the measurement of Tom Thumb. Not only must typical characteristics be recognized, but the fact that they are typical must be rendered probable, before the system of classification attains its perfect development.

The demonstration, which the actual mean dimensions in the last chapter afforded, regarding the purely approximative character of the simple numerical ratios which artists and speculative theorists have supposed to obtain, between different parts of the normally proportioned body, is repeated yet more forcibly by the typical proportionate dimensions elicited. And we have here a new illustration of the freedom of the creative energy, which, whether in the organic or the inorganic creation, shows itself untrammeled in its numerical and geometrical relations; using in physical laws the closest harmony, the sharpest rhythm, and the most perfect geometric symmetry, wherever these possess a physical significance and importance, - yet dispensing with these relations quite as freely where they are not requisite for the end in view, - and finding equal simplicity and adaptation in those proportions which to human perception appear complicated or incommensurate. A few illustrations of this principle may not be inappropriate here.

Carns, in an elaborate investigation founded on measurements of his own, takes the length of the hand as a unit, or " modulus," and,
dividing this unit into twenty-four parts, finds the normal relations between the several parts to be capable of simple expression in terms of these measures. The stature he regards as $9 \frac{1}{8}$ times the length of the hand, or in his system of notation $9 . .12$; the height of the vertebral column is 3.0 , as is also the circumference of the head ; the length of the foot is $1 . .12$, etc., etc. Dividing all the dimensions, as given by him, by $9 \frac{1}{2}$, his expression for the height, we may easily convert his results into decimals of the stature, and compare them with our own.
We thus find the several proportions according to Carus -

|  | Modull | Proportion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Height | $9 . .12$ | 1.000 |
| Length of Foot . | $1 . .12$ | 0.158 |
| " " Thigh | 2.12 | 0.263 |
| " " Leg below Knee | 2.. 0 | 0.210 |
| . " Arm . | 3. 0 | 0.316 |
| " " Upper Arm . | $1 . .15$ | 0.171 |
| " " Fore-arm | 1.. 9 | 0.145 |
| " " Hand. | 1.. 0 | 0.105 |
| Distance between Ilia | $1 . .16$ | 0.175 |
| Length of Vertebral Column | 8.. 0 | 0.316 |
| " " Head . . | 1.. 0 | 0.105 |
| Circumference of Head | 3.. 0 | 0.316 |

It will be seen that these proportions are near approximations to the truth, and that the smallness of his actual unit, which is less than $4 \frac{1}{2}$ thousandths of the stature, permits an expression of most of the proportions within the limits of their probable error, where the number of observations is not very large.

Yet with the greatest deference for this eminent investigator, we venture to express the conviction that had the number of cases from which he drew his inferences been larger, his faith in the existence of such simple numerical relations between the normal dimensions of the human body as he has indicated, would have been much impaired.
So also Schadow, in the well-known and important work already cited, speaks of the stature ${ }^{1}$ as consisting of " $7 \frac{1}{2}$ times the height of the head, which agrees with the proportions of most of the ancient statues." Unfortunately we have not the height of the head ; since our point of measure was neither the base of the occiput nor the chin, but the spine of the highest vertebra which does not belong to the neck. Yet the relative height of the head and neck to-
gether, which we find to vary from the mean of all by only a single unit in the 4th decimal, either in the earlier series of 8000 , or the later series of nearly 11000 soldiers, - agrecing also with this mean for the students, and discordant for the sailors only, among the Caucasians (a discordance entirely explained by the stunting of this class already commented upon), - is 0.1482 , a quantity standing in no simple relation to unity. But since this illustration may be fairly objected to, we will cite the next paragraph. ${ }^{1}$
"More accurately than the human head, the foot would serve. This is according to Vitruvius the sixth part of the whole stature, and therefore 11 inches, ${ }^{2}$ which agrees tolerably well with living nature. Nevertheless I found the well-proportioned natural size to be but 10 inches."

This acknowledgement practically concedes the whole point. Still, if we investigate thoroughly, we find the mean length for our 11000 soldiers to be 0.1498 ; varying somewhat with the nationality, yet not surpassing the limit of 0.003 in the variation for any nativity; while the error of the mean values (always between 0.149 and 0.150 for those groups in which the values are typical) does not attain the limit of 0.00015 . Yet one sixth is 0.1667 , one seventh is 0.1429 , and two thirteenths is 0.1538 ; all of which are far beyond our limits.

Again, Zeising in a most learned and elaborate treatise ${ }^{8}$ on the Proportions of the Human Body, and later in a very ingenious and thorough memoir on the metamorphoses in the Proportions of the Human Form, from birth until the completion of the growth in height, ${ }^{4}$ published in 1857 , has with great ability maintained, and undertaken to demonstrate, that the proportions of the human form depend upon a consistent division and subdivision of the total stature, in the ratio of the "goldener Schnitt," or in what in geometry is termed "extreme and mean ratio," the proportion 1: 1.618 being dominant. This gives an infinite series, identical with one of those known as the phyllotactic, to which there certainly seems to be an approximation in the arrangement of leaves on many plants, and in the structure of some of the foraminiferce. This scale of progress manifests itself, according to Zeising, in the growth of man and in other natural developments, giving a gradual transition from the ratio of equality to that of doubleness. The argument is supported by many æsthetic consid-

[^57]erations and inferences from analogy, and by comparisons with the measurements of Carus, Schadow, and others.

This scale gives the universal relation 1: 1.618, with its major and minor modifications 3:5 and 5:8; but the author only claims that his theory applies to the dimensions as determined by the contours of the muscles, and not necessarily to those of the bony structure.

Among the proportions which follow from Zeising's theory, and are comparable with our results, are the following: -

| Head (Crown to Adam's Apple) | . | 0.1458 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Body (Adam's Apple to Crest of Ilium) | 0.2360 |  |
| Thigh (Ilium to beginning of Calf) | . | 0.3819 |
| Lower Leg (beginning of Calf to Sole) | . | 0.2360 |
| Height to Perinæum . . . . . . . . . | 0.4722 |  |
| Length of Arm (Acromion to Finger-tip) | 0.4377 |  |
| Finger-tip to beginning of Knee . . . | 0.0557 |  |
| Breadth of Neck . . . . . . . . | 0.0688 |  |
| Length of Foot . . . . . . . . . | 0.1458 |  |

The values given in our 'Table IX. have been, it is true, deduced so far as possible from dimensions bearing a close relation to the bony structure, but several of our dimensions are legitimately comparable with the foregoing, and do not seem to confirm them. It is but fair, however, to add the comment which Zeising appends to his computation of the theoretical dimensions. "It is to be understood of course that all these measures are to be regarded only as ideal-normal, and as such they undergo in actual forms very manifold modifications, by differences of sex, nationality, age, etc. But if we compare these modifications it will be found that they all oscillate about the normal measures here laid down, as about a center."

The careful and earnest spirit manifested in these interesting memoirs can but lead to a more thorough scrutiny of the subject from the now greatly enlarged materials, and if any harmonic law exist in these dimensions, it will surely soon be brought to light. Yet the indications seem very decided to the author of these pages, that the harmonious and æsthetic influences which unquestionably pervade all the material creation, are not here exhibited in the form of simple numerical ratios.

Still more recently Liharžik in Vienna has been led, in the prosecution of similar inquiries, ${ }^{1}$ to the enunciation of yet another harmonic theory. After repeatedly measuring the dimensions of each
${ }^{1}$ Das Gesetz des menschlichen Wachsthums, etc., Vienna, 1858.
one of 300 individuals, a work in which he was engaged for seven years, he arrived ${ }^{1}$ at the conclusion that the form of the human body can be constructed by means of seven quantities, of which the length of the clavicle is one, and the six others are portions of the length of the body. This doctrine is elaborated in detail. Among his results are these:-

The heights above and below the symphysis pubis are as 81 to 94 ;
The lengths of the lower arm, with hand, and the upper arm are as 91 to 63 ;

The length from the medial line to the finger-tip is one half the height;
The half-breadth of the shoulders is one tenth the height;
The lengths of the hand and clavicle are equal ;
They are also equal to six sevenths of the forearm, or two thirds the humerus;
The length of head and neck together is to the stature as 33 to 175 ;
The length of foot is equal to that of the forearm, also to $\frac{1}{12}$ that of fore-arm and hand together.

These ingenious inferences form but a portion of his results, which apply also to the law of growth. It is painful to see the disproval of an elaborate and conscientiously developed theory, especially when it is supposed to be deduced from observation. But most assuredly this is not confirmed for any of the classes or races of men here discussed, as will be shown by a very cursory inspection.
In a yet later publication ${ }^{2}$ of great ingenuity and laborious algebraic research, the same author develops the more elaborate theory that all the proportions of the human frame are derived from the square of the number 7. But the numerical values here employed for the proportions now under consideration, are identical with those already cited.

Again Brent ${ }^{8}$ has promulgated sundry curious statements regarding numerical ratios in the human form, which seem to have been generally accepted. Thus he thought that he had discovered the following relations:-
The distance between the nipples is one half the breadth of shouldera The breadth of shoulders is one half the circumference of the thorax. The circumference of the chest (degrees of inflation not stated) is

[^58]

But we find that the corresponding values deduced from our measurements give -

For the mean distance of nipples in no case so much as one fourth the circumference of thorax :

For the mean breadth of shoulders in no case so much as one half the circumference of thorax :

For the mean circumference of thorax in no case so much as $\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{18}$ the stature :

This circumference itself for Soldiers
at expiration . . . . . 0.5137
at inspiration . . . . . 0.5531
measured at random . . 0.5247
for Sailors
at expiration . . . . . 0.5167
at inspiration . . . . . 0.5481
for Students
at expiration . . . . . 0.4964
at inspiration . . . . . 0.5414
The largest value found for a white man in good health was 0.670 , and the smallest 0.410 ; so that these fancied ratios of Brent also fail of confirmation.

Analogous statements are made ${ }^{1}$ by Silbermann, who puts the symphysis pubis at one half the height, etc., etc., and by others. But no farther illustrations on this point seem needed.

That the highest beauty in organized form should imply simple numerical relations, seems as little demanded by æsthetic as by philosophical considerations, and certainly the hypothesis finds no support from these observations.

[^59]
## CHAPTER X.

## DIMENSIONS AND PROPORTIONS OF HEAD.

## 1. Statistics Collected.

The measurements required by the programmes, both of the earlier and later series, have already been given in detail, yet, notwithstanding much effort to secure uniformity of method, this was not thoroughly attained. The additional material derived from other measures than those required may, however, possibly be regarded as compensating for the diminution of the number made according to the programme.

At the commencement of our inquiries, the dimensions directed to be taken over the frontal eminence, and from this to the protuberant ridge of the occiput, were so recorded by several examiners, who did nevertheless in fact use the superciliary ridge instead of the frontal eminence, making their measures over the frontal sinuses. The remoteness of the places at which these examiners were stationed, prevented the discovery of these errors for some time; but the instructions were then so explained and amended, that those measures of circumference which were made across the forehead should always be made around that part, above the superciliary ridge, which would give the largest value, while the distance from the front to the back of the head should be measured from the angle between the eyebrows, both its extremities being thas well marked positions. The distance "over parietal bones" has been interpreted to signify the distance over the top of the head, as far back as can conveniently be measured by the tape without bringing it into contact with the ears.

We have thus the following dimensions derived from the later series of measurements:-
a. Circumference around frontal eminence and occipital protuberance.
(a.) Circumference around ridge above eyebrows and occipital protuberance.
b. Distance between condyloid processes of lower jaw, over frontal eminence.
(b.) Distance between the same points, around the ridge above eyebrows.
c. Distance between the same points, over the top of the head.
d. Distance between the same points, around the occipital protuberance.
e. Distance over the head from angle of brow to occipital protuberance.
$f$. Width between the angles of the lower jaw, gauged by calipers.
$g$. Width between condyloid processes, similarly determined.
In the earlier series the measurements appear in fact to have been also chiefly made in accordance with the rules as subsequently explained for the dimensions $a$ and (b), although the latter seems to have been somewhat too far above the brow, at the base of the superciliary ridge rather than upon it. The dimension $c$ was, it would appear, measured a little farther forward upon the head than in the later series, the tape lying flat upon the top of the head. But instead of $e$, a distance $e^{d}$ was taken from the frontal eminence, or from what was regarded as such.

None will be so indulgent and considerate in judging of these cranial measures as those who have attempted investigations of the same kind, and who have thus become acquainted by experience with the great difficulties of the problem. Even when the simple denuded skull is subjected to repeated measurement by the same person, the variations between the successive results are quite considerable. When different persons undertake the same measurements, even in each others' presence, the discordances become greater still; and when the process is independently undertaken, without mutual understanding or explanation, the paucity of wellmarked points introduces a new obstacle to agreement of the results, by the difference of judgement regarding the terminal points of the dimension and the position of the line along which it is to be measured.

When, now, to the difficulties mentioned are superadded those occasioned by the fleshy integument and the hair, which is often so abundant as seriously to interfere with the process of measuring, it will not be expected that our resultant values should claim any high precision. Indeed we are disposed to prefix an avowal that the fruit of this research is less abundant and less satisfactory than we had ventured to anticipate; yet with this avowal we would join the expression of a sincere conviction that the several measures
have been carefully and conscientiously made, and that any incongruities which may seem to exist are due neither to carelessness nor to systematic error, but are fairly to be regarded as inseparable from the circumstances and conditions of the case.

The author regrets not having added to this series of head-measures two more, - the length and the height from the chin, both gauged by calipers with parallel arms, - and he would urgently recommend the incorporation of these or some analogous dimensions in any future programme of the kind. To scientific anthropologists or comparative anatomists he would of course presume to offer no advice on such a subject, being too well aware of the very serious deficiencies and errors in the system here adopted, to suppose that it is likely to be followed by experts to any considerable extent. Yet it may again happen that large opportunities, too valuable for any scientist conscientiously to leave unimproved, may be suddenly opened to those who, like the author, have had small previous training in this field; and to such, any suggestions will be useful. And the assumption is perhaps not too bold, that the present large mass of measurements and computations may give to the particular dimensions here determined a value to which they would independently not be entitled.

## 2. Linear Measures of White Soldiers.

The first tabular view of the mean results of these measures contains those derived from the later series of examinations. These have been kept distinct from those of the earlier series, both on account of the larger number of dimensions which they comprise, and because the want of mutual understanding between the several examiners may have rendered the measurements less congraous. The same assortment according to nativity is here retained which has been employed in Chapters V., VIII., and IX.
It will be perceived that the dimensions (a) and (b), which were taken immediately over the brows, differ but slightly from a and $b$, which were measured around the frontal eminence. For these soldiers the mean value of ( $a$ ), measured in the first-named way, exceeds that of $a$ by not quite one seventh of an inch, or about six thousandths of the whole amount; while that of (b) falls short of the mean for $b$ by less than one eighth of an inch, or one per cent. The measures over the brow were among the earliest made by the several examiners, and, other things being equal, they would seem entitled to less reliance than the subsequent ones; this, too, apart from the consideration that they were not made as in-
tended by our programme, so that the methods adopted by different examiners may have varied slightly. A very slight difference in the part of the superciliary ridge over which the measuring tape was passed, would account for variations greater than are found to exist between the measures over the brows and those over the most prominent portion of the forehead proper. The smallness of the differences between the results of the two modes of measurement may thus be accounted for, although these differences might reasonably have been expected to be manifold larger than here recorded.
In the table the results from each mode of measurement are given by nativities.

> TABLE I.

Mean Dimensions of Heads of White Soldiers.
(Later Series.)

| Nativity | 8 8 8 8 8 8 䚁 |  |  |  | (a) | $\square$ <br>  <br> (b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. New England States | 1122 | $\begin{gathered} \text { fn. } \\ 22.02 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \ln . \\ 11.42 \end{gathered}$ | 84 | 22.29 | $\begin{gathered} \text { ln. } \\ 11.17 \end{gathered}$ |
| B. N. Y., N. J., Penn. | 3183 | 22.10 | 11.32 | 551 | 22.22 | 11.20 |
| C. Ohio and Indiana | 1420 | 22.17 | 11.84 | 194 | 22.41 | 11.11 |
| D. Mich, Wisc., and IIl. | 959 | 22.19 | 10.76 | 55 | 22.15 | 11.16 |
| E. Seaboard Slave States | 835 | 21.93 | 11.65 | 25 | 22.48 | 11.18 |
| F. Kentucky and Tenn. | 226 | 22.32 | 11.26 | 37 | 22.39 | 11.08 |
| G. States W. of Miss. R. | 55 | 21.97 | 11.59 | 4 | 22.15 | 10.93 |
| H. Brit. Prov. excl. Can. | 35 | 22.13 | 11.68 | 8 | 22.20 | 11.23 |
| I. Canada | 417 | 22.11 | 11.18 | 100 | 22.17 | 11.26 |
| J. England, etc. | 298 | 22.16 | 11.35 | 88 | 21.95 | 11.10 |
| K. Scotland | 72 | 22.23 | 11.19 | 8 | 22.54 | 11.70 |
| L. Ireland . | 731 | 22.30 | 11.59 | 92 | 22.38 | 11.27 |
| M. France, etc. | 80 | 22.10 | 11.46 | 16 | 22.48 | 11.26 |
| N. Germany . | 502 | 22.09 | 11.47 | 49 | 22.88 | 11.38 |
| O. Scandinavia . | 83 | 22.37 | 11.63 | 1 | 22.80 | 11.40 |
| P. Spain, etc. . . | 7 | 21.83 | 11.43 | - | - | - |
| Q. All others . . . . | 25 | 22.14 | 11.51 | 7 | 21.94 | 11.08 |
| Total . . . . . | 9495 | 22.181 | 11.818 | 1259 | 22.269 | 11.190 |

# TABLE I. - (Continued.) <br> Mean Dimensions of Heads of White Soldiers. 

(Later Series.)

| Nativity |  | Between Condylotd Processes |  |  | Whath between |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $c$ | d |  | $f$ | $g$ |
| A. New England States | 1211 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{fn} . \\ 13.42 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \ln . \\ 11.62 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \ln . \\ 14.36 \end{gathered}$ | $\ln _{4.56}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{m} . \\ & 5.40 \end{aligned}$ |
| B. N. Y., N. J., Penn. . | 3765 | 13.55 | 11.72 | 14.45 | 4.61 | 5.44 |
| C. Ohio and Indiana - | 1662 | 13.45 | 11.97 | 14.64 | 4.68 | 5.48 |
| D. Mich., Wisc., and Ill. | 1016 | 18.70 | 12.01 | 14.64 | 4.67 | 5.50 |
| E. Seaboard Slave States | 367 | 13.57 | 11.92 | 14.40 | 4.72 | 5.46 |
| F. Kentucky and Tenn. | 267 | 13.08 | 11.93 | 14.76 | 4.60 | 5.49 |
| G. States W. of Miss. R. | 61 | 13.43 | 12.25 | 14.21 | 4.64 | 5.41 |
| H. Brit. Prov. excl. Can. | 38 | 18.50 | 11.62 | 14.46 | 4.57 | 5.46 |
| I. Canada . | 520 | 18.59 | 11.65 | 14.43 | 4.60 | 5.45 |
| J. England, etc. | 326 | 13.50 | 11.80 | 14.45 | 4.61 | 6.41 |
| K. Scotland | 81 | 18.51 | 11.87 | 14.61 | 4.64 | 5.44 |
| L. Ireland . | 827 | 13.40 | 11.95 | 14.39 | 4.73 | 5.48 |
| M. France, etc. | 100 | 18.71 | 11.96 | 14.47 | 4.72 | 5.56 |
| N. Germany . | 562 | 13.52 | 11.96 | 14.27 | 4.77 | 5.58 |
| O. Scandinavia . | 84 | 13.38 | 12.04 | 14.56 | 4.69 | 5.61 |
| P. Spain, etc. . . . | 7 | 13.28 | 12.00 | 14.45 | 4.33 | 5.43 |
| Q. All others . . . | 32 | 13.51 | 11.76 | 14.26 | 4.68 | 5.53 |
| Total . . . . | 10876 | 13.511 | 11.823 | 14.478 | 4.642 | 5.462 |

The differences in the general size of the head between men of the several nativities seem greater than is fairly attributable to the influence of accidental error in determining the typical size for any one group; and we have here an excellent opportunity for investigating the question whether the magnitude of the head is influenced by that of the body in general, or remains approximately the same for men of all statures.

In the foregoing table, it is manifest that the circumference $a$ is largest for the Scandinavian group, the natives of Kentucky and Tennessee coming next in order ; these two nativity-groups contain-
ing, as has already been found, men of stature superior to the average. So too the groups $\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$, and K , which surpass the rest in length of the vertical longitudinal periphery, $e$, have all of them large mean statures. This may fairly excite some suspicion that any observed superiority in the size of head for particular nativities may be due to superior magnitude of the body in general, -the proportions of the head to the rest of the frame remaining constant, or nearly so.
To decide this question Table II. has been computed. It contains the dimensions $a, c, d$, and $e$, corresponding to those of Table I., but expressed in decimals of the stature like the proportional dimensions in the last chapter. From its indications the influence appears warrantable, that the dimensions of the head do vary with the stature, although by no means to an equal relative amount. The consequence of this principle would be that for the largest men, the heads would be absolutely the largest, and so inversely; while, if the size of the head be considered only in its relation to the stature, it would be smallest for the tallest men. Thus for example, the mean horizontal circumference of the head in the Scandinavian group actually exceeds that of the Spaniards by $0.5 \pm$ inch, or about one fortieth part; but it falls below that of the same men by .003 , or nine one thousandths of its whole amount, when the relative magnitude of the same dimension is considered. A similar phenomenon will be observed on comparison of the actual and relative values of the same dimension in the groups F and G ; and so too in other cases.

## TABLE II. <br> Mean Relative Dimensions of Heads of White Soldiers.

(Later Series.)

| Wetiothy | Ctrenmforence around Poreheed and Ocelp. Protub. <br> $a$ | Distanco botween Condylojd Processes |  | Distance from Brow to Occip. Proteb. <br> © |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Oror Top of Heed <br> c | Over Oectp. Protub. <br> d |  |
| New England States . | . 828 | . 200 | . 178 | . 214 |
| New York, New Jersey, and Penn. | . 830 | . 202 | . 175 | . 216 |
| Ohio and Indiana . . . . | . 328 | . 199 | . 177 | . 216 |
| Michigan, Wisconsin, and Illinois | . 820 | . 204 | . 179 | . 218 |
| Seaboard Slave States | . 325 | . 201 | . 176 | . 214 |
| Kentucky and Tennessee . | . 327 | . 191 | . 174 | . 216 |
| States West of Mississippi River . | . 330 | . 202 | . 184 | . 212 |
| British Provinces excl. Canada | . 330 | . 201 | . 173 | . 214 |
| Canada | . 331 | . 203 | . 174 | . 216 |
| England, etc. | . 384 | . 204 | . 178 | . 218 |
| Scotland . | . 388 | . 202 | . 177 | . 218 |
| Ireland | . 835 | . 201 | . 179 | . 216 |
| France, etc. . . . . . . | . 887 | . 209 | . 182 | . 220 |
| Germany . . . . | . 385 | . 204 | . 181 | . 215 |
| Scandinavia . . . . . . | . 881 | . 197 - | . 178 | . 215 |
| Spain, etc. . . . . . . | . 834 | . 203 | . 184 | . 221 |
| All others . . . . . . | . 830 | . 202 | . 176 | . 212 |
| Total . | . 8299 | . 2012 | . 1761 | . 2156 |

The results from the earlier series of measurements are, as will be remembered, assorted by nativities somewhat differently from those just presented. From the best information attainable, it would seem that the circumference $a$ was generally measured around the frontal eminence, but not infrequently somewhat lower down the forehead; that $b$ was usually measured above the edge of the brow; $c$, generally in a plane not quite so far back as in the later measures, although over the top of the head; but $e^{\prime}$ from the point regarded as the vertex of the frontal eminence - not from between the eyebrows, as in the later series. It is at present nearly, if not quite, impossible to obtain accurate information on these
points, and it is strongly probable that the three persons engaged in the measurements made them in as many, somewhat different, ways. Yet it may apparently be taken for granted, without risk of large error, that the dimensions $a$ and $b$ in this series belong to a region ${ }^{1}$ slightly below the frontal eminence, $c$ to a plane passing just back of the fontanelle, and $e^{\prime}$ to the frontal eminence proper. The protuberance of the occiput is ordinarily so well defined, that there can be small danger of uncertainty in its recognition.
With these preliminary cautions we will give in Table III. the mean values, both actual and relative, of the four head-dimensions observed in the earlier series.

## TABLE III.

Mean Dimensions of Heads of White Soldiers, Actual and Proportional.
(Earlier Series.)

|  | 眷 | Actual Dimenaions |  |  |  | Proportional Dtmensione |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $a$ | (b) | $c$ | $e^{\prime}$ | $\boldsymbol{a}$ | (b) | $c$ | $e^{\prime}$ |
| New Eng. States | 941 | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{ln} .1 \\ 22.18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{mm} .13 \\ & 11.13 \end{aligned}$ | $12.95$ | $14.44$ | 0.330 | 0.165 | 0.193 | 0.216 |
| New York . | 2058 | 22.15 | 11.13 | 13.01 | 14.36 | . 332 | . 166 | . 194 | . 214 |
| N. J. and Penn. | 1196 | 21.97 | 11.18 | 18.01 | 14.28 | . 831 | . 165 | . 191 | . 218 |
| Western States | 478 | 22.20 | 11.33 | 13.06 | 14.37 | . 328 | . 167 | . 198 | . 212 |
| Slave States . | 2024 | 22.05 | 11.08 | 13.14 | 13.57 | . 324 | . 162 | . 194 | . 199 |
| Brit. Provinces . | 183 | 22.28 | 11.22 | 13.06 | 14.41 | . 333 | . 168 | . 195 | . 215 |
| Eng. and Scot. | 217 | 22.25 | 11.17 | 12.97 | 14.19 | . 336 | . 168 | . 196 | . 214 |
| Ireland | 466 | 22.42 | 11.28 | 13.01 | 14.21 | . 336 | . 169 | . 195 | . 215 |
| Germany . | 254 | 22.25 | 11.20 | 13.02 | 14.11 | . 335 | . 169 | . 196 | . 213 |
| All others | 83 | 22.30 | 11.26 | 13.01 | 14.17 | 0.337 | 0.171 | 0.197 | 0.215 |
| Total | 00 | 22.129 | 11.144 | 13.042 | 14.134 | 0.3300 | 0.1654 | 0.1936 | 0.2102 |

It will be seen that by an accidental coincidence the mean values of the circumference $a$, derived from the two series, are practically identical, and that those of (b) differ by less than one twentieth of an inch. The mean values of $c$ are less accordant, their difference amounting to nearly half an inch, or three and a half per cent. Yet the values afforded by the later series for the other classes of

[^60]white men resemble those furnished for soldiers by the earlier series; so that it would seem most proper, under the circumstances, to consolidate all the values of these three dimensions for white soldiers, as if they belonged to a single group of men, and thus for $a$ we have 22.13 from about 17400 men, for (b) $\mathbf{1 1 . 1 5}$ from about 9000 men, and for $c \mathbf{1 3 . 3 1}$ from about 18700 men.

The distance of the frontal eminence from the angle of the brow is certainly more than thirty-five hundredths of an inch, but the mean values of $e$ in the two series differ by only this amount. This incongruity is probably due to inaccuracy in the earlier series, and to error in estimating the position of a point which in many individuals scarcely exists.

## 3. Linear Measures of Heads of other White Men.

After the remarks already made, few additional comments seem requisite in presenting the mean results deduced for the other classes of white men. For somewhat more than half the sailors, the first two measurements were made in the erroneous forn (a) and (b) ; and the total mean from these is for each dimension about one fifth of an inch smaller than that from the prescribed dimensions $a$ and $b$.

The next following series of tables, IV. to IX., contain the actual mean dimensions, and the same expressed in terms of the stature as unit, for the sailors, the students, and the five abnormal specimens of humanity whose other dimensions are given in the two preceding chapters.

## TABLE IV.

Mean Dimensions of Heads of Sailors.

| Nativity | No. | $\boldsymbol{a}$ | 6 | No. | (a) ${ }^{\prime}$ | (b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. New England States . | 80 | 22.06 | 11.06 | 49 | 21.72 | 10.92 |
| B. N. Y., N. J., and Penn. | 48 | 22.52 | 11.38 | 112 | 21.80 | 10.92 |
| C. Ohio and Indiana . - | 1 | 22.66 | 11.27 | 1 | 22.00 | 11.00 |
| D. Mich., Wisc., and IIl. | - | - | - | 6 | 21.80 | 11.04 |
| E. Seaboard Sl. States . | 8 | 22.79 | 11.62 | 16 | 21.59 | 10.78 |
| F. Kentucky and Tenn. | 1 | 23.40 | 12.10 | - | - | - |
| G. States W. of Miss. R. | 1 | 23.00 | 11.00 | - | - | - |
| H. Brit. Prov. excl. Can. | 29 | 22.23 | 11.34 | 21 | 21.73 | 11.01 |
| I. Canada | 10 | 22.14 | 11.32 | 6 | 21.87 | 10.70 |
| J. England, etc. | 49 | 22.11 | 11.11 | 69 | 21.82 | 10.85 |
| K. Scotland . | 14 | 22.36 | 11.14 | 18 | 21.90 | 10.90 |
| L. Ireland | 132 | 21.99 | 11.27 | 208 | 22.19 | 11.18 |
| M. France, etc. | 12 | 22.02 | 11.03 | 8 | 22.39 | 11.27 |
| N. Germany . | 26 | 22.25 | 11.34 | 86 | 21.94 | 10.84 |
| O. Scandinavia | 44 | 22.37 | 11.37 | 88 | 22.09 | 11.09 |
| P. Spain, etc. | 9 | 22.21 | 11.09 | 9 | 21.83 | 11.13 |
| Q. All others . . . | 12 | 22.09 | 11.52 | 18 | 21.87 | 11.02 |
| Total | 468 | 22.161 | 11.236 | 595 | 21.961 | 10.997 |
| Other Sailors \& Marines | 158 | 22.25 | 11.213 | - | - | - |

## TABLE IV. - (Continued.)

Mean Dimensions of Heads of Sailors.

| Nattrity | No. | $c$ | d | c | $f$ | $g$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. New England States . | 129 | 18.20 | 12.05 | 14.54 | 4.31 | 6.40 |
| B. N. Y., N. J., and Penn. | 155 | 18.04 | 11.58 | 14.12 | 4.62 | 5.40 |
| C. Ohio and Indiana . . | 2 | 18.20 | 11.30 | 14.87 | 4.50 | 5.63 |
| D. Mich., Wisc., and Ill. | 6 | 12.83 | 11.60 | 18.74 | 4.61 | 5.47 |
| E. Seaboard Sl. States . | 19 | 18.02 | 11.48 | 14.15 | 4.56 | 5.38 |
| F. Kentucky and Tenn. | 1 | 14.30 | 12.20 | 16.10 | 4.10 | 5.80 |
| G. States W. of Miss. R. | 1 | 18.00 | 12.40 | 15.80 | 8.80 | 6.70 |
| H. Brit. Prov. excl. Can. | 50 | 18.30 | 11.82 | 14.40 | 4.50 | 5.56 |
| I. Canada . | 16 | 18.10 | 11.94 | 14.57 | 4.36 | 5.44 |
| J. England, etc. | 108 | 12.99 | 11.67 | 14.26 | 4.48 | 5.89 |
| K. Scotland. | 27 | 18.17 | 11.88 | 14.36 | 4.42 | 5.44 |
| L. Ireland . | 335 | 18.17 | 11.77 | 14.27 | 4.60 | 5.45 |
| M. France, etc. | 20 | 18.28 | 12.04 | 14.69 | 4.46 | 5.50 |
| N. Germany . | 62 | 12.95 | 11.65 | 18.94 | 4.62 | 6.54 |
| O. Scandinavia | 82 | 18.29 | 11.98 | 14.47 | 4.50 | 5.57 |
| P. Spain, etc. . | 18 | 18.42 | 11.88 | 14.66 | 4.48 | 6.88 |
| Q. All others . | 80 | 18.12 | 11.70 | 14.40 | 4.67 | 6.51 |
| Total | 1061 | 18.188 | 11.778 | 14.804 | 4.610 | 5.449 |
| Other Sailors \& Marines | 158 | 18.48 | 12.84 | 14.60 | 4.85 | 5.20 |

## TABLE V. <br> Mean Relative Dimensions of Heads of Sailors.

| Nattrity | $a$ | c | d | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. New England States . | . 832 | . 199 | . 181 | . 219 |
| B. New York, New Jersey, Penn. | . 340 | . 197 | . 174 | . 213 |
| C. Ohio and Indiana . . | . 849 | . 203 | . 174 | . 221 |
| D. Michigan, Wisc, and Illinois . | - | . 181 | . 170 | . 202 |
| E. Seaboard Slave States . | . 346 | . 198 | . 178 | . 215 |
| H. British Provinces excl. Canada | . 832 | . 199 | . 177 | . 216 |
| I. Canads | . 332 | . 197 | . 179 | . 219 |
| J. England, etc. | . 840 | . 200 | . 179 | . 219 |
| K. Scotland . . . . . | . 345 | . 203 | . 183 | . 222 |
| L. Ireland | . 882 | . 199 | . 178 | . 216 |
| M. France, etc. | . 837 | . 202 | . 184 | . 224 |
| N. Germany . | . 837 | . 196 | . 176 | . 211 |
| O. Scandinavia | . 841 | . 203 | . 183 | . 221 |
| P. Spain. etc. . | . 842 | . 207 | . 182 | . 226 |
| Q. All others | . 341 | . 202 | . 180 | . 223 |
| Total | . 8357 | . 1989 | . 1783 | . 2171 |
| Other Sailors and Marines . | . 336 | . 204 | . 186 | . 219 |

The sailors who are assorted by their nativities in Tables IV. and V., are those who were measured throughout without clothing, and have formed a class by themselves. The other sailors, 85 in number, and the 68 marines, are retained in a separate group, partly because some labor was thus avoided, but principally because they formed the first subjects of several of the examiners, whose earlier measures were not so well made, for want of experience.

## TABLE VI.

Mean Dimensions of Heads of Students.

|  | No. | $a$ | 6 | $c$ | $d$ | $\boldsymbol{e}$ | $f$ | $\boldsymbol{g}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Harvard | 124 | $\begin{gathered} \text { ln. } \\ 22.41 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { in. } \\ 11.00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \ln . \\ 18.03 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { tm. } \\ 12.38 \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{In}_{14.91}$ | $\operatorname{in.~}_{8.73}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { tn. } \\ 6.28 \end{gathered}$ |
| Yale | 167 | 22.49 | 11.22 | 13.00 | 12.48 | 15.26 | 8.82 | 5.28 |
| Total | 291 | 22.456 | 11.129 | 13.015 | 12.483 | 16.110 | 3.781 | 5.278 |

TABLE VII.
Mean Relative Dimensions of Heads of Students.

|  | $a$ | 6 | $c$ | d | e | $f$ | $g$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Harvard. | . 327 | . 160 | . 190 | . 180 | . 217 | . 054 | . 077 |
| Yale . | . 332 | . 166 | . 192 | . 184 | . 226 | . 056 | . 078 |
| Total. . | . 3298 | . 1634 | . 1911 | . 1826 | . 2222 | . 0555 | . 0775 |

## TABLE VIII.

Mean Dimensions of Heads of Dhoarves, etc.

|  | $a$ | $b$ | $c$ | $d$ | C | $f$ | g |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Joseph Hunter . | 10.3. | $\operatorname{in}_{10.6}$ | $12.6$ | $11.7$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{in} . \\ 18.1 \end{array}$ | $\frac{1 \mathrm{~m}}{4.1}$ | ${ }^{16} 4.7$ |
| Charles W. Nestel | 20.8 | 9.8 | 12.1 | 11.4 | 18.8 | 4.0 | 4.4 |
| Eliza Nestel | 19.8 | 9.0 | 12.4 | 10.1 | 13.0 | 8.2 | 8.9 |
| "Hoomio" | 15.0 | 7.8 | 6.6 | 7.2 | 9.1 | 4.2 | 4.3 |
| "Iola". | 14.9 | 7.4 | 7.2 | 7.8 | 8.4 | 8.6 | 4.2 |

## TABLE IX.

Mean Relative Dimensions of Heads of Duarves, etc.

|  | $a$ | $b$ | c | d | e | $f$ | $\boldsymbol{g}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Joseph Hunter . | . 502 | . 260 | . 312 | . 290 | . 324 | . 101 | . 116 |
| Charles W. Nestel | . 543 | . 262 | . 324 | . 305 | . 356 | . 107 | . 118 |
| Eliza Nestel | . 615 | . 287 | . 395 | . 322 | . 414 | . 102 | . 124 |
| " Hoomio ". | . 240 | . 125 | . 104 | . 115 | . 145 | . 067 | . 069 |
| "Iola " | . 301 | . 149 | . 145 | . 158 | . 170 | . 073 | . 085 |

As regards the length and height of the heads of the abnormal specimens of humanity included in Tables VIII. and IX., it will be seen that the principle already deduced, concerning the relative sizes of head and body, holds good for the dwarves; since their heads, though relatively so much larger, are actually smaller than usual; while probably the most striking feature of the abnormality of the two other cases consists in their microcephalous character.

But notwithstanding the inordinate diversity of these heads, both in their actual and their relative magnitude, it is remarkable how slightly the two dimensions $f$ and $g$, which depend upon the breadth, vary from the normal values.

The Table IX. probably presents as wide a range of relative cranial dimension as can easily be found; the three dwarves possessing heads not very much smaller than the full size for adults, so that the dimensions become enormous, in proportion to the stature, - while the statures of the microcephalous Australian children are not much below those of many full grown men and women. The relative horizontal circumference of Hoomio's head is less than two fifths, and the relative length of the periphery over the top of the head is but little more than one third, of the length of the same dimensions in Eliza Nestel.

## 4. Linear Measures of Heads of Other Races.

The mean actual dimensions of head for the full blacks, for the mulattoes, and for the Indians, are given in Table X., natives of the Free States and of the Slave States being distinguished in the assortment. Similarly the mean relative dimensions of the same men are included in Table XI.

## TABLE X.

Mean Dimensions of Heads of Blacks and Indians.

| Clines of Men | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 禺 } \\ & \text { 自 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Distance of Eye- } \\ & \text { brows to Occiput } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $b$ | c | d |  | $f$ | $\boldsymbol{g}$ |
| Full Blacks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natives of Free States | 226 | 21.88 | 11.90 | 18.97 | 11.44 | 14.57 | 4.61 | 5.20 |
| Natives of Sl. States . | 1794 | 21.91 | 12.00 | 18.95 | 11.57 | 14.38 | 4.67 | 5.22 |
| Total | 2020 | 21.909 | 11.985 | 18.950 | 11.552 | 14.397 | 4.664 | 5.219 |
| Mulattoes <br> Natives of Free States | 169 | 21.87 | 11.94 | 14.18 | 11.61 | 14.40 | 4.77 | 5.24 |
| Natives of Sl. States. | 694 | 22.03 | 12.44 | 14.11 | 12.40 | 18.28 | 4.85 | 5.23 |
| Total . | 863 | 22.003 | 12.345 | 14.109 | 12.244 | 13.548 | 4.837 | 5.231 |
| Iroquois Indians . | 617 | 22.482 | 12.083 | 13.707 | 11.584 | 14.447 | 5.177 | 5.839 |

## TABLE XI.

Mean Relative Dimensions of Heads of Blacks and Indians.

| Cless | No. | $a$ | $b$ | c | d | $e$ | $f$ | $g$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Full Blacks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natives of Free States | 226 | . 330 | . 179 | . 210 | . 172 | . 220 | . 069 | . 078 |
| Natives of Sl. States. | 1794 | 832 | . 181 | . 211 | . 175 | . 217 | . 070 | . 079 |
| Total | 2020 | . 3814 | . 1810 | . 2106 | . 1745 | . 2177 | . 0704 | . 0788 |
| Mixed Races <br> Natives of Free States | 169 | . 830 | . 180 | . 218 | . 175 | . 217 | . 072 | . 079 |
| Natives of SL States. | 694 | . 882 | . 187 | . 218 | . 187 | . 218 | . 078 | . 079 |
| Total | 868 | . 3319 | . 1863 | . 2129 | . 1848 | . 2176 | . 0730 | . 0789 |
| Iroquois Indians - - | 517 | . 8296 | . 1771 | . 2009 | . 1698 | . 2117 | . 0759 | . 0856 |

## 5. General Inferences from the Linear Measures.

Commencing with the linear measurements, our principal mean results may be usefully arranged in compact form, for comparison, as in the following table : -

## TABLE XII.

Comparison of Mean Dimensions of Head.

| Clase of Mma |  | Distance between Condylold Procesess |  |  |  | Width between |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | \& |  |  |  |
|  |  | $b$ | $c$ | d |  | $f$ | $g$ |
| Students - | 22.46 | 11.18 | 13.02 | 12.48 | 15.11 | 3.78 | 5.28 |
| White Soldiers | 22.13 | 11.31 | 13.31 | 11.82 | 14.48 | 4.64 | 5.46 |
| Sailors . | 22.16 | 11.24 | 18.13 | 11.77 | 14.30 | 4.51 | 5.45 |
| Indians . | 22.48 | 12.08 | 18.71 | 11.58 | 14.45 | 5.18 | 5.84 |
| Mulattoes | 22.00 | 12.34 | 14.11 | 12.24 | 13.55 | 4.84 | 5.23 |
| Negroes . | 21.91 | 11.98 | 18.95 | 11.55 | 14.40 | 4.66 | 5.22 |

In this table the values of $a$ and $c$ for white soldiers are deduced from the aggregate material afforded by the two series of measures, which contain about 18700 men in all. For the first of these dimensions the two series give mean values, identical to the hundredth of an inch; but for the latter their difference is considerable, as has already been commented upon.

The dimension $a$ represents the circumference of the head in a plane approximately parallel to the base of the skull, and may, perhaps, not improperly be termed the horizontal circumference. It may be considered the largest measurement attainable in this direction; since those taken around the brow gave on the average results nearly identical with those taken around the frontal eminence, as has been already stated, while measurements over regions of the forehead intermediate between these yield smaller values, as is well known.

A brief examination of the comparative table just presented will disclose some interesting facts, the chief of which may be briefly stated.

It is noticeable that the mean value of the horizontal circumference $a$ varies within comparatively restricted limits; the maximum for any one of the six groups differing from the minimum by only one fortieth of its whole amount. The largest value belongs to the Indians; the students fall but little below these; and the other white men, the mulattoes, and the full blacks follow in the order named.

The Indian breadth of face is especially manifest from the foregoing table, from which it is seen that the mean width exceeds that found for students by more than four elevenths of its whole amount at the angles of the jaw, 1 and by nearly one ninth part at the condyloid processes.

It is also noticeable that, while the width at the angles of the jaw is smallest for whites, that at the hinge is smallest for blacks; the mean value for mulattoes lying between those of the black and red men in the former case, but differing only slightly from that for black men in the latter. These apparently complicate relations become nevertheless quite simple and clear when we consider the width of the jaw at the angles, not independently, but with regard to its difference from the width at the condyloid processes, as will be seen in the next following table.

The comparatively small values of the frontal semi-circumference, $b$, and the large values of the occipital one $d$, in all the groups of white men, and especially in the students, seem somewhat opposed to the views hitherto prevailing; and the large values of the three lateral semi-circumferences $b, c, d$, in connection with the very small longitudinal one, $e$, in the mulattoes cannot fail to attract attention.

These facts seem to indicate that in the white race that part of the skull to which the lower jaw is attached, is farther forward, and higher than in the black or red race; thus producing a decrease of the frontal and an increase of the occipital semi-circumference as measured from these points, as well as a diminution of the transverse periphery over the top of the head. The form of the pos-tero-superior portion of the head apparently more than compensates for the loss of cerebral space thus occasioned.

An accurate comparison of some of the mutual relations of the quantities given in the last table may be both instructive and sug-

[^61]gestive; and the next table has been prepared with a view to affording the most convenient oversight, and recognition of ethnological distinctions.

> TABLE XIII.

Comparison of Proportional Dimensions of Head.

| Class of Men | $b+d=a$ | 2b-a | 5-f | $\frac{b}{d}$ | $\frac{6}{8}$ | $\frac{c}{s}$ | $\frac{d}{8}$ | $\stackrel{C}{c}$ | $\frac{a}{2 c}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students | 1.10 | -0.20 | $1.50{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.90 | 2.11 | 2.47 | 2.35 | 1.16 | 0.86 |
| White Soldiers | 1.00 | 0.49 | 0.82 | 0.96 | 2.07 | 2.44 | 2.16 | 1.09 | 0.83 |
| Sailors | 0.85 | 0.32 | 0.94 | 0.96 | 2.06 | 2.41 | 2.16 | 1.09 | 0.84 |
| Indians . | 1.18 | 1.68 | 0.66 | 1.04 | 2.07 | 2.35 | 1.98 | 1.05 | 0.82 |
| Mulattoes | 2.58 | 2.68 | 0.39 | 1.01 | 2.36 | 2.70 | 2.34 | 0.96 | 0.78 |
| Negroes . | 1.62 | 2.05 | 0.56 | 1.04 | 2.29 | 2.67 | 2.21 | 1.03 | 0.79 |

The first column gives the excess of the sum of the two semicircumferences from the condyloid processes, around the frontal eminence and the occipital protuberance respectively, above the full horizontal circumference measured around the same parts, and therefore in a plane which passes above the condyloid processes. The excess in question affords a rude means of estimating the distance between this plane and the line joining the condyloid processes. The sharp contrast to the others, in this respect, which the white race exhibits, will attract immediate attention, as will also the curious fact, already more than once mentioned in previous chapters, that in those features in which the black and white races present marked differences of conformation, the mulattoes, sprung from the mixture of these two, frequently differ from the whites yet more widely than do the full negroes themselves. The red man, for whom the mean value of the horizontal circumference, $a$, was found to be larger than for either the white or black race, occupies in this column a position intermediate between these two.

The second column shows the excess of twice the semi-circumference around the forehead, over the full circumference around forehead and occiput; and here too the contrasts between the races are strong, and our comments upon the first column find application in a yet higher degree.

In the third column is the difference between the width at the

[^62]angles of the jaws and at the condyloid processes; characteristie ethnical differences being also manifest in these numbers.

The six remaining columns contain ratios, and seem likewise well deserving of attentive consideration in their ethnological bearings.

Column four exhibits the proportion between the frontal and the occipital semi-circumferences; and discloses the curious and suggestive fact that the occipital is the larger for all the classes of white men, being a maximum for the most intellectual class, while the frontal is larger for Indians, full blacks, and men of mixed race, in the order named.

The fifth, sixth, and seventh columns show the ratios which the three peripheries, - measured from the condyloid processes, around the forehead, the top of the head, and the occiput, - bear to the width of the head between these points. In a crude way they indicate the extent to which these peripheries vary from semicircular arcs described about this width as a diameter; the ratio of the semi-circumference to the diameter of a circle being 1.571. In all of these ratios, ethnical differences are clearly manifest ; the order of races being in each case, - Indians, whites, negroes, mulattoes. The order of the three classes of whites does not appear to be that of their intellectual development.

In the eighth column is the ratio which the periphery from brow to occiput over the top of the head bears to that from side to side, in a plane nearly vertical and at right angles to the former. This ratio is seen to be the largest for the students, and successively smaller for the other white men, the Indians, the blacks, and last of all the mulattoes; for which last named class the lateral dimension is actually larger than the longitudinal.

Finally, the last column exhibits the magnitude of the semi-circumference parallel to the base of the skull relatively to the transverse lateral one; and in these ratios the order of races is essentially the same as in the column preceding.

The ratio of $e$ to $\frac{1}{2} a$, - that is, of the two longitudinal peripheries in perpendicular planes, - shows no marked ethnical distinctions.

## 6. Facial Angles.

The measurements of the Facial Angle have yielded a less satisfactory return for the labor expended upon them, than almost any portion of our materials or computations. The large individual diversity, - the inordinate differences between the results obtained
by different examiners, notwithstanding great efforts to secure uniformity of method, - and the erroneous mode of measurement adopted by some, and not immediately detected, - have combined to make the assortment and reduction of the results very onerous, and at first bid fair to render it a thankless task. But the personal differences of the several observers, after their methods had become professedly identical, have been found tolerably constant; and the determination and application of these differences have ultimately afforded results which seem fairly entitled to confidence.

The mode of measurement will probably be understood from the annexed representation of the instrument devised for the purpose. The original instrument was constructed, under Professor Bache's authority, at the United States office of Weights and Measures, having been contrived by Mr. Saxton, of that Bureau, and Dr. Buckley. Those subsequently made have been but slightly modified, and their form and arrangement may be easily understood from the representation here given. A fixed peg, at

the extremity of one arm, fits the external orifice of the ear, the center of angular motion being pressed firmly against the bone of the jaw as far above the upper lip as the septum of the nose al-
lows, while the extremity of the second arm (which is so constructed as not to interfere with the nose) is applied closely to the most prominent part of the forehead; the angle being read off from the graduated arc to the nearest half degree. By these practical directions it was believed that a good determination would be obtained for the angle, of which the center is at the alveolar margin, and the two sides are the lines drawn to the aural aperture and the frontal eminence respectively.

From the earlier series of measurements the observations are so discordant and unsatisfactory, that our attempts to deduce satisfactory results were soon abandoned as hopeless. The discrepancy between the average values obtained for white soldiers by two of the three inspectors, actually amounts to nearly thirteen and a half degrees, or more than one fifth of the smaller value. And although subsequent measurements have rendered it not improbable that the arithmetical mean between these two values would not differ very widely from the truth, yet no real reliance could be placed upon numbers deduced in such a way. In the annexed Table XIV. the results of this first series are given, rather as a historical and curious record than for any other purpose. It would seem that the large values obtained by Dr. Buckley are chiefly owing to his use, at that time, of the superciliary ridge as the frontal plane of tangency; and that the small values given by Messrs. Fairchild and Risler are in great part due to their having habitually placed the center of angular motion too far down upon the lip-against the upper incisors, in fact, rather than the alveolar margin; also, partly, to an insufficient pressure of this center against the face.

## TABLE XIV.

Mean Facial Angles according to the Earlier Series.

| Nativity | Dr. Buckley |  | Mr. Fairchild |  | Mr. Rialor |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of Men | Angle | No. of Men | Angle | No. of Men | Angle |
| A | 459 | $\stackrel{\circ}{78.64}$ | 7 | $65.94$ | 153 | $\stackrel{\circ}{64.85}$ |
| B | 2204 | 78.71 | 10 | 66.10 | 23 | 67.83 |
| C | 166 | 78.73 | 8 | 66.5 | 2 | 62.00 |
| D | 61 | 78.47 | 2 | 67.25 | 1 | 61.0 |
| E | 137 | 79.34 | 1322 | 66.98 | 1 | 53.0 |
| F | 8 | 79.33 | 115 | 67.52 | 2 | 58.00 |
| $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 8 | 73.67 | 73 | 67.15 | - | - |
| H | 7 | 79.57 | 1 | 69.0 | 3 | 67.17 |
| I | 108 | 78.56 | 4 | 66.75 | - | - |
| J | 111 | 78.19 | 18 | 65.22 | 8 | 66.67 |
| K | 26 | 77.54 | 1 | 61.5 | 2 | 66.00 |
| L | 258 | 78.37 | 50 | 66.81 | 6 | 62.50 |
| M | 17 | 78.82 | 4 | 66.25 | 3 | 65.33 |
| N | 126 | 78.46 | 13 | 65.54 | 22 | 66.14 |
| 0 | 5 | 79.1 | 1 | 64.5 | - | - |
| Q | 57 | 78.68 | 6 | 65.67 | 6 | 70.20 |
| Total . | 8748 | 78.66 | 1635 | 66.97 | 228 | 65.25 |

Passing to the later series of measures, these are of two classes. In the first the superciliary ridge was used to fix the direction of one side of the angle; while the second consists of those made after this usage was changed and the angle was determined by means of the frontal eminence, or most projecting portion of the forehead proper. This latter class is much the more numerous for the white soldiers and sailors, and it includes nearly all the other men.
Taking then the latter measurements only, our first problem is, to deduce values for the personal differences of the several examiners in measuring the facial angle.

For this purpose those seven examiners were selected who had measured the largest number of white soldiers and sailors; the men examined by each were assorted according to nativity ; and for each nativity the average discordance was determined between the results of the several examiners and the mean from the measures of all. The series of discordances, thus obtained, was com-
bined according to the weights of their several mean values for each of the seven examiners, and the correction thus deduced for each person, which should be applied to all his results. These values of the personal errors were regarded as a first approximation, and after their application to the original measures the process was repeated, until the repetition produced no farther change.

The weights are best determined according to the method given by the author in Vol. III. of the "United States Astronomical Expedition to Chile," Chapter on Weights and Mean Errors.

The trustworthiness of the values thus deduced was tested by a similar computation in which the total numbers of men were used without assortment according to nativities. To accomplish this, however, the differences of the means for the several nativities were first determined, and corrections then applied to the mean aggregate results from each examiner, in order to render them comparable by eliminating the effect of the different proportions of the various nativities examined by them.

The values for the totals obtained by these different methods were entirely accordant to the hundredths of a degree, and the following series of corrections was thus found. They are to be applied to any measurement of the facial angle to render the results of the different examiners homogeneous.

Corrections for Personal Error, of Seven Examiners,
from Measures of White Men.

| Nativity | Buckloy |  | Baker |  | Phinney |  | Lewis |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. Men | $\begin{gathered} \text { Correo- } \\ \text { Hison } \end{gathered}$ | No. Men | Correction | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { Men } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Corree- } \\ \text { tion } \end{gathered}$ | No. Men | $\begin{gathered} \text { Correc- } \\ \text { tion } \end{gathered}$ |
| A | 278 | -8.88 | 323 | 0 +2.25 | 94 | +0.10 | 117 | +2.11 |
| B | 716 | -8.69 | 220 | + 1.39 | 326 | $+0.47$ | 832 | +2.51 |
| C | 356 | -4.03 | 1 | + 1.14 | 297 | +0.17 | 251 | +2.11 |
| D | 68 | - 3.78 | 8 | +1.83 | 33 | +0.97 | 745 | +2.93 |
| E | 65 | -8.51 | 38 | + 2.17 | 80 | +0.23 | 33 | +1.83 |
| F | 27 | -4.40 | 1 | + 5.49 | 24 | -0.18 | 23 | +0.99 |
| I | 36 | - 3.75 | 33 | + 1.10 | 22 | +0.20 | 189 | +2.89 |
| J | 52 | -3.38 | 13 | + 3.93 | 47 | -0.34 | 78 | +2.17 |
| L | 224 | -8.91 | 36 | +2.18 | 145 | -0.97 | 69 | +2.28 |
| $N$ | 115 | -3.86 | 14 | +0.59 | 83 | +0.32 | 71 | +1.99 |
| $\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{P}$, etc. | 8 | -3.36 | 6 | -0.01 | 25 | +0.10 | 16 | +2.39 |
| Total . | 1935 | -8.780 | 688 | +1.888 | 1176 | +0.152 | 2424 | + 2.550 |

Corrections for Personal Error, of Seven Examiners.-(Continued.)

| Nativity | Smith |  | Elener |  | 8tark |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. of } \\ \text { Mon } \end{gathered}$ | Correo- tion | No. of Men | Correotion | No. of Mon | $\begin{gathered} \text { Correo- } \\ \text { tion } \end{gathered}$ |
| A | 171 | $\begin{array}{r} \circ \\ -2.14 \end{array}$ | 127 | $+\stackrel{\circ}{+1.45}$ | 16 | $\stackrel{\circ}{0}+11$ |
| B | 510 | -2.35 | 178 | +1.58 | 18 | +0.08 |
| C | 170 | -2.56 | 226 | +1.60 | 78 | +0.96 |
| D | 39 | -3.42 | 33 | +0.86 | 6 | -0.17 |
| E | 66 | - 3.22 | 18 | +1.85 | - |  |
| F | 34 | -1.30 | 54 | +2.54 | 59 | +0.63 |
| I | 43 | - 8.21 | 21 | +2.14 | 1 | - 3.10 |
| J | 46 | -2.13 | 52 | +1.24 | 1 | +12.43 |
| L | 133 | - 3.06 | 116 | +2.58 | 10 | +1.34 |
| N | 92 | -2.18 | 74 | +2.01 | 5 | -8.30 |
| $\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{P}$, etc. | 23 | -2.70 | 29 | +1.70 | 8 | -2.68 |
| Total . . | 1327 | -2.482 | 928 | +1.760 | 197 | +0.570 |

The almost uniform agreement in the sign, and the accordance in amount also, for those groups where the number of cases is sufficient to give significance to the determinations, furnish a manifest corroboration of the general correctness of our values.

If we now discuss the results obtained for colored men, in the same manner, we similarly obtain the correction requisite for reducing the measures made by any one person to those corresponding with the mean of all. But it is clear that this correction for any individual will not be the same as that deduced from the results for white men, inasmuch as the standard of comparison is derived from independent and dissimilar materials. And in comparing tne values for white and black men, it becomes necessary to adopt some one standard of reference, which we may assume to be free from error.

The corrections for personal error thus derived from the measures of facial angles of colored men only, by the process heretofore explained, and referred to the average value obtained from colored men only, are given in the next tabular view, the full blacks being as heretofore discriminated from the mulattoes, and natives of the Free States from those born in Slave States. The great inferiority of their numbers to those of the whites whose measures we possess
gives of course a corresponding inferiority to the value of the determination, and the non-accordance of the numbers shows the importance of resorting to some other method, for establishing the personal equation between those examiners who measured chiefly white men, and those whose examinations were mostly confined to the black race. The values of the several corrections are those which will reduce the mean value, for the particular examiner and class of men, to the mean value deduced from all the angles measured, by all the examiners included in the table, for the aggregate of all the colored men, whether full blacks or mulattoes.

Corrections for Personal Difference of Examiners,
as deduced from Measures of Colored Men.

| Eraminer | Fall Blacks |  |  |  | Mixed Reces |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Natives of Pree Sts. |  | Natives of sl. States |  | Natives of Free Sts. |  | Natives of SI. States |  |
|  | Number | Correction | Number | Correetion | Number | Correction | Number | Correction |
| Baker . | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\circ}{2.88} \end{aligned}$ | 322 | $+\stackrel{\circ}{+8.18}$ | 3 | $\begin{array}{r} \stackrel{\circ}{+} \\ +1.75 \end{array}$ | 53 | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{\circ}{0} \\ +3.21 \end{gathered}$ |
| Phinney | 4 | -0.37 | 7 | - 1.24 | 4 | -0.58 | 8 | -3.30 |
| Russell | 28 | -8.33 | 162 | - 8.53 | 48 | -4.43 | 88 | - 3.40 |
| Myers . | 3 | -1.82 | 144 | -2.52 | 2 | -2.58 | 44 | -2.11 |
| Elsner. | 23 | -1.43 | 7 | -0.96 | - | - | 4 | 2.1 |
| Wales . | 82 | - 3.14 | 539 | -4.11 | 60 | - 3.11 | 80 | -3.39 |
| Wilder | 1 | -4.99 |  | +2.04 | 20 | -1.20 | 18 | -1.55 |
| Avery . - | - | . | 19 | + 10.62 | 2 | + 12.42 | 198 | +9.27 |

The great influence which the 219 very abnormal values, obtained by Dr. Avery, exert upon the mean of all, and thus upon the other individual corrections, is palpable. Even rejecting these, moreover, the comparatively small number of blacks measured by Messrs. Phinney and Elsner, and the small number of whites measured by Messrs. Myers, Russell, and Wales, would throw some doubt on the trustworthiness of the remaining values. We must therefore resort to some entirely different means of obtaining the desired comparison.

If from the observations of each of those ten examiners, who measured both white and black men, we deduce the differences of facial angle in these classes, and, in combining these differences, use weights proportional to the number of cases in the smaller of
the two groups from which they have been severally determined, we shall find the facial angles for the colored men smaller than for the whites by the following amounts:-

| Clens | Number | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Full Blacks born in Free States . | 82 | $\stackrel{0}{1.027}$ |
| " " " "Slave " | 473 | 3.011 |
| Total Full Blacks | 504 | 2.826 |
| Mulattoes born in Free States | 69 | 1.199 |
| " "Slave " | 160 | 2.392 |
| Total Mulattoes . . . | 169 | 2.248 |
| Aggregate Natives of Free States | 98 | 1.035 |
| " " " Slave " | 531 | 2.953 |
| Aggregate of all Negroes . . . . | 569 | 2.768 |

The reason why the numbers of men from which the totals are derived, are not the sums of the numbers corresponding to the component groups, will be evident on consideration of the mode of computation, which assigns to the differences obtained from the observations of each examiner the number of men in the smaller of the two groups compared.

The remarkable superiority here visible in the value of the facial angle for colored natives of the Free States is very striking, greatly surpassing, as it does, the excess of the angle in mulattoes over that in full blacks. Yet although this cannot be entirely attributed to the influence of personal equation between the examiners, it may be considerably affected by this disturbing element; and in comparing the measurements by different examiners, it seems unadvisable to use the foregoing determinations as a means of reduction.

Assorting the results obtained by each examiner according to the class of men to which they belong, we have the next table, in which the number of cases from which each mean is computed is indicated by figures in smaller type immediately above the corresponding angle.

## TABLE XV.

Mean Facial Angles, as determined by each Examiner.
(Later Series.)

|  | Phinney | Baker | Rassoll | Myers | Wabes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White Men . | $\begin{gathered} 1228 \\ 72.048 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 702 \\ 70.355 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 148 \\ 77!868 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 78 \\ 75: 000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28 \\ 74: 138 \end{gathered}$ |
| Full Blacks - Free States | $\stackrel{4}{70.375}$ | $\stackrel{4}{67.125}$ | $\stackrel{28}{\mathbf{7 3 . 3 3 9}}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ 71.333 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 82 \\ 73.152 \end{gathered}$ |
| " " Slave States | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ 71.286 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 822 \\ 66.861 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 162 \\ 78.571 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 144 \\ 72.566 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 539 \\ 74.153 \end{gathered}$ |
| Mulattoes - Free States | $71.500$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ 69.167 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 48 \\ 75.384 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{2}{78.500}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ 74.033 \end{gathered}$ |
| " Slave States | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ 73.833 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 68 \\ 67.330 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 88 \\ 78.932 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{4}{72.648}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \\ 73.905 \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Free States . | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ 70.937 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{7}{68.000}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76 \\ 74.612 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} { }^{6} \\ 72.200 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 142 \\ 73.525 \end{gathered}$ |
| " Slave States | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ 72.050 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 876 \\ 66.927 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 250 \\ 78.698 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 188 \\ \mathbf{7 2 . 5 8 5} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 619 \\ \mathbf{7 4 . 1 2 1} \end{gathered}$ |
| Aggregate Negroes . | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ 71.656 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 882 \\ 66.947 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 828 \\ \mathbf{7 8 . 9 1 1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 198 \\ 72.675 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 761 \\ 74.010 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Elsner | Lowls | Wuder | Avery | Purnisa |
| White Men | $\begin{gathered} 1029 \\ 709_{410} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2469 \\ 69: 722 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{1}{70: 000}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ 65: 200 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 829 \\ 67: 333 \end{gathered}$ |
| Full Blacks - Free States | $\begin{gathered} 28 \\ 71.435 \end{gathered}$ | - | $\stackrel{1}{75.000}$ | - | - |
| " " Slave States | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ 71.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{1}{63.000}$ | $\stackrel{1}{68.000}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ 59.421 \end{gathered}$ | - |
| Mulattoes - Free States | - | - | $\stackrel{20}{72.125}$ | $\stackrel{2}{58.500}$ | - |
| " Slave States . | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ 72.083 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 198 \\ 61.270 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{2}{64.500}$ |
| Total Free States | ${ }^{28}$ | - | $\stackrel{21}{72.262}$ | $\stackrel{8}{58.500}$ | - |
| " Slave States . | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ 71.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{1}{63.000}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ 71.868 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 217 \\ 61.108 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{2}{64.500}$ |
| Aggregate Negroes . . . | $\begin{gathered} 80 \\ 71.333 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 63.000 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{72.075}^{40}$ | $\begin{gathered} 219 \\ 61.084 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{2}{64.500}$ |
|  | Buckloy | Smith | Stark | Wells |  |
| White Men . . . . - | $\begin{gathered} 1970 \\ 75!967 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1857 \\ 74: 701 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{200}{71!445}$ | $\begin{gathered} 78 \\ 74: 185 \end{gathered}$ | . |

A very slight comparison of these results suffices to elicit the curious fact that the personal equation between any two examiners seems to vary with the class of men examined, so that the results deduced from the examinations of white and of black men are quite diverse in most cases, and in some are actually discordant. This may in some instances be accounted for, by supposing a gradual change in the habitude of the examiner, - in consequence of which his personal error, at the period when he measured whites, was actually different from that when at a later date he measured negroes. But these sources of error seem inseparable from the problem, and our aim must be to detect and eliminate them where this is possible, and to exclude from our discussion those materials which clearly forbid the possibility of such elimination.

For this purpose the mean value obtained from the measurements by each examiner, was compared with that resulting from those of every examiner, for each of five classes of men separately, namely, whites, full blacks, and mulattoes, born in the Free States, full blacks, and mulattoes, born in the Slave States. The determinations from these five classes were then combined by weight, where the groups were sufficiently large to make this worth while, and preliminary values of the differences were thus obtained. But no determinations were employed except those obtained by comparing results from the same class of men.

Without entering upon prolix and tedious details of the investigation, which proved laborious in the extreme, it may be stated at once that it was found necessary to exclude the measures by Major Wales on account of the great discrepancy between his personal errors as deduced from the different classes of men measured, no matter what other examiner might be taken for comparison. The measures by Messrs. Stark and Wells were also provisionally omitted, on account of the small number of men which they comprised; as also those of Messrs. Avery and Furniss in consequence of their great deviation from the others.

The mean results of the remaining nine examiners thus afford twenty-three determinations of personal difference in the measurement of facial angles, yet these several observed values are by no means mutually consistent. The true values must be subject to the restrictions imposed by thirty-six absolute equations of condition. Thus, denoting the several personal differences by the letters of the alphabet, and putting the true values -

Buckley - Phinney $=a ; \quad$ Phinney - Baker $=h$; etc.
Buckley - Baker $=b$; Phinney - Russell $=i$; etc.
Buckley - Russell =c; Phinney - Myers $=k$; etc.
we must have -

$$
a+h=b, \quad a+i=c, \text { etc. }
$$

The observed values therefore require such modification as will bring about an absolute conformity to these rigorous conditions, by some process which shall make the sum of the squares of the amounts of change a minimum, after the amount of each change has been multiplied by its appropriate weight. In other words, that system of interdependent values must be found, which best accords with the observed system of twenty-three approximate values, taken as a whole, while it perfectly satisfies the twenty-six rigorous conditional equations.

This is accomplished by means of what Gauss has named the "correlatives" of the equations of condition.
Denoting the several observed values of the personal differences by the capital letters

$$
A, B, C, D, \text { etc., }
$$

the corresponding probable values, which we desire to obtain, by

$$
a, b, c, d, \text { etc., }
$$

and the corrections needed by the former by the Greek letters

$$
a, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \text { etc. }
$$

we have twenty-three observed equations of the form

$$
a=A+a, b=B+\beta, c=C+\gamma, \text { etc. }
$$

and thirty-six rigorous equations of the form

$$
a-b+h=0, \quad a-c+i=0, \quad b-c+0=0, \text { etc. }
$$

in all fifty-nine equations from which the most probable values of the twenty-three unknown quantities $a, \beta, \gamma$, etc., are to be deduced.

For this end, the weights $p^{\prime}, p^{\prime \prime}, p^{\prime \prime \prime}$, etc., or measures of the relative trustworthiness, of the several mean values $A, B, C$, etc., are to be determined, from considerations both of the number of cases upon which these means depend, and of the mutual accordance of the individual results. Then substituting in the rigorous equations of condition, the values of $a, b, c$, etc., derived from the observed quantities, we obtain thirty-six equations of the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
n^{\prime}+a-\beta+h=0 \\
n^{\prime \prime}+a-\gamma+i=0 \\
\text { etc., etc., }  \tag{I.}\\
n^{\text {ruI }}+\beta-\gamma+0=0 \\
\text { etc., etc. }
\end{gather*}
$$

And introducing the correlatives (1), (2), (3), (4), etc., so as to satisfy the conditions of "least squares," we form twenty-three new conditional equations containing only these correlatives, the weights $p^{\prime}, p^{\prime \prime}, p^{\prime \prime \prime}$, etc., and the unknown quantities $a, \beta, \gamma$, etc., in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
&(1)+(2)+(3)+(4)+(5)+(6)-p^{\prime} a=0 \\
&-(1)+(7)+(8)+(9)+(10)+(11)-p^{\prime \prime} \beta=0 \\
&-(2)-(7)-(12)+(13)+(14)+(15)-p^{\prime \prime \prime} \gamma=0  \tag{II.}\\
&-(3)-(8)+(12)+(16)+(17)+(18)-p^{\prime \prime \prime \prime} \delta=0 \\
& \text { etc., etc. }
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting now in the equations $I$. the values of $a, \beta, \gamma$, etc., as derived from the series II., we obtain thirty-six normal equations containing only the known quantities $n^{\prime}, n^{\prime \prime}, n^{\prime \prime \prime}$, etc., together with the thirty-six unknown correlatives (1), (2), (3), etc.; and thus affording the most probable values of these correlatives for determining the desired corrections a, $\beta, \gamma$, from the series of equations II. ${ }^{1}$

Even this simple process necessarily becomes exceedingly onerous in such a case as the present, which demands the numerical solution of thirty-six equations containing an equal number of unknown quantities. Still we have not shrunk from this labor, even when the incorporation of Major Wales's observations raised the number of equations to 62. After it became evident that these must be excluded from the series and the work repeated, the rigorous solution was not reattempted, but closely approximate values were deduced by sundry devices of numerical computation. Thus we obtain the following results, which are entitled to full confidence. Mr. Phinney's measures are selected as the basis of comparison, both because they are near the mean of all, and on account of the very satisfactory character of their mutual accordance.

| Phinney - Buckley | $=-3.873$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| " | - Baker |$=+1.743$

[^63]The last four of these values have been deduced on the assumption that the preceding eight were absolutely correct, and probably differ by entirely unimportant amounts from those which would have been obtained had they been included in the original solution.

In the entire series of nearly eighty personal differences, only four, of those which depend upon so many as twenty comparisons, are found to require a change of their observed values by so much as four tenths of a degree, to produce the entire accordance and consistency which has been attained. The greatest change was $0: 800$, required by the difference "Russell - Myers," which depended upon only 266 comparisons as follows : -

|  | No. | Difierenco |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White Men | 78 | +2.868 |
| Mulattoes born in Slave States | 44 | +1.284 |
| Full Blacks born in Slave States | 144 | +1.005 |
| Mean . | 266 | +1.598 |
| Adopted value . | - . | +2.398 |

The rejection of Major Wales's facial angles will be justified by a tabular view showing the nature of the discrepancy.

|  | Walee - Baker |  | Wales-Russell |  | Wales-Myers |  | Wales - Elemer |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of Men | Differonce | No. of Men | Difference | No. of Men | Difference | No. of Mnn | Difierence |
| White Men . | 28 | $+3.783$ | 28 | $\stackrel{0}{-3.730}$ | 28 | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ -0.862 \end{gathered}$ | 28 | $+\stackrel{\circ}{+3.728}$ |
| Mulattoes Free States | - | - | 48 | -1.321 | - | - | - | - |
| Mulattoes Sl. States | 53 | +6.575 | 80 | -0.027 | 44 | + 1.257 | - | - |
| Full Blacks Fr. States | - | - | 28 | -0.187 | - | - | 23 | + 1.717 |
| Full Blacks Sl. States | 322 | + 7.292 | 162 | +0.582 | 144 | +1.687 |  | - |

The impossibility of deducing trustworthy results from these data needs no comment, and no entirely satisfactory explanation of the discordance has been found. A gradual unrecognized change in the manner of measuring seems to offer the most plausible solution of the difficulty.

The values of personal equation now deduced must be applied to all the facial angles excepting those measured by Major Wales. The results will then be essentially such as they would have been had all been measured by Mr. Phinney, and the work of the various examiners may be aggregated without hesitation. Thus we obtain the following table of results : -

## TABLE XVI.

Mean Facial Angles of Different Classes of Men,
corrected for Personal Equation.

| Cleas | Number of Examiners | Number of Casea | Facial Angle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White Soldiers and Sailors | 18 | 9365 | $\stackrel{0}{72.082}$ |
| Students . . . . . . . . . . | 1 | 290 | 73.874 |
| Full Blacks born in Free States . . . . | 6 | 63 | 70.133 |
| Full Blacks born in Slave States . . | 8 | 663 | 68.736 |
| Total Full Blacks . . . . | 8 | 726 | 68.857 |
| Mulattoes born in Free States . | 6 | 79 | 69.897 |
| Mulattoes born in Slave States . . . . | 7 | 406 | 69.104 |
| Total Mulattoes . | 7 | 485 | 69.238 |
| Indians . . . . . . . . . . | 1 | 505 | 72.864 |

The values given in this table are probably entitled to full reliance, at least to the first decimal figure inclusive.

Of the facts thus brought to light, the most noticeable are the large mean values for students and Indians, surpassing those for all the other classes, - the marked superiority in the mean facial angle of natives of the Free States over natives of the Slave States; and the comparatively low values for the black race. The preeminent values found for students and for Indians do not seem referable in any degree to personal equation, although but one examiner was employed for each of these groups; since in both cases the correction for personal difference is well established, and has already been applied.

Considering next the white men by themselves (excluding students), and classifying them according to their nativities, we obtain the results following: -

## TABLE XVII.

Mean Facial Angles of White Soldiers and Sailors,
corrected for Personal Equation.

| Nativity | Soldiers |  |  | Sailore |  |  | Asgregate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of Exnminers | No. of Men | Facial Angle | No. of ExMminers | No. of Men | Facial Angle | No. of Examiners | No. of Men | Facial Anglo |
| A | 11 | 1049 | $\stackrel{\circ}{72.09}$ | 2 | 94 | $\stackrel{0}{72.63}$ | 11 | 1143 | $\stackrel{\circ}{72.139}$ |
| B | 12 | 2829 | 72.09 | 2 | 50 | 73.00 | 12 | 2879 | 72.102 |
| C | 9 | 1406 | 72.18 | 2 | 2 | 68.08 | 9 | 1408 | 72.169 |
| D | 9 | 929 | 72.08 | 1 | 1 | 76.67 | 9 | 930 | 72.081 |
| E | 11 | 321 | 71.98 | 2 | 8 | 71.85 | 11 | 329 | 71.978 |
| F | 9 | 225 | 71.32 | 1 | 1 | 67.17 | 9 | 226 | 71.301 |
| $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ | 5 | 12 | 71.53 | 1 | 1 | 66.67 | 5 | 13 | 71.156 |
| $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ | 8 | 30 | 72.93 | - | - | - | 8 | 30 | 72.934 |
| H | 11 | 32 | 72.86 | 2 | 23 | 72.67 | 11 | 55 | 72.782 |
| I | 9 | 343 | 71.75 | 2 | 12 | 70.25 | 9 | 855 | 71.700 |
| J | 10 | 271 | 72.09 | 2 | 53 | 73.51 | 10 | 324 | 72.320 |
| K | 9 | 65 | 71.82 | 2 | 17 | 71.65 | 9 | 82 | 71.785 |
| L | 11 | 732 | 71.93 | 2 | 132 | 72.72 | 11 | 864 | 72.055 |
| M | 9 | 69 | 72.41 | 2 | 12 | 74.46 | 9 | 81 | 72.714 |
| N | 11 | 479 | 72.06 | 2 | 23 | 72.30 | 11 | 502 | 72.075 |
| 0 | 8 | 33 | 72.12 | 2 | 47 | 72.09 | 8 | 80 | 72.103 |
| P | 4 | 6 | 72.57 | 2 | 10 | 70.92 | 5 | 16 | 71.538 |
| Q | 9 | 35 | 71.81 | 2 | 13 | 71.37 | 9 | 48 | 71.699 |
| Total | 13 | 8866 | 72.055 | 2 | 499 | 72.561 | 13 | 9365 | 72.082 |

Here there appears to be no sufficient ground for inferring any decided difference in the facial angle, connected with the nativity. Those nativity-groups for which the mean values vary most from the mean of all are composed of the least numbers of men, and it is noteworthy that of the first six groups of the aggregate column in order of magnitude, including all those which consist of so many as four hundred men, the maximum variation from the mean of all is but $5^{\prime}$.

The absolute values, here given, are of course dependent to a certain extent upon the correctness of Mr. Phinney's work, since all the measurements have been referred to him as the standard. But it will be borne in mind that his mean value is closely accord
ant with the mean of the aggregate of those other examiners whose experience was greatest, and whose accuracy is best established by the character of their results.
The diversity of the mean values found for soldiers and for sailors seems unimportant, in view of the small number in the latter class; and we may be justified in inferring that the average facial angle among white men, as represented in the American army and navy, does not vary by one fifth of a degree, or $12^{\prime}$, from our final value $72 \% 1$, - while for the negroes, whether of pure blood or mulattoes, it is below $70^{\circ}$.
Our next table exhibits the range of variation found in the several classes of men examined.

## TABLE XVIII.

Greatest and Least Facial Angles observed.

| Nativity | Largest Value |  | Smallent Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Angle | Remarke | Angle | Remarks |
| White Soldiers . | 85.7 | Ohio or Ind. ; Elsner, Ex. | 55.0 | Ireland; Phinney Ex. |
| Sailors | 86.7 | Eng. and Ireland, 1 each | 56.7 | Middle Sts. ; Elsner " |
| Students . . | 81.7 | 1 each of 5 diff'nt nations | 61.7 | New England States |
| Full Blacks, Fr. Sts. | 85.7 | No other above 74.6 | 61.7 | Elsner, Examiner |
| Full Blacks, Sl. Sts. | 84.7 | Two others of $80^{\circ}$ | 56.7 | Baker, |
| Mulattoes, Fr. Sts. | 75.6 | Russell, Examiner | 63.6 | Russell, |
| Mulattoes, Sl. Sts. | 79.0 | Myers, | 59.0 | Myers, |
| Indians |  | Buckley, " (2 cases) | 66.6 | Buckley, |

When the facial angle was measured by using the superciliary ridge instead of the frontal eminence, the mean value was greater, by the following amounts:-


The " number of observations" in the last column is the number made in the erroneous manner, which was always less than that made in the manner prescribed. The great variation in the mean values found by different examiners is probably due, to some extent, to actual differences in the classes of men chiefly measured; but a very small amount of experience will show how easily slight differences of personal habitude in measuring will produce large differences in the determination of the angle.

The final mean shows that $5^{\circ}$ is a reasonable estimate for the excess of the angle when the superciliary ridge is used. For negroes this excess is probably a little greater, but will hardly reach the limit of $6^{\circ}$.

## CHAPTER XI.

## WEIGHT AND STRENGTH.

## 1. Determination of Weight, and its Relation to Stature.

Each examiner was specially provided with Fairbanks's platform scales, of the best construction. The scales are graduated ${ }^{1}$ to quarters of a pound, but the weight was generally recorded only to the nearest half-pound.

In the discussion of our results, the estimated weight of the clothing has in all cases been subtracted. Very accordant weighings of 24 suits of clothing such as was worn by most of the men during their examination, different sizes being employed in the proportions issued by the Quartermaster's department, as nearly as could be estimated, gave the results: -

| 24 pairs trowsers | 1bs. 10 | Mean 1.57 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 sets underclothing | 39 " 5 | Mean 1.64 |
| Total | 76 " 15 | Mean |

The underclothing consisted of woolen shirts, drawers, and stockings.

The mean weights, for the total of all the men measured, are given in the first of our tables, together with the number of men from which each mean has been deduced.
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## TABLE I . <br> Average Weight of Men examined.

| Clase of Men | In usual Vigor |  | Not in usual Figor |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Pounde | Number | Pounds | Number | Pounds |
| White Soldiers, Earlier Series | 5936 | 143.49 | 2162 | 140.99 | 8098 | 142.83 |
| White Soldiers, Later Series . | 9157 | 142.08 | 1600 | 137.35 | 10757 | 141.38 |
| Sailors | 1144 | 138.92 | - | - | 1144 | 138.92 |
| Students | 288 | 136.51 | - | - | 288 | 136.51 |
| Full Blacks . | 1775 | 143.83 | 226 | 142.62 | 2001 | 144.58 |
| Mulattoes | 680 | 145.12 | 140 | 143.15 | 820 | 144.78 |
| Indians . . . . . . | 507 | 162.82 | 9 | 148.01 | 516 | 162.56 |

Assorting the weights according to the nativities of the men, we find the means to be as given in the next two tables, in which the results of the earlier and the later series of examinations are kept distinct from each other.

## TABLE II.

Average Weight of White Soldiers by Nativities.
(Earlier Series.)

| Nativity | In usual Vigor |  | Not in usual Vigor |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Weight | Number | Weight | Number | Weight |
| New England | 589 | $\begin{gathered} \text { lba. } \\ 142.60 \end{gathered}$ | 350 | $\begin{gathered} \text { lba. } \\ 142.89 \end{gathered}$ | 939 | $\begin{gathered} \text { lba. } \\ 142.71 \end{gathered}$ |
| New York | 1521 | 145.15 | 546 | 142.58 | 2067 | 144.47 |
| New Jersey and Penn. | 849 | 144.64 | 364 | 140.32 | 1213 | 143.35 |
| Ohio \& other West. States | 413 | 148.73 | 187 | 144.26 | 600 | 147.34 |
| Slave States . | 1659 | 140.64 | 375 | 137.16 | 2034 | 140.00 |
| Canada | 135 | 144.73 | 50 | 141.70 | 185 | 143.91 |
| England and Scotland | 159 | 140.96 | 72 | 134.82 | 231 | 139.04 |
| Ireland | 350 | 142.99 | 122 | 141.11 | 472 | 142.50 |
| Germany . | 191 | 143.77 | 76 | 140.39 | 267 | 142.81 |
| Miscellaneous . . | 70 | 143.59 | 20 | 139.59 | 90 | 142.70 |
| Total | 5936 | 143.49 | 2162 | 140.99 | 8098 | 142.83 |

TABLE III.
Average Weight of White Soldiers, by Nativities.
(Later Series.)

| Nativity | In usual Vigor |  | Not in umal Vigor |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Woight | Number | Woight | Number | Woight |
| New England | 974 | $\xrightarrow{\text { lba. }}$ | 211 | $\begin{gathered} \text { lbs. } \\ 136.11 \end{gathered}$ | 1185 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1bs. } \\ 139.39 \end{gathered}$ |
| N. Y., N. J., and Penn. . | 3189 | 141.39 | 588 | 137.43 | 3727 | 140.83 |
| Ohio and Indiana . | 1442 | 145.99 | 218 | 141.24 | 1660 | 145.37 |
| Mich., Wisc., and Illinois | 944 | 141.78 | 71 | 139.72 | 1015 | 141.78 |
| Coast Slave States. - | 301 | 142.08 | 52 | 134.68 | 853 | 140.99 |
| Kentucky and Tennessee | 223 | 150.58 | 44 | 146.10 | 267 | 149.85 |
| Free Sts. west Miss. River | 10 | 145.09 | - | - | 10 | 145.09 |
| Sl. Sts. west Miss. River | 38 | 135.76 | 5 | 128.59 | 43 | 134.95 |
| Br. Am. Pr. excl. Canada | 85 | 143.82 | 2 | 139.54 | 37 | 143.59 |
| Canada | 474 | 141.26 | 45 | 142.28 | 519 | 141.35 |
| England | 258 | 138.15 | 45 | 134.58 | 803 | 137.61 |
| Wales and Isle of Man | 18 | 138.05 | 2 | 148.09 | 20 | 139.13 |
| Scotland | 70 | 138.71 | 11 | 132.38 | 81 | 137.85 |
| Ireland | 644 | 141.08 | 177 | 132.26 | 821 | 139.18 |
| France, Belgium, etc. . | 80 | 138.76 | 16 | 133.35 | 96 | 137.85 |
| Germany . | 448 | 141.06 | 99 | 137.27 | 547 | 140.37 |
| Scandinavia. | 28 | 150.28 | 6 | 138.12 | 84 | 148.14 |
| Spain, etc. - | 6 | 138.16 | 1 | 109.79 | 7 | 134.15 |
| Miscellaneous . - | 25 | 140.31 | 7 | 126.58 | 82 | 137.31 |
| Total . . . . . | 9157 | 142.08 | 1600 | 137.35 | 10757 | 141.38 |

The degree of trustworthiness of the mean weights as tested by the accordance between the actual and theoretical distribution of the individual weights is very satisfactory, and the range of variation in all appears analogous to that in the nativities $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{C}$, which are ${ }^{1}$ as follows :-
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| Nattrity | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & \text { Weight } \end{aligned}$ | Number of Mon | $r$ | P。 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England 8tates | $\stackrel{\text { lbe. }}{140.08}$ | 958 | $\underset{10.858}{\text { Iba. }}$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{mba}}{0.351}$ |
| Ohio and Indiana . . . . . | 145.99 | 1417 | 11.383 | 0.302 |

## TABLE IV.

Average Weight of Colored Men.

| Clam | In usat Tigor |  | Not in ucaal Vigor |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Weight | Number | Weight | Number | Weight |
| Fall Blacks, Natives of Fr. Sts. | 192 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1be. } \\ 144.60 \end{gathered}$ | 32 | $1 \mathrm{lba} .$ | 224 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Iba. } \\ \hline 144.65 \end{array}$ |
| " " Sl. " | 1583 | 144.86 | 194 | 142.24 | 1777 | 144.58 |
| Mulattoes, Natives of Free Sts. | 125 | $141.61{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 40 | 145.04 | 165 | 142.37 |
| " 81. " | 555 | 145.93 | 100 | 142.40 | 655 | 145.39 |
| Total Full Blacks . . . | 1775 | 144.83 | 226 | 142.62 | 2001 | 144.58 |
| Total Mulattoes . . . | 680 | 145.12 | 140 | 143.15 | 820 | 144.78 |

It is manifest that the variations of the mean weight with the nativity must be closely commensurate with those of the mean stature ; and, in order to determine the degree to which these elements are independent of one another, the Tables V., VI., and VII. have been prepared, exhibiting for each nativity-group the ratio of weight to stature, or in other words the weight in pounds corresponding to each inch of stature. These have not been prepared by dividing the mean weights by the mean heights, but have been computed for each individual case ; and the accuracy of the results here also tested where the numbers are sufficiently large, by the character of the distribution of individual weights around their mean. They apply to men in full vigor, exclusively.

[^66]TABLE V.
Ratio of Weight to Stature for White Soldiers.
(Earlier Series.)

| Nativity | No. of Men | Pounda to Inch | Nativity | No. of Men | Pounds to Inch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England . | 589 | $\begin{gathered} \text { lbe } \\ \mathbf{2 . 1 2 1} \end{gathered}$ | England \& Scotland | 159 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { lba } \\ 2.118 \end{array}$ |
| New York . | 1521 | 2.161 | Ireland | 350 | 2.144 |
| New Jersey \& Penn. | 849 | 2.146 | Germany . . . . | 191 | 2.168 |
| Ohio \& uther W. Sts. | 418 | 2.185 | Miscellaneous . . . | 70 | 2.167 |
| Slave States | 1659 | 2.010 |  |  |  |
| Canada . | 135 | 2.161 | Total . . . . . | 5936 | 2.1110 |

## TABLE VI.

Ratio of Weight to Stature for White Soldiers and Sailors.
(Later Serics.)

| Nativity | Soldiers |  | Sallors |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Pounds to Inch | Number | Pounds to Inch | Number | Pounda to Inch |
| New England . - | 974 | 2.082 | 129 | 2.018 | 1103 | 2.075 |
| N. Y., N. J., and Penn. | 3139 | 2.107 | 155 | 2.003 | 3294 | 2.102 |
| Ohio and Indiana . | 1442 | 2.153 | 2 | 1.984 | 1444 | 2158 |
| Mich., Wisc., \& Illinois . | 944 | 2.106 | 6 | 2.122 | 950 | 2.106 |
| Coast Slave States. | 301 | 2.099 | 19 | 2.021 | 820 | 2.094 |
| Kentucky and Tennessee | 223 | 2.190 | 1 | 2.620 | 224 | 2.192 |
| Free Sts. west Miss. River | 10 | 2.136 | - | - | 10 | 2.136 |
| Sl. Sts. west Miss. River | 38 | 2.025 | 1 | 1.827 | 39 | 2.020 |
| Br. Am. Pr. excl. Canada | 85 | 2.133 | 50 | 2.121 | 85 | 2.126 |
| Canada | 474 | 2.110 | 16 | 2.242 | 490 | 2.114 |
| England | 258 | 2.083 | 102 | 2.024 | 860 | 2.066 |
| Wales, and Isle of Man | 18 | 2.064 | 6 | 2.000 | 24 | 2.048 |
| Scotland | 70 | 2.090 | 27 | 2.075 | 97 | 2.086 |
| Ireland . . | 644 | 2.114 | 335 | 2.060 | 979 | 2.096 |
| France, Belgium, etc. . | 80 | 2.106 | 20 | 2.082 | 100 | 2.101 |
| Germany . | 448 | 2.126 | 62 | 2.104 | 510 | 2.123 |
| Scandinavia . . | 28 | 2.203 | 82 | 2.143 | 110 | 2.158 |
| Spain, etc. - | 6 | 2.114 | 18 | 2.034 | 24 | 2.054 |
| Miscellaneous . | 25 | 2.081 | 30 | 2.049 | 55 | 2.064 |
| Total . . . . . | 9157 | 2.0432 | 1061 | 2.0547 | 10218 | 2.0444 |

For the ratio between weight and stature we find -

| Natioty | Average Ratio | Number of Men | $r$ | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England States . . - | 2.083 | 953 | 0.135 | 0.0044 |
| New York, New Jersey, Penn. | 2.106 | 3088 | 0.142 | 0.0026 |
| Ohio and Indiana | 2.152 | 1417 | 0.139 | 0.0037 |

## TABLE VII.

Ratio of Weight to Stature for other Classes of Men.

| Clese | Number of Men | Pounds to the lnch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students | 288 | 2.001 |
| Full Blacks, Natives of Free States | 192 | 2.176 |
| " " " " Slave " | 1583 | 2.184 |
| " " Total | 1775 | 2.183 |
| Mulattoes, Natives of Free States | 125 | 2.127 |
| " " " Slave " | 555 | 2.198 |
| " Total | 680 | 2.185 |
| Indians . . . | 507 | 2.384 |

Could we assume that the ratio of weight to stature remains the same for all heights, the foregoing values would enable us easily to construct tables giving closely approximate values of the weight of our soldiers and sailors in usual vigor, during the war; the former well representing the average of the male population of military age, taken in the proportions in which they enlisted, as developed in Chapters III. and IV. But this assumption is far from correct, as will be seen when the men are assorted according to their height, and the mean weights determined for the several statures. This is done in Table VIII., which contains the mean weight for each half inch of height, for each class of white men (in usual vigor) examined.

The next subsequent table, IX., exhibits the mean height of the aggregate of these men for each half-inch of stature, and the corresponding ratios of weight to height. It will be seen that in this latter respect the increase is progressive, throughout the limits of stature included in our collection of materials. To some extent this may be attributed to the influence of age, since the lower statures manifestly belong in greater proportion to youths whose

## TABLE VIII．

Mean Weights of White Men，by Height．

| Height | Soldiers |  |  |  |  |  | Sallors |  | Students |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Earlier Series |  | Lator Series |  | Total |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 鹵 } \\ & \text { 总 } \end{aligned}$ | Weight | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 告 } \\ & \text { 自 } \end{aligned}$ | Weight |
|  | No． | Weight | No． | Weight | No． | Welght |  |  |  |  |
| in． <br> Under 60 | 11 | $\begin{gathered} \text { lba. } \\ 94.34 \end{gathered}$ | 22 | $\begin{gathered} \text { lba. } \\ 97.22 \end{gathered}$ | 33 | lba. $96.26$ | 3 | lbs． 98.26 | － | 1be． |
| 60 | 4 | 115.91 | 11 | 107.58 | 15 | 109.80 | 9 | 115.11 | － | － |
| $60 \frac{1}{2}$ | 11 | 114.11 | 23 | 114.70 | 34 | 114.51 | 10 | 120.08 | － | － |
| 61 | 25 | 119.03 | 26 | 119.13 | 51 | 119.08 | 6 | 118.33 | － | － |
| 61 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 24 | 122.19 | 40 | 117.48 | 64 | 119.24 | 22 | 120.97 | － | － |
| 62 | 50 | 123.62 | 88 | 119.24 | 138 | 120.83 | 34 | 123.28 | － | － |
| 621 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 70 | 123.45 | 117 | 119.52 | 187 | 120.99 | 30 | 120.94 | － | － |
| 63 | 99 | 124.31 | 159 | 124.30 | 258 | 124.30 | 35 | 125.57 | 1 | 108.79 |
| 631 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 161 | 126.52 | 236 | 126.60 | 397 | 126.57 | 51 | 127.93 | 7 | 118.15 |
| 64 | 182 | 129.67 | 315 | 129.61 | 497 | 129.63 | 76 | 131.89 | 3 | 119.62 |
| 641 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 255 | 133.29 | 469 | 130.42 | 724 | 131.43 | 81 | 132.68 | 11 | 121.93 |
| 65 | 260 | 134.11 | 463 | 132.01 | 723 | 132.77 | 85 | 134.11 | 12 | 126.46 |
| $65 \frac{1}{2}$ | 383 | 135.59 | 664 | 135.06 | 1047 | 135.25 | 104 | 136.40 | 21 | 123.18 |
| 66 | 363 | 136.86 | 521 | 137.55 | 884 | 137.27 | 76 | 137.16 | 16 | 131.13 |
| 661 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 446 | 139.80 | 810 | 139.04 | 1256 | 139.31 | 81 | 141.41 | 21 | 131.60 |
| 67 | 419 | 142.80 | 763 | 141.96 | 1182 | 142.26 | 88 | 144.67 | 13 | 130.44 |
| $67 \frac{1}{2}$ | 526 | 144.98 | 853 | 144.16 | 1379 | 144.47 | 81 | 145.40 | 23 | 128.54 |
| 68 | 464 | 146.22 | 701 | 145.78 | 1165 | 145.95 | 55 | 146.91 | 26 | 132.25 |
| 681 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 481 | 148.99 | 688 | 147.69 | 1169 | 148.22 | 61 | 152.88 | 18 | 139.43 |
| 69 | 378 | 150.03 | 473 | 150.49 | 851 | 150.28 | 42 | 148.97 | 24 | 142.54 |
| 691 ${ }^{1}$ | 312 | 151.53 | 457 | 153.35 | 769 | 152.61 | 45 | 151.52 | 22 | 140.24 |
| 70 | 273 | 154.81 | 823 | 154.54 | 596 | 154.66 | 18 | 157.54 | 19 | 145.32 |
| $70 \frac{1}{2}$ | 212 | 157.39 | 250 | 157.44 | 462 | 157.42 | 20 | 157.99 | 15 | 150.59 |
| 71 | 148 | 159.58 | 183 | 160.12 | 331 | 159.88 | 8 | 152.25 | 9 | 155.85 |
| $71 \frac{1}{8}$ | 110 | 159.85 | 135 | 164.70 | 245 | 162.52 | 11 | 162.37 | 13 | 154.10 |
| 72 | 76 | 159.43 | 118 | 165.84 | 194 | 163.33 | 4 | 157.00 | 2 | 139.79 |
| 721 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 55 | 164.37 | 80 | 165.56 | 135 | 165.08 | 1 | 168.00 | 4 | 163.16 |
| 73 | 89 | 170.35 | 47 | 168.41 | 86 | 169.29 | 3 | 165.00 | 3 | 148.12 |
| $73 \frac{1}{2}$ | 20 | 164.06 | 34 | 170.82 | 54 | 168.32 | 1 | 175.00 | 1 | 149.79 |
| 74 | 17 | 164.76 | 25 | 171.14 | 42 | 168.56 | 2 | 191.64 | 1 | 190.79 |
| $74 \frac{1}{2}$ | 10 | 170.79 | 6 | 178.21 | 16 | 173.57 | － | － | 1 | 171.79 |
| 75 | 8 | 164.79 | 4 | 165.79 | 12 | 165.12 | 1 | 204.00 | 1 | 147.79 |
| Over 75 | 4 | 176.29 | 18 | 174.90 | 22 | 175.15 | － | － | 1 | 142.79 |

full stature is not yet attained, and in whom the lateral development of the body, which is normally completed at a still later date, has by no means kept pace with the longitudinal growth. But a very slight additional study of the numbers will suffice to show the inadequacy of this explanation.

> TABLE IX.

Aggregate Mean Weight of White Men, by Height, and Ratio to Stature.

| Height | Number of Men | Weight | Pounde to Inch | Hedight | Number of Men | Weight | Pounde to Inch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { in. } \\ \mathbf{6 0} \end{gathered}$ | 24 | $\begin{gathered} \text { lbe. } \\ 111.79 \end{gathered}$ | 1.868 | in. $68$ | 1246 | $\begin{gathered} \text { lba. } \\ 145.71 \end{gathered}$ | 2.143 |
| $60 \frac{1}{2}$ | 44 | 115.78 | 1.914 | $68 \frac{1}{8}$ | 1248 | 148.32 | 2.165 |
| 61 | 57 | 119.00 | 1.951 | 69 | 917. | 150.02 | 2.174 |
| $61 \frac{1}{2}$ | 86 | 119.68 | 1.946 | 691 | 836 | 152.23 | 2.190 |
| 62 | 172 | 121.81 | 1.957 | 70 | 633 | 154.46 | 2.207 |
| 621 | 217 | 120.98 | 1.936 | $70 \frac{1}{2}$ | 497 | 157.24 | 2.280 |
| 68 | 294 | 124.40 | 1.975 | 71 | 348 | 159.60 | 2.248 |
| $68 \frac{1}{2}$ | 455 | 126.59 | 1.994 | 711 | 269 | 162.11 | 2.267 |
| 64 | 576 | 129.88 | 2.029 | 72 | 200 | 162.97 | 2.263 |
| $64 \frac{1}{2}$ | 816 | 131.48 | 2.038 | 721 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 140 | 165.04 | 2.276 |
| 65 | 820 | 132.81 | 2.043 | 78 | 92 | 168.46 | 2.808 |
| $65 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1172 | 135.14 | 2.063 | $78 \frac{1}{2}$ | 56 | 168.11 | 2.287 |
| 66 | 976 | 187.16 | 2.078 | 74 | 45 | 170.08 | 2.298 |
| $66 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1358 | 139.32 | 2.095 | 741 | 17 | 178.47 | 2.328 |
| 67 | 1283 | 142.31 | 2.124 | 75 | 14 | 166.66 | 2.222 |
| $67 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1483 | 144.27 | 2.137 | Over 75 | 28 | 178.75 | 2.286 |

It is clear that in similar bodies, of the same material, the masses must vary as the cubes of any dimension; so that, did the average proportions remain unchanged in men of different stature, we might expect their weights to be to one another as the third powers of their heights. Very slight investigation, however, is required to show that this is by no means the case. The differences of stature among the men weighed are in great part due to differences in their degree of physical development, and in great part also to differences in their normal dimensions at maturity; so that the only mode of discriminating between the effects of these two influences is by a classification of the individuals on the twofold basis of age and stature. This has been done, and the results will be found in the ensuing section; but we are here considering the stat-
ures only, and - notwithstanding the irregularities which might reasonably have been anticipated from the unequal combination of the two sources of variation at the different statures - we are irresistibly led to the singular and interesting discovery that the mean weights, at least within the limits of the present researches, appear to vary strictly as the squares of the statures. This is made manifest by Table X., which gives for each stature the liypothetical weight based on this assumption (using the modulus 0.03156 ), and in the next column the difference between this hypothetical, or as we may fairly say, theoretical, weight, and the mean weights actually obtained by observation, and presented in Table IX. No reasonable doubt seems admissible that this is the true law of normal variation in weight for statures within our limits, and we are thus led to the inference that the product of the ratios of increase in the breadth and thickness of the body is on the average equal to the simple ratio of the increase in length.

> TABLE X.

Theoretical Weight for different Statures, and Comparison with Observation.

| Ecight | Computed Weight | Difierence Comp.-Obe. | Hodght | Computed Weight | $\begin{gathered} \text { Difierence } \\ \text { Comp.-Obs. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| in. <br> 60 | $\begin{gathered} \text { lba. } \\ 113.62 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1be. } \\ +1.83 \end{gathered}$ | In. $\begin{aligned} & \text { ln. } \\ & 68 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { lbe. } \\ 145.94 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Iba. } \\ +0.23 \end{gathered}$ |
| $60 \frac{1}{2}$ | 115.52 | -0.26 | $68 \frac{1}{2}$ | 148.09 | -0.23 |
| 61 | 117.44 | - 1.56 | 69 | 150.26 | +0.24 |
| $61 \frac{1}{2}$ | 119.37 | -0.81 | $69 \frac{1}{2}$ | 152.45 | +0.22 |
| 62 | 121.82 | +0.01 | 70 | 154.65 | +0.19 |
| $62 \frac{1}{2}$ | 123.28 | +2.30 | 701 | 156.87 | -0.37. |
| 63 | 125.27 | +0.87 | 71 | 159.10 | -0.50 |
| $63 \frac{1}{2}$ | 127.26 | +0.67 | $71 \frac{1}{2}$ | 161.35 | -0.76 |
| 64 | 129.27 | +0.61 | 72 | 163.61 | +0.64 |
| 641 | 131.30 | -0.13 | $72 \frac{1}{2}$ | 165.89 | +0.85 |
| 65 | 133.34 | +0.53 | 73 | 163.19 | -5.27 |
| $65 \frac{1}{2}$ | 135.40 | +0.26 | 731 | 170.50 | +2.89 |
| 66 | 137.48 | +0.32 | 74 | 172.83 | +2.75 |
| $66 \frac{1}{2}$ | 139.57 | +0.25 | $74 \frac{1}{2}$ | 175.17 | +1.70 |
| 67 | 141.68 | -0.63 | 75 | 177.53 | - |
| 671 | 143.80 | -0.47 | Ovor 75 | - | - |

The fact here elicited was observed by Quetelet, who says, ${ }^{1}$ ${ }^{1}$ Sur $\boldsymbol{I}$ Homme, II. 53, 61.
" During the period of development, the squares of the weights at different ages are as the fifth powers of the stature," but "the weights of individuals of different heights who have attained their full development are approximately as the squares of their statures."

It is remarkable that with the limited number of cases upon which his generalizations were necessarily based, he should have been able to detect the actual law, ${ }^{1}$ which, however, seems to be much more rigorously true than he suspected. Even during the period of growth subsequent to the age of about 16 years, the increase in weight appears nearer to the 2 nd than to the $2 \frac{1}{\mathbf{2}}$ th power of the stature, although when extended to the earliest years of life it evidently requires modification. The corresponding results for the weight of boys would be, according to the formula -

| Hoight | Welght |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| lnehes | lbe. |
| 15 | $\mathbf{7 . 1 0}$ |
| 20 | 12.62 |
| 25 | 19.72 |
| 30 | 28.40 |
| 35 | 38.66 |
| 40 | 60.50 |
| 45 | 63.91 |
| 50 | $\mathbf{7 8 . 9 0}$ |
| 55 | 95.47 |

which manifestly give weights too large. The circumstance to which Quetelet himself calls attention, that his statistics for children were collected from classes of society less favored, and in less easy circumstances, than those which furnished the statistics for the more advanced ages, may account for the apparent deviation of his own results in the other direction. The facts now available for testing the question are altogether too meager to warrant any definite conclusions as to the inferior limit to which the ratio between weight and the square of height, remains constant.

The results obtained by Quetelet we will here reproduce for the sake of comparison, both in their original form, and as reduced to the units of weight and measure employed in the present investigation.

[^67]
## Mean Weight of Belgian Males, by Stature, according to Quetelet.

| 8 8tature | Wedght | Stature | Weight |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { centimetace } \\ 50 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { kilograme } \\ \mathbf{3 . 2 0} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { fn. } \\ 19.69 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lbe. } \\ & 7.06 \end{aligned}$ |
| 60 | 6.20 | 23.62 | 13.67 |
| 70 | 9.30 | 27.56 | 20.61 |
| 80 | 11.36 | 81.50 | 25.06 |
| 90 | 13.50 | 35.44 | 29.78 |
| 100 | 15.90 | 39.37 | 35.07 |
| 110 | 18.50 | 43.31 | 40.80 |
| 120 | 21.72 | 47.24 | 47.91 |
| 130 | 26.63 | 51.18 | 58.74 |
| 140 | 34.48 | 51.12 | 76.05 |
| 150 | 46.29 | 59.06 | 102.10 |
| 160 | 57.15 | 62.99 | 126.05 |
| 170 | 63.28 | 66.93 | 139.57 |
| 180 | 70.61 | 70.87 | 155.74 |
| 190 | 75.56 | 74.80 | 166.66 |

It may not be without interest also to compare our results with those deduced by still other investigators.

Hutchinson, ${ }^{1}$ from the weights of 2648 men "at the middle period of life" taken from all classes of society, deduced the values given in the second column of the following table. Since the weight of the clothing was included in these results, we add a third column for the supposed true weight, determined according to the rule of Quetelet, approvingly cited by Hutchinson, which makes the average weight of men's clothing to be one eighteenth part of the weight of the body. ${ }^{2}$

[^68]
## Observed Mean Weight of Englishmen

 according to Hutchinson.| Statare | Recorded Weight | True Wedght |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tn. | 1be. | Ibe. |
| 61 | 119.9 | 113.6 |
| 62 | 126.1 | 119.5 |
| 63 | 132.9 | 125.9 |
| 64 | 138.6 | 131.3 |
| 65 | 142.1 | 134.6 |
| 66 | 144.6 | 187.0 |
| 67 | 148.4 | 140.6 |
| 68 | 155.2 | 147.0 |
| 69 | 162.1 | 153.6 |
| 70 | 168.6 | 159.7 |
| 71 | 174.2 | 165.0 |

From these observations Hutchinson concluded ${ }^{1}$ that the weights increased in the ratio of the $2 \frac{3}{4}$ th powers of the height, and that the average increment of weight for each inch of height, within the limits of ordinary stature, was about 5.43 pounds.

Our own statistics make this increment about $4 \frac{1}{4}$ pounds for each inch - the value deduced from statures between five and six feet being 4.265 , and that from a somewhat wider range, 4.253 pounds.
Mr. Elliott, in his learned paper presented to the Statistical Congress of 1863 , cites ${ }^{2}$ the mean weight of the 27853 recruits to the British army in 1860, from the official statistical report ${ }^{8}$ of that year, as 128 pounds, their mean age being 21.4 years and their mean stature 66.2 inches; and that of 12191 recruits in the year 1861 as 131 pounds, corresponding to the mean age 21.0 years, and the stature 66.8 inches. The statures are not comparable with those of the American army, on account of the minimum limit for enlistments, which varied from 64 to 68 inches during these two years; but the mean weights corresponding to the mean statures are fairly comparable, on the assumption that the men were weighed without clothing and measured without their shoes.

Boudin, in the very able and comprehensive article already alluded to, which the writer has only succeeded in obtaining since

[^69]the completion of the present treatise, gives ${ }^{1}$ the statistics of weight and height of the French regiment of mounted chasseurs of the guard, which had been determined for him by Mr. Allaire, the regimental surgeon. In this regiment of picked men, 705 were examined; their mean height being found to be 167.9 contimeters [ 66.10 in .], and their mean weight 64.5 kilograms [ 142.26 lbs.$]$. Mr . Elliott states ${ }^{2}$ that the mean age of these men was 30 years.
M. Boudin farther quotes ${ }^{8}$ from the Report of a British official commission "On the Sanitary Condition of Large Cities," the following statistics of the mean stature and weight of men of four European countries. Neither the sources of information are given, nor any account of the classes of men, nor any of the conditions or circumstances of the measurement. For England, at least, there is room for very strong suspicion that the weight of the clothing is included in the given weight of the men, and the height of the average of their boot-heels added to their mean stature.

| Nation | Statare | Welath |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgiam . | $\operatorname{lin}_{66 \frac{1}{2}}$ | $\mathrm{ma}_{140 \frac{1}{2}}^{\text {ma }}$ |
| Sweden | 67 | 141 |
| Russia. . | 68 | 148 |
| England . . | 69 | 151 |

In these data the relations of weight to stature are not dissimilar to those which would be inferred from our Table VIII., except for the Russians, whose weight would according to that table be two or three pounds greater, or their stature three quarters of an inch less. Possibly the stature may include their shoes, while the weight of their clothing has been deducted from their total weight.

Considering next the variation in weight for different men of the same height, and still confining ourselves to the white race and to men in full vigor, we obtain the two following tables, which present the maxima and minima observed at each half-inch of stature; the ages of the individuals being also given, together with the total number of men among whom these extreme values were found.

[^70]TABLE XI.
Limits of Weight observed at Different Statures.
White Soldiers.
(Earlier Series.)

| Hedght | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{No} \\ \text { of } \mathrm{Men} \end{gathered}$ | Maximum |  | Minimam |  | Reage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Weight | Ago | Wodght | Age |  |
| Onder 60 | 11 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ibe. } \\ 116.8 \end{gathered}$ | 22 | 132.8 | 14 | 162 44.0 |
| 60 | 4 | 186.8 | 35 | 98.8 | 24 | 38.0 |
| $60 \frac{1}{2}$ | 11 | 182.3 | 22 | 99.3 | 14 | 83.0 |
| 61 | 25 | 159.8 | 27 | 89.3 | 14 | 70.5 |
| $61 \frac{1}{2}$ | 24 | 140.3 | 23 | 95.3 | 15 | 45.0 |
| 62 | 50 | 153.8 | 25 | 98.8 | 17 | B5.0 |
| 621 $\frac{1}{8}$ | 70 | 155.8 | 22 | 94.8 | 16 | 61.0 |
| 63 | 99 | 158.8 | 20 | 101.8 | 23 | 52.0 |
| $63 \frac{1}{2}$ | 161 | 162.3 | 19 | 87.3 | 15 | 75.0 |
| 64 | 182 | 168.8 | 27 | 100.8 | 20 | 63.0 |
| $64 \frac{1}{8}$ | 255 | 178.8 | 87 | 96.3 | 22 | 82.5 |
| 65 | 260 | 175.8 | 44 | 98.8 | 18 | 77.5 |
| 651 | 883 | 174.8 | 25 | 102.3 | 19 | 72.5 |
| 66 | 363 | 175.3 | 19 | 101.8 | 19 | 78.5 |
| 661 | 446 | 224.3 | 30 | 99.8 | 20 | 124.5 |
| 67 | 419 | 202.3 | 18 | 101.8 | 31 | 100.5 |
| $67 \frac{1}{2}$ | 526 | 205.3 | 25 | 107.3 | 24 | 98.0 |
| 68 | 464 | 188.8 | 25 | 99.3 | 20 | 89.5 |
| $68 \frac{1}{2}$ | 481 | 197.8 | 21 | 102.8 | 19 | 95.0 |
| 69 | 378 | 200.8 | 44 | 107.8 | 18 | 80.0 |
| $69 \frac{1}{2}$ | 812 | 191.8 | 28 | 102.8 | 23 | 89.0 |
| 70 | 273 | 193.3 | 18 | 110.8 | 18 | 82.5 |
| $70 \frac{1}{8}$ | 212 | 194.8 | 27 | 121.3 | 19 | 73.5 |
| 71 | 148 | 195.8 | 23 | 127.8 | 27 | 68.0 |
| $71 \frac{1}{8}$ | 110 | 228.3 | 37 | 119.8 | 17 | 109.0 |
| 72 | 76 | 196.8 | 81 | 112.8 | 86 | 84.5 |
| $72 \frac{1}{2}$ | 55 | 191.8 | 88 | 138.8 | 19 | 53.0 |
| 73 | 39 | 206.8 | 29 | 137.3 | 22 | 69.5 |
| 731 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 20 | 206.8 | 22 | 118.8 | 24 | 88.0 |
| 74 | 17 | 184.8 | 23 | 136.3 | 28 | 48.5 |
| $74 \frac{1}{2}$ | 10 | 209.8 | 38 | 158.8 | 21 | 51.0 |
| 75 | 8 | 205.8 | 26 | 145.3 | 29 | 60.6 |
| Over 75 | 4 | 205.3 | 20 | 155.8 | 25 | 49.6 |

TABLE XII.
Limits of Weight observed at Different Statures.
White Soldiers.
(Later Series.)

| Holght | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \text { of sten } \end{aligned}$ | Maxdmum |  | Minimum |  | Rager |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Woight | Age | Weight | Ago |  |
| Onder 60 | 22 | $\begin{gathered} \text { lbe. } \\ 125.8 \end{gathered}$ | 25 | $\begin{gathered} \text { lba. } \\ 64.8 \end{gathered}$ | 19 | ${ }^{\text {lbe. }}$ |
| 60 | 11 | 137.3 | 26 | 91.8 | 17 | 45.5 |
| $60 \frac{1}{2}$ | 23 | 136.8 | 21 | 96.8 | 16 | 40.0 |
| 61 | 26 | 144.3 | 21 | 91.8 | 16 | 52.5 |
| $61 \frac{1}{2}$ | 40 | 158.8 | 88 | 94.8 | 21 | 64.0 |
| 62 | 88 | 154.8 | 25 | 90.8 | 17 | 64.0 |
| 621 | 117 | 151.8 | 27 | 96.8 | 21 | 55.0 |
| 63 | 159 | 146.8 | 23 | 98.8 | 16 | 48.0 |
| $63 \frac{1}{2}$ | 236 | 169.8 | 24 | 91.8 | 16 | 78.0 |
| 64 | 315 | 163.3 | 36 | 95.8 | 22 | 67.5 |
| $64 \frac{1}{8}$ | 469 | 166.8 | 43 | 98.8 | 17 | 68.0 |
| 65 | 463 | 175.3 | 18 | 98.3 | 18 | 77.0 |
| $65 \frac{1}{2}$ | 664 | 173.8 | 41 | 101.3 | 19 | 72.5 |
| 68 | 521 | 184.8 | 33 | 107.3 | 18 | 77.5 |
| $66 \frac{1}{2}$ | 810 | 194.8 | 36 | 104.8 | 17 | 90.0 |
| 67 | 763 | 196.8 | 25 | 110.3 | 21 | 86.5 |
| $67 \frac{1}{2}$ | 853 | 206.8 | 25 | 99.8 | 17 | 107.0 |
| 68 | 701 | 213.8 | 27 | 111.3 | 23 | 102.5 |
| $68 \frac{1}{2}$ | 688 | 213.8 | 24 | 107.8 | 16 | 106.0 |
| 69 | 473 | 219.8 | 30 | 115.8 | 17 | 104.0 |
| $69 \frac{1}{2}$ | 457 | 196.8 | 54 | 113.8 | 27 | 83.0 |
| 70 | 323 | 196.8 | 43 | 107.8 | 18 | 89.0 |
| $70 \frac{1}{2}$ | 250 | 207.8 | 42 | 127.8 | 22 | 80.0 |
| 71 | 183 | 213.8 | 53 | 123.8 | 27 | 90.0 |
| $71 \frac{1}{2}$ | 135 | 209.8 | 24 | 116.8 | 29 | 93.0 |
| 72 | 118 | 202.8 | 25 | 133.8 | 26 | 69.0 |
| $72 \frac{1}{2}$ | 80 | 200.8 | 28 | 137.3 | 21 | 63.5 |
| 73 | 47 | 200.8 | 85 | 138.8 | 24 | 62.0 |
| 731 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 84 | 201.8 | 88 | 144.8 | 21 | 57.0 |
| 74 | 25 | 209.8 | 44 | 145.8 | 27 | 64.0 |
| 741 | 6 | 191.8 | 21 | 160.8 | 35 | 41.0 |
| 75 | 4 | 172.8 | 19 | 157.8 | 36 | 15.0 |
| Ores 75 | 18 | 192.8 | 29 | 150.8 | 23 | 42.0 |

When we subject the weights of the negroes and Indians to a similar discussion, we find the numbers of men in the several height-groups insufficient for establishing any definite law for
weight as dependent upon stature, although the indications are decided that a relation holds good for these other races similar to that which we have found to exist in the white race.

Our statistics for the full blacks, mulattoes, and Indians, in usual vigor, are assorted by height in the following table.

TABLE XIII.
Mean Weights of Negroes and Indians, by Height.

| Height | Pull Blecks |  | Malattoes |  | Asgregato |  | Indians |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Weight | No. | Weight | No. | Weight | No. | Waight |
| Onder 60 | 9 | $\stackrel{\text { lba }}{116.83}$ | 2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { lba. } \\ 117.11 \end{gathered}$ | 11 | $\begin{gathered} \text { lba. } \\ 116.88 \end{gathered}$ | - | ne. |
| 60 | 5 | 118.10 | 3 | 121.12 | 8 | 119.23 | - |  |
| $60 \frac{1}{2}$ | 13 | 124.51 | 5 | 123.87 | 18 | 124.33 | - | - |
| 61 | 12 | 122.52 | 4 | 128.66 | 16 | 124.05 | - | - |
| 611 | 19 | 126.85 | 10 | 123.52 | 29 | 125.70 | - |  |
| 62 | 33 | 128.68 | 3 | 139.89 | 36 | 129.61 | - | - |
| 621 | 43 | 130.38 | 25 | 130.56 | 68 | 130.45 | 1 | 183.79 |
| 63 | 48 | 131.84 | 35 | 131.22 | 83 | 131.58 | - | - |
| 631 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 73 | 130.31 | 30 | 136.71 | 103 | 132.17 | - | - |
| 64 | 74 | 186.46 | 46 | 136.65 | 120 | 136.63 | 1 | 132.29 |
| $64 \frac{1}{2}$ | 102 | 188.63 | 47 | 138.89 | 149 | 138.71 | 2 | 164.04 |
| 65 | 105 | 140.71 | 41 | 144.21 | 146 | 141.69 | 4 | 140.04 |
| $65 \frac{1}{2}$ | 110 | 139.94 | 54 | 142.49 | 164 | 140.78 | 22 | 143.36 |
| 66 | 137 | 140.75 | 57 | 143.30 | 194 | 141.50 | 9 | 146.79 |
| $66 \frac{1}{2}$ | 122 | 144.01 | 62 | 149.96 | 184 | 146.01 | 25 | 143.13 |
| 67 | 135 | 146.52 | 44 | 147.94 | 179 | 146.87 | 25 | 153.39 |
| $67 \frac{1}{2}$ | 116 | 150.65 | 60 | 150.32 | 176 | 150.54 | 116 | 154.44 |
| 68 | 102 | 151.81 | 32 | 150.99 | 134 | 151.61 | 54 | 157.84 |
| $68 \frac{1}{2}$ | 93 | 153.74 | 33 | 152.70 | 126 | 153.47 | 111 | 167.12 |
| 69 | 74 | 156.24 | 30 | 158.70 | 104 | 156.95 | 21 | 168.26 |
| 691 | 57 | 159.07 | 23 | 157.39 | 80 | 158.59 | 50 | 174.38 |
| 70 | 32 | 162.83 | 21 | 156.56 | 53 | 160.35 | 17 | 176.82 |
| $70 \frac{1}{2}$ | 32 | 162.89 | 8 | 157.52 | 40 | 161.82 | 22 | 185.24 |
| 71 | 15 | 166.50 | 11 | 157.32 | 26 | 162.62 | 5 | 188.19 |
| $71 \frac{1}{2}$ | 22 | 170.02 | 9 | 171.56 | 31 | 170.47 | 8 | 186.29 |
| 72 | 14 | 167.10 | 6 | 163.31 | 20 | 165.96 | 2 | 209.29 |
| $72 \frac{1}{2}$ | 8 | 170.28 | 4 | 180.29 | 12 | 173.62 | 8 | 198.04 |
| 73 | 8 | 166.46 | - | - | 3 | 166.46 | 1 | 197.79 |
| $78 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 164.79 | - | - | 1 | 164.79 | 1 | 166.79 |
| 74 | 1 | 212.00 | - | - | 1 | 212.00 | 1 | 196.79 |
| $74 \frac{1}{2}$ | - | - | 1 | 170.79 | 1 | 170.79 | - | - |
| 75 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Over 75 | 8 | 178.58 | 2 | 163.11 | 5 | 169.86 | 1 | 180.20 |

Assuming the law of increase according to the square of the height to hold for the weights of the full blacks, the most probable modulus deducible from our materials is 0.03296 , with which the theoretical weights for this race of men have been computed for each half-inch of stature. These and their discordances from the observed mean weights are given in Table XIV.

TABLE XIV.<br>Theoretical Weights of Full Blacks<br>at Different Statures.

| Height | Weight | Comp.-Obs. | Helght | Welght | Comp.-Obe. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { in. } \\ \mathbf{6 0} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { lba. } \\ 118.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { lba } \\ +0.6 \end{array}$ | $\operatorname{cn}_{66 \frac{1}{2}}$ | $145.8$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { lba } \\ +1.8 \end{array}$ |
| $60 \frac{1}{2}$ | 120.6 | - 3.9 | 67 | 148.0 | +1.5 |
| 61 | 122.7 | + 0.2 | $67 \frac{1}{2}$ | 150.2 | -0.5 |
| $61 \frac{1}{2}$ | 124.7 | -2.2 | 68 | 152.4 | + 0.6 |
| 62 | 126.7 | -2.0 | 681 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 154.7 | +1.0 |
| 621 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 128.8 | -1.6 | 69 | 156.9 | + 0.7 |
| 63 | 130.8 | -1.0 | 691 | 159.2 | +0.1 |
| 631 | 132.9 | + 2.6 | 70 | 161.5 | -1.3 |
| 64 | 135.0 | -1.5 | 701 $\frac{1}{8}$ | 163.8 | + 0.9 |
| 641 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 137.1 | -1.5 | 71 | 166.2 | -0.3 |
| 65 | 139.3 | -1.4 | $71 \frac{1}{2}$ | 168.5 | -1.5 |
| 651 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 141.4 | +1.5 | 72 | 170.9 | + 3.8 |
| 66 | 143.6 | +2.8 | 72⿺ $\frac{1}{2}$ | 173.3 | +3.0 |

Although the accordances here are neither so close as those exhibited in Table X., nor the distribution of their signs so equable, there seems to be small room for doubt that more copions statistics would afford a more perfect agreement between the observed mean weights and those afforded by the law of the squares of the height.

For the mulattoes and the Indians our observations are not numerous enough to render similar investigations valuable. So far as we can form any good opinion, it is in favor of the existence of the same law, though with a different modulus for each class of men.

The observed limits of weight, among the individuals examined in the several classes of men, are shown by the appended table.

## TABLE XV.

Limits of Weight observed in each Class of Men examined.

| Cless | In asual Vigor |  |  | Not in usual Vigor |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. Men | Max'm | Min'm | No. Men | Max'm | Min'm |
| White Soldiers, Earlier Series | 5936 | 1bs. 228.3 | lbe. 72.8 | 2162 | 1 lba 229.8 | 16. 78.3 |
| White Soldiers, Later Series | 9157 | 219.8 | 64.8 | 1600 | 230.8 | 90.3 |
| Sailors . | 1144 | 204.0 | 58.0 | - | - | - |
| Students | 288 | 190.8 | 103.8 | - | - | - |
| Full Blacks | 1775 | 212.0 | 95.0 | 226 | 182.8 | 96.0 |
| Mulattoes | 680 | 206.0 | 96.8 | 140 | 198.3 | 74.4 |
| Indians . | 507 | 276.8 | 123.8 | 9 | 172.3 | 128.8 |

## 2. Relations of Weight to Age.

The variation of weight of the human body with the age was carefully investigated by Quetelet, from the largest collection of materials available at the time. He obtained approximately typical numbers, representing this change and its rate ; and the alterations in the ratio of weight to stature gave the corresponding measure of the lateral expansion of the body, or its development in weight irrespective of increase in height.

For carrying out a similar investigation upon the extended scale which the present collection of materials permits, the weights of the various classes of men here examined have been assorted with regard both to age and stature, and the means taken for each group. These are presented in the next series of tables, in which, following the fundamental principle which has governed the arrangement and preparation of the present volume, the aim has been to furnish trustworthy facts and materials ready for use, rather than to attempt any thorough discussion. Inevitable restrictions of time in preparing the work for the press preclude our deduction of inferences to any adequate extent; but the very simple process of converting these tables of actual weight into corresponding ones for the ratio between weight and stature will exhibit the average lateral growth for each stature during the years of military age. The amount of this lateral development will be found somewhat less than Quetelet's statistics imply, as may be inferred from a very slight inspection of the mean weights found for the
same stature at different ages ; and also, crudely but clearly, from the Table XXV., which exhibits the mean weights at each year of age for the several classes of white men and for their total, irrespective of their height. It will be seen that after deducting one eighteenth part of the total weight, as a crude estimate of the weight of the clothing, the weights found by Forbes for Irish students at the Edinburgh University between the ages of 16 and 26 , will accord closely with the results here deduced, for white soldiers, in Tables XXV. and XXVII.

The next nine tables (XVI. to XXIV.) contain the mean weights for each year of age (last birthday) and for each successive height, for men in vigorous health only; the assortment being by half-inches of stature for the white soldiers, and by whole inches for the other classes of men. Table XVI. gives the results from the men examined in the earlier series, all of whom were white soldiers; Table XVII. similarly contains the means for the white soldiers of the later series; and Table XVIII. those deduced from the aggregate of these two series, including a few men of the earlier series, for whom the returns were received, after the completion of Table XVI. In Table XIX. are given the values found for sailors; in Table XX. those for students; and in Tables XXI. to XXIV. those for the negroes and Iroquois Indians. In the last-named four tables, the observed weights at the several half-inches of stature have been aggregated with those for the full inch next preceding ${ }_{9}$ and the means deduced from the sum of the two groups are entered as belonging to the stature represented by the intermediate quarter-inch. The close agreement between the results deduced for full blacks and for mulattoes seemed to make it advisable to consolidate the separate Tables XXI. and XXII. into one, and Table XXIII. was thus formed, comprising all the black men, whether of pure blood or not. To this series of tables are subjoined two others, showing the mean weights of the men at each year of age, their stature being disregarded; Table XXV. comprising the results for white men, and Table XXVI. those for the other races to which our observations have extended.

## TABLE XVI.

Mean Weights of White Soldiers, by Age and Height.
(Earlier Series.)

| Age | 64 Inches |  | $64 \frac{1}{2}$ Inches |  | 65 Inches |  | $65 \frac{1}{2}$ Inches |  | 66 Inches |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Weight | No. | Weight | No. | Weight | No. | Weight | No. | Weight |
| 15 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { lbs. } \\ 112.8 \end{gathered}$ | 3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { lbs. } \\ 119.8 \end{gathered}$ | 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { lbs. } \\ 128.5 \end{gathered}$ | 2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1bs. } \\ 114.8 \end{gathered}$ | 6 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{lbs} . \\ 121.8 \end{gathered}$ |
| 16 | 10 | 125.6 | 8 | 119.4 | 5 | 140.0 | 8 | 118.7 | 6 | 126.3 |
| 17 | 12 | 129.0 | 18 | 124.0 | 10 | 138.6 | 17 | 129.9 | 14 | 130.5 |
| 18 | 26 | 122.4 | 26 | 133.1 | 17 | 126.2 | 40 | 132.3 | 31 | 133.2 |
| 19 | 16 | 126.3 | 28 | 134.7 | 37 | 129.0 | 32 | 129.6 | 43 | 137.5 |
| 20 | 15 | 127.9 | 30 | 131.4 | 28 | 133.0 | 40 | 136.6 | 29 | 137.1 |
| 21 | 25 | 131.9 | 16 | 137.0 | 27 | 132.9 | 39 | 138.9 | 36 | 134.4 |
| 22 | 14 | 129.8 | 22 | 131.1 | 17 | 133.9 | 35 | 136.8 | 25 | 139.0 |
| 23 | 12 | 132.4 | 19 | 133.6 | 23 | 136.0 | 20 | 133.1 | 35 | 134.9 |
| 24 | 8 | 127.7 | 13 | 137.7 | 13 | 136.3 | 32 | 138.8 | 15 | 139.2 |
| 25 | 3 | 126.6 | 7 | 133.7 | 9 | 137.9 | 12 | 141.5 | 18 | 141.5 |
| 26 | 8 | 136.4 | 6 | 140.5 | 4 | 139.4 | 11 | 133.3 | 16 | 139.4 |
| 27 | 3 | 127.0 | 7 | 134.7 | 12 | 139.5 | 14 | 137.6 | 8 | 136.5 |
| 28 | 4 | 138.3 | 5 | 148.6 | 8 | 140.7 | 10 | 138.4 | 16 | 141.5 |
| 29 | 1 | 111.8 | 8 | 130.2 | 6 | 134.5 | 8 | 136.8 | 10 | 134.1 |
| 30 | 2 | 136.8 | 7 | 141.6 | 3 | 140.3 | 7 | 137.0 | 7 | 146.9 |
| 31 | 1 | 144.3 | 1 | 145.3 | 3 | 130.8 | 5 | 134.4 | 2 | 137.0 |
| 32 | - | - | 2 | 118.3 | 3 | 120.6 | 10 | 139.2 | 5 | 133.2 |
| 33 | 2 | 129.3 | 1 | 118.3 | 2 | 152.8 | 4 | 144.2 | 4 | 140.3 |
| 34 | 1 | 138.8 | 2 | 126.8 | 3 | 133.3 | 1 | 138.8 | 4 | 141.6 |
| 35 | 2 | 118.0 | 3 | 130.6 | 3 | 143.1 | 3 | 149.5 | 2 | 153.0 |
| 36 | 1 | 145.8 | 4 | 142.3 | 3 | 132.1 | 7 | 150.2 | 4 | 134.8 |
| 37 | 1 | 137.8 | 3 | 148.5 | - | - | 3 | 143.1 | 1 | 131.8 |
| 38 | 2 | 144.5 | 1 | 128.8 | 1 | 171.3 | 3 | 132.0 | 4 | 139.0 |
| 39 | - | - | 1 | 169.3 | 2 | 124.8 | 1 | 135.3 | 4 | 144.3 |
| 40 | - | - | 1 | 138.3 | - | - | 1 | 111.8 | - | - |
| 41 | 1 | 117.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 42 | 1 | 152.3 | - | - | 1 | 139.8 | 1 | 166.8 | 2 | 154.0 |
| 43 | - | - | 1 | 150.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 44 | - | - | 1 | 140.3 | 1 | 175.8 | 1 | 131.8 | - | - |
| 45 | 4 | 148.4 | 2 | 137.5 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 142.5 |
| 46 | 1 | 140.3 | 1 | 167.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 47 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 48 | 1 | 142.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 49 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 50 | - | - | 1 | 117.3 | 1 | 166.3 | 1 | 128.8 | - | - |
| 51 | - | - | 1 | 114.8 | - | - | 1 | 123.8 | - | - |
| 52 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 53 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 119.8 | - | - | - | - |
| 54 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 55 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

## TABLE XVI. - (Continued.)

Mean Weights of White Soldiers, by Age and Height.
(Earlier Series.)

| Age | 661 Inches |  | 67 Inches |  | $67 \frac{1}{2}$ Inches |  | 68 Inches |  | 681 $\frac{1}{2}$ Inches |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Weight | No. | Weight | No. | Weight | No. | Weight | No. | Weight |
| 15 | 2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { lbs, } \\ 119.0 \end{gathered}$ | - | lbs. | - | ${ }^{\text {lbs. }}$ | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ibe. } \\ 120.3 \end{gathered}$ | - | ${ }_{-}^{\text {lbe }}$ |
| 16 | 4 | 123.4 | 2 | 137.3 | 3 | 142.1 | 5 | 132.0 | - | - |
| 17 | 17 | 128.4 | 14 | 134.4 | 16 | 135.6 | 8 | 136.5 | 13 | 142.8 |
| 18 | 31 | 131.6 | 38 | 137.6 | 34 | 142.3 | 27 | 138.9 | 24 | 143.6 |
| 19 | 42 | 135.7 | 38 | 141.2 | 53 | 139.2 | 37 | 139.2 | 32 | 139.7 |
| 20 | 60 | 139.8 | 52 | 138.7 | 51 | 143.5 | 47 | 147.1 | 54 | 148.6 |
| 21 | 41 | 142.3 | 43 | 143.1 | 55 | 141.9 | 45 | 445.9 | 55 | 147.4 |
| 22 | 39 | 141.8 | 42 | 1439 | 51 | 146.1 | 40 | 148.6 | 35 | 148.0 |
| 23 | 22 | 138.4 | 30 | 142.7 | 42 | 145.3 | 32 | 142.9 | 45 | 146.5 |
| 24 | 26 | 137.0 | 17 | 148.0 | 40 | 149.0 | 41 | 147.2 | 32 | 151.3 |
| 25 | 26 | 141.9 | 15 | 148.9 | 22 | 147.7 | 24 | 154.0 | 29 | 152.0 |
| 26 | 17 | 145.5 | 17 | 147.4 | 18 | 143.6 | 18 | 148.5 | 22 | 154.8 |
| 27 | 12 | 140.9 | 16 | 141.0 | 19 | 152.9 | 15 | 148.4 | 21 | 150.5 |
| 28 | 14 | 141.5 | 12 | 144.0 | 9 | 147.8 | 16 | 149.9 | 19 | 148.7 |
| 29 | 6 | 138.6 | 6 | 142.3 | 9 | 148.5 | 20 | 150.3 | 14 | 150.3 |
| 30 | 10 | 155.9 | 10 | 147.3 | 15 | 151.4 | 18 | 146.1 | 11 | 162.5 |
| 31 | 4 | 134.7 | 7 | 138.6 | 5 | 149.6 | 8 | 147.6 | 7 | 146.6 |
| 32 | 7 | 142.3 | 9 | 149.1 | 7 | 142.4 | 8 | 152.6 | 4 | 158.2 |
| 33 | 9 | 158.9 | 1 | 151.8 | 5 | 141.6 | 7 | 147.9 | 7 | 150.2 |
| 34 | 4 | 144.5 | 5 | 151.7 | 7 | 151.9 | 7 | 154.1 | 7 | 155.1 |
| 35 | 3 | 150.0 | 12 | 146.5 | 9 | 148.1 | 4 | 156.8 | 8 | 153.0 |
| 36 | 8 | 139.7 | 3 | 153.0 | 4 | 141.5 | 1 | 149.8 | 5 | 155.7 |
| 37 | 3 | 144.8 | 3 | 137.6 | 6 | 147.5 | 3 | 133.8 | 5 | 150.8 |
| 38 | 4 | 134.3 | 3 | 140.8 | 2 | 139.0 | 1 | 144.3 | 1 | 149.8 |
| 39 | 4 | 136.9 | 1 | 126.3 | 3 | 146.0 | - | - | 1 | 124.3 |
| 40 | 1 | 160.8 | 2 | 171.3 | 3 | 173.0 | 2 | 147.8 | 2 | 154.0 |
| 41 | 4 | 140.7 | - | - | 3 | 148.6 | - | - | 1 | 153.3 |
| 42 | 1 | 147.3 | - | - | 6 | 150.9 | - | - | . 2 | 139.0 |
| 43 | - | - | 1 | 152.3 | 1 | 154.3 | 3 | 140.6 | 4 | 156.9 |
| 44 | 1 | 150.8 | 2 | 154.3 | 3 | 141.6 | 2 | 133.5 | 3 | 155.8 |
| 45 | 2 | 153.8 | 2 | 161.0 | - | - | 1 | 139.8 | - | - |
| 46 | 3 | 143.5 | 2 | 139.3 | 1 | 143.8 | - | - | 2 | 163.5 |
| 47 | 1 | 143.3 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 158.8 | - | - |
| 48 | 2 | 150.3 | - | - | 1 | 140.8 | - | - | 1 | 164.3 |
| 49 | 1 | 160.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 50 | - | - | 1 | 156.8 | - | - | 1 | 143.5 | - | - |
| 51 | 1 | 123.8 | 1 | 147.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 52 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 144.8 | - | - |
| 53 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 144.8 | - | - | - | - |
| 54 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 55 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

## T A B L E XVI. - (Continued.)

Mean Weights of White Soldiers, by Age and Height.
(Earlier Series.)

| A80 | 69 Inches |  | 001 Inches |  | 70 Inches |  | 701 Inchee |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Weight | No. | Welght | No. | Wetght | No. | Wedsht |
|  |  | 1 be . |  | Ibe. |  | 1 be . |  | Me. |
| 15 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 16 | 2 | 125.5 | 1 | 113.3 | - | - | - | - |
| 17 | 3 | 142.6 | 3 | 135.6 | 3 | 150.5 | 4 | 140.3 |
| 18 | 27 | 141.1 | 13 | 149.9 | 12 | 150.3 | 10 | 145.7 |
| 19 | 30 | 146.1 | 22 | 147.0 | 21 | 146.6 | 10 | 152.8 |
| 20 | 37 | 150 ? | 27 | 144.8 | 24 | 153.4 | 14 | 152.7 |
| 21 | 37 | 146.6 | 34 | 163.0 | 29 | 156.2 | 26 | 154.8 |
| 22 | 36 | 151.5 | 29 | 147.6 | 32 | 158.3 | 18 | 152.9 |
| 23 | 29 | 150.8 | 18 | 146.4 | 23 | 153.9 | 20 | 150.4 |
| 24 | 23 | 150.6 | 20 | 150.4 | 19 | 152.7 | 19 | 162.6 |
| 25 | 20 | 154.3 | 22 | 154.3 | 19 | 155.6 | 12 | 162.8 |
| 26 | 11 | 151.4 | 17 | 153.4 | 6 | 156.5 | 15 | 158.2 |
| 27 | 16 | 149.8 | 16 | 155.3 | 10 | 152.1 | 8 | 159.2 |
| 28 | 20 | 150.6 | 15 | 156.5 | 12 | 156.4 | 7 | 160.4 |
| 29 | 7 | 147.2 | 9 | 156.9 | 8 | 159.2 | 8 | 166.0 |
| 30 | 7 | 160.4 | 5 | 152.8 | 8 | 158.4 | 2 | 154.5 |
| 31 | 7 | 152.3 | 8 | 162.2 | 5 | 149.1 | 3 | 162.5 |
| 32 | 5 | 156.2 | 4 | 148.7 | 7 | 163.9 | 4 | 174.5 |
| 38 | 8 | 160.5 | 6 | 155.8 | 1 | 175.8 | 5 | 161.9 |
| 84 | 3 | 146.3 | 2 | 170.0 | 2 | 151.5 | 3 | 179.1 |
| 35 | 10 | 143.6 | 5 | 139.5 | 4 | 141.8 | 2 | 161.8 |
| 36 | 4 | 156.5 | - | - | 1 | 161.3 | 2 | 160.8 |
| 37 | 5 | 152.6 | 4 | 152.4 | 1 | 160.3 | - | - |
| 38 | 3 | 156.8 | 2 | 163.8 | 3 | 154.8 | 1 | 151.3 |
| 39 | - | - | 1 | 148.8 | 4 | 158.9 | 4 | 153.8 |
| 40 | 1 | 136.8 | 2 | 173.0 | - | - | 2 | 177.3 |
| 41 | - | - | 1 | 166.8 | 1 | 138.8 | 2 | 161.5 |
| 42 | 5 | 165.7 | 4 | 148.8 | 2 | 177.0 | 1 | 181.8 |
| 43 | 4 | 143.6 | 2 | 161.3 | - | - | - | - |
| 44 | 2 | 186.0 | 2 | 158.5 | 1 | 140.8 | 1 | 159.8 |
| 45 | 1 | 162.8 | 2 | 146.8 | 2 | 154.8 | - | - |
| 46 | 1 | 160.8 | - | - | 1 | 172.8 | - | - |
| 47 | 1 | 162.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 48 | 2 | 154.5 | 2 | 158.8 | - | - | - | - |
| 49 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 50 | 1 | 146.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 51 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 150.8 | - | - |
| 52 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 53 | - | - | 1 | 150.3 | 2 | 164.3 | - | - |
| 54 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 55 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

## TABLE XVII.

## Mean Weights of White Soldiers, by Age and Height.

## (Later Series.)

| Age | 64 Inches |  | 641 1 Inches |  | 65 Inches |  | $65 \frac{1}{2}$ Inches |  | 66 Inches |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Weight | No. | Weight | No. | Weight | No. | Weight | No. | Weight |
| 15 | 2 | lbs. 116.8 | - | lbs. | 4 | lbs. <br> 127.8 | - | lbs. | 3 | lbs. <br> 117.8 |
| 16 | 9 | 123.6 | 9 | 119.7 | 9 | 114.1 | 10 | 129.1 | 7 | 125.4 |
| 17 | 17 | 124.5 | 22 | 118.4 | 17 | 122.7 | 19 | 131.2 | 18 | 130.7 |
| 18 | 33 | 122.0 | 50 | 127.1 | 40 | 127.0 | 53 | 128.2 | 53 | 130.2 |
| 19 | 36 | 122.8 | 37 | 127.0 | 43 | 128.0 | 50 | 131.0 | 41 | 133.2 |
| 20 | 14 | 128.4 | 43 | 129.7 | 42 | 133.6 | 58 | 131.8 | 42 | 135.9 |
| 21 | 32 | 131.7 | 37 | 130.1 | 37 | 134.2 | 51 | 134.7 | 47 | 136.5 |
| 22 | 23 | 129.1 | 44 | 133.5 | 46 | 135.2 | 67 | 133.6 | 38 | 139.6 |
| 23 | 20 | 137.7 | 38 | 133.1 | 31 | 135.6 | 48 | 136.8 | 27 | 139.6 |
| 24 | 17 | 132.7 | 22 | 133.0 | 26 | 133.9 | 48 | 137.2 | 38 | 141.7 |
| 25 | 19 | 134.0 | 20 | 127.1 | 24 | 131.3 | 22 | 136.5 | 28 | 137.7 |
| 26 | 7 | 136.9 | 14 | 135.4 | 14 | 133.1 | 32 | 139.7 | 17 | 141.6 |
| 27 | 6 | 126.9 | 17 | 134.9 | 17 | 134.6 | 17 | 135.7 | 15 | 144.5 |
| 28 | 8 | 129.3 | 14 | 137.6 | 13 | 137.7 | 18 | 138.5 | 19 | 136.2 |
| 29 | 9 | 130.4 | 18 | 135.3 | 10 | 134.7 | 18 | 135.7 | 6 | 135.9 |
| 30 | 5 | 139.3 | 10 | 130.4 | 8 | 130.3 | 22 | 136.2 | 20 | 141.5 |
| 31 | 7 | 132.6 | 7 | 133.9 | 7 | 125.5 | 15 | 137.7 | 7 | 147.6 |
| 32 | 6 | 136.0 | 9 | 128.8 | 15 | 133.8 | 17 | 138.0 | 12 | 140.6 |
| 33 | 9 | 132.0 | 8 | 130.7 | 5 | 138.3 | 6 | 143.0 | 14 | 150.7 |
| 34 | 3 | 137.8 | 7 | 131.1 | 6 | 131.3 | 15 | 135.3 | 6 | 139.7 |
| 35 | 5 | 131.4 | 10 | 131.8 | 9 | 131.3 | 4 | 133.9 | 8 | 139.0 |
| 36 | 5 | 141.6 | 4 | 146.6 | 6 | 130.7 | 9 | 141.5 | 6 | 137.0 |
| 37 | - | - | 3 | 131.5 | 3 | 138.8 | 8 | 136.8 | 9 | 138.9 |
| 38 | 6 | 133.6 | 7 | 128.4 | 5 | 132.9 | 7 | 139.0 | 5 | 145.3 |
| 39 | 4 | 137.2 | 3 | 130.5 | 4 | 137.9 | 5 | 134.2 | 7 | 138.7 |
| 40 | 2 | 127.5 | 2 | 120.5 | 3 | 129.0 | 9 | 141.9 | 4 | 148.0 |
| 41 | 1 | 150.8 | - | - | 3 | 127.1 | 4 | 153.8 | 2 | 125.5 |
| 42 | 2 | 128.8 | 3 | 142.8 | 3 | 137.7 | 4 | 136.8 | 6 | 137.2 |
| 43 | 2 | 127.3 | 4 | 138.5 | 3 | 138.8 | 4 | 143.0 | 2 | 132.3 |
| 44 | 1 | 140.8 | 2 | 137.3 | 3 | 145.1 | 2 | 139.8 | 5 | 141.2 |
| 45 | 3 | 132.1 | 1 | 128.8 | 2 | 150.3 | 6 | 143.7 | 2 | 150.8 |
| 46 | - | - | 1 | 111.8 | - | - | 5 | 135.6 | 2 | 143.8 |
| 47 | 1 | 115.8 | - | - | 1 | 118.8 | 1 | 138.8 | - | - |
| 48 | - | - | 1 | 124.8 | 2 | 129.3 | 1 | 131.8 | 2 | 135.8 |
| 49 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 50 | 1 | 124.8 | - | - | - | - | 4 | 136.9 | - | - |
| 51 | - | - | 1 | 148.8 | - | - | 2 | 139.8 | - | - |
| 52 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 142.8 | 1 | 137.8 |
| 53 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 54 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 160.3 | - | - |
| 55 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 143.8 | 2 | 138.8 |

## T ABLE XVII. - (Continued.)

## Mean. Weights of White Soldiers, by Age and Height.

(Leter Serice.)

| Age | 661 1 Inches |  | 67 Inches |  | $67 \frac{1}{2}$ Inches |  | 68 Inches |  | $68 \frac{1}{2}$ Inches |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Weight | No. | Weight | No. | Weight | No. | Weight | No. | Weight |
| 15 | 2 | lbs. 133.8 | 1 | lbs. $115.8$ | - | 1bs. | - | ${ }^{\text {lbs }}$ | - | ${ }^{\text {lbs. }}$ |
| 16 | 10 | 128.3 | 11 | 130.9 | 7 | 129.3 | 5 | 132.1 | 4 | 124.9 |
| 17 | 18 | 126.4 | 20 | 136.7 | 10 | 133.1 | 11 | 132.2 | 5 | 138.0 |
| 18 | 52 | 131.0 | 40 | 133.2 | 50 | 137.9 | 47 | 139.4 | 48 | 141.7 |
| 19 | 53 | 136.5 | 51 | 136.5 | 52 | 141.0 | 54 | 143.6 | 48 | 145.1 |
| 20 | 87 | 137.3 | 69 | 141.4 | 77 | 142.3 | 64 | 145.0 | 55 | 146.0 |
| 21 | 72 | 137.9 | 64 | 138.7 | 85 | 143.3 | 59 | 146.2 | 61 | 146.7 |
| 22 | 92 | 137.7 | 74 | 141.4 | 84 | 142.4 | 73 | 143.8 | 57 | 146.2 |
| 23 | 50 | 139.8 | 48 | 140.6 | 61 | 143.8 | 59 | 148.0 | 51 | 148.1 |
| 24 | 65 | 141.8 | 59 | 145.2 | 70 | 146.9 | 51 | 147.5 | 58 | 149.4 |
| 25 | 41 | 143.2 | 31 | 148.1 | 36 | 145.1 | 35 | 148.0 | 41 | 148.1 |
| 26 | 32 | 139.4 | 39 | 145.1 | 37 | 145.4 | 24 | 151.0 | 37 | 150.1 |
| 27 | 19 | 144.3 | 27 | 145.2 | 23 | 149.6 | 27 | 150.3 | 28 | 152.7 |
| 28 | 21 | 137.4 | 27 | 144.9 | 40 | 147.9 | 29 | 143.9 | 30 | 153.4 |
| 29 | 25 | 139.8 | 20 | 147.2 | 20 | 144.5 | 18 | 147.9 | 23 | 152.4 |
| 30 | 19 | 142.2 | 30 | 146.1 | 24 | 146.1 | 24 | 149.2 | 20 | 147.3 |
| 31 | 13 | 140.0 | 18 | 143.2 | 14 | 142.6 | 11 | 147.9 | 8 | 150.2 |
| 32 | 20 | 140.1 | 11 | 138.5 | 19 | 146.3 | 17 | 146.3 | 19 | 146.4 |
| 33 | 9 | 149.0 | 11 | 144.1 | 18 | 150.3 | 9 | 151.6 | 9 | 152.6 |
| 34 | 5 | 147.4 | 14 | 146.2 | 24 | 146.3 | 10 | 147.0 | 19 | 148.3 |
| 35 | 20 | 146.6 | 16 | 143.9 | 17 | 149.9 | 7 | 147.4 | 18 | 151.3 |
| 36 | 11 | 153.8 | 11 | 144.6 | 12 | 147.6 | 4 | 154.4 | 9 | 148.7 |
| 37 | 9 | 135.5 | 9 | 142.0 | 9 | 151.7 | 8 | 148.9 | 3 | 145.8 |
| 38 | 17 | 138.9 | 8 | 151.8 | 9 | 151.5 | 6 | 143.6 | 6 | 151.3 |
| 39 | 5 | 144.9 | 6 | 148.0 | 8 | 146.6 | 11 | 149.1 | 4 | 138.4 |
| 40 | 3 | 161.6 | 10 | 146.2 | 9 | 148.2 | 8 | 143.7 | 6 | 150.5 |
| 41 | 4 | 140.9 | 6 | 144.0 | 2 | 136.0 | 5 | 139.9 | 2 | 144.3 |
| 42 | 4 | 146.0 | 5 | 137.6 | 5 | 135.4 | 3 | 149.1 | 10 | 149.3 |
| 43 | 7 | 149.8 | 4 | 151.0 | 8 | 141.5 | 1 | 122.8 | 5 | 150.7 |
| 44 | 7 | 149.5 | 7 | 149.9 | 4 | 145.9 | 4 | 152.3 | 1 | 142.3 |
| 45 | 3 | 151.5 | 2 | 133.5 | 6 | 145.9 | 9 | 149.2 | - | - |
| 46 | 4 | 143.8 | 2 | 154.5 | 1 | 146.3 | 2 | 152.0 | - | - |
| 47 | 1 | 138.3 | 3 | 142.8 | 2 | 157.8 | - | - | - | - |
| 48 | 2 | 115.4 | 4 | 137.8 | 2 | 160.0 | 1 | 173.8 | 1 | 135.8 |
| 49 | 1 | 141.8 | - | - | 1 | 144.8 | - | - | - | - |
| 50 | 4 | 132.5 | 1 | 150.8 | 1 | 156.8 | - | - | - | - |
| 51 | - | - | 1 | 163.3 | - | - | 1 | 127.8 | - | - |
| 52 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 131.8 | - | - | - | - |
| 53 | 1 | 133.8 | - | - | 1 | 128.3 | 2 | 142.3 | 1 | 159.8 |
| 54 | 1 | 143.8 | 1 | 156.8 | 1 | 139.8 | - | - | 1 | 157.8 |
| 55 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

## T ABLE XVII. - (Continued.)

Mean Weights of White Soldiers, by Age and Height.
(Later Serics.)

| A80 | 00 Incheo |  | 001 Inchee |  | 70 Inches |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Weight | No. | Waight | No. | Weight | No. | Welght |
| 15 | - | ${ }^{\text {abe }}$ - | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lba. } \\ & 156.8 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lbe. } \\ & 146.8 \end{aligned}$ | - | lba. |
| 16 | 2 | 167.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 17 | 9 | 136.2 | 6 | 138.5 | 3 | 134.5 | 3 | 147.7 |
| 18 | 43 | 148.8 | 23 | 148.9 | 20 | 147.7 | 8 | 148.7 |
| is | 27 | 141.8 | 21 | 151.3 | 15 | 149.5 | 16 | 152.5 |
| 20 | 38 | 148.4 | 30 | 149.6 | 23 | 150.5 | 18 | 156.2 |
| 21 | 43 | 153.9 | 51 | 150.7 | 35 | 151.4 | 30 | 158.0 |
| 22 | 33 | 150.5 | 38 | 150.9 | 23 | 160.8 | 18 | 157.7 |
| 23 | 40 | 150.6 | 38 | 155.8 | 27 | 151.3 | 16 | 154.4 |
| 24 | 32 | 149.6 | 42 | 157.6 | 22 | 156.1 | 15 | 156.8 |
| 25 | 29 | 149.9 | 19 | 160.9 | 22 | 150.8 | 13 | 159.5 |
| 26 | 17 | 154.1 | 26 | 150.9 | 12 | 161.5 | 16 | 157.5 |
| 27 | 24 | 153.8 | 22 | 151.5 | 18 | 158.0 | 14 | 159.2 |
| 28 | 23 | 160.4 | 18 | 155.8 | 11 | 159.0 | 10 | 162.0 |
| 29 | 14 | 146.9 | 14 | 152.3 | 8 | 158.0 | 7 | 156.9 |
| 30 | 14 | 152.1 | 12 | 161.6 | 14 | 162.8 | 9 | 156.9 |
| 31 | 6 | 149.8 | 8 | 160.1 | 7 | 159.5 | 3 | 162.5 |
| 32 | 5 | 164.0 | 9 | 152.6 | 10 | 152.8 | 7 | 162.4 |
| 33 | 7 | 159.7 | 14 | 154.0 | 5 | 152.2 | 6 | 156.0 |
| 34 | 7 | 156.2 | 14 | 155.0 | 10 | 159.4 | 7 | 152.9 |
| 35 | 2 | 157.0 | 9 | 148.6 | 7 | 148.8 | 4 | 154.9 |
| 36 | 6 | 151.5 | 2 | 147.3 | 6 | 167.5 | 2 | 160.3 |
| 37 | 13 | 162.6 | 10 | 148.0 | 3 | 164.4 | 4 | 163.8 |
| 88 | 6 | 155.5 | 9 | 154.8 | 4 | 154.0 | 5 | 161.3 |
| 39 | 2 | 152.5 | 1 | 175.8 | 5 | 148.9 | 7 | 166.5 |
| 40 | 4 | 161.8 | 4 | 162.2 | - | - | 1 | 160.8 |
| 41 | 2 | 141.5 | 3 | 153.5 | 5 | 146.2 | 1 | 183.3 |
| 42 | 8 | 145.3 | 1 | 146.3 | 2 | 163.5 | 2 | 193.8 |
| 43 | 2 | 153.5 | 2 | 153.8 | 8 | 164.6 | 2 | 155.5 |
| 44 | 9 | 158.8 | 3 | 150.5 | 1 | 177.8 | 2 | 140.8 |
| 45 | 2 | 152.3 | 2 | 149.8 | - | - | 2 | 151.6 |
| 46 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 151.8 |
| 47 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 141.3 |
| 48 | - | - | 1 | 161.8 | - | - | - | - |
| 49 | 2 | 146.3 | 1 | 155.8 | 1 | 164.3 | - | - |
| 60 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 51 | 1 | 174.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 52 | 1 | 164.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 53 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 54 | - | - | 2 | 196.8 | - | - | - | - |
| 56 | - | - | 1 | 161.8 | - | - | - | - |

TABLE XVIII.

## Mean Weights of White Soldiers, by Age and Height.

(Both Series.)

| Age | 64 Inches |  | 641 $\frac{1}{2}$ Inches |  | 65 Inches |  | $65 \frac{1}{2}$ Inches |  | 66 Inches |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Weight | No. | Weight | No. | Weight | No. | Weight | No. | Weight |
| 15 | 3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1bs. } \\ 115.5 \end{gathered}$ | 3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { lbs. } \\ 119.8 \end{gathered}$ | 8 | $\begin{gathered} \text { lbs. } \\ 128.2 \end{gathered}$ | 2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { lbs. } \\ 114.8 \end{gathered}$ | 9 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{mbs} . \\ 120.5 \end{gathered}$ |
| 16 | 19 | 124.7 | 18 | 119.7 | 14 | 123.4 | 18 | 124.5 | 13 | 125.8 |
| 17 | 29 | 126.4 | 40 | 121.0 | 27 | 128.6 | 36 | 130.6 | 32 | 130.6 |
| 18 | 59 | 122.2 | 77 | 129.1 | 58 | 127.0 | 93 | 130.0 | 86 | 131.4 |
| 19 | 52 | 123.9 | 65 | 130.3 | 81 | 128.4 | 82 | 130.4 | 84 | 135.4 |
| 20 | 29 | 128.1 | 73 | 130.4 | 70 | 133.4 | 98 | 133.8 | 74 | 136.4 |
| 21 | 57 | 131.8 | 54 | 132.4 | 67 | 133.6 | 92 | 136.4 | 85 | 135.8 |
| 22 | 37 | 129.4 | 66 | 132.7 | 63 | 134.9 | 105 | 134.8 | 64 | 139.6 |
| 23 | 32 | 135.7 | 58 | 133.3 | 56 | 135.4 | 70 | 135.7 | 64 | 137.3 |
| 24 | 25 | 131.1 | 35 | 134.7 | 42 | 135.3 | 81 | 137.9 | 56 | 140.8 |
| 25 | 22 | 133.0 | 27 | 128.8 | 33 | 133.1 | 35 | 137.7 | 46 | 139.2 |
| 26 | 16 | 136.1 | 21 | 137.6 | 19 | 134.6 | 43 | 138.0 | 33 | 140.6 |
| 27 | 10 | 130.6 | 24 | 134.8 | 29 | 136.6 | 31 | 136.6 | 23 | 141.7 |
| 28 | 12 | 132.3 | 19 | 140.5 | 21 | 138.9 | 29 | 138.5 | 35 | 138.6 |
| 29 | 11 | 128.2 | 27 | 133.6 | 16 | 134.6 | 28 | 136.2 | 16 | 134.8 |
| 30 | 7 | 138.6 | 17 | 135.0 | 11 | 133.1 | 29 | 136.4 | 27 | 142.9 |
| 31 | 8 | 134.0 | 8 | 135.4 | 10 | 127.1 | 20 | 136.8 | 9 | 145.3 |
| 32 | 6 | 136.0 | 11 | 126.9 | 18 | 131.6 | 28 | 139.0 | 17 | 138.4 |
| 33 | 11 | 131.5 | 9 | 129.3 | 7 | 142.4 | 10 | 143.4 | 18 | 148.4 |
| 34 | 4 | 138.0 | 9 | 130.1 | 9 | 132.0 | 16 | 135.6 | 10 | 140.5 |
| 35 | 7 | 127.6 | 13 | 131.5 | 12 | 134.3 | 7 | 140.6 | 10 | 141.8 |
| 36 | 6 | 142.3 | 8 | 144.4 | 10 | 133.0 | 16 | 145.3 | 10 | 136.1 |
| 37 | 1 | 137.8 | 6 | 140.0 | 3 | 138.8 | 11 | 138.5 | 10 | 138.2 |
| 38 | 8 | 136.4 | 8 | 128.5 | 6 | 139.3 | 10 | 136.9 | 9 | 142.5 |
| 39 | 4 | 137.2 | 4 | 140.2 | 6 | 133.5 | 6 | 134.4 | 12 | 140.5 |
| 40 | 2 | 127.5 | 3 | 126.5 | 3 | 129.0 | 10 | 138.9 | 4 | 148.0 |
| 41 | 2 | 134.0 | - | - | 3 | 127.1 | 4 | 153.8 | 2 | 125.5 |
| 42 | 4 | 136.2 | 3 | 142.8 | 4 | 138.2 | 5 | 142.8 | 8 | 141.4 |
| 43 | 2 | 127.3 | 5 | 140.9 | 3 | 138.8 | 4 | 143.0 | 2 | 132.3 |
| 44 | 1 | 140.8 | 3 | 138.5 | 4 | 152.8 | 3 | 137.1 | 5 | 141.2 |
| 45 | 7 | 141.4 | 3 | 134.6 | 2 | 150.3 | 6 | 143.7 | 4 | 146.7 |
| 46 | 1 | 140.3 | 2 | 139.8 | - | - | 5 | 135.6 | 2 | 143.8 |
| 47 | 1 | 115.8 | - | - | 1 | 118.8 | 1 | 138.8 | - | - |
| 48 | 1 | 142.3 | 1 | 124.8 | 2 | 129.3 | 1 | 131.8 | 2 | 135.8 |
| 49 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 50 | 1 | 124.8 | 1 | 117.3 | 1 | 166.3 | 5 | 135.3 | - | - |
| 51 | - | - | 2 | 131.8 | - | - | 3 | 134.5 | - | - |
| 52 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 142.8 | 1 | 137.8 |
| 53 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 119.8 | - | - | - | - |
| 54 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 160.3 | - | - |
| 55 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 143.8 | 2 | 138.8 |

## TABLE XVIII. - (Continued.)

## Mean Weights of White Soldiers, by Age and Height.

(Both Series.)

| Age | 661/ 1 Inches |  | 67 Inches |  | 67⿺辶 Inches |  | 68 Inches |  | $68 \frac{1}{2}$ Inches |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Weight | No. | Weight | No. | Weight | No. | Weight | No. | Weight |
| 15 | 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1bs. } \\ 126.4 \end{gathered}$ | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { lbs. } \\ 115.8 \end{gathered}$ | - | lbs. | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { lbs. } \\ 120.3 \end{gathered}$ | - | ${ }^{\text {lbs. }}$ |
| 16 | 14 | 126.9 | 13 | 131.9 | 10 | 133.2 | 10 | 132.0 | 4 | 124.9 |
| 17 | 36 | 127,5 | 35 | 135.4 | 26 | 134.6 | 20 | 134.8 | 18 | 141.5 |
| 18 | 83 | 131.2 | 78 | 135.3 | 86 | 139.4 | 75 | 139.5 | 72 | 142.3 |
| 19 | 95 | 136.1 | 90 | 138.6 | 108 | 140.0 | 91 | 141.8 | 83 | 143.1 |
| 20 | 149 | 138.5 | 121 | 140.2 | 130 | 142.8 | 112 | 146.0 | 110 | 147.3 |
| 21 | 116 | 139.6 | 110 | 140.2 | 141 | 142.9 | 108 | 146.2 | 120 | 147.5 |
| 22 | 134 | 138.9 | 117 | 142.3 | 137 | 144.1 | 114 | 145.5 | 93 | 147.0 |
| 23 | 74 | 139.7 | 80 | 141.5 | 104 | 144.5 | 92 | 146.3 | 96 | 147.3 |
| 24 | 91 | 140.4 | 78 | 145.9 | 112 | 147.9 | 96 | 147.4 | 91 | 150.1 |
| 25 | 67 | 142.7 | 46 | 148.4 | 61 | 146.2 | 62 | 150.4 | 72 | 149.8 |
| 26 | 49 | 141.6 | 56 | 145.8 | 57 | 145.1 | 42 | 149.9 | 60 | 152.4 |
| 27 | 31 | 143.0 | 44 | 143.6 | 43 | 151.4 | 42 | 149.6 | 50 | 151.5 |
| 28 | 35 | 139.0 | 39 | 144.6 | 49 | 147.9 | 45 | 146.1 | 49 | 151.5 |
| 29 | 31 | 139.6 | 26 | 146.1 | 29 | 145.7 | 39 | 149.0 | 38 | 151.2 |
| 30 | 29 | 147.0 | 41 | 147.0 | 39 | 148.2 | 42 | 147.9 | 31 | 152.7 |
| 31 | 17 | 138.7 | 25 | 141.9 | 19 | 144.5 | 19 | 147.8 | 15 | 148.5 |
| 32 | 27 | 140.7 | 20 | 143.3 | 27 | 145.4 | 25 | 148.3 | 23 | 148.4 |
| 33 | 18 | 149.0 | 12 | 144.8 | 23 | 148.4 | 17 | 149.8 | 16 | 151.5 |
| 34 | 9 | 146.1 | 19 | 147.7 | 31 | 147.6 | 17 | 149.9 | 26 | 150.1 |
| 35 | 23 | 147.0 | 28 | 145.0 | 26 | 149.3 | 11 | 150.8 | 26 | 151.9 |
| 36 | 19 | 147.8 | 14 | 146.4 | 16 | 146.1 | 5 | 153.5 | 14 | 151.2 |
| 37 | 13 | 139.8 | 12 | 140.9 | 15 | 150.0 | 12 | 145.0 | 8 | 148.9 |
| 38 | 21 | 138.1 | 11 | 148.8 | 11 | 149.2 | 7 | 143.7 | 7 | 151.1 |
| 39 | 9 | 141.4 | 7 | 144.9 | 11 | 146.4 | 11 | 149.1 | 5 | 135.6 |
| 40 | 5 | 156.9 | 12 | 150.4 | 12 | 154.4 | 11 | 145.8 | 8 | 151.4 |
| 41 | 9 | 142.1 | 6 | 144.0 | 5 | 143.6 | 5 | 139.9 | 3 | 147.3 |
| 42 | 5 | 146.3 | 5 | 137.6 | 12 | 143.1 | 3 | 149.1 | 12 | 147.6 |
| 43 | 7 | 149.8 | 5 | 151.3 | 9 | 142.9 | 4 | 136.2 | 9 | 153.5 |
| 44 | 8 | 149.7 | 9 | 150.9 | 7 | 144.1 | 6 | 146.0 | 4 | 152.4 |
| 45 | 5 | 152.4 | 4 | 147.3 | 6 | 145.9 | 10 | 148.3 | - | - |
| 46 | 7 | 143.7 | 4 | 146.9 | 2 | 145.0 | 2 | 152.0 | 2 | 163.5 |
| 47 | 2 | 140.8 | 3 | 142.8 | 2 | 157.8 | 1 | 158.8 | - | - |
| 48 | 4 | 132.8 | 4 | 137.8 | 3 | 153.6 | 1 | 173.8 | 2 | 150.0 |
| 49 | 2 | 151.3 | - | - | 1 | 144.8 | - | - | - | - |
| 50 | 4 | 132.5 | 2 | 153.8 | 1 | 156.8 | 1 | 143.5 | - | - |
| 51 | 1 | 123.8 | 2 | 155.3 | - | - | 1 | 127.8 | - | - |
| 52 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 131.8 | 1 | 144.8 | - | - |
| 53 | 1 | 133.8 | - | - | 2 | 136.5 | 2 | 142.3 | 1 | 159.8 |
| 54 | 1 | 143.8 | 1 | 156.8 | 2 | 132.3 | - | - | 1 | 157.8 |
| 55 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

TABLE XVIII. - (Continued.)
Mean Weights of White Soldiers, by Age and Height.
(Both Series.)

| Ag\% | 00 Inches |  | 001 Inches |  | 70 Inches |  | 70, Inchee |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Wedght | No. | Woilght | No. | Welght | No. | Weight |
| 15 | - | Ibe | 1 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { lba, } \\ 156.8 \end{array}$ | 1 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { lba. } \\ \mathbf{1 4 6 . 8} \end{array}$ | - | Ibe. |
| 16 | 4 | 146.3 | 1 | 113.3 | - | - | - | - |
| 17 | 12 | 137.8 | 9 | 137.5 | 6 | 142.5 | 7 | 148.9 |
| 18 | 70 | 142.7 | 36 | 149.3 | 32 | 148.7 | 18 | 147.1 |
| 19 | 58 | 144.2 | 43 | 149.1 | 36 | 147.8 | 26 | 152.6 |
| 20 | 77 | 149.3 | 58 | 147.4 | 47 | 152.0 | 33 | 154.7 |
| 21 | 81 | 150.8 | 87 | 152.0 | 65 | 153.8 | 56 | 156.5 |
| 22 | 70 | 150.9 | 69 | 149.5 | 58 | 159.3 | 36 | 155.3 |
| 23 | 72 | 151.3 | 56 | 152.8 | 52 | 152.4 | 38 | 151.9 |
| 24 | 58 | 150.0 | 63 | 155.4 | 43 | 154.8 | 37 | 160.0 |
| 25 | 50 | 151.9 | 42 | 157.6 | 41 | 153.0 | 26 | 161.8 |
| 26 | 29 | 152.9 | 45 | 152.6 | 18 | 159.8 | 31 | 157.8 |
| 27 | 40 | 152.2 | 38 | 153.1 | 28 | 155.9 | 24 | 161.9 |
| 28 | 43 | 150.5 | 33 | 156.2 | 23 | 157.6 | 17 | 161.4 |
| 29 | 21 | 147.0 | 25 | 153.9 | 16 | 158.6 | 15 | 161.8 |
| 30 | 22 | 155.3 | 17 | 159.0 | 22 | 161.2 | 11 | 156.5 |
| 31 | 13 | 151.1 | 17 | 161.1 | 12 | 155.2 | 6 | 162.5 |
| 32 | 10 | 160.1 | 13 | 151.4 | 17 | 157.4 | 11 | 166.8 |
| 38 | 10 | 159.9 | 20 | 154.6 | 6 | 156.1 | 11 | 158.7 |
| 84 | 10 | 153.2 | 16 | 156.9 | 12 | 158.1 | 10 | 160.8 |
| 35 | 12 | 145.9 | 14 | 145.4 | 11 | 146.2 | 6 | 157.2 |
| 36 | 10 | 153.5 | 2 | 147.3 | 7 | 166.7 | 4 | 160.3 |
| 37 | 18 | 159.8 | 14 | 149.3 | 4 | '163.4 | 4 | 168.8 |
| 38 | 9 | 155.7 | 11 | 156.4 | 7 | 154.4 | 6 | 159.6 |
| 39 | 2 | 152.5 | 2 | 162.3 | 9 | 153.3 | 11 | 161.7 |
| 40 | 5 | 156.8 | 6 | 165.8 | - | - | 8 | 171.8 |
| 41 | 2 | 141.5 | 4 | 156.8 | 6 | 146.0 | 8 | 168.8 |
| 42 | 13 | 153.1 | 5 | 148.3 | 4 | 170.3 | 8 | 189.8 |
| 48 | 6 | 146.9 | 4 | 157.5 | 3 | 164.6 | 2 | 155.5 |
| 44 | 11 | 163.7 | 5 | 158.7 | 2 | 159.3 | 8 | 147.1 |
| 45 | 3 | 152.5 | 4 | 148.3 | 2 | 154.8 | 2 | 151.5 |
| 46 | 1 | 160.8 | - | - | 1 | 172.8 | 1 | 151.8 |
| 47 | 1 | 162.8 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 141.3 |
| 48 | 2 | 164.5 | 3 | 159.8 | - | - | - | $\rightarrow$ |
| 49 | 2 | 146.3 | 1 | 155.8 | 1 | 164.3 | - | - |
| 50 | 1 | 146.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 51 | 1 | 174.8 | - | - | 1 | 150.8 | - | - |
| 52 | 1 | 164.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 53 | - | - | 1 | 150.3 | 2 | 164.3 | - | - |
| 54 | - | - | 2 | 196.8 | - | - | - | - |
| 55 | - | - | 1 | 161.8 | - | - | - | - |

## TABLE XIX.

Mean Weights of Sailors, by Age and Height.

| Age | $64 f$ Inches |  | 651 Inches |  | 66¢ Inches |  | 67t Inches |  | 681 Inches |  | 691 Inches |  | 701 Inches |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. |
|  |  | be. |  | Ibe. |  | lbe. |  | 1 ba |  | lba. |  | 1be. |  | lbe. |
| 16 | 1 | 116.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 17 | 1 | 121.3 | - | - | 2 | 116.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\bigcirc$ | - |
| 18 | 4 | 110.2 | 2 | 143.3 | 2 | 135.6 | 3 | 141.6 | 2 | 141.5 | 1 | 151.0 | - | - |
| 19 | 8 | 122.5 | 10 | 124.6 | 5 | 131.0 | 2 | 145.0 | 1 | 125.0 | 2 | 174.0 | - | - |
| 20 | 11 | 121.4 | 12 | 127.1 | 11 | 133.9 | 10 | 143.9 | 5 | 140.2 | 5 | 138.6 | 2 | 143.4 |
| 21 | 15 | 131.4 | 20 | 129.1 | 15 | 137.6 | 16 | 137.7 | 13 | 143.9 | 12 | 143.4 | 4 | 157.0 |
| 22 | 14 | 132.6 | 24 | 142.0 | 16 | 135.0 | 17 | 143.9 | 11 | 158.5 | 8 | 147.9 | 4 | 159.0 |
| 23 | 5 | 129.2 | 11 | 132.8 | 12 | 145.8 | 13 | 144.4 | 7 | 148.8 | 5 | 152.8 | 1 | 159.0 |
| 24 | 16 | 139.5 | 16 | 134.6 | 10 | 142.1 | 13 | 146.3 | 17 | 157.8 | 7 | 154.3 | 5 | 158.3 |
| 25 | 14 | 135 5 | 15 | 142.7 | 10 | 147.2 | 15 | 138.3 | 13 | 154.6 | 9 | 156.4 | 5 | 156.8 |
| 26 | 9 | 134.1 | 11 | 135.6 | 2 | 140.0 | 12 | 146.7 | 7 | 153.2 | 5 | 153.0 | 3 | 157.8 |
| 27 | 3 | 130.7 | 6 | 132.7 | 8 | 142.7 | 3 | 149.3 | 5 | 142.3 | 5 | 152.6 | 3 | 154.0 |
| 28 | 8 | 132.6 | 4 | 139.8 | 6 | 146.0 | 14 | 151.3 | 6 | 151.8 | 4 | 154.5 | 2 | 161.4 |
| 29 | 8 | 130.2 | 11 | 136.9 | 9 | 142.0 | 4 | 137.3 | 3 | 151.0 | 2 | 137.0 | 1 | 149.0 |
| 80 | 2 | 181.0 | 7 | 131.4 | 4 | 146.1 | 5 | 145.2 | 6 | 140.7 | 1 | 128.0 |  |  |
| 31 | 5 | 130.8 | 6 | 142.5 | 2 | 136.9 | 2 | 150.9 | 3 | 138.3 |  |  | 2 | 164.5 |
| 32 | 4 | 138.8 | 7 | 135.8 | 1 | 153.0 | 8 | 145.9 | 3 | 144.3 | 3 | 155.3 | 1 | 150.0 |
| 33 | 2 | 139.8 | 2 | 133.5 | 2 | 142.5 | 2 | 142.5 |  | - |  | - | 1 | 149.0 |
| 34 | 5 | 137.8 | - | - | 3 | 142.1 | 2 | 141.0 | 2 | 155.8 | 1 | 160.0 |  |  |
| 35 | 2 | 147.0 | 3 | 142.7 | 4 | 146.2 | 2 | 145.9 | 2 | 146.0 | 4 | 152.9 |  |  |
| 36 | 3 | 147.0 | 5 | 141.7 | - | - | 2 | 143.8 | - | - | 2 | 155.0 | 3 | 157.3 |
| 37 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 143.0 | 2 | 136.5 | 1 | 158.0 | - | - | - | - |
| 38 | - | - | 1 | 146.8 | 2 | 150.1 | 1 | 123.0 | - | - | 1 | 140.0 | - |  |
| 39 | 2 | 131.0 | 1 | 124.0 | 2 | 145.5 | 2 | 159.9 | 1 | 184.0 | - | - | - |  |
| 40 | 1 | 137.0 | 1 | 133.0 | 1 | 135.0 | - | - | 1 | 173.8 | 1 | 148.0 | - |  |
| 41 | - | - | 1 | 138.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 133.0 | - |  |
| 42 | - | - | 1 | 148.0 | 1 | 129.0 | 1 | 168.0 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 43 | 1 | 137.0 | - | - | - |  | - | - | 1 | 184.0 | - |  |  |  |
| 44 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 164.0 |  | - | - |  |  |  |
| 45 | 2 | 128.9 | - | - | 2 | 144.5 | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |
| Over 45 | 2 | 150.5 | 1 | 139.0 | 1 | 115.0 | 2 | 167.6 | - | - | 3 | 149.3 |  | - |

- 


## TABLE XX.

Mean Weights of Students, by Age and Height.

| Age | 641 Inches |  | 651 Inches |  | 66d Inches |  | 67t Inches |  | 681 Inches |  | 601 Inches |  | 701 Inches |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | $\mathbf{W}$ t. | No. | Wt. |
|  |  | 1 lb . |  | lbs. |  | Ibs. |  | lbe. |  | lbe. |  | lbe. |  | Ibe. |
| 17 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 127.8 | 1 | 120.8 | 1 | 133.8 | - |  | - | - |
| 18 | 2 | 112.8 | 1 | 111.8 | 1 | 139.8 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 127.5 | - | - |
| 19 | 3 | 132.1 | 8 | 124.5 | 5 | 121.8 | 5 | 124.5 | 6 | 130.0 | 7 | 145.3 | 1 | 150.8 |
| 20 | 1 | 114.8 | 7 | 126.5 | 7 | 136.5 | 8 | 130.3 | 13 | 132.4 | 15 | 138.8 | 8 | 147.4 |
| 21 | 3 | 123.0 | 7 | 126.4 | 11 | 130.1 | 11 | 128.5 | 10 | 138.5 | 9 | 143.3 | 16 | 145.0 |
| 22 | 1 | 113.8 | 6 | 121.6 | 5 | 131.8 | 7 | 131.9 | 6 | 136.5 | 6 | 147.5 | 6 | 152.5 |
| 23 | 1 | 105.8 | - | - | 1 | 142.3 | 1 | 133.3 | 1 | 131.8 | 4 | 142.3 | - | - |
| 24 | 2 | 125.8 | - | - | 1 | 142.8 | 2 | 125.8 | 4 | 186.2 | 2 | 132.3 |  |  |
| 25 | 1 | 123.3 | - | - | 3 | 134.8 | 1 | 144.8 | 2 | 146.3 | 1 | 143.8 | 1 | 153.8 |
| 26 | - | - | 1 | 134.8 | 2 | 125.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| 27 | - | - | 1 | 119.8 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 139.8 | - | - | 2 | 150.3 |
| Over 27 | - | - | 2 | 120.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## TABLE XXI.

Mean Weights of Full Blacks, by Age and Height.

| $45_{50}$ | 644 Inches |  | 851 Incheos |  | 66t Inchees |  | 671 Incheos |  | 681 Inches |  | $69 \%$ Inchees |  | TOt Inches |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. |
| $15 \&$ und | 1 | 137.7 | 1 |  | - | ${ }^{\text {brea }}$ | 1 | ${ }^{160}$ | - | ${ }^{\text {1ba }}$ | - | ${ }^{\text {b }}$ be |  | - ${ }^{\text {babe }}$ |
| 16 | 1 | 145.0 | 8 | 128.3 | 4 | 120.8 | 1 | 129.3 | 1 | 146.8 | 1 | 153.8 | - |  |
| 17 | 5 | 128.5 | 6 | 131.5 | 4 | 133.2 | 9 | 128.8 | 2 | 143.8 | 2 | 151. | - |  |
| 18 | 9 | 4 | 10 | 130.5 | 13 | 134.9 | 7 | 136.4 | 7 | 144.7 | 3 | 152.2 | 2 | 148.8 |
| 19 | 12 | 136 | 7 | 131.1 | 11 | 133.2 | 12 | 139.8 | 11 | 144.9 | 6 | 149.3 | 3 | 154.9 |
| 20 | 19 | 140.7 | 26 | 139.1 | 26 | 142.8 | 23 | 147.4 | 17 | 149.2 | 7 | 156.3 | 2 | 143.5 |
| 21 | 19 | 139.8 | 20 | 143.9 | 19 | 142.5 | 21 | 146.4 | 15 | 155.6 | 8 | 152.4 | 2 | 160.0 |
| 22 | 23 | 137.7 | 24 | 5 | 28 | 140.9 | 20 | 151.9 | 18 | 152.4 | 11 | 15 | 5 | 162.3 |
| 23 | 16 | 137.1 | 23 | 140.9 | 29 | 143.5 | 24 | 149.7 | 23 | 151.6 | 14 | 156.5 | - | - |
| 24 | 8 | 139.9 | 9 | 144.0 | 26 | 144.1 | 30 | 151.9 | 21 | 157.8 | 16 | 159.0 | 11 | 160.4 |
| 25 | 8 | 131.8 | 14 | 143.4 | 18 | 145.4 | 17 | 151.4 | 16 | 156.9 | 10 | 150.9 | 12 | 166.4 |
| 26 | 8 | 133.9 | 9 | 150.9 | 10 | 146.5 | 20 | 151.0 | 9 | 150.4 | 4 | 151. | 4 | 172.3 |
| 27 | 9 | 135. | 4 | 149.0 |  | 144.7 | 14 | 151.6 | 10 | 153.9 | 4 | 164.5 | 1 | 134.0 |
| 28 | 5 | 138.2 | 10 | 8 | 12 | 147.7 | 9 | 145.8 | 12 | 155 | 8 | 156.4 | 6 | 164.8 |
| 29 | 3 | 146 | 3 | 135 | 8 | 143.7 | 7 | 155.4 | 4 | 148.9 | 7 | 163.4 | 1 | 166.8 |
| 30 | 5 | 140.5 | 8 | 140.4 | 9 | 141.1 | 4 | 150.1 | 7 | 156.6 | 5 | 162.4 | 3 | 162.1 |
| 31 | 2 | 145.2 | 3 | 137.9 | 3 | 131.3 | 4 | 154.8 | 3 | 155. | 3 | 150.2 | 1 | 173.0 |
| 82 | 2 | 12 | 4 | 135.8 | 5 | 149.7 | 3 | 148.5 | 1 | 174.8 | 3 | 19 | 2 | 163.8 |
| 33 | 1 | 13 | 3 | 140.7 | 2 | 143.4 | 3 | 147.2 | 2 | 146.9 | 1 | 152.5 |  |  |
| 34 |  | 143.3 | 3 | 149.9 | 3 | 156.0 | 2 | 159.8 | 1 | 168.3 | 4 | 151.3 | 1 | 174.8 |
| 35 | 3 | 141.9 | 4 | 135.6 | 4 | 144.6 | 1 | 170.0 | 2 | 153.4 | 1 | 161.8 | - |  |
| 36 | 1 | 137. | 3 | 151.9 | 1 | 152.8 | 3 | 151.5 | 1 | 140.8 | - | - | 1 | 170.8 |
| 37 | 2 | 151. | 2 | 129.4 | 3 | 142.9 | 1 | 165.0 | 3 | 159.6 | 3 | 162.0 | 1 | 170.8 |
| 38 | 1 | 137.8 | 4 | 139.4 | 1 | 131.8 | 2 | 141.8 | 1 | 119.3 | - |  | 1 | 59.8 |
| 89 | 2 | 150.0 | 2 | 140.9 | - | - | 3 | 139.3 | - |  | 1 | 150.0 |  |  |
| 40 | 3 | 144.9 | 1 | 163.0 | 7 | 142.2 | 1 | 156.0 | 2 | 146.1 | 1 | 184.8 | 1 | 177.8 |
| 41 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 174.3 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 42 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 151.4 | 1 | 156.8 | 1 | 156.8 | - | - | 2 | 165.0 |
| 43 | 3 | 142.9 | - | - |  |  | 1 | 134.8 | 1 | 126.8 | - | - | 1 | 45.4 |
| 44 | - |  | - | - | - |  |  |  | 1 | 154.8 | - | - | - |  |
| 45 | 1 | 132.4 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 160.7 | - |  |
| 46 | 1 | 146.8 | - | - | 1 | 163.4 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 179.8 | - |  |
| 47 | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 | 138.3 | 1 | 173.8 | - | - | 1 | 186.5 |
| 48 | 1 | 151.4 | 1 | 130.8 | - |  | 1 | 144.3 | 2 | 160.3 | 2 | 146.9 | - | - |
| 49 | - |  | - |  | - |  | 2 | 152.8 | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| 50 | - |  | - |  | 2 | 145.9 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Over 50 | - | - | 3 | 44.8 | - | - | 2 | 152.3 | - | - | 2 | 164. | - | - |

## TABLE XXII.

Mean Weights of Mulattoes, by Age and Height.

| A80 | 64\} Inches |  | 651 Inches |  | 66 4 Inches |  | 671 Inches |  | 681 Inches |  | 691 Inches |  | 701 Ipches |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. |
| 15 \& un | - | lbe. | 2 | $\begin{array}{c\|} \text { 1bo. } \\ 116.8 \end{array}$ |  | Ibe. | 1 | 189.8 | - | lbe. | - | 130, | - | ibe |
| 16 | 4 | 117.6 | - | - | 2 | 123.1 | - | - | 1 | 112.0 | - | - | - | - |
| 17 | 6 | 130.9 | 1 | 121.8 | 2 | 136.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 18 | 4 | 119.1 | 2 | 5.8 | 3 | 125.5 | 5 | 138.8 | 5 | 188.0 | 1 | 132.8 | 1 | 144.8 |
| 19 | 7 | 124.5 | 4 | 139.8 | 11 | 136.0 | 4 | 136.9 | 2 | 134.8 | 3 | 151.9 | - | - |
| 20 | 9 | 134.9 | 12 | 135.2 | 7 | 137.4 | 10 | 139.5 | 9 | 154.2 | 2 | 155.3 | 5 | 45.3 |
| 21 | 6 | 138.0 | 5 | 134.4 | 11 | 150.8 | 9 | 145.2 | - | - | 3 | 149.0 | 3 | 1154.1 |
| 22 | 10 | 147.0 | 8 | 141.0 | 9 | 147.0 | 7 | 149.6 | 10 | 156.8 | 4 | 158.1 | 2 | 164.8 |
| 23 | 6 | 137.2 | 5 | 140.5 | 13 | 146.4 | 10 | 150.0 | 6 | 148.1 | 6 | 164.6 | 3 | 159.5 |
| 24 | 7 | 139.5 | 9 | 150.2 | 9 | 152.6 | 11 | 147.2 | 2 | ${ }^{\prime} 171.3$ | 5 | 162.8 | 3 | 163.2 |
| 25 | 4 | 146.5 | 6 | 140.3 | 6 | 153.3 | 12 | 154.1 | 4 | 156.8 | 5 | 155.7 | - | - |
| 26 | - | - | 5 | 150.8 | 9 | 154.0 | 4 | 157.6 | 3 | 151.0 | 5 | 151.7 | 1 | 149.8 |
| 27 | 2 | 145.9 | 4. | 156.4 | 4 | 162.1 | 2 | 167.8 | 1 | 157.8 | 6 | 157.1 | 1 | 181.8 |
| 28 | 5 | 131.0 | - | - | 4 | 144.5 | 3 | 151.5 | 2 | 153.5 | 1 | 182.0 | 2 | 170.3 |
| 29 | 2 | 151.5 | 7 | 152.9 | 4 | 154.4 | 1 | 147.8 | - | - | - | - | 4 | 164.8 |
| 30 | 3 | 148.5 | 4 | 147.0 | 2 | 146.2 | 8 | 151.2 | 3 | 147.9 | 4 | 157.8 | 2 | 144.0 |
| 31 | 2 | 148.3 | - | - | 2 | 137.8 | 1 | 156.8 | 1 | 162.4 | 1 | 175.0 |  |  |
| 32 | - | - | 2 | 139.2 | 2 | 150.8 | 5 | 153.5 | 2 | 161.8 | 1 | 141.8 | 1 | 123.0 |
| 33 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 149.2 | - | - | 1 | 164.8 | - | - | - |  |
| 84 | - | - | 2 | 141.6 | - | - | 2 | 154.4 | 2 | 142.0 | - | - | - | - |
| 35 | 5 | 144.6 | 2 | 142.0 | 2 | 149.8 | - | - | 3 | 144.5 | - |  |  |  |
| 86 | - | - | 1 | 141.8 | 2 | 148.6 | - | - | 3 | 168.5 | 1 | 164.8 | - |  |
| 87 | 3 | 147.5 | 3 | 139.5 | 1 | 154.0 | 1 | 157.8 | 1 | 146.8 | 1 | 201.8 | - |  |
| 38 | - | - | 2 | 154.8 | 2 | 139.8 |  | - | - | - | 1 | 163.8 | - |  |
| 39 | 3 | 140.7 | 1 | 150.8 | 1 | 147.8 | 3 | 157.0 | - |  | 1 | 173.8 | - |  |
| 40 | 1 | 155.8 | 3 | 146.5 | 1 | 146.8 | - | - | - |  |  | - | 1 | 179.0 |
| 41 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - |  |  |
| 42 | - | - | 1 | 147.8 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 142.4 | 1 | 181.8 |  |  |
| 43 | 2 | 131.4 | - | - | 2 | 151.4 | 1 | 167.8 | - | - | 1 | 154.8 | - | - |
| 44 | 1 | 131.0 | 1 | 140.8 |  | - | 1 | 162.0 | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| 45 | - | - | 2 | 131.9 | 1 | 156.8 | - | - | 1 | 152.8 | - |  |  |  |
| 46 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 150.3 | - | - | 1 | 159.8 | - | - | - |  |
| 47 | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| 48 | 1 | 144.4 | - | - | 1 | 142.8 | 1 | 159.8 | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| 49 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| 50 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 150.6 | 1 | 161.8 | - | - | - | - |
| Over 50 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

## TABLE XXIII.

Mean Weights of all Negroes, by Age and Height.


## TABLE XXIV.

Mean Weights of Iroquis Indians, by Age and Height.

| A80 | 64\} Inehes |  | 651 Inehes |  | $66\}$ Inchees |  | 67\% Inches |  | C8I inches |  | 89\% Inebee |  | iot Inches |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. |
| Onder 18 | - | ${ }^{\text {babe }}$ |  | ${ }^{160}$ |  | - | - | 1 lba | 1 | 186.3 | - | ${ }^{\text {babe }}$ |  | 1be. |
| 18 | - | - |  | - | 2 | 141.8 | - | - | - |  | - | - - | - | - |
| 19 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 133.3 | 1 | 147.3 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 147.8 |
| 20 | - | - | 3 | 128.9 | 1 | 128.8 | 2 | 155.5 | 2 | 154.3 | - | - | - | - |
| 21 | - | - | 2 | 129.8 | 3 | 138.5 | 3 | 150.3 | 5 | 161.3 | - | - | - | - |
| 22 | - | - | 6 | 139.4 | 6 | 132.0 | 11 | 141.0 | 4 | 158.2 | 2 | 159.8 | - | - |
| 23 | - | - | 4 | 147.2 | 1 | 133.8 | 11 | 152.2 | 9 | 157.4 | 4 | 171.8 | 1 | 190.8 |
| 24 | - | - | 1 | 139. | 1 | 155.8 | 17 | 154.4 | 12 | 161.6 | 4 | 161.9 | 2 | 172.8 |
| 25 | - | - | 1 | 149.8 | 1 | 181.8 | 5 | 1571 | 3 | 167.8 | 1 | 159.8 | 3 | 171.0 |
| 26 | - | - | 4 | 143.0 | 7 | 147.6 | 11 | 155.5 | 13 | 164.5 | 7 | 172.5 | 1 | 183.8 |
| 27 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 130.8 | 7 | 150.4 | 11 | 163.9 | 3 | 167.4 | 3 | 180.8 |
| 28 | 1 | 182.3 | 2 | 166.5 | 2 | 145.3 | 16 | 159.5 | 12 | 167.8 | 8 | 171.1 | 2 | 176.8 |
| 29 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 152.3 | 12 | 155.3 | 14 | 163.4 | 5 | 176.2 | 4 | 184.0 |
| 30 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 161.4 | 6 | 168.5 | 7 | 176.4 | 3 | 180.8 |
| 81 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 151.8 | 3 | 165.5 | - | - | 2 | 175.0 |
| 32 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 144.8 | 6 | 163. | 1 | 170.8 | - | - |
| 33 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 181.8 | 4 | 154.3 | 2 | 159.8 | 1 | 180.8 | - | - |
| 34 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | 152.7 | 15 | 163.8 | 8 | 175.7 | 4 | 175.0 |
| 35 | - | - | 1 | 142.8 | - | - | 1 | 156.8 | 3 | 171.3 | 1 | 170.8 | 1 | 170.8 |
| 86 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 156.8 | 4 | 165.0 | 15 | 161.5 | 5 | 175.0 | - | - |
| 37 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 142.8 | 2 | 160.8 | 8 | 165.8 | - | - | 4 | 189.9 |
| 38 | 1 | 166.3 | - | - | - | - | - |  | 2 | 176.8 | 6 | 178.3 | 2 | 188.3 |
| 39 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 168.8 | 1 | 190.8 | 2 | 161.5 | 1 | 166.8 | - | - |
| 40 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 157.8 | 6 | 172.0 | 2 | 161.8 | 2 | 195.3 |
| 41 | 1 | 161.8 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 151.8 | 1 | 166.8 | 2 | 178.8 | - | - |
| 42 | - | - | 1 | 169.3 | - | - | 5 | 156.5 | 1 | 182.8 | - | - | 1 | 141.8 |
| 43 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 144.8 | 5 | 160.8 | 1 | 158.8 | - | - |
| 44 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 45 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 131.3 | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| 46 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 170.8 | 2 | 180.3 |  | 211.8 |
| 47 | - | - |  | - | - |  | - | - | 1 | 164.8 | - | - | 1 | 276.8 |
| 48 | - | - |  | - | - |  | - | - | 1 | 162.8 | - | - |  | - |
| 49 | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 50 | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 182.8 | - | - |
| Over 50 | - | - | 1 | 185.8 | 1 | 172.8 | 1 | 165.8 | - | - | 4 | 169.3 | - | - |

TABLE XXV.
SLean Weights of White Men, by Age.

| A80 | Soldiers |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Earlier Serios |  | Leber Serios |  | Total |  |
|  | \%\%. | Wdatas | No. | Wadat | No. | Weisht |
| Onder 16 | 43 | $\begin{gathered} \text { lba. } \\ 110.94 \end{gathered}$ | 31 | $11 \mathrm{lba} .82$ | 74 | $\begin{gathered} \text { lba } \\ 112.56 \end{gathered}$ |
| 16 | 87 | 121.62 | 129 | 120.72 | 216 | 121.03 |
| 17 | 204 | 130.01 | 242 | 126.85 | 446 | 128.02 |
| 18 | 488 | 135.32 | 667 | 133.02 | 1100 | 138.93 |
| 19 | 515 | 137.87 | 656 | 136.38 | 1150 | 137.05 |
| 20 | 590 | 142.35 | 767 | 140.64 | 1857 | 141.38 |
| 21 | 617 | 144.52 | 829 | 141.99 | 1446 | 143.06 |
| 22 | 680 | 145.29 | 821 | 142.51 | 1351 | 143.60 |
| 28 | 467 | 148.50 | 641 | 144.93 | 1108 | 144.31 |
| 24 | 418 | 146.75 | 646 | 146.02 | 1050 | 146.31 |
| 25 | 802 | 149.16 | 448 | 145.26 | 745 | 146.84 |
| 28 | 224 | 148.85 | 375 | 146.27 | 509 | 147.05 |
| 27 | 221 | 147.50 | 330 | 146.54 | 651 | 146.93 |
| 28 | 193 | 147.65 | 319 | 146.95 | 512 | 147.21 |
| 20 | 145 | 146.55 | 241 | 144.89 | 886 | 145.51 |
| 80 | 183 | 151.46 | 262 | 145.67 | 295 | 147.62 |
| 31 | 87 | 151.53 | 155 | 145.46 | 242 | 147.65 |
| 82 | 98 | 147.81 | 205 | 145.17 | 298 | 146.00 |
| 88 | 68 | 150.53 | 157 | 147.66 | 225 | 148.53 |
| 84 | 63 | 151.59 | 162 | 147.00 | 225 | 148.29 |
| 35 | 80 | 145.95 | 159 | 145.38 | 289 | 145.57 |
| 36 | 60 | 147.49 | 124 | 150.16 | 184 | 149.29 |
| 37 | 65 | 151.09 | 112 | 147.69 | 167 | 148.81 |
| 38 | 40 | 148.48 | 113 | 146.77 | 153 | 147.22 |
| 30 | 36 | 145.92 | 87 | 146.57 | 123 | 146.38 |
| 40 | 24 | 160.17 | 74 | 146.71 | 98 | 150.01 |
| 41 | 17 | 149.44 | 44 | 145.09 | 61 | 146.30 |
| 42 | 82 | 152.95 | 70 | 144.24 | 102 | 146.97 |
| 43 | 17 | 151.25 | 56 | 143.91 | 73 | 145.62 |
| 44 | 26 | 155.89 | 67 | 151.38 | 83 | 152.79 |
| 45 | 19 | 149.24 | 48 | 146.20 | 67 | 147.06 |
| 46 | 13 | 150.79 | 24 | 144.89 | 37 | 146.97 |
| 47 | 4 | 155.41 | 15 | 142.29 | 19 | 145.05 |
| 48 | 13 | 153.94 | 22 | 142.61 | 35 | 146.82 |
| 49 | 8 | 139.62 | 7 | 147.58 | 10 | 145.19 |
| 50 | 7 | 139.68 | 14 | 137.25 | 21 | 138.06 |
| 61 | 5 | 131.99 | 7 | 153.00 | 12 | 144.25 |
| 52 | 4 | 142.79 | 6 | 137.29 | 10 | 139.49 |
| 53 | 5 | 148.69 | 6 | 153.21 | 11 | 151.15 |
| 64 | 1 | 124.79 | 7 | 164.58 | 8 | 159.60 |
| Orw 64 | 7 | 148.72 | 18 | 140.67 | 20 | 143.49 |

TABLE XXV. - (Continued.)
Mean Weights of White Men, by Age.

| 450 | sellors |  | 8tadenta |  | Total Whito Mon |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Welght | No. | Welght | No. | Weght |
| Onder 16 | 2 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{gba} \\ 81.15 \end{gathered}$ | - | 1 ba | 76 | 111.73 |
| 16 | 4 | 107.82 | - | - | 220 | 120.84 |
| 17 | 6 | 111.12 | 8 | 127.46 | 454 | 127.83 |
| 18 | 26 | 124.93 | 7 | 123.58 | 1133 | 133.65 |
| 19 | 46 | 126.02 | 39 | 133.06 | 1235 | 136.51 |
| 20 | 71 | 131.03 | 72 | 137.82 | 1500 | 140.72 |
| 21 | 124 | 135.53 | 69 | 136.69 | 1639 | 142.23 |
| 22 | 132 | 140.16 | 44 | 137.00 | 1527 | 143.11 |
| 23 | 75 | 139.15 | 13 | 136.02 | 1196 | 143.90 |
| 24 | 105 | 143.99 | 16 | 137.45 | 1180 | 145.98 |
| 25 | 97 | 145.09 | 11 | 140.20 | 853 | 146.55 |
| 26 | 82 | 142.31 | 5 | 147.19 | 686 | 146.48 |
| 27 | 47 | 138.61 | 5 | 146.39 | 608 | 146.27 |
| 28 | 56 | 145.15 | 1 | 114.79 | 569 | 146.95 |
| 29 | 53 | 138.49 | 2 | 129.29 | 441 | 144.59 |
| 30 | 36 | 138.23 | - | - | 431 | 146.84 |
| 31 | 24 | 138.99 | 1 | 144.29 | 267 | 146.85 |
| 52 | 36 | 139.19 | - | - | 334 | 145.28 |
| 33 | 12 | 138.50 | - | - | 237 | 148.02 |
| 34 | 16 | 141.59 | - | - | 241 | 147.84 |
| 35 | 23 | 144.17 |  | - | 262 | 145.45 |
| 36 | 18 | 147.40 |  | - | 202 | 149.12 |
| 87 | 4 | 143.50 | - | - | 171 | 148.69 |
| 38 | 5 | 142.02 | - | - | 158 | 147.05 |
| 39 | 11 | 146.18 | - | - | 184 | 146.37 |
| 40 | 7 | 139.18 | - | - | 105 | 149.29 |
| 41 | 8 | 181.00 |  | - | 64 | 145.58 |
| 42 | 3 | 148.33 |  | - | 105 | 147.01 |
| 43 | 2 | 135.50 |  | - | 75 | 145.35 |
| 44 | 3 | 137.67 |  | - | 86 | 152.26 |
| 45 | 4 | 136.70 | - | - | 71 | 148.47 |
| 46 | 1 | 156.00 | - | - | 88 | 147.20 |
| 47 | 1 | 175.00 | - | - | 20 | 146.65 |
| 48 | 4 | 147.07 | - | - | 39 | 146.85 |
| 49 | 1 | 125.00 | - | - | 11 | 143.35 |
| 50 | 2 | 143.40 | - | - | 23 | 188.53 |
| 61 | - | - | - | - | 12 | 144.25 |
| 52 | - |  | - | - | 10 | 139.49 |
| . 63 | - | - | - | - | 11 | 151.15 |
| 54 | 1 | 145.00 | - | - | 9 | 157.98 |
| Over 54 | - | - | - | - | 20 | 148.49 |

## TABLE XXVI.

Mean Weights of Negroes and Indians, by Age.

| A80 | Negroes |  |  |  |  |  | Indians |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full Blacke |  | Mulattoen |  | Asgragate |  |  |  |
|  | No. | Weight | No. | Welght | No. | Wedght | No. | Weight |
| Undar 16 | 10 | $\begin{gathered} \text { lba. } \\ 111.61 \end{gathered}$ | 6 | $\begin{gathered} \text { rba } \\ 118.97 \end{gathered}$ | 16 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Iba. } \\ 114.32 \end{gathered}$ | - | ${ }^{\text {une. }}$ |
| 16 | 27 | 121.68 | 13 | 117.08 | 40 | 120.18 | 1 | 186.29 |
| 17 | 45 | 127.08 | 11 | 127.57 | 66 | 127.18 | - | - |
| 18 | 78 | 132.92 | 25 | 134.84 | 103 | 138.26 | 2 | 141.79 |
| 19 | 87 | 186.62 | 36 | 184.90 | 123 | 136.12 | 6 | 162.12 |
| 20 | 144 | 141.91 | 60 | 142.03 | 204 | 141.95 | 9 | 148.12 |
| 21 | 133 | 145.70 | 53 | 142.42 | 186 | 144.77 | 14 | 149.98 |
| 22 | 151 | 145.33 | 64 | 147.48 | 215 | 145.97 | 29 | 142.48 |
| 23 | 158 | 146.20 | 61 | 147.37 | 219 | 146.52 | 32 | 159.18 |
| 24 | 189 | 149.80 | 54 | 150.97 | 193 | 150.13 | 39 | 159.85 |
| 25 | 118 | 148.37 | 46 | 149.88 | 164 | 148.79 | 14 | 160.22 |
| 26 | 75 | 149.52 | 88 | 149.61 | 113 | 149.55 | 45 | 159.97 |
| 27 | 70 | 148.95 | 26 | 155.04 | 96 | 150.60 | 28 | 165.36 |
| 28 | 68 | 149.72 | 24 | 148.74 | 92 | 148.16 | 38 | 162.87 |
| 29 | 41 | 150.88 | 23 | 152.48 | 64 | 151.45 | 39 | 165.89 |
| 30 | 43 | 147.35 | 33 | 146.80 | 76 | 146.89 | 21 | 171.17 |
| 31 | 22 | 148.60 | 8 | 158.65 | 30 | 151.28 | 13 | 172.21 |
| 32 | 25 | 151.35 | 19 | 151.16 | 44 | 151.27 | 8 | 161.98 |
| 83 | 13 | 143.55 | 4 | 153.09 | 17 | 145.79 | 8 | 162.41 |
| 84 | 20 | 156.08 | 7 | 145.55 | 27 | 153.35 | 88 | 166.17 |
| 35 | 28 | 144.69 | 13 | 145.26 | 36 | 144.90 | 8 | 168.16 |
| 86 | 11 | 150.18 | 10 | 149.48 | 21 | 149.85 | 26 | 165.29 |
| 37 | 16 | 150.87 | 10 | 152.15 | 26 | 151.36 | 17 | 166.29 |
| 88 | 16 | 143.08 | 8 | 145.79 | 24 | 143.98 | 11 | 178.74 |
| 89 | 10 | 149.78 | 11 | 146.83 | 21 | 148.23 | 6 | 180.37 |
| 40 | 19 | 146.26 | 12 | 151.44 | 31 | 148.27 | 14 | 171.79 |
| 41 | 1 | 174.29 | - | - | 1 | 174.29 | 5 | 167.59 |
| 42 | 6 | 157.73 | 8 | 157.33 | 9 | 157.60 | 8 | 159.54 |
| 43 | 7 | 137.52 | 6 | 148.03 | 13 | 142.87 | 8 | 156.54 |
| 44 | 8 | 146.61 | 2 | 144.60 | 5 | 145.81 | - | - |
| 45 | 5 | 144.89 | 6 | 147.16 | 11 | 146.18 | 1 | 181.29 |
| 46 | 8 | 160.00 | 4 | 162.29 | 7 | 155.60 | 5 | 182.79 |
| 47 | 8 | 168.19 | - | - | 3 | 166.19 | 2 | 220.79 |
| 48 | 9 | 147.87 | 8 | 149.00 | 12 | 148.16 | 1 | 162.79 |
| 49 | 8 | 147.46 | - | - | 8 | 147.46 | - | - |
| 60 | 8 | 148.41 | 4 | 161.95 | 7 | 148.29 | 1 | 182.79 |
| 51 | 1 | 168.00 | - | - | 1 | 163.00 | 2 | 166.79 |
| 52 | 2 | 138.79 | - | - | 2 | 133.79 | 1 | 135.79 |
| 53 | - | - | 2 | 183.86 | 2 | 138.86 | 1 | 161:79 |
| 54 | 1 | 152.79 | - | - | 1 | 152.79 | - | - |
| Over 54 | 4 | 154.64 | 8 | 143.59 | 7 | 149.85 | 6 | 176.46 |

The comparatively small size of the groups for ages above 45 years, precludes any reliance upon the mean values deduced from them; but for the ages from 15 to 45 inclusive, our results cannot be far wrong. An empirical determination of the mean weight belonging to each age, as derived from Table XXV., shows that the increase between the ages 21 and 45 cannot well exceed five pounds, great as is the change in many individual cases. The appended Table XXVII., gives the most probable values for the mean weight at each year of age ; the data upon which it is based

TABLE XXVII.
Empirical Table of Weight by Age, from White Soldiers.

| 48 | No. of Men | Watsht | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dictareson } \\ \text { OCmp. }-0 b o l e \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | 446 | 178.8. | lba +0.8 |
| 18 | 1100 | 188.5 | -0.4 |
| 18 | 1150 | 187.7 | + 0.6 |
| 20 | 1367 | 140.8 | -0.6 |
| 21 | 1446 | 142.7 | -0.4 |
| 22 | 1351 | 148.9 | +0.8 |
| 28 | 1108 | 145.0 | + 0.7 |
| 24 | 1059 | 145.8 | -0.4 |
| 25 | 745 | 148.6 | -0.2 |
| 26 | 590 | 146.8 | -0.2 |
| 27 | 561 | 146.9 | 0.0 |
| 28 | 512 | 147.0 | 0.2 |
| 29 | 886 | 147.0 | +1.6 |
| 80 | 896 | 147.1 | -0.6 |
| 81 | 242 | 147.1 | -0.6 |
| 88 | 208 | 147.2 | +1.2 |
| 88 | 225 | 147.8 | -1.2 |
| 84 | 225 | 147.4 | -0.9 |
| 86 | 283 | 147.6 | +1.9 |
| 88 | 184 | -147.6 | -1.7 |
| 87 | 167 | 147.6 | -1.8 |
| 88 | 158 | 147.7 | + 0.6 |
| 89 | 128 | 147.7 | +1.8 |
| 40 | 88 | 147.7 | -2.8 |
| 41 | 61 | 147.7 | +1.4 |
| 48 | 102 | 147.8 | + 0.8 |
| 48 | 73 | 147.8 | +2.2 |
| 44 | 88 | 147.8 | - 5.0 |
| 46 | 67 | 147.8 | + 0.7 |

including all our statistics of white soldiers, but excluding the sailors and students, partly on account of the decidedly inferior weight of the former, but especially since these classes comprise but a portion of the ages under consideration.

Finally, we add in Tables XXVIII. and XXIX. a summary of the maximum and minimum weight observed among the men at each successive year of age, arranged in the same way as our Tables XI. and XII., which showed the extreme values observed at each stature.

## TABLE XXVIII.

Limits of Weight observed at Different Ages.
White Soldiers - Earlier Series.

| Age | Number of Men | Maximum |  | Minimum |  | Range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Weight | Height | Weight | Height |  |
| Under 18 | 334 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1bs. } \\ 186.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { in. } \\ & 72 \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lbs. } \\ & \mathbf{7 2 . 8} \end{aligned}$ | in. $56 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1bs. $14.0$ |
| 18 | 433 | 202.3 | 67 | 98.3 | 65 | 104.0 |
| 19 | 515 | 176.8 | 70 | 96.8 | 621 | 80.0 |
| 20 | 590 | 205.3 | 76 | 99.3 | 68 | 106.0 |
| 21 | 617 | 197.8 | 681 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 105.8 | 63 | 92.0 |
| 22 | 530 | 206.8 | $73 \frac{1}{2}$ | 96.3 | 64 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 110.5 |
| 23 | 467 | 195.8 | 71 | 101.8 | 63 | 94.0 |
| 24 | 413 | 191.8 | 73 | 98.8 | 60 | 93.0 |
| 25 | 302 | 205.3 | $67 \frac{1}{2}$ | 107.3 | 62 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 90.5 |
| 26 | 224 | 205.8 | 75 | 106.8 | 66 | 99.0 |
| 27 | 221 | 195.8 | 72 | 116.8 | 65 | 79.0 |
| 28 | 193 | 191.8 | 691 ${ }^{1}$ | 110.8 | 64 | 81.0 |
| 29 | 145 | 206.8 | 73 | 108.8 | 66 | 98.0 |
| 30 | 133 | 224.3 | 661 | 103.8 | 66 | 110.5 |
| 31 | 87 | 198.3 | $71 \frac{1}{2}$ | 101.8 | 67 | 96.5 |
| 32 | 93 | 188.8 | $70 \frac{1}{2}$ | 106.3 | 651 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 82.5 |
| 33 | 68 | 195.3 | 73 | 114.8 | 671 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 80.5 |
| 34 | 63 | 195.3 | 71 | 118.8 | $63 \frac{1}{2}$ | 76.5 |
| 35 | 80 | 188.8 | 681 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 112.8 | $63 \frac{1}{2}$ | 76.0 |
| 36 | 60 | 189.3 | $71 \frac{1}{2}$ | 112.3 | 72 | 77.0 |
| 37 | 55 | 228.3 | $71 \frac{1}{2}$ | 119.8 | 67 | 108.5 |
| 38 | 40 | 209.8 | $74 \frac{1}{2}$ | 115.8 | $65 \frac{1}{2}$ | 94.5 |
| 39 | 36 | 178.8 | 72 | 118.8 | 65 | 60.0 |
| 40 | 24 | 197.8 | $67 \frac{1}{2}$ | 111.8 | $65 \frac{1}{2}$ | 86.0 |
| Over 40 | 173 | 206.8 | 73 | 114.8 | 641 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 92.0 |

## TABLE XXIX.

Limits of Weight observed at Different Ages. While Soldiers - Later Series.

| A80 | Number of Men | Madmum |  | Minimam |  | Rapgo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Welght | Height | Wedght | Helght |  |
| Under 18 | 402 | $\begin{gathered} \text { lba. } \\ 166.8 \end{gathered}$ | in. $67$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lbe. } \\ & 79.8 \end{aligned}$ | in. $68$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{lba} \\ & 87.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| 18 | 667 | 175.3 | 65 | 95.8 | 61 \% | 79.5 |
| 19 | 635 | 191.3 | $74 \frac{1}{8}$ | 64.8 | 681 | 126.5 |
| 20 | 767 | 193.8 | $68 \frac{1}{2}$ | 96.8 | 62 | 97.0 |
| 21 | 829 | 131.4 | 661 | 94.8 | 611 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 86.6 |
| 22 | 821 | 191.8 | 76 | 98.8 | 64 | 96.0 |
| 23 | 641 | 193.8 | $72 \frac{1}{2}$ | 102.8 | 60 | 91.0 |
| 24 | 646 | 213.8 | $68 \frac{1}{8}$ | 101.8 | 651 | 112.0 |
| 25 | 443 | 206.8 | 671 | 98.8 | 64 | 108.0 |
| 26 | 875 | 186.8 | 671 | 100.8 | 601 | 86.0 |
| 27 | 330 | 213.8 | 68 | 103.8 | 60 | 110.0 |
| 28 | 819 | 200.8 | 721 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 107.8 | 63 | 98.0 |
| 29 | 241 | 192.8 | 76 | 106.8 | $63 \frac{1}{8}$ | 86.0 |
| 30 | 262 | 219.8 | 69 | 106.8 | 64 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 113.0 |
| 31 | 155 | 207.8 | 71 | 91.8 | 60 | 116.0 |
| 82 | 205 | 196.8 | $73 \frac{1}{2}$ | 111.8 | $64 \frac{1}{2}$ | 85.0 |
| 33 | 157 | 184.8 | 66 | 98.8 | $61 \frac{1}{2}$ | 86.5 |
| 84 | 162 | 198.8 | 731 | 104.8 | $63 \frac{1}{2}$ | 94.0 |
| 85 | 159 | 200.8 | 73 | 108.8 | 65 | 92.0 |
| 86 | 124 | 194.8 | $66 \frac{1}{2}$ | 111.8 | $63 \frac{1}{2}$ | 83.0 |
| 87 | 112 | 192.8 | 69 | 118.3 | 651 | 74.5 |
| 38 | 113 | 201.8 | $73 \frac{1}{2}$ | 115.8 | 65 | 86.0 |
| 39 | 87 | 191.3 | $70 \frac{1}{8}$ | 103.8 | 62 | 87.5 |
| 40 | 74 | 195.8 | $67 \frac{1}{2}$ | 107.8 | $61 \frac{1}{8}$ | 88.0 |
| Over 40 | 396 | 212.8 | 71 | 93.8 | $63 \frac{1}{2}$ | 119.0 |

## 3. Relation of Weight to Circumference of Chest.

In the last section, our materials were arranged in such a form as to exhibit the relation of Weight to Age and Stature, without regard to any other influences. By studying the mean weights of men having the same stature, though of different ages, - those of men of different statures, but the same age, - and especially those of groups at successive years of age and of mean statures corresponding to their normal growth as elicited in Chapter V., the law
of average lateral expansion, as affected by increase in age, may be investigated with thoroughness and doubtless with success. And its study may be facilitated, as has been already mentioned, by converting the mean weights for each height and age into ratios between weight and stature.
The present section contains the same materials, grouped according to a different system ; namely, by Height and Girth of Chest, without regard to age; and the tables now offered are analogous in arrangement and number to those of the former series, with the substitution of the Circumference of Chest, in the place of Age, as their vertical argument.
In the earlier series of examinations, no rule was prescribed for measuring the circumference of the chest, except that it should be taken over the nipples; and it has already been stated, in our chapter upon Dimensions of Body, that it is impossible to determine what was the usual degree of inflation of the thorax at the time of measurement. Still it may fairly be assumed that the mean of a large number of measures will closely correspond with an average condition of the lungs.
In the later examinations, - comprising all the Sailors, Students, and men of other races than the white, as well as a large preponderance of the volunteer soldiers measured, - the girth was taken both after full inspiration, and after expiration, and the mean between these two values has been employed in our tabulations.

The series of tabular results of our weighings is closed by the Tables XXXIX. and XL., which exhibit the consolidated results, arranged by circumference of chest, as their sole argument, - and analogous to the Tables VIII., XIII., XXV., and XXVI. These tables show so marked a conformity to law that the empirical Table XLI. has been prepared, showing the average weight for white men corresponding to each half-inch of circumference of chest, - the height and the age both being disregarded. The column of differences between the observed and computed values bears witness to the correctness of this determination.

## TABLE XXX.

Mean Weights, by Height and Circumference of Chest.
White Soldiers - Earlier Series.

| Cire. of Chest | 64 Inches |  | 64\} Inches |  | 65 Inchees |  | $65 \frac{1}{1}$ Inchee |  | 66 Inches |  | 661 Inchen |  | 07 Inches |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | We. | No. | Wt. | No. | Ft. |
| In. |  | Ibe |  | lbe. |  | Ibe. |  | lba. |  |  |  | so. |  | bas. |
| 28 | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | - | 1 | 165.8 | - |  |  |  |
| $28 \frac{1}{8}$ | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| 29 | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 132.3 | - |  |
| $29 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 115.8 | 2 | 108.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| 30 | 1 | 109.8 |  | - | 1 | 116.8 | 5 | 110.8 | 1 | 107.8 | 5 | 115.8 |  | 110.8 |
| $30 \frac{1}{8}$ | 4 | 113.4 | 5 | 127.3 | 4 | 112.9 |  | - | 2 | 116.0 | 1 | 109.8 | 8 | 117.5 |
| 81 | 5 | 115.7 | 6 | 120.5 | 7 | 133.2 | 3 | 110.6 | 8 | 118.9 | 10 | 117.7 | 2 | 126.0 |
| $81 \frac{1}{8}$ | 5 | 114.7 | 8 | 117.2 | 5 | 118.7 | 8 | 119.0 | 5 | 117.9 | 7 | 124.4 | 8 | 125.2 |
| 32 | 9 | 116.1 | 11 | 116.5 | 22 | 121.6 | 18 | 121.7 | 11 | 121.0 | 10 | 122.5 | 10 | 118.1 |
| 821 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 16 | 118.0 | 12 | 120.0 | 19 | 122.6 | 16 | 126.0 | 15 | 128.5 | 21 | 125.5 | 12 | 127.6 |
| 33 | 12 | 124.8 | 21 | 128.6 | 11 | 124.4 | 20 | 129.0 | 26 | 120.7 | 23 | 128.9 | 16 | 132.4 |
| 831 | 17 | 124.2 | 23 | 129.2 | 13 | 125.8 | 31 | 128.2 | 29 | 131.9 | 18 | 129.3 | 18 | 130.8 |
| 34 | 26 | 129.5 | 23 | 132.2 | 37 | 133.9 | 38 | 135.4 | 35 | 131.3 | 43 | 135.4 | 30 | 136.1 |
| 841 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 13 | 129.3 | 38 | 134.0 | 36 | 133.0 | 40 | 134.6 | 35 | 134.2 | 36 | 137.1 | 32 | 135.3 |
| 35 | 25 | 135.2 | 22 | 133.6 | 20 | 134.5 | 39 | 136.0 | 89 | 187.6 | 45 | 139.1 | 47 | 141.0 |
| 351 | 12 | 135.5 | 18 | 137.7 | 24 | 141.0 | 45 | 137.8 | 45 | 140.5 | 44 | 140.3 | 46 | 142.8 |
| 86 | 12 | 141.0 | 17 | 139.0 | 18 | 144.1 | 37 | 139.9 | 28 | 142.2 | 54 | 144.4 | 40 | 146.7 |
| 361 | 8 | 137.0 | 18 | 143.0 | 15 | 139.4 | 23 | 145.1 | 20 | 146.3 | 30 | 142.1 | 31 | 149.0 |
| 37 | 2 | 153.0 | 5 | 146.3 | 6 | 147.4 | 21 | 144.4 | 18 | 143.4 | 23 | 150.5 | 32 | 150.8 |
| $37 \frac{1}{8}$ | 5 | 143.4 | 3 | 145.1 | 9 | 147.7 | 11 | 147.8 | 17 | 151.1 | 20 | 153.2 | 23 | 154.6 |
| 38 | 2 | 143.8 | 8 | 144.6 | 8 | 145.1 | 7 | 141.8 | 12 | 152.4 | 14 | 148.5 |  | 156.8 |
| $38 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 153.3 | 6 | 148.5 | 2 | 156.5 | 7 | 151.2 | 3 | 158.5 | 13 | 154.6 | 13 | 153.9 |
| 39 | 2 | 162.3 | 8 | 159.5 | 3 | 160.6 | 3 | 152.1 | 3 | 161.8 | 4 | 158.9 | 10 | 155.1 |
| 391 | 2 | 149.3 | 1 | 152.8 | 2 | 161.3 | 1 | 158.8 | 3 | 158.5 | 6 | 154.5 | 3 | 150.0 |
| 40 | - | - | 1 | 165.8 | 1 | 171.3 | 2 | 163.8 | 1 | 162.8 | 2 | 162.5 | 4 | 152.5 |
| 401 $\frac{1}{2}$ | - | - | - | - | 1 | 143.8 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 183.8 |  | 155.3 |
| 41 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 145.8 | 1 | 160.3 | 1 | 146.8 | 2 | 161.8 |  | - |
| 411 $\frac{1}{2}$ | - |  | - |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  | 186.8 |
| 42 | - | - | 1 | 167.8 | - |  | - |  | - |  | 2 | 177.5 |  | - |
| 4212 |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  | - |  |  | - |
| 43 | - |  | - |  | - | - | - |  | - |  | - | - |  | - |
| 431 | - |  | - |  |  | - |  |  |  | - | 1 | 224.3 | - |  |
| 44 | - |  | - |  | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 4412 | - |  |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |

## TABLE XXX. - (Continued.)

Mean Weights, by Height and Circumference of Chest.
White Soldiers - Earlier Series.

| Clre. of | 67i $\frac{1}{2}$ Inchees |  | 68 Inches |  | 681 Inches |  | 69 Inches |  | 691 Inches |  | 70 Inches |  | $70 \frac{1}{1}$ Inchee |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Wt. |  | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. |
| 1 la . |  | lbe. |  | lbs. |  | lbe. |  | 1bs. |  | lbe. |  | 1bs. |  | be. |
| 28 | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| 28 $\frac{1}{2}$ | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 29 | 1 | 111.8 | - |  | - | - | 1 | 164.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 291 $\frac{1}{2}$ | - |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 30 | - | - | 1 | 99.3 | 1 | 156.8 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 136.8 | - | - |
| $30 \frac{1}{2}$ | 2 | 128.0 | 1 | 125.8 | 8 | 126.1 | 2 | 116.0 | 1 | 118.3 | - | - | - | - |
| 81 | 2 | 130.5 | 9 | 119.0 |  | - | 2 | 116.5 | 1 | 138.3 | - | - | - | - |
| $31 \frac{1}{2}$ | 7 | 125.8 | 2 | 119.8 | 5 | 124.4 | 7 | 126.8 | 2 | 122.5 | 1 | 128.3 | - | - |
| 32 | 9 | 127.5 | 11 | 127.4 | 12 | 132.2 | 6 | 135.8 | 3 | 128.1 |  | - | 2 | 134.3 |
| $32 \frac{1}{2}$ | 12 | 129.2 | 4 | 130.2 | 12 | 126.4 | 8 | 181.4 | 8 | 184.0 | 6 | 139.5 | - | 138.4 |
| 33 | 30 | 131.1 | 27 | 131.0 | 22 | 133.5 | 11 | 134.4 | 8 | 139.0 | 7 | 131.2 | 6 | 135.2 |
| 331 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 29 | 133.7 | 25 | 135.8 | 29 | 136.6 | 10 | 139.8 | 11 | 139.9 | 8 | 138.7 | 7 | 186.0 |
| 34 | 46 | 138.2 | 42 | 135.3 | 27 | 141.3 | 28 | 142.5 | 20 | 138.8 | 15 | 138.5 | 7 | 148.6 |
| $34 \frac{1}{2}$ | 53 | 136.9 | 32 | 141.2 | 33 | 140.5 | 21 | 141.9 | 22 | 140.4 | 15 | 143.0 | 14 | 147.4 |
| 35 | 40 | 142.9 | 39 | 143.6 | 35 | 144.9 | 37 | 144.6 | 27 | 146.8 | 17 | 151.7 | 21 | 143.7 |
| $35 \frac{1}{2}$ | 46 | 146.9 | 31 | 145.1 | 38 | 146.3 | 41 | 147.9 | 26 | 147.6 | 27 | 147.3 | 19 | 152.5 |
| 36 | 55 | 147.3 | 48 | 149.1 | 45 | 149.4 | 39 | 150.8 | 25 | 152.4 | 26 | 151.7 | 15 | 156.1 |
| 861 | 45 | 148.7 | 29 | 151.7 | 42 | 152.1 | 37 | 152.4 | 30 | 155.5 | 22 | 153.5 | 19 | 157.9 |
| 87 | 44 | 154.9 | 37 | 153.0 | 36 | 157.6 | 31 | 153.2 | 81 | 154.8 | 28 | 158.0 | 17 | 156.4 |
| $37 \frac{1}{2}$ | 35 | 153.8 | 36 | 156.4 | 49 | 159.1 | 21 | 155.8 | 22 | 153.3 | 29 | 162.7 | 13 | 163.7 |
| 38 | 17 | 157.9 | 31 | 158.9 | 34 | 159.4 | 18 | 160.9 | 18 | 160.5 | 14 | 160.3 | 15 | 165.9 |
| 381 ${ }^{1}$ | 13 | 160.5 | 14 | 155.4 | 22 | 158.5 | 15 | 163.3 | 22 | 164.3 | 14 | 160.9 | 14 | 168.8 |
| 89 | 9 | 162.9 | 13 | 163.2 | 9 | 163.0 | 19 | 165.0 | 8 | 163.4 | 18 | 173.4 | 12 | 170.1 |
| $39 \frac{1}{2}$ | 2 | 162.8 | 5 | 161.4 | 11 | 165.8 | 8 | 171.3 | 8 | 166.7 | 7 | 172.4 |  | 178.0 |
| 40 | 4 | 164.5 |  | 159.1 | 3 | 167.8 | 3 | 167.1 | 5 | 170.8 | 3 | 182.8 | 7 | 175.2 |
| $40 \frac{1}{2}$ | 2 | 168.5 | 4 | 170.8 | 2 | 174.0 | 2 | 176.8 | 4 | 167.8 | 1 | 161.8 | 2 | 177.0 |
| 41 | 1 | 140.8 | 1 | 182.8 | - | - | 1 | 177.8 | 8 | 178.6 | 8 | 172.0 |  | 174.0 |
| $41 \frac{1}{2}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 170.3 |  | 176.8 |  | - |  | 178.5 |
| 42 | 3 | 164.6 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 186.3 | - | - |  | 174.3 |  | 175.3 |
| $42 \frac{1}{2}$ | - | - | - |  | - | - | 1 | 200.8 | - | - | 2 | 177.3 | 1 | 181.8 |
|  | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - | - |  | 1 | 192.8 | - | - |
| $43 \frac{1}{2}$ |  | 174.3 | - |  |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |
| 44 | 1 | 197.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |
| 44 $\frac{1}{2}$ | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | - | - |

## TABLE XXXI.

Mean Weights, by Height and Circumference of Chest.
White Soldiers - Later Series.

| Crre. of Chest | 64 Incheos |  | 64] Inches |  | 65 Inches |  | 65f Inchees |  | 66 Inchee |  | 68, Inchee |  | 67 Incheo |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Wt. | No. | wt |  | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. |
|  |  |  |  | Ibem |  |  |  | 1 ba |  |  |  | ${ }^{106}$ |  | 1 ba |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |
| 29 | 1 | 101.8 | - |  | - |  | - | - | - |  | - |  | - | - |
| 291 $\frac{1}{2}$ |  |  | 1 | 98.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 116.8 |
| 30 | 1 | 110.8 | 2 | 109.8 |  | 101.8 |  | 121.8 |  | 111.8 |  | 12 |  | - |
| 301 |  | 110.6 | 5 | 110.3 |  | 121.3 |  | 113.3 | 3 | 125.8 | 1 | 116. |  | 113.8 |
| 31 | 7 | 10 | 4 | 119.0 |  | 112.2 |  | 116.5 |  | 113.5 |  | 11 |  | 129.8 |
| $31 \frac{1}{2}$ | 10 | 11 | 11 | 112.1 |  | 118.9 |  | 118.8 |  | 13.0 | 10 | 115. |  | 119.0 |
| 32 | 12 | 11 | 21 | 120.0 | 21 | 119.6 | 13 | 121.6 | 8 | 117.4 | 15 | 122. | 12 | 122.7 |
| $32 \frac{1}{2}$ | 13 | 118.9 | 21 | 118.6 | 21 | 121.1 | 21 | 122.3 | 17 | 122.7 | 19 | 121.4 | 19 | 126.0 |
| 33 | 27 | 120.7 | 42 | 121.3 | 28 | 125.9 | 34 | 127.3 | 34 | 127.5 | 28 | 128.5 | 31 | 7.8 |
| $33 \frac{1}{2}$ | 22 | 128. | 34 | 123.6 | 32 | 124.9 | 55 | 124.5 | 21 | 129.3 | 31 | 126.8 | 36 | . 5 |
| 34 | 29 | 12 | 44 | 1263 | 59 | 128.6 | 52 | 12 | 37 | 129.7 | 75 | 31. | 49 | 132.2 |
| 342 | 34 | 126 | 39 | 128 | 48 | 129.3 | 59 | 13 | 54 | 131.8 | 72 | 13 | 48 | . |
| 35 | 29 | 129.8 | 42 | 131.6 | 51 | 133.0 | 78 | 135.3 | 52 | 136.2 | 79 | 134. | 57 | 137.2 |
| 85 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 26 | 134.9 | 43 | 136.6 | 38 | 134.2 | 66 | 136.4 | 57 | 137.4 | 82 | 137.0 | 74 | 9.4 |
| 36 | 30 | 13 | 40 | 13 | 31 | 135.0 | 60 | 13 | 50 | 138.1 | 92 | 141. | 87 | 2.2 |
| 361 | 18 | 140.0 | 31 | 13 | 27 | 141 | 52 | 13 |  | 145.9 | 64 | 143 | 72 | 146.1 |
| 87 | 16 | 140.7 | 25 | 146.3 | 29 | 142.9 | 36 | 143.1 | 33 | 147.9 | 58 | 145.9 | 79 | 146.9 |
| 371 $\frac{1}{8}$ | 11 | 137.9 | 21 | 140.4 | 15 | 144.0 | 33 | 143.7 | 24 | 146.1 | 55 | 145.7 | 56 | 1 |
| 38 | 6 | 144.9 | 11 | 140.9 | 15 | 148.1 | 22 | 151.2 | 20 | 151.5 | 34 | 152.5 | 38 | 15 |
| 382 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 4 | 138.3 |  | , |  | 154 | 19 | 150 | 13 | 153.2 | 17 | 153. | 83 | 157.8 |
| 39 |  | 151 | 7 | 142 |  | 15 | 12 | 150.8 | 12 | 156.1 | 20 | 160.9 | 17 | 157.9 |
| 3921 | 2 | 153.5 | 4 | 145. |  |  | - | 157.3 | 8 | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 40 |  | - | 1 | 166.8 | 2 | 161.0 | 4 | 154.2 | 4 | 153.2 |  | 157.0 |  | 164 |
| 4012 |  | 51.8 |  | - | - |  | - |  | 2 | 165.3 | 4 | 162.5 | 2 | 16 |
| 41 | 1 | 159.8 | 1 | 151.3 | - | - |  | 142.8 |  | - |  | 16 |  | - |
| $41 \frac{1}{2}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 174. |  | 81.8 |
| 42 | - |  | - | - | - |  | - |  |  | 3.8 |  |  |  | 65. |
| $42 \frac{1}{8}$ | - |  | - |  |  |  |  | 152.8 |  | 184.8 |  | 159.8 |  |  |
| 43 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| 432 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  | - | - |  | 180.8 |
| 44 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |

## TABLE XXXI. - (Continued.)

Mean Weights, by Height and Circumference of Chest.
White Soldiers - Later Series.

| Cire. of Chest | $67 \frac{1}{2}$ Inches |  | 68 Inches |  | 681 Inches |  | 69 Inches |  | 691 Inches |  | 70 Inches |  | 701 1 Inches |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. |
| in. |  | lba. |  | 1 be . |  | 1be. |  | 1 be . |  | iba. |  | lb |  | 1be. |
| 28 | - |  | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| 281 $\frac{1}{2}$ | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 29 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 291 $\frac{1}{2}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 30 | 1 | 105.8 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 118.8 | - | - | 1 | 134.8 | - |  |
| $30 \frac{1}{2}$ | 2 | 116.8 | 1 | 119.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 31 | 4 | 113.3 | 1 | 136.8 | 1 | 108.8 | 2 | 109.0 | - | - | 1 | 107.8 | - | - |
| $31 \frac{1}{2}$ | 2 | 123.8 | 2 | 122.5 | 3 | 129.6 | 2 | 128.8 | 1 | 127.3 | - | - | - | - |
| 32 | 8 | 123.4 | 6 | 129.5 | 6 | 132.3 | 8 | 129.1 | 3 | 125.6 | - | - | 2 | 137.3 |
| 321 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 16 | 129.8 | 12 | 133.5 | 13 | 130.8 | 12 | 127.6 | 6 | 134.7 | 5 | 135.9 | - | - |
| 33 | 18 | 131.6 | 21 | 130.4 | 12 | 132.1 | 7 | 135.5 | 9 | 132.4 | 2 | 134.3 | 4 | 140.4 |
| $33 \frac{1}{2}$ | 29 | 132.9 | 22 | 135.5 | 24 | 133.4 | 9 | 132.7 | 9 | 141.2 | 3 | 131.5 | 6 | 147.1 |
| 34 | 44 | 136.0 | 35 | 135.7 | 37 | 140.1 | 29 | 142.9 | 25 | 139.7 | 10 | 140.0 | 6 | 141.5 |
| 341 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 49 | 137.5 | 32 | 139.3 | 47 | 138.8 | 31 | 141.1 | 17 | 145.1 | 12 | 142.9 | 9 | 151.8 |
| 35 | 77 | 138.9 | 56 | 141.1 | 40 | 140.4 | 45 | 143.8 | 29 | 143.7 | 30 | 144.9 | 21 | 1495 |
| $35 \frac{1}{2}$ | 85 | 141.4 | 69 | 145.2 | 68 | 143.1 | 47 | 145.7 | 45 | 147.2 | 33 | 148.7 | 18 | 149.7 |
| 36 | 114 | 142.8 | 109 | 144.4 | 49 | 145.2 | 49 | 147.2 | 43 | 148.4 | 37 | 152.8 | 24 | 151.5 |
| 36 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 82 | 145.0 | 74 | 147.2 | 78 | 148.3 | 45 | 148.8 | 49 | 152.3 | 35 | 152.1 | 18 | 155.7 |
| 37 | 82 | 148.7 | 71 | 148.0 | 65 | 151.3 | 51 | 155.0 | 44 | 155.5 | 29 | 155.8 | 27 | 158.2 |
| 871 | 62 | 147.5 | 49 | 150.5 | 57 | 153.0 | 25 | 154.2 | 32 | 159.2 | 26 | 158.2 | 27 | 156.2 |
| 38 | 47 | 153.3 | 45 | 155.9 | 55 | 153.5 | 30 | 161.2 | 40 | 158.4 | 30 | 161.7 | 24 | 162.4 |
| $38 \frac{1}{2}$ | 45 | 155.2 | 23 | 153.6 | 43 | 156.5 | 23 | 164.4 | 24 | 163.4 | 22 | 163.3 | 15 | 59.6 |
| 39 | 26 | 156.8 | 18 | 161.7 | 16 | 158.3 | 16 | 162.3 | 28 | 161.6 | 15 | 163.7 | 16 | 68.0 |
| $39 \frac{1}{2}$ | 15 | 156.3 | 14 | 158.7 | 21 | 163.5 | 10 | 163.3 | 9 | 169.8 | 7 | 169.0 | 6 | 68.8 |
| 40 | 12 | 164.6 | 7 | 162.4 | 8 | 164.9 | 14 | 167.7 | 11 | 164.8 | 8 | 172.1 | 8 | 8.6 |
| $40 \frac{1}{8}$ | 7 | 168.3 | 2 | 168.8 | 7 | 167.9 | 3 | 181.1 | 5 | 172.7 | - |  | 4 | . 4 |
| 41. | 1 | 173.3 | - | - | 5 | 171.4 | 2 | 173.3 | 4 | 181.8 | 3 | 178.5 | 5 | 176.3 |
| 4112 |  | - | 3 | 172.5 |  | 158.3 | 3 | 170.1 | $4 \mid 1$ | 180.4 | 3 | 183.5 | 2 | 183.0 |
| 42 |  | 186.8 |  | - | 1 | 180.8 | 2 | 171.8 | 1 | 183.8 | 2 | 175.0 | - |  |
| 42 $\frac{1}{2}$ |  | 95.8 | 1 | 213.8 |  | - | - | - | $1$ | 184.5 | - | - | - |  |
| 43 | 1 | 163.4 | - | - | 1 | 218.8 |  |  | 1 | 196.8 | 1 | 192.8 | - |  |
| 431 |  |  | - |  |  | - |  | - | - |  | - | - | - |  |
|  | - |  | - | - | - |  | 1 | 8.8 | - | - | - |  | - | - |
| $44 \frac{1}{2}$ | - |  | - | - | - | - | 1 | 214.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - |

## TABLE XXXII.

Mean Weights, by Height and Circumference of Chest.
White Soldiers - Boxh Series. ${ }^{1}$

| Cire. of | 64 Inches |  | 641 Inches |  | 65 Inches |  | $65 \frac{1}{2}$ Inches |  | 66 Inches |  | 66̧ Inchee |  | 67 Inches |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | t. | No. | t. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Ft |
|  |  | lbe. |  | lba. |  | lba. |  | lbe. |  | 1 tb. |  | lbe. |  | Ibe. |
| 28 |  |  | - | - | - |  | - | - | 2 | 138.3 | - | - | - |  |
| $28 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 101.8 | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 29 | 1 | 1. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 132.3 | - |  |
| 29.1 | 1 | 115. | 3 | 105.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 116.8 |
| 30 | 2 | 110.3 | 3 | 108.1 | 3 | 106.8 | 6 | 112.5 | 3 | 110.5 | 7 | 117.1 | 1 | 110.8 |
| $30 \frac{1}{2}$ | 7 | 112.2 | 10 | 118.8 | 6 | 115.7 | 2 | 113.3 | 5 | 121.9 | 3 | 118.0 | 9 | 117.1 |
| 81 | 12 | 110.6 | 11 | 118.9 | 15 | 122.0 | 8 | 114.3 | 11 | 117.4 | 14 | 116.9 | 4 | 127.9 |
| 81 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 15 | 112.4 | 19 | 114.2 | 11 | 118.8 | 14 | 118.9 | 10 | 115.4 | 18 | 119.5 | 17 | 122.0 |
| 32 | 21 | 115.1 | 33 | 118.8 | 45 | 120.6 | 31 | 121.7 | 19 | 119.5 | 25 | 122.3 | 22 | 120.6 |
| $32 \frac{1}{2}$ | 29 | 118.4 | 34 | 119.0 | 41 | 121.7 | 38 | 124.4 | 32 | 125.5 | 40 | 123.6 | 32 | 126.4 |
| 33 | 39 | 122.0 | 64 | 123.7 | 39 | 125.5 | 56 | 128.0 | 60 | 124.5 | 51 | 128.7 | 48 | 129.2 |
| 331 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 39 | 126.7 | 61 | 125.7 | 46 | . 4 | 87 | 125.8 | 57 | 130.9 | 49 | 127.8 | 55 | 132.0 |
| 84 | 56 | 128.5 | 68 | 128.3 | 91 | 130.3 | 92 | 130.6 | 75 | 130.5 | 121 | 132.9 | 81 | 133.3 |
| $34 \frac{1}{2}$ | 50 | 127.7 | 79 | 131.1 | 87 | 131.0 | 101 | 132.4 | 91 | 132.7 | 114 | 135.0 | 85 | 134.6 |
| 35 | 58 | 132.0 | 65 | 132.3 | 74 | 133.3 | 119 | 135.5 | 94 | 136.9 | 126 | 136.4 | 108 | 139.1 |
| 351 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 40 | 134.2 | 67 | 136.5 | 64 | 136.6 | 117 | 136.9 | 109 | 138.7 | 131 | 138.5 | 125 | 40.9 |
| 36 | 43 | 139.0 | 61 | 137.9 | 51 | 138.3 | 102 | 139.2 | 80 | 139.5 | 150 | 142.6 | 131 | 3.5 |
| 361 ${ }^{1}$ | 27 | 138.8 | 50 | 138.6 | 47 | 140.6 | 81 | 140.6 | 66 | 146.0 | 98 | 143.1 | 106 | 47.0 |
| 37 | 19 | 2.2 | 31 | 146.2 | 35 | 143.8 | 58 | 143.4 | 50 | 146.6 | 84 | 147.2 | 113 | 48.1 |
| $37 \frac{1}{2}$ | 17 | 140.1 | 25 | 141.1 | 26 | 145.6 | 46 | 144.8 | 44 | 148.7 | 78 | 147.8 | 81 | 2.5 |
| 38 | 8 | 4.6 | 19 | 142.5 |  | 7.7 | 30 | 148.6 | 34 | 152.0 | 50 | 151.7 |  | 3.8 |
| $38 \frac{1}{2}$ | 5 | 141.3 | 8 | 149.7 |  | 5.5 | 26 | 150.7 | 17 | 154.3 | 32 | 154.0 |  | 4.3 |
| 39 | 3 | 158.6 | 10 | 147.5 | 9 | 155.3 | 15 | 151.0 | 15 | 157.4 | 24 | 160.6 |  | 56.9 |
| 391 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 5 | 153.9 | 5 | 147.2 | 5 | 3.8 | 8 | 155.4 | 11 | 153.1 | 15 | 155.7 | 12 | 59.7 |
| 40 | - | - | 2 | 166.3 | 1 | 164.5 | 6 | 157.4 | 5 | 155.1 | 9 | 158.3 |  | 161.1 |
| $40 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 151.8 | - | - | 1 | 143.8 | - | - | 2 | 165.3 | 5 | 166.8 |  | 159.8 |
| 41 | 1 | 159.8 | 1 | 151.3 | 1 | 145.3 | 2 | 151.5 | 1 | 146.3 |  | 163.0 |  |  |
| $41 \frac{1}{2}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  | 3 | 74.6 |  | 84.3 |
|  | - | - | 1 | 167.8 | - |  |  | - | 1 | 183.8 | 2 | 177.5 |  | 65.6 |
| $42^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | 152.8 | 1 | 184.8 | 1 | 159.8 |  |  |
| 43 | - | - |  | - | - |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| 431 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | - | - |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | $1$ | 224.3 |  | 180.8 |
| 44 | - | - |  | - | - |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| $44 \frac{1}{2}$ | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |

${ }^{1}$ A few men are included in this table, for whom the returns were received too late for incorporation in the tables immediately preceding.

## TABLE XXXII. - (Continued.)

## Mean Weights, by Height and Circumference of Chest.

White. Soldiers - Both Series.

| Circ. of | 67⿺廴 Inches |  | 68 Inchea |  | $68 \frac{1}{2}$ Inches |  | 69 Inchee |  | 69 Inches |  | 70 Inches |  | 7012 Inchos |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | t. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. |
| 1 n. |  | Ibe. |  | lbe. |  | ibe. |  | lbe. |  | 1 ba |  | 1be. |  | be. |
| 28 | - |  | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28-1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 29 | 1 | 111.8 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 164.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $29 \frac{1}{2}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 30 | 1 | 105.8 | 1 | 99.3 | 1 | 156.8 |  | 118.8 | - | - | 2 | 274.8 | - |  |
| 301 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 4 | 122.4 | 2 | 122.8 | 3 | 126.1 | 2 | 116.0 | 1 | 113.3 | - | - | - | - |
| 81 | 6 | 115.7 | 10 | 120.8 | , | 108.8 | 4 | 112.8 | 1 | 138.3 | 1 | 107.8 | - | - |
| $31 \frac{1}{2}$ | 9 | 125.4 | 4 | 121.2 | 1 | 127.3 | 9 | 127.2 | 3 | 124.1 | 1 | 123.3 | - |  |
| 32 | 18 | 125.0 | 18 | 129.1 | 18 | 132.2 | 9 | 133.6 | 6 | 126.9 | - | - | 4 | 135.8 |
| 321 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 28 | 129.5 | 18 | 132.9 | 25 | 128.7 | 20 | 129.1 | 14 | 134.3 | 12 | 137.9 | 4 | 138.4 |
| 33 | 48 | 131.3 | 48 | 130.7 | 35 | 133.2 | 18 | 134.8 | 17 | 135.5 | 9 | 131.9 | 10 | 137.3 |
| 331 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 60 | 133.3 | 47 | 135.7 | 53 | 135.2 | 19 | 136.4 | 20 | 140.5 | 11 | 136.7 | 13 | 141.2 |
| 34 | 91 | 137.0 | 80 | 135.7 | 65 | 140.4 | 58 | 142.8 | 46 | 139.5 | 27 | 138.9 | 14 | 144.9 |
| $3.4 \frac{1}{2}$ | 106 | 137.3 | 65 | 140.4 | 82 | 139.5 | 52 | 141.4 | 40 | 142.7 | 27 | 142.9 | 23 | 149.1 |
| 35 | 119 | 140.1 | 98 | 142.0 | 75 | 142.5 | 85 | 144.3 | 57 | 145.2 | 48 | 147.1 | 43 | 146.5 |
| $35 \frac{1}{2}$ | 138 | 143.2 | 105 | 145.3 | 108 | 144.3 | 90 | 146.9 | 72 | 147.4 | 61 | 148.1 | 37 | 151.1 |
| 36 | 174 | 144.3 | 163 | 146.0 | 101 | 146.9 | 90 | 148.8 | 69 | 149.6 | 64 | 152.3 | 42 | 153.5 |
| 36 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 131 | 146.6 | 111 | 148.9 | 121 | 149.6 | 88 | 150.4 | 85 | 153.2 | 57 | 152.6 | 43 | 158.0 |
| 37 | 131 | 150.6 | 113 | 149.7 | 105 | 153.5 | 83 | 154.3 | 79 | 155.4 | 59 | 157.0 | 45 | 157.4 |
| 371 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 99 | 150.0 | 86 | 152.9 | 109 | 155.8 | 51 | 155.5 | 56 | 157.1 | 57 | 160.6 | 40 | 158.6 |
| 38 | 66 | 154.5 | 78 | 157.1 | 90 | 155.8 | 50 | 161.2 | 62 | 159.2 | 44 | 161.3 | 39 | 163.8 |
| $38 \frac{1}{2}$ | 59 | 156.6 | 41 | 154.1 | 66 | 157.1 | 40 | 1636 | 49 | 163.8 | 37 | 162.5 | 30 | 163.7 |
| 39 | 41 | 159.0 | 32 | 162.1 | 29 | 161.2 | 37 | 164.2 | 36 | 162.0 | 34 | 168.7 | 28 | 168.9 |
| $39 \frac{1}{2}$ | 17 | 157.1 | 19 | 159.4 | 33 | 164.0 | 14 | 166.7 | 17 | 168.3 | 14 | 170.7 | 14 | 173.6 |
| 40 | 17 | 164.2 | 13 | 160.9 | 12 | 165.4 | 17 | 167.6 | 17 | 167.4 | 13 | 175.2 | 17 | 176.7 |
| $40 \frac{1}{2}$ | 9 | 168.4 | 7 | 168.5 | 9 | 169.3 | 5 | 179.4 | 9 | 170.5 | 1 | 161.8 | $6$ | 7.3 |
| 41 | 2 | 157.0 | 1 | 182.8 | 6 | 173.2 | 3 | 174.8 | 7 | 180.4 | 7 | 174.7 | 8 | 78.0 |
| $41 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 200.8 | 3 | 172.6 | 1 | 158.3 | 4 | 170.2 | 5 | 179.7 | 3 | 1835 | 4 | 180.8 |
| 42 | 4 | 170.2 | - | - | 1 | 180.8 | 4 | 179.0 | 1 | 183.8 | 3 | 174.8 | 1 | 175.3 |
| 421 | 1 | 195.8 | 1 | 213.8 |  | - |  | 200.8 | 1 | 178.5 | 2 | 177.3 | 1 | 181.8 |
| 43 |  | 163.3 |  | - |  | 213.8 |  | - | 1 | 196.8 | 2 | 192.8 | - |  |
| $43 \frac{1}{2}$ |  | 174.3 |  |  |  | - |  | - |  | - | - | - | - |  |
| 44 | 1 | 197.8 | - | - | - | - |  | 218.8 |  | - | - | - | - |  |
| $44 \frac{1}{2}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 214.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - |

## TABLE XXXIII.

## Mean Weights of Sailors, by Height and Circumference of Chest.

| Cire. of Chest | 64\} Inchen |  | $65 \frac{1}{2}$ Inches |  | 661 Inches |  | 67f Inches |  | 681 Inches |  | 693 Inches |  | 70. 1 Inches |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { In. } \\ \text { Under } 30 \end{gathered}$ | 1 | $112.0$ | 1 | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { lbe. } \\ 103.0 \end{array}\right\|$ | 2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1be. } \\ 108.5 \end{gathered}$ | - | 1 ba . | - | lbe. |  | 1bs. |  | ibs. |
| 30 | 1 | 119.0 | 2 | 108.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $30 \frac{1}{2}$ | 3 | 115.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 31 | 1 | 103.0 | 2 | 115.0 | - | - | 2 | 123.5 | 1 | 125.0 | 1 | 126.0 | - | - |
| $31 \frac{1}{2}$ | 4 | 117.5 | 2 | 123.0 | 1 | 114.0 | 3 | 122.3 |  | - |  | - | - | - |
| 32 | 10 | 116.2 | 9 | 121.9 | 4 | 130.1 | 3 | 121.0 | 1 | 124.0 | 2 | 126.5 | - | - |
| 32 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 6 | 125.8 | 9 | 122.9 | 5 | 122.9 | 5 | 127.5 | - | - | 1 | 134.0 | 1 | 145.0 |
| 33 | 18 | 124.1 | 17 | 130.1 | 17 | 129.9 | 6 | 134.0 | 8 | 135.3 | 3 | 134.0 | - | - |
| $33 \frac{1}{2}$ | 7 | 124.4 | 14 | 125.6 | 7 | 132.0 | 8 | 131.6 | 7 | 139.5 | 3 | 137.3 | 3 | 148.1 |
| 34 | 20 | 129.2 | 17 | 132.0 | 22 | 136.9 | 13 | 138.6 | 9 | 146.6 | 8 | 142.3 |  | 145.8 |
| 341 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 10 | 135.0 | 13 | 134.2 | 10 | 137.9 | 14 | 143.6 | 4 | 143.5 | 6 | 144.5 | 3 | 149.3 |
| 35 | 21 | 135.9 | 19 | 140.5 | 19 | 138.2 | 28 | 140.9 | 18 | 146.7 | 11 | 148.2 | 5 | 145.6 |
| $35 \frac{1}{2}$ | 9 | 134.6 | 13 | 136.1 | 10 | 142.9 | 10 | 145.3 |  | 147.2 | 10 | 151.8 | 1 | 140.0 |
| 36 | 14 | 142.6 | 18 | 137.0 | 17 | 140.4 | 19 | 145.6 | 12 | 149.8 | 14 | 157.7 | - |  |
| 361 | 8 | 139.4 | 15 | 141.4 | 10 | 140.8 | 13 | 148.2 | 10 | 147.8 |  | 153.2 |  | 156.3 |
| 37 | 8 | 136.8 | 12 | 145.1 | 18 | 150.6 | 16 | 158.0 | 15 | 151.4 | 9 | 155.4 |  | 163.3 |
| $37 \frac{1}{2}$ | 4 | 149.3 | 8 | 144.9 | 4 | 146.4 | 12 | 154.8 | 3 | 159.5 | 5 | 154.8 |  | 172.5 |
| 38 | 6 | 145.3 | 12 | 146.8 | 5 | 154.7 | 4 | 157.0 | 9 | 163.2 | 5 | 158.0 |  | 165.3 |
| $38 \frac{1}{2}$ | - | - | 3 | 150.3 |  | 162.5 | 6 | 162.4 | 1 | 161.0 | 3 | 157.5 |  | 172.0 |
| 39 | 3 | 148.6 | 1 | 168.0 | 1 | 144.0 | 5 | 161.2 | 2 | 169.5 | 1 | 175.0 | 3 | 177.0 |
| 391 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 151.3 | 1 | 155.3 | 2 | 168.0 | - | - | 3 | 174.3 | 1 | 164.0 | - |  |
| 40 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 171.5 | - |  |  | 171.8 |
| 401 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 2 | 163.5 | 1 | 166.0 | - | - | - | - |  | 178.0 | - |  | - |  |
| 41 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 169.8 | 2 | 174.5 | - | - | - | - |
| 411 $\frac{1}{2}$ | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 175.0 |
| 42 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 163.0 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - |
| 421 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 189.0 |

## TABLE XXXIV.

Mean Weights of Students, by Height and Circumference of Chest.


## TABLE XXXV.

## Mean Weights of Full Blacks,

 by Height and Circumference of Chest.| Circ. of Chest | 643 Inches |  | 65 : Inches |  | 68 2 Inches |  | 6it Inches |  | 68 ${ }^{\text {I Inches }}$ |  | 691 Inches |  | 70ł Ineten |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Wt. | No. | $\cdots \mathrm{t}$. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wh. | No. | W\%. |
| in. | 6 | 127.8 | 6 | Ibs. $131.2$ | 9 | lbs. 28.6 | 6 | $\begin{gathered} \text { lbe. } \\ 126.2 \end{gathered}$ | 3 | 13 lba. | 1 | . |  | ${ }^{16 m}$ |
| 32 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 15 | 130.4 | 6 | 129.9 | 12 | 181.0 | 10 | 132.2 | 3 | 137.5 | 3 | 133.1 |  | - |
| 33 | 12 | 129.9 | 20 | 132.9 | 18 | 134.4 | 12 | 138.5 | 4 | 136.6 | 1 | 159.8 |  | 138.6 |
| $33 \frac{1}{2}$ | 21 | 133.6 | 27 | 134.4 | 27 | 136.5 | 16 | 142.5 | 7 | 144.3 | 6 | 147.6 |  | - |
| 34 | 15 | 135.9 | 19 | 138.8 | 29 | 139.1 | 22 | 143.2 | 15 | 142.0 | 9 | 144.8 |  | 157.3 |
| $34 \frac{1}{2}$ | 16 | 138.6 | 24 | 135.9 | 28 | 144.9 | 28 | 147.0 | 24 | 147.8 | 12 | 146.2 |  | 166.9 |
| 35 | 15 | 141.7 | 20 | 140.8 | 23 | 143.6 | 36 | 147.1 | 27 | 153.2 | 12 | 154.3 |  | 149.9 |
| $35 \frac{1}{2}$ | 21 | 139.7 | 23 | 143.3 | 30 | 145.0 | 22 | 147.6 | 28 | 151.0 | 15 | 163.6 |  | 160.9 |
| 36 | 14 | 145.7 | 17 | 143.1 | 20 | 146.6 | 23 | 150.0 | 14 | 156.5 | 16 | 158.8 | 11 | 160.0 |
| 861 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 6 | 144.2 | 13 | 150.2 | 16 | 148.2 | 24 | 151.1 | 23 | 156.7 | 14 | 158.7 |  | 161.2 |
| 37 | 8 | 142.6 | 16 | 150.0 | 19 | 151.7 | 15 | 159.0 | 14 | 159.4 | 6 | 161.7 |  | 168.2 |
| 871 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 8 | 150.2 | 7 | 155.6 | 6 | 160.4 | 8 | 159.7 | 10 | 166.1 | 9 | 164.6 |  | 170.8 |
| 38 | 4 | 156.8 | 7 | 154.3 | 5 | 153.1 | 11 | 162.0 | 8 | 166.6 | 7 | 164.4 |  | 169.6 |
| 38 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 153.0 | 4 | 150.9 | 6 | 156.8 | 5 | 157.3 | 2 | 165.2 | 7 | 175.4 | 1 | 187.1 |
| 39 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 163.2 | 5 | 175.0 | 2 | 163.3 | 3 | 177.7 | 4 | 179.0 |
| 391 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 161.8 | 1 | 162.0 | 2 | 170.3 | 3 | 168.2 |  | - |
| 40 | 1 | 161.0 | 2 | 137.6 | - | - | 1 | 185.8 | 3 | 151.9 | 1 | 167.0 | 1 | 183.6 |

## TABLE XXXVI.

## Mean Weights of Mulattoes,

 by Height and Circumference of Chest.| Cise. of Chent | 64 4 Inches |  | $65!$ Inches |  | 661 Inches |  | 67f Inches |  | 68 I Inches |  | 601 Inchen |  | T0, 1 Inches |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. |
| 12. |  | Ibe |  | 1be. |  | 160. |  | 1 lbe . |  | lbe. |  | Ibe. |  | 1 ba . |
| 32 | 4 | 122.0 | 5 | 132.4 | 5 | 140.9 | 1 | 145.2 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 32 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 9 | 130.4 | 3 | 121.8 | 6 | 134.7 | 5 | 141.9 | 2 | 144.0 | - | - | - |  |
| 33 | 3 | 135.9 | 10 | 140.7 | 11 | 137.5 | 3 | 131.1 | 3 | 135.8 | 1 | 140.5 | - | - |
| 83 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 11 | 134.2 | 13 | 138.2 | 3 | 135.4 | 10 | 138.6 | 3 | 137.3 | 5 | 149.7 | 2 | 136.6 |
| 34 | 8 | 139.1 | 9 | 139.0 | 14 | 140.7 | 7 | 145.1 | 4 | 143.5 | 3 | 141.5 | 2 | 149.1 |
| $34 \frac{1}{2}$ | 8 | 136.5 | 8 | 141.1 | 24 | 147.1 | 16 | 150.7 | 9 | 146.6 | 3 | 151.7 | 4 | 146.3 |
| 35 | 11 | 136.0 | 10 | 146.6 | 7 | 154.0 | 10 | 148.0 | 10 | 153.4 | 7 | 152.4 | 3 | 159.7 |
| 351 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 10 | 149.0 | 8 | 145.3 | 13 | 153.4 | 16 | 150.6 | 8 | 154.7 | 7 | 156.2 | 3 | 150.8 |
| 36 | 4 | 152.0 | 6 | 150.1 | 9 | 153.3 | 9 | 1539 | 6 | 159.0 | 7 | 157.7 | 3 | 163.5 |
| $36 \frac{1}{2}$ | 8 | 140.0 | 7 | 152.1 | 3 | 153.2 | 10 | 151.6 | 4 | 157.4 | 5 | 168.1 | 2 | 156.3 |
| 37 | 2 | 150.1 | - | - | 4 | 144.4 | 3 | 163.6 | 2 | 152.0 | 3 | 173.5 | 2 | 157.6 |
| $37 \frac{1}{2}$ | 5 | 152.3 | 4 | 152.1 | 7 | 154.6 | 2 | 171.9 | - | - | 5 | 168.6 | - | - |
| 38 | - | - | 4 | 151.7 | 3 | 166.1 | 1 | 167.6 | 3 | 164.0 | 3 | 168.9 | - |  |
| $38 \frac{1}{2}$ | - | - | 1 | 167.5 | 2 | 175.9 | 2 | 167.2 | 4 | 164.1 | 3 | 176.9 | 5 | 171.2 |
| 39 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 147.5 | 1 | 163.7 | - | - |  | - |  | - |
| 891 $\frac{1}{2}$ | - | - | 1 | 168.1 | 1 | 182.5 | 2 | 159.4 | - | - |  |  | 1 | 185.2 |
| 40 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 171.6 | - | - | - | - |

## TABLE XXXVII.

## Mean Weights of Negroes, by Height and Circumference of Chest.

| Circ. of Chest | 64\} Inobee |  | 657 Inohes |  | 68\% I mohes |  | 67t Inchee |  | 688 Inchee |  | 00\% Incbee |  | 70, Incbee |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | We. | No. | Wt. | No. | vt. | No. | Wh |
| cheo |  | 130. |  | . |  | 136 |  | 136. |  |  |  | , |  | noe. |
| 32 | 10 | 125.5 | 11 | 131.7 | 14 | 133.0 |  | 128.9 |  | 183.9 |  | 123.0 |  |  |
| $32 \frac{1}{2}$ | 24 | 130.4 | 9 | 127.2 | 18 | 132.2 | 15 | 135.4 | 5 | 140.1 |  | 133.1 |  |  |
| 33 | 15 | 131.1 | 80 | 135.5 | 29 | 135.6 | 15 | 137.0 |  | 136.3 | 2 | 150.2 |  | . 6 |
| $33 \frac{1}{2}$ | 32 | 133.8 | 40 | 135.6 | 30 | 186.4 | 26 | 141.0 | 10 | 142.2 | 11 | 148.5 |  | 136.6 |
| 34 | 23 | 137.0 | 28 | 138.9 | 43 | 189.6 | 29 | 148.7 | 19 | 142.3 | 12 | 144. |  | 154.6 |
| 841 | 24 | 137.9 | 32 | 187.2 | 52 | 145.9 | 44 | 148.3 | 33 | 147.5 | 15 | 147.3 |  | 155.1 |
| 35 | 26 | 139.3 | 30 | 142.7 | 30 | 146.0 | 46 | 147.3 | 37 | 153. | 19 | 153.6 |  | 152.6 |
| 351 $\frac{1}{8}$ | 31 | 142.7 | 81 | 143.8 | 43 | 147.5 | 38 | 148.9 | 36 | 151.8 | 22 | 161.2 |  | 154. |
| 36 | 18 | 147.1 | 23 | 144.9 | 29 | 148.7 | 32 | 151.1 | 20 | 157.2 | 23 | 158.5 |  | 160.7 |
| 361 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 14 | 141.8 | 20 | 150.9 | 19 | 149.0 | 84 | 151.2 | 27 | 156.8 | 19 | 161.2 |  | 160.2 |
| 37 | 10 | 144.1 | 16 | 150.0 | 23 | 150.4 | 8 | 159.8 | 16 | 158.5 | 9 | 165. |  | 164. |
| $37 \frac{1}{2}$ | 13 | 151.0 | 11 | 154.3 | 13 | 157.8 | 10 | 162.1 | 10 | 166.1 | 14 | 166.0 |  | 170. |
| 38 | 4 | 156.8 | 11 | 153.4 | 8 | 158.0 | 12 | 162.5 | 11 | 165.9 | 10 | 164.8 | 11 | 169.6 |
| $38 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 153.0 | 5 | 154.2 | 8 | 161.6 |  | 160.1 |  | 164.5 | 10 | 175.8 |  | 173.8 |
| 39 | - | - | - | - | 8 | 158.0 | 6 | 173.1 | 2 | 163. | 3 | 177. |  | 179.0 |
| 391 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | - | - |  | 168.1 | 2 | 172.1 | 3 | 160.3 |  | 170.3 | 3 | 168.2 |  | 185.2 |
| 40 | 1 | 161.1 | 2 | 187.6 | - | - | 1 | 185.8 |  | 156.8 | 1 | 167.0 |  | 183.6 |

## TABLE XXXVIII.

Mean Weights of Iroquois Indians,
by Height and Circumference of Chest.

| Cire. of | 64 $\ddagger$ Inches |  | 661 Inches |  | $66\}$ Inches |  | 67f Inches |  | 68! Inches |  | 60.1 Inches |  | 70¢ Inohes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. | No. | Wt. |
|  | - | ${ }^{\text {ben }}$ | 4 | 129.8 | 6 | 135.0 | 8 | 1be | 2 | ${ }_{1} 16$. | - | lbe | 2 | 8 |
| 86 | - | - | 7 | 188.7 | 5 | 137.2 | 4 | 138.8 | 2 | 187.8 | - | - | 1 | 144.8 |
| 86 | - | - | 2 | 181.8 | 7 | 145.0 | 6 | 143.6 | 6 | 154.3 | - | - | 1 | 141.8 |
| $86 \frac{1}{2}$ | - | - | 4 | 146.8 | 8 | 141.4 | 16 | 149.5 | 16 | 153.8 | 9 | 165.9 | 1 | 151.8 |
| 87 | - | - | 1 | 154.8 | 4 | 148.3 | 81 | 155.1 | 85 | 158.6 | 6 | 157.6 | 2 | 167.8 |
| $87 \frac{1}{8}$ | 1 | 182.8 | 4 | 144.8 | 1 | 140.8 | 24 | 156.2 | 34 | 165.3 | 18 | 170.4 | 1 | 174.8 |
| 88 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 162.8 | 7 | 169.3 | 8 | 165.5 | 2 | 169.3 |
| $88 \frac{1}{8}$ | - | - | 1 | 164.8 | - | - | 12 | 165.5 | 28 | 167.6 | 14 | 174.8 | 8 | 181.7 |
| 89 | - | - | 2 | 154.0 | - | - | 2 | 161.8 | 7 | 173.2 | 7 | 176.7 | 4 | 176.6 |
| $29 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 161.8 | 1 | 169.3 | 1 | 168.8 | 6 | 166.2 | 13 | 170.9 | 8 | 177.8 | 2 | 177.3 |
| 40 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 156.8 | 2 | 167.8 | 3 | 177.8 | - |  |
| $40 \frac{1}{2}$ | - | - | - | - | - |  | 2 | 168.3 | 2 | 181.8 | 1 | 190.8 | 2 | 188.3 |
| 41 | 1 | 166.8 | - | - | 1 | 181.8 | 2 | 158.8 | 2 | 176.8 | 4 | 184.3 | 8 | 192.1 |
| 411 $\frac{1}{2}$ | - | - | - | - | 1 | 172.8 | 2 | 178.8 | 4 | 178.3 | 2 | 180.8 | 4 | 189.9 |
| 42 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 159.8 | 3 | 178.0 | 1 | 164.8 | 2 | 198.8 |
| $42 \frac{1}{2}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 179.4 | 1 | 193.8 |
| 48 \& over | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 176.5 | - | - | 8 | 204.4 |

TABLE XXXIX.
Mean Weights of White Men, by Circumference of Chest.

| Ciroumf. of Cheet | Soldiers |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Earlier Serice |  | Later Seriea |  | Total |  |
|  | No. | Weight | No. | Weight | No. | Weight |
| $\begin{array}{\|r} \text { Inchee } \\ \hline \text { Onder } 26 \end{array}$ | - | - ${ }^{-}$ | 1 | $\underset{64.79}{\mathrm{Iba}}$ | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{lba} \\ 64.79 \end{gathered}$ |
| 26 | - | - | 1 | 88.79 | 1 | 86.79 |
| 261 | 2 | 77.29 | - | - | 2 | 77.29 |
| 27 | 8 | 96.96 | 1 | 79.79 | 4 | 92.66 |
| 271 | - | - | 1 | 81.79 | 1 | 81.79 |
| 28 | 5 | 120.99 | 4 | 89.29 | 9 | 106.90 |
| $28 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 95.29 | 1 | 101.79 | 2 | 98.54 |
| 29 | 9 | 109.46 | 7 | 102.36 | 16 | 106.85 |
| $29 \frac{1}{2}$ | 3 | 110.62 | 11 | 100.38 | 14 | 102.54 |
| 80 | 84 | 110.61 | 81 | 111.21 | 65 | 11090 |
| $80 \frac{1}{2}$ | 44 | 116.39 | 32 | 112.92 | 76 | 114.93 |
| 81 | 70 | 118.91 | 81 | 112.39 | 151 | 116.41 |
| 811 | 92 | 121.38 | 104 | 116.38 | 196 | 118.20 |
| 32 | 169 | 121.83 | 192 | 118.93 | 861 | 120.29 |
| 321 | 197 | 125.60 | 249 | 123.31 | 446 | 124.32 |
| 33 | 291 | 128.54 | 364 | 126.25 | 655 | 127.27 |
| 331 | 334 | 131.13 | 411 | 128.12 | 745 | 129.47 |
| 84 | 495 | 185.11 | 645 | 132.03 | 1140 | 138.37 |
| $34 \frac{1}{2}$ | 493 | 187.14 | 656 | 134.18 | 1149 | 135.45 |
| 35 | 540 | 140.93 | 797 | 137.93 | 1337 | 139.14 |
| 351 ${ }^{1}$ | 544 | 144.03 | 879 | 140.69 | 1428 | 141.96 |
| 36 | 553 | 147.16 | 935 | 148.33 | 1488 | 144.76 |
| 361 | 465 | 149.42 | 803 | 147.18 | 1268 | 148.00 |
| 37 | 392 | 153.43 | 750 | 150.01 | 1142 | 161.18 |
| 371 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 360 | 156.68 | 601 | 152.04 | 961 | 163.77 |
| 38 | 274 | 158.09 | 495 | 156.27 | 769 | 156.92 |
| $88 \frac{1}{2}$ | 206 | 159.83 | 857 | 158.78 | 563 | 159.17 |
| 89 | 146 | 165.98 | 269 | 161.24 | 415 | 162.89 |
| 891 | 80 | 165.86 | 167 | 168.76 | 247 | 164.44 |
| 40 | 62 | 168.15 | 122 | 168.30 | 184 | 168.25 |
| $40 \%$ | 86 | 175.08 | 51 | 174.71 | 87 | 174.84 |
| 41 | 25 | 172.10 | 41 | 178.86 | 68 | 173.19 |
| $41 \frac{1}{2}$ | 9 | 182.78 | 25 | 178.85 | 84 | 179.88 |
| 42 | 18 | 180.67 | 16 | 179.65 | 29 | 180.11 |
| 421 | 4 | 184.29 | 9 | 185.48 | 13 | 185.12 |
| 43 | 8 | 192.96 | 4 | 191.66 | 7 | 192.22 |
| 481 | 8 | 201.29 | 3 | 200.46 | 6 | 200.87 |
| 44 | 8 | 196.45 | 1 | 218.79 | 4 | 202.04 |
| 441 | - |  | 2 | 214.29 | 2 | 214.29 |
| 45 | - |  | 1 | 184.79 | 1 | 184.79 |

## T A B L E XXXIX. - (Continued.)

Mean Weights of White Men, by Circumference of Chest.

| Circumf. of <br> Chest | Sailors |  | Students |  | Total White Men |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Weight | No. | Weight | No. | Weight |
| $$ | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { lbs. } \\ 58.00 \end{gathered}$ | - | ${ }^{\text {lbe }}$ | 2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { lbs. } \\ 61.39 \end{gathered}$ |
| 26 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 86.79 |
| $26 \frac{1}{2}$ | - | - | - | - | 2 | 77.29 |
| 27 | - | - | - | - | 4 | 92.66 |
| $27 \frac{1}{2}$ | - | - | - | - | 1 | $81.79{ }^{\circ}$ |
| 28 | - |  | - | - | 9 | 106.90 |
| $28 \frac{1}{2}$ | 5 | 106.66 | - | - | 7 | 104.34 |
| 29 | 2 | 94.75 | - |  | 18 | 105.06 |
| 291 | 6 | 106.25 | - |  | 20 | 103.65 |
| 30 | 6 | 113.33 | $\pm$ | - | 71 | 111.10 |
| $30 \frac{1}{2}$ | 4 | 112.29 | - | - | 80 | 114.80 |
| 31 | 17 | 112.59 | - | - | 168 | 115.13 |
| $31 \frac{1}{2}$ | 15 | 117.57 | 5 | 114.49 | 216 | 118.07 |
| 32 | 44 | 119.13 | 4 | 117.54 | 409 | 120.14 |
| $32 \frac{1}{2}$ | 37 | 121.57 | 14 | 119.22 | 497 | 123.97 |
| 33 | 89 | 127.54 | 7 | 120.33 | 751 | 127.23 |
| $33 \frac{1}{2}$ | 65 | 130.07 | 32 | 122.57 | 842 | 129.25 |
| 34 | 125 | 133.62 | 11 | 131.88 | 1276 | 133.38 |
| $34 \frac{1}{2}$ | 77 | 136.44 | 53 | 129.81 | 1279 | 135.28 |
| 35 | 149 | 140.00 | 29 | 136.25 | 1515 | 139.17 |
| $35 \frac{1}{2}$ | 76 | 140.69 | 40 | 137.95 | 1539 | 141.80 |
| 36 | 112 | 144.39 | 21 | 139.91 | 1621 | 144.67 |
| $36 \frac{1}{2}$ | 73 | 145.10 | 25 | 146.71 | 1366 | 147.83 |
| 37 | 87 | 151.28 | 9 | 147.07 | 1238 | 151.16 |
| $37 \frac{1}{2}$ | 40 | 152.41 | 17 | 152.52 | 1018 | 153.70 |
| 38 | 50 | 155.22 | 2 | 159.29 | 821 | 156.82 |
| $38 \frac{1}{2}$ | 18 | 156.67 | 15 | 166.59 | 596 | 159.28 |
| 39 | 21 | 166.14 | 1 | 166.79 | 437 | 163.06 |
| 3912 | 8 | 166.17 | 1 | 174.79 | 256 | 164.53 |
| 40 | 5 | 177.36 | - | - | 189 | 168.49 |
| $40^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 5 | 172.86 | - | - | 92 | 174.73 |
| 41 | 4 | 172.14 | 2 | 183.29 | 72 | 173.41 |
| 4112 | 1 | 175.00 | - | - | 35 | 179.74 |
| 42 | 1 | 163.00 | - | - | 30 | 179.54 |
| 421 ${ }^{2}$ | 1 | 189.00 | - | - | 14 | 185.39 |
| 43 | - | - | - | - | 7 | 192.22 |
| 4312 | - | - | - | - | 6 | 200.87 |
| 44 | - | - | - | - | 4 | 202.04 |
| 44 $\frac{1}{2}$ | - | - | - | - | 2 | 214.29 |
| 45 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 184.79 |

## TABLE XL.

Mean Weights of Negroes and.Indians, by Circumference of Chest.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Circump? } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Choot } \end{gathered}$ | Negroes |  |  |  |  |  | Indinse |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full Blecks |  | Mulattoes |  | Aggregate |  |  |  |
|  | No. | Wedght | No. | Weight | No. | Weight | No. | Wedicht |
| inchee | - | ${ }^{\text {lba }}$ | 1 |  | 1 | Ibe. | - | lbe. |
| 26 | - | - | 1 | 169.79 | 1 | 169.79 | - | - |
| $26 \frac{1}{2}$ | - | - - | 1 | 152.29 | 1 | 152.29 | - | - |
| 27 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $27 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 170.00 | - | - | 1 | 170.00 | - | - |
| 28 | - | - | 1 | 96.79 | 1 | 96.79 | - | - |
| $28 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 102.00 | 2 | 115.39 | 8 | 110.93 | - | - |
| 29 | 2 | 97.50 | 2 | 138.39 | 4 | 117.94 | - | - |
| $29 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 | 106.22 | 2 | 139.29 | 8 | 114.49 | - | - |
| 30 | 11 | 109.51 | 8 | 135.04 | 19 | 120.47 | - | - |
| $30 \frac{1}{8}$ | 7 | 127.68 | 4 | 113.55 | 11 | 122.55 | - | - |
| 81 | 22 | 116.52 | 17 | 129.18 | 39 | 122.04 | - | - |
| $31 \frac{1}{1}$ | 19 | 120.50 | 12 | 121.32 | 31 | 120.82 | - | - |
| 82 | 44 | 127.47 | 23 | 132.07 | 67 | 129.05 | - | - |
| $32 \frac{1}{2}$ | 65 | 130.28 | 32 | 132.54 | 97 | 181.02 | - | - |
| 33 | 94 | 132.91 | 44 | 135.60 | 138 | 133.76 | - | - |
| $33 \frac{1}{2}$ | 182 | 135.18 | 58 | 136.50 | 190 | 135.58 | - | - |
| 84 | 138 | 138.61 | 56 | 140.16 | 189 | 139.07 | 1 | 123.79 |
| 841 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 159 | 142.66 | 89 | 144.38 | 248 | 143.25 | 5 | 139.49 |
| 35 | 165 | 145.71 | 72 | 147.37 | 237 | 146.21 | 11 | 135.93 |
| $35 \frac{1}{2}$ | 168 | 146.75 | 75 | 150.29 | 243 | 147.84 | 19 | 138.37 |
| 36 | 138 | 149.82 | 53 | 162.92 | 191 | 150.68 | 82 | 145.01 |
| 361 | 131 | 152.35 | 48 | 153.69 | 179 | 152.71 | 68 | 151.61 |
| 37 | 93 | 154.42 | 22 | 154.45 | 115 | 154.43 | 81 | 156.65 |
| $37 \frac{1}{8}$ | 67 | 162.21 | 29 | 156.86 | 96 | 160.59 | 81 | 162.58 |
| 88 | 62 | 162.01 | 20 | 161.71 | 82 | 161.94 | 18 | 168.07 |
| 88\% | 36 | 165.07 | 17 | 170.43 | 53 | 166.79 | 64 | 170.53 |
| 89 | 19 | 175.92 | 2 | 155.79 | 21 | 174.00 | 24 | 173.64 |
| $89 \frac{1}{2}$ | 8 | 164.92 | 6 | 168.73 | 14 | 166.55 | 80 | 172.42 |
| 40 | 13 | 164.84 | 8 | 184.40 | 16 | 168.51 | 7 | 173.79 |
| 401 | 2 | 183.89 | - | - | 2 | 183.39 | 8 | 182.29 |
| 41 | 1 | 190.50 | 1 | 155.79 | 2 | 178.14 | 16 | 179.54 |
| 411 $\frac{1}{8}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 184.02 |
| 42 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | 186.70 |
| 421 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | 181.79 |
| 48 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 183.29 |
| $48 \frac{1}{2}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | 201.12 |
| 44 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $44 \frac{1}{2}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 45 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

## TABLE XLI.

Empirical Table for Weight, by Circumference of Chest.
Total White Men.

| Crreamference of Chent or Chest | No. of Men | Wedght | $\begin{gathered} \text { DIEPrence } \\ \text { Comp.-Obe'd. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{28}{\mathrm{Ln} .}$ | 9 | 998.8 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ibed } \end{gathered}$ |
| $28 \frac{1}{2}$ | 7 | 102.8 | -2.0 |
| 29 | 18 | 104.8 | -0.8 |
| 291 | 20 | 107.4 | +8.8 |
| 30 | 71 | 110.0 | -1.1 |
| $30 \frac{1}{2}$ | 80 | 112.7 | -2.1 |
| 81 | 168 | 115.4 | +0.3 |
| $81 \frac{1}{2}$ | 216 | 118.2 | +0.1 |
| 82 | 409 | 121.0 | +0.9 |
| 321 | 497 | 128.9 | -0.1 |
| 33 | 751 | 126.8 | -0.4 |
| 331 | 842 | 129.8 | + 0.6 |
| 84 | 1276 | 132.8 | -0.6 |
| 841 | 1279 | 185.8 | +0.6 |
| 85 | 1515 | 138.8 | -0.4 |
| 351 | 1539 | 141.8 | 0.0 |
| $8{ }^{2}$ | 1621 | 144.8 | +0.1 |
| $86 \frac{1}{8}$ | 1868 | 147.8 | 0.0 |
| 87 | 1238 | 150.8 | -0.4 |
| $37 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1018 | 153.8 | +0.1 |
| 88 | 821 | 156.8 | 0.0 |
| $88 \frac{1}{2}$ | 598 | 169.8 | +0.5 |
| 89 | 437 | 162.8 | -0.8 |
| 391 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 256 | 165.0 | +1.8 |
| 40 | 189 | 168.8 | +0.8 |
| $40 \frac{1}{2}$ | 92 | 171.8 | -2.9 |
| 41 | 72 | 174.9 | +1.6 |
| $41 \frac{1}{8}$ | 85 | 178.2 | -1.6 |
| 42 | 80 | 181.7 | +2.2 |
| $42 \frac{1}{2}$ | 14 | 185.4 | 0.0 |

## 4. Determinations of Muscular Strength.

The dynamometers employed were devised for measuring the strength in pulling upward, and are represented in the annexed figures, which will render detailed verbal description needless. One of them represents the general aspect of the instrument, and the other shows the internal arrangement as disclosed by the removal of the dial-plate. The man stands upon the movable lid of the wooden packing box, to which the apparatus is firmly attached, and grasps with both hands the rounded extremities of a wooden bar, of convenient shape and adjustable in height. Although this apparatus is less compact and portable than the well known dyna-
 mometer of Regnier, ${ }^{1}$ and lacks the incontestable advantage of testing the force of pressure as well as that of traction, yet the form of construction here employed seems to avoid the objections urged ${ }^{2}$ against that instrument, and to be well fitted for practical use. The handle is conveniently shaped for firm and easy grasp, its height well suited for application of the full mascular power, and the mechanism such as to afford results which are to all appearance very trustworthy.

The first two of our instruments were made by Mr. Thomas, of New York, under the direction of Messrs. Olmsted and Elliott; the subsequent ones by Mr. Thomas Morton.

Any comparison of our results with those of the renal [lifting] force, as determined by others, is unsatisfactory, without a careful comparison of the structure of the instruments employed and the manner of their use. Very few sets of such measurements are on record, and these generally comprise too few individual cases to afford results at all satisfactory.

Regnier, in the memoir already cited, gives ${ }^{8}$ as the result of his

[^71]experiments, 130 kilograms ( 287 lbs .) for the weight which a man of from 25 to 30 years can generally lift with both hands, and says that this degree of strength continues until about the age of 50 years.
Peron was the first ${ }^{1}$ to carry a dynamometer as part of the apparatus of a scientific expedtition, and to attempt its employment for ethnological purposes. Although he evidently took much pains with his observations, the results proved quite discordant from those of other observers, until the source of the error was detected ${ }^{2}$ by Mr. Freycinet, his companion on the Southern Exploring Expedition, who after Péron's death edited the second volume of his narrative. The dynamometer had been provided with two graduated scales, one for showing the force of pressure, the other for the force of traction; and its indications had been transcribed from the wrong scale. This discovery rendered it easy to reproduce the true values, which Mr. Freycinet has given. ${ }^{3}$

The measures of Péron thus afford the following mean results for the lifting, or renal, force: -


|  | No. | Kilograms | Lbs. |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Savage natives of New Holland above 18 years old | 13 | 102 | 225 |  |
| Malays of the Island of Timor, from | 18 to 20 years | 4 | 96 | 212 |
|  | 20 to 30 | 15 | 118 | 260 |
|  | 30 to 40 | 7 | 119 | 262 |
|  | 40 to 50 | 8 | 106 | 234 |
|  | 50 to 60 | 4 | 109 | 240 |
|  |  | 17 | 152 | 335 |
| French members of the Expl. Exp., from 20 to 50 | 14 | 163 | 359 |  |

His dynamometer was left ${ }^{4}$ with the government physician at Mauritius, Mr. Chapotin, in the hope that extensive observations might be made upon the strength of men of different races.

[^72]Mr. Ransonnet, also a member of the same expedition, and whose determinations of the renal strength of sailors at Havre led to the discovery of the error in Peron's records, found the average lifting power of 345 French sailors to be 142 kilograms, or 313 lbs. ${ }^{1}$

Quetelet's measures in Brassels, gave ${ }^{2}$ the mean values for men at different ages as follows, the number of individuals in each group being not less than ten; but he regarded his values as probably less than the truth. ${ }^{8}$


The mean lifting strength for the various classes of men examined during the present investigations is shown in the appended table.

> TABLE XLII.

Average Lifting Strength of Men examined.

| Chee of Men | In usaal Vigor |  | Not in unual Vigor |  | Tocal |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Strength | No. | 8trength | No. | Strength |
| White Soldiers, Earlier Series . | 5776 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Ibs. } \\ \mathbf{3 1 4 . 4 6} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 2082 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { IBa. } \\ 266.25 \end{array}$ | 7858 | $\begin{gathered} \text { mbe } \\ 301.60 \end{gathered}$ |
| " " Later Series | 6381 | 348.20 | 1025 | 280.89 | 7406 | 334.58 |
| Sailors . | 1141 | 307.36 | - | - | 1141 | 507.86 |
| Students . . | 208 | 308.41 | - | - | 208 | 308.41 |
| Full Blacks . | 1600 | 328.51 | 195 | 276.15 | 1795 | 318.86 |
| Mulattoes. | 704 | 848.90 | 128 | 298.69 | 832 | 840.41 |
| Indians | 503 | 419.31 | 5 | 290.00 | 508 | 418.04 |

[^73]The marked inferiority of the mean strength of soldiers in the earlier series cannot fail to attract attention; and the explanation is afforded by the fact that a large number of these men were rebel prisoners, whose lifting power was about 50 lbs . less than that of soldiers in our own army.
Assorting the men in usual vigor according to their ages (last birthday), we obtain the mean values in the following table: -

> TABLE XLIII.

Mean Lifting Strength of White Soldiers, in usual Vigor.

| 480 | mariber Sariee |  | Later Series |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of Men | 8trength | No. of Men | Strength |
| Under $17{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 126 | $\begin{gathered} \text { lbe. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 92 | $\mathbf{2 5 0 . 4}$ |
| 17 | 210 | 273.8 | 171 | 292.8 |
| 18 | 440 | 286.7 | 502 | 312.6 |
| 19 | 508 | 298.9 | 454 | 320.7 |
| 20 | 588 | 307.7 | 542 | 331.2 |
| 21 | 618 | 319.2 | 610 | 337.4 |
| 22 | 503 | 325.9 | 606 | 343.3 |
| 23 | 444 | 317.2 | 476 | 358.4 |
| 24 | 405 | 325.9 | 464 | 355.8 |
| 25 | 286 | 333.2 | 296 | 365.1 |
| 26 | 230 | 325.8 | 254 | 368.0 |
| 27 | 212 | 326.0 | 212 | 350.1 |
| 28 | 190 | 323.8 | 236 | 367.6 |
| 29 | 135 | 333.8 | 158 | 365.9 |
| 30 | 183 | 838.5 | 171 | 351.2 |
| 31-84 | 315 | 380.2 | 467 | 861.9 |
| 85-39 | 258 | 825.6 | 871 | 366.0 |
| 40-44 | 113 | 324.7 | 199 | 347.0 |
| 45-49 | 44 | 811.4 | 66 | 325.7 |
| 50\%ower | 28 | 291.7 | 84 | 821.2 |

The inadequacy of the number of men of each age in the preceding table may be easily remedied, and the series of means rounded into a curve of satisfactory continuity, by combining the aggregate results for each consecutive three years after the age of twenty, and using their mean to represent the value for the middle year of the three. And by charting the series of values thus obtained, the curious fact is developed that the curve within the lim-

[^74]its of military age is not very dissimilar from a hyperbola of which the apex corresponds to about 24! years last birthday, or the actual age of 25 years, and a strength of 359 lbs ; the naximum strength being about 362 lbs ., and belonging to an actual age of 31 years.

The empirical values of the strength of white soldiers, given in the next succeeding table, are computed from the statistics of the later series, using the actual mean ages, not those corresponding to the last birthday.

## TABLE XLIV.

Empirical Table for Strength of White Soldiers.

| Actual Age | LINing 8trength | Comp. - Obs. | Actual Age | Lining 8trength | Comp. - Obe |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | $\begin{gathered} \text { lbe. } \\ 282.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { lbe. } \\ -0.8 \end{gathered}$ | 29 | $\begin{gathered} \text { lbe. } \\ 361.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1ba. } \\ -4.0 \end{gathered}$ |
| 18 | 300.6 | -4.3 | 30 | 361.9 | +10.7 |
| 19 | 315.2 | -0.4 | 31 | 362.0 |  |
| 20 | 325.4 | -1.0 | 32 | 361.8 | -0 |
| 21 | 334.3 | +1.0 | 33 | 361.5 | - 0 |
| 22 | 342.5 | + 3.1 | 34 | 361.0 |  |
| 23 | 350.0 | - 5.2 | 35 | 360.6 | -6.4 |
| 24 | 355.9 | +2.2 | 36 | 360.0 | -9.0 |
| 25 | 359.5 | -4.9 | 87 | 359.3 | -15.7 |
| 26 | 360.7 | -1.9 | 38 | 350.3 | + 5.5 |
| 27 | 361.4 | +11.5 | 39 | 357.3 | - 11.5 |
| 28 | 361.7 | - 5.8 | 40 | 355.7 | +3.6 |

If we compare these values with those found by Forbes ${ }^{1}$ for British and Irish students, the differences are seen to be very large, reaching a maximum apparently at about 22 years when the comparison is made with Scotch students only, and remaining constant thereafter. The small number of individuals, from which Forbes deduced his value for the English and Irish, precludes any great reliance upon these results, which are in general yet more diverse from our own, - to an extent indeed not well explicable by any difference in the dynamometers employed. On the other hand our results somewhat exceed those found for the lifting, or renal, force by other investigators; they are considerably larger than those already cited, which Quetelet obtained from trials on Belgians, up to the age of 30 years; and for ages above 30 they are largely in excess.

[^75]Forbes's values were purely empirical ones, deduced from observations of 523 Scotch, 178 English, and 72 Irish, - 773 in all, besides 56 from British colonies. To the tabular values deduced graphically he adds what seems to be a similar series of empirical values as derived from the actual observations given by Quetelet, and reproduced in the present chapter. A comparison of these results with our own may not be inappropriate here.

## Comparison of Determinations of Lifting Strength, according to Forbes, with those of U. S. Soldiers.

| A80 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Scotch above } \\ & \text { English } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Irlah above } \\ & \text { Scotch } \end{aligned}$ | Scotch above Americans | Amer. above Belgians |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | $\begin{array}{r} 16 \mathrm{ba} \\ -12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Iba. } \\ 29 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Ibe. } \\ 58 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { lba. } \\ 22 \end{array}$ |
| 18 | -4 | 29 | 59 | 21 |
| 19 | 0 | 26 | 63 | 19 |
| 20 | 7 | 24 | 67 | 15 |
| 21 | 10 | 21 | 68 | 12 |
| 22 | 13 | 17 | 68 | 12 |
| 23 | 16 | 13 | 67 | 15 |
| 24 | 19 | 10 | 65 | 19 |
| 25 | 20 | 9 | 64 | 20 |

The maximum strength being at about 31 years, according to our data, the mean value falls slowly, and has been diminished by a little more than six pounds at the age of 40 years, after which our results scarcely warrant any safe induction. Quetelet, however, from his Belgians, having not less than ten men at each age, found a maximum at about $2 \bar{E}$ years, at which epoch the mean strength was 342 lbs ., according to his olservations, and 339 lbs . according to Forbes's curve, - that for American soldiers at the same age being 360 lbs. But Quetelet's values for subsequent ages fall with much greater rapidity than our own, and for the age of 40 years he found the mean strength to be but 269 lbs ., or 73 lbs . below his maximum value, and nearly 87 lbs . below that of American soldiers at the same age.

The mean values given by Forbes for Irish students, surpass those found by ourselves for any class of men, even for the Indians; and we cannot avoid the conviction that a repetition of his experiments with sharp determination of the index-error and errors of graduation would yield smaller numerical values.

For sailors, the dynamometer has indicated a development of strength decidedly less than for soldiers, as the appended table shows, the ages here being for last birthday. This result is in confornity with that of Ransonnet.

## TABLE XLV.

Mean Lifting Strength of Sailors, in usual Vigor.

| A80 | Ko. Men | 8treagth | A80 | No. Men | 8treagth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 17 | 6 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ibe. } \\ 198.8 \end{gathered}$ | 26 | 82 | $\begin{gathered} \text { mbe } \\ \mathbf{3 2 3 . 8} \end{gathered}$ |
| 17 | 5 | 220.0 | 27 | 47 | 307.8 |
| 18 | 25 | 268.3 | 28 | 56 | 312.7 |
| 19 | 46 | 267.9 | 29 | 53 | 318.0 |
| 20 | 71 | 287.1 | 30 | 86 | 304.9 |
| 21 | 124 | 304.7 | 81-34 | 88 | 319.1 |
| 22 | 182 | 807.8 | 85-39 | 61 | 303.3 |
| 23 | 75 | 812.1 | 40-44 | 18 | 315.1 |
| 24 | 105 | 321.6 | 45-49 | 11 | 279.0 |
| 25 | 97 | 318.8 | 50 \& over | 3 | 298.0 |

For the students our numbers are too small to afford very satisfactory means for the individual years, but the statistics afford the following mean values; showing their average strength to be generally less than that of soldiers of the same age, who represent the average of the American population ; but perhaps slightly greater than that of sailors.

> T A BLE XLVI.

Mean Lifting Strength of Students, in usual vigor.

| Age | No. of Men | 8trength |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 2 | rbe 195.0 |
| 19 | 17 | 295.8 |
| 20 | 58 | 815.9 |
| 21 | 51 | 800.7 |
| 22 | 87 | 319.8 |
| 28 | 10 | 323.8 |
| 24 | 14 | 276.2 |
| 25 | 8 | 331.1 |
| 26 | 8 | 293.3 |
| 27 | 5 | 319.0 |
| 28 | 1 | 283.0 |
| 29 | 1 | 350.0 |
| 80 | - | - |
| 81 | 1 | 390.0 |

The strength found for men of other races than the white is shown in the next two tables, in which it will be seen that the full blacks proved weaker than the white men, and the mulatoes somewhat stronger, while the Indians far surpassed all the others in the strength exhibited. The ages are for last birthday, as before.

> TABLE XLVII.

Mean Lifting Strength of Negroes, in usual Vigor.

| A80 | Full Bleoks |  | Mulattoes |  | Ascregate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of Mea | 8treagth | No. of Mon | Strength | No. of Men | 8trength |
| Under 17 | 36 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lbe. } \\ & 265.6 \end{aligned}$ | 19 | $\begin{gathered} \text { lba. } \\ 246.3 \end{gathered}$ | 55 | $\begin{gathered} \text { lbs. } \\ 258.9 \end{gathered}$ |
| 17 | 44 | 289.4 | 11 | 317.0 | 55 | 295.0 |
| 18 | 73 | 287.1 | 25 | 282.0 | 98 | 285.8 |
| 19 | 91 | 290.1 | 35 | 315.3 | 126 | 297.1 |
| 20 | 142 | 309.1 | 60 | 332.9 | 202 | 816.2 |
| 21 | 128 | 325.7 | 54 | 831.4 | 182 | 327.4 |
| 22 | 145 | 319.8 | 65 | 351.6 | 210 | 329.6 |
| 23 | 157 | 329.1 | 55 | 351.1 | 212 | 334.8 |
| 24 | 148 | 335.4 | 54 | 378.5 | 197 | 347.2 |
| 25 | 124 | 342.0 | 47 | 369.3 | 171 | 349.5 |
| 26 | 77 | 330.6 | 88 | 355.8 | 115 | 838.9 |
| 27 | 73 | 329.5 | 27 | 880.1 | 100 | 343.2 |
| 28 | 67 | 354.1 | 24 | 354.1 | 91 | 854.1 |
| 29 | 41 | 337.1 | 24 | 390.7 | 65 | 356.9 |
| 30 | 39 | 837.8 | 33 | 363.9 | 72 | 849.8 |
| 31-84 | 81 | 863.2 | 36 | 874.9 | 117 | 366.8 |
| 85-39 | 72 | 828.3 | 52 | 354.3 | 124 | 339.2 |
| 40-44 | 34 | 306.3 | 23 | 881.5 | 57 | 336.6 |
| 45-49 | 22 | 321.3 | 13 | 341.2 | 35 | 328.7 |
| $50 \pm$ over | 11 | 290.7 | 9 | 304.6 | 20 | 297.0 |

## TABLE XLVIII.

Mean Lifting Strength of Iroquois Indians.

| Age | No. of Men | 8trength | Ago | No. of Men | 8trength |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 17 | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { lbe. } \\ \mathbf{3 4 0 . 0} \end{gathered}$ | 26 | 45 | $\begin{gathered} \text { lba. } \\ 407.2 \end{gathered}$ |
| 17 | - | - | 27 | 28 | 436.0 |
| 18 | 2 | 430.0 | 28 | 88 | 425.2 |
| 19 | 6 | 529.7 | 29 | 38 | 406.6 |
| 20 | 8 | 862.2 | 30 | 20 | 428.4 |
| 21 | 14 | 393.3 | 31-34 | 67 | 428.2 |
| 22 | 29 | 878.4 | 35-39 | 68 | 441.1 |
| 23 | 32 | 419.0 | 40-44 | 35 | 430.2 |
| 24 | 39 | 411.9 | 45-49 | 8 | 425.5 |
| 25 | 14 | 417.1 | 50 \& over | 11 | 377.9 |

It was comprised in the plan of this investigation, to institate some inquiry into the relations between the observed strength and the stature, and also into the mutual relations of strength and weight. But the large amount of labor bestowed upon other inquiries unfortunately precludes a farther extension of the present research. The materials for such inquiries will however remain easily available for future investigators.
It only remains, in the present chapter, to give the maximum strength recorded for any individual, in each of our classes, with such other facts regarding the person as may possess interest in this connection. These data we will arrange in tabular form.

## TABLE XLIX.

Greatest Lifting Strength Observed.

| Clase | Previons Oceupation | Pounds Lifted | Nativity : | Height | Ase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White Soldiers, Earlier Series | Cooper . . | 650 | Germany | 68.3 | 26 |
| White Soldiers, Later Series . | Blacksmith | 840 | Ohio . . | 71.8 | 35 |
| Sailors |  | 640 | Nova Scotia | 70.0 | 31 |
| Students |  | 662 | Maine | 66.4 | 20 |
| Full Blacks. | Ficld Hand | 624 | Alabama | 64.3 | 25 |
| Mulattoes | " " | 695 | N. Carolina | 68.6 | 23 |
| Indians | Farmer . | 741 | W. N. York | 67.7 | 83 |

The greatest strength here exerted by a white soldier, 840 lbs. or 381 kilograms, is somewhat in excess of the maximum lifting force observed ${ }^{1}$ by Regnier, which was 370 kilograms or 816 lbs.

And it will be seen that the mean lifting strength varies from about $2 \frac{1}{4}$ to about $2 \frac{1}{2}$ times the weight, so that in general a man can lift considerably more than twice his own weight.

[^76]
## CHAPTER XII.

## PULMONARY CAPACITY.

## 1. Preliminary.

The Spirometers employed are simply dry meters, agreeing in their general construction with the most approved form of those used for illuminating gas, and were made for the Sanitary Commission by the American Meter Company, of Philadelphia. Their structure and general appearance are shown in the accompanying

figures. Those metallic portions which are exposed to the breath are of copper, or some alloy which does not corrode by moisture at ordinary temperatures; and they are provided with special contrivances for removing the vapor as it condenses. They were tested from time to time, and so far as experience warrants a judgement
they appear far superior to the cumbrous and complicated apparatus hitherto employed for the same purpose. It must not be forgotten that our aim was not to introduce such apparatus as would permit the highest degree of precision absolutely, but such as would, under the circumstances of the case, afford the best results. For instruments which are to undergo the rough usage inseparable from transportation by army trains or on military railroads, which are in danger of being handled roughly at some unguarded moment by rude men, and which must be employed at posts remote from facilities for repairing injuries or maladjustments, the conditions to be consulted are widely different from those which

would be imposed under other circumstances. And although there are of course many respects in which the experience now obtained would indicate important modifications of method, inquiries, and precautions, were this work to be repeated or continued, yet the instruments employed have given entire satisfaction and very few points have suggested themselves in which the apparatus could clearly be changed for the better. The spirometers are graduated to indicate cubic inches (although cubic centimeters would be preferable for any future occasions), and are furnished with a mouthpiece of convenient form, connected with the instrument by flexible tubing.

It was directed that, in each case, the results of three consecntive trials be recorded for the maximum amount which conld be expelled from the lungs after a full inflation. The second trial was almost uniformly found to give a value decidedly larger than the first, and somewhat larger than the third ; but it is the mean of all three, and not the strict maximum value, which has been used in our tabulations.

The volume of air thus exhaled is, of course, not the full capacity of the lungs. Such an effort can rarely be supposed to measure the highest value possibly attainable by the individual, but simply affords a near approximation to it. And this value itself shows not the full capacity of the lungs, but rather what Hutchinson has called the "vital capacity," being the amount of air used in breathing. This author classifies the varous supplies of air in the chest as - 1. Residual air, or that which remains after all possible effort at expulsion has been made; 2. Reserve air, or that which remains after ordinary expiration, but which may nevertheless be expelled by voluntary effort; 3. Breathing air, which is inhaled and exhaled alternately under ordinary circumstances; 4. Complemental air, which the lungs may be made to contain by vigorous effort in inhalation. And the sum of these three latter quantities, which he denotes by the name of "vital capacity," is the amount exhaled by the maximum effort after the deepest possible inspiration.

In the present chapter the phrases, "Pulmonary Capacity" and "Capacity of Lungs," are employed solely as a convenient form of expression, and used to denote the results afforded by the spirometer.

The average amount of air exhaled after a full inhalation was thus found to be, in cubic inches, as follows:-

## TABLE I. <br> Average Capacity of Lungs.



The extreme values recorded for any individual in the several classes were, in cubic inches: -

|  | In usual Vigor |  | Not in usual Vigor |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Greatest | Smallest | Greatent | Smallost |
| White Soldiers, Earlier Series | 360 | 50 | 353 | 10 |
| White Soldiers, Later Series . | 358 | 40 | 325 | 36 |
| Sailors . | 387 | 50 | - | - |
| Students | 312 | 100 | - | - |
| Full Blacks | 360 | 70 | 246 | 65 |
| Mulattoes | 359 | 43 | 262 | 33 |
| Indians . . . . . . | 310 | 60 | 283 | 110 |

The great difference of the mean volume found for the black race from that which seems to belong to the whites, cannot fail to attract attention at the first glance. Its bearings are perhaps better manifested by the more detailed tabulations which will follow.

The volume of air expelled from the lungs, as related to the size and mobility of the thorax, and to the other physical dimensions of the individuals, has been made the subject of careful and extensive study by many able men. The present discussion aims only at the proper presentation and classification of the results, obtained at the same time as the physical dimensions in our examinations. The tabulation has been arranged with a view to the acquisition of evi-
dence upon theories heretofore suggested, and with hope and confidence that the numerical results thus attained may prove valuable for professional investigators of this important subject.

Hutchinson's results are concisely summed up ${ }^{1}$ by himself; the following being among the chief of those regarding which our measurements are capable of furnishing evidence :-
"The vital capacity differs in man according to height, weight, age, and disease.
"By height, in the arithmetical relation of 8 cubic inches for every inch of beight between five and six feet.
" By weight, at five feet six inches it decreases 1 cubic inch per lb. between $11 \frac{1}{2}$ and 14 stone. ${ }^{2}$ At other heights 7 per cent. must be added to the weight. The weight increases in a certain relation with the height in 3000 cases examined. The weight may be calculated from the height.
"By age. Age after a certain time decreases the vital capacity. The decrease is nearly $1 \frac{1}{2}$ inch per year between 30 and 60 years of age.
"By disease, the vital capacity decreases from 10 to 70 per cent.
"The size of the chest and the quantity of air a man can breathe have no direct relation with each other. The circumference of the chest also has no relation to the vital capacity ; but it has an exact relation to the weight, increasing an inch for every 10 lbs.
"A stout man may have large lungs, and a spare man may have small lungs; there appears no relation between the cubic space in the thorax and the weight.
"The size of the chest and its mobility bear a strict relation to the quantity of air we breathe; a 40 inch chest with 3 inches mobility, will breathe less in a deep inspiration than a 40 inch chest with 4 inches mobility.
"There appears no relation between the sitting and standing height."
These measurements are evidently made with great care and deserving of full confidence; while the results deduced from them are entitled to all respect, and seem to have been generally accepted by physiologists. Yet the present investigations appear to indicate that some of the inferences must be considerably modified. And while it is very probable that, in spite of all endeavors, many of our examiners may have devoted less punctilious care to the measurements than was bestowed by Dr. Hutchinson, who appears to have personally conducted more than three fifths of the examinations upon which his memoir is based, this circumstance must be

[^77]far more than counter-balanced by the copious material here collected, which is about twelve fold greater.

## 2. Relation to Stature.

Tables exhibiting the mean pulmonary capacity of men in usual vigor for each successive tenth of an inch in stature, have been prepared, in the belief that the results for an adequate number of the arguments, would represent the normal average for these statures, and that a regularly progressive increase would thus be exhibited. But although the number of men comprised in many of the groups was quite considerable, amounting for two of the arguments to more than 225, the fluctuations in the corresponding mean capacity observed were very large, altogether too large in deed to indicate any regular curve. Subsequent tabulations indicate that no real increase in accuracy can be expected by reducing the groups to smaller intervals of stature than single inches; and only the results of a tabulation by inches of height are here presented. The several groups in the appended table are deduced from those cases respectively for which the stature was found to be between half an inch below and half an inch above the round number; and the actual mean stature is given for each group in a special column.

TABLE II.
Pulmonary Capacity of White Soldiers, in usual vigor, by Height.

| Earlier Series |  |  | Later Series |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. Men | Mean <br> Height | Cubic In. | No. Men | Mean <br> Height | Cubio In. | No. Men | Mean Height | Cubic In. |
| 1 | $\begin{array}{cc} \ln . \\ 56.30 \end{array}$ | 90.0 | 2 | $\underset{\mathbf{5 6 . 6 5}}{\mathrm{in} .}$ | 143.0 | 3 | $\underset{56.53}{\mathrm{in}^{2} .}$ | 125.3 |
| 2 | 58.00 | 95.0 | 2 | 58.30 | 102.0 | 4 | 58.15 | 98.5 |
| 7 | 58.93 | 118.3 | 9 | 59.07 | 137.4 | 16 | 59.01 | 126.9 |
| 10 | 60.09 | 159.6 | 27 | 60.11 | 148.1 | 37 | 60.10 | 151.2 |
| 30 | 60.99 | 136.4 | 54 | 61.11 | 146.2 | 84 | 61.07 | 142.7 |
| 85 | 62.03 | 148.9 | 138 | 62.04 | 156.8 | 223 | 62.04 | 151.9 |
| 168 | 63.01 | 144.1 | 312 | 62.99 | 161.2 | 480 | 62.99 | 155.2 |
| 312 | 63.99 | 153.3 | 612 | 63.99 | 167.4 | 924 | 63.99 | 162.6 |
| 489 | 64.97 | 158.4 | 981 | 64.97 | 174.6 | 1470 | 64.97 | 169.3 |
| 643 | 65.98 | 166.2 | 1239 | 65.96 | 181.4 | 1882 | 65.97 | 176.2 |
| 722 | 66.96 | 176.0 | 1491 | 66.94 | 185.4 | 2213 | 66.94 | 182.3 |
| 834 | 67.95 | 181.9 | 1466 | 67.92 | 192.2 | 2300 | 67.93 | 188.5 |
| 618 | 68.91 | 184.9 | 1027 | 68.88 | 200.4 | 1645 | 68.89 | 194.6 |
| 437 | 69.91 | 193.8 | 721 | 69.89 | 205.9 | 1158 | 69.90 | 201.3 |
| 250 | 70.87 | 198.1 | 385 | 70.86 | 207.0 | 635 | 70.87 | 202.7 |
| 129 | 71.85 | 206.9 | 244 | 71.86 | 217.6 | 378 | 71.85 | 213.9 |
| 62 | 72.87 | 202.3 | 112 | 72.86 | 220.7 | 174 | 72.86 | 214.2 |
| 27 | 78.89 | 217.2 | 49 | 73.85 | 233.9 | 76 | 73.87 | 228.0 |
| 9 | 74.91 | 207.1 | 10 | 74.88 | 242.6 | 19 | 74.89 | 225.8 |
| 1 | 76.40 | 211.0 | 9 | 75.88 | 242.0 | 10 | 75.93 | 238.9 |
| 1 | 77.40 | 335.0 | 4 | 76.78 | 206.2 | 5 | 76.90 | 232.0 |
| - | - | - | 1 | 77.50 | 263.0 | 1 | 77.50 | 263.0 |
| 4837 | 67.296 | 175.65 | 8895 | 67.164 | 187.87 | 13732 | 67.211 | 183.57 |

The mean capacity is thus seen to increase with the height according to some general law, as would naturally be expected; but neither so regularly for individuals as has been alleged, nor at so high a rate as 8 cubic inches for each inch of height. About 64 cubic inches seems to be the normal increase with each inch of stature.

A similar examination of the results for sailors and for students leads to similar inferences; and it can scarcely admit of doubt that the pulmonary capacity, corresponding to any given stature, is
subject to individual variations relatively as great as those for any of the other physical dimensions or characteristics, and that the number of cases requisite for affording a normal mean value for any height is decidedly larger than can be found in our groups for these classes.
The degree of reliance, to which the determinations of pulmonary capacity in the preceding tables are entitled, may be tested by assorting the several individual determinations for men of any given stature, and comparing the distribution thus found with that corresponding to the law of error as explained in the third section of Chapter VIII. The degree of accordance between the two systems of distribution will then afford a criterion as to the extent to which the mean of the determinations ought to be regarded as typical. The result of such an assortment for white soldiers 67 inches in height, who were in usual vigor, is here given.

> TABLE III.

Assortment by Pulmonary Capacity, between the limits 66.5 and 67.5 inches in Height, of White Soldiers in usual vigor.
(Mean Height $=$ 66.936 Inches.)

| Cubie Inches | No. of Men | Theorelical Proportion |  | DIfferenceo. - o. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | For 10000 Cases | For 1491 Cases |  |
| Below 96 | 19 | 75 | 11 | -8 |
| 96-115 | 52 | 219 | 33 | -19 |
| 116-135 | 81 | 692 | 88 | + 7 |
| 136-155 | 136 | 1210 | 180 | +44 |
| 156-175 | 271 | 1853 | 276 | + 5 |
| 176-195 | 319 | 2132 | 319 | 0 |
| 196215 | 330 | 1845 | 275 | +55 |
| 216-235 | 160 | 1201 | 179 | + 19 |
| 236-255 | 85 | 585 | 87 | +2 |
| 256-275 | 22 | 214 | 82 | +10 |
| Above 275 | 16 | 74 | 11 | - 5 |
|  | 1491 | 10000 | 1491 | +87 |
|  |  |  |  | -87 |

[^78]Table IV. shows the mean pulmonary capacity for each inch of height, as derived from our measurements of sailors and of students, both in usual health, and also the results for the aggregate of all white men of this class examined; the measurements for soldiers, sailors, and students being combined in this Grand Total result for white men in ordinary vigor.

TABLE IV.
Pulmonary Capacity of White Men, in usual Vigor, by Height.

| Sallors |  |  | Stadents |  |  | Total of White Men |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. Men | Mean H't | Cuble In. | No. Men | Mean H't | Cuble In. | No. Men | Mean H't | Cubic In. |
| 1 | $\begin{gathered} \ln . \\ 48.40 \end{gathered}$ | 70.0 | - | $\underline{8}$ | - | 4 | in. 54.50 | 111.5 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 58.15 | 98.5 |
| 1 | 59.40 | 80.0 | - | - | - | 17 | 59.03 | 124.1 |
| 12 | 60.03 | 177.7 | - | - | - | 49 | 60.09 | 157.7 |
| 25 | 61.05 | 157.0 | - | - | - | 109 | 61.07 | 146.0 |
| 49 | 62.01 | 159.1 | - | - | - | 272 | 62.03 | 153.2 |
| 78 | 63.02 | 158.6 | 3 | 63.27 | 196.7 | 561 | 63.00 | 155.9 |
| 132 | 63.98 | 167.5 | 8 | 63.79 | 192.6 | 1064 | 63.99 | 163.5 |
| 165 | 64.98 | 174.8 | 32 | 64.97 | 179.5 | 1667 | 64.97 | 170.0 |
| 161 | 65.90 | 180.0 | 37 | 66.01 | 189.8 | 2080 | 65.96 | 176.7 |
| 162 | 66.93 | 192.4 | 34 | 66.93 | 194.3 | 2409 | 66.94 | 183.1 |
| 121 | 67.90 | 186.1 | 49 | 67.98 | 196.5 | 2470 | 67.93 | 188.5 |
| 102 | 68.90 | 191.5 | 42 | 69.02 | 210.8 | 1789 | 68.90 | 194.8 |
| 49 | 69.79 | 192.1 | 36 | 69.90 | 222.7 | 1243 | 69.89 | 201.5 |
| 28 | 70.81 | 188.7 | 24 | 70.92 | 223.5 | 687 | 70.87 | 202.8 |
| 12 | 71.82 | 204.3 | 14 | 71.86 | 237.1 | 399 | 71.85 | 214.4 |
| 4 | 72.87 | 207.2 | 5 | 72.98 | 251.0 | 183 | 72.87 | 215.0 |
| 1 | 74.20 | 151.0 | 1 | 74.10 | 273.0 | 78 | 73.87 | 227.5 |
| 1 | 75.00 | 200.0 | 1 | 74.70 | 120.0 | 21 | 74.89 | 219.5 |
| - | - | - | 1 | 75.60 | 261.0 | 11 | 75.90 | 240.9 |
| - | - | - | 1 | 77.40 | 265.0 | 6 | 76.98 | 237.5 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 77.50 | 263.0 |
| 1104 | 66.009 | 179.22 | 288 | 68.119 | 204.38 | 15124 | 67.140 | 183.64 |

For the men not in usual vigor the corresponding results are neither so interesting nor so important, at least so long as the cause or degree of their enfeebled condition does not appear as an element in the classification. The material for such a classification
exists to some extent in the answers to Question 31, which assort the occasions of the loss of vigor into the five classes, disease, wounds, recent exertion, hardship, and poor fare; but it has not appeared probable, in view of the large variations in the values deduced for men in health, that the results thus attained would reward the labor of such a classification.

In Table V. are condensed the mean values obtained for those white men who were not included in the last table, because not in their usual vigor. All of these men were in the volunteer army, a considerable portion being examined at the Convalescent Camp.

TABLE V.
Pulmonary Capacity of White Men not in usual Vigor,
by Height.

| Number of Man | Mean Height | Cuble Inchee |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | in. |  |
| 16 | 69.02 | 124.2 |
| 25 | 61.02 | 132.8 |
| 56 | 61.95 | 132.8 |
| 125 | 62.97 | 135.6 |
| 253 | 64.00 | 140.9 |
| 857 | 64.99 | 152.2 |
| 504 | 65.95 | 152.2 |
| 630 | 66.96 | 160.3 |
| 513 | 67.94 | 164.2 |
| 429 | 68.89 | 165.5 |
| 291 | 69.91 | 179.0 |
| 165 | 70.92 | 180.6 |
| 101 | 71.91 | 189.6 |
| 49 | 72.91 | 175.9 |
| 29 | 73.92 | 196.3 |
| 18 | 75.84 | 194.8 |
| 3.456 | 67.230 | 160.43 |

Comparing the pulmonary capacity of the black race with that of the white, the difference is very striking. The results presented for the blacks are deduced from those men only who were apparently in full health and strength, and the excess of average capacity in whites of the same stature is added in a special column.

## TABLE VI. <br> Pulmonary Capacity of Negroes, in usual Vigor, by Height.

| Fall Blacks |  |  | Malattoes |  |  | Total |  |  | Mean <br> Difierence from White |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. Men | Mean H't | Cubio In. | No. Men | Mead H't | Cuble In. | No. Men | Mean H't | Cable In. |  |
| 6 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{in} . \\ 58.70 \end{gathered}$ | 150.2 | - | $\stackrel{10}{-}$ | - | 6 | $\underset{58.70}{\mathrm{fn} .}$ | 150.2 | - |
| 14 | 60.10 | 131.5 | 5 | 59.80 | 101.8 | 19 | 60.02 | 123.7 | 34.05 |
| 29 | 60.96 | 146.0 | 10 | 60.88 | 117.0 | 39 | 60.94 | 138.6 | 7.41 |
| 55 | 61.99 | 140.4 | 18 | 61.89 | 141.5 | 73 | 61.97 | 140.7 | 12.50 |
| 112 | 62.94 | 144.6 | 58 | 62.95 | 148.8 | 170 | 62.95 | 146.0 | 9.85 |
| 173 | 63.97 | 155.4 | 72 | 63.95 | 144.8 | 245 | 63.97 | 152.3 | 11.17 |
| 209 | 64.91 | 160.5 | 100 | 64.95 | 158.1 | 309 | 64.92 | 159.7 | 10.26 |
| 258 | 65.97 | 162.6 | 112 | 65.96 | 156.9 | 370 | 65.97 | 160.9 | 15.81 |
| 258 | 66.97 | 166.5 | 94 | 66.95 | 168.4 | 352 | 66.96 | 167.0 | 16.12 |
| 220 | 67.95 | 172.7 | 67 | 67.88 | 160.7 | 287 | 67.92 | 169.9 | 18.68 |
| 142 | 68.98 | 183.2 | 65 | 68.92 | 180.5 | 207 | 68.96 | 182.3 | 12.44 |
| 72 | 69.86 | 176.7 | 33 | 69.87 | 189.8 | 105 | 69.86 | 180.8 | 20.72 |
| 48 | 70.92 | 196.7 | 19 | 70.97 | 186.7 | 67 | 70.94 | 193.9 | 8.96 |
| 26 | 71.97 | 203.7 | 13 | 71.86 | 203.1 | 39 | 71.93 | 203.5 | 10.90 |
| 5 | 72.86 | 184.0 | 2 | 72.60 | 190.5 | 7 | 72.76 | 185.9 | 29.16 |
| 2 | 73.85 | 256.0 | 1 | 74.40 | 131.0 | 3 | 74.03 | 214.3 | 13.22 |
| 2 | 76.60 | 240.0 | 2 | 76.30 | 253.5 | 4 | 76.45 | 246.7 | - |
| 1631 | 66.257 | 165.32 | 671 | 66.229 | 161.63 | 2302 | 66.249 | 164.24 |  |

Since the number of Indians examined was not sufficient to ensure a symmetrical distribution of the proportion at different heights, this fact is manifested in our mean difference between their average pulmonary capacity and that of white men ; this difference indicating an excess for the total of the Indians examined, while for every individual inch of stature, except one, the capacity is greater for the whites.

## TABLE VII.

Pulmonary Capacity of Indians, in usual vigor, by Height.

| No. of Men | Mean Height | Cuble Inchos | Less than for Whice | Greater than for Blenke |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\stackrel{\text { in. }}{62.50}$ | 130.0 | - | - |
| 1 | 64.00 | 162.0 | - | - |
| 13 | 65.09 | 177.3 | - 7.31 | +17.67 |
| 33 | 65.92 | 162.8 | +13.96 | 1.85 |
| 88 | 67.14 | 173.8 | 9.31 | 6.81 |
| 178 | 67.93 | 185.3 | 8.23 | 15.45 |
| 102 | 68.87 | 194.4 | 0.36 | 12.08 |
| 50 | 69.88 | 199.6 | 1.95 | +18.77 |
| 17 | 70.76 | 192.9 | 9.96 | - 1.00 |
| 13 | 71.93 | 191.2 | 23.21 | -12.31 |
| 5 | 72.72 | 178.6 | 36.42 | - 7.26 |
| 2 | 78.75 | 167.0 | +60.55 | -47.33 |
| 1 | 75.70 | 214.0 | - | - |
| 604 | 68.238 | 185.06 |  |  |

If from the means of the actually observed numbers, as above recorded, we endeavor by graphical methods to construct normal curves showing the best value empirically deducible for men in good health, of any given stature, without regard to other elements than the mere height, we shall find:-1st that the mean increase of pulmonary capacity appears closely proportional to the increase of height, and 2 d , that among white men this mean increase is at the very nearly constant rate of a little more than six cubic inches for each inch of stature.

The results in Table VIII. have been deduced by graphical means exclusively, the values already given being carefully charted, and a line drawn through the series of points to represent the system as closely as possible. The columns headed c .- o. (Calculation minus Observation) show the discordance between the empirical and the observed values. for each inch of mean stature. In judging of the weight to be attributed to these discordances, the number of observed cases, as shown by the preceding tables,
should be kept in mind．The similarity of the values for full blacks and mulattoes，as shown by Table VI．，warrants their con－ solidation into a single class．

> T ABLE VIII.

Empirical Determination of Pulmonary Capacity， by Stature．

| Helght | White Soldiert Earlier Seriea |  | White Soldiers Later Beries |  | Total White Men |  | Negroes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cabic In． | 0．-0. | Cubic In． | c．-0. | Cubic In． | c．-0 ． | Cable In． | c．-0. |
| Inches 59 | 120 | ＋ 6.3 | $136 \frac{1}{2}$ | －0．5 | 132 | ＋ 8.1 | － | － |
| 60 | 1261 $\frac{1}{2}$ | －82．5 | $142 \frac{1}{2}$ | － 5.0 | 138 $\frac{1}{8}$ | 18.6 | 13012 | ＋ 6.9 |
| 61 | 133 | － 3.4 | 149 | ＋ 3.4 | 145 | $-0.6$ | 136 | －2．9 |
| 62 | 1391 $\frac{1}{2}$ | $-4.2$ | 155 | －1．5 | 151 | － 2.0 | 141 | ＋0．2 |
| 63 | 146⿳亠丷厂犬 | ＋ 2.5 | $161 \frac{1}{2}$ | ＋ 0.3 | 157 $\frac{1}{2}$ | ＋ 1.7 | 146 | －0．3 |
| 64 | 153 | － 0.4 | 167 $\frac{1}{2}$ | ＋0．0 | 163 $\frac{1}{2}$ | $-0.0$ | $151 \frac{1}{2}$ | －1．0 |
| 65 | 160 | ＋ 1.4 | 174 | －0．8 | 170 | － 0.2 | 156 $\frac{1}{2}$ | － 3.6 |
| 66 | 1661 | ＋ 0.2 | 180 | －1．6 | 176 | － 1.0 | 162 | ＋ 0.9 |
| 67 | 173 $\frac{1}{2}$ | － 2.7 | 1861 $\frac{1}{2}$ | ＋ 0.7 | $182 \frac{1}{2}$ | － 1.0 | 167 $\frac{1}{2}$ | ＋ 0.2 |
| 68 | 180 | － 2.3 | 192⿺𠃊 | －0．2 | 188 $\frac{1}{2}$ | － 0.5 | 173 $\frac{1}{2}$ | ＋ 8.2 |
| 69 | 186 $\frac{1}{2}$ | ＋ 1.0 | 199 | －2．1 | 194 $\frac{1}{2}$ | － 0.9 | 179 | －3．6 |
| 70 | 192 | － 1.8 | 205 | －1．5 | $200 \frac{1}{2}$ | － 1.7 | 185 | ＋3．3 |
| 71 | 1981 | ＋ 1.6 | $211 \frac{1}{8}$ | ＋3．6 | $206 \frac{1}{2}$ | ＋ 2.9 | 191 | －3．2 |
| 72 | 204 | － 3.7 | 218 | －0．5 | 212 | － 8.3 | $197 \frac{1}{2}$ | －6．5 |
| 73 | 2091 | ＋ 6.5 | 224 | ＋2．4 | 218 | ＋ 2.2 | － | － |
| 74 | 2141 | － 3.2 | 2301 | －4．4 | 223 $\frac{1}{2}$ | － 4.8 | － | － |
| 75 | － | － | 237 | －6．4 | 2291 | ＋ 9.3 |  | － |

The close accordance of this empirical and very simple law with the observed facts，within the limits of manly stature，is very strik－ ing．That there must be an inferior limit to the application of the law is equally manifest，but our materials furmish no clew for its detection．There would seem to be ground for suspecting this limit to be at about the mean stature corresponding to the age of 16 or 17 years．

## 3．Relation to Length of Body．

Since the variations in height of different persons depend so largely upon the length of the legs，it would appear probable that the size of the thorax，or at least its depth，would be found to occupy some much more definite and manifest relation to the
capacity of the lungs, than would be the case for the stature. The examination of this question was naturally not omitted by Dr. Hutchinson in his elaborate and able memoir, but his inferences after the investigation were strongly adverse to this natural supposition ; and he states that he was forced to the conclusion, already cited, that "the size of the chest and the quantity of air a man can breath, have no direct relation with each other ; ${ }^{1}$ although he also says: "I am quite at a loss to explain why height governs, or why a relation exists between the amount of air expelled and the stature. It is well known that the difference of height is chiefly regulated by the length of the legs; I found by direct experiments upon men (between 5 and 6 feet) that whatever be their standing height, their sitting height is on an average 3 feet." ${ }^{2}$
In yet other places he says, "Contrary to what I ever expected (and agreeable to the opinion of others) I do not find there exists any direct relation between the circumference of the chest and the vital capacity," ${ }^{3}$ and "I have frequently been asked if the depth of the chest did not increase with the height of the individual. I find this not to be the case." 4

The investigation of this relation to the circumference of the thorax has of course been repeated here, as the largely increased number of cases at our disposal demanded, and the results of this inquiry will be presented in the next section; but it seemed also advisable to tabulate the results according to the Length of Body, i. e. the height between the perinæum and the 7th cervical vertebra. This dimension is recorded for all our cases; and the results given in Chapter VIII. show that although varying within much narrower limits than the height, the length of body is by no means so constant as Dr. Hutchinson seems to have supposed.

From this tabulation it becomes unmistakably evident that the pulmonary capacity does not stand in a relation to the length of body, at all comparable for distinctness or regularity with that which it appears to occupy toward the stature. The best graphical representation of the series gives a slightly curved line, and falls far short of a satisfactory accordance with individual determinations. The capacity seems however to increase with the length of body, which doubtless generally increases with the stature. In the appended tables the results of this mode of tabulation are given, but for men in good health, only.

[^79]
## TABLE IX. <br> Pulmonary Capacity of White Soldiers, by Length of Body.

| Earlier Sories |  |  | Later Series |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | $\underset{\text { Meen }}{\text { Length }}$ | Cuble In. | No. | $\underset{\substack{\text { Mean } \\ \text { Length }}}{ }$ | Cublic In. | No. | Mean Length | Cabic In. |
| 3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { in. } \\ 18.90 \end{gathered}$ | 197.7 | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In. } \\ & 16.88 \end{aligned}$ | 141.0 | 8 | $\stackrel{\ln }{17.64}$ | 162.2 |
| 5 | 20.02 | 139.4 | 6 | 20.08 | 171.0 | 11 | 20.05 | 156.6 |
| 7 | 20.54 | 138.6 | 10 | 20.50 | 162.7 | 17 | 20.52 | 152.8 |
| 4 | 20.97 | 163.7 | 4 | 21.00 | 156.0 | 8 | 20.99 | 159.9 |
| 4 | 21.60 | 138.2 | 8 | 21.50 | 140.1 | 12 | 21.53 | 139.5 |
| 19 | 21.96 | 134.9 | 22 | 22.06 | 163.1 | 41 | 22.01 | 150.0 |
| 41 | 22.51 | 140.3 | 36 | 22.52 | 158.0 | 77 | 22.51 | 148.6 |
| 76 | 23.03 | 137.9 | 72 | 23.03 | 158.3 | 148 | 23.03 | 147.9 |
| 155 | 23.54 | 154.1 | 183 | 23.46 | 173.1 | 838 | 23.50 | 164.4 |
| 264 | 24.00 | 154.6 | 381 | 24.02 | 165.6 | 645 | 24.01 | 161.1 |
| 451 | 24.50 | 160.3 | 617 | 24.51 | 172.1 | 1068 | 24.51 | 167.1 |
| 578 | 25.01 | 165.3 | 1007 | 25.01 | 179.9 | 1585 | 25.01 | 174.6 |
| 667 | 25.50 | 174.0 | 1221 | 25.51 | 181.2 | 1888 | 25.51 | 178.6 |
| 667 | 26.00 | 177.2 | 1400 | 26.00 | 188.7 | 2067 | 26.00 | 185.0 |
| 512 | 26.49 | 186.5 | 1233 | 26.49 | 190.8 | 1745 | 26.49 | 189.5 |
| 431 | 26.99 | 194.3 | 1027 | 26.99 | 195.6 | 1458 | 26.99 | 195.2 |
| 332 | 27.49 | 190.2 | 723 | 27.48 | 201.6 | 1055 | 27.48 | 1980 |
| 202 | 28.00 | 200.3 | 470 | 27.99 | 203.1 | 672 | 27.99 | 202.3 |
| 151 | 28.47 | 204.3 | 316 | 28.48 | 198.5 | 467 | 28.48 | 200.4 |
| 107 | 28.97 | 204.4 | 180 | 28.98 | 202.4 | 287 | 28.98 | 203.2 |
| 58 | 29.49 | 200.5 | 93 | 29.47 | 212.3 | 151 | 29.48 | 207.8 |
| 44 | 30.00 | 218.0 | 46 | 29.99 | 211.9 | 90 | 29.99 | 214.9 |
| 15 | 30.45 | 232.1 | 19 | 30.48 | 193.3 | 84 | 30.47 | 210.4 |
| 14 | 30.96 | 221.1 | 14 | 30.96 | 208.1 | 28 | 30.96 | 214.6 |
| 7 | 31.39 | 231.3 | 8 | 31.37 | 230.3 | 10 | 31.38 | 231.0 |
| 4 | 31.97 | 270.7 | 3 | 32.07 | 177.7 | 7 | 32.01 | 230.9 |
| 2 | 32.65 | 144.0 | 6 | 32.53 | 177.8 | 8 | 32.56 | 169.4 |
| 3 | 33.33 | 235.0 | 12 | 36.29 | 162.7 | 15 | 35.70 | 177.1 |

TABLEX.
Pulmonary Capacity of White Men, by Length of Body.

| Sellors |  |  | Students |  |  | Total Whito Men |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & \text { Leng } \end{aligned}$ | Cable In. | No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Menn } \\ \text { Length } \end{gathered}$ | Cubio In. | No. | Mean <br> Lengra | Cublo In. |
| - | 12. | - | - | in. | - | 8 | $\stackrel{\ln .}{17.64}$ | 162.2 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | 20.05 | 156.6 |
| 1 | 20.40 | 151.0 | - | - | - | 18 | 20.51 | 152.7 |
| 2 | 21.05 | 132.0 | - | - | - | 10 | 21.00 | 154.3 |
| 5 | 21.62 | 143.6 | - | - | - | 17 | 21.66 | 140.7 |
| 9 | 22.07 | 183.6 | 2 | 22.20 | 252.5 | 52 | 22.03 | 159.8 |
| 40 | 22.54 | 155.7 | - | - | - | 117 | 22.52 | 151.0 |
| 86 | 23.02 | 164.0 | 2 | 23.10 | 139.0 | 236 | 23.03 | 153.6 |
| 139 | 23.51 | 172.8 | 7 | 23.46 | 202.6 | 484 | 23.50 | 167.4 |
| 196 | 24.01 | 174.4 | 13 | 23.97 | 176.5 | 854 | 24.01 | 164.4 |
| 204 | 24.50 | 179.4 | 28 | 24.49 | 187.5 | 1300 | 24.61 | 169.6 |
| 175 | 25.00 | 187.5 | 87 | 25.00 | 192.2 | 1797 | 25.01 | 176.2 |
| 106 | 25.48 | 183.6 | 38 | 25.51 | 193.5 | 2032 | 25.51 | 179.2 |
| 69 | 25.97 | 186.1 | 42 | 26.01 | 201.1 | 2178 | 26.00 | 185.3 |
| 47 | 26.47 | 202.6 | 86 | 26.60 | 215.4 | 1828 | 26.49 | 190.4 |
| 29 | 26.99 | 196.5 | 28 | 27.03 | 212.4 | 1515 | 26.99 | 195.5 |
| 10 | 27.47 | 183.7 | 21 | 27.46 | 221.9 | 1086 | 27.48 | 198.4 |
| 5 | 28.14 | 192.2 | 11 | 28.08 | 243.9 | 688 | 27.99 | 202.8 |
| 7 | 28.49 | 182.9 | 7 | 28.50 | 194.7 | 481 | 28.48 | 200.1 |
| 2 | 29.05 | 224.5 | 6 | 29.08 | 231.8 | 295 | 28.98 | 203.9 |
| 4 | 29.45 | 211.2 | 4 | 29.45 | 251.7 | 159 | 29.48 | 209.0 |
| - | - | - | 4 | 29.92 | 228.2 | 94 | 29.99 | 215.5 |
| 1 | 30.60 | 288.0 | 1 | 30.50 | 215.0 | 36 | 30.47 | 212.7 |
| - | - | - | 1 | 30.90 | 218.0 | 29 | 30.96 | 214.8 |
| - | - | - | 1 | 31.50 | 340.0 | 11 | 31.39 | 240.9 |
| - | - | - | 1 | 81.90 | 265.0 | 8 | 32.00 | 235.1 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | 32.56 | 169.4 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 35.70 | 177.1 |

## TABLE XI.

Pulmonary Capacity of Negroes,
by Length of Body.

| Full Bleck |  |  | Mulattoes |  |  | Total |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { Differ } \\ \text { ence } \\ \text { from } \\ \text { Fhites } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. Men | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { Length } \end{gathered}$ | Cubio Inches | No. Men | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { Length } \end{gathered}$ | Cubic Inches | No. Men | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { Length } \end{gathered}$ | Cuble Inches |  |
| 8 | $\begin{gathered} \text { in. } \\ 19.75 \end{gathered}$ | 141.9 | 3 | in. 19.47 | 181.3 | 11 | $\begin{aligned} & \ln . \\ & 19.67 \end{aligned}$ | 139.0 |  |
| 10 | 21.00 | 144.5 | 6 | 21.02 | 134.0 | 16 | 21.01 | 140.6 | 18.74 |
| 21 | 21.50 | 145.0 | 7 | 21.41 | 139.9 | 28 | 21.48 | 143.7 | - 3.04 |
| 47 | 22.03 | 155.4 | 13 | 22.00 | 151.7 | 60 | 22.03 | 154.6 | 5.17 |
| 92 | 22.52 | 158.3 | 25 | 22.52 | 143.5 | 117 | 22.52 | 155.1 | -4.09 |
| 162 | 23.00 | 153.3 | 48 | 23.02 | 149.6 | 210 | 23.01 | 152.5 | 1.18 |
| 185 | 23.51 | 159.1 | 81 | 23.50 | 154.3 | 266 | 23.50 | 157.7 | 9.72 |
| 231 | 24.00 | 162.9 | 70 | 23.98 | 156.2 | 301 | 23.99 | 161.3 | 3.11 |
| 211 | 24.50 | 170.7 | 121 | 24.51 | 156.8 | 332 | 24.51 | 165.6 | 3.87 |
| 187 | 25.01 | 171.0 | 88 | 24.99 | 162.6 | 285 | 25.01 | 168.1 | 8.07 |
| 152 | 25.51 | 167.2 | 67 | 25.51 | 162.7 | 219 | 25.51 | 165.8 | 13.38 |
| 100 | 26.00 | 171.9 | 62 | 25.97 | 162.1 | 162 | 25.99 | 168.2 | 17.13 |
| 72 | 26.48 | 178.1 | 39 | 26.49 | 175.8 | 111 | 26.49 | 177.3 | 13.09 |
| 52 | 26.98 | 170.7 | 35 | 26.95 | 169.0 | 87 | 26.97 | 170.0 | 25.51 |
| 29 | 27.49 | 186.7 | 17 | 27.46 | 190.7 | 46 | 27.48 | 188.2 | 10.20 |
| 23 | 28.00 | 171.9 | 13 | 27.88 | 177.6 | 36 | 27.96 | 174.0 | 28.88 |
| 6 | 28.37 | 154.5 | 6 | 28.40 | 157.3 | 12 | 28.38 | 155.9 | 44.15 |
| 3 | 28.80 | 181.3 | 2 | 29.20 | 108.5 | 5 | 28.96 | 152.2 | 51.69 |
| 3 | 29.43 | 157.3 | 1 | 29.30 | 171.0 | 4 | 29.40 | 160.7 | 48.26 |
| 1 | 29.90 | 78.0 | 1 | 30.10 | 133.0 | 2 | 30.00 | 105.5 | 109.96 |
| 15 | 32.87 | 177.5 | 3 | 38.87 | 217.7 | 18 | 34.98 | 195.0 | - |

## TABLE XII.

Pulmonary Capacity of Indians, by Length of Body.

| No. of Men | Mean Longth | Cubic Inchea | Lees than for Whites | Greater than for Blacks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { in. } \\ 22.10 \end{gathered}$ | 166.0 | - | - |
| 4 | 24.47 | 147.7 | 24.74 | - 17.87 |
| 8 | 25.06 | 165.1 | 11.10 | -8.08 |
| 26 | 25.58 | 186.0 | -6.79 | 20.17 |
| 76 | 26.03 | 185.8 | -0.45 | 17.58 |
| 122 | 26.51 | 189.6 | 0.76 | 12.33 |
| 116 | 26.95 | 188.1 | 7.43 | 18.08 |
| 84 | 27.51 | 182.1 | 16.28 | -6.03 |
| 33 | 27.92 | 177.6 | 25.33 | 8.55 |
| 23 | 28.46 | 185.7 | 14.88 | 29.82 |
| 5 | 28.98 | 200.0 | 8.89 | 47.80 |
| 5 | 29.44 | 186.6 | 22.41 | 25.85 |
| 8 | 80.00 | 166.0 | 49.46 | 60.50 |

TABLE XIII.
Empirical Determination of Pulmonary Capacity, by Length of Body.

| Length of <br> Body | White Soldiers Earlier Series |  | White Soldiers Later Seriee |  | Total White Men |  | Negroses |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cubic In. | 0. - 0 . | Cuble In. | 0. -0. | Cabic In. | c. - 0 . | Cable In. | 0. - 0 . |
| Inchee $21$ | - | - | 148 $\frac{1}{2}$ | -7.5 | 1431 $\frac{1}{8}$ | - 10.8 | 1431 $\frac{1}{2}$ | + 8.0 |
| $21 \frac{1}{2}$ | 132 | - 5.1 | 152 | +11.9 | 147 | +6.8 | 146 | +2.1 |
| 22 | 1371 $\frac{1}{2}$ | +2.1 | 1551 $\frac{1}{2}$ | -7.2 | 151 | -8.5 | 148 $\frac{1}{2}$ | -6.0 |
| $22 \frac{1}{2}$ | 142 $\frac{1}{8}$ | +2.3 | 159 | +1.2 | 155 | +4.1 | 151 | -4.0 |
| 23 | 147 $\frac{1}{8}$ | + 9.9 | 163 | + 4.9 | 159 | + 5.6 | 154 | + 1.6 |
| $23 \frac{1}{2}$ | 153 | -0.7 | 166 $\frac{1}{2}$ | -6.9 | 163 | -4.4 | 156 $\frac{1}{2}$ | -1.2 |
| 24 | 158 | + 3.4 | 170 ${ }^{1}$ | + 5.0 | 167 $\frac{1}{8}$ | + 3.2 | 1591 | -1.9 |
| 241 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 163 | + 2.7 | 174 $\frac{1}{8}$ | +2.5 | $171 \frac{1}{8}$ | + 2.1 | 162 | - 3.6 |
| 25 | 168 | +2.8 | 179 | -0.9 | 176 | -0.1 | 165 | -8.1 |
| $25 \frac{1}{2}$ | 173 | -1.0 | 183 $\frac{1}{2}$ | +2.5 | 180 $\frac{1}{2}$ | +1.4 | 168 | +2.3 |
| 26 | 1771 | + 0.3 | 188 | -0.7 | 185 | -0.3 | 171 | +2.8 |
| $26 \frac{1}{2}$ | 182 $\frac{1}{2}$ | -4.1 | 192 | +1.1 | 1891 $\frac{1}{2}$ | -1.0 | 173 $\frac{1}{8}$ | -8.8 |
| 27 | 187 $\frac{1}{2}$ | -6.9 | 1951 | -0.1 | 194 | -1.6 | $175 \frac{1}{2}$ | + 5.4 |
| $27 \frac{1}{2}$ | 192 | + 1.7 | 199 | -2.8 | 198 | -0.5 | 177 | - 11.2 |
| 28 | 197 | -3.3 | 202 | -1.1 | 2011 | - 1.4 | 178 | + 8.9 |
| $28 \frac{1}{2}$ | $201 \frac{1}{2}$ | -3.1 | $204 \frac{1}{8}$ | + 5.9 | 205 | +4.8 | - | - |
| 29 | 206 | + 1.3 | $206 \frac{1}{2}$ | +4.0 | 208 | +4.0 | - | - |
| $29 \frac{1}{2}$ | $210 \frac{1}{8}$ | +9.9 | 208 $\frac{1}{2}$ | -4.0 | $211 \frac{1}{2}$ | +2.4 | - | - |
| 30 | 2141 | -3.5 | 210 $\frac{1}{2}$ | -1.4 | $214 \frac{1}{8}$ | -1.0 | - | - |
| $30 \frac{1}{2}$ | 219 | -13.6 | 212 | +18.7 | $217 \frac{1}{2}$ | + 4.6 | - | - |
| 81 | 2231 | +2.0 | 2131 $\frac{1}{2}$ | + 5.2 | 220 | + 5.0 | - | - |

That the lung-capacity stands in a closer relation to the stature than to the length of body, and that the latter is apparently available as a criterion only in so far as it represents the average stature to which it corresponds, may easily be made evident. Taking only men of the same stature, and assorting them by their length of body, we find for each group nearly the same value, being an approximation to that which corresponds to the stature. If, however, we take only men of the same length of body and assort them by their stature, we find for each group a different mean value; the capacity increasing with the height.

The appended table will suffice to illustrate this fact.

## TABLE XIV.

Pulmonary Capacity by Length of Body, for White Soldiers 67 Inches ligh.

| Length of Body | No. of Mou | Cubic Inches |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inchee |  |  |
| Under 24 | 13 | 184.77 |
| 24 | 35 | 185.54 |
| $24 \frac{1}{2}$ | 73 | 186.82 |
| 25 | 201 | 188.93 |
| $25 \frac{1}{2}$ | 250 | 184.88 |
| 26 | 326 | 184.94 |
| $26 \frac{1}{2}$ | 255 | 183.45 |
| 27 | 181 | 185.65 |
| $27 \frac{1}{2}$ | 92 | 183.17 |
| 28 | 42 | 189.71 |
| $28 \frac{1}{2}$ | 14 | 187.36 |
| 29 | 9 | 168.78 |

## 4. Relation to Circumference of Chest.

We have already seen that the mean circumference of the chest across the nipples, for white men in ordinary health, is about 0.55 of the height at full inspiration, and 0.51 at expiration. At first thought it might be supposed, since the mean proportion between the length of body, or the circumference of thorax, and the height possesses a definite and normal value, that the same results would be approximately indicated by a tabulation according to any one of these dimensions provided the number of cases were sufficient. The figures presented in the last section, however, will have made it manifest that such is not the fact; and very slight examination suffices to show that the variations of many individual dimensions, for a given stature, considerably exceed in amplitude the changes of the mean dimension with the stature, when within the ordinary limits. This is especially the case for the girth of the chest ; and the indirect influence of the height as shown in the scale of magnitude for all dimensions is thus masked to a greater extent.

The curious deduction of Hutchinson, that the girth of the chest exerts but a comparatively small influence upon the pulmonary capacity, was explained by him through the fact that adipose deposits along the walls of the thorax would tend both to increase the cir-
cumference and to diminish the space available for expansion of the lungs. Our results, while confirming his other inference, that the mean increase in the volume of air breathed is closely proportional to the increase in the mean stature, do not appear to corroborate so fully his deductions regarding the limits of individual variation from this rule, or regarding the unimportance of any other relation between the dimensions of the chest and this respiratory capacity.

This will be manifest by inspection of the Tables XV. to XIX., which show the relation between the pulmonary capacity and the circumference of chest, for men in health, and are analogous to the similar tables already given for the relation to height and length of body. In this present tabulation the increase in the mean capacity is seen not to be as strictly and clearly proportional to the increase in the dimension, as was the case in the tabulation by height, where the line which represents this ratio upon the chart is very nearly straight for the whites; still this line is here but slightly curved, and the discordances of the several mean values are by no means so large or irregular.

TABLE XV.
Pulmonary Capacity of White Soldiers, by Circumference of Chest.

| Eariber Series |  |  | Later Series |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Mean Circ. | Cubic In. | No. | Mean Cire. | Cubic In. | No. | Mean Circ. | Cubic In. |
| 8 | in. 27.12 | 134.1 | 10 | in. $27.13$ | 143.0 | 18 | $\begin{gathered} \text { in. } \\ 27.13 \end{gathered}$ | 139.1 |
| 6 | 29.05 | 136.0 | 9 | 29.12 | 147.3 | 15 | 29.09 | 142.8 |
| 5 | 29.46 | 124.6 | 12 | 29.52 | 134.3 | 17 | 29.50 | 131.5 |
| 22 | 30.04 | 145.2 | 26 | 30.10 | 158.0 | 48 | 30.07 | 152.1 |
| 27 | 30.51 | 144.9 | 33 | 30.59 | 149.6 | 60 | 30.55 | 147.5 |
| 51 | 31.00 | 153.1 | 82 | 31.10 | 1632 | 133 | 31.06 | 159.3 |
| 70 | 31.52 | 151.3 | 100 | 31.59 | 168.1 | 170 | 31.56 | 161.1 |
| 127 | 32.02 | 151.5 | 191 | 32.09 | 164.6 | 318 | 32.07 | 159.4 |
| 137 | 3252 | 163.2 | 253 | 32.57 | 174.4 | 390 | 32.55 | 170.5 |
| 240 | 33.01 | 156.2 | 361 | 33.08 | 178.1 | 601 | 33.05 | 169.3 |
| 249 | 33.51 | 165.4 | 408 | 33.58 | 176.6 | 657 | 33.56 | 172.4 |
| 422 | 34.00 | 166.4 | 637 | 34.07 | 179.5 | 1059 | 34.04 | 174.8 |
| 353 | 34.52 | 168.3 | 666 | 34.57 | 182.5 | 1019 | 34.56 | 177.6 |
| 447 | 34.99 | 178.5 | 807 | 35.07 | 184.6 | 1254 | 35.04 | 182.4 |
| 386 | 35.50 | 178.3 | 880 | 35.57 | 186.0 | 1266 | 35.55 | 183.6 |
| 486 | 35.99 | 178.9 | 906 | 36.07 | 189.5 | 1392 | 36.03 | 185.8 |
| 349 | 36.50 | 183.1 | 846 | 36.56 | 191.2 | 1195 | 36.53 | 188.8 |
| 370 | 36.99 | 186.5 | 725 | 37.06 | 192.9 | 1095 | 37.04 | 190.8 |
| 295 | 87.49 | 199.4 | 583 | 37.55 | 196.8 | 878 | 37.53 | 197.7 |
| 232 | 38.00 | 192.7 | 478 | 38.03 | 200.5 | 710 | 38.02 | 198.0 |
| 176 | 38.49 | 192.0 | 382 | 38.53 | 204.5 | 558 | 38.52 | 200.6 |
| 138 | 39.00 | 195.9 | 258 | 39.03 | 201.1 | 396 | 39.02 | 199.3 |
| 68 | 39.48 | 199.1 | 170 | 39.53 | 195.6 | 238 | 39.51 | 196.6 |
| 64 | 39.99 | 199.6 | 118 | 40.03 | 204.2 | 182 | 40.02 | 202.6 |
| 28 | 40.49 | 213.8 | 50 | 40.52 | 202.1 | 78 | 40.61 | 206.3 |
| 23 | 41.01 | 213.3 | 38 | 41.04 | 223.9 | 61 | 41.03 | 219.9 |
| 9 | 41.50 | 223.8 | 24 | 41.46 | 206.2 | 83 | 41.47 | 211.0 |
| 11 | 41.99 | 195.6 | 18 | 42.01 | 201.0 | 29 | 42.00 | 199.0 |
| 4 | 42.52 | 214.7 | 10 | 42.48 | 210.0 | 14 | 42.49 | 211.4 |
| 3 | 43.00 | 218.3 | 4 | 42.96 | 200.2 | 7 | 42.98 | 208.0 |
| 2 | 43.55 | 212.5 | 4 | 43.56 | 175.0 | 6 | 43.56 | 187.5 |
| 2 | 44.40 | 296.5 | 8 | 44.68 | 157.3 | 5 | 44.57 | 213.0 |

## TABLE XVI.

Pulmonary Capacity of White Men, by Circumference of Chest.

| Sallors |  |  | 8tudents |  |  | Total Whito Men |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Mean Circ. | Cubic In. | No. | Mean Circ. | Cubbe In. | No. | Mean Cire. | Cable In. |
| 5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { in. } \\ 28.12 \end{gathered}$ | 178.6 | 1 | $\underset{26.20}{\ln .}$ | 220.0 | 24 | in. 27.50 | 150.7 |
| 1 | 29.00 | 100.0 | - | - | - | 16 | 29.08 | 140.1 |
| 5 | 29.57 | 150.0 | - | - | - | 22 | 29.52 | 135.7 |
| 6 | 30.07 | 159.2 | - | - | - | 54 | 30.07 | 152.9 |
| 4 | 30.65 | 167.0 | - | - | - | 64 | 30.56 | 148.7 |
| 16 | 31.07 | 151.0 | - | - | - | 149 | 31.06 | 158.4 |
| 13 | 31.57 | 166.5 | 5 | 31.64 | 144.8 | 188 | 31.51 | 161.1 |
| 45 | 32.05 | 160.7 | 3 | 32.10 | 167.8 | 366 | 32.06 | 159.6 |
| 36 | 32.56 | 169.1 | 15 | 32.65 | 173.5 | 441 | 32.55 | 170.5 |
| 91 | 33.07 | 161.2 | 8 | 38.18 | 183.7 | 700 | 33.06 | 168.4 |
| 64 | 33.57 | 183.1 | 32 | 33.63 | 180.4 | 753 | 33.56 | 173.6 |
| 122 | 34.07 | 178.6 | 11 | 34.12 | 170.8 | 1192 | 34.06 | 174.2 |
| 73 | 34.57 | 182.8 | 52 | 34.63 | 196.2 | 1144 | 34.56 | 178.7 |
| 154 | 35.06 | 172.4 | 29 | 35.07 | 210.1 | 1437 | 35.04 | 181.9 |
| 71 | 35.55 | 187.1 | 41 | 35.64 | 218.9 | 1378 | 35.55 | 184.9 |
| 114 | 36.05 | 177.9 | 20 | 36.05 | 235.3 | 1526 | 36.04 | 185.8 |
| 77 | 36.55 | 188.8 | 26 | 36.58 | 213.7 | 1298 | 36.54 | 189.3 |
| 84 | 37.06 | 191.4 | 8 | 36.97 | 222.6 | 1187 | 37.04 | 191.0 |
| 41 | 37.58 | 198.6 | 19 | 87.59 | 223.7 | 988 | 37.54 | 198.2 |
| 48 | 38.04 | 190.3 | 1 | 38.20 | 299.0 | 759 | 38.02 | 197.6 |
| 18 | 38.54 | 205.5 | 15 | 88.63 | 223.2 | 591 | 38.52 | 201.3 |
| 21 | 39.01 | 210.7 | 1 | 39.20 | 200.0 | 418 | 39.02 | 199.9 |
| 7 | 39.55 | 199.4 | 1 | 39.30 | 244.0 | 246 | 39.51 | 196.9 |
| 6 | 40.02 | 203.3 | - | - | - | 188 | 40.02 | 202.6 |
| 4 | 40.69 | 227.7 | - | - | - | 82 | 40.52 | 207.4 |
| 4 | 40.92 | 193.5 | 2 | 41.20 | 292.5 | 67 | 41.03 | 220.5 |
| 1 | 41.35 | 263.0 | - |  | - | 84 | 41.47 | 212.5 |
| 1 | 42.00 | 199.0 | - | - | - | 30 | 42.00 | 199.0 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 42.49 | 211.4 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | 42.98 | 208.0 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | 48.56 | 187.5 |
| 1 | 46.70 | 186.0 | - | - | - | 6 | 44.92 | 208.5 |

## TABLE•XVII.

## Pulmonary Capacity of Negroes, by Circumference of Chest.

| Fall Blacks |  |  | Mulattoes |  |  | Total |  |  | Mean <br> Differ- <br> ence <br> Fhom |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Mean circ. | Cubic In. | No. | Mean Circ. | Cubic In. | No. | Mean Clre. | Cubic In. |  |
| 6 | $\begin{gathered} \text { In. } \\ 28.57 \end{gathered}$ | 151.2 | 8 | $\stackrel{\text { in. }}{27.87}$ | 164.0 | 8 | $\underset{28.31}{\text { in. }}$ | 156.0 | - |
| 6 | 29.53 | 124.7 | 2 | 29.65 | 133.0 | 8 | 29.56 | 126.7 | 8.93 |
| 13 | 30.09 | 133.1 | 6 | 30.07 | 98.3 | 19 | 30.08 | 121.5 | 31.36 |
| 8 | 30.54 | 128.2 | 4 | 30.69 | 139.2 | 12 | 30.59 | 131.9 | 16.81 |
| 24 | 31.07 | 129.6 | 15 | 31.04 | 144.9 | 39 | 31.06 | 135.5 | 22.94 |
| 21 | 31.58 | 130.3 | 11 | 31.58 | 134.9 | 32 | 31.58 | 131.9 | 29.22 |
| 47 | 32.09 | 144.2 | 24 | 32.04 | 128.5 | 71 | 32.07 | 138.9 | 20.70 |
| 65 | 32.55 | 144.7 | 35 | 32.59 | 146.0 | 100 | 32.56 | 145.2 | 25.29 |
| 97 | 33.04 | 152.4 | 38 | 33.08 | 153.3 | 135 | 33.05 | 152.6 | 15.80 |
| 133 | 33.55 | 153.8 | 62 | 33.58 | 144.5 | 195 | 33.56 | 150.8 | 22.82 |
| 135 | 34.07 | 159.8 | 61 | 34.07 | 149.7 | 196 | 34.07 | 156.6 | 17.55 |
| 155 | 34.54 | 159.6 | 98 | 34.60 | 156.6 | 248 | 34.56 | 158.5 | 20.29 |
| 168 | 35.05 | 171.0 | 71 | 35.04 | 168.5 | 239 | 85.05 | 170.2 | 11.68 |
| 163 | 35.55 | 162.7 | 77 | 35.56 | 158.4 | 240 | 35.55 | 161.4 | 23.50 |
| 139 | 36.03 | 172.5 | 54 | 36.08 | 167.2 | 193 | 36.05 | 171.0 | 14.87 |
| 128 | 36.53 | 171.8 | 53 | 36.53 | 169.6 | 181 | 36.53 | 171.1 | 18.15 |
| 94 | 37.05 | 179.4 | 25 | 37.06 | 168.5 | 119 | 37.05 | 177.1 | 13.88 |
| 71 | 37.54 | 182.5 | 32 | 37.54 | 185.9 | 103 | 37.54 | 183.6 | 14.67 |
| 65 | 38.01 | 187.3 | 20 | 38.03 | 194.1 | 85 | 38.02 | 188.9 | 8.73 |
| 36 | 38.51 | 196.8 | 15 | 38.56 | 213.4 | 61 | 38.52 | 201.7 | -0.38 |
| 17 | 39.13 | 189.6 | 1 | 38.90 | 230.0 | 18 | 39.11 | 191.8 | 8.06 |
| 8 | 89.47 | 187.2 | 6 | 39.44 | 189.3 | 14 | 39.46 | 188.1 | 8.74 |
| 11 | 40.03 | 230.7 | 4 | 39.97 | 158.7 | 15 | 40.02 | 211.5 | -8.93 |
| 8 | 40.72 | 200.0 | 2 | 42.12 | 145.0 | 5 | 41.28 | 178.0 | - |

## TABLE XVIII.

Pulmonary Capacity of Indians, by Circumference of Chest.

| No. | Mean Circump. | Coblo Inchee | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Loes than } \\ & \text { Whitees } \end{aligned}$ | Greater than Black: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { fn. } \\ \mathbf{3 3 . 9 0} \end{gathered}$ | 148.0 | 26.18 | -8.63 |
| 5 | 84.53 | 170.2 | 8.55 | 11.74 |
| 10 | 35.00 | 165.4 | 16.53 | -4.85 |
| 20 | 85.66 | 156.7 | 28.11 | -4.61 |
| 82 | 86.04 | 165.9 | 19.91 | - 5.04 |
| 70 | 86.55 | 175.7 | 13.59 | 4.56 |
| 80 | 36.99 | 180.2 | 10.79 | 3.08 |
| 81 | 37.46 | 189.2 | 8.99 | 5.68 |
| 18 | 37.96 | 186.6 | 11.06 | -2.33 |
| 63 | $\mathbf{3 8 . 4 7}$ | 191.4 | 9.88 | 10.26 |
| 28 | 89.10 | 199.9 | -0.02 | 8.08 |
| 80 | 39.49 | 201.0 | -4.08 | 12.82 |
| 7 | 89.93 | 237.0 | -84.40 | 25.47 |
| 8 | 40.61 | 207.6 | -0.18 | 0.00 |
| 17 | 41.07 | 193.1 | 27.40 | - |
| 14 | 41.37 | 203.6 | 8.95 | - |
| 10 | 42.08 | 190.1 | 8.87 | - |
| 7 | 42.40 | 217.0 | - 5.64 | - |
| 4 | 43.07 | 185.2 | 22.75 | - |
| 8 | 43.43 | 185.0 | 2.50 | - |
| 3 | 47.95 | 147.0 | - | - |

TABLE XIX．
Empirical Determinations of Pulmonary Capacity， by Circumference of Chest．

| Cire．of <br> Cheat | White Soldiers Earlier Series |  | White Soldiers Later Series |  | Total White Men |  | Negroes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cubic In． | 0．－0． | Cubic In． | 0．－ 0 ． | Cubic In． | 0．-0. | Cubic In． | 0．－ 0 ． |
| inches $29$ | 134 $\frac{1}{8}$ | －1．1 | 1431 | －2．7 | 142 | ＋ 2.4 | － | － |
| $29 \frac{1}{2}$ | 138 | ＋13．1 | 148 | ＋13．8 | 145 $\frac{1}{2}$ | ＋10．0 | － | － |
| 30 | 141 | － 3.9 | 152 | － 5.1 | 1491 | －2．9 | 124 | ＋ $\mathbf{3} .1$ |
| $30 \frac{1}{2}$ | 144 $\frac{1}{2}$ | －0．4 | 1561 | ＋ 7.6 | 153 | ＋ 4.7 | 128 | －3．1 |
| 31 | 148 | － 6.1 | 160 $\frac{1}{2}$ | －1．8 | 156 $\frac{1}{2}$ | －1．5 | 132 $\frac{1}{2}$ | －2．4 |
| $31 \frac{1}{2}$ | 151 | －0．1 | 165 | －2．4 | 160 | －1．0 | 137 | ＋ 5.8 |
| 32 | 154 $\frac{1}{8}$ | ＋3．1 | $168 \frac{1}{2}$ | ＋ 4.5 | 163 | ＋3．8 | 141 | ＋ 2.6 |
| 321 | 1571 $\frac{1}{8}$ | － 5.6 | 172 | －2．0 | 1661 | －3．7 | 144 $\frac{1}{2}$ | －0．2 |
| 33 | 161 | ＋4．9 | 175 | －2．7 | 169 ${ }^{1}$ | ＋1．4 | 148 $\frac{1}{2}$ | － 3.7 |
| 331 | 164 | －1．4 | 1771 | ＋1．3 | 172 $\frac{1}{2}$ | －0．8 | 152 $\frac{1}{2}$ | ＋2．1 |
| 34 | 167 | ＋ 0.6 | 180 | ＋ 0.7 | 175 $\frac{1}{8}$ | ＋ 1.6 | 156 | －0．1 |
| 341 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 170 $\frac{1}{2}$ | ＋ 2.3 | 182 | －0．1 | 178 | －0．4 | 15912 | ＋ 1.5 |
| 35 | 173 $\frac{1}{8}$ | － 5.1 | 184 $\frac{1}{2}$ | ＋0．2 | 181 | －0．7 | 163 | －6．8 |
| $35 \frac{1}{2}$ | 176 $\frac{1}{2}$ | －1．8 | 186\％ | ＋0．8 | 184 | －0．6 | 167 | ＋6．0 |
| 36 | 180 | ＋1．0 | 188 $\frac{1}{2}$ | －0．6 | 186 $\frac{1}{2}$ | ＋0．9 | 17012 | －0．1 |
| 361 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 183 | －0．1 | 191 | ＋0．1 | 189 ${ }^{2}$ | ＋ 0.4 | 174 | ＋8．1 |
| 37 | 186 | －0．6 | 193 $\frac{1}{2}$ | ＋0．8 | 192 | ＋ 1.2 | 178 | ＋1．2 |
| $37 \frac{1}{2}$ | 189 | －10．5 | 195 $\frac{1}{2}$ | －1．0 | 1941 $\frac{1}{2}$ | －3．5 | 181 $\frac{1}{2}$ | －1．7 |
| 38 | 192 | －0．7 | 198 | －2．4 | 196 $\frac{1}{2}$ | －1．0 | 185 $\frac{1}{2}$ | －3．2 |
| $38 \frac{1}{2}$ | 194 $\frac{1}{2}$ | ＋ 2.4 | 200 | －4．4 | 198 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | －2．7 | 189⿳亠丷厂犬 | － 12.0 |
| 39 | 197 | ＋1．1 | 2011 | ＋ 0.5 | $200 \frac{1}{2}$ | ＋ 0.7 | 194 | ＋ 3.0 |
| 391 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1991 | ＋ 0.3 | 203 | ＋ 7.4 | $202 \frac{1}{2}$ | ＋ 5.6 | 198 | ＋9．5 |
| 40 | 202 | ＋2．3 | 204 | －0．1 | 204 | ＋1．5 | 202 | －9．4 |
| $40 \frac{1}{2}$ | 204 $\frac{1}{8}$ | －9．4 | 2041 | ＋2．4 | 206 | －1．3 | － | － |
| 41 | 206 $\frac{1}{2}$ | －6．7 | 204 $\frac{1}{2}$ | －19．4 | 2071 $\frac{1}{2}$ | －12．9 | － | － |

5．Relation to Play of Chest．
Before presenting our tabulations made with reference to this element，it may be well to remind the reader of the wide distinc－ tion between what we here call the Play of the Chest（namely， the difference in the girth of the thorax across the nipples at full inspiration and at full expiration）and the ordinary expansion and contraction of the thorax in breathing．The amount of air which enters and leaves the lungs every three or four seconds in ordinary unconscious breathing，and which corresponds to the ordinary ex－
pansion of the thorax in respiration, differs only in quantity from the volume which is measured by the spirometer in these observations, and which produces the lateral expansion of the thorax here measured. But the motion of the thorax itself in men is very different in the two cases. In the ordinary breathing motion the expansion of the thorax is downward, and the expansion of the body abdominal in consequence of the pressure of the diaphragm against the viscera. The motion of the ribs in men was found ${ }^{1}$ by Hutchinson to be so small as to preclude the possibility of counting the respirations, unknown to the subject, by resting the hand either against the ribs or the sternum. It was necessary to let the hand rest in contact with the abdomen; and the costal movement in health was found not to surpass the limit of one thirtieth of an inch.

But the deep inspiratory movement, as occurring in the cases here under consideration, is a process different from ordinary breathing in character as well as in amount. Here the abdomen recedes, and the sternum advances, while the circumference of the thorax is in general largely increased. And while, in consequence of this enlargement of circumference, the diaphragm must in like manner be laterally expanded, this expansion does not necessarily imply a descent of the arch to any great extent. Nor yet does it imply an increase of thoracic area, in any section, commensurate with an equal enlargement of the circumference in a circle; since the expansion and contraction are almost entirely in the anterior part of the body, being produced by the motion of the extremities of the ribs and the sternum, while the lateral diameter of the thorax is relatively but slightly increased.

It is therefore to be remembered that the results of this chapter hold good only for a mode of respiration not employed in ordinary breathing, as well as for volumes of air only attainable by special exertion. Still they are not without an intimate relation to many interesting hygienic and physiological questions which it would be excessively difficult, if indeed it be possible, to approach in any other way.

The next series of tables (XX. to XXII.) exhibit for the respective classes of men considered, all being in usual vigor, the number of cases and the mean pulmonary capacity corresponding to each three tenths of an inch in the play of the thorax, as measured by its variation in girth across the nipples. The tabulation has been made for each tenth of an inch, but the more compen-

[^80]dious form here presented will probably give all desired detail ; the values given for each argument being those belonging to the group of three successive numbers of which the argument itself is the middle one.

> TABLE XX.

## Pulmonary Capacity of White Men, by Play of Chest.

| Play of Chest | White Soldiers |  | Sallora |  | Studenta |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Cuble In. | No. | Cable In. | No. | Cubic In. | No. | Cublo In. |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { In. } \\ \text { Below } 0.5 \end{gathered}$ | 27 | 169.7 | 6 | 179.0 | - | - | 33 | 164.0 |
| 0.6 | 83 | 169.2 | 11 | 228.9 | - | - | 94 | 176.2 |
| 0.9 | 296 | 167.3 | 57 | 180.9 | - | - | 353 | 169.5 |
| 1.2 | 823 | 174.8 | 91 | 184.2 | 8 | 244.0 | 417 | 177.4 |
| 1.5 | 608 | 179.0 | 38 | 196.9 | - | - | 646 | 180.0 |
| 1.8 | 459 | 179.8 | 29 | 184.0 | 6 | 185.8 | 494 | 180.1 |
| 2.1 | 1650 | 185.3 | 555 | 173.8 | 22 | 206.3 | 2227 | 182.6 |
| 2.4 | 1089 | 191.2 | 178 | 180.6 | 5 | 200.8 | 1272 | 189.8 |
| 2.7 | 438 | 186.2 | 10 | 201.6 | 22 | 189.3 | 470 | 186.6 |
| 8.0 | 1565 | 190.3 | 136 | 180.8 | 135 | 200.2 | 1836 | 190.3 |
| 3.3 | 318 | 190.1 | 10 | 209.6 | 45 | 205.7 | 373 | 192.5 |
| 3.6 | 576 | 193.5 | 7 | 176.4 | 7 | 228.6 | 590 | 193.7 |
| 8.9 | 774 | 193.0 | 7 | 206.7 | 81 | 216.7 | 812 | 194.1 |
| 4.2 | 144 | 191.4 | - | - | 13 | 223.6 | 157 | 194.1 |
| 4.5 | 267 | 195.5 | - | - | - | - | 267 | 195.5 |
| 4.8 | 51 | 193.5 | - | - | - | - | 51 | 193.5 |
| 5.1 | 275 | 198.4 | 1 | 186.0 | - | - | 276 | 198.3 |
| 5.4 | 65 | 204.8 | - | - | - | - | 65 | 204.8 |
| 5.7 | 6 | 158.2 | - | - | - | - | 6 | 158.2 |
| 6.0 | 34 | 215.7 | - | - | - | - | 34 | 215.7 |
| 6.3 | 8 | 231.2 | - | - | - | - | 8 | 231.2 |
| 6.6 | 10 | 217.5 | - | - | - | - | 10 | 217.5 |
| 6.9 | 11 | 213.4 | 1 | 176.0 | - | - | 12 | 210.2 |
| Over 7.0 | 6 | 197.8 | - | - | - | - | 6 | 197.8 |

TABLE XXI.
Pulmonary Capacity of Negroes, by Play of Chest.

| Play of Oheat | Full Blacka |  | Mulattoee |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of Mon | Cuble Inchee | No. of Men | Cabie Inches |
| Below 0.5 | 54 | 145.8 | 39 | 127.8 |
| 0.6 | 100 | 146.6 | 105 | 137.4 |
| 0.9 | 165 | 146.4 | 170 | 152.3 |
| 1.2 | 172 | 150.1 | 67 | 157.8 |
| 1.5 | 250 | 159.0 | 109 | 166.6 |
| 1.8 | 204 | 168.2 | 50 | 165.2 |
| 2.1 | 244 | 175.2 | 77 | 168.7 |
| 2.4 | 174 | 182.2 | 42 | 176.5 |
| 2.7 | 67 | 174.4 | 23 | 199.4 |
| 8.0 | 93 | 180.8 | 21 | 188.1 |
| 3.3 | 21 | 187.5 | 4 | 174.5 |
| 3.6 | 28 | 188.7 | 7 | 218.3 |
| 3.9 | 20 | 190.1 | 6 | 243.5 |
| 4.2 | 3 | 187.3 | 1 | 163.0 |
| 4.5 | 7 | 190.7 | 1 | 162.0 |
| 4.8 | 1 | 150.0 | - | - |
| 5.1 | 1 | 200.0 | 1 | 317.0 |
| 5.4 | - | - | - | - |
| 5.7 | 1 | 140.0 | - | - |
| 6.0 | - | - | - | - |
| 6.3 | 1 | 120.0 | - | - |
| 6.6 | - | - | - | - |
| 6.9 | 2 | 207.5 | - | - |
| Over 7.0 | - | - | - | - |

## TABLE XXI. <br> Pulmonary Capacity of Indians, by Play of Chest

| Play of Cheot | No. of Men | Cubso Inehee |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  | - | - |
| Below 0.5 | 2 | 103.5 |
| 0.6 | 3 | 168.3 |
| 0.9 | 72 | 178.0 |
| 1.2 | 106 | 182.6 |
| 1.5 | 108 | 186.8 |
| 1.8 | 118 | 187.6 |
| 2.1 | 66 | 189.6 |
| 2.4 | 28 | 191.7 |
| 2.7 | 4 | 208.2 |
| 8.0 | 2 | 211.5 |
| 8.3 | 2 | 210.0 |
| 8.6 | 1 | 194.0 |
| 8.9 | 1 | 284.0 |
| 4.2 |  |  |

The mean difference between the girths of the inflated and of the collapsed thorax, is thus found to be : -
2.72 cub. in. for the White Soldiers,
2.09 " for the Sailors,
3.07 " for the Students,
1.62 " for the Full Blacks,
1.58 (6 for the Mulattoes,
1.84 " for the Indians.

These numbers are by no means proportional to the average pulmonary capacity of the same classes of men, whence we may obtain an independent confirmation of the small extent to which the lateral mobility of the chest may serve as an index to its real degree of inflation, which is probably quite as dependent, if indeed not much more so, upon the motion of the diaphragm.

## 6. Relation to Age.

The pulmonary capacity was found by Hutchinson ${ }^{1}$ to increase with the age of the individual until about the 30th year, after which he observed a decided decrease. The results of our own tabulation excited therefore no small surprise, for the

[^81]mean capacity, in the soldiers here investigated, after rising at a very rapid rate until the mean age of about $20 \frac{1}{2}$ at last birthday, or 21 years actually, attains then a maximum value of nearly 200 cubic inches; and then, receding at once, appears to diminish with the age in a well formed asymptotic curve. Our values are inadequate for any study of the subject as related to ages outside of the military limits; but that the curve of pulmonary capacity as determined by our measurements exhibits this very sharply marked maximum at the age of 21 , is as distinctly manifested as is possible for any phenomenon of the sort. How far this may result from the superior strength of the thoracic muscles, manifested in an unusual manner, we cannot presume to decide; but since the epoch of greatest lifting strength seems, by the investigations of the last chapter, not to be attained before the age of 25 years, this would to a considerable extent conflict with any lypothesis which should attribute the results here obtained rather to the muscular power of the thorax than to the pulmonary capacity, in any proper sense of the term.

Our mean values given in Table XXIII. are deduced solely from the later series of examinations of white soldiers, and from these the empirical Table XXIV. has been constructed by the graphical method.

TABLE XXIII.
Pulmonary Capacity of White Soldiers, in usual Vigor, by Age.
(Later Series.)

| Age laot Birthday | $\underset{\substack{\text { Number of } \\ \text { Men }}}{ }$ | Cuble Inches | Age last Birthday | $\underset{M \text { Men }}{\substack{\text { Number }}}$ | Cubic Inches |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Onder 17 | - 160 | 171.0 | 80 | 231 | 178.1 |
| 17 | 248 | 181:7 | 81 | 152 | 176.9 |
| 18 | 683 | 187.8 | 82 | 198 | 181.3 |
| 19 | 626 | 193.6 | 88 | 138 | 177.1 |
| 20 | 765 | 199.2 | 34 | 163 | 177.8 |
| 21 | 827 | 198.2 | 85 | 153 | 177.1 |
| 22 | 800 | 194.9 | 86 | 110 | 169.8 |
| 23 | 627 | 194.4 | 87 | 107 | 179.0 |
| 24 | 628 | 189.6 | 88 | 108 | 171.3 |
| 25 | 433 | 195.4 | 39 | 89 | 170.3 |
| 26 | 359 | 190.5 | 40 | 67 | 167.4 |
| 27 | 289 | 188.0 | 41-44 | 204 | 166.6 |
| 28 | 310 | 185.9 | 45-49 | 116 | 162.4 |
| 29 | 227 | 178.8 | 50 \& over | 49 | 143.4 |

## TABLE XXIV.

Empirical Table for Pulmonary Capacity of White Men, by Age.

| Ago lact | Cuble Inehees | Comp. - Obe. | Afe lact | Cublo Inchees | Comp. -Obe. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | 174.1 | +0.6 | 84 | 175.6 | -2.2 |
| 17 | 181.6 | -0.1 | 85 | 174.8 | -2.8 |
| 18 | 188.1 | + 0.3 | 36 | 173.1 | +8.8 |
| 19 | 193.9 | + 0.4 | 87 | 171.9 | -7.1 |
| 20 | 199.2 | + 0.0 | 88 | 170.8 | -0.5 |
| 21 | 198.2 | + 0.0 | 89 | 169.7 | -0.6 |
| 22 | 196.1 | +1.2 | 40 | 168.6 | +1.2 |
| 23 | 194.0 | -0.4 | 41 | 167.5 |  |
| 24 | 192.0 | + 2.5 | 42 | 166.5 | -0.7 |
| 25 | 190.0 | - 5.4 | 43 | 165.5 | - 0.7 |
| 26 | 188.1 | -2.4 | 44 | 164.5 |  |
| 27 | 186.3 | - 1.7 | 45 | 163.5 |  |
| 28 | 184.6 | -1.3 | 46 | 162.5 |  |
| 29 | 182.9 | +4.1 | 47 | 161.5 |  |
| 80 | 181.3 | +8.2 | 48 | 160.6 | $\}^{+0.1}$ |
| 31 | 179.8 | +2. | 49 | 159.7 |  |
| 32 | 178.8 | - 3.0 | 60 | 158.8 | J |
| 83 | 176.9 | -0.2 |  |  |  |

## CHAPTER XIII.

## RESPIRATION AND PULSE.

## 1. Preliminary.

Any attempt at determining the frequency of the act of respiration, and of the pulsation of the heart, must inevitably be attended with a considerable degree of uncertainty. Not merely are these functions largely influenced by very transient conditions of the body, especially by slight unusual excitement or embarrassment, but the very consciousness that such observations are making will frequently suffice to modify the phenomena under investigation, without any perception, on the part of the subject, that such modification takes place. The great extent to which the frequency of respiration may be affected by the unconscious will, or by the involuntary result of consciousness, is well krown; and such precautions were enjoined upon our examiners as would obviate this disturbing influence so far as possible. Soldiers detailed for examination would frequently run at full speed to the examiner's tent, or would amuse themselves by feats of agility, by wrestling, or by other physical exertion, previous to their examination; and other disturbances of their normal nervous condition not unfrequently occurred. For this reason the men were detained, when possible, for some little time after their arrival before they were examined, remaining meanwhile in a comfortable position. The pulse was noted before the trials were made with spirometer and dynamometer, and the respirations were counted without the subject's knowledge, while the wrist was held as if to feel the pulse.

Yet, although these precautions must have essentially diminished the liability to error, they cannot be supposed to have precluded it altogether, and indeed there seems to be some indication of constant personal differences between several of the examiners. The explanation of such constant differences is in general not easy. Perhaps a constant error by a single unit in counting the respirations or the pulse during any given interval ought sometimes to be
expected; but any constant mistake on the part of the examiner, larger than this, appears unwarrantable; so that whatever other errors peculiar to the examiner may exist, seem referable to the condition in which his subjects may have been at the time. That constant differences between the results obtained by the several examiners may be due to some such influence as this, appears highly probable. The easy manners of one man put his subjects at ease, while the less kindly or more reserved demeanor of another excites anxieties or apprehensions which, though trivial in themselves, may yet quicken the pulse, or accelerate the breathing of a nervous or excitable person.

Had the limits of time and means permitted, within which it has been found important to restrict this discussion, efforts would have been made to determine the personal differences of the respective examiners, and to apply corresponding corrections to their results before combining them in the general means. Circumstances have rendered this course unadvisable, and it appears improbable, after some little scrutiny, that our final inferences will be essentially affected by the omission. The materials from which our results are derived, and all the details of tabulation and computation for the discussions of the present volume, are preserved in the archives of the Sanitary Commission, where they will be available for future investigators, and the shortcomings of the present researches may be supplemented hereafter as easily as at present.

That the frequency of pulse and respiration varies with the time of day and with the posture is undoubted, but in researches like these it must be assumed that the effects of such variations are entirely eliminated from the final averages. The pulse and breathing were generally counted while the men were standing, and it was intended that this should be the uniform rule; but the deviations prove to have been not infrequent, and our records do not admit of any thorough discrimination between the different cases.

## 2. Respiration by Age.

The fact, that the respirations during infancy and childhood are much more frequent than at more advanced ages, is well known, and our own tabulations would suggest that the mean numbers for the ages under eighteen are in general larger than the average for subsequent ages. So far as the data at our command, combined with those of Quetelet, Vierordt, Hutchinson, Hooker, and others, warrant an inference, it would seem that the number of respirations under the same circumstances, in a given interval, decreases from
birth until the age of puberty, after which it appears to remain essentially constant, at least during the years of military age.

In the first and third of the appended tables are giren the numbers of white soldiers in usual vigor, for the earlier and the later series respectively, assorted by ages, according to the number of respirations observed in a minute. We cannot avoid a strong suspicion that those instances, in the later series at least ( $1 \frac{1}{\frac{1}{8}}$ per cent. of the whole number), in which the observed respirations exceeded twenty to the minute, were in great measure due to some abnormal acceleration of a temporary kind, occasioned by recent exercise or by agitation of some sort. The proportion of such cases in the second and fourth tables, which comprise the white soldiers not in usual vigor, in the two series respectively, is about threefold larger, yet even here the distribution of the number cannot fail to suggest a similar suspicion. It is noteworthy that in each of these classes of men (in the later series) the great preponderance of such abnormal cases belongs to the group in which the inspirations were twenty-four to the minute, which may possibly indicate this rate as being the most usual for accelerated breathing of the kind referred to. The circumstance, however, that 24 is a multiple of both 2 and 3 , has undoubtedly increased the number of cases for which twenty-four respirations to the minute was recorded, and the relatively large number recorded in the group having 18 to the minute is probably attributable in a good degree to a similar cause. But the injunctions were strict, for the later series, that the counting should be continued during an entire minute, and that the recorded numbers should not be inferred from observations during a shorter interval. And the general fidelity of our examiners, tested in many ways, forbids the reference of the unsymmetrical distribution of the numbers to this source alone.

The differences between the results of the earlier and of the later series are so wide, that these are separately presented. And Mr. Fairchild's observations, confined as they were almost exclusively to prisoners, made only during the winter months, and evidently deduced from counting during half a minute only, are kept distinct from those of Dr. Buckley and Mr. Risler, who examined only our own soldiers, and whose work was prosecuted through all seasons of the year.

The distribution tables for students and sailors are not here given, since these were found on scrutiny to be less trustworthy.

The students were all examined by Dr. Elsner, whose results, as regards the counting, appear to have been affected with systematic
error. Not a single case was recorded in which the respirations numbered 17 to the minute; but one in which there were 15 , and only 35 in which there were 18; all the remaining 254 cases are recorded as 16 to the minute. So, too, with the pulse; about two thirds of all the students being recorded as having exactly 60 beats in a minute, a constancy of proportion not corroborated by the results of any other examiner in any other class. Hence, although Dr. Elsner's measurements seem in other respects entitled to full confidence, his records of pulse and respiration should be rejected.
As regards sailors, all but 324 were measured by Mr. Phinney, as has been heretofore stated, immediately after their examination by the surgeon at the recruiting office. They consequently came to Mr. Phinney's inspection under some nervous excitement, so that it was deemed unadvisable to attempt any determination of the rate of breathing or of pulse. The greater portion of the remainder were examined by Dr. Elsner.

The number of negroes not in usual vigor whose respirations were observed is but 294 ; the full blacks and mulattoes having been aggregated in the tabulation. Our results differ so decidedly for the men of these two classes, that any inferences from data in which they are combined without discrimination would seem worth but little, even were the number of cases manifold larger.

> TABLE I.

Distribution of White Soldiers in usual Vigor, by Age and Number of Respirations.
Earliar Series. A. Oberroations by Buckley and Rider.

| Resplrations in a Minute | Under 17a | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 28 | 28 | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | 1 | - | - | - |
| 18 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 14 | - | - | 8 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| 15 | 12 | 16 | 40 | 62 | 68 | 63 | 57 | 42 | 27 |
| 16 | 47 | 78 | 132 | 120 | 180 | 181 | 104 | 84 | 73 |
| 17 | 14 | 17 | 40 | 84 | 55 | 48 | 85 | 88 | 89 |
| 18 | 7 | 6 | 28 | 80 | 17 | 28 | 20 | 17 | 10 |
| 18 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| 20 | - | - | 8 | - | 2 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 21 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 22 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 80 | 112 | 241 | 249 | 274 | 264 | 218 | 178 | 150 |
| B. -Oberrvations by Fairchild. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | 2 | 1 |
| 18 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| 14 | - | 1 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 8 | 10 | 18 | 6 |
| 15 | 1 | - | 8 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 7 |
| 16 | 5 | 6 | 17 | 82 | 26 | 42 | 80 | 88 | 28 |
| 17 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 11 | 6 | 4 | 8 | 7 |
| 18 | 2 | 10 | 12 | 20 | 27 | 87 | 26 | 88 | 23 |
| 19 | - | 1 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 18 | 5 | 5 | 8 |
| 20 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 17 | 18 | 25 | 18 | 21 | 17 |
| 21 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 2 |
| 22 | 1 | - | 8 | 8 | 8 | 11 | 9 | 8 | 11 |
| 23 | - | - | - | 1 | 8 | - | 8 | 8 | 5 |
| 24 | 2 | 1 | 8 | . 5 | 5 | - | 4 | 6 | 6 |
| 25 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 8 | 1 | - | 1 |
| 26 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | - | 1 | 2 |
| 27 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 28 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| 29 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| 80 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Orer 80 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total . | 16 | 22 | 67 | 108 | 118 | 164 | 122 | 150 | 128 |

- Мема age - 15.99.

TABLE I. - (Continued.)
Distribution of White Soldiers in usual Vigor, by Age and Number of Respirations.
Earlier Series. A. -Observations by Buckley and Risler.

| Reopirationa in a Minato | 25 | 28 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 80 | 81-84 | 85 and over | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 18 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 14 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 |
| 16 | 22 | 21 | 18 | 20 | 10 | 8 | 32 | 58 | 571 |
| 16 | 60 | 31 | 46 | 87 | 17 | 30 | 75 | 95 | 1285 |
| 17 | 82 | 10 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 23 | 30 | 454 |
| 18 | 9 | 5 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 16 | 19 | 230 |
| 19 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 20 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 5 | 4 | 26 |
| 21 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 22 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 8 |
| Total . | 125 | 68 | 85 | 76 | 49 | 56 | 152 | 201 | 2578 |
| B. - Observations by Pairchild. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 8 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 2 | 3 | 19 |
| 18 | 8 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 9 |
| 14 | 8 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 12 | 93 |
| 15 | - | 5 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 11 | 64 |
| 16 | 25 | 22 | 18 | 13 | 10 | 10 | 28 | 27 | 867 |
| 17 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 11 | 78 |
| 18 | 17 | 20 | 21 | 12 | 9 | 10 | 19 | 23 | 826 |
| 19 | 4 | 8 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 4 | 4 | 59 |
| 20 | 10 | 17 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 17 | 200 |
| 21 | 1 | 8 | 4 | - | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 86 |
| 22 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 82 |
| 23. | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | - | 1 | 4 | 25 |
| 24 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 8 | 47 |
| 25 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 9 |
| 26 | 8 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 18 |
| 27 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 |
| 28 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 5 |
| 29 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 80 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Over 80 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| Total . | 79 | 88 | 66 | 88 | 87 | 87 | 84 | 128 | 1442 |

## TABLE II.

Distribution of White Soldiers not in usual Vigor, by Age and Number of Respirations.

Earlier Series. A.-Observations by Buckley and Risler.

| Respirations in a Minute | Under 1ia | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 28 | $\boldsymbol{x}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| 12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| 13 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| 14 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 15 | 8 | 4 | 12 | 7 | 18 | 18 | 14 | 7 | 9 |
| 16 | 9 | 11 | 29 | 25 | 80 | 27 | 50 | 27 | 80 |
| 17 | 4 | - | 11 | 2 | 9 | 8 | 11 | 11 | 9 |
| 18 | 6 | 4 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 15 | 15 | 18 | 18 |
| 19 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 20 | 9 | 11 | $14^{\circ}$ | 23 | 25 | 25 | 23 | 24 | 18 |
| 21 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | - | 1 |
| 22 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 1 |
| 28 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| 24 | 1 | 8 | 7 | 12 | 8 | 10 | 11 | 7 | 5 |
| 25 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 26 | - | 8 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 3 |
| 27 | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |
| 28 | - | - | - | 8 | 4 | 2 | 8 | - | 3 |
| 29 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 80 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - |
| Over 80 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| Total . | 85 | 87 | 89 | 99 | 112 | 111 | 148 | 107 | 98 |

- Mean age, 15.51.


## TABLE II. - (Continued.)

Distribution of White Soldiers not in usual Vigor, by Age and Number of Respirations.

Earlier Series. B. - Observations by Fairchild.

| Respirations In a Minute | Under 17 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 28 | 24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| 12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 18 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 14 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| 15 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - |
| 16 | - | 1 | - | - | 5 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 10 |
| 17 | - | 1 | - | 8 | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 18 | - | - | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 2 |
| 19 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| 20 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 4 | 1 | 8 | 1 |
| 21 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 2 |
| 22 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2 |
| 28 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| 24 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 25 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 26 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| 27 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total . | 1 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 13 | 11 | 14 | 22 |

- Mean age $=16.00$.


## TABLE II. - (Continued.)

Distribution of White Soldiers not in usual Vigor, by Age and Number of Respirations.

Earlier Series. A.-Observations by Buckley and Risler.

| Respirations in a Minate | 25 | 28 | 27 | 28 | 20 | 80 | 81-94 | $85 \text { and }$ over | Tobal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 18 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 14 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 8 |
| 15 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 21 | 156 |
| 16 | 12 | 20 | 20 | 14 | 10 | 10 | 84 | 67 | 415 |
| 17 | 9 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 9 | 18 | 120 |
| 18 | 17 | 9 | 8 | 13 | 7 | 6 | 24 | 86 | 234 |
| 19 | - | - | 1 | - | 8 | 1 | - | 8 | 14 |
| 20 | 11 | 8 | 11 | 9 | 4 | 6 | 25 | 86 | 282 |
| 21 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 |
| 22 | 8 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 4 | 87 |
| 23 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| 24 | 8 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 14 | 114 |
| 25 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 26 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 6 | 86 |
| 27 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 28 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 28 |
| 29 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 80 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 4 | 14 |
| Over 80 | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | 8 | 18 |
| Total . | 65 | 60 | 68 | 60 | 84 | 42 | 112 | 209 | 1476 |

TABLE II. - (Continued.)
Distribution of White Soldiers not in usual Vigor, by Age and Number of Respirations.

Earlier Series. B. - Oberoations by Fairchild.

| Reoptrationa ta 8 Minate | 25 | 28 | 87 | \%8 | 29 | 80 | 81-84 | $\begin{gathered} 85 \mathrm{and} \\ \text { over } \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| 12 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 8 |
| 18 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 8 |
| 14 | - | 1 | 8 | 2 | - | 2 | - | 8 | 18 |
| 15 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | 7 |
| 16 | - | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 12 | 55 |
| 17 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 18 |
| 18 | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - | 2 | 4 | 28 |
| 19 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 2 | 9 |
| 20 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 9 | 29 |
| 21 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 7 |
| 22 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 10 |
| 28 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| 24 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| 25 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 26 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| 27 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| Total . | 7 | 15 | 8 | 10 | 7 | 5 | 15 | 87 | 189 |

TABLE III.
Distribution of White Soldiers, in usual Vigor, by Age and Number of Respirations.
(Later Series.)

| Reapirations <br> in a Minute | Under 17a | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 28 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 11 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| 12 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| 18 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 14 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 18 | 12 | 7 |
| 15 | 12 | 10 | 60 | 52 | 53 | 51 | 46 | 82 | 48 |
| 16 | 86 | 147 | 404 | 374 | 460 | 439 | 451 | 857 | 857 |
| 17 | 17 | 27 | 63 | 61 | 87 | 106 | 85 | 83 | 88 |
| 18 | 24 | 22 | 82 | 74 | 78 | 110 | 102 | 84 | 72 |
| 19 | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 6 | 1 | - | - |
| 20 | 3 | 9 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 18 | 11 | 13 |
| 21 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| 22 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| 23 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| 24 | - | 8 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 6 |
| 25 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 26 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| 27 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 28 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 2 |
| 28 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 80 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Over 80 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 148 | 224 | 634 | 589 | 718 | 750 | 723 | 586 | 891 |

a Mean age $=\mathbf{1 5 . 7 6}$.

TABLE III. - (Continued.)
Distribution of White Soldiers, in usual Vigor, by Age and Number of Respirations.
(Later Serica)

| Reeppirations ins Minute | 25 | 28 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 80 | 81-84 | $\begin{aligned} & 85 \text { and } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 |
| 11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| 12 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | - | 8 | 8 | 85 |
| 18 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 8 |
| 14 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 10 | 118 |
| 15 | 83 | 27 | 26 | 26 | 14 | 11 | 39 | 75 | 600 |
| 16 | 237 | 207 | 168 | 186 | 130 | 150 | 389 | 617 | 5159 |
| 17 | 57 | 84 | 39 | 89 | 26 | 85 | 93 | 127 | 1067 |
| 18 | 55 | 41 | 33 | 32 | 33 | 22 | 56 | 102 | 1022 |
| 19 | 4 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 18 |
| 20 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 23 | 165 |
| 21 | - | - | - | 2. | - | - | - | 1 | 6 |
| 22 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| 23 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 24 | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 4 | 11 | 60 |
| 25 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| 26 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 4 |
| 27 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 28 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 8 | 11 |
| 29 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 30 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 |
| Over 30 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 8 |
| Total . | 400 | 825 | 280 | 300 | 215 | 225 | 601 | 980 | 8284 |

TABLE IV.
Distribution of White Soldiers, not in usual Vigor, by Age and Number of Respirations.
(Later Sorice.)

| Respirationa <br> in a Minute | Onder $17^{4}$ | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 92 | 28 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| 12 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| 13 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 14 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 15 | 2 | - | 4 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 5 |
| 16 | 11 | 10 | 20 | 29 | 38 | 45 | 61 | 31 | 64 |
| 17 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 11 | 16 | 14 | 26 | 11 | 18 |
| 18 | 8 | 3 | 11 | 9 | 12 | 17 | 20 | 14 | 9 |
| 19 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1. | - | 1 |
| 20 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | B | 8 | 2 | 2 |
| 21 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 22 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 28 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 24 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 1 |
| 25 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 26 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| 27 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 28 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 29 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 80 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ower 80 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Total | 19 | 21 | 42 | 53 | 76 | 88 | 116 | 65 | 101 |

- Moan age $=\mathbf{1 5 . 6 8}$.

TABLE IV.- (Continued.)
Distribution of White Soldiers, not in usual Vigor, by Age and Number of Respirations.
(Later Serice.)

| Repporations In a M Manto | \% | 28 | 27 | 28 | 20 | 80 | 81-94 | $\begin{gathered} 88 \text { and } \\ \text { over } \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\bullet$ | 1 |
| 12 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 7 |
| 18 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| 14 | - | 1 | - | 8 | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | 11 |
| 15 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 9 | 15 | 69 |
| 16 | 88 | 41 | 21 | 26 | 24 | 25 | 68 | 164 | 716 |
| 17 | 15 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 17 | 8 | 17 | 55 | 284 |
| 18 | 14 | 5 | 10 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 22 | 64 | 228 |
| 19 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 5 |
| 20 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 2 | - | 6 | 14 | 47 |
| 21 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 22 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 |
| 28 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 24 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 8 | 2 | 9 | 28 |
| 25 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 26 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 27 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 28 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| 29 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| 80 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Overe 80 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | 4 |
| Total | 72 | 64 | 48 | 48 | 65 | 51 | 128 | 816 | 1852 |

88

TABLE $\quad \mathrm{V}$.
Distribution of Full Blacks, in usual Vrgor, by Age and Number of Respirations.

| Repprationa in a Minute | Under 179 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 28 | 28 | $\boldsymbol{M}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| 12 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| 18 | - | - | - | 2 | 8 | 10 | 14 | 13 | 16 |
| 14 | - | 1 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 7 | 15 | 21 | 87 |
| 15 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 10 | 4 | 17 | 14 | 18 |
| 16 | 14 | 20 | 26 | 28 | 27 | 80 | 22 | 29 | 16 |
| 17 | 8 | - | 5 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 7 | 6 |
| 18 | 9 | 8 | 18 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 11 | 11 | 8 |
| 19 | - | 1 | 2 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 6 |
| 20 | 6 | 6 | 9 | 19 | 41 | 29 | 15 | 26 | 17 |
| 21 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | - |
| 22 | - | - | 8 | 8 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| 23 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - |
| 24 | - | 2 | 6 | 11 | 10 | 8 | 11 | 5 | 10 |
| 25 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - |
| 26 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | - | 8 | 1 |
| 27 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - |
| 28 | 8 | - | 2 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 4 |
| 29 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| 80 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Over 80 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 2 | - |
| Total . | 88 | 48 | 73 | 90 | 187 | 116 | 128 | 147 | 129 |

- Mean age =15.74.

TABLE V. - (Continued.)
Distribution of Full Blacks, in usual Vrgor, by Age and Number of Respirations.

| Paspantone <br> La $\&$ Kinate | 8 | 88 | 87 | 88 | 89 | 80 | 81-94 | $\begin{aligned} & 85 \text { and } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 12 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 27 |
| 18 | 9 | 8 | 5 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 103 |
| 14 | 24 | 13 | 12 | 6 | 11 | 5 | 12 | 7 | 174 |
| 15 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 10 | 112 |
| 16 | 22 | 18 | 20 | 16 | 6 | 11 | 24 | 48 | 372 |
| 17 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 70 |
| 18 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 18 | 136 |
| 19 | 2 | - | 8 |  | - | - | - | 2 | 29 |
| 20 | 15 | 8 | 11 | 9 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 22 | 245 |
| 21 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 8 | 1 | - | 1 | 16 |
| 22 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | 8 | 1 | 4 | 84 |
| 23 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 6 |
| 24 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 8 | 14 | 88 |
| 25 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 |
| 26 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 13 |
| 27 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 4 |
| 28 | 5 | - | 2 | 4 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 46 |
| 29 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 80 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 |
| Ove 30 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | 9 |
| Total . | 111 | 71 | 70 | 68 | 88 | 87 | 69 | 140 | 1508 |

## TABLE VI.

Distribution of Mulattoes, in usual Vigor, by Age and Number of Respirations.

| Recpirations In a Minute | Onder $17^{4}$ | 17 | 18 | 10 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 28 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 12 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| 18 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 2 | 1 |
| 14 | 8 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 8 |
| 15 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 4 |
| 16 | 8 | 4 | 10 | 4 | 18 | 12 | 9 | 9 | 4 |
| 17 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 2 |
| 18 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 7 |
| 19 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 10 | 9 | 2 |
| 20 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 5 | 11 | 12 | 9 |
| 21 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| 22 | - | - | 2 | - | 2 | 4 | 8 | 1 | 2 |
| 28 | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 8 |
| 24 | 2 | - | 1 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 4 | - | 5 |
| 25 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 8 | 1 |
| 26 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| 27 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 28 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| 29 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 80 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Ove 80 | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Total . | 19 | 11 | 25 | 86 | 60 | 58 | 64 | 61 | 64 |

a Mean age $=\mathbf{1 5 . 5 2}$.

## TABLE VI. - (Continued.)

Distribution of Mulattoes, in usual Vigor,
by Age and Number of Respirations.

| Eapiratione to a Minate | 25 | 23 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 80 | 81-94 | $85 \text { and }$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 12 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 8 |
| 18 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 16 |
| 14 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 84 |
| 15 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | - | 8 | 5 | 88 |
| 16 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 16 | 112 |
| 17 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 1 | - | 8 | - | 6 | 49 |
| 18 | 6 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 6 | 17 | 82 |
| 19 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 6 | 16 | 85 |
| 20 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 12 | 112 |
| 21 | 8 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | - | 6 | 44 |
| 22 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | 2 | 4 | 26 |
| 28 | 1 | 8 | 1 | - | 2 | 2 | - | 1 | 21 |
| 24 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 62 |
| 25 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 8 |
| 26 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 7 |
| 27 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 28 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 7 |
| 29 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 30 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| Over 80 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 10 |
| Total . | 46 | 88 | 26 | 24 | 28 | 88 | 88 | . 97 | 708 |

> T A B L E VII.
> Distribution of Indians in usual Vigor, by Age and Number of Respirations.

| Respirations <br> In u Minute | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 2 | 28 | 28 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 15 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 8 |
| 16 | 1 | - | 1 | 6 | 8 | 14 | 26 | 80 | 35 |
| 17 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Total . | 1 | - | 2 | 6 | 8 | 14 | 29 | 82 | 88 |


| Respirations in a Minute | 25 | 23 | 87 | 28 | 20 | 80 | 81-81 | $\begin{aligned} & 85 \text { and } \\ & \text { Over } \end{aligned}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 |
| 15 | 3 | 9 | 6 | 4 | 8 | 5 | 7 | 81 | 81 |
| 16 | 11 | 84 | 22 | 84 | 81 | 16 | 60 | 90 | 419 |
| 17 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| Total . | 14 | 45 | 28 | 88 | 39 | 21 | 67 | 121 | 503 |

The foregoing tables have been given in this full detail, in order to permit, not only the amplest discussion by other investigators, but also the most thorough criticism. The distribution of the numbers corresponding to the several rates of breathing is far from satisfactory, the great majority of the cases being as we have stated in those groups which correspond to 16,18 , or 20 respirations in a minute, and the group for 18 being very frequently less numerous than that at 20 . This would certainly appear to imply that to a great extent the respirations were counted during only one quarter of a minute, and the number thus found then multiplied by 4. The value of our results must be greatly diminished by such a course, and it is difficult for us to believe such to have been the case to the extent apparently indicated. Still the precision of our records must be tested by internal evidence whenever possible; and it cannot be maintained that these stand the test well. The observations of colored troops seem especially liable to criticism on this account.

Among the white soldiers, of the later series, - whether we take those who were or who were not in vigorous health, - the great preponderance were found to breathe 16 times in a minute, those breathing 15 times numbering less than one eighth part as many ; yet the groups whose respirations were 17 and 18 times were found essentially equal to each other, and nearly or quite one fifth part as large as the main group. This mode of distribution appears alike inconsistent with the hypothesis of a typical number, normally constant for white men, and with that which would refer the irregularity simply to a want of care or exactitude on the part of the examiners.
Reducing the tables of distribution, already given, to tables showing the average number of respirations to the minute for each age, we obtain Tables VIII., IX., and X., from which the essential uniformity in the mean frequency of respiration during the years of early manhood and of middle life may fairly be inferred, and in which the greater frequency for the black race is conspicuously manifested. It should be mentioned, however, in this connection, that the black troops were mostly examined in warmer latitudes than the white men ; and that several indications suggest a more rapid rate of respiration in warm regions, even for the whites. The writer regrets that here also the inevitable limits of the present investigation preclude him from following up this interesting inquiry.
It has been already stated that Mr. Fairchild's examinations were chiefly confined to rebel prisoners, but the difference between his results and Dr. Buckley's cannot be attributed to this cause alone, but must be due in a great measure to something personal.

## TABLE VIII.

Mean Frequency of Respiration by Age.
White Soldiers - Earlier Series.

| Age | Buckloy and Risaer |  |  |  | Falrehild |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In usual Vigor |  | Not in meual Vigor |  | In usaal Vigor |  | Not ln unual Visor |  |
|  | No. | Mean | No. | Mean | No. | Mean | No. | Meas |
| Undor 17 | 80 | 16.20 | 35 | 17.91 | 16 | 18.62 | 1 | 22.00 |
| 17 | 112 | 16.12 | 37 | 18.92 | 22 | 17.59 | 3 | 17.67 |
| 18 | 241 | 16.22 | 89 | 17.79 | 57 | 18.02 | 8 | 19.67 |
| 19 | 249 | 16.10 | 99 | 19.68 | 103 | 18.26 | 9 | 19.00 |
| 20 | 274 | 16.12 | 112 | 18.59 | 118 | 18.59 | 9 | 17.11 |
| 21 | 264 | 16.12 | 111 | 18.63 | 164 | 18.24 | 13 | 19.31 |
| 22 | 218 | 16.11 | 143 | 18.53 | 122 | 18.31 | 11 | 18.00 |
| 23 | 178 | 16.18 | 107 | 18.66 | 150 | 17.92 | 14 | 17.21 |
| 24 | 150 | 16.24 | 98 | 18.38 | 123 | 18.45 | 22 | 18.36 |
| 25 | 125 | 16.29 | 65 | 18.43 | 79 | 17.92 | 7 | 19.29 |
| 26 | 68 | 16.04 | 60 | 18.75 | 83 | 18.05 | 15 | 17.00 |
| 27 | 85 | 16.16 | 63 | 18.59 | 66 | 18.26 | 8 | 16.38 |
| 28 | 76 | 16.13 | 60 | 18.47 | 53 | 17.00 | 10 | 16.40 |
| 29 | 49 | 16.47 | 34 | 18.15 | 87 | 18.00 | 7 | 17.57 |
| 30 | 56 | 16.29 | 42 | 18.17 | 37 | 17.73 | 5 | 16.00 |
| 81-34 | 152 | 16.32 | 112 | 18.54 | 84 | 17.27 | 15 | 17.47 |
| 35-44 | 169 | 16.14 | 156 | 18.90 | 109 | 17.73 | 27 | 17.89 |
| 45 \& over | 32 | 16.22 | 53 | 18.79 | 19 | 17.74 | 10 | 17.90 |
| Total | 2578 | 16.173 | 1476 | 18.600 | 1442 | 18.053 | 189 | 17.804 |

## TABLE IX.

Mean Frequency of Respiration by Age.
White Men - Later Series.


TABLE X.

Mean Frequency of Respiration by Age.
Other Races than the White.

| Ag\% | In urual Vigor |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { Not in wreal Vicor }}{\text { Aegrogato }}$ |  | Indiass |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full Blecks |  | Mulattoen |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Reepira- } \\ \text { tion } \end{gathered}$ | No. | $\underset{\substack{\text { Reaplra- } \\ \text { tion }}}{ }$ | No. | $\underset{\substack{\text { Beopdran }}}{\substack{\text { ton }}}$ | No. | Entome |
| Undere 17 | 38 | 18.45 | 19 | 18.32 | 12 | 20.60 | 1 | 16.00 |
| 17 | 43 | 18.05 | 11 | 17.73 | 2 | 20.50 | - | - |
| 18 | 73 | 18.43 | 25 | 18.20 | 8 | 19.62 | 2 | 15.50 |
| 19 | 90 | 19.37 | 36 | 19.50 | 11 | 18.54 | 6 | 16.00 |
| 20 | 137 | 18.74 | 60 | 19.55 | 11 | 19.82 | 8 | 16.00 |
| 21 | 116 | 18.15 | 63 | 18.74 | 17 | 22.59 | 14 | 16.00 |
| 22 | 128 | 17.59 | 64 | 18.55 | 27 | 22.78 | 29 | 15.97 |
| 23 | 147 | 17.46 | 61 | 18.57 | 29 | 21.21 | 32 | 15.94 |
| 24 | 129 | 16.96 | 54 | 20.06 | 22. | 20.91 | 88 | 15.92 |
| 25 | 111 | 17.54 | 46 | 19.91 | 17 | 22.65 | 14 | 15.79 |
| 26 | 71 | 16.69 | 38 | 19.47 | 10 | 19.70 | 45 | 15.78 |
| 27 | 70 | 16.87 | 26 | 18.42 | 11 | 22.54 | 28 | 15.79 |
| 28 | 66 | 17.36 | 24 | 19.29 | 9 | 21.00 | 88 | 15.89 |
| 29 | 88 | 16.74 | 23 | 18.26 | 14 | 21.21 | 89 | 16.79 |
| 30 | 37 | 17.03 | 83 | 18.85 | 10 | 22.60 | 21 | 15.76 |
| 81-34 | 69 | 17.09 | 38 | 19.10 | 19 | 20.21 | 67 | 15.90 |
| 35 \& over | 140 | 18.04 | 97 | 18.82 | 65 | 18.97 | 121 | 15.74 |
|  | 1503 | 17.747 | 708 | 19.013 | 294 | 20.711 | 503 | 15.831 |

The most noteworthy inferences from these tables appear to be - first, the comparative constancy of the mean value for men of the same classes at the different ages within military limits; second, the much greater frequency of respiration in the black race than in the white; third, the inferior frequency in the Indians examined; and fourth, the accelerated respiration in the men not in full health.

If we may suppose that, of the 254 students examined by Dr. Elsner, and recorded as breathing 16 times in a minute, there were in fact 60 for whom the actual number of respirations was 17 , although this number was recorded by him for no one student, -
we shall have as the corresponding average rate of breathing 16.445 instead of 16.238 , and the result therefore practically accordant with that deduced for white soldiers in vigor, from the observations of the later series.

## 3. Pulse.

Our statistics regarding the frequency of the pulse have been elaborated with considerable detail; and an extended series of tables has been constructed, exhibiting for each class of men examined the maxinum, minimum, and mean values found at each year of age, as also the relative frequency of pulse and respiration. The limited range of ages, over which our observations extend, moderates the interest of our results, since these, although more numerous than any preceding determinations, cover only a portion of the ground already well studied by others; and that portion, moreover, which exhibits the least variation of the phenomenon. Our observations were taken during the ordinary hours of daily labor; most of them also in the standing posture, but there were some exceptions to this rule, which our records do not enable us to distinguish from the rest. In view of this uncertainty, and the comparative unimportance of new determinations of the average frequency, it seems hardly worth while to give our series of fourteen tables in this place. They are at the service of any investigator. The mean frequency of pulse deducible from the later series of examinations is greater by $4.8 \pm$ pulsations in the minute than that indicated by Guy's observations.

Assuming, as our results seem to warrant, that the average pulse remains essentially constant during the period of military age, we have from the total averages -

> TABLE XI.

Mean Frequency of Pulse for different Classes of Men.

| Clese | In usual Vigor |  | Not in usual Vigor |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of Men | Puleations | No. of Men | Pulantions |
| White Soldiers, Earlier Series ${ }^{1}$ | 2578 | 77.67 | 1476 | 79.41 |
| White Soldiers, Later Series | 8284 | 74.84 | 1352 | 77.21 |
| Full Blacks | 1503 | 74.02 | 168 | 76.91 |
| Mulattoes - . . . - | 708 | 76.97 | 128 | 83.12 |
| Indians . | 503 | 76.31 | 7 | 74.42 |

${ }^{1}$ Omitting Mr. Fairchild's observations.

The distribution of the numbers for these classes of men, when tested by the law of error, is not all that could be desired; still the observations appear worthy of much confidence.

These data are entirely confirmatory of the results of previous investigators, in showing the apparent absence of any definite ratio between the number of respirations and that of pulsations, which appear to be normally independent of each other, while the abnormal manifestations of each are more frequently in the form of acceleration than of retardation. The well established facts, that in any individual case, increased frequency of respiration is attended by an increased frequency of the pulse, and that the pulse may be greatly affected by voluntary modification of the respiratory movements, as shown ${ }^{1}$ by Mitchell, do not seem at all opposed to this inference regarding the non-existence of a definite normal ratio of frequency.

Confining our inferences, as seems proper, to the examinations of the later series, we find the average number of pulsations during a single respiration to be more than $4 \frac{3}{4}$ for the Indians, more than $4 \frac{1}{2}$ for the white soldiers, and less than $4 \frac{1}{4}$ for full blacks, if only men in full vigor are considered. But if we take the number of pulsations observed in those whose respiration was 16 to the minute, and who constitute the largest group for each of these classes of men, and disregard all other cases, we find the ratio of palsations to respirations for men in usual vigor to be 4.60 for white soldiers, 4.43 for full blacks, 4.79 for Indians. For mulattoes, the corresponding ratio is 4.2 , but this determination is less trustworthy than the others.

It has been definitely stated by Rameaux and Sarrus, ${ }^{2}$ and the statement cited by Quetelet with apparent approval, ${ }^{8}$ although with the suggestion of some qualifications, that the pulse not only diminishes with the stature, but this according to a law so distinct and well marked that the effect of increase of age upon the frequency of the pulse is only perceptible while the stature increases with the age, and is referable to this influence alone. These gentlemen found, namely, on examining a battalion of French troops and comparing the stature with the pulse, that the frequency of the latter varied just in the inverse ratio of the square of the stature, and they maintained that this law was so strictly applicable that the normal pulse might always be deduced from the stature, and

[^82]vice versa, being 70 to the minute for the stature of 168.4 centimeters.
With this distinct statement before us it appeared clearly our duty to tabulate our results in such a manner as to test the question; and the appended table of Pulse by Stature has been prepared from our statistics for white soldiers in good health, of the later series. A glance will show how totally its indications are at variance with the inferences of Rameaux and Sarrus. Indeed, the relation between the stature and the pulse scarcely appears to follow any general law. To render this more distinct, we give together with the observed mean frequency for each half-inch of stature, an additional column, to show the best empirical value for the same stature which we have been able to deduce by charting the results and drawing a curve, to represent them as nearly as may be.

## TABLE XII.

Frequency of Pulse by Stature. White Soldiers in usual Vigor.
(Later Series.)

| staturs | No. of Men | Obearrod Man | Empricoal Mesa |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Onder $60{ }^{\circ}$ | 18 | 74.66 | - |
| 60 | 10 | 76.20 | - |
| $60 \frac{1}{2}$ | 23 | 70.70 | 73.18 |
| 61 | 22 | 70.64 | 78.74 |
| $61 \frac{1}{2}$ | 89 | 77.95 | 74.49 |
| 62 | 82 | 74.44 | 75.08 |
| 621 | 119 | 75.62 | 75.18 |
| 63 | 148 | 74.82 | 75.03 |
| $63 \frac{1}{1}$ | 222 | 75.86 | 74.79 . |
| 64 | 801 | 73.94 | 74.70 |
| $64 \frac{1}{3}$ | 430 | 74.64 | 74.68 |
| 65 | 453 | 74.87 | 74.74 |
| $65 \frac{1}{8}$ | 622 | 75.14 | 74.78 |
| 66 | 522 | 74.22 | 74.71 |
| $66 \frac{1}{1}$ | 748 | 74.50 | 74.70 |
| 67 | 687 | 74.86 | 74.75 |
| $67 \frac{1}{2}$ | 797 | 75.14 | 74.77 |
| 68 | 662 | 74.47 | 74.74 |
| $68 \frac{1}{2}$ | 645 | 74.61 | 74.64 |
| 69 | 462 | 74.74 | 74.49 |
| 691 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 417 | 74.51 | 74.32 |
| 70 | 310 | 73.01 | 74.26 |
| $70 \frac{1}{2}$ | 282 | 75.03 | 74.23 |
| 71 | 182 | 74.37 | 74.22 |
| $71 \frac{1}{1}$ | 137 | 73.97 | 74.22 |
| 72 | 125 | 73.52 | 74.24 |
| $72 \frac{1}{1}$ | 81 | 75.44 | 74.31 |
| 73 | 54 | 73.85 | 74.47 |
| 731 $\frac{1}{2}$ | 80 | 74.18 | 74.45 |
| 74 | 27 | 76.30 | 74.79 |
| 741 ${ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 6 | 77.67 | 75.24 |
| 75 | 4 | 73.25 | 78.69 |
| Over $75^{\text {b }}$ | 16 | 75.87 | - |

- Mean stature $=58.9$ inchea.
b Mean stature $=\mathbf{7 6 . 2}$ inchee.


## CHAPTER XIV.

## VIBION.

## 1. Statistics Collected.

Ir was not until a considerable number of the examinations of our later series had been made, that the value of this opportunity for obtaining some general information regarding the eye-sight of the soldiers suggested itself. The two questions, numbers 57 and 58, were then added to the schedule; ${ }^{1}$ the one, asking the maximum distance at which double-leaded small pica type could be distinctly read, and the other inquiring as to the existence of any tendency to color-blindness, and its character, if found. In the former question, the type named was selected because a paragraph was thus printed upon the baçk of the examination-blanks and was therefore always at hand during the measurements. This paragraph consisted of twelve lines, entitled "Objects of the Examination," and had been placed there, by way of explanation of our motives, in order to disabuse the minds of the men who entertained, as at first was sometimes the case, apprehensions lest these examinations might be designed to enable the military authorities to select the men of greatest physical capability for employment in some unwelcome service or even for special detention. In all subsequent editions of the blank forms, care was taken to retain the same type and the same distance between the lines. The type was like that in which these pages are printed, and the distance of the lines from each other was about one third part greater than is here the case. The paper was of a bluish tinge. Some careful optical tests which Dr. B. Joy Jeffries has had the goodness to make since our materials were collected, give the value of this testtype as nearly number 11 of Jäger's scale, and between numbers 5 and 6 of Snellen.

Had the writer been then, as he soon afterwards became, acquainted with the tables and type of Snellen, he would of course

[^83]have endeavored to employ these. That they were not used is a source of regret; but it will be remembered that the advent of the year 1865 found our examinations scarcely more than begun, while the war was brought to an end during the following April ; so that it was only by vigorous effort and constant stimulus that our materials could be collected before the disbandment of the armies. The questions regarding Vision were added to our schedule in February ; and when, soon afterward, the advantages of the Snellen type were understood, it seemed better to collect all the observations possible, upon the system already adopted, than to incur risk of an inadequate amount of material in each of two systems, although the second might in itself be much the more desirable. The anthor was not unaware that these inquiries would probably afford but small contributions, even if any, to ophthalmic science. The nature of the case precluded any discrimination between the two components of the maximum distance of vision, since the distance measured was the sum of the distance to the normal farpoint, and of the amount of optical accommodation. Still, adopting the fundamental principle that any facts, however incomplete or crudely gathered, should be welcome to the student of nature, and considering that results possessing small technical value might yet claim a higher importance from the anthropological point of view, it has seemed not amiss to classify and combine such materials as we have collected. Should they neither develop new facts, nor confirm any uncertain inferences, the existence of so large a number of determinations conscientiously made and carefully combined, will certainly not be without some present or future value.

The number of men, for whom our statistics of Vision were collected, was thus less than that of those whose dimensions and other physical characteristics were determined; and it was still farther diminished by various circumstances. Those white soldiers who were unable to read are not included, and in many cases the circumstances under which the examinations were made, rendered the measurement of the distance of the object from the eye difficult, if not impossible. Whenever the examinations were made in a room with a ceiling, or in a tent across the upper part of which 2 board or wooden bar could be placed, a measuring tape, or some other graduated scale, was fastened at a height of about 75 inches. The men examined were placed under this, and when the greatest distance was found at which the printed words could be clearly read without conscious effort, this could be very easily and accurately noted by the examiner, using a few obvious precautions. Care was always taken to insure ample light.

This procedure offered no difficulty for those white soldiers and sailors, who could read; and the number of others was very inconsiderable. But when similar measurements were undertaken for the colored troops, the large proportion of those who could not read, precluded the method previously employed. Some experiments were therefore made to test the availability of printed characters of the same size as the small pica type, but of shapes more easily recognizable by the unlettered, than those of many characters of the Latin alphabet. The result of these experiments indicated that no perceptible advantage was thus obtained, but that the statements by uneducated persons of moderate intelligence, regarding the positions at which they could distinctly recognize the forms of the letters, afforded results quite as accurate when the men were not, as when they were, able to derive ideas from the juxtaposition of the printed characters. It thus, greatly to our satisfaction, became needless to undertake any modification of the system employed for the whites, and all requisites seemed answered by the expenditure of some additional care on the part of the examiners.
The greatest distance of distinct vision for the same object was thus determined for somewhat more than 10000 men out of about 15800 who were examined in the later series.

For testing the perception of colors, the examiners used a number of pieces of paper or cloth of brilliant hues, especially of the primary and principal secondary colors.

## 2. Distance of Distinct Vision, for the Test-Object.

The number of men in each class who were examined in this respect, and the average value of the greatest distance at which the test-object already described could be distinctly seen, are shown in the annexed table.

## TABLE I.

Mean Distances for Different Classes of Mex.

| Clase | In mean Vigor |  | Not in usual Vigor |  | Aggregate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Distance | No. | Distance | No. | Distadee |
| White Soldiers | 6564 | $\frac{\ln .}{\mathbf{4 7 . 7 7}}$ | 1357 | ${ }_{\text {in. }}^{45.10}$ | 7921 | $\begin{gathered} \text { lo. } \\ 47.31 \end{gathered}$ |
| Sailors . | 269 | 36.57 | - | - | 269 | 36.57 |
| Students | 281 | 42.28 | - | - | 281 | 42.28 |
| Full Blacks | 778 | 45.33 | 140 | 46.13 | 918 | 45.45 |
| Mulattoes . | 186 | 47.23 | 67 | 44.69 | 253 | 46.56 |
| Indians . . . . . | 442 | 51.77 | - | - | 442 | 51.77 |

The small value here found for sailors is the most striking result of the preceding table, and seems the more remarkable since the common opinion unquestionably assigns to sailors a peculiarly keen eye-sight, and long range of vision. Our inferences are derived from a comparatively small number of sailors, since the circumstances under which Mr. Phinney's examinations were made, rendered it almost impracticable for him to measure this distance, and our values for sailors are therefore mostly confined to measurements by others. But with the disadvantage of a small number is combined the almost equal advantage of freedom from personal equation in the comparison of sailors with soldiers, for here as in every other subject of quantitative determination, a field is offered for the action of the personal peculiarities of the individual by whom the determination is made. Internal evidence too, corroborates the correctness of the results, unexpected as they may have been.

A little reflection diminishes our surprise at this result. The sailor's ordinary distance of vision is necessarily restricted to the length of his vessel, and the height of her mast. The cases when his eyes are fixed upon any marine phenomenon are rare in comparison with the many objects which attract the attention of landsmen at equal or superior distances; and since habitual use exerts a very important influence upon the eye-sight, it is but reasonable to infer that the average range of distinct vision would become diminished by a nautical life. The proverbial quickness with which a sailor detects a distant object upon the horizon, before a
landsman can perceive it, may be due to habit and training more than to superior eye-sight, and landsmen who have been impressed by personal experience with the keen eye of seafaring men for a distant sail, or the first glimpse of land, will generally also bear witness to the distinctness with which they have themselves been able to perceive and recognize the same object, after it has been once pointed out to them.

It must be conceded that the facts observed may likewise be explained by assuming a normal distance of vision not inferior to that of landsmen, but combined with a very restricted range of accommodation. But whether our view of the case be correct or not, the results obtained for the average distance of vision of the 269 sailors to whom our observations extend, seem worthy of confidence. It will be seen that for the same object this distance is one quarter part less than that found for the soldiers, whereas between the students and soldiers the difference is but one ninth part of the same amount. But the comparison of the numbers in these two classes of men for the successive intervals of distance shows at once that although the number of near-sighted persons is much greater among the students than among the sailors, so also is the number of very far-sighted ones - the mean distance for the students thus becoming much the greater.
In the six tables next following, the results for the six classes of men are assorted by ages, those in usual vigor being discriminated from those who were not.

TABLE II.
Mean Distance for White Soldiere,
by Agee.

| 40 | In umal Vigor |  | Not in ueual tryer |  | Actreato |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Dintasioe | No. | Distance | No. | Dhance |
| Under 16 | 28 | $44.6$ | 6 | $\begin{gathered} 67.5 \\ 47.6 \end{gathered}$ | 34 | $\frac{1 .}{45.2}$ |
| 16 | 85 | 46.6 | 11 | 40.7 | 96 | 45.9 |
| 17 | 168 | 49.4 | 21 | 46.1 | 189 | 49.0 |
| 18 | 428 | 47.8 | 49 | 48.0 | 477 | 47.8 |
| 19 | 458 | 49.8 | 50 | 44.9 | 518 | 48.7 |
| 20 | 578 | 49.1 | 76 | 46.8 | 654 | 48.8 |
| 21 | 616 | 49.2 | 88 | 45.8 | 704 | 48.8 |
| 22 | 614 | 47.1 | 118 | 47.1 | 738 | 47.1 |
| 28 | 481 | 49.7 | 70 | 45.4 | 551 | 49.2 |
| 24 | 468 | 47.8 | 108 | 46.7 | 569 | 47.2 |
| 25 | 381 | 46.8 | 71 | 48.9 | 408 | 46.8 |
| 26 | 265 | 47.7 | 66 | 46.8 | 831 | 47.4 |
| 27 | 219 | 48.6 | 48 | 47.6 | 262 | 48.4 |
| 28 | 251 | 48.7 | 47 | 47.8 | 278 | 48.4 |
| 29 | 181 | 47.1 | 50 | 44.4 | 281 | 48.5 |
| 80 | 188 | 46.8 | 61 | 46.8 | 284 | 46.8 |
| 81 | 119 | 46.8 | 22 | 44.6 | 141 | 46.0 |
| 88 | 154 | 47.1 | 88 | 45.8 | 192 | 46.8 |
| 88 | 98 | 47.6 | 25 | 48.6 | 128 | 46.8 |
| 84 | 117 | 45.9 | 45 | 47.4 | 162 | 46.3 |
| 85 | 182 | 46.3 . | 18 | 42.6 | 150 | 45.8 |
| 86 | 92 | 44.8 | 81 | 46.7 | 128 | 44.9 |
| 87 | 77 | 46.7 | 21 | 40.6 | 98 | 45.4 |
| 88 | 87 | 47.8 | 27 | 40.2 | 114 | 45.6 |
| 89 | 59 | 47.7 | 25 | 88.1. | 84 | 44.8 |
| 40 | 49 | 46.4 | 24 | 47.5 | 78 | 46.8 |
| 41 | 21 | 48.0 | 14 | 48.8 | 85 | 45.1 |
| 42 | 88 | 47.7 | 11 | 45.2 | 49 | 47.2 |
| 48 | 27 | 46.1 | 12 | 42.1 | 39 | 44.2 |
| 44 | 81 | 46.0 | 16 | 88.2 | 47 | 43.4 |
| 45 | 44 | 41.5 | 10 | 39.8 | 54 | 41.1 |
| 46-50 | 64 | 42.2 | 68 | 87.2 | 122 | 39.8 |
| Ovee 60 | 28 | 41.4 | 81 | 29.4 | 69 | 35.1 |

## TABLE III.

Mean Distance for Sailors, by Ages.

| $4{ }^{4}$ | Numbor | Puatape |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 18 | 8 | $\frac{\ln }{40.8}$ |
| 18 | $\theta$ | 84.2 . |
| 19 | 11 | 80.6 |
| 20 | 10 | 84.8 |
| 21 | 27 | 88.1 |
| 22 | 41 | 86.9 |
| 23. | 20 | 87.7 |
| 24 | 23 | 39.7 |
| 45 | 19 | 85.7 |
| 26 | 21 | 85.8 |
| 27 | 12 | 89.9 |
| 28-29 | 20 | 88.6 |
| 80,82 | 21 | 49.6 |
| 38-87 | 19 | 86.2 |
| 88,45 | 9 | 38.1 |
| Overe 45 | 4 | 29.0 |

TABLE IV.
Mean Distance for Studente, by Ages.

| 46\% | Number | Distancen |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 19 | 10 | 85.4 |
| 19 | 87 | 41.8 |
| 20 | 69 | 40.1 |
| 21 | 69 | 44.1 |
| 22 | 48 | 48.0 |
| 28 | 11 | 45.1 |
| 24 | 17 | 43.6 |
| 25 | 11 | 40.2 |
| Ovoe 25 | 14 | 47.6 |

TABLE V.
Mean Distance for Full Blacks, by Ages.

| 40 | In usual Vigor |  | Not in mual Vigor |  | Aggrogete |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Distance | No. | Distance | No. | Dhataseo |
| Onder 17 | 20 | $\begin{gathered} \text { in. } \\ 88.8 \end{gathered}$ | 7 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { in. } \\ 46.8 \end{array}$ | 27 | $\stackrel{6}{40.4}$ |
| 17 | 28 | 44.5 | - | - | 28 | 44.5 |
| 18 | 45 | 42.2 | 4 | 55.6 | 49 | 48.2 |
| 19 | 69 | 45.6 | 4 | 41.0 | 63 | 45.2 |
| 20 | 89 | 46.5 | 6 | 48.5 | 95 | 48.7 |
| 21 | 78 | 47.0 | 10 | 48.7 | 88. | 46.6 |
| 22 | 66 | 45.2 | 11 | 46.2 | 77 | 45.3 |
| 28 | 60 | 44.7 | 14 | 45.8 | 74 | 44.9 |
| 24 | 60 | 49.6 | 14 | 47.1 | 64 | 49.1 |
| 25 | 62 | 44.2 | 7 | 44.6 | 59 | 44.8 |
| 26 | 26 | 47.4 | 4 | 40.0 | 30 | 46.4 |
| 27 | 82 | 48.5 | 6 | 85.2 | 88 | 42.2 |
| 28 | 26 | 45.4 | 4 | 52.7 | 80 | 46.4 |
| 29 | 18 | 45.0 | 11 | 48.2 | 24 | 48.5 |
| 80 | 17 | 47.8 | 6 | 44.2 | 23 | 46.5 |
| 81-32 | 19 | 45.7 | 4 | 56.0 | 28 | 47.5 |
| 83-85 | 27 | 45.7 | 8 | 83.1 | 85 | 42.8 |
| 86-88 | 25 | 44.1 | 6 | 49.6 | 80 | 45.0 |
| 39-41 | 18 | 47.8 | 6 | 55.2 | 28 | 49.4 |
| 42-45 | 17 | 46.1 | 6 | 52.8 | 22 | 47.6 |
| Over 45 | 16 | 88.7 | 6 | 49.0 | 21 | 41.1 |

## TABLE VI.

Mean Distance for Mulattoes,
by Ages.

| Age | In usual Vigor |  | Not in usual Vigor |  | Aggregate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | Distance | No. | Distance | No. | Distance |
| Under 17 | 10 | $\begin{gathered} \text { in. } \\ 46.4 \end{gathered}$ | 2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { in. } \\ 55.0 \end{gathered}$ | 12 | $\begin{gathered} \text { in. } \\ 47.8 \end{gathered}$ |
| 17 | 4 | 39.0 |  | - | 4 | 39.0 |
| 18 | 13 | 50.1 | 2 | 50.0 | 15 | 50.1 |
| 19 | 10 | 46.9 | 4 | 42.5 | 14 | 45.6 |
| 20 | 18 | 46.7 | 2 | 54.0 | 20 | 47.4 |
| 21 | 14 | 53.9 | 6 | 41.0 | 20 | 50.0 |
| 22 | 13 | 49.9 | 12 | 47.4 | 25 | 48.7 |
| 23 | 9 | 45.1 | 6 | 46.7 | 15 | 45.7 |
| 24 | 14 | 43.5 | 5 | 52.8 | 19 | 45.9 |
| 25 | 11 | 48.6 | 4 | 50.2 | 15 | 49.1 |
| 26 | 8 | 54.0 | 3 | 57.7 | 11 | 55.0 |
| 27 | 7 | 44.9 | 1 | 60.0 | 8 | 46.8 |
| 28 | 8 | 47.7 | 2 | 39.0 | 10 | 46.0 |
| 29 | 3 | 42.7 | 2 | 13.5 | 5 | 31.0 |
| 30 | 9 | 45.7 | 3 | 37.7 | 12 | 43.7 |
| 31-32 | 10 | 50.8 | 1 | 29.0 | 11 | 48.8 |
| 33-35 | 4 | 44.0 | 1 | 54.0 | 5 | 46.0 |
| 36-38 | 10 | 40.9 | 2 | 25.5 | 12 | 38.3 |
| 39-41 | 4 | 44.5 | 2 | 32.0 | 6 | 40.3 |
| 42-45 | 5 | 45.4 | 4 | 50.0 | 9 | 47.4 |
| Over 45 | 2 | 42.5 | 3 | 32.3 | 5 | 36.4 |

TABLE VII.
Mean Distance for Iroquois Indians, by Ages.

| $\triangle 8$ | Number | Distanes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 20 | 9 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{fm} . \\ 55.6 \end{gathered}$ |
| 20 | 6 | 58.7 |
| 21 | 9 | 54.4 |
| 22 | 22 | 64.5 |
| 23 | 29 | 53.2 |
| 24 | 88 | 52.6 |
| 25 | 14 | 62.4 |
| 26 | 88 | 52.7 |
| 27 | 25 | 54.8 |
| 28 | 83 | 61.9 |
| 29 | 81 | 58.7 |
| 80 | 20. | 54.8 |
| 31 | 12. | 58.3 |
| 82 | 7 | 52.1 |
| 88 | 8 | 55.7 |
| 84 | 81 | 52.4 |
| 85 | 8 | 54.6 |
| 36 | 21 | 61.0 |
| 37 | 14 | 51.4. |
| 88 | 11 | 58.1 |
| 89 | 5 | 67.6 |
| 40 | 11 | 48.2 |
| 41-45 | 21 | 42.9 |
| Over 45 | 19 | 82.8 |

From those of the preceding tables in which the numbers are sufficiently large to permit any inference, namely, from all excepting those for sailors and students, it is evident that the outer limit of distinct vision gradually diminished with advancing years, atthough we have here no means of learning whether the decrease is greater than would result from the well-known diminution of the power of accommodation. The maximum mean value would seem to be between the ages of 17 and 25 , and the subsequent decrease to amount to not less than ten per cent. before the age of 50. The fact that the minimum limit increases with the age is well known, so that it would appear that increasing age brings with it a diminution of the range of vision by curtailment at each
of its limits. If we compare the results for soldiers not in usual health with the others, we perceive that the mean distance is less, not only for the aggregate, but for most of the individual years of age. The same holds good for the mulattoes; and although the reverse is indicated in our table for negroes of pure race, it is in a much smaller degree; and an inspection of the results by years of age shows the variations to be so great as to forbid much reliance upon their aggregate. It has already been stated that the ages of the negroes are among the most uncertain of all our data, being in many cases only estimated by the examiner. Entire ignorance as to their age is very frequent among the blacks, as has been heretofore mentioned. If the inference thus suggested is entitled to credence, and the distance of distinct vision is affected by the general condition of the individual, as would seem probable, this distance must be to some extent a variable quantity, fluctuating with the health.

Our next series of tables exhibits the distribution of each class of men according to their distance of vision for the printed text, which has served as our test-object. These are chiefly intended to show the proportionate number of near-sighted and of far-sighted persons. The numbers appear in no instance to follow any regular law. Comparing Tables IX., and X., which show this distribution for sailors and students, respectively, the fact, already mentioned, becomes patent, that the latter furnish a greater proportion at each extreme of range. Thus, there were 11 students out of 281, while out of an almost equal number of sailors, there was but one, for whom the distance of distinct vision was less than ten inches. On the other hand there were 31 stadents, and only 8 sailors, for whom this limit was as high as 60 inches.

The distribution of the Indians in this respect appears at the first glance unsatisfactory. But although the observations are seen by this searching test not to have been very sharply made, yet an assortment by intervals of two inches exhibits a very good accordance with the law of error, 1 indicating a normal distance not far from 54 , and an average distance of about 52 , inches.

[^84]
## TABLE VIII.

Distribution of Soldiers according to Distance of Vision.

| Distance | In ugual Vigor | Not in usual Vipor | Tobal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Inches } \\ \text { Undor } 10 \end{gathered}$ | 7 | 6 | 13 |
| 10-19 | 125 | 69 | 194 |
| 20-24 | 122 | 44 | 166 |
| 25-29 | 229 | 56 | 285 |
| 80 | 111 | 22 | 188 |
| 81 | 88 | 9 | 47 |
| 82 | 109 | 26 | 185 |
| 88 | 82 | 9 | 41 |
| 84 | 79 | 28 | 107 |
| 85 | 117 | 81 | 148 |
| 86 | 91 | 20 | 111 |
| 87 | 154 | 27 | 181 |
| 88 | 279 | 81 | 810 |
| 89 | 115 | 19 | 184 |
| 40 | 289 | 60 | 889 |
| 41 | 183 | 22 | 156 |
| 42 | 292 | 54 | 346 |
| 48 | $149^{\circ}$ | 83 | 182 |
| 44 | 146 | 46 | 182 |
| 45 | 144 | 26 | 170 |
| 46 | 166 | 42 | 208 |
| 47 | 144 | 83 | 177 |
| 48 | 242 | 58 | 295 |
| 49 | 187 | 41 | 178 |
| 60 | 869 | 60 | 429 |
| 61 | 142 | 29 | 171 |
| 52 | 248 | 48 | 291 |
| 53 | 151 | 82 | 188 |
| 64 | 249 | 64 | 813 |
| 65 | 150 | 27 | 177 |
| 56 | 237 | 40 | 277 |
| 67 | 160 | 27 | 187 |
| 68 | 208 | 88 | 289 |
| 69 | 96 | 16 | 111 |
| 60 | 816 | 60 | 875 |
| 61-65 | 868 | 76 | 444 |
| 66-70 | 224 | 88 | 257 |
| 71-80 | 154 | 10 | 164 |
| Ovee 80 | 52 | 4 | 58 |
|  | 6564 | 1857 | 7821 |

TABLE IX.
Distribution of Sailors according to Distance of Vision.

| Distance | No. of Men | Distance | No. of Men | Distance | No. of Men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Inches } \\ & \text { Under } 10 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Inches } \\ 35 \end{gathered}$ | 5 | inches 48 | 6 |
| 10-19 | 24 | 86 | 6 | 49 | 6 |
| 20-24 | 25 | 87 | 6 | 60 | 8 |
| 25 | '6 | 38 | 10 | 51 | 8 |
| 26 | 7 | 89 | 4 | 52 | 6 |
| 27 | 4 | 40 | 10 | 53 | 6 |
| 28 | 8 | 41 | 9 | 54 | 5 |
| 29 | 6 | 42 | 11 | 55 | 2 |
| 30 | 7 | 48 | 6 | 56 | 1 |
| 81 | 13 | 44 | 6 | 57 | 3 |
| 82 | 7 | 45 | 5 | 58 | 1 |
| 83 | 8 | 46 | 4 | 59 | 4 |
| 84 | 18 | 47 | 6 | 60 | 8 |

TABLE $\mathbf{X}$.
Distribution of Students according to Distance of Vision.

| Distance | No. of Men | Distance | No. of Men | Distance | No. of Men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fuehes <br> Under 10 | 11 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Inches } \\ \mathbf{3 5} \end{gathered}$ | 8 | $\begin{gathered} \text { inches } \\ 48 \end{gathered}$ | 19 |
| 10-19 | 16 | 86 | 4 | 49 | 4 |
| 20-24 | 7 | 87 | 6 | 60 | 11 |
| 25 | - | 38 | 11 | 51 | 4 |
| 26 | 1 | 89 | 6 | 52 | 4 |
| 27 | 8 | 40 | 16 | 58 | 4 |
| 28 | 1 | 41 | 7 | 54 | 10 |
| 29 | 5 | 42 | 16 | 55 | 6 |
| 80 | 2 | 48 | 4 | 56 | 5 |
| 81 | 1 | 44 | 15 | 67 | 8 |
| 82 | 2 | 46 | 9 | 58 | 10 |
| 83 | 1 | 46 | 2 | 59 | 4 |
| 84 | 7 | 47 | 10 | 60 | 81 |

## 640.

TABLE XI:
Distribution of Full Blacks according to Distonce of Friom.

| Denenoc | In mand vigor |  | Town |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Inches } \\ \text { Under } 10 \end{array}$ | 2 | - | 2 |
| 10-19 | 14 | 2 | 16 |
| 20-24 | 23 | 6 | 29 |
| 25-23 | 49 | 6 | 65 |
| 80 | 14 | 4 | 18 |
| 81 | 14 | 1 | 15 |
| 82 | 10 | - | 10 |
| 88 | 8 | - | 8 |
| 84 | 10 | 2 | 12 |
| 85 | 18 | 8 | 21 |
| 88 | 19 | 2 | 21 |
| 87 | 18 | - | 18 |
| 88 | 12 | 8 | 15 |
| 82 | 14 | $8 \cdot$ | 17. |
| 40 | 82 | 8. | 85 |
| 41 | 22 |  | 22 |
| 42 | 23 | 4 | 27 |
| 48 | 8 | 4 | 12 |
| 44 | 18 | \% | 45 |
| 45 | 80 | 9 | 89 |
| 48 | 20 | 8 | 87 |
| 48 | 28 | 4 | 81 |
| 48 | 21 | 8 | 24 |
| 40 | 27 | 5 | 82 |
| 60 | 28 | 6 | 84 |
| 61 | 26 | 4 | 80 |
| 62 | 24 | 5 | 29 |
| 68 | 15 | 9 | 24 |
| 54 | 25 | 8 | 28 |
| 65 | 18 | 8 | 16 |
| 56 | 27 | 8 | 80 |
| 57 | 17 | 4 | 21 |
| 68 | 28 | 5 | 28 |
| 69 | 14 | 2 | 16 |
| 60 | 84 | 16 | 100 |
| 61-65 | 8 | 1 | 9 |
| 66-50 | 8 | - | 8 |
| 71-80 | 4 | - | 4 |

## TABLE XII.

Ditribution of Mulattoes according to Distance of Dision.

| Distanco | In main vigor | ${ }^{\text {Not }}$ th mamal | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { inchee } \\ \text { Under } 10 \end{array}$ | - | 1 | 1 |
| 10-19 | 8 | 2 | 6 |
| 20-24 | 2 | 6 | 7 |
| 25-29 | 8 | 4 | 12 |
| 80 | 8 | - | 8 |
| 81 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 82 | 8 | 1 | 4 |
| 83 | 1 | - | 1 |
| 84 | 8 | 8 | 6 |
| 85 | 2 | 1 | 8 |
| 86 | 8 | 8 | 11 |
| 87 | 6 | - | 6 |
| 88 | 8 | 2 | 10 |
| 89 | 8 | - | 8 |
| 40 | 8 | 2 | 10 |
| 41 | 8 | - | 8 |
| 42 | 6 | 8 | 9 |
| 48 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 44 | 8 | 1 | 4 |
| 45 | 4 | - | 4 |
| 46 | 8 | - | 8 |
| 47 | 12 | 8 | 15 |
| 48 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| 49 | 2 | 1 | 8 |
| 50 | 7 | 1 | 8 |
| 4 | 6 | - | 6 |
| 62 | 4 | 8 | 7 |
| 88 | 8 | 1 | 4 |
| 64 | 8 | 2 | 10 |
| 65 | 6 | 2 | 7 |
| 68 | 8 | 8 | 6 |
| ${ }^{57}$ | 6 |  | ${ }^{6}$ |
| 58 | 9 | 2 | 11 |
| 69 | 9 | 1 | 10 |
| 60 | 20 | 8 | 28 |
| 61-65 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| 66-70 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| 71-80 | 4 | 2 | 6 |

## TABLE XIII.

Distribution of the Indians according to Distance of Vision.

| Distance | No. Men | Distance | No. Men | Dintanco | No. Mon |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inchee $1219$ | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Inchee } \\ & 89 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Inches $51$ | - |
| 2024 | 7 | 40 | 8 | 52 | 12 |
| 25-29 | 4 | 41 | - | 68 | 9 |
| 80 | 1 | 42 | 6 | 64 | 75 |
| 81 | - | 48 | 8 | 55 | 1 |
| 32 | 1 | 44 | 14 | 56 | 41 |
| 33 | - | 45 | 1 | 57 | 12 |
| 84 | 2 | 46 | 26 | 58 | 44 |
| 35 | - | 47 | 8 | 59 | 11 |
| 86 | - | 48 | 88 | 60 | 19 |
| 87 | - | 49 | 49 | 6165 | 18 |
| 88 | - | 50 | 17 | $66 \cdot 76$ | 10 |

Constructing, from data already given, a table exhibiting for each of the six classes the proportional number of men whose outer limit of distinct vision for our test-object falls within a given range of distance, we obtain at a glance a knowledge of the comparative number of the near-sighted or far-sighted in each class, and may thus compare the classes with one another.

TABLE XIV.
Comparison of the Vision of Different Classes of Men.

| Class | Under 10 in . | 10 to 20 in . | 20 to 40 mm . | 40 to 60 in . | 60 to 70 in | ver 70 l |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Soldiers . | . 002 | . 025 | . 227 | . 582 | . 136 | . 028 |
| Sailors | . 004 | . 089 | . 483 | . 394 | . 030 | . 000 |
| Students | . 039 | . 057 | . 214 | . 580 | . 110 | . 000 |
| Full Blacks | . 002 | . 018 | . 260 | . 588 | . 128 | . 004 |
| Mulattoes | . 004 | . 020 | . 269 | . 541 | . 142 | . 024 |
| Indians | . 000 | . 009 | . 036 | . 849 | . 097 | . 009 |

## 3. Color-blindness.

Few observant persons, in our own community at least, can have failed to be frequently impressed by the comparatively large number of persons, who are more or less unable to distinguish between colors the most strikingly contrasted. The ordinary intercourse of daily life does not usually attract attention to this peculiarity; but when any accident has brought it to notice, we are surprised at discovering its existence in some familiar acquaintance in whom it had never occurred to us to suspect it. Persons who cannot distinguish ripe cherries upon the tree, or strawberries on the vine, by their color, are far more numerous than would be suspected by those who have given no attention to the subject; and unless some grotesque incongruity in costume, or some remarkably inaccurate description of the color of a well-known object, compels our notice, we remain unaware of the imperfection. Serious misunderstandings or calamities have been reported in the army, resulting from mistakes in the color of green and red lights by officers of the signal corps, themselves not fully aware of their failing in this respect; and cases have occurred where ludicrous, and even disastrous, results have followed the use of a badge of precisely the wrong color.

The number of persons thus affected has been estimated ly some as being not less than one in every twenty ; and the range of estimates by different authorities is extremely wide. With a view to more accurate determination both of the ratio, and of the most usual form of the phenomenon, as well as the possible detection of some clew to its explanation, our examiners were instructed to test the sight of each individual measured, and, when any abnormality was perceived, to record its nature so far as they could determine it.

We have thus obtained the numbers given in Table XV., from which it would appear that about one in each fifty white men examined was thus affected. This is not improbably a near approximation to the proportionate number of those who are unable to distinguish colors correctly, but it does not include that class, - a large one, so far as our own experience extends, - for whom this recognition is not easy, although their decision is in general correct; in other words, those persons in whom the sense of color does not appear to be well developed. Many acquaintances of the writer, among them more than one medical professor of high eminence, have assured him, that although they could recognize the difference of tint between bright red fruit and the green foliage
surrounding it, yet the contrast was not sufficiently vivid to enable them to profit by it to any considerable extent in gathering strawberries, partridge-berries, etc. Such cases are of course not comprised in our table; although under the title of "Color-blind," we have included all those, whose power of discriminating between colors was in any degree incomplete.

> TABLE XV.

Number of Color-blind found in each Class of Men.

| Claes | No. Examined | Color-blind | Proportion |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Soldiers. . . | 8089 | 178 | 0.022 |
| Sailors . . . . | 451 | 2 | .004 |
| Students . . | 291 | 1 | .003 |
| Full Blacks | . | 1508 | 17 |
| Mulattoes . . | 666 | 2 | .011 |
| Indians . . . | 612 | 6 | .003 |
|  |  |  | 0.012 |

Classifying the 181 cases found among white men, according to their nativities, we obtain the assortment given in the next table, which likewise exhibits the proportionate number for each nativity.

Assorting these cases by the Color of the Eyes, we find their distribution to be as follows:-

| Color | No. Cram | Proportion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Blue . | 75 | 0.42 |
| Gray . | 35 | . 19 |
| Hazel | 38 | . 18 |
| Dark. | 32 | . 18 |
| Black | 6 | 0.03 |
|  | 181 | 1.00 |

It is difficult to give the corresponding numbers for the men, whose vision was thus tested, assorted according to the colors of their eyes, since many obstacles arise in the details of the numeration. But from a general investigation of this point, as well as from a comparison of these numbers with the tables of Chapter VI., it would seem improbable that the amount of color-blindness varies essentially with the different hues of the iris.

## TABLE XVI. <br> Color-blindness among White Men, by Nativities.

| Nativity | No. Tramined | Color-blind | Proportion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England . . . | 1299 | 12 | 0.009 |
| New York, New Jersey, and Penn. | 2687 | 76 | . 028 |
| Ohio and Indiana . - | 1329 | 28 | . 021 |
| Michigan, Wisconsin, and Illinois | 907 | 8 | . 009 |
| Coast Slave States | 295 | 18 | . 044 |
| Kentucky and Tennessee . . . | 220 | 2 | . 009 |
| Free States West of Miss. River . | 10 | - | - |
| Slave States Weat of Miss. River | 27 | - | - |
| British America axel. Canada. . | 56 | - | - |
| Canada - . | 849 | 5. | . 014 |
| England . . . . . . . . | 239 | 7 | . 029 |
| Scotland . . . . . . . . . | 82 | - | - |
| Ireland . . . . . . . . | 690 | 22 | . 032 |
| France, Belgium, and Switzerland | 77 | - | - |
| Germany . | 418 | 6 | . 014 |
| Scandinavia - . | 75 | 2 | . 027 |
| Spain, etc. . - | 13 | - | - |
| Miscellaneous - . | 58 | - | - |
|  | 8831 | 181 | 0.020 |

Assorting by degrees of education, as a crude method of discriminating between the several classes of society to which the men belonged by birth, we find, for the white men -

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { University . . . . . . . . . . } 1 \\
& \text { High School . . . . . . . . . } 7 \\
& \text { Good Common School . . . . . . } 66 \\
& \text { Moderate " " . . . . . . } 92 \\
& \text { Limited . . . . . . . . . . } 2 \\
& \text { None . . . . . . . . . . . } 13
\end{aligned}
$$

whence we may infer that although the tendency to color-blindness is certainly to some extent hereditary or constitutional, as shown by its prevalence in particular families, the argament drawn from our data, so far as it has any weight, would be in opposition to 35
theories which should connect this tendency with any educational or social grade.

And although the proportional numbers for the different nativities vary widely, these proportions are deduced from too small a number of cases to warrant any safe inferences regarding this point.

The description of the irregularities manifested in distinguishing colors, are in general neither complete nor adequate, owing probably to insufficiency of the instructions given. Of the 181 cases observed, there are but 57 in which the character of the phenomenon is indicated with any precision, and even in these the description is generally not discriminating. Our instructions should have been so framed as to call for a special statement not only of those primary colors which could not, but also of those which could, be distinguished from each other, an omission of which we only became conscious too late for remedy. The annexed tabular view exhibits a crude assortment of the peculiarities as recorded by the several examiners, for these 57 cases -

| rounded | No |
| :---: | :---: |
| Red and Blue . |  |
| Red, Blue, and Green |  |
| Red and Green |  |
| Red and Yellow |  |
| Yellow and Blue . . . . . . 1 |  |
| Yellow, Blue, and Green . . . . . |  |
| Yellow and Green . . . . . . . |  |
| Green and Blue . . . . . . . 9 |  |
| Red, Blue, and Yellow . . . . . . 2 |  |
| Red, Green, and Yellow . . . . 2 |  |
| Red, Green, and Blue . . . . . . 1 |  |
| Blue and Purple . . . . . . . . 1 |  |
| Pink and Yellow . |  |
| Green and Brown |  |
|  |  |

A glance suffices to show the incompleteness of the description, and the consequent inadequacy of the classification; yet it is clearly not without its value. The well-known fact, that the most usual form of color-blindness is that which fails to distinguish between green and red, is distinctly manifest, as is also the fact that the confusion of colors sometimes embraces the other half of the spectrum, and sometimes its entire range.

The origin of this phenomenon has been the subject of much
curious investigation since the time of Dalton, who was himself unable to distinguish red from green, and attributed this defect of vision to an actual coloration of the vitreous humor of the eye. In conformity with his direction, an examination of his eyes was made after his death to decide the question, but the suspected coloration was not found. ${ }^{1}$

Although it is perhaps not strictly appropriate to offer in this place other inferences than those deduced from the data here presented, it may not be improper to express an opinion regarding the cause of the phenomenon, since it has been long entertained, and has seemed to be supported by numerous observations which we have made within the last fifteen years; namely, that it is the result of a want of sensibility in the retina to rays of certain refiangibility, most frequently at the red end of the spectrum, sometimes however at the violet end, and possibly sometimes for the intermediate rays only.

Reference to the authorities on this subject shows this view to be by no means a new one, but in general conformity with the theory supported by the great names of Seebeck and Helmholtz. It would seem to be corroborated by the well authenticated cases in which the phenomena of color-blindness have accompanied cerebral congestion and disappeared with it. ${ }^{2}$ It does not necessarily assume that any elements of the retina are wanting or paralyzed; but it dnes imply something analogous to incapacity in the whole retina adequately to respond to vibrations of certain velocities; just as we know that the capacity of the tympanum for vibrations is comprised between different limits in different persons, so that some do not hear very high notes, some do not hear very low ones, while others still can only hear certain notes when they are loudly sounded. It implies, furthermore, that the phenomenon is purely functional and not due to any defective power of appreciation ; as also that a division of the color-blind into the two categories of red-blind and green-blind is but a crude and imperfect approximation to a just classification.

If this view be correct, green is not seen as red in the majority of cases, but the several colors, yellow, orange, and red, are seen either with a great diminution of their intensity, or as different shades of green; while those greens in which the impression of color is not derived from the true green rays, but from an admixture of blue and yellow, as is the case with foliage, are seen of a strongly

[^85]bluish shade. The insensibility seems to extend over a range of refrangibilities varying greatly in different individuals, and of course modifies all composite colors by eliminating or greatly subduing those hues to which it extends. Our view is presented with diffidence, but seems to explain some observations otherwise apparently incompatible, such as the power of distinguishing certain dyes with ease, while the same colors appear not easily distinguishable in some other fabrics or in natural objects. Carefully conducted observations, accompanied by spectroscopic tests, could hardly fail to afford a decisive verdict as to the correctness of this explanation.

It has been seen by Table XV. that the proportionate number of color-blind found among the full blacks, or among the Indians, is not more than one half as great as among the white men. But a more remarkable fact is furnished by the proportion foqnd among those men of mixed black and white race, whose vision was tested in this respect. Of this class, only two men were found whose faculty of distinguishing colors is not recorded as perfect. Both of these were born in the Free States, as were 108 others, whose vision was complete; while of the 556 mulatto natives of Slave States in whom the perception of color were tested, not one is recorded as deficient in this respect. It will be seen, on reference to Chapter VIII., ${ }^{1}$ that several examiners were engaged in measurements of this class of men ; yet only future observations can determine how far its apparent immunity from color-blindness may be the result of insufficiency in the number or thoroughness of the examinations.

[^86]
## CHAPTER XV.

## MISOELLANEOUS CHARACTERISTICS.

## 1. Preliminary.

OUr schedule of questions included many inquiries upon which it has been found impracticable to enter, in the discussions comprised in this volume. Some of these possess intrinsic interest, others are chiefly valuable in their relations to other information elicited concerning the same individuals. Questions of lineage, of conjugal and social relations, of personal appearance, of muscular development, of past history, may be investigated to a considerable extent from the materials in our possession, and the discussion of the topics already considered might be advantageously extended by considering them severally in their relations to the physical or other characteristics which our opportunities preclude us from presenting here in any detail.

There are, however, one or two of these minor subjects concerning which it may be well to present some of the statistics collected, even though only in a general form, and without entering upon their relations to other traits, features, or qualities found in the same individuals.

In this chapter, therefore, we offer some tables containing general facts pertaining to the condition of the Teeth, to the prevalence of Baldness, and to the relative Pilosity of the black and the white races, and to these have added a general view of the degree of Education found among the soldiers examined in the later series, this in its turn entailing some general inquiry as to the Parentage of these men. These topics, although certainly incongruous, seem scarcely better in place elsewhere in the volume, and are therefore here combined in a single chapter by themselves.

## 2. Condition of Teeth.

Two questions concerning the teeth are included in the blank form adopted; one regarding their general condition, which was answered by referring it to one of the five grades, - good, fair,
medium, poor, and bad, - and the other as to the number lost, which was answered numerically. The results of these inquiries are here presented in tabular form, and scarcely require comment. The actual and the proportionate numbers are given in separate tables, and all the statistics pertain to white soldiers in usual vigor,

> T A B L E I.
> Classification by Number of Teeth Lost, and by Age.

| 48 | Number of Teeth Loat |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 7 |
| Onder 17 | 105 | 17 | 19 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 4 | - |
| 17 | 158 | 29 | 19 | 18 | 15 | 8 | 1 | - |
| 18 | 400 | 105 | 76 | 86 | 22 | 7 | 4 | 1 |
| 19 | 367 | 105 | 69 | 45 | 21 | 9 | 4 | 8 |
| 20 | 397 | 116 | 99 | 59 | 43 | 12 | 11 | 1 |
| 21 | 854 | 164 | 167 | 70 | 42 | 16 | 8 | 7 |
| 22 | 329 | 124 | 148 | 70 | 59 | 19 | 9 | 2 |
| 23 | 244 | 102 | 118 | 64 | 43 | 17 | 10 | 2 |
| 24 | 222 | 81 | 123 | 85 | 52 | 20 | 14 | 1 |
| 25 | 129 | 68 | 79 | 67 | 40 | 17 | 18 | 4 |
| 26 | 109 | 49 | 68 | 34 | 34 | 23 | 18 | 4 |
| 27 | 88 | 89 | 46 | 43 | 25 | 19 | 6 | 8 |
| 28 | 89 | 52 | 45 | 89 | 40 | 16 | 10 | 5 |
| 29 | 71 | 24 | 28 | 81 | 28 | 16 | 10 | 5 |
| 80 | 52 | 28 | 46 | 43 | 21 | 11 | 5 | 4 |
| 81 | 36 | 21 | 20 | 19 | 18 | 12 | 7 | 9 |
| 82 | 41 | 11 | 32 | 80 | 29 | 14 | 11 | 5 |
| 83 | 32 | 24 | 21 | 13 | 11 | 12 | 7 | 6 |
| 34 | 29 | 15 | 25 | 24 | 23 | 6 | 7 | 1 |
| 35 | 21 | 16 | 25 | 17 | 34 | 12 | 8 | 2 |
| 86 | 22 | 18 | 18 | 7 | 19 | 11 | 6 | 2 |
| 87 | 15 | 7 | 14 | 18 | 22 | 11 | 8 | 5 |
| 88 | 16 | 9 | 14 | 16 | 14 | 10 | 5 | 8 |
| 89 | 15 | 11 | 12 | 15 | 16 | 7 | 1 | 5 |
| 40 | 21 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 6 |
| 41-44 | 35 | 19 | 82 | 22 | 20 | 16 | 17 | 2 |
| 45-49 | 17 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 12 | 6 | 7 | 1 |
| 50 \& ovar | 8 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 7 | 2 | - |
| Total . | 3422 | 1260 | 1877 | 892 | 716 | 884 | 212 | 89 |

of the later series. The aggregate numbers of the several tables differ slightly in consequence of the answers to some of the inquiries being occasionally omitted or illegible. In a few cases answers have been rejected for manifest error.

TABLE I. - (Continued.)
Classification by Number of Teeth Lost,
and by Age.

| Ag\% | Number of Tooth Loot |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11-15 | 18-20 | Sovoral | Neerly | All | Total |
| Under 17 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 157 |
| 17 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 239 |
| 18 | 1 | - | 4 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 658 |
| 19 | 1 | - | 8 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 629 |
| 20 | 4 | 1 | 6 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 751 |
| 21 | 5 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 8 | - | - | 829 |
| 22 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 8 | - | 6 | - | - | 772 |
| 23 | 4 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 607 |
| 24 | 9 | 2 | 5 | 1 | - | 8 | - | - | 618 |
| 25 | 9 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 425 |
| 26 | 4 |  | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 845 |
| 27 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 4 | - | - | 287 |
| 28 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | 807 |
| 29 | 4 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 222 |
| 80 | 1 | - | 8 | 4 | 2 | - | - | - | 220 |
| 81 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | - | 148 |
| 82 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | 189 |
| 38 | 2 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 138 |
| 34 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 1 | - | - | 2 | 146 |
| 85 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 4 | - | - | - | - | 150 |
| 86 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | - | - | 110 |
| 37 | 2 | - | 8 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | 108 |
| 88 | 8 | - | 8 | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 102 |
| 89 | 4 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 88 |
| 40 | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 8 | - | - | 60 |
| 41-44 | 8 | 2 | 4 |  | 1 | 9 | 1 | 8 | 193 |
| $45 \cdot 49$ | 11 | 1 | 1 | - | 8 | 4 | - | - | 105 |
| S0 \& orer | 8 | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | 8 | - | 6 | 48 |
| Total | 117 | 20 | 69 | 48 | 28 | 52 | 8 | 12 | 8636 |

## TABLE II.

Proportional Distribution at each Age, by Number of Teeth Lost.

| 48 | Number of Teoth Lost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 7 |
| Onior 17 | 669 | 108 | 121 | 45 | 18 | 18 | 25 | - |
| 17 | 661 | 121 | 80 | 54 | 63 | 18 | 4 | - |
| 18 | 608 | 159 | 115 | 55 | 88 | 10 | 6 | 2 |
| 19 | 583 | 167 | 110 | 71 | 83 | 14 | 6 | 6 |
| 20 | 629 | 154 | 182 | 79 | 57 | 16 | 15 | 1 |
| 21 | 427 | 186 | 201 | 85 | 51 | 19 | 10 | 8 |
| 22 | 426 | 161 | 185 | 91 | 76 | 25 | 12 | 8 |
| 23 | 402 | 168 | 194 | 105 | 71 | 28 | 16 | 3 |
| 24 | 859 | 131 | 199 | 188 | 84 | 82 | 22 | 2 |
| 25 | 804 | 160 | 186 | 134 | 94 | 40 | 31 | 10 |
| 26 | 816 | 142 | 197 | 98 | 98 | 66 | 38 | 12 |
| 27 | 807 | 136 | 160 | 150 | 87 | 66 | 21 | 11 |
| 28 | 290 | 169 | 147 | 127 | 130 | 52 | 83 | 16 |
| 29 | 320 | 108 | 126 | 140 | 126 | 72 | 45 | 28 |
| 30 | 236 | 127 | 209 | 196 | 95 | 50 | 23 | 18 |
| 31 | 248 | 142 | 135 | 128 | 122 | 81 | 47 | 61 |
| 32 | 217 | 58 | 169 | 159 | 154 | 74 | 58 | 27 |
| 33 | 240 | 180 | 158 | 98 | 83 | 90 | 58 | 45 |
| 34 | 199 | 103 | 171 | 164 | 158 | 41 | 48 | 7 |
| 35 | 140 | 107 | 167 | 118 | 227 | 80 | 53 | 18 |
| 86 | 200 | 118 | 164 | 64 | 173 | 100 | 55 | 18 |
| 37 | 145 | 68 | 136 | 126 | 214 | 107 | 78 | 49 |
| 38 | 157 | 88 | 137 | 157 | 137 | 98 | 49 | 29 |
| 89 | 171 | 125 | 186 | 171 | 182 | 80 | 11 | 57 |
| 40 | 350 | 83 | 67 | 33 | 183 | 50 | 67 | 100 |
| 41-44 | 181 | 98 | 166 | 114 | 104 | 83 | 88 | 10 |
| 45-49 | 161 | 133 | 183 | 183 | 114 | 57 | 67 | 10 |
| 50 \& over | 186 | 47 | 23 | 93 | 70 | 163 | 47 | - |
| Total . | 896 | 146 | 159 | 103 | 88 | 39 | 25 | 10 |

TABLE II. - (Continued.)
Proportional Distribution at each Age, by Number of Teeth Lost.

| Age | Number of Teeth Lost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11-15 | 16-20 | Several | Nearly all | All |
| Under 17 | - | - | . - | - | - | 6 | - | - |
| 17 | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 18 | 2 | - | 6 | 2 | - | 2 | - | - |
| 19 | 2 | - | 5 | - | 2 | 2 | - | - |
| 20 | 5 | 1 | 8 | - | - | 3 | - | - |
| 21 | 6 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 4 | - | - |
| 22 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 4 | - | 6 | - | - |
| 23 | 7 | - | 2 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - |
| 24 | 15 | 3 | 8 | 2 | - | 5 | - | - |
| 25 | 21 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - |
| 26 | 12 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 3 | - | - |
| 27 | 28 | 3 | 3 | 11 | 3 | 14 | - | - |
| 28 | 10 | 3 | 13 | 7 | - | 3 | - | - |
| 29 | 18 | - | 9 |  | 4 | 5 | 4 | - |
| 30 | 5 | - | 14 | 18 | 9 | - | - | - |
| 31 | 7 | - | - | 7 | 14 | 13 | - | - |
| 32 | 32 | 5 | 5 | 21 | 5 | 11 | - | 5 |
| 33 | 15 | - | 8 | 15 | 8 | 8 | - | - |
| 34 | 27 | 27 | 7 | 27 | 7 | - | - | 14 |
| 35 | 53 | 7 | 13 | 27 | - | - | - | - |
| 36 | 45 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 27 | - | - |
| 37 | 19 | - | 29 | 19 | 10 | - | - | - |
| 38 | 79 | - | 29 | - | 20 | 10 | - | 10 |
| 39 | 45 | 11 | - | 11 | - | - | - | - |
| 40 | 33 | - | 17 | 17 | - | 50 | - | - |
| 41-44 | 16 | 10 | 21 | 36 | 5 | 47 | 5 | 16 |
| 45-49 | 105 | 10 | 10 | - | 29 | 38 | - | - |
| 50 \& over | 70 | - | 46 | 46 | 23 | 70 | - | 116 |
| Total . | 14 | 2 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 6 | - | 1 |

## TABLE III. <br> Classification by Number of Teeth Lost, and by Nativity.

| Nativity | Number of Teoch Lost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 7 |
| New England States . | 388 | 187 | 156 | 98 | 62 | 89 | 24 | 8 |
| New York, New Jersey, Penn. | 1145 | 425 | 445 | 325 | 270 | 140 | 88 | 31 |
| Ohio and Indiana . . . . | 651 | 212 | 247 | 144 | 97 | 50 | 24 | 11 |
| Michigan, Wisc, and Illinois . | 308 | 125 | 148 | 116 | 96 | 42 | 20 | 14 |
| Coast Slave States . . . | 104 | 46 | 52 | 24 | 28. | 18 | 7 | 5 |
| Kentucky and Tennessee . | 98 | 33 | 82 | 21 | 12 | 1 | 8 | 2 |
| Free States W. of Miss. River. |  | 3 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Slave States W. of Miss. River. | 8 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - |
| British Provinces excl. Canada | 14 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 4 | - | 2 | - |
| Canada | 184 | 83 | 69 | 46 | 87 | 12 | 8 | 5 |
| England . | 98 | 32 | 44 | 25 | 80 | 6 | 9 | 2 |
| Scotland . . | 25 | 9 | 7 | 12 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| Ireland . . | 268 | 81 | 80 | 44 | 44 | 13 | 8 | 2 |
| France, Belgium, etc. | 33 | 11 | 12 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Germany . . . . . | 161 | 52 | 70 | 86 | 23 | 15 | 10 | 2 |
| Scandinavia . . | 15 | 2 | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | 1 |
| Spain, etc. . | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Miscellaneous | 16 | 3 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| Total | 3426 | 1269 | 1372 | 907 | 719 | 839 | 207 | 88 |

T ABLE III. - (Continued.)
Classification by Number of Teeth Lost, and by Nativity.

| Nativity | Number of Treth Loet. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11-15 | 16-20 | Several | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Near- } \\ & \text { ly all } \end{aligned}\right.$ | All | Total |
| New England . . . . | 12 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 947 |
| N. Y., N. J., and Penn. . | 45 | 8 | 22 | 20 | 13 | 81 | 2 | 4 | 3014 |
| Ohio and Indiana . . . | 16 | 2 | 10 | 7 | - | 8 | - | - | 1374 |
| Mich., Wisc., and Illinois | 19 | 2 | 9 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | 902 |
| Coast Slave States . . | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | - | 1 | 290 |
| Kentucky and Tennessee | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | - | - | 206 |
| Free Sts. West Miss. River | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | 13 |
| Sl. Sts. West Miss. River | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | 19 |
| Brit. Prov. excl. Canada | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 35 |
| Canada . . | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 451 |
| England . | 4 | 8 | 8 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 260 |
| Scotland . | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 72 |
| Ireland . . . | 4 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 547 |
| France, Belgium, etc. . | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 77 |
| Germany . . | 9 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 8 | - | 2 | 393 |
| Scandinavia . . . . . | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 26 |
| Spain, etc. . . . | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 |
| Miscellaneous . . . | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 22 |
| Total . . . . . | 115 | 20 | 56 | 43 | 25 | 52 | 4 | 12 | 8654 |

## TABLE IV. <br> Proportional Distribution by Number of Teeth Lost, and by Nativity.

| Naidity | Number of Treeth Loot |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| New England | 410 | 145 | 165 | 104 | 66 | 41 | 25 | 8 |
| N. Y., N. J., and Penn. | 380 | 141 | 148 | 108 | 89 | 47 | 29 | 10 |
| Ohio and Indiana | 401 | 154 | 180 | 105 | 71 | 36 | 18 | 8 |
| Mich., Wisc., and thlinois | 341 | 189 | 164 | 129 | 106 | 47 | 22 | 16 |
| Coast Slave States | 359 | 159 | 179 | 83 | 97 | 45 | 24 | 17 |
| Kentucky and Tennessee | 475 | 160 | 155 | 102 | 58 | 5 | 15 | 10 |
| Free Sts. West Miss. River | 615 | 231 | 154 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Slave Sts. West Miss. River | 421 | 316 | 53 | 105 | 105 | - | - | - |
| 13rit. Prov. excl. Canada. | 400 | 171 | 143 | 86 | 114 | - | 67 | - |
| Canada. | 408 | 184 | 153 | 102 | 82 | 27 | 18 | 11 |
| England - | 877 | 123 | 169 | 96 | 115 | 23 | 34 | 8 |
| Scotland | 347 | 126 | 97 | 167 | 83 | 55 | 42 | 55 |
| Ircland . | 490 | 148 | 146 | 80 | 80 | 24 | 15 | 4 |
| France, Belgium, etc. | 428 | 143 | 156 | 91 | 91 | 26 | 13 | 13 |
| Germany | 410 | 132 | 178 | 92 | 58 | 88 | 25 | 6 |
| Scandinavia | 577 | 77 | 77 | 115 | - | - | - | 38 |
| Spain, etc. | 333 | 500 | - | - | - | 167 | - | - |
| Miscellaneous | 727 | 136 | - | 46 | 45 | 46 | - | - |
| Total | 396 | 147 | 159 | 105 | 88 | 89 | 24 | 10 |

The end-results of our Tables I.-IV. may be concisely exhibited, by showing the average number of teeth lost by the soldiers of each nativity without regard to age, and by those at each age without regard to their nativity. This is done in the next Table V., in which the average number lost is given for each group, to two decimal places. In computing these mean values the answer "several" has been interpreted as meaning on the average 6 , and

TABLE IV. - (Continued.)
Proportional Distribution by Number of Teeth Lost, and by Nativity.

| Natrity | Number of Teeth lost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8 | 9 | 10 | 12-15 | 16-20 | Several | $\mathbf{N e a r i l y}^{\text {Nill }}$ | All |
| New England . . | 18 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 2 |
| N. Y., N. J., and Pean. . | 15 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 10 | 1 | 1 |
| Ohio and Indiana . . . | 12 | 1 | 7 | 5 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Mich., Wisc, and Ilinois | 21 | 2 | 10 | 2 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Cosast Slave States . . . | 7 | - | 7 |  | 8 | 14 | - | 8 |
| Kentucky and Tennessee | 6 | - | 5 | 6 | 5 | - | - | - |
| Free Sts. West Miss. River | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Slave Sts. West Miss, River | - | - | - | $\rightarrow$ | $\square$ | - | - | - |
| Brit. Prov. excl. Canada . | - | - | 29 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Canada. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 2 | - | - |
| England | 15 | 12 | 12 | 4 | $\checkmark$ | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Scotland . | 14 | - | - | 14 | - | - | - | - |
| Ireland . . . . . | 7 | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | 2 |
| France, Belgium, etc. . . | 13 | - | - | - | 26 | - | - | - |
| Germany . | 23 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 6 | 8 | - | 5 |
| Scandinaria | - | - | - | 89 | - | 39 | - | 38 |
| Spain, etc. - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Miscellaneous | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 18 | 2 | 7 | 5. | 9. | 6 | - | 1 |

"nearly all" has been used as 20. These very arbitrary attempts at assigning average numerical values to vague words are of course only justifiable by the imperative necessity of the case; and it is satisfactory to add the statement that a considerable deviation from these numbers would be scarcely perceptible in its influence upon our results. The number of men belonging to each class has been given in Tables I. and III.

## TABLE V. <br> Average Number of Teeth Lost, by Age, and also by Nativity.

| Ago | Number Loat | Nativity | Number Loot |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Onder 17 | 0.79 |  |  |
| 17 | 0.82 |  |  |
| 18 | 0.89 |  |  |
| 19 | 0.98 |  |  |
| 20 | 1.21 |  |  |
| 21 | 1.38 | New England . . . . . | 1.88 |
| 22 | 1.51 | New York, New Jersey, \& Penn. | 2.09 |
| 23 | 1.54 | Ohio and Indiana . . . . | 1.71 |
| 24 | 1.86 | Michigan, Wisconsin, and Illinois | 2.07 |
| 25 | 2.18 | Coast Slave States . . . | 2.06 |
| 26 | 2.19 | Kentucky and Tennessee . . . | 1.43 |
| 27 | 2.35 | Free States W. of Miss. River - | 0.54 |
| 28 | 2.28 | Slave States W. of Miss. River . | 1.16 |
| 29 | 2.50 | British Provinces excl. Canada. | 1.80 |
| 80 | 2.60 | Canada . . | 1.62 |
| 81 | 2.86 | England . . . . . . . . | 2.20 |
| 82 | 3.35 | Scotland . . . . . . . . | 2.36 |
| 33 | 2.77 | Ireland . . . . . . . | 1.38 |
| 34 | 3.56 | France, Belgium, and Switzerland | 2.01 |
| 35 | 3.47 | Germany . | 2.13 |
| 86 | 3.26 | Scandinavia . | 2.81 |
| 37 | 3.79 | Spain, etc. . . . . . . . | 1.33 |
| 38 | 4.02 | Miscellancous . . . . . | 0.68 |
| 89 | 3.11 |  |  |
| 40 | 8.15 |  |  |
| 4144 | 4.07 |  |  |
| 45-49 | 8.77 |  |  |
| 50 \& over | 7.98 |  |  |
| Total . . | 1.924 | Total . | 1.922 |

Considering next the condition of the teeth, without reference to the number actually lost, this is shown by the four tables next following, which give both the actual and the proportional numbers, assorted by age and by nativity.

## TABLE VI.

Classification by Condition of Teeth,
and by Age.

| Ago | Good | Yair | Medium | Poor | Bed | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 17 | 151 | 4 | - | 8 | - - | 163 |
| 17 | 227 | 4 | - | 17 | - | 248 |
| 18 | 610 | 12 | 2 | 43 | - | 667 |
| 19 | 583 | 5 | - | 47 | 4 | 639 |
| 20 | 664 | 13 | 4 | 87 | 2 | 770 |
| 21 | 725 | 21 | 5 | 81 | 3 | 835 |
| 22 | 665 | 19 | 4 | 103 | 9 | 800 |
| 23 | 546 | 10 | 9 | 61 | 3 | 629 |
| 24 | 526 | 13 | 7 | 85 | 7 | 638 |
| 25 | 352 | 8 | 2 | 66 | 4 | 432 |
| 26 | 279 | 8 | 2 | 72 | 4 | 865 |
| 27 | 216 | 5 | 6 | 61 | 10 | 298 |
| 28 | 228 | 10 | 8 | 68 | - | 809 |
| 29 | 165 | 9 | 1 | 56 | 2 | 233 |
| 30 | 168 | 5 | 8 | 52 | 4 | 232 |
| 31 | 108 | 2 | 1 | 37 | 2 | 150 |
| 32 | 128 | 9 | - | 65 | 3 | 205 |
| 33 | 104 | 4 | - | 26 | 4 | 138 |
| 34 | 102 | 8 | 2 | 44 | 5 | 156 |
| 85 | 95 | 4 | 2 | 58 | 2 | 161 |
| 88 | 80 | 2 | 1 | 28 | 2 | 113 |
| 37 | 63 | 1 | - | 37 | 4 | 105 |
| 88 | 70 | 1 | - | 86 | - | 107 |
| 39 | 55 | 8 | - | 22 | - | 80 |
| 40 | 46 | 8 | 2 | 11 | 5 | 67 |
| 41-44 | 148 | 4 | 2 | 44 | 18 | 211 |
| 4549 | 67 | 5 | - | 35 | 4 | 111 |
| B0 \& over | 25 | - | - | 20 | - | 45 |
| Total | 7196 | 187 | 58 | 1370 | 96 | 8907 |

TABLE VII.
Proportional Distribution by Condition of Teeth, and by Age.

| A80 | Good | Fatr | Madum | Poor | Bed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ondore 17 | 928 | 25 | - | 49 | - |
| 17 | 915 | 16 | - | 69 | - |
| 18 | 915 | 18 | 8 | 64 | - |
| 19 | 912 | 8 | - | 74 | 6 |
| 20 | 862 | 17 | 5 | 118 | 8 |
| 21 | 868 | 25 | 6 | 97 | 4 |
| 22 | 831 | 24 | 5 | 129 | 11 |
| 23 | 868 | 16 | 14 | 97 | 5 |
| 24 | 825 | 20 | 11 | 138 | 11 |
| 25 | 815 | 19 | 5 | 152 | 8 |
| 26 | 764 | 22 | 6 | 197 | 11 |
| 27 | 725 | 17 | 20 | 205 | 83 |
| 28 | 738 | 82 | 10 | 220 | - |
| 29 | 708 | 89 | 4 | 240 | 9 |
| 80 | 724 | 22 | 18 | 224 | 17 |
| 81 | 720 | 18 | 7 | 247 | 18 |
| 52 | 625 | 44 | - | 817 | 14 |
| 83 | 754 | 29 | - | 188 | 29 |
| 84 | 654 | 19 | 18 | 282 | 88 |
| 85 | 591 | 25 | 12 | 360 | 12 |
| 36 | 708 | 18 | 9 | 248 | 17 |
| 87 | 600 | 10 | - | 852 | 38 |
| 88 | 654 | 10 | - | 886 | - |
| 89 | 687 | 88 | - | 275 | - |
| 40 | 687 | 45 | 80 | 163 | 75 |
| 41-44 | 701 | 19 | 10 | 209 | 63 |
| 45-49 | 604 | 45 | - | 816 | 86 |
| 50 \& over | 556 | - | - | 414 | - |
| Total . | 808 | 20 | 7 | 154 | 11 |

## TABLE VIII. <br> Classification by Condition of Teeth, and by Nativity.

| Nattelty | Good | Farr | Medium | Poor | Bed | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England States | 806 | 26 | 5 | 141 | 6 | 984 |
| N. Y., N. J., and Penn. - . | 2483 | 90 | 10 | 474 | 64 | 8121 |
| Ohio and Indiana . . . . | 1137 | 25 | 14 | 238 | 4 | 1418 |
| Michigan, Wisconsin, and 11. | 700 | 5 | 8 | 226 | 1 | 935 |
| Coast Slave States . . . | 241 | 7 | 6 | 44 | 4 | 802 |
| Kentucky and Tennessee . . | 169 | 8 | 8 | 88 | 1 | 219 |
| Free States W. of Miss. River . | 10 | - | 1 | 2 | - | 18 |
| Slave States W. of Miss. River . | 19 | - | - | 1 | - | 20 |
| British Provinces excl. Canada . | 28 | 1 | - | 6 | 1 | 86 |
| Canada. | 401 | 8 | 8 | 50 | 5 | 467 |
| England . | 219 | 8 | - | 41 | 4 | 267 |
| Scotland . | 62 | 1 | - | 5 | 1 | 69 |
| Ireland . . . . | 491 | 11 | - | 57 | 2 | 561 |
| France, Belgium, etc. . | ${ }^{67}$ | 1 | 2 | 10 | - | 80 |
| Germany - . | 351 | 8 | 1 | 44 | - | 404 |
| Scandinaria | 21 | - | 1 | 4 | - | 26 |
| Spain, etc. . . | 5 | 1 | - | - | - | 6 |
| Miscellaneous . | 23 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 25 |
| Total | 7233 | 191 | 54 | 1877 | 98 | 8948 |

> T A B L E IX.
> Proportional Distribution, by Condition of Teeth and by Nativity.

| Nattrity | Oood | Far | Medium | Poor | Bad |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England States - | 819 | 27 | 5 | 143 | 6 |
| N. Y., N. J., and Penn. . . . | 795 | 29 | 8 | 152 | 21 |
| Ohio and Indiana . . . | 805 | 18 | 10 | 164 | 8 |
| Michigan, Wisconsin, Illinois | 749 | 5 | 8 | 242 | 1 |
| Coast Slave States . . | 798 | 23 | 20 | 145 | 14 |
| Kentucky and Tennessee . . . | 770 | 12 | 86 | 178 | 4 |
| Free States W. of Miss. River . | 769 | - | 77 | 154 | - |
| Slave States W. of Miss. River. | 950 | - | - | 50 | - |
| Br. Provinces excluding Canada | 777 | 28 | - | 167 | 28 |
| Canada . | 859 | 17 | 6 | 107 | 11 |
| England - | 821 | 11 | - | 154 | 14 |
| Scotland . . | 899 | 15 | - | 72 | 14 |
| Ireland . . . . . . . | 875 | 20 | - | 102 | 8 |
| France, Belginm, etc. . . | 887 | 13 | 25 | 125 | - |
| Germany . . | 868 | 20 | 8 | 109 | - |
| Scandinavia . . . . . . | 808 | - | 88 | 154 | - |
| Spain, Portugal, etc. . | 838 | 167 | - | - | - |
| Miscellaneous . . . . . . | 920 | 40 | - | 40 | - |
| Total . . . . . . . | 808 | 21 | 6 | 154 | 11 |

## 3. Baldness.

Question 25 asked the color, amount, and texture of the hair; and, for those who were bald, the age at which their baldness became distinct. For any general deductions concerning its color, the overwhelming mass of statistics subsequently gathered from the enlistment-rolls, supersedes any deductions which might be drawn from the records of the 20000 white men examined by our agents in the field; and the chief value of the answers to this inquiry recorded on our examination-reports consists in their relation to answers to yet other inquiries.

Thus classifications of the amount of hair according to its texture, to its color, and to the answers to some of the other questions, - tabular views exhibiting the relations of texture to color, those between the tendency to baldness, and the education of the
individual, etc., - would in all probability afford results of interest and value. These inquiries, like so many others, must be left for other inquirers whose interest may lead them to obtain the facts from our records. Only a few tabulations are here attempted, showing the relative amount of baldness, which is of course small for a class of men so young as the great majority of those examined. These tabulations we will present as concisely as possible.

TABLE X.
Baldness observed among Soldiers.
Earlier Series, by Nativity.

| Fativity | In usual Vigor |  |  | Not tin usual Vigor |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. Examined | No. Bald | Proportion | No. Examined | No. | Propor- |
| New England. | 588 | 5 | . 009 | 355 | 7 | . 020 |
| New York . . | 1506 | 7 | . 005 | 550 | 4 | . 007 |
| New Jersey and Pennsylvania | 833 | 8 | . 004 | 363 | 4 | . 011 |
| Ohio and other Western States . | 293 | 1 | . 003 | 185 | - | - |
| Slave States | 1650 | 18 | . 011 | 374 | 4 | . 011 |
| Canada . | 134 | 1 | . 007 | 51 | - | - |
| England and Scotland . | 145 | 2 | . 014 | 71 | - | - |
| Ireland. | 345 | 3 | . 009 | 122 | - | - |
| Germany | 179 | 1 | . 006 | 77 | 5 | . 065 |
| Miscellaneous . . . . . | 63 | 4 | . 063 | 20 | - | - |
| Total . | 5736 | 45 | . 008 | 2168 | 24 | . 011 |

## TABLE XI. <br> Baldness observed among Soldiers.

Later Series, by Nativity.

| Fativity | In usual Vigor |  |  | Not in usual Vigor |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. Ex amined | No. Bald | $\begin{gathered} \text { Propor- } \\ \text { tion } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. Ex- } \\ & \text { amined } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \text { Bald } \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{gathered} \text { Propor } \\ \text { ton } \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ |
| New England. - | 1000 | 21 | . 021 | 211 | 4 | . 019 |
| N. Y., N. J., and Penn. . . | 3177 | 81 | . 010 | 588 | 5 | . 009 |
| Ohio and Indiana . | 1443 | 4 | . 003 | 219 | 2 | . 009 |
| Mich., Wisc., and Illinois . | 945 | 8 | . 002 | 71 | - | - |
| Coast Slave States . . . . | 815 | 7 | . 022 | 52 | 1 | . 019 |
| Kentucky and Tennessee . . . | 228 | 2 | . 009 | 44 | 2 | . 045 |
| States West of Mississippi River | 56 | - | - | 5 | - | - |
| British Provinces - . . | 510 | 8 | . 006 | 48 | - | - |
| England . . . . . . . | 279 | 5 | . 018 | 47 | - | - |
| Scotland . . . . . . | 70 | 2 | . 029 | 11 | - | - |
| Ireland . . . . . . | 648 | 7 | . 011 | 179 | 4 | . 022 |
| France, Belgium, etc. . . . | 84 | - | - | 16 | - | - |
| Germany . . . . . . . | 462 | 9 | . 019 | 100 | 1 | . 010 |
| Other countries . . . . . | 59 | 1 | . 017 | 14 | - | - |
| Total . . . . . . . . | 9271 | 94 | . 010 | 1605 | 19 | . 012 |

## TABLE XII.

Baldness observed among Sailors and Students, by Nativity.

| Fattrity | Sellors |  |  | students |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No Kxamined | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \text { Bald } \end{aligned}$ | Propor- | No. Examined | No. Bald | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Propor- } \\ \text { tion } \end{gathered}\right.$ |
| New England . . . . . . . | 129 | 5 | . 039 | 156 | 3 | . 019 |
| New York, New Jersey, \& Penn. | - 155 | 3 | . 019 | 95 | 1 | . 011 |
| British Am. Prov., excl. Canada | 50 | 1 | . 020 |  |  |  |
| England . . . . . . . - | 102 | 2 | . 020 |  |  |  |
| Ireland . . . . . . . . . | 335 | 5 | . 015 | \} 40 | 0 |  |
| Germany . . . . . . . . | 62 | 1 | . 016 | 40 | 0 |  |
| Spain, etc. . . . . . . . . | 18 | 1 | . 056 |  |  |  |
| All others (not assorted) . . . | 210 | 0 | - |  |  |  |
| Total . . . . . . . . | 1061 | 18 | . 017 | 291 | 4 | . 014 |

## TABLE XIII.

Baldness observed among Negroes, by Nativity.

| Clase | In usual Vigor |  |  | Not in usual Vigor |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. Examined | No. Bald | Proportion | No. Examined | No. Bald | $\begin{array}{\|} \text { Propor- } \\ \text { tion } \end{array}$ |
| Full Blacks |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natives of Free States . . . | 194 | 1 | . 005 | 32 | 1 | . 031 |
| Natives of Slave States . . . | 1598 | 1 | . 001 | 196 | 8 | . 015 |
| Mulattoes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natives of Free States . | 127 | - | - | 42 | 1 | . 024 |
| Natives of Slave States . . | 592 | 1 | . 002 | 102 | 2 | . 020 |
| Total . . . . . . . | 2511 | 8 | . 001 | 872 | 7 | . 019 |

Mr. Russell states that among more than 2100 negroes specially observed by him and belonging to the troops of the 25th Army

Corps on the Rio Grande, in addition to those regularly examined, he saw but one bald head.

The assortment by ages is less easy, since sundry difficulties would render the exact determination of the total number examined at each age a matter of considerable labor. It offers, moreover, less promise of valuable results, since what we really want is not the relative amount of baldness corresponding to each age for the men examined, but that corresponding to each age for the time of its occurrence. A tabulation according to the first named principle might not improbably afford the best means of attaining the results corresponding to the second were the numbers dealt with sufficiently large, but this is not the case. Moreover, a large proportion of the cases observed in so young a body of men are probably abnormal, as is shown not only by the irregular sequence of the numbers, but likewise by the circumstance that the baldness was in comparatively few cases of recent occurrence.

The average time during which the baldness had already existed, according to the statements of the men, was as follows:-

| clam | No. of Men | Mean Age | $\triangle$ verace Timo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Soldiers, Earlier Series . | 64 | $37.29$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.70 \\ 9.70 \end{gathered}$ |
| Soldiers, Later Series | 112 | 87.62 | 8.61 |
| Sailors | 18 | 35.72 | 7.78 |
| Students | 4 | 24.25 | 5.00 |
| Fall Blacks. | 4 | 42.50 | 16.50 |
| Mulattoes | 4 | 39.00 | 18.75 |
| Indians ${ }^{1}$. | 0 | - | - |

The abnormal cases which evidently form a large proportion of the total number recorded were certainly in many instances the result of existing or past constitutional disease, and should as such be excluded from an investigation into the general tendency, among any class of men. One negro of unmixed race born in Connecticut, stated that he shed his hair annually.

The next two tables give a classification by age at the time of examination of the white soldiers and of the negroes who are recorded as bald, a vague expression at the best. In the table of soldiers the two series of examinations are combined, and the men not in usual vigor are distinguished from the others and ex-

[^87]hibit a larger proportion of baldness. In both tables the number of men examined at each age is deduced by careful estimate and not by actual counting.

TABLE XIV.
Baldness observed among Soldiers, by Age when examined.

| Age | In usual Vigor |  |  | Not in usual Vigor |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of Men | No. Bald | Proportion | No. of Mon ${ }^{1}$ | No. Beld | Proportion |
| Under 21 | 4339 | 1 | - | 1091 | - | - |
| 21-23 | 8902 | 8 | . 001 | 980 | - | - |
| 24-26 | 2401 | 5 | . 002 | 604 | 2 | . 003 |
| 27-29 | 1448 | 13 | . 009 | 364 | 4 | . 011 |
| 30-32 | 934 | 17 | . 018 | 236 | 2 | . 008 |
| 33-35 | 689 | 22 | . 032 | 173 | 4 | . 023 |
| 36-38 | 504 | 16 | . 032 | 127 | 7 | . 055 |
| 39-41 | 282 | 13 | . 046 | 71 | 4 | . 056 |
| 42-44 | 258 | 24 | . 093 | 65 | 5 | . 077 |
| 45 \& over | 250 | 25 | . 100 | 62 | 15 | . 242 |

TABLE XV.
Baldness observed among Negroes,
by Age when examined.

| A80 | Fall Blacks |  |  | Mulattoes |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of Men | No. Bald | Proportion | No. of Men | No. Bald | Proportion |
| Under 21 | 490 | - | - | 184 | - | - |
| 21-26 | 969 | 1 | . 001 | 385 | - | - |
| 27-32 | 837 | - | - | 162 | 2 | . 012 |
| 33-38 | 124 | 1 | . 008 | 63 | - | - |
| 89-44 | 58 | 1 | . 017 | 42 | 1 | . 024 |
| 45 \& over | 42 | 8 | . 071 | 27 | 1 | . 037 |

[^88]An attempt to arrange the numbers according to the alleged ages at which baldness first occurred, gives the following result.

## TABLE XVI.

Age at which Baldmess appeared.

| A80 | Whitos |  | Blecta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Earlier Series | Latar Sories |  |
| Under 18 | - | 8 | - |
| 18-20 | , 11 | 14 | - |
| 21-28 | 9 | 15 | 1 |
| 24-26 | 17 | 18 | 3 |
| 27-29 | 5 | 8 | 2 |
| 30-32 | 7 | 19 | 1 |
| 33-35 | 8 | 17 | - |
| 3638 | 8 | 9 | - |
| 39-41 | 1 | 11 | - |
| 42-45 | 1 | 4 | - |
| Over 45 | 2 | 11 | 1 |

## 4. Pilosity of Negroes.

The question as to the relative amount of pilosity, or general hairiness of body, in the white and black races is one of some anthropological and ethnological interest. In order to obtain if possible some general information on this subject, Mr. Russell, when accompanying the 25th Army Corps to the Texan boundary, was requested to avail himself of any opportunity which might occur, to observe the colored troops when unclothed, and to record the pilosity upon a scale in which a skin apparently perfectly smooth should be denoted by 0 , and an amount of general hairiness equal to the maximum which he had ever seen or should see in a white man, should be called 10. This commission Mr. Russell executed by observing the men while bathing, which was an event of almost daily occurrence in the torrid climate near the mouth of the Rio Grande. He thus noted the relative pilosity of 2129 different colored soldiery, full blacks and mulatoes together ; and gives the following as the result of his subsequent counting.

| Degree of Piloaity | No. of Men |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 9 |
| 1 | 85 |
| 2 | 152 |
| 8 | 290 |
| 4 | 871 |
| 5 | 512 |
| 6 | 857 |
| 7 | 264 |
| 8 | 118 |
| 9 | 21 |
| 10 | 0 |

The excellent distribution of these numbers is manifest at a glance, as also is the unavoidable inference that there is but little, if any, difference between the white and the black races in this respect.

## 5. Education and Parentage.

The only remaining characteristic of our men which we have undertaken to investigate is the amount of their education. This, as will be seen by reference to the schedule of questions, was classified in five grades, - of which the lowest was represented by a "limited common school education," and the highest by a "professional " training, this presupposing the "collegiate" education which represented the second grade. To these five is of course to be added a sixth; in which the individual was unable either to read or write. Our reports have shown that this division was inadequate, inasmuch as many of the examiners found it necessary to introduce a degree inferior to what would be called a limited common school education, yet not so low as altogether to preclude the individual from reading and writing, consequently we have many men recorded as possessing a "slight" education, while the absence of this grade on our printed blanks has rendered the number referred to it relatively small. From the best estimate we are able to make it would seem that the number properly belonging to this grade is nearly intermediate between those in the grades adjacent, - and that these two grades have generally drawn from this one in our records nearly in the proportion of their respective numbers. This fact must be kept in view in any inferences drawn from our tables.
We will first give, both for the soldiers of the later series and for the sailors, two tables exhibiting respectively the actual and the proportional numbers of the men examined, assorted by nativities and by grades of education.

## TABLE XVII.

Distribution of the Soldiers examined in Later Series, according to Education and Nativity.

| Nativity | None | Suight | Limited Com. School | Good Com. School | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IIIgh } \\ & \text { School } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Collegi- } \\ & \text { ate } \end{aligned}$ | Profen. stomal | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England | 80 | 1 | 403 | 643 | 86 | 8 | 8 | 1174 |
| N. Y., N. J., Penn. . | 132 | 37 | 1627 | 1698 | 169 | 22 | 14 | 3699 |
| Ohio and Indiana . | 63 | 43 | 857 | 614 | 46 | 7 | 7 | 1697 |
| Mich., Wisc., and IIl. | 24 | 8 | 656 | 286 | 28 | 4 | - | 1001 |
| Coast Slave States . | 58 | 7 | 139 | 121 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 338 |
| Kentucky and Tenn. | 53 | 6 | 128 | 78 | 1 | - | 1 | 266 |
| States W. Miss. Riv. | 1 | 1 | 15 | 21 | 3 | - | - | 41 |
| Brit. Prov. ex. Can. | - | 1 | 10 | 23 | 4 | - | - | 38 |
| Canada . . . . | 92 | 10 | 237 | 152 | 18 | 8 | 1 | 808 |
| England . . . . | 16 | 8 | 148 | 114 | 4 | 2 | - | 287 |
| Scotland . . | 1 | 2 | 39 | 30 | 7 | 2 | - | 81 |
| Ireland . . . . | 106 | 10 | 379 | 210 | 12 | 3 | - | 720 |
| France, etc. . . | 2 | 8 | 22 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 42 |
| Germany . . . | 15 | 18 | 226 | 210 | 24 | 2 | 4 | 494 |
| Scandinavia . . | 1 | - | 16 | 14 | - | - | - | 81 |
| Other Countries . | 12 | 1 | 48 | 44 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 115 |
| Total . | 606 | 145 | 4950 | 4269 | 409 | 59 | 84 | 10472 |

## TABLE XVIII.

## Relative Distribution of Soldiers, by Education and Nativity.

| Nativity | Node | 8Hght | Lmited School | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Good } \\ & \text { Common } \\ & \text { School } \end{aligned}$ | High 8chool | Collosiato | Profendional |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England . . | 25 | 1 | 348 | 548 | 78 | 7 | 8 |
| N. Y., N. J., Penn. . | 86 | 10 | 440 | 459 | 45 | 6 | 4 |
| Ohio and Indiana . | 39 | 26 | 524 | 375 | 28 | 4 | 4 |
| Mich., Wisc., and Ill. | 24 | 8 | 655 | 286 | 23 | 4 | - |
| Coast Slave States . | 171 | 21 | 411 | 858 | 27 | 6 | 6 |
| Kentucky and Tenn. | 199 | 19 | 481 | 293 | 4 | - | 4 |
| States W. Miss. Riv. | 24 | 24 | 366 | 513 | 78 | - | - |
| Brit. Prov. ex. Can. | - | 27 | 263 | 605 | 105 | - | - |
| Canada . . | 181 | 20 | 466 | 299 | 26 | 6 | 2 |
| Eugland . . . | 56 | 10 | 516 | 897 | 14 | 7 | - |
| Scotland . . | 12 | 25 | 482 | 870 | 86 | 25 | - |
| Ireland . . . | 147 | 14 | 526 | 292 | 17 | 4 | - |
| France, etc. . . . | 48 | 71 | 524 | 262 | 47 | 24 | 14 |
| Germany . . | 80 | 26 | 458 | 425 | 49 | 4 | 8 |
| Scandinaria . . | 32 | - | 517 | 451 | - | - | - |
| Other Countries . | 104 | 9 | 417 | 883 | 62 | 26 | 9 |
| Total . . . | 58 | 14 | 478 | 408 | 39 | 5 | 3 |

## TABLE XIX.

Distribution of the Sailors examined, ${ }^{1}$
by Education and Nativity.

| Nativity | None | 84ight | Limited Com. School | Good Com. School | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { High } \\ \text { 8chool } \end{array}\right.$ | Colleg1- ate | Profeesional | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England . . | 5 | 14 | 114 | 15 | - | - | - | 148 |
| N. Y., N. J., Penn. - | 5 | 16 | 182 | 22 | - | 1 | - | 176 |
| Ohio and Indiana . | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | 3 |
| Mich., Wisc., and Ill. | 1 | 1 | 8 | 2 | - | - | - | 7 |
| Coast Slave States . | 8 | 8 | 16 | 5 | - | 1 | - | 28 |
| Kentucky and Tenn. | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| States W. Miss. Riv. | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| Brit. Prov. ex. Can. | 9 | 6 | 83 | 8 | 1 | - | - | 52 |
| Canada . . . | 8 | 1 | 16 | 2 | - | - | - | 22 |
| England. . . . | 17 | 15 | 80 | 2 | - | - | - | 114 |
| Scotland . . . | 4 | 8 | 23 | 3 | - | - | - | 33 |
| Ireland . . . | 72 | 65 | 255 | 6 | - | - | - | 888 |
| France . . . | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | - | - | - | 8 |
| Germany . . . | 4 | 5 | 44 | 10 | 1 | 1 | - | 65 |
| Scandinavia . - | 11 | 9 | 62 | 1 | - | - | - | 83 |
| Other Countries . . | 16 | 9 | 39 | 2 | - | - | - | 66 |
| Total . | 153 | 138 | 825 | 75 | 2 | 8 | - | 1196 |

1 The clothed Sailors and the Marines are included in this table.

## TABLE XX. <br> Relative Distribution of Sailors, by Education and Nativity.

| Nativity | Nono | 84ight | Umited Common School | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Good } \\ & \text { Common } \\ & \text { Bchool } \end{aligned}$ | High School | Collogiate | Professional |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England . | 84 | 95 | 770 | 101 | - | - | - |
| N. Y., N. J., Penn. - | 28 | 91 | 750 | 125 | - | 6 | - |
| Ohio and Indiana . | - | - | 667 | 833 | - | - | - |
| Mich., Wisc., and Ill. | 148 | 143 | 428 | 286 | - | - | - |
| Coast Slave States . | 107 | 107 | 571 | 179 | - | 86 | - |
| Kentucky and Tenn. | - | - | 1000 | - | - | - | - |
| States W. Miss. Riv. | 500 | - | 500 | - | - | - | - |
| Brit. Prov. ex. Can. | 173 | 115 | 635 | 58 | 19 | - | - |
| Canada . | 186 | 46 | 727 | 91 | - | - | - |
| England . . . | 149 | 132 | 702 | 17 | - | - | - |
| Scotland . . | 121 | 91 | 697 | 91 | - | - | - |
| Ireland . | 186 | 142 | 657 | 15 | - | - | - |
| France . | 250 | 125 | 500 | 125 | - | - | - |
| Germany . . | 62 | 77 | 677 | 154 | 15 | 15 | - |
| Scandinavia . . | 138 | 108 | 747 | 12 | - | - | - |
| Other Countries . . | 243 | 186 | 591 | 30 | - | - | - |
| Total . | 128 | 115. | 690 | 63 | 2 | 2 | - |

Of the 10472 soldiers and 1196 sailors including in the foregoing tables, 8156 soldiers and 365 sailors, 8521 in all, were Americans (i. e. citizens of the United States) by birth. For 235 of these, of whom 43 could not read and write, we are not in possession of the nativity of the parents. The parentage of the remainder was as exhibited by the next table.

## TABLE XXI.

Parentage of the Native American Soldiers and Sailors examined.

| Mother's Nativity | Fether's Natirity |  |  |  |  |  | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Native Amer. | Britiah Provinces | English | Iriah | German | Other |  |
| Native Amer. | 6826 | 48 | 68 | 66 | 46 | 54 | 7103 |
| British Prov. . | 51 | 72 | 1 | 5 | - | 6 | 135 |
| English . . | 46 | 8 | 127 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 191 |
| Irish . . | 55 | 4 | 16 | 898 | 4 | 11 | 488 |
| German . - | 35 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 161 | 10 | 214 |
| Others . . | 36 | 2 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 97 | 155 |
| Totals . | 7049 | 130 | 218 | 486 | 219 | 184 | 8286 |

If now we assort the 333 native Americans who could not read and write, by their parentage in the same manner, we find -

TABLE XXII.
Parentage of Uneducated Native Americans.

| Mother's Nativity | Father's Nativity |  |  |  |  |  | Totale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Native Amer. | Britiah Provinces | English | Irish | German | Others |  |
| Native Amer. | 275 | - | 4 | 5 | 8 | - | 287 |
| British Prov. . | 3 | 10 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 16 |
| English . . | 1 | - | 4 | - | - | - | 5 |
| Irish . . | - | 1 | 2 | 14 | - | - | 17 |
| German . . | 1 | - | - | - | 4 | - | 5 |
| Others . . | 1 | - | - | - | - | 8 | 4 |
| Totals . | 281 | 11 | 10 | 20 | 7 | 4 | 833 |

A comparison of the figures in Table XXII., with those obtained by reducing the numbers of Table XXI. to the same scale, shows a close similarity, the only marked excess in the actual number of the uneducated over that which would correspond to the
proportional number of the same class examined, being for men -hose parents were natives of the British Provinces. For the $\therefore$ 'ie of comparison we append the proportionate numbers obtained trom Table XXI. by reducing it throughout in the ratio of 8286 to 333.


## CHAPTER XVI.

## MILITARY BERVICE.

## 1. Statistics collected.

The great mass of the statistics which have been collected by the Sanitary Commission belong to the strictly military class, and are more or less directly connected with questions of health or of mortality. From prompt and thorough discussion of these materials, and from investigations to which such discussion would call attention, the Commission anticipated its principal means of usefulness, in discovering the hygienic needs of our soldiers and bringing them to the attention of the proper authorities; as also in furnishing from its own resources such remedies as might demand greater promptitude than could always be attained through official channels in times of special emergency.

For this purpose an elaborate system of camp-inspections was organized, with an efficient corps of inspectors; and blank forms ${ }^{1}$ were prepared containing a very large number of questions, designed for the twofold purpose of obtaining information and of impressing indirectly upon commanding officers various considerations of importance to the welfare of their men. An account of these camp inspections and of their effect may be found ${ }^{2}$ in Professor Stille's "History of the U. S. Sanitary Commission." About 1500 reports of the inspections, made between the months of July 1861 and April 1863, and each containing answers to a number of questions varying from 60 to 180 , were received by the Commission and have been carefully discussed by its statistical department. The results of more than 1200 reports, comprising about 176000 answers, are elaborately assorted and tabulated with a view to their consultation with the least possible trouble, and the documents are preserved with our other archives. They contain valuable and interesting information regarding the sanitary history of the army, but are too extensive for convenient pablication, and scarcely capa-

[^89]${ }^{2}$ Pages 96-100; 454-55.
ble of presentation in a condensed form. A few of the inferences, however, will be given in the next section.

The Hospital Directory, so long maintained by the Sanitary Commission, will also be found described ${ }^{1}$ in detail in Professor Stille's history. In connection with this important and laborious undertaking, a very large amount of material, derived from the daily morning reports of the military hospitals throughout the country, was tabulated under the superintendence of Mr. Bowne; and results of high value, both in their sanitary relations and in their scientific bearings, were anticipated, when, at the beginning of July 1864, the War Department issued an order ${ }^{2}$ forbidding the communication of any farther information on the subject to the agents of the Commission. This was the first of a series of orders, necessarily alluded to here and in the history of the Commission, by which, as is well known, the hostility of Mr. Stanton ${ }^{8}$ greatly abridged its means of usefulness, and, so far as his power extended, curtailed its opportunities alike for prosecuting labors in the field and investigations in the office. Soon after this event, the author of this volume assumed the duties of Actuary, but in the face of these discouragements it seemed wisest to defer all attempts at farther discussion of the materials until a more propitious season. Subsequently, when in June 1865 it appeared that analogous investigations were making in the Surgeon-General's office, under the very able direction of Dr . Woodward, it clearly became needless for the Sanitary Commission to undertake any farther discussion of the subject. The material now in our archives, contains classified and tabulated summaries and comparisons of the daily returns of the general hospitals and of the hospitals for contagious fevers, from nine military departments, extending over periods not exceeding eighteen months.
The most extensive of all the undertakings of the statistical department, and that for which the greatest amount of labor and expense has been incurred, is the collection and discussion of the regimental monthly returns. These were transcribed from the rolls in the Adjutant General's office, first by Mr. O'Connell and subsequently by Mr. Wilson, with assiduity and punctilious care. Both these gentlemen possessed the confidence of the officers in charge of the rolls, both were scrupulously careful to occasion no inconvenience, and both were subsequently offered permanent positions in that office. But in October 1865, - after nearly three

[^90]years of labor, during which about 32000 reports from 1550 regiments had been transcribed, comprising all the monthly returns up to January 1865, which were on file in the War Department, excepting those for the regular army and for the colored troops, farther access to these rolls also was suddenly forbidden by order of the Secretary, and all efforts to procure a modification of the order proved unavailing. ${ }^{1}$ No reason was assigned for this act, which deprived us of our last source of information from the archives of the War Department, nor were any other opportunities subsequently permitted us.

Before Mr. Stanton left office, our work was completed, and the requisite means for farther computation was no longer available. Meanwhile one additional effort had been made by the Commission in the summer of 1867, to procure some unpublished information as to the composition of our armies during the years 1863 and 1864, by which the material already collected could be properly arranged, as will be stated below. But this effort shared the fate of its predecessors; and for the want of historical data, which a single clerk could have transcribed in a few days without inconvenience to the official authorities, our vast store of well classified material lies useless.

Meanwhile, through the unfailing courtesy and cordial assistance of the Adjutant Generals of the several States, we have obtained copies of many returns for dates previous to 1865, which had not been on file at Washington; and thus our statistics for the Volunteer Army are probably as complete as may be, up to the close of 1864. For the remaining three months of the war, we have but 2000 returns transcribed, being probably three fourths of the whole number; yet it has seemed preferable to make no attempt at extending our inferences to these three months, rather than to give results less accurate than might be afforded through other channels. It can scarcely be doubted that the additional records will be hereafter furnished from the War Department itself, under other guidance. The detailed account of the material in our possession is given in the section devoted to this subject.

The only other military question which we have statistically discussed is the effect of forced marches, as indicated by the experience of the regiments which thus hastened to the battle-field of Gettysburg. Just previous to this battle long and rapid marches were made by large bodies of our soldiery, and special inquiries were instituted by Mr. Olmsted, in order to determine the effect

[^91]upon the condition of the men. There are 144 reports of regimental inspection according to the blank forms then prepared. Some inferences from these will be found in the final section of this chapter, with which we bring our volume to an end.

## 2. Camp Inspections.

The tabulated and assorted results of camp-inspections are preserved in the archives of the Statistical Bureau, in nine large folio volumes. The positions of the camps were so various, the qualifications of the commanding officers so different, the places where the regiments were raised, the character of their outfit, the classes of men of which they were composed, and the circumstances at different times, all so diverse, that but little instruction can be deduced from any comparison of averages. We will however give a single general table, showing for some of the principal subjects of inquiry the proportionate number of camps belonging to the several grades, in a classification according to relative excellence, which were found in four successive periods of five months each. The inspection-reports contain comparatively few numerical data, since most of the descriptions are verbal, and the answers to the numerous questions frequently indefinite, - given mureover with many qualifications. Still in tabulating them many have been expressed by a numerical scale, and the average values of the answers to many questions upon kindred topics have furnished the relative estimates from which our table is constructed. Twelve of the most important subjects are selected for our table; and for each of these it exhibits the proportionate number of camps, in each thousand, which belongs to each one of nine grades ranging from "extremely good" to "extremely bad."

The four periods and the number of camps reported upon, in each period, are as follows :-

[^92]TABLE I.
Results of Camp Inspections,
Proportionate Numbers.

| Grade | Camp 8ito |  |  |  | Tonta |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I. | II. | III. | IV. | 1. | II. | III. | IV. |
| Extremely Good | - | - | - | - | - | 25 | - | - |
| Very Good . | 380 | 315 | 268 | 254 | - | - | - | - |
| Good . | 111 | 90 | 94 | 81 | 274 | 176 | 241 | 165 |
| Moderately Good | 73 | 96 | 67 | 85 | - | 1 | - | - |
| Indifferent | 58 | 89 | 94 | 87 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Moderately Bad . | 101 | 125 | 129 | 157 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Bad . | 76 | 76 | 36 | 69 | 672 | 678 | 571 | 528 |
| Very Bad . . | 98 | 77 | 103 | 85 | - | - | - | - |
| Extremely Bad | 45 | 55 | 40 | 26 | - | - | - | - |
| Not stated . | 10 | 40 | 125 | 147 | 28 | 113 | 161 | 307 |
| Doubtful . . | 48 | 37 | 44 | 59 | 24 | 7 | 27 | - |


| Graco | Bedding |  |  |  | Clothing |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I. | II. | 1 II. | 17. | I. | II. | Im. | IV. |
| Extremely Good | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Very Good . | 268 | 315 | 369 | 347 | 399 | 419 | 426 | 398 |
| Good | 432 | 356 | 315 | 420 | 295 | 341 | 810 | 385 |
| Moderately Good . . | 120 | 188 | 42 | 92 | 20 | 3 | - | - |
| Indifferent | - | 85 | 101 | 10 | 5 | 1 | - |  |
| Moderately Bad . . . . | 28 | 10 | 54 | 18 | 8 | - | - |  |
| Bad . . . . . . . | 125 | 68 | 80 | 26 | 216 | 207 | 181 | 117 |
| Very Bad . | - | - | - | - | 18 | 1 | - | 1 |
| Extremely Bad . . . . |  | - | - | - | 8 | - | - | - |
| Not stated . . | 8 | 26 | 89 | 92 | 33 | 28 | 80 | 149 |
| Doubtful . . . . . . | 24 | 2 | - | - | 8 | - | 8 | - |

## TABLE 1. - (Continued.)

Results of Camp Inspections, Proportional Numbers.

| Grade | Cleanlineee |  |  |  | Watce |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L. | II. | III. | IV. | I. | II. | III. | IV. |
| Extremely Good | - | - | - | - | 180 | 156 | 155 | 110 |
| Very Good . | 530 | 535 | 581 | 486 | 66 | 81 | 77 | 55 |
| Good . | 70 | 64 | 23 | 64 | 668 | 581 | 500 | 428 |
| Moderately Good . | 8 | 2 | - | - | 49 | 62 | 36 | 113 |
| Indifferent | 1 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Moderately Bad . . | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | 3 |
| Bad . . . . . . . | 219 | 213 | 107 | 138 | 16 | 37 | 18 | 42 |
| Very Bad . . | 110 | 60 | 161 | 60 | 10 | 23 | 24 | 84 |
| Extremely Bad . . | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Not stated. | 46 | 94 | 92 | 251 | 10 | 56 | 107 | 210 |
| Doubtful . | 21 | 27 | 36 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 77 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grado | Retions and Cookery |  |  |  | Disecipline |  |  |  |
|  | 1. | II. | III. | IV | 1. | II. | III. | IV. |
| Extremely Good | 9 | 18 | 18 | 15 | - | - | - | - |
| Very Good . . | 716 | 752 | 670 | 659 | 321 | 811 | 299 | 238 |
| Good . . . | 7 | 13 | 5 | 1 | 429 | 424 | 406 | 419 |
| Moderately Good . . | 4 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 9 | - | - | - |
| Indifferent | 8 | 11 | 5 | 6 | - | - | - | - |
| Moderately Bad . | 61 | 53 | 62 | 48 | - | - | - | - |
| Bad . . . . . . | 73 | 51 | 69 | 62 | 141 | 130 | 121 | 163 |
| Very Bad . . . | 68 | 56 | 78 | 64 | 45 | 48 | 36 | 26 |
| Extremely Bad . . . |  |  |  | - |  | - | - | - |
| Not stated . . . | 18 | 25 | 54 | 184 | 46 | 79 | 134 | 152 |
| Doubtful . . . . . . | 86 | 15 | 42 | 6 | 9 | 8 | 4 | 2 |

## TABLE I. - (Continued.)

## Results of Camp Inspections, Proportionate Numbers.

| Grado | Recreations |  |  |  | Med. Jnap. on Enlistment |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1. | II. | III. | IV. | I. | II. | III. | IV. |
| Extremely Good | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Very Good | 105 | 197 | 219 | 114 | 129 | 119 | 313 | 149 |
| Good . . | 44 | 118 | 85 | 32 | 400 | 414 | 420 | 429 |
| Moderately Good . . | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Indifferent . | 8 | - | - | - | 9 | - | - | - |
| Moderately Bad . . | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | - |
| Bad . . . | 503 | 491 | 486 | 543 | 266 | 279 | 107 | 209 |
| Very Bad . . | - | - |  | - | 81 | 72 | 62 | 16 |
| Extremely Bad . |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Not stated. . | 340 | 199 | 210 | 311 | 98 | 105 | 62 | 177 |
| Doubtful | - | - | - | - | 17 | 7 | 36 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Medical | Offers |  |  | Ho |  |  |
|  | 1. | II. | III. | IV. | I. | II. | III. | IV. |
| Extremely Good | - | - | - | - | 73 | 89 | 69 | 74 |
| Very Good . . | 644 | 682 | 571 | 756 | 576 | 555 | 417 | 505 |
| Good . . | 28 | 12 | 18 | 16 | 1 | 21 | 6 | 10 |
| Moderately Good | - | - | - | - | 8 | 3 | - | - |
| Indifferent | - | - | - | - | 6 | - | - | - |
| Moderately Bad . | - | - | - | - | 98 | 60 | 80 | 79 |
| Bad . | 83 | 2 | - | - | 95 | 98 | 190 | 158 |
| Very Bad . | 257 | 185 | 268 | 86 | 107 | 74 | 36 | 66 |
| Extremely Bad . | - | 2 | - | - | 5 | - | - | - |
| Not stated. | 88 | 117 | 125 | 142 | 30 | 91 | 137 | 98 |
| Duubtful . . . . | - | - | 18 | - | 1 | 9 | 65 | 10 |

3. Sickness, Mortality, Discharges, etc.

The extent of our collection and tabulation of the Monthly Regimental Returns has been stated, as also the reason why our results are confined to the white volunteer service, and why they do not comprise the last three months of the war. Many discordances
were found in the official records, and these have been investigated at the State capitals and corrected.

The data for the nine months ending with February 1862, were specially discussed by Mr. Elliott, and the results published in pamphlet form as No. 46 of the Commission's documents. In this discussion the troops from the Eastern and those from the Western States were separately considered, which was both justifiable and desirable, inasmuch as the soldiers from each of these sections of the country were employed in that section by which they were furnished. The same is true in general for the next following six months, which have been aggregated and computed in a similar manner. A portion of these results was also published by Mr. Elliott in his paper "Orf the Military Statistics of the United States of America." For subsequent periods of the war the distinction between Eastern and Western soldiers was less significant, since soldiers from both portions of the country served in each. To deduce the best results from our materials, they should be classified by armies, and those regiments of which each of our armies consisted should be aggregated month by month. The results would then form a most valuable contribution to the military history of the war, exhibiting as they would, at a glance, the mortality from different sources, the sanitary condition, the strength, the loss, the desertions, etc., in each army during each successive month, the numbers of officers and men present and absent respectively, etc., etc. In short, a knowledge of the regiments which formed each several army is the key for unlocking the valuable inferences contained in our army statistics and lying ready for employment; without such knowledge they are comparatively uscless.

It seemed therefore to the Commission that a final and earnest effort was desirable, and accordingly at the beginning of June, 1867, one more strenuous endeavor was made to obtain from Mr . Stanton the necessary information or permission for transcribing it by clerks selected or approved by him. The application of the Commission was advocated by prominent statesmen and men high in office, but the Secretary could not be induced to yield his consent and the effort was most reluctantly abandoned. The fruit of years of toil has thus been rendered for a season unavailing, and the extensive collection of materials has been deposited with the archives of the Commission, ready for use at some future time. At present the reports have been so aggregated as to present the total returns from the troops of each arm of the service from each State, a form in which the voluminousness of the results forbids their presentation here.

It may not be too much to hope that at some not distant day the tabulated results, now comparatively valueless, but representing enormous labor and needing almost insignificant accessions from official data to kindle them into living usefulness, may be rendered serviceable to the historian of our great struggle for national existence, and to the nation itself for possible future contingency.
Our material thus comprises for all the several regiments of white volunteers for which the returns are on file, up to the beginning of 1865 , as well as for the aggregate of all the cavalry, the artillery, and the infantry from each State separately, the monthly returns according to the schedule seen in Tables II. and III.

In order that the results of this huge labor may not be entirely unrepresented in this volume, of which they were designed to form the most prominent, and it was hoped, the most valuable part, we will present in tabular form some of the aggregated summaries. In the Tables II. and III. are given the actual numbers recorded for the Eastern and Western troops respectively, during the fifteen months from June 1861 to August 1862, inclusive; the materials from the first nine months having been prepared exclusively, and the remainder in great part under the direction of Mr. Elliott. In the two next following Tables, IV. and V., the proportionate numbers in each 10000 are similarly given, while the Tables VI. and VII. show some of the most important facts relative to the condition of the total armies of the Union for each month of the whole period over which our statistics extend.

In these tables, columns or lines are given to show the number of regiments reporting, and the average regimental strength. There were, however, some bodies of soldiery, not organized into regiments, - this being generally the case in the artillery, and among some of the troops enlisted for comparatively short terms of service. The number of such cases was relatively small, and would exert but little influence on the results, yet the necessity of some general rule became manifest. In Tables II. and III. independent organizations have been enumerated as regiments in the columns of "Regiments reporting," which would more correctly have been entitled "Organizations reporting," while in computing the column of "Average Regimental Strength," for Table VII. a single battery (assuming the normal strength to be about one hundred and fifty men) has been counted as the sixth part of a regiment. In other cases, a similar rule has been observed, each organization being regarded as so many tenths of a regiment, as there were hundreds of men in the number supposed to form its usual strength.

For the Tables VI. and VII., which exhibit the aggregrate monthly statistics on file, as heretofore stated for the total volunteer army, some indication of the probable degree of reliance to which they are entitled may be derived from a comparison of the recorded strength for each month, with the best attainable estimate of the real strength as derived from the first table in this volume. ${ }^{1}$ The meagerness of the reports for the first months of the war forms the most noticeable characteristic, but it can surprise no one, who considers the obstacles, with which the department was then contending, and the fact that a prompt supply of able-bodied men, in large numbers, their equipment, maintenance, and transportation were of paramount importance; that the energies of all the officers at head-quarters were tasked to the utmost by these most imperative duties, and that time was requisite for extending to an army of many hundred thousand men, commanded mostly by officers taken from civil life, the systematic details of official relations, which had previously been adapted to the nineteen or twenty regiments of which the U.S. regular army consisted at the outbreak of the insurrection.

Comparing thus the total aggregate strength from the regimental reports, month by month, with the total number of volunteers in the field according to our estimates, we find the difference diminishing, until in August 1862, neady two thirds of the whole number had reported. For October, the proportion whose reports were filed had increased to nearly three fourths, for November, to nearly four fifths, and for December, to nearly five sixths of the whole number. During the year 1863, the number of reports on file seems to have comprised between five sixths and seven eighths of all the volunteer troops. From accurate statistics of so large a proportion of our men, it would seem that very trustworthy inferences might be drawn for the whole volunteer army ; and this we have endeavored to do in a subsequent table.
${ }^{1}$ Pages 7, 8.

## TABLE II.

Summary of the Regimental Reports for Eastern Soldiers up to August 1862.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1881 \\ & \text { June } \end{aligned}$ | Jaly | Augut | 8ept. | Oetober | Nov. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Regiments reporting | 4 | 8 | 21 | 54 | 68 | 73 |
| Strength at close of $\{$ Officers . | 157 | 300 | 702 | 1875 | 2367 | 2529 |
| month . . . $\mathrm{Men}^{\text {M }}$. . | 3187 | 6035 | 16277 | 44226 | 56111 | 61383 |
| What | 3334 | 6335 | 16979 | 46101 | 58478 | 63912 |
| Officers present | 8 | 15 | 24 | 85 | 97 | 104 |
| Officers absent | 0 | 9 | 10 | 31 | 46 | 56 |
| Sick at close of Total officers | 3 | 24 | 34 | 116 | 143 | 160 |
| onth . . Men present | 138 | 813 | 905 | 2686 | 3361 | 4516 |
| Men | 15 | 89 | 201 | 568 | 776 | 728 |
| Total men | 148 | 402 | 1106 | 3254 | 4137 | 5244 |
| Gain of officers other than by promotion or transfer . . $\}$ | - | - | - | 2 | 3 | 8 |
| Men enlisted in regiment | 18 | 60 | 502 | 691 | 766 | 529 |
| reenlisted . . | - | 2 | 15 | 11 | 22 | 2 |
| recruits from depots . . Q | 44 | 376 | 50 | 784 | 859 | 504 |
| Officers resigned or disbanded. | - | 6 | 27 | 53 | 46 | 79 |
| Men discharged by exp. of service | - | - | 2 | 80 | 28 |  |
| Men discharged for disability . | 38 | 121 | 288 | 284 | 449 | 263 |
| Men desertod | 88 | 268 | 225 | 275 | 353 | 210 |
| Min returned from desertion . | - | - | 10 | 67 | 25 | 16 |
| Officers missing in action . . . | - | 6 | - |  | 17 | 3 |
| Men missing in action . . . . | - | 85 | 10 | 61 | 367 | 12 |
| Men returned fr. missing in action | - | - | - | 5 | 8 | 5 |
| Men disch. for causes not named | 3 | 77 | 18 | 126 | 104 | 106 |
| Officers | - | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Died in action . . $\{$ Men | 2 | 12 | 3 | 12 | 87 38 | 11 |
|  | 2 | 12 | 4 | 14 | 3 | 12 |
| Officers | - | - | 1 |  | ${ }^{6}$ | 6 |
| Died of disease . . Men . | - | 4 | 28 | 79 | 111 | 169 |
| Total | - | 4 | 29 | 79 | 117 | 175 |

TABLE II. - (Continued.)
Summary of the Regimental Reports for Eastern Soldiers up to August 1862.

| December | $\begin{gathered} 1862 \\ \text { January } \end{gathered}$ | Pebruary | March | April | May | Jane | July | August |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 58 | 42 | 84 | 143 | 154 | 125 | 149 | 150 | 67 |
| 2056 | 1440 | 2964 | 5053 | 5460 | 4579 | 4867 | 5087 | 2099 |
| 50447 | 34022 | 69760 | 116887 | 125186 | 104434 | 107582 | 110879 | 47987 |
| 52503 | 35462 | 72724 | 121940 | 130646 | 109013 | 112449 | 115966 | 50086 |
| 73 | 59 | 104 | 187 | 223 | 184 | 815 | 433 | 115 |
| 37 | 23 | 42 | 99 | 122 | 192 | 800 | 282 | 83 |
| 110 | 82 | 146 | 236 | 345 | 376 | 615 | 715 | 198 |
| 3215 | 1755 | 4215 | 4402 | 5527 | 4610 | 6945 | 9828 | 2835 |
| 696 | 731 | 1304 | 4035 | 4977 | 8045 | 10887 | 12407 | 4727 |
| 8911 | 2486 | 5519 | 8437 | 10504 | 12655 | 17832 | 22235 | 7562 |
| 1 | - | - | 5 | 4 | 4 | 10 | 15 | 7 |
| 146 | 161 | 142 | 685 | 339 | 151 | 286 | 212 | 288 |
| 6 | 4 | 6 | 17 | 4 | 2 | 2 | - | 3 |
| 684 | 206 | 1304 | 1741 | 1024 | ${ }^{342}$ | 800 | 854 | 299 |
| 62 | 19 | 73 | 92 | 89 | 88 | 103 | 204 | 62 |
| - | - | 1 | - | 3 | 2 | ¢ | - | 2 |
| 433 | 187 | 348 | 816 | 774 | 908 | 1016 | 1491 | 520 |
| 239 | 50 | 265 | 228 | 417 | 327 | 1082 | 946 | 679 |
| 15 | 10 | 67 | 78 | 47 | 32 | 67 | 89 | 81 |
| - | - | - | - |  | 15 | 55 | 8 | 9 |
| 5 | 4 | 2 | 35 | 10 | 569 | 1827 | 434 | 291 |
| - | 3 | 8 | 103 | 89 | 13 | 89 | 206 | 70 |
| 71 | 88 | 142 | 262 | 236 | 109 | 210 | 835 | 878 |
| - | 1 | - | 2 | 5 | 23 | 37 | 7 | 12 |
| 14 | 7 | 7 | 27 | 120 | 252 | 755 | 146 | 110 |
| 14 | 8 | 7 | 29 | 125 | 275 | 792 | 153 | 122 |
| 1 | - | 5 | 7 | 11 | 11 | 18 | 17 | 16 |
| 159 | 90 | 168 | 257 | 271 | 280 | 867 | 534 | 253 |
| 160 | 90 | 173 | 264 | 282 | 291 | 385 | 551 | 269 |

TABLE III.
Summary of the Regimental Reports for Western Soldiers up to August 1862.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1861 \\ & \text { July } \end{aligned}$ | August | Sopt. | Ootober | Nov. | Dee. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Regiments reporting | 8 | 6 | 15 | 20 | 29 | 43 |
| Strength at close of $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Officers } .\end{array}\right.$ | 102 | 219 | 504 | 664 | 1021 | 1507 |
| month . . . ${ }^{\text {Men . }}$ | 2924 | 5738 | 12897 | 16438 | 24516 | 38639 |
| M Total | 3026 | 5952 | 13401 | 17102 | 25537 | 40146 |
| $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Officers present } \\ \text { Officers absent }\end{array}\right.$ | 1 | 10 | 38 9 | 31 | 49 | 105 38 |
| Sick at close of Total officers | 1 | 12 | 47 | 42 | 73 | 143 |
| Men present . . | 177 | 419 | 1838 | 1492 | 2951 | 4777 |
| Men absent . | 58 | 119 | 810 | 438 | 959 | 1394 |
| Total men . | 235 | 538 | 1648 | 1980 | 3910 | 6171 |
| Gain of officers other than by $\}$ promotion or transfer . . | - | 1 | - | - | - | 3 |
| Men enlisted in regiment . - | 49 | 66 | 185 | 85 | 346 | 466 |
| reenlisted . | 2 | 2 | 5 | - | 2 | 4 |
| recruits from depots . . . | - | - | 42 | 126 | 127 | 52 |
| Officers resigned or disbanded . . | 2 | 8 | 5 | 12 | 10 | 31 |
| Men discharged by exp. of service | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Men discharged for disability . . | 8 | 48 | 97 | 160 | 113 | 231 |
| Men deserted . . . . . | 1 | 18 | 39 | 84 | 57 | 128 |
| Men returned from desertion . . | - | - | 2 | 2 | 6 | 12 |
| Officers missing in action . . . | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Men missing in action - . . | 13 | 1 | 17 | 5 | 4 | 17 |
| Men returned fr. missing in action |  | 2 | - |  |  | - |
| Men disch. for causes not named | 6 | 18 | 18 | 22 | 17 | 89 |
| ( Officers . . | - | - |  | - | 1 | 2 |
| Died in action . . $\{$ Men . . . | 5 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 4 | 17 |
| ( Total . . | 5 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 5 | 19 |
| Officers . | - | - | 2 | 8 | 2 | 3 |
| Died of disease . . ${ }^{\text {Men . . }}$ | - | 5 | 85 | 61 | 127 | 348 |
| Total . . | - | 5 | 37 | 54 | 129 | 851 |

## TABLE III. - (Continued.)

Summary of the Regimental Reports for Western Soldiers up to August 1862.

| $\begin{gathered} 1862 \\ \text { January } \end{gathered}$ | Pobruary | March | April | May | Juno | July | August |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52 | 53 | 141 | 147 | 147 | 149 | 141 | 104 |
| 1756 | 1899 | 4683 | 5005 | 4768 | 4612 | 4356 | 8203 |
| 42799 | 44440 | 110418 | 116938 | 109481 | 104419 | 94716 | 65451 |
| 44555 | 46339 | 115101 | 121943 | 114249 | 109031 | 99072 | 68654 |
| 134 | 99 | 218 | 281 | 294 | 282 | 289 | 223 |
| 70 | 79 | 267 | 323 | 867 | 356 | 254 | 145 |
| 204 | 178 | 485 | 604 | 661 | 638 | 543 | 868 |
| 5738 | 8825 | 7888 | 8537 | 7249 | 7088 | 7640 | 6132 |
| 2738 | 3149 | 12341 | 16661 | 18365 | 17676 | 18057 | 6483 |
| 8476 | 6974 | 20229 | 25198 | 25614 | 24764 | 20697 | 12615 |
| 2 | 1 | 15 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 31 | 15 |
| 882 | 277 | 508 | 805 | 161 | 242 | 112 | 486 |
| 5 | 72 | 14 | 4 | 27 | 9 | 22 | 15 |
| 67 | 227 | 845 | 494 | 217 | 64 | 40 | 164 |
| 52 | 40 | 145 | 178 | 135 | 197 | 117 | 28 |
| - | - | 20 | 11 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 88 |
| 190 | 438 | 808 | 1130 | 1802 | 1003 | 1879 | 1065 |
| 86 | 218 | 260 | 411 | 539 | 718 | 739 | 1412 |
| 48 | 84 | 46 | 33 | 50 | 84 | 98 | 807 |
| - | 2 | 2 | 87 | 8 | 18 | 2 | 9 |
| 6 | 87 | 83 | 695 | 211 | 871 | 89 | 162 |
| - | 2 | 81 | 83 | 18 | 31 | 83 | 62 |
| 122 | 107 | 286 | 875 | 419 | 417 | 381 | 397 |
| - | 12 | 18 | 55 | 27 | 12 | 6 | 11 |
| 21 | 208 | 186 | 855 | 355 | 236 | 95 | 170 |
| 21 | 220 | 204 | 910 | 882 | 248 | 100 | 181 |
| 2 | 9 | 22 | 22 | 25 | 20 | 16 | 6 |
| 406 | 229 | 787 | 740 | 809 | 673 | 718 | 489 |
| 408 | 238 | 759 | 762 | 834 | 693 | 734 | 495 |

## TABLE IV.

Monthly Condition of the Eastern Forces, up to August 1862.
Rates for each 10000 Men. ${ }^{1}$

|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1861 \\ & \text { June } \end{aligned}$ | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nor. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Officers . | 39 | 38 | 83 | 85 | 35 | 35 |
| Average Regimental Strength $\{$ Men . | 797 | 754 | 775 | 819 | 825 | 841 |
| ( Total. | 836 | 792 | 808 | 854 | 860 | 876 |
| Sick at close of month . . $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Officers . }\end{array}\right.$ | 191 | 800 | 484 | 619 | 604 | 633 |
| Sick at close of month • - Men | 464 | 666 | 679 | 736 | 737 | 854 |
| Gain of officers other than by promo- $\}$ tion or transfer | - | - | - | 11 | 13 | 32 |
| Men enlisted in regiment | 41 | 99 | 308 | 156 | 187 | 86 |
| reenlisted . . . | - | 8 | 9 | 2 | 4 | - |
| recruits from depots . . . . | 138 | 623 | 81 | 177 | 153 | 82 |
| Officers resigned or disbanded . | - | 200 | 385 | 283 | 194 | 312 |
| Men discharged by expiration of service | - | - | 1 | 18 | 5 | - |
| Men discharged for disability . . . . | 119 | 200 | 177 | 64 | 80 | 43 |
| Men deserted - . | 199 | 444 | 138 | 62 | 63 | 84 |
| Men returned from desertion | - | - | 6 | 18 | 4 | 3 |
| Officers missing in action . . - | - | 200 | - | - | 72 | 12 |
| Men missing in action . . . . | - | 141 | 6 | 14 | 65 | 2 |
| Men returned from missing in action . | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Men discharged for causes not named | 9 | 128 | 11 | 28 | 19 | 17 |
| Officers . | - | - | 14 | 11 | 4 | 4 |
| Died in action . . . . . $\quad$ Men . | 6 | 20 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 2 |
| ( Total. | 6 | 19 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 2 |
| ( Officers . | - | - | 14 | - | 25 | 24 |
| Died of discase . . . . $\quad$ Men . . | - | 7 | 17 | 18 | 20 | 28 |
| ( Total. . | - | 6 | 17 | 17 | 20 | 27 |

[^93]
## TABLE IV.- (Continued.)

Monthly Condition of the Eastern Forces, up to August, 1862.
Rates for each 10000 Men.

| December | $\begin{gathered} 1868 \\ \text { Jenuary } \end{gathered}$ | February | March | April | May | June | July | August |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35 | 34 | 35 | 85 | 85 | 37 | 33 | 34 | 81 |
| 870 | 810 | 831 | 818 | 813 | 835 | 722 | 739 | 716 |
| 905 | 844 | 866 | 853 | 848 | 872 | 755 | 773 | 747 |
| 535 | 569 | 493 | 467 | 632 | 821 | 1264 | 1406 | 943 |
| 775 | 731 | 791 | 722 | 839 | 1212 | 1658 | 2005 | 1576 |
| 5 | - | - | 10 | 7 | 9 | 21 | 29 | 33 |
| 29 | 47 | 20 | 59 | 27 | 14 | 27 | 19 | 60 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 136 | 61 | 187 | 149 | 82 | 83 | 28 | 32 | 62 |
| 302 | 132 | 246 | 182 | 163 | 192 | 212 | 401 | 295 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| 86 | 55 | 50 | 70 | 62 | 87 | 94 | 134 | 108 |
| 47 | 15 | 38 | 20 | 33 | 31 | 101 | 85 | 142 |
| 3 | 3 | 10 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 17 |
| - | - | - | - | - | 33 | 113 | 16 | 43 |
| 1 | 1 | - | 8 | 1 | 54 | 170 | 39 | 61 |
| - | 1 | - | 9 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 19 | 15 |
| 14 | 10 | 20 | 22 | 19 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 79 |
| - | 7 | - | 4 | 9 | 50 | 76 | 14 | 57 |
| 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 24 | 70 | 13 | 23 |
| 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 25 | 70 | 13 | 24 |
| 5 | - | 17 | 14 | 20 | 24 | 37 | 33 | 76 |
| 82 | 26 | 24 | 22 | 22 | 27 | 34 | 48 | 53 |
| 30 | 25 | 24 | 22 | 22 | 26 | 84 | 48 | 54 |

## TABLE V.

Monthly Condition of the Western Forces, up to August, 1862. Rates for each 10000 Men. ${ }^{1}$

|  | ${ }^{1861}$ July | Aug. | Sopt. | Oct. | Nov. | Dse. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average Regimental Strength $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Officers . } \\ \text { Men . . } \\ \text { Total. . }\end{array}\right.$ | 34 975 1009 | 86 956 992 | 34 860 894 | 33 822 855 | 35 845 880 | 35 899 934 |
| Sick at close of month . . $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Officers . } \\ \text { Men . . }\end{array}\right.$ | 98 804 | 548 938 | 933 1278 | 633 1174 | 715 1695 | 949 1597 |
| $\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Gain of officers other than by promo- } \\ \text { tion or transfer . . . . . }\end{array}\right\}$ | - | 46 | - | - | - | 20 |
| Men enlisted in regiment reenlisted . . recruits from depots | $\begin{array}{r} 168 \\ -7 \end{array}$ | 115 8 | 105 4 33 | 52 -77 | 141 1 52 | 121 1 13 |
| Officers resigned or disbanded . . . . | 196 | 137 | 99 | 181 | 98 | 206 |
| Men discharged by expiration of service | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Men discharged for disability . . . | 27 | 84 | 75 | 97 | 46 | 60 |
| Men deserted . . . . | 8 | 81 | 30 | 21 | 23 | 33 |
| Men returned from desertion . . . |  | - | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Officers missing in action . . | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Men missing in action . . . . | 44 | 2 | 13 | 3 | 2 | 4 |
| Men returned from missing in action | - | 8 | - | - | - | - |
| Men discharged for causes not named | 21 | 23 | 10 | 13 | 7 | $1{ }^{\prime}$ |
| Officers | - |  | - | - | 10 | 13 |
| Died in action . . . . . $\quad$ Men . | 17 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 4 |
| Total. | 16 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 4 |
| Officers . | - |  | 40 | 45 | 20 | 20 |
| Died of disease . . . . $\quad$ Men . . |  | 9 | 27 | 81 | 52 | 90 |
| Total. |  | 8 | 28 | 32 | 50 | 87 |

[^94]
## T A BLE V. - (Continued.)

Monthly Condition of the Western Forces, up to August 1862.
Rates for each 10000 Men.

| $\begin{gathered} 1862 \\ \text { January } \end{gathered}$ | February | March | April | May | June | July | August |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34 | 86 | 33 | 34 | 82 | 31 | 81 | 81 |
| 823 | 838 | 783 | 796 | 745 | 701 | 672 | 629 |
| 857 | 874 | 816 | 830 | 777 | 732 | 703 | 660 |
| 1162 | 937 | 1036 | 1207 | 1386 | 1383 | 1247 | 1148 |
| 1980 | 1569 | 1832 | 2155 | 2340 | 2872 | 2185 | 1927 |
| 11 | 5 | 32 | 14 | 6 | 13 | 71 | 47 |
| 89 | 62 | 46 | 26 | 15 | 23 | 12 | 74 |
| 1 | 16 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| 13 | 61 | 81 | 42 | 20 | 6 | 4 | 25 |
| 296 | 211 | 810 | 356 | 283 | 427 | 269 | 72 |
| - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | 6 |
| 44 | 99 | 73 | 97 | 119 | 96 | 146 | 163 |
| 20 | 49 | 24 | 35 | 49 | 69 | 78 | 216 |
| 10 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 10 | 47 |
| - | 11 | 4 | 74 | 17 | 28 | 6 | 28 |
| 1 | 8 | 8 | 59 | 19 | 36 | 9 | 25 |
| - | - | 3 | 3 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 9 |
| 28 | 24 | 26 | 32 | 38 | 40 | 35 | 61 |
| - | 68 | 38 | 110 | 57 | 26 | 11 | 34 |
| 5 | 47 | 17 | 73 | 32 | 23 | 10 | 26 |
| 5 | 47 | 17 | 75 | 33 | 23 | 10 | 26 |
| 11 | 47 | 47 | 44 | 52 | 43 | 87 | 19 |
| 95 | 51 | 67 | 63 | 74 | 64 | 76 | 75 |
| 92 | 51 | 66 | 62 | 78 | 64 | 74 | 72 |

TABLE VI.

Strength, Sickness, Mortality, Discharges and Desertions, recorded for the United States Volunteers, in each Month.

| Month | No. of Reg'ts report-ing | Strength at elose of Month |  | Sick at elose of Month |  | Dir-charged for lisability | Deserted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Omcers | Men | Ombers | Men |  |  |
| 1861 - Jane | 4 | 157 | 3187 | 3 | 148 | 38 | 38 |
| July | 11 | 402 | 8959 | 25 | 637 | 129 | 269 |
| August | 27 | 921 | 22010 | 46 | 1644 | 336 | 233 |
| September | 69 | 2379 | 57123 | 163 | 4902 | 381 | 255 |
| October . | 87 | 3031 | 72549 | 185 | 6067 | 609 | 360 |
| November | 102 | 3550 | 85899 | 233 | 9154 | 376 | 246 |
| December | 101 | 3563 | 89086 | 253 | 10082 | 664 | 340 |
| 1862 - January | 93 | 3196 | 76821 | 286 | 10962 | 877 | 83 |
| February . | 137 | 4863 | 114200 | 324 | 12493 | 786 | 382 |
| March . | 277 | 10052 | 234272 | 736 | 29294 | 1667 | 500 |
| April | 297 | 10734 | 248121 | 959 | 36299 | 1924 | 876 |
| May | 272 | 9605 | 219649 | 1042 | 38753 | 2216 | 814 |
| June | 283 | 9665 | 215779 | 1263 | 42951 | 2027 | 1690 |
| July | 276 | 9573 | 208496 | 1261 | 43169 | 2900 | 1556 |
| August | 519 | 17746 | 387252 | 2207 | 77945 | 4429 | 3716 |
| September | 655 | 22479 | 502862 | 2891 | 106231 | 4437 | 4156 |
| October | 782 | 26967 | 596415 | 2999 | 118544 | 7678 | 8053 |
| November | 896 | 31084 | 679318 | 3109 | 133689 | 8434 | 4236 |
| December | 948 | 32865 | 701448 | 3545 | 143973 | 9056 | 6035 |
| 1863 - January | 1016 | 34765 | 727917 | 4061 | 155964 | 11200 | 7238 |
| February . | 1022 | 35408 | 712560 | 3421 | 140211 | 12661 | 6384 |
| March . | 1030 | 35733 | 696567 | 2939 | 122377 | 15757 | 3399 |
| April | 1005 | 34971 | 661513 | 3128 | 100396 | 11592 | 2357 |
| May | 975 | 33404 | 625470 | 2917 | 104752 | 5522 | 1940 |
| June | 922 | 31448 | 579204 | 3137 | 105798 | 3830 | 1994 |
| July | 944 | 31487 | 575924 | 4166 | 127778 | 2431 | 3602 |
| August . | 943 | 30701 | 567613 | 3420 | 125476 | 3533 | 2187 |
| September | 953 | 30485 | 573258 | 3617 | 128625 | 2912 | 1729 |
| October | 972 | 30647 | 588399 | 2921 | 119270 | 2475 | 2071 |
| November | 979 | 30847 | 592305 | 2755 | 115055 | 2067 | 1090 |
| December | 979 | 30870 | 596615 | 2204 | 102503 | 2141 | 745 |
| 1864 - January | 960 | 30073 | 600597 | 1865 | 91748 | 2530 | 874 |
| February . | 952 | 29683 | 619030 | 1603 | 88618 | 2109 | 1603 |
| March . | 961 | 30077 | 657607 | 1562 | 89679 | 2749 | 1413 |
| April | 937 | 29408 | 649508 | 1456 | 84936 | 1938 | 2116 |
| May | 929 | 28682 | 636550 | 2951 | 121023 | 1259 | 2047 |
| June | 963 | 29284 | 656192 | 4018 | 152108 | 1334 | 2035 |
| July . | 960 | 28950 | 647810 | 4154 | 167160 | 1052 | 2218 |
| August | 919 | 26946 | 605325 | 3875 | 168047 | 1194 | 3271 |
| September | 907 | 25366 | 587621 | 3143 | 148918 | 1168 | 2076 |
| October | 914 | 24607 | 601822 | 2685 | 146613 | 1423 | 3317 |
| November | 889 | 23672 | 607158 | 2026 | 138791 | 1154 | 2801 |
| December | 829 | 22463 | 571820 | 1808 | 124704 | 1296 | 2294 |

## T A BLE VI. - (Continued.)

| Month | Died during the Month |  |  |  |  |  | Minaing in Action |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Of Wounds |  | Or Disease |  | Total |  |  |  |
|  | Oflcers | Men | Offeers | Men | Omeers | Men | Ome'rs | Men |
| 1861 - June | - | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| July . | - | 17 | - | 4 | - | 21 | 6 | 98 |
| August - | 1 | 5 | 1 | 33 | 2 | 38 | - | 9 |
| September | 2 | 16 | 2 | 114 | 4 | 130 | - | 73 |
| October . | 1 | 46 | 9 | 162 | 10 | 208 | 17 | 364 |
| November | 2 | 15 | 8 | 296 | 10 | 311 | 3 | 11 |
| December | 2 | 31 | 4 | 507 | 6 | 538 | - | 22 |
| 1862 - January . | 1 | 28 | 2 | 496 | 3 | 524 | - | 7 |
| February . | 12 | 215 | 14 | 397 | 26 | 612 | 2 | 84 |
| March | 22 | 246 | 81 | 1001 | 53 | 1247 | 2 | - 54 |
| April . | 61 | 987 | 33 | 1020 | 94 | 2007 | 37 | 638 |
| May . | 50 | 610 | 36 | 1096 | 86 | 1706 | 23 | 749 |
| June | 49 | 995 | 38 | 1047 | 87 | 2042 | 68 | 2078 |
| July . | 12 | 241 | 33 | 1261 | 45 | 1502 | 10 | 284 |
| August - | 131 | 1770 | 77 | 2079 | 208 | 3849 | 110 | 2161 |
| September | 152 | 2705 | 44 | 1654 | 196 | 4359 | 35 | 574 |
| October . | 105 | 1985 | 57 | 2724 | 162 | 4709 | 30 | 12 |
| November | 26 | 603 | 68 | 3212 | 94 | 3815 | 18 | -844 |
| December | 205 | 2661 | 74 | 4156 | 279 | 6817 | 83 | 813 |
| 1863 - January . | 105 | 1773 | 57 | 4483 | 162 | 6256 | 32 | 148 |
| February . | 23 | 643 | 66 | 4653 | 89 | 5296 | 13 | -1138 |
| March | 26 | 394 | 77 | 4281 | 103 | 4675 | 54 | -201 |
| April . | 29 | 422 | 71 | 3366 | 100 | 3788 | 34 | -3 |
| May . | 263 | 3236 | 41 | 2309 | 304 | 5545 | 134 | 2962 |
| June . | 111 | 1389 | 40 | 2144 | 151 | 3533 | 111 | 2496 |
| July . | 319 | 3412 | 62 | 2764 | 381 | 6176 | 186 | 4617 |
| August . | 54 | 999 | 91 | 3341 | 145 | 4340 | 42 | -1263 |
| September | 155 | 1782 | 68 | 2813 | 223 | 4595 | 195 | 2822 |
| October . | 77 | 922 | 67 | 2329 | 144 | 3251 | 79 | 2187 |
| November | 130 | 1550 | 37 | 2070 | 167 | 3620 | 49 | -1165 |
| December | 45 | 633 | 42 | 2277 | 87 | 2910 | 9 | - 769 |
| 1864 - January . | 12 | 322 | 34 | 1969 | 46 | 2291 | 37 | 175 |
| February . | 24 | 375 | 36 | 1730 | 60 | 2105 | 32 | 355 |
| March | 11 | 213 | 46 | 2217 | 57 | 2430 | 24 | -25 |
| April | 40 | 505 | 54 | 2485 | 94 | 2990 | 180 | 3849 |
| May | 418 | 6469 | 47 | 1656 | 465 | 8125 | 238 | 6568 |
| June | 425 | 6810 | 59 | 2183 | 484 | 8993 | 173 | 4204 |
| July | 276 | 4242 | 50 | 2734 | 326 | 6976 | 202 | 2373 |
| August | 185 | 2988 | 58 | 3191 | 243 | 6179 | 254 | 3445 |
| September | 170 | 2349 | 40 | 2819 | 210 | 5168 | 75 | - 102 |
| October . | 162 | 2021 | 51 | 2784 | 213 | 4805 | 97 | 1604 |
| November | 70 | 935 | 30 | 2266 | 100 | 3201 | 49 | 1321 |
| December | 85 | 1131 | 25 | 2327 | 110 | 3458 | 41 | -886 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE VII.
Average Regimental Strength, and Monthly Rates of Sickness,
Mortality, etc., in the United States Volunteers.

| Yoath | Av. Regim'l Strength |  | Slick at eloee of Month |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Diecharged } \\ & \text { forgill } \\ & \text { Deebility } \end{aligned}$ | Deeerted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ombers | Men | Omears | Men |  |  |
| 1861-June . . | 39 | 797 | 191 | 464 | 119 | 119 |
| July . | 87 | 814 | 622 | 711 | 144 | 300 |
| August . | 34 | 816 | 499 | 747 | 158 | 106 |
| September | 84 | 828 | 685 | 858 | 67 | 45 |
| October . | 35 | 834 | 610 | 836 | 84 | 50 |
| November | 35 | 842 | 656 | 1066 | 44 | 29 |
| December | 85 | 882 | 710 | 1132 | 74 | 38 |
| 1868 - January . | 84 | 826 | 895 | 1427 | 49 | 11 |
| February . | 35 | 833 | 666 | 1094 | 69 | 38 |
| March | 86 | 846 | 732 | 1250 | 71 | 21 |
| April . | 86 | 886 | 893 | 1463 | 77 | 35 |
| May | 35 | 808 | 1085 | 1764 | 101 | 37 |
| June . | 34 | 762 | 1307 | 1990 | 94 | 78 |
| July . | 35 | 755 | 1317 | 2070 | 189 | 75 |
| August - | 34 | 746 | 1244 | 2013 | 114 | 96 |
| September | 34 | 768 | 1286 | 2113 | 88 | 83 |
| October | 34 | 763 | 1112 | 1988 | 129 | 135 |
| November | 35 | 758 | 1000 | 1968 | 124 | 62 |
| December | 35 | 740 | 1079 | 2053 | 129 | 88 |
| 1863 - January | 34 | 716 | 1168 | 2143 | 164 | 99 |
| February . | 34 | 697 | 966 | 1967 | 178 | 90 |
| March | 85 | 676 | 822 | 1757 | 226 | 49 |
| April . | 85 | 658 | 894 | 1518 | 175 | 36 |
| May . | 34 | 641 | 873 | 1675 | 88 | 31 |
| June . | 34 | 628 | 997 | 1826 | 66 | 34 |
| July . | 38 | 610 | 1323 | 2219 | 42 | 62 |
| August - | 33 | 602 | 1114 | 2211 | 62 | 88 |
| September | 32 | 602 | 1186 | 2244 | 61 | s0 |
| October | 32 | 605 | 958 | 2027 | 42 | 35 |
| November | 32 | 605 | 898 | 1943 | 35 | 18 |
| December | 32 | 609 | 714 | 1718 | 36 | 12 |
| 1864 - January . | 31 | 626 | 620 | 1528 | 42 | 15 |
| February. | 81 | 650 | 540 | 1432 | 34 | 26 |
| March | 31 | 684 | 519 | 1364 | 42 | 21 |
| April . | 31 | 698 | - 495 | 1808 | 30 | 83 |
| May | 31 | 686 | 1029 | 1901 | 20 | 32 |
| June | 30 | 681 | 1372 | 2318 | 20 | 31 |
| July . . | 30 | 675 | 1435 | 2880 | 16 | 34 |
| August - | 29 | 659 | 1438 | 2776 | 20 | 54 |
| September | 28 | 648 | 1237 | 2534 | 20 | 35 |
| October . | 27 | 658 | 1091 | 2436 | 24 | 65 |
| November | 27 | 683 | 856 | 2286 | 19 | 46 |
| December | 27 | 690 | 805 | 2181 | 23 | 40 |

TABLE VII. - (Continued.)

| Month | Died during the Month |  |  |  |  |  | Miss'g in Action |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Of Wounds |  | Disease |  | Total |  |  |  |
|  | 0mcerx | Men | Oflcers | Men | umicers | Mon | Oncers | Men |
| 1861 - June . . | - | 6 | - | - | - | 6 | - | - |
| July . . | - | 19 | - | 4 | - | 23 | 149 | 109 |
| August . | 11 | 2 | 11 | 15 | 22 | 17 | - | 4 |
| September | 8 | 3 | 8 | 20 | 17 | 23 | - | 13 |
| October | 3 | 6 | 30 | 22 | 33 | 29 | 56 | 50 |
| November | 6 | 2 | 22 | 34 | 28 | 36 | 8 | 1 |
| December | 6 | 3 | 11 | 57 | 17 | 60 | - | 2 |
| 1862 - January . | 3 | 4 | 6 | 65 | 9 | 68 | - | 1 |
| February . | 25 | 19 | 29 | 35 | 53 | 54 | 4 | 3 |
| March . | 22 | 10 | 31 | 43 | 53 | 53 | 2 | -2 |
| April . . | 57 | 40 | 31 | 41 | 87 | 81 | 84 | 26 |
| May . . | 52 | 28 | 37 | 50 | 90 | 78 | 24 | 34 |
| June . - | 51 | 46 | 39 | 48 | 90 | 95 | 70 | 96 |
| July . . | 12 | 12 | 34 | 60 | 47 | 72 | 10 | 14 |
| August . | 74 | 46 | 43 | 54 | 117 | 99 | 62 | 56 |
| September | 68 | 54 | 20 | 33 | 87 | 87 | 16 | 11 |
| October | 39 | 33 | 21 | 46 | 60 | 79 | 11 | 0 |
| November | 8 | 9 | 22 | 47 | 30 | 56 | 6 | -12 |
| December | 62 | 38 | 22 | 59 | 85 | 97 | 25 | 12 |
| 1863 - January . | 30 | 24 | 16 | 62 | 47 | . 86 | 9 | 2 |
| February . | 6 | 9 | 19 | 65 | 25 | 74 | 4 | -16 |
| March | 7 | 6 | 21 | 61 | 29 | 67 | 15 | - 3 |
| April . | 8 | 6 | 20 | 51 | 28 | 57 | 10 | 0 |
| May . | 79 | 52 | 12 | 37 | 91 | 89 | 40 | 47 |
| June . | 35 | 24 | 13 | 37 | 48 | 61 | 35 | 43 |
| July . . | 101 | 59 | 20 | 48 | 121 | 107 | 59 | 80 |
| August . | 18 | 18 | 30 | 59 | 47 | 76 | 14 | -22 |
| September | 51 | 32 | 22 | 49 | 73 | 80 | 64 | 49 |
| October . | 25 | 16 | 22 | 40 | 47 | 55 | 26 | -37 |
| November | 42 | 26 | 12 | 35 | 54 | 61 | 16 | -20 |
| December | 15 | 11 | 14 | 38 | 28 | 49 | 3 | -13 |
| 1864 - January . | 4 | 5 | 11 | 33 | 15 | 38 | 12 | 3 |
| February . | 8 | 6 | 12 | 28 | 20 | 84 | 11 | 6 |
| March | 4 | 3 | 15 | 34 | 19 | 37 | 8 | 0 |
| April . . | 14 | 8 | 18 | 38 | 32 | 46 | 61 | 59 |
| May . | 146 | 102 | 16 | 26 | 162 | 128 | 83 | 103 |
| June . | 145 | 104 | 20 | 33 | 165 | 137 | 59 | 64 |
| July • - | 95 | 65 | 17 | 42 | 118 | 108 | 70 | 37 |
| August . | 69 | 49 | 21 | 53 | 90 | 102 | 94 | 57 |
| September | 67 | 40 | 16 | 48 | 83 | 88 | 80 | -2 |
| October . | 66 | 34 | 21 | 46 | 86 | 80 | 39 | 27 |
| November | 29 | 15 | 13 | 37 | 42 | 53 | 21 | -22 |
| December | 88 | 20 | 11 | 41 | 49 | 60 | 18 | -15 |

The sickness rates for enlisted men are seen to have increased in a nearly uninterrupted progression, until the middle of 1862, after which the average rate was not far from 19 per cent., being less in the winter and spring than during the summer and autumn. If we arrange them by months, - taking the average of the values for the three years 1862-64, but omitting the results for 1861 on account of their incompleteness, - we find the influence of the seasons strongly manifested, both for officers and men.

The average rates of sickness and of mortality from disease, thus classified by months, are shown in the next table, in which, as in that just given, these rates are represented by the proportionate number of men in each 10000 .

> TABLE VIII.

## Average Montlily Rates of S:ckness, and of Mortality from Disease.

| Month | Deathe by Disease |  | Slick at close of Moath. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ofmeers | Mon | Ombers | Men |
| January . . . | 11 | 63 | 894 | 1699 |
| February . - . | 20 | 43 | 724 | 1498 |
| March . . . . | 22 | 46 | 691 | 1457 |
| April . . . . | 23 | 43 | 761 | 1430 |
| May . . . . | 22 | 88 | 996 | 1780 |
| June . . . . | 24 | 89 | 1225 | 2045 |
| July . . . . | 24 | 50 | 1358 | 2290 |
| August . . . | 31 | 55 | 1265 | 2333 |
| September . . | 19 | 43 | 1236 | 2297 |
| October . . . | 21 | 44 | 1052 | 2150 |
| November . . | 16 | 40 | 916 | 2066 |
| December . . . | 16 | 46 | 866 | 1984 |

The rate, as well as the number, of discharges for disability seems to have reached a maximum in the early part of the year 1863, after which it rapidly declined; and, during the year 1864, the average number thus discharged monthly was less than 26 in each 10000 , or scarcely more than one fourth of one per centum.

The number of desertions followed apparently a somewhat simjlar course to that of discharges for disability, being a maximum at nearly the same epoch, while during the year 1864 the monthly
average was but 35 in each 10000 men, or slightly above one third of one per centum. It should be stated that these numbers have been oltained by subtracting the " number of men returned from desertion" from the reported number of desertions; and that a very large number of the reported desertions at one period were probably "constructive," consisting of drafted men who failed to respond to the summons; a very large proportion of the remainder were " bounty-jumpers."

It may be remarked that the sums of the values for the Eastern and the Western armies do not always accord with the values for the total army, in the same month. This is due in part to the fact that the regiments in rendezvous near home were not included with either the Eastern or the Western army, and in part to the different method adopted for enumerating the regiments, as already explained on page 584.

The rates here deduced for the volunteer army, from the records of those organizations only whose monthly reports were on file in September 1865, may be extended to the whole body of troops, excepting only the colored men, with a near approximation to accuracy. For this purpose we make use of the table for the Strength of the army, given on pages 7, 8 , and by applying the ratios just obtained to the number of white troops there given, we form our Table IX., which thus affords an independent and probably a close estimate of the actual experience of our soldiers in these respects, excepting perhaps for the first few months of the war, for which the statistics are not adequate to a trustworthy generalization.

TABLE IX.
Statistics of the White Troops
as inferred from the Regimental Reports on file.

| Month | Streogth at close of Month |  | Sick at cloce of Month |  |  | Deserted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0mcers | Men | Officers | Men |  |  |
| 1861 - June | 8780 | 178220 | 168 | 8276 | 2124 | 2124 |
| July | 9920 | 221080 | 617 | 15719 | 3183 | 6639 |
| August | 9961 | 238039 | 498 | 17779 | 3635 | 2521 |
| September | 14114 | 338886 | 967 | 29081 | 2260 | 1513 |
| October | 17686 | 423314 | 1080 | 35402 | 3552 | 2100 |
| November | 19963 | 483037 | 1310 | 51478 | 2116 | 1383 |
| December | 22151 | 553849 | 1573 | 62679 | 4126 | 2114 |
| 1862 - January | 23046 | 553954 | 2062 | 79049 | 2720 | 598 |
| February . | 25078 | 588922 | 1671 | 64428 | 4052 | 1970 |
| March. | 26207 | 610793 | 1919 | 76373 | 4346 | 1303 |
| April | 26498 | 612502 | 2367 | 89609 | 4747 | 2163 |
| May | 26437 | 604563 | 2868 | 106663 | 6100 | 2240 |
| June | 25465 | 568535 | 3328 | 113167 | 5338 | 4453 |
| July | 27130 | 590470 | 3574 | 122340 | 8219 | 4410 |
| August | 30146 | 657854 | 3750 | 132426 | 7526 | 6315 |
| September | 35472 | 793528 | 4562 . | 167672 | 6999 | 6555 |
| October | 38501 | 851499 | 4281 | 169278 | 10959 | 11495 |
| November | 40255 | 879745 | 4025 | 173134 | 10926 | 5490 |
| December | 40952 | 874048 | 4415 | 179442 | 11284 | 7517 |
| 1863 - January | 40979 | 858021 | 4786 | 183874 | 13205 | 8529 |
| February . | 41800 | 841200 | 4039 | 165464 | 14948 | 7537 |
| March | 41915 | 817085 | 3447 | 143560 | 18482 | 3987 |
| April | 42177 | 797823 | 3772 | 121110 | 13978 | 2840 |
| May | 40457 | 757543 | 3533 | 126888 | 6688 | 2348 |
| June | 39912 | 735088 | 3981 | 134227 | 4859 | 2529 |
| July | 39138 | 715862 | 5178 | 158850 | 8021 | 4474 |
| August . | 38228 | 706772 | 4259 | 156267 | 4396 | 2721 |
| September | 38425 | 722575 | 4557 | 162146 | 3671 | 2182 |
| October | 39011 | 748989 | 3718 | 151820 | 3153 | 2636 |
| November | 39651 | 761349 | 3541 | 147930 | 2657 | 1400 |
| December | 40342 | 779658 | 2880 | 133945 | 2799 | 975 |
| 1864 - January | 39912 | 797088 | 2475 | 121795 | 3357 | 1164 |
| February . | 39259 | 818741 | 2120 | 117244 | 2789 | 2121 |
| March . | 39276 | 858724 | 2040 | 117130 | 3589 | 1846 |
| April | 39504 | 872496 | 1956 | 114122 | 2600 | 2844 |
| May | 40271 | 893729 | 4144 | 169898 | 1770 | 2878 |
| June | 39175 | 877825 | 5375 | 203480 | 1782 | 2721 |
| July | 37986 | 850014 | 5451 | 219304 | 1377 | 2907 |
| August | 36183 | 812817 | 5203 | 225638 | 1601 | 4389 |
| September | 34427 | 797573 | 4265 | 202105 | 1587 | 2815 |
| October | 32564 | 796436 | 3553 | 194012 | 1880 | 4388 |
| November | 31371 | 804629 | 2685 | 183938 | 1529 | 3709 |
| December | 32015 | 814985 | 2577 | 177748 | 1850 | 3268 |

TABLE IX. - (Continued.)

| Month | Died during the Month |  |  |  |  |  | Miseing in Action |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Of Wounds |  | Of Diseaso |  | Total |  |  |  |
|  | Offc'rs | Men | Omm'rn | Men | Offlo'rs | Men | Offe'rs | Men |
| 1861 - June . | - | 112 | - | - | - | 112 | - | - |
| July • • | - | 419 | - | 99 | - | 518 | 148 | 2419 |
| August . - | 11 | 54 | 11 | 357 | 22 | 411 | - | 97 |
| September . | 12 | 95 | 12 | 676 | 24 | 771 | - | 433 |
| October . . | 6 | 268 | 52 | 945 | 58 | 1213 | 99 | 2124 |
| November . | 11 | 84 | 45 | 1664 | 56 | 1748 | 17 | 62 |
| December | 12 | 193 | 25 | 3152 | 37 | 3345 | - | 137 |
| 1862 - January | 7 | 202 | 14 | 3577 | 21 | 3779 | - | 50 |
| February | 62 | 1107 | 72 | 2047 | 134 | 3154 | 10 | 175 |
| March . | 57 | 641 | 81 | 2610 | 138 | 3251 | 5 | -141 |
| April | 150 | 2438 | 81 | 2518 | 231 | 4956 | 91 | 1574 |
| May . | 138 | 1681 | 99 | 3017 | 237 | 4698 | 63 | 2062 |
| June . . | 129 | 2621 | 100 | 2758 | 229 | 5379 | 179 | 5475 |
| July . | 34 | 685 | 94 | 3574 | 128 | 4259 | 28 | 804 |
| August . | 222 | 3006 | 131 | 3533 | 353 | 6539 | 187 | 3671 |
| September . | 240 | 4269 | 70 | 2611 | 310 | 6880 | 55 | 905 |
| October . | 150 | 2835 | 82 | 3891 | 232 | 6726 | 43 | 17 |
| November | 34 | 782 | 88 | 4161 | 122 | 4943 | 23 | -1091 |
| December | 255 | 3313 | 92 | 5174 | 347 | 8487 | 104 | 1014 |
| 1863 - January | 124 | 2094 | 67 | 5285 | 191 | 7379 | 38 | 174 |
| February | 27 | 759 | 78 | 5493 | 105 | 6252 | 15 | -1346 |
| March | 30 | 462 | 90 | 5025 | 120 | 5487 | 63 | -236 |
| April | 35 | 509 | 86 | 4061 | 121 | 4570 | 41 | -4 |
| May . | 318 | 3916 | 50 | 2795 | 368 | 6711 | 162 | 3591 |
| June . | 141 | 1764 | 51 | 2720 | 192 | 4484 | 141 | 3168 |
| July . | 396 | 4237 | 77 | 3436 | 473 | 7673 | 231 | 5741 |
| August . | 67 | 1244 | 113 | 4163 | 180 | 5407 | 52 | -1576 |
| September . | 195 | 2291 | 86 | 3548 | 281 | 5839 | 246 | 3555 |
| October . | 98 | 1176 | 85 | 2966 | 183 | 4142 | 101 | -2786 |
| November | 167 | 1995 | 48 | 2657 | 215 | 4652 | 63 | -1500 |
| December | 58 | 826 | 55 | 2978 | 113 | 3804 | 12 | -1006 |
| 1864 - January | 16 | 428 | 45 | 2614 | 61 | 3042 | 49 | 232 |
| February | 32 | 496 | 48 | 2284 | 80 | 2780 | 42 | 469 |
| March | 14 | 278 | 60 | 2894 | 74 | 3172 | 31 | -33 |
| April | 54 | 679 | 73 | 3342 | 127 | 4021 | 242 | 5174 |
| May . | 587 | 9080 | 66 | 2324 | 653 | 11404 | 334 | 9223 |
| June . | 568 | 9112 | 79 | 2923 | 647 | 12035 | 231 | 5627 |
| July . | 362 | 5559 | 66 | 3587 | 428 | 9146 | 265 | 3111 |
| August . | 249 | 4015 | 78 | 4283 | 327 | 8298 | 341 | 4624 |
| September . | 231 | 3190 | 54 | 3828 | 285 | 7018 | 102 | -139 |
| October . | 214 | 2676 | 67 | 3687 | 281 | 6363 | 128 | 2126 |
| November | 92 | 1239 | 40 | 3001 | 132 | 4240 | 65 | -1754 |
| December | 121 | 1614 | 35 | 3317 | 156 | 4931 | 59 | -1263 |

From this table we find, for the forty-three months which it comprises, the following aggregates, which probably differ but little from the truth.

|  | Omeers | Men | Both |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Killed in action, | 5726 | 84444 | 90170 |
| Died of disease | 2746 | 129575 | 132321 |
| Total deaths | 8472 | 214019 | 222491 |
| Missing in action | 4106 | 54959 | 59065 |

We have already seen ${ }^{1}$ in Table II. of Chapter I., that the total number of deaths among the soldiers there considered - being less than those here estimated upon, by the number from the Pacific slope and that from the rebel States - was about 216000 , up to the close of the year 1864, and about 239000 for the whole duration of the war. The materials of that table were derived from those employed in our present estimate ; and if, preserving the same ratio between the troops comprised in the two tables, we adopt the estimate there given for the deaths in 1865 before the end of the war, we shall find the probable number of these to be about 23500 , making the total number of deaths among the white soldiery during the war to be 246000 . The totally independent estimates ${ }^{2}$ of the Provost Marshal General, cited in the same place give 250384 , - affording a most satisfactory accordance.

These must not be regarded as correct estimates of the number of deaths among our soldiers in consequence of the war, since they only comprise those which occurred in the military service, and exclude the large number who lost their lives after discharge for disability or the expiration of their term of service, yet in consequence of wounds received or disease contracted in the field.

The inordinate mortality and singular susceptibility to fatal disease exhibited by the colored troops is omitted from the topics here discussed, since our materials are inadequate for the proper investigation of the subject. It may not be amiss to express the hope that some of the able medical officers of the War Department may soon make this a subject of special discussion from official data.

The aggregates of the numbers in our Table IX. do not accord well with the numbers given by the Provost Marshal General on page 79 of his Report. Since our results are only estimates, and based upon the data on file in the offices of the U. S. and State Adjutant Generals, a close agreement ought not to be expected. Probably the accordance between the two sources of information
${ }^{2}$ Report, pp. 73-83.
is as good as could reasonably have been awaited excepting for the "Missing in Action." The most plausible explanation of the discrepancy in the figures for this class is, that out of the large numbers entered on the regimental reports as gained or lost, "for causes not named," a considerable part may have been traced by the Provost Marshal General's Bureau to the category of Missing in Action. This may possibly have been done through the agency of the Paymaster's Department, since it appears from the Provost Marshal General's Report that recourse was had to the pay-rolls in preparing the tables of casualties. ${ }^{1}$

Our tables give the number of desertions also considerably different from those of the Provost Marshal General.

## 4. Effect of Long Marches.

The schedule of questions prepared by Mr. Olmsted was placed in the hands of three inspectors soon after the battles of Gettysburg, which took place on the 2d and 3d of July, 1863, and was designed to elicit the general effect of the hurried, and frequently severe, marches to which our men were subjected immediately before that memorable struggle. A large part of the troops there engaged had hastened from Virginia, to repel the invasion of Pennsylvania by the insurgent army. By forced marches from Fredericksburg to Gettysburg our army succeeded in maintaining its positions in the interior of the curve whose circumference the enemy was compelled to describe ; but this was only possible by dint of severe exertions, and inordinate marches, - from which the soldiers had no time to rest before engaging in the battles, which they brought to so triumphant an issue. The inspectors proceeded immediately to an investigation of the condition and experience of the several regiments, and reports were obtained as follows:-


[^95]The blank form of return used is as follows:-

1. Name of regiment.
2. Name and title of officer commanding.
3. Date of inquiry.
4. Name of Inspector.
5. Was the regiment actively engaged in the battles of July 1863, at Gettysburg? If so, on what days? How long engaged ?
6. What long marches since the 10th of June up to the time of the engagement - specifying dates and distances?
7. What long marches since the engagement?
8. What supplies of food and drink taken on march ?
9. Numbers excused from duty at divers periods, before, during, and since engagement, according to adjutants' records?
10. What amount of straggling in consequence of forced marches? (Numerical statements desired when practicable.)
11. Opinion of colonel or adjutant as to the effect of long marches on the health of the men.
12. Opinion of surgeon concerning the influence of long marches On the number of stragglers?
Amount of sickness?
Character of sickness? - distinguishing such sickness from the sickness commonly prevailing.

The replies to these questions are tabulated in detail and in summary, as are likewise the special tri-monthly returns of the regimental adjutants ; but in this place, only a concise abstract of the results is needful. An excellent preliminary report to the Commission on this subject was made in 1863 by Mr. O'Connell, then temporarily in charge of the statistical investigations, from the returns obtained from forty regiments.

The distances marched by the 144 regiments under consideration in less than three weeks ending with July 2, were almost without exception in long marches of from 20 to 30 miles a day, although halts for a day or two intervened in many instances. The extent of these marches may be exhibited by a table showing the number of regiments in each army corps, which traversed the several distances.

| No. milice | 1st Corpe | 2 Corpa | 8d Corpe | 5th Corpe | 6th Corpe | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Over 350 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 2 |
| 200-230 | - | - | 5 | 18 | 19 | 37 |
| 180-190 | 17 | 16 | 8 | - | - | 41 |
| 168-175 | 4 | - | - | 2 | - | 6 |
| 140-150 | - | 4 | - | 1 | - | 5 |
| 125-135 | - | 4 | 9 | 4 | - | 17 |
| 105-115 | - | 4 | - | 9 | 8 | 16 |
| 75-100 | - | - | 8 | 8 | 1 | 7 |
| Under 60 | 5 | - | 4 | 2 | 2 | 18 |
|  | 26 | 28 | 31 | 84 | 25 | 144 |

The 71st N. Y. Volunteers marched 365 miles before, and 210 miles after, the battle; the 3d Michigan 350 miles before, and 200 afterward.

The distances traversed in July by the same regiments after the battles of Gettysburg were as follows, being in moderate daily marches, except for a short time, while in pursuit of the enemy.

| No. Mrile | 1st Corpe | 2 Clospe | 84 Corpe | 6th Corps | 6th Corpe | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Over 300 | - | - | - | 5 | - | 5 |
| 250-270 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 8 |
| 230-235 | - | - | 8 | 1 | - | 4 |
| 200-220 | - | - | 8 | 2 | - | 10 |
| 175-180 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 2 |
| 145-165 | 22 | 24 | 1 | 3 | - | 50 |
| 130-145 | 4 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 21 | 34 |
| 100-125 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 |
| 90-100 | - | - | 1 | 6 | - | 7 |
| Under 75 | - | - | 7 | - | - | 7 |
| Not stated | - | - | 6 | 9 | - | 15 |
|  | 26 | 28 | 81 | 84 | 25 | 144 |

During the march before the battle, the rations issued to the men consisted of "hard tack," with salt pork, and coffee in most cases; fresh beef was occasionally given to two fifths of the regiments, as shown in the following table.


Assorting these next by their general health according to the opinion of the commanding officers, we find their condition to have been -

|  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { 1at } \\ \text { Corpa } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 2 \mathrm{~d} \\ \text { corps }^{2} \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 8 d \\ \text { Corpe }^{2} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 5th } \\ \text { Corps } \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}\text { 6th } \\ \text { Corpe }\end{gathered}\right.$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Better than when in camp | 8 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 16 |
| As good as when in camp . | 10 | 8 | 16 | 23 | 8 | 65 |
| Debilitated by the march . . . . | 5 | 14 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 27 |
| Exhausted at first, afterwards better | 8 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 10 |
| Imperfectly stated | - | 1 | 10 | 6 | 9 | 26 |
|  | 26 | 28 | 31 | 34 | 25 | 144 |

According to the opinion of the surgeon, the health of the men was -


The character of the diseases from which the men suffered is particularly mentioned by the surgeons, in many instances, as follows: -

|  |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { lerp } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 2 d \\ \text { Corps } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 8 \mathrm{~d} \\ \text { Corps } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 5 \mathrm{k} \\ \text { Corps } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { 6th } \\ \text { Corpe } \end{array}\right\|$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sunstroke . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  | 8 | 10 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 25 |
| Tendency to malarial or typhoid fever . . . . . |  | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 8 |
| " | " diarrhcea and fever . . . . . . . | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 9 |
|  | " diarrhcea alone | 17 | 7 | 6 | 13 | 6 | 49 |

Of the two regiments which made the very severe marches already mentioned, the 71st New York is reported, both by the colonel and the surgeon, to have been in as good health as when in camp, but the 3d Michigan suffered from sunstroke, from malarial fever, and from diarrhoea. The latter had rations of hard tack, coffee, and salt pork; the former had in addition to these fresh beef from time to time.
In order to discover to what extent the endurance of the men was affected by the character of the rations furnished them, we will first tabulate the same reports in such a way as to exhibit an assortment according to the statement of the commanding officers as to the sanitary condition of the regiments, receiving each class of rations. In the column "rations" are named all articles of diet furnished, with the exception of hard tack, which was the staple for all. The men had no opportunities for getting food from the country through which they marched. The other columns refer to the grades of health as given in our previous table of statements by commanding officers : -
$a$ denoting condition better than when in camp,
$b$ denoting condition quite as good as when in camp, $c$ denoting that they suffered from exhaustion, $d$ denoting that condition was good after a preliminary exhaustion, $n$ denoting that our information is inadequate.

| Rations | $a$ | $b$ | c | $d$ | $\boldsymbol{n}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coffee, pork, fresh beef occasionally | 6 | 33 | 6 | 2 | 10 | 57 |
| Coffee, pork, salt beef . . | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | 8 |
| Coffee and pork . . . . . . . | 4 | 20 | 8 | 6 | 13 | 51 |
| The same, in insufficient quantity | 2 | 2 | 2 | - | 3 | 9 |
| Coffee but no meat . . . . . . | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | - | 4 |
| Not even coffee . | - | 1 | 6 | - | - | 7 |
| Not fully stated . | 8 | 7 | 1 | 2 | - | 18 |
|  | 16 | 65 | 27 | 10 | 26 | 144 |

Considering next the statements of the surgeons, and assorting these similarly, we have the next table, which differs only from the preceding one in its arrangement in that it contains an additional column, $e$, to indicate the number of regiments in which a decided tendency was manifested toward the development of disease.

| Rations | $a$ | 6 | c | d | $e$ | $\boldsymbol{n}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coffee, pork, fresh beef occasionally | 2 | 21 | 8 | 2 | 18 | 6 | 57 |
| Coffee, pork, salt beef . | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| Coffee and pork . . . . . | 2 | 12 | 18 | - | 19 | 5 | 51 |
| The same, in insufficient quantity | - | 2 | 2 | - | 5 | - | 9 |
| Coffee but no meat . . . . . . | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | 4 |
| Not even coffee . | - | - | 2 | - | 4 | 1 | 7 |
| Not fully stated . . . . . . . . | - | 8 | 2 | - | 3 | - | 13 |
|  | 4 | 46 | 28 | 2 | 51 | 18 | 144 |

As to the character of the diseases manifested we have information regarding 86 regiments; but our tabular view which follows includes merely those 51 regiments which manifested a decided tendency to disease in consequence of the march, together with 24 additional ones reported to have suffered from sunstroke, although their health in other respects was as good as when in camp.

| Rations | No. Regt's | Sunstroke | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Malarial or } \\ \text { Typhoid } \\ \text { Fever } \end{array}\right\|$ | Diarrhoes and Yever | Diarrhceen alode |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coffee, pork, fresh beef occasionally | 57 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 15 |
| Coffee, pork, salt beef . . | 3 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Coffee and pork . . . . . | 51 | 10 | 2 | 5 | 12 |
| The same, in insufficient quantity | 9 | 1 | - | - | 5 |
| Coffee but no meat . . . . . | 4 |  | - | 1 | 1 |
| Not even coffee . . . | 7 | 5 | - | 1 | 8 |
| Not fully stated . . . . . . . . | 18 | 4 | - | - | 3 |
|  | 144 | 24 | 13 | 9 | 39 |

Three New York regments of the second Army Corps were so much exhausted by their march of 186 miles as to be unfitted for duty on arrival. One of these had received rations of coffee, corned beef, and pork; one, of coffee and pork; and the third neither coffee nor meat. Apart from the temporary exhaustion the physical health of the first two was good; the last suffered severely from sunstroke.

The data thus presented seem to warrant some hygienic inferences.

Of the 57 regiments whose rations comprised fresh meat, 39 in the opinion of their colonels, and 23 in that of their surgeons, enjoyed as good health as when in camp, or even better. There were but three which suffered seriously from sunstroke, and of the 18 regiments which seemed to incur disease by the march, all but three suffered only from simple diarrhœa. Yet these severe marches were under a midsummer sun, in a warmer latitude than that to which the men belonged.

The three regiments to which two kinds of salted meat were furnished, did not suffer in general health, although two of them were for a time extremely exhausted.

Of the 51 regiments which received no meat excepting salt pork in full rations, 24 in the opinion of their colonel, and 14 in that of their surgeon, did not suffer in health from the march otherwise than by sunstroke, but 10 of them suffered severely from this affliction. The same is true of 4 according to the colonel, and 2 according to the surgeon, of those regiments which were placed upon short rations of the same kind. Special tendency to disease was manifested in 19 of these regiments, seven of them suffering from malarial or typhoid fever.

Of the 20 regiments whose supply of meat was either wanting or insufficient, there are but 7 whose health is reported as not impaired by the march, although some of these marched but a comparatively short distance.

The 16 regiments reported by their colonels as having actually gained in health by the march, had marched upon an average 170 miles, and 6 of them more than 200 miles, previous to the battle : 6 had received fresh meat. The 4 regiments so reported by their surgeon, had marched on the average 180, and 2 of them above 214 miles. Two of these had received fresh meat.

There were 25 others concerning which the colonels and surgeons coincided in the opinion that their physical condition was as good during and after the march as when in camp. Of these 16 (one of which marched 365 miles in 21 days) had received fresh meat as well as salt pork, and we have no information as to the diet of 4 others. Five of them had salt pork but no fresh meat.

The regiments which appear to have suffered especially from fuot-soreness are 25 in number. The statistics of these indicate no connection between the suffering on this account, and the diet;
nor do those regiments appear to have been most troubled in this way, whose marches had been the longest.
In a large number of cases where the only prevalent disease was diarrhcea, this was ascribed by the surgeons to the immoderate use of cold water.

All accounts agree in representing the spirits of the army on the march as excellent. They bore their hardships cheerfully and hopefully, and the officers very generally attributed the good health of the men in a great degree to their state of mind, and confident anticipation of the decisive victory.

TABLES FOR CONVERTING INCEES INTO CENTIMETERS, AND TER REVERSE.

Inches into Centimeters.
1 inch $=0.02539979$.

| Inchees | Centimeters | Inches | Contimeters | Inches | Centmotars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2.54 | 10 | 25.40 | 110 | 279.40 |
| 2 | 5.08 | 20 | 50.80 | 120 | 304.80 |
| 8 | 7.62 | 80 | 76.20 | 130 | 330.20 |
| 4 | 10.16 | 40 | 101.60 | 140 | 355.60 |
| 5 | 12.70 | 50 | 127.00 | 150 | 381.00 |
| 6 | 15.24 | 60 | 152.40 | 160 | 406.40 |
| 7 | 17.80 | 70 | 177.80 | 170 | 431.80 |
| 8 | 20.32 | 80 | 203.20 | 180 | 457.20 |
| 9 | 22.86 | 90 | 228.60 | 190 | 482.60 |
| 10 | 25.40 | 100 | 254.00 | 200 | 508.00 |

Centimeters into Inches.
1 meter $=39.3704$.

| Centim. | Inches | Centim. | Inches | Contim. | Inchee |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.394 | 10 | 3.937 | 110 | 43.807 |
| 2 | 0.787 | 20 | 7.874 | 120 | 47.244 |
| 8 | 1.181 | 30 | 11.811 | 130 | 51.182 |
| 4 | 1.575 | 40 | 15.748 | 140 | 55.119 |
| 5 | 1.968 | 50 | 19.685 | 150 | 89.056 |
| 6 | 2.362 | 60 | 23.622 | 160 | 62.993 |
| 7 | 2.756 | 70 | 27.559 | 170 | 66.930 |
| 8 | 3.150 | 80 | 31.496 | 180 | 70.867 |
| 9 | 3.543 | 90 | 85.433 | 190 | 74.804 |
| 10 | 8.937 | 100 | $\mathbf{8 9 . 3 7 0}$ | 200 | 78.741 |

## TABLES FOB CONVERTING POUNDS INTO KILOGRAMS, AND THE REVERSE.

## Pounds into Kilograms.

$1 \mathrm{lb} .=453^{\mathrm{g} .59264}$.

| Pounds | Kilograms | Pounds | Kilograms | Pounds | Kilograms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.45 | 10 | 4.54 | 100 | 45.36 |
| 2 | 0.91 | 20 | 9.07 | 200 | 90.72 |
| 8 | 1.86 | 30 | 13.61 | 300 | 136.08 |
| 4 | 1.81 | 40 | 18.14 | 400 | 181.44 |
| 5 | 2.27 | 50 | 22.67 | 500 | 226.75 |
| 6 | 2.72 | 60 | 27.22 | 600 | 272.16 |
| 7 | 3.18 | 70 | 31.75 | 700 | 317.51 |
| 8 | 8.63 | 80 | 36.29 | 300 | 362.87 |
| 9 | 4.08 | 90 | 40.82 | 900 | 408.23 |
| 10 | 4.64 | 100 | 45.36 | 1000 | 453.59 |

Kilograms into Pounds.
1 kilogr. $=2.2046213$.

| Kilograms | Pounds | Kilograms | Pounds | Kilograms | Pounds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2.20 | 10 | 22.05 | 71 | 156.53 |
| 2 | 4.41 | 20 | 44.09 | 72 | 158.73 |
| 3 | 6.61 | 30 | 66.14 | 73 | 160.94 |
| 4 | 8.82 | 40 | 88.18 | 74 | 163.14 |
| 5 | 11.02 | 50 | 110.23 | 75 | 165.35 |
| 6 | 13.23 | 60 | 132.28 | 76 | 167.55 |
| 7 | 15.43 | 70 | 154.32 | 77 | 169.76 |
| 8 | 17.64 | 80 | 176.37 | 78 | 171.60 |
| 9 | 19.84 | 90 | 198.42 | 79 | 174.16 |
| 10 | 22.05 | 100 | 220.46 | 80 | 176.87 |

# SYNOPSIS. 

## CHAPTER I.

## MILTTARY POPULATION AND FNLIBTMENTS IN THR LOYAL STATES, AS DEDUOED FROM OPFICIAL REPOBTS.

Preliminary.
Information as to General Statistics of the Army continually needed.
Also concerning number of white males of military age, in loyal States. Object of this chapter is to afford such information.

1. Military Population.

Definition.
Materials for the inquiry exist in the United States Census of $\mathbf{1 8 6 0 .}$
West Virginia should be included with the Loyal States.
Military population of West Virginia.
States and Territories of the Pacific Coast not here included.
Number of troops raised by these.
Military population of the States and Territories included in this research.
The same, for Pacific Coast and Insurgent States.
Statistics from enrollment by Provost Marshal General.
2. Growth of Military Population.

Rate of increase for white population of free States.
Immigration to, and mortality in this same population.
Natural increase of the population by births and immigration.
Rate of increase for white males of military age.
Number of alien passengers to the United States from 1860 to 1864, inclusive.
Number of male immigrants of military age.
Its ratio to total number of alien passengers.
Number who settled in the free States.
Number of immigrant military population, during each of five successive years.
Total a mual increase of military population of loyal States.
Mortality in that portion not in the army.
Tabular view of changes in military population from 1860 to 1865. Only regular ratios for immigrants coming by sea are here adopted. Probably volunteers from Continental Europe modified these ratios. Many also from the British Provinces.
8. Total Enlistments and Discharges.

Materials from Report of the Provost Marshal General.
Modification of the numbers there given.
Estimate of number of colored soldiers there included.
Estimate of naval enlistments.
Estimate of enlistments at unknown dates, for unknown periods.
Credits allowed States in the adjustment of quotas.

Table of original and veteran enlistments, and of those expired, annually. Analysis of the enlistnient table of Provost Marshal General.
4. Strength of the Arky at different Dates.

Numerical force at four epochs, according to Provost Marshal General.
The same at close of the war, according to the Secretary of War.
Number of volunteers, regulars, and colored troups at that time.
Difficulties of the inquiry on account of refusal of information.
Our estimates believed to approximate closely to the truth.
Table I. - Strength of the United States Army for each Month of the War, in Detail 7
Explanation of this table, and sources of information.
Modes of estimating numbers not directly attainable.
5. Cabualtifes.

Total number of casualties, during the war, among white troopa.
Total number of deaths among the white troope.
Estimates of the monthly rate of mortality.
Table II. - Death-rate and Nwmber of Deathe, in eack Month of the Wor.
Total deaths in the service, for officers and men, in each class.
6. Annual Enlistments axd Discharges.

Explanation of table, and mode of formation.
Table LII. - Enlistments and Discharges during each Year of the War. 11

## 7. Number of Reenlistments.

Reenlistments of original three months' volunteers.
Reenlistments in 1862-68, of men discharged for disability.
"Veteran" enlistments during 1863-64.
Number of other reenlistments during the same year.
"Veteran" and other reenlistments during 1864-65.

## 8. Gereral Schedule.

These statistics pertain to white soldiers from the States and Territories specified in 81. Table IV. - Statistics of Military Population and Army annually, from 1860 till 1865.18 Table V. - General Statistics of Military Population, White and Colored Troops, and Navy, deving the War.

## CHAPTER II.

NATIVITY OF UNITRD STATES VOLUNTEERS.

1. Nature of the Investigation. - Available Matieials.

Want of data hitherto for estimating nativities of the army.
Large differences in the various estimates.
Allegations that the army consisted of foreigners.
Possible underestimate of the foreign element, by Americans.
Nationality often undetermined, even when nativity is known.
Only practical investigation of nationality is by comparing nativity of the army with that of the people.
Place of birth not generally recorded during early part of the war.
Subsequently the residence often recorded instead of place of birth.
Information may be derived from estimates by commanding officers.
Embarrassment attending this mode of inquiry.
Impossibility of distinguishing original enlistments from others.
Instances of numerous successive enlistments by same men.
The enlistment of first million of mon chiefly prompted by patriotion.
Influences affecting enlistment of subsequant troope.

These later influences led to a larger proportion of foreigners.
Official records apply chiefly to soldiers then enlisted.
Greater preponderance of native Americans among the earlier troops.
Results of this investigation will overrate the proportion of soldiers of foreign birth.
Appeal for estimates to commanders of early regiments.
Attempts to pursue this mode of research, and obstacles encountered.
Applications made to officers, and results of the inquiries
Value of the estimates tested.

## 2. Statistics of Enlistienets and Reenlistigents.

Number of enlistmenta and appointments to army and navy during the war.
Military and naval enlistments were aggregated in assignment of credits.
Estimated number of musters into the army.
Reenlistments must be deducted to obtain number of men who served.
Number of nativities collected from the official records.
Estimated number which must be otherwise obtained.
Number deduced from estimates of officers.
Remainder to be estimated by inference from those obtained from records and officers.
Table I. - Enlistments from the several States, in Detaih.
Sources of information, and notes.
Data for each State, deduced both from State and from Federal documents.
Difference between the two statements.
Number of men who paid commutation-money.
Naval enlistments as recorded by the P. M. G. were reduced to three years' men.
Discordances of documents, and the probable explanations.
Colored troops included in the numbers, as given by the Provost Marshal General.
Estimate of total number of reenlistments.
Official information only to be found, for special organizations.
Method of estimating the number of reenlistments.
Data for an accurate determination are unattainable.
They probably do not exist in the War Department.
Remark of Provost Marshal General on this subject.
Apportionment of estimated reenlistments among the States.
Table of estimated and recorded number for each State.

## 3. Collection of Nativities of Soldiers.

Tabulation of results already deduced.
Nativities recorded at the State capitols were collected by special agents.
Special rolls giving the nativities found in some instances.
Addresses of commanding officers of early regiments obtained at the State capitols.
Letters sent, replies received, and information gathered.
Tasee II. - General Summary of Enlistments, and of Nativities recorded or estimated. 25
4. Resufts and Infergnces megarding Nativities of the Volunterer Army. 26

Nativities of soldiers for whom there are neither records nor estimates.
Manner of distributing these for different States.
Underestimate of American nativities inevitable, by this process.
No other equally correct method is available.
Table III. - Nativities of United States Volunteer Army, by States in wohich enlisted. 27
Tasle IV. - Distribution of the Number of Vobunteer Soldiers according to Nativities of the People in 1860.
Comparison of nativities of the army with those of our population.
Existing statistics permit no other comparison of the kind.
Regular immigration had increased the foreign-born portion since 1860.
Infuence of bounties in attracting foreign soldiers. - Desertions among this clase.
Remarks of Provost Marshal General regarding " bounty-jumpers."
Number of desertions.

Additional remarks of Prov. Marshal Gen'l concerning deserters and bounty-jumpers. Inferences from this investigation.

Proportion of native Americans amnng enlisted men was about 8 per cent. less than among loval white population in 1860.
The foreigners who deserted, offset this difference, leaving native Americans in the ranks in as large proportion as in the population referred to.
No account is here taken of legitimate influence of immigration after July 1860.
American element among officers much larger than annong the men.

## CHAPTER III.

## AGES OF THE ORIGINAL VOLUNTEERS.

## 1. Introductory.

The collection of the ages of soldiers commenced by Mr. Elliott.
This collection completed for volunteer organizations at first muster in.
Facilities aflurded by the officers in charge.
Amount of material and arrangement of its tabulation.
Pernonal expcution of the details.
Limits of this investigation.
Some regiments belonging here are not included.
Table showing for each State the latest regiment included, and its date.
Total number of oflicers and men whose ages are here discussed.
Limits of age, and number found outside these limits.
The 46th year of age was practically included within the military limits, and is so regarded in this research.
Total number of officers and men from whose ages the general formulas are deduced.
These statistics found remarkably conformable to law.
When discordant, valuable inferences are thence deducible.
The laws found to govern ages of officers and men, suggest a similar investigation regarding the population.
Ineffectual attempts to obtain information ou this subject.
Great deticiency in our knowledge both of facts and laws.
One puhlished attempt to classify population of the United States by ages.
The census returns are divided into too large groups of age.
Importance of subjecting census of 1860 to a similar discussion.
The results seem available for life-tables, with advantage.
Diversity of life-curve for the United States from that used in English life-tables.
Marked difference in the distribution by ages of the officers and the men.
Close accordance of each with law.

## 2. Ages of the Enlisted Men.

Grand total at each year of age, assorted in four classes.
Table I. - Classified Summary of Enlisted Volunteers.
Excess and defect of the numbers in this table for particular ages.
System perceptible in these irregularities.
General inferences.
Proportions above and below limits of military age.
Average age at last birthday, at time of enlistment, etc.
Numbers of men within various limits of age.
Accordance of these large results with those previously found for Massachusetts.
Tables for the several States agree in indicating the same general law.
Character of this law, and general formula.
Four constants to be determined. Best mode of determining the modulus of progression.
Values of the other constants are best determined by the method of least squares.
Expression for definite sum between any given ages.
Actual mean age corresponding to the mean of ages at last birthday.
Age corresponding to the average for any period of years.
Numerical values deduced from Table I.

Table II. - Grand Total of Enlisted Men.
Comparison of theoretical with recorded numbers at different ages.
Table of mean ages corresponding to ages at last birthday.
Tables similar to Table II. have been prepared for 27 States and groups of States.
Only twelve are bere given.
Table III. - Ages of United States Volunteer Infantry. 40
Table IV.-Ages of United States Volunteer Cavalry. 41
Table V. - Ages of United States Volunteer Artillery. 42
Table VI. - Ages of Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, and Connecticut Volunteers. 43
Table VII. - Ages of Massachusetts Volunteers. 44
Table VIII. - Ages of New York Volunteers. 45
Table IX. - Ages of Pennsylvania Volunteers (including Reserves). • 46
Table X. - Ages of Ohio Volunteers. 47
Table XI. - Ages of Indiana Volunteers. 48
Table XII. - Ages of Michigan Volunteers. 49
Table XIII. - Ages of Illinois Volunteers. 50
Table XIV. - Ages of Wisconsin and lowa Volunteers. 51
The constants from each of these groups agree with those from their aggregate
Only one group in which the formula already given is at all inadequate.
Attempts to deduce a law of distribution for loyal troops from border States.
It is evident that rolunteering did not follow an undisturbed law.
Anomaly of results from ages of $1 l l i n o i s ~ t r o n p s . ~$
Summary of the results from the thirteen tables preceding.
Table XV. - Constants deduced for Special Clusses of Volunteers. 58
Peculiarities of the residuals in Tables II. to XIV.
Excess of recorded numbers at 18 and 21 ; defect of the same at 19 and 20.
These residuals furnish a measure of the misstatements of age.
Amount of excess and defect at these ages.
Similar excess in latest year of military age, viz., 44 in some States, 45 in others.
Average discordance between computed and recorded numbers at these ages.
Tendency to state in "round numbers," and its effect.
Chart A shows these discordances, and the curve of enlistments at each age.
Chart $B$ shows the discordances and curve for the number at and over each age.
Chart C shows the variation with age, in the proportion of officers and men to the military population of the United States.
Chart $D$ shows the same in reference to military population of loyal States only.

## 3. Ages of Officers.

Total number of officers considered; number within limits of military age.
The general formula for enlisted men is here inapplicable.
Empirical formula representing the numbers at each age.
Mode of obtaining numerical values for the constants.
This expression requires yet another term for ages above 45.
Numerical expression for ages of officers between 18 and 50.
Curves for ages of officers are also represented on Charts A and B.
Greatest discordance here found is for 19 years, but subsequent accordance is close.
Table XVI. - Agea of Officers of United States Volunteers.
Mean ages of officers, at last birthday, at inuster in, etc.
Proportion of officers to enlisted men, to population, etc.
Caution as to significance of these quantities.
Table XVII. - Relatire Proportions of Officers, Enlisted Men, and White Male Popur
lation, at same Age.

## 4. Population of the Unithd States and of the Loyal States.

## Reason for this discussion.

Territory regarded as belonging to the loyal States.
Only the white male population is here cousidered.
Difficulty of deducing the required numbers from census returns.

Fxplanation of Tables XVIII. and XIX.
Comparison of figures deduced from the census and from formulas.
Close accordance of the theoretical numbers, for ages above 20 years.
Table XVIII. - White Male Population of the United States in 1860.
Formulas which represent the theoretical numbers.
Corresponding distribution of the population by ages.
Description of Tables XX. and XXI.
Later study has led to a formula covering the whole range of life.
lts agreement not so close within the limits of military age.
But the earlier and later numbers are very well represented.
It seems an important step toward a knowledge of the life-curve.
Form of the expression. Appendix to this chapter.
Table XX. - White Male Population of the U. S. in 1860, by single Years of Age. 63
Table XXI. - White Male Population of the Loyal States in 1860, by single Years of Age. 64
Curious differences in the distribution of ages for the two populations.
Chart E shows these differences conspicuously.
The convexities of the two life-curves are in opposite directions.
Appendix, on the Ages of a Population.
68
The true law governing the relative number of persons at any age seems approximately attained.
Its importance seems to warrant its introduction here.
General formula for the number at any given age in any tolerably homogeneous population. Special case, in which the formula becomes very simple.
The numbers for any age are strictly proportional to the popalation.
Therefore the formula represents the average expectation of life.
Application to the several censuses of the United States.
Remarkable inference from the numerical values of the constants.
The structure of our population is assimilating to the special case mentioned.
Amount of influence of European immigration upon these constants.
Application of the formula to the two last censuses of England and Wales.
Same gradual approach toward the special case is here perceptible.
Application to the last three censuses of France.
The special case of highest simplicity exists here already.
Peculiarity of the French life-curve for early ages.
Chart F exhibits the life-curve for the three nations, on the aame scale.
Chart $\mathbf{G}$ shows corresponding values for the numbers under each year of age.
Close accordance between the computed and the observed numbers.
Discrepancies in the French tables for the numbers of those born in the last century. Probable historical explanation of this phenomenon.
Table XXII. - Computed and Observed Ages of the Population of the United States in 1830 and 1840.
Table XXIII. - Computed and Observed Ages of the Population of the United States in 1850 and 1860.
Table XXIV. - Computed and Observed Ages of the Population of England and Wales in 1851 and 1861.
Formulas belonging to these populations.
Table XXV. - Computed and Observed Ages of the Population of Frasce in 1851, 1856, 1861.
Formulas belonging to these populations.
Prussian statistics give results analogous to the English.
Algebraic expressions for the number, and for the mortality at any age.
Simplified expressions for these numbers, in the special case.
The life-curve for advanced ages is not an asymptote.
Many interesting lines of research are here suggested.

## CHAPTER IV.

## AGRS OF RRCRUTTS.

## 1. Nature of the Problem.

Investigation of ages of recruits, in the same way as for volunteers, is impossible.
Relative ages of the population at home were much changed by enlistments.
The proportion of recruits at different ages was thus greatly modified.
Each subsequent call for tronps increased the irregularity.
Consequently no simple law exists, expressing the ages of recruits.
The problem is complex, relating both to enlistments and to military population.
An approximate determination is needed of the enlistments at each age in each year.
Ratios of these to the population, to be taken from last chapter.
Aggregate of recruits deduced for each age must be compared with records.
The formula for volunteers to be so moditied as to make the residuals a minimum.
This last implies a special adaptation of that formula to the early troope.
2. Fundamental Statistics.

74
Our inquiry must be based upon Tables IV. and V. of Chapter I.
Modification of those figures needed for our present purpose.
The entire number of soldiers not to be deducted from military population.
Soldiers not of military age to be subtracted from this portion of the population.
And the deaths of those who had served in army to be considered by themselves.
Description of the table on which our computations are founded.
Table 1. - Military Population and Enlistments, during the War. 75

## 3. Method of Investigation.

Formula obtained for the ages from grand total of volunteers.
Discordances of observed and compured numbers at certain ages.
Computation twice repeated after modifying the recorded numbers.
First assumed formula, thus obtained.
Supposed enlistments before July 1863 distributed by this formula.
Ratio of enlistments to military population thus obtained.
These ratios proportionally applied to the remaining military population.
The sum of the numbers at each age in these two years gives a new law of distribution.
Hypothetical formula deduced from this new series of numbers.
Mode of deducing the desired formula.
Adopted formula for ages of volunteers from the normal population.

## 4. Chanoes of Home Population during the War.

The course of this investigation may be presented in tabular form.
Original enlistments are first considered, reenlistments afterwards.
Advantage of this arrangement.
The ages of those reenlisting are assorted by the laws applying at the time of original enlistment.
This assumes that the ratio of reenlistments was constant for all ages.
The statistics for the first fifteen months, reduced to the scale of one year.
Law of mortality for the population at home.
Mode of computing the table of mortality by ages.
Table II. - Mortality of Military Population not in the Army.
Assumed ages of immigrants.
Table III. - Unenlisted Military Population and Annual Enlistments, by Ages, using Formula for Volunteers.
Comparing the aggregates of these enlistments we have the computed numbers.
By the recorded numbers for recruits we may test the adopted formula.
Tasis IV. - Ages of Recruits using the adopted Formula for Volunteers.

## 5. Final Inferkncers.

Order of magnitude of differences (c. - o.) for recruits.
The tendency to enlist was connected with the age by a distinct law.
If this law acted as markedly for recruits as for volunteers, the more detailed method should render it more conspicuous.
Corrections of the constants obtained by careful study of the residuals.
Formula for the ages of recruits.
That portion of Table III. which refers to dates since July 1863, must be modified.
Table V.-Unenlisted Military Population and Annual Enlistments, by Ages, wsing Formula for Recruits.
The table of actual ages of recruits may hence be readily deduced.
The excess here manifested in recorded number at 21 years is nearly balanced by the defect at 19 and 20.
Table VI. - Ages of Recruits, deduced from most Probable Formula.
Actual age corresponding to average "age last birthday," for recruits.
Effect of misstatement of ages below 18 and 21.
Table of actual ages corresponding to ages at last birthday.
6. Ages of the Army in each Year.

88
Results obtained for volunteers, recruits, and reenlisted men are here combined.
Table VII. - Ages of Volunteer Army at four different Epochs.
Table of average ages, and of proportional numbers within certain limits of age.

## CHAPTER V. <br> statures.

## 1. Statistics collected, and Mode of Discussion.

Plan of the investigation.
Facilities accorded and refused.
Collection of naval records at Navy Department.
Total amount of materials collected.
Manner of tabulation and assortment.
Limits of stature for volunteer and regular troops.
Number under 61 inches.
Inaccuracy of original measurements.
Tendeney to record in round numbers.
Tabulation by counts, in order of record.
Tabulation in historical order not feasible.
Mean statures of enlisted men do not belong to the mean of their ages.
Age of full stature found to be later than generally supposed.
It differs for different States and countries of birth.
Importance of deducing mean statures from ages after full growth.
Stature of volunteers differs from that of recruits.
These statistics chiefly derived from recruits.
Discordances between these results and those of Provost Marshal General.
Manner in which the measurements were inade.
Distribution of the men according to their nativities.
Statures regarded as excessive and requiring investigation.
Verification of official records.

## 2. Heights at each Age, by Stater of Enligticent.

Deacription of Table I.
Table I. - Mean Heights at each Age, by States of Enlistment.
No apparent geographical influence is here indicated.
Tables, showing for each State the distribution by age and height.

## 3. Heights at each Age, by Nativities.

Tabulation similar to that by States of enlistment.
Eighteen tables exist, showing, for each nativity, the number at each age and height.
Table II. - Natives of New England States, by Heights and Ages. 96
Table III. - Natives of New York, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania, by Heights and Ages. 98
Table IV. - Natives of Ohio and Indiana, by Heights and Ages. 100
Table V. - Natives of Ireland, by Heights and Ages. 102
Table VI. - Mean Heights at each Age, by Nativities. 104
Differences of stature in different States are manifest, whatever the classification.
They are more conspicuous in the classification by nativities.
Table VII. - Mean Heights at each Age, by Regioms of Enlistment.
Difference between results of Tables VI. and VII., and its explanation.
Stature and law of growth are dependent both upon stock and rearing.

## 4. Law of Growth.

These statistics probably the first which are copious enough for safe inferences.
Quetelet's opinion that growth continues till after the age of 25.
His inferences were from few cases, and a single locality.
This research confirms and generalizes the inference.
Inferences for white soldiers from our statistics.

1. Rate of growth suddenly diminishes at about 20 years. Stature continues to increase until about 24.
2. A period of suspension of growth at about 24 years. Subsequently a slight increase until full stature is attained.
3. The normal epoch of maximum stature generally as late as 30 years. It raries for different classes of men.
4. The annual variations after about 23 years are very small. The epochs of suspension and maxima vary with the class of men.
The fluctuations of mean stature during the ages 23 to 34 are very small.
They may not be regarded as accidental, or as only apparent.
Is their existence due to the crudeness of the original measurements?
Examination of this question by results of 76 tabulations.
Table of ages of maximum stature for different groups of men.
The fluctuations do not seem due to bad measurements.
Table showing number of men from which each age of maximum is derived.
9-11ths of all are in classes whose maximum is after 31.
Nativities indicating other ages of maximum stature.
Classifying by States, about 8-11ths of all give the maximum after 30 .
Correctness of inference not impaired by smallness of the quantity.
Amount of growth after 26 years, for native Americans.
Table showing excess of full stature over that at 26 , for six American nativities.
Reality of suspension of growth at about 24 years.
Charts H and I show the character of this disturbance.
Variations of mean stature for ages after 22, by nativities. 111
Variations of mean stature for ages after 22, by States.
Apparently there is a real arrest of growth.
The phenomenon is masked in the aggregate of the nativities.
Vain attempts to represent the law of growth by a formula.
Statures for earlier ages are needed for this purpose.
Measuring apparatus distributed to institutions of learning.
Important field of research open, as regards physical dimensions of man.
Approximations to curve of growth for ages here discussed.
Charts H and I show normal statures at each age, for 14 nativities.
Reference of mean stature at any age, to that at any other.
Table VIII. - Mean Statures at each Age for Fourleen different Nativities. 118 The variation, in epoch of full stature, for different nativities, seems normal. Growth in height continues longest for Americans and Irish.

Order of other nativities by time of growth.
Similar inferences deduced from tabulation by States of enlistment.
This investigation is based upon an assumption.
Objection to this assumption, and answer to the objection.
Note illustrating the correctness of this answer.
More satisfactory answer afforded by manuscript tables.
The relative number of tall men slowly increases with the age.
This increase shows no superior vitality in this class.
Upon facts now presented. our knowledge of Law of Growth for the average man depende.
Inferences from the average of men may not apply to the average man.
Lehmann's memoir on application to individuals, of laws deduced from averages.
These laws may fail to indicate striking and unfailing phenomena.
Illustration from shoot in growth at entrance upon manhood.
The curve for any individual has two branches, meeting in a cusp.
This cusp is obliterated in the mean of many individuals.
The curve for such a mean shows no token of any shoot.
The epoch in question may be physiologically considered as a new birth.
May not a sudden accession of growth take place at other epochs?
Is there such an accession at the second dentition?
The curve of stature suggests some such phenomenon at about $\mathbf{Q L}$
Growth in stature perhaps not fully terminated during life.
Influences which would conceal its effect.
The increase in length of the larger bones may cease at an earlier date.
Evidence of increase in stature after ossitication of the epiphysea.
These hypotheses explain the diminution in height at 24 .

## 5. Full Stature.

The full stature of man has been hitherto undetermined for any nationality.
Various statements by different authorities.
Wide range and ancertainty of these statements.
Even here the agen proper for deducing full atature are uncertain.
Suggestion of Dr. Villermé, that comfort and ease increase full stature, and hasten its attainment.
This idea not entirely confirmed br preaent results.
An element of correctnens in it is indicaled by our results for sailors.
Limits of age adopted in deducing full statures.
Table IX. - Mean Statures for different Periols of Age, by States.
Table X. - Mean Statures fir different Periove of Age, by Nativitice.
The decreace in stature after 45 years, exerts mmall influence here.
Its effect, supposing a given decrease in all soldiers over 45.
Principle adopted in computing the full atntures.
Table XI. - Full Statures, for Soldiers of 18 different States and 14 different Nativitice. 185
The full stature for any nativity seems to vary in different States.
This inference confirmed by the 684 manuscript tables of height and age.
Table XII. - Stutures of Natives of New England States, by Ages and Regions where eulisted.
Table XIII. - Statures of Natives of New York, by Ages and Regions where enlisted 187
Stature dependent both upon ancestry and influences during growth.
Residence in Western Staten during growth tends to increase stature.
Similar influences exist probably in many Southern States.
Those States which produce highest stature for natives, tend most to increase stature of immigrants.
No geographical law indicated in the order of relative full statures.
Possible explanation of the phenomenon by character of the soil.
Effect of revidence in America upon stature of Europeans.
Tasle XIV. - Full Statures of Irish and Germins enlisting is Eight different Stated, compared with each other, and with Natives of these States enlisting at Home.

Similar phenomenon for natives of adjacent States, here aggregated.
Table XV. - Comparison of Statures of Natives of Ohio and Indiana, enlisting in Indiana.
Attempts to compare statures of men enlisted in cities and in country.
Other desirable researches, now found impossible.
Results of measures of students at Cambridge, England, and at Edinburgh.
Measurement of students at Harvard and Yale Colleges.
Table XVI. - Heights of Haroard and Fale Students.
Resultant mean statures for each year of age.
The same by periods of age.
Extreme statures found among these students.
Summary of inferences regarding full stature.
It does not chiefly depend upon the temperature of a region.
Nor is the nationality a controlling influence.
Nor does it depend upon the degree of comfort, as principal agency.
Nor upon the elevation of the district.
Yet all these influences doubtless contribute to the general result.

## 6. Stature of Sailors.

Mean heights of men on New York naval musters, less than those of soldiers.
The difference averages an inch and a quarter for each age.
Table of excess in stature of New York soldiers over sailors, at each age.
Delay of development and real defect of full stature in sailors.
Collection of statures, and ages of sailors elsewhere enlisted.
Assistance of officers of the Navy Department.
Statistics of sailors collected, and their classification.
Epochs of full stature for different classes of sailors.
Explanation of these phenomena.
Note on ages of full stature for sailors of different nativities.
Table of these ages for 18 nativities, in three classes of sailors.
Table XVII. - Heights of Sailors, by Ayes and Nativities.
Table XVIII. - Heights of "Landsmen," by Periods of Age and Nativities. 141
Table XIX. - Heights of "Seamen," by Perinds of Age and Natiritics. 142
Table XX. - Heights of Sailors, by Periods of Age and Nativilies.
Inferior stature of sailors explained by enlistment of short men.
This explanation inadequate to account for all the facts observed.
The difference in height of soldiers and sailors, found after excluding from the data all statures above 66 inches.

## 9. Stature of other Races of Mex.

Height of Laplanders and Patagonians, according to Tenon.
Esquimaux, according to Pauw.
Natives of Pacific Coasts, according to Rollin, of La Pérouse's expedition. Chayma and Caribe Indians, according to Humboldt.
Patagonians, according to various authorities. D'Orbigny's observations.
Puelches, according to D'Orbigny.
Various races of South American Indians, according to D'Orbigny.
New Zealanders, according to Thomson.
Bushmen, according to Freycinet.
Obongoes, according to Du Chaillu.
Data in War Department might give stature and law of growth for negroes.
Deacriptive mustern are probably there for $\mathbf{1 8 0} 000$ colured men.
Access to these refused to the Commission by Mr. Stanton.
Data accessible only for 40000 soldiers, and 4000 sailors.
This number inadequate, on account of the large numbers of mixed race
Several varieties of negro in the Southern States.
These are intermixed with each other, and with Indian races.

Discussion of statures of colored men hoped for from Provost Marshal's statistics. Vain attempts at results for negroes and mulattoes separately.
Final acsortment into those born in Free, and those born in Slave, States.
Table XXI. - Heights of Culored Solliers, by Ages.
Table XXII. - Heights of Colored Soldiers, by Periods of Age.
These results show an inferior stature for natives of the Free States.
But the full stature for these is attained later.
The regions where these were enlisted give same results for white men.
Decrease of stature after maximum, earlier and greater for negroes.
Caution as to the recorded ages of southern negroes.
Illustration, by ages in Table XXI.
Table XXIII. - Heights of Colored Sailors, by Ages. $14:$
Table XXIV. - Heights of Colored Sailors, by Periods of Age. 150
Difference in height between sailors and soldiers, like that for whites.
Development in stature diminished and delayed, by a nautical life.
Small number of colored sailors renders minuter discussion useless.
Measurements made of 500 Iroquois Indians.
Selection of subjects for measurement.
Table XXV. - Heights and Ages of Iroquois Indians.
Table of corresponding mean heights, by ages.
Mean heights of Iroquois Indians, by periods of ages.
8. Extremes of Stature.

Special inquiries as to statures recorded as 77 inches or more.
About one sixth of such records have been found erroneous.
Understatures tabulated, - limit adopted for understature.
Proportion and number of understatures.
Non-attainment of full growth was the occasion of many of these.
Number whose heights attained the limit of 75 inches.
Proportion of very high and very low statures among French conscripts.
Maximum and minimum statures observed by Liharzik, and others on record.
Proportion among American soldiers at each inch of beight above 75.
Proportion among American soldiers of same age as French conscripts.
Tables exhibiting the distribution of very high statures.
Extent to which subsequent growth of the men would change these tables.
Table XXVI. - Number of Soluiers upuards of 75 Inches Tall, by Meights and Agrs. 154
Table XXVII. - Proportional Number of the same, in each 100000 of the same Age. 155
Table XXVIII. - Number of Soldiers upoards of 75 Inches Tall, by Heights and States. 156
Table XXIX. - Propertional Number of the same, in each 100000 from the same State. 156
Table XXX. - Number of Soldiers upeards of 75 Inches Tall, by Heights and Nativities. 158
Table XXXI. - Proportional Number of the same, in each 100000 , $f$ the same Nutirity. 158
Table XXXII. - Number of Soldiers upeards of 75 Inches Tall, by Agrs and Nnivities. 160
Table XXXIII. - Proportional Number of the same, in ench 100000 of the same Naticity. 162
Table XXXIV. - Propirtimal Number of Tall Men in each 10 ovo of some Age and Natirity.
Among the early troops, the proportion of tall men was quite as large.
Number of men not less than 80 inches in height.
Men of this class, whose descriptive musters are recorded.
Special details concerning some extremely tall soldiers.
Fitness of very tall men for military duty.
Number of men below 61 inches in height.
Degree of dependence of understature upon age, for enlisted men.
Comparison between the number below 61, and that above 75 inches.
Effect of subsequent growth upon the proportionate numbers.
The proportion of very tall men less in army than in population.
In the population the number of full statures at and above $\mathbf{7 5}$ inches, is probably twice that of those below 61 inches.
Special details concerning some extremely short soldiers.

Table XXXV. - Number of Soldiers belon 61 Inches, by Ages and States.
Cable XXXVI. - Proportional Number of the same, in each 10000 of same Age and State.
Table XXXVII. - Number of Soldiers belon 61 Inches, by Ages and Nativities. 174
Table XXXVIII. - Proportional Number of the same in each 10000 of same Age and Nativity.
Influence, upon mean statures, of excluding all men below a given height.
Most statistics of height are derived from military records.
Some lower, but no upper, limit of height has there been prescribed.
Other conditions to be considered, in instituting comparisons.
Only men of the same age, or full stature, should be compared for determining differences of class.

## SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES.

Memoir of Boudin, upon stature and weight of various peoples.
This memoir obtained too late for use in the preceding pages.
Notes to § 3, Heights by Nativities.
Mean stature of French conscripts from 1818 to 1828.
Mean age of the same, and inferior limit of stature.
Mean stature of French conscripts from 1831 to 1862.
Mean age of the same, and inferior limit of stature.
Natives of France in United States Army, taller than those of same age in France.
This inference not affected by our aggregation of French with Belgians.
This excess for Frenchmen aged 20, is nearly 3 centimeters.
The inference here accords with that deduced from other sources.
Relative statures of English, Irish, and French, from official documents.
Wide variance of these results from those here deduced.
Collation of our own statistics with the official British tables.
Explanation of Table XXXIX.
Table XXXIX. - Comparatire Distribution of Irish Soldiers, by Stature.
Totally different distribution of statures in the American and British armies.
This is made manifest by the next table. Explanation.
Table XL. - Comparative Distribution of Irish Soldiers, by Age.
Inferences from this table.
Difference in distribution by statures, explained by the distribution by ages.
Number of Irish recruits to American army, above 25 years old, greater than that below 21 years to British army.
This fact accounts for the diversity in distribution by stature.
Alleged enormous difference in stature between English and French armies.
No account was taken of a difference of $4 \frac{1}{2}$ inches in lower limit of height.
Nor was regard had to the great difference in the mean age.
Yet the largest group is between 64 and 65 inches for each nation.
The case affords a good example of the misuse of statistical results.
Remark of Bischoff as to deductions from statistics of recruiting.
Notes to § 5. Full Statures.
Boudin's inferences regarding Villerme's theory are the same as ours.
His estimate of local influences upon stature is far below ours.
Notes to § 7. Stature of other Races of Men.
Mean stature of Esquimaux, according to Pauw.
Mean stature of Sepoy regiments in India.
The high limit of minimum stature here forbids ethnical inferences.
Notes to § 8. Extremes of Stature.
Discussion of geographical distribution of tall men in France.
Boudin finds ground for belief that the governing influences are hereditary rather than physiological.
Illustrations of this theory.
Ratio of recruits of minimum height in Brittany and in Normandy.
Different proportion of excessire statures in different districts.

> The slopes of the Jura fumish the tallest men of France.
> They also give the maximam number above the average height.
> Similar inferences deducible from Belgian and Prussian military statistics.
> These facts are analogous to those deducible from our own investigations. But the effect of local infuences is here seen to be as great as that of nece er stoes.

## CHAPTER VI. <br> COMPLEXIONS, COLOR OF HAIR AND EPES. <br> 1. Avallatile Records.

16
Descriptive musters not made out in early part of the war.
These musters may increase our anthropological knowledge.
The descriptions tabulated by elerke who collected ages and statures.
No attempts made to render this collection complete.
Descriptive musters for about 669000 men transcribed at different State Capitals.
Volunteers are distinguished frous recruifs in the tabulations.
The volunteers are of the later class; earlier ones not being described.
Recruits are of the earlier class; the later not being collected.
Above $\mathbf{4 2 5} 000$ volunteers here described, and about 243000 reuratits:
Results are presented in two forms; by States and by Nativities.

## 2. Conoz or Hars. <br> 186

Table I. - Volunteers, by States. 183
Table II. - Recruits, by States. 187
Table III. - United States Soldiers, by States. 188
Table IV. - Volunteere, by Nativities. 189
Tabie V. - Recruits, by Nativities. 190
Table VI. - Onited States Soldiers, by Nativities. 191
Tasle VII. - Proportionate Numbers for Tutal, by States. 192
Table VIII. - Proportionate Numbers for Total, by Nativitis. 193
8. Color of Eres. 194

Table IX. - Vobenteers, by States. 194
Tabis X.- Recrusit, by States. 195
Table XI. - United States Suldiers, by States. 196
Table XII. - Volunteers, by Nativities. 197
Table XIII. - Recruits, by Nntivities. 198
Table XIV. - United States Soldiera, by Nativities. 190
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Deductions from these tables munt be drawn with caution.
The descriptions were evidently entered very loosely in most casex.
Illustrations of this fact.
Proper caution will obviate danger of important error.
Marked and real differences between men from different States.
Differences in color of hair and color of oyes.

## Variations between different nativities much more marked. Comparison of matives of Scandinavia and Iberia.

## CHAPTER VII.

PREVIOUS OCCUPATIONS.
Materials for this inquiry were obtained from descriptive musters. Manner of classification.
Instructions for the collection of materials.
Occupations of two thirds of a million of our soldiers here asentted.
One hulf per cent. were officers who never served in the ranks.
Number of original commissioned officers from the "professional" class.
The enlisted rolls here tabulated do not fairly represent this class.
Explanation of the dispmportion of the numbers here given.
True proportion of the professional class in the ranks.
It was probably about 94 for the whole army, and 102 for recruits.
For officers and men together it was probably about 8.2 per cent.
Large enlistments from seminaries of learuing.
About three tenths of the enlisted inen were under 81 years old.
The occupations of these were not definitely fixed.
Table I. - Occupations of Volunteers, by Nitates. 210
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## CHAPTER VIII.

MRAN DIMENSIONS OF BODY.

1. History of the Investigation.

Schedule of inquiries prepared by Messrs. Olmsted and Elliott.
Investigations had been commenced by Professor Henry.
Instruments constructed under Profeswor Bache's superintendence.
Two inspectors appointed, and duties assigned them.
Inquiry as to both physical and social characteristics of the men.
Copy of Form [E].
The author appointed Actuary to the Sanitary Commission in June.1884.
Extract from his first Report.

- Number of men then examined and condition of the records.

Recommendations concerning prosecution of these inquiries.,
Unity of method insisted on; more precise queries; and more activity.
Examination of colored men, and appointment of a chief examiner.
Twelve sets of instruments, and twelve examiners authorized.
Modification of the apparatus and schedule of questions.
Disadrantages from want of special training on part of the author.
Difficulty of obtaining apparatus promptly.
Measurements were made in inches instead of centimeters.
Regret that the metric system was not exclusively employed.
Copy of new schedule, "Form [EE]."
Dr. Buckley appointed chief examiner; - all examiners to practice with him.
Copy of "Instructions to Examiners."
The end of war soon ended opportunities for examinations.
Number of men measured and otherwise examined according to the new form.
Policy adopted in assignment of duties to the examiners.
Astistance and opportunities afforded by military officers.

Cordial and effective aid of naval authorities.
It was otherwise where permission from the Secretary of War was required.
Valuable opportunities, and important information were thus lost.
Mode of primary tabulation.
Classification of the results by nativities, like the statures.
Characteristic differences among men examined by Form [E].
Impossible to discover how far these were due to the examiners.
Firrors of this sort doubtless exist to a considerable extent.
The personal differences have been determined for many dimensions.
Mean values, and assortment of individual discordances therefrom.
Objects and results of this assortment.
The computations would be more instructive were the ages considered.
Best mode of research was precluded by pecuniary considerations.
The materials are available for use of future inquirers.
Question to be investigated.
Reference of all the measurements to the stature as unit of length.
Proportions as well as dimensions thus determined for nearly 24000 men .
Usefulness and success of this part of the work.
Its great extent and laborious character.
Much more inight have been effectively done, had time and means allowed.
Besults of the measurements by the Novara expedition hoped for.
Apparatus used has been distributed to institutions of learning.
Similar examinations of other races expected.

## 2. Measurements obtained.

Examinations of the earlier series (by Form [EE]).
Detailed statement of number of men measured.
Publication of some of the results, by Mr. Elliott.
Personal differences between examiners in mode of measurement.
Examples of influence of this source of error.
Vain attempts to determine difference between Messrs. Buckley and Fairchild.
Discordances between results of the earlier and of the later examinations.
They may often be explained by the phraseology of the questions.
Instruments used in the measurements.
Andrometer. Its graduation.
Great delay in construction of the apparatus.
The later series of measures chiefly made in first eight months of 1865.
Examiners appointed. Practice with Dr. Buckley.
Stations and transfers of the several examiners.
Measures of students at Cambridge and New Haven.
Measures of Southern-born men at New Orleans.
Measures of Iroquois Indians in Western New York.
Classified statement of materials collected in the later series. Manner of measuring.
Number of cases, assorted according to amount of clothing.
Proportionate number of men of various nativities.
Dimensions wrongly measured. These measurements made available.
Tabulation of the returns kept up without intermission.
Mean results for the several examiners frequently collated.
Relative trustworthiness of the two series of measures. Classification by nativities different for the two series.
Actual and linear dimensions only, are discussed in this chapter. Inferences legitimately deducible from these materials.
The present work does not claim to be a thorough discussion.
It aims at furnishing materials in a form convenient for the investigator.
3. Averages, Types, etc.

The value of our results depends upon the correctness with which their means represent normal dimensions.

A numerical measure of the degree of approximation is important. True significance of averages. Criterion for typical character. Laws of error illustrated by distribution of shots at a target.
Laws deducible from experience whatever mark has been aimed at.
The point of aim is indicated by the average of results.
If the real and intended points coincide, correctness of aim is shown.
The difference between the two shows the personal error.
Influences of the accidental class which affect single cases.
Character of distribution of single shots around their mean.
Regular and known law of decrease of their number with the distance.
Nature and limits of application of this law. Measure of precision.
In the case cited, regularity, not correctness, of aim is measured.
Accordance with law of error affords a criterion for value of the mean.
Illustration extended to the mean of many individual means.
Here the same law is found to hold good.
The measure of precision then shows the influence of extraneons agencie.
Analogy with Laws of Nature when aiming at production of typical forms.
The manifestation of the law of error indicates typical charactar.
Typical forms exist throughout the organic creation.
They are susceptible of numerical determination.
Varieties in the same species correspond to conatant errors of aim.
Individual dissimilarities correspond to accidental errors.
Here we seek the types of human form and physical capability.
This implies the types for many races, nationalities, classes, etc. Our materials are chiefly limited to American soldiers, and certain ages.
Still they comprise a wide territory and varied ancestry.
The existence of a human type first demonstrated by Quetelet.
There are two sorts of mean results deducible from measurement.
The mean of many measures of one object represents a material thing.
That of measures of many similar objects represents only an ideal.
The idea of a type practically abolishes this wide distinction.
Quetelet's illustration by measurements of a statue.
The human type, and types of classes and races may thus be discovered.
Here we seek only the type of some physical manifestations.
That of exterual form is a standard of beauty and model for art.
Quetelet has shown that the mental and moral type may be investigated.
He is thus the founder of Social Science, in the true sense of this term.
Statistical investigation a safe method only when it demonstrably elicits some type or law.
The discredit, in which some hold it, is due to its misapplication.
It is the only mode of discovering or demonstrating many and various laws.
The average man. Computation of theoretical variations.
General formula for law of error. Probability of any given discordance.
Tables of numerical value of such probability.
Probable error, mean error, probable error of mean.
Necessary, though incorrect, assumption of adequate measurements.
Assortment of the several measures by magnitude. Determination of $r, 0$, and $r_{0}$.
Cautions as to interpretation of results.
Degree of typical character is shown by accordance with law of error.
This accordance is susceptible of numerical expreseion.

## 4. White Soldiers.

Number examined in the later series; number of examiners.
Those in, and those not in, usual rigor, have been discussed separatoly.
Number of men in each of these classes.
Claseification by nativities.
Details of incomplete and erroneous measurements.
Number of white soldiers included in the earlier series.

Heights of those examined.
Discussion of the variations here is superseded hy Chapter $Y$.
Table of statures of 18780 men, aseorted by inches of haight.
Some bias may have affected the selection of mea for examination.
Mean heights; mean age; values of $r$ and ro.
Similar determisations for seven difforat nativitios.
Distance from Finger tip to Patella.
Object and origin of this quastion.
Comparison of actual and theoretical discondances from the meap
Results of this comparison; the mean values clearly typical.
Total range of the meap results for different mativities.
Mean values; probable individual variation; probable arror of mean.
Height to lower part of Neck.
Seventh cervical vertebra taken as uppar limit of the body proper.
Average length of head and neck is about ten incheas.
Variation from this average valuu. Greatest deviations.
Results and probable arrors for six independent aativities.
Longth of Body.
This is obtained by deducting height to perinseum from that to 7 th cervical.
Table for 17018 men, assorted by half inohes and by ntate of health.
Mean results from this clascification.
Explanation of discordant values in earier series.
Table of mean length of body, according to nativities.
Some inferences apparently deducible from thase results.
Height to Perinseam.
Differences in stature mostly due to differences in this dimension,
Independent corroboration of inferences drawn in Chapter $V$.
The distinction between nationalities is here strongly marked.
Table of mean values and probable variationa for five nativities.
Tables of maximum and minimum mean values for particular nativities.
The later series gives for nativity in the U. 8. a mean value below 31 inchea.
Height to Knee.
Table of mean valuea, and probable varistions, for four nativitios.
Table of compurison between height to knee and thigh, for 18 aativitiee.
The normal ratio appears to be nearly as 3 to 2.
Note on discrepancy of result derived from Northwestern man.
Limits of variation in mean values for different nativities.
Distance from Perinæum to Pubes.
The symphysis pubis a prominent point for the bony struature.
It is often said to mark half the height; this is not strictly correct.
Determination only made for 1018 whito sailors.
Result from theso measures.
Breadth of Neck.
Mean ralue for all; maximum and minimum mean values for nativity-gronge.
Table of mean values and probeble variations for four nativitios.:
Results from the earlier seriee are somowhat disoordant.
Girth of Neck.
Mean values; it is amaller for those in poorer health.
Minimum and maximum mean values among nativity-groups.
Table of mean girth and probable variation for five nativities.
Breadth of Shoulders.
The earlier series gave full breadth; the later, that between the acromia
Objects of the latter requisition.
This dimension ehould be equal to twice the differance betwean $19 a$ and $18 \%$.
About one fifth of the later series were measured as before.
These are tabulated specially.
Their mean value is accordant with that from the earlier coriea.
Mean distance between tips of acromia, and limits of variation.
Variation as dependent upon nativity.

Discordances in these shoulder measures, and inaccuracy in some cases.
Character and amount of arror probably eommitted.
Breadth of l'elvis.
Mean value for breadth between the arests of the ilia.
Value for different nativities not characteristic in later senen.
"Breadth of pelvis" by earlier series more thap one tenth larger.
Probable explanation.
Mean values for certain nativities, and for total.
Table of mean breadth between ilia with probable variations for five nativities.
Circamference of Thorax.
This was measured in later series under all clothing, and across nipples.
Also taken both during full inhalation and alter exhalation.
Mean circumference and play of chest are thus determined.
For earlier series there was no rule as to the degree of inflation.
Measures then made do not appear to represent the mean inflation.
Mean girth, from earlier ceries, for men in, and men not in, usual vigor.
Girth, by later series, for each class, at inapiration, at expiration.
It is less at expiration, and greater at inspiration for men in health.
The mean value only a little greater for this class.
Measures of chest of 5738 Scotch soldiers, cited by Quetelet.
Their mean girth very much greater than for American soldiers.
Suspicion naturally arises as to mode of muasurement.
Mean circumference of chest for 343764 drafted men and recruits.
Results of these measurements give much smaller values than ours.
Mean value found by Provost Marshal's Bureau for natives of Scotland.
In our returns the individual variations are eymmetrically distributed.
Table of probable individual variation and error of mean.
Even at expiration, the mean circumference surpasses half the atature.
Distance between Nipples.
General belief that this distance is one fourth the girth of the chest.
Kemarks of Dr. Hammond on this subject.
This theory is not confirmed by our measuremente.
The distance is always less than one quarter of the circumference.
Mean values for men in, and not in, usual vigor, with ratio to circumference.
Largest and smalleat values found, with ratios to the circumference.
Circumference of Waist.
This in later series was measured above hips and below ribs.
In the earlier series, no instructions of the sort were given.
Mean results from each serien, for men in, and not in, vigor, and for total.
Values from later series smaller than from earlier measures.
The average value is less by 4.35 inches than mean girth of chest.
The distribution of discordances is good.
Table of mean circumference of waist and probable variations, for three nativition
Circumference around Hips.
This dimension was measured on a lovel with the trochantera.
Range of mean values for different nativities.
Distribution of individuad variations accordant with theory.
Table of mean values and prabable variation, for three netivities.
Length of Arm.
In earlier series, measured from armpit to tip of middle Anger. -
Mean values for men in, and not in, vigor, and for total.
In later series, the distance was measured from tip of acromion.
Mean values for men in, and not in, vigor, and for total.
The mean values for different nativities vary by an inch and a half.
Table of mean values and probable variations for forr nativities.
Measures from armpit and from acromion give nearly the same resulta.
Measuremente from the middle of the top of aternum.
This distance has been said to be half the height in a well formed man.
Our results do not corroborate the theory.

Table of cases where this dimension did not exceed half the height.
Mean values of distance from middle of breast-bone to tip of finger.
The differences found for different nativities seem characteristic.
Table of results, and probable variations for four nativities.
Length of Upper Arm.
Mean distance from tip of acromion process to extremity of elbow.
The length of the band alone was not determined.
Table of mean lengths of upper arm and of lower arm, with their ratios.
Table of mean lengths and probable variations for three nativities.
Test of the accuracy of the measurements.
Results deduced after excluding all unsatisfactory measurements.
Table of mean statures, width of shoulders, and length of arms.
Probable explanation of the small remaining discordances.
Distance between Eyes.
In later series the distances of inner and of outer angles were measured.
This gives both width of the eyes and their distance apart.
Table of mean distance and width of eyes, for 15 nativities.
Probable variation of small crses from mean is less than 0.15 inches.
Extreme values observed; probable error of the mean.
Mode of measuring distance between pupils in the earlier series.
This method gave the mean distance about 0.1 inch greater.
Table of mean distance of pupils, from earlier series, for 15 nativities.
Dimensions of Foot.
These were not measured in the earlier series.
Mean length for no nativity exceeded 10.24 , or fell short of 9.89 inches.
The variations of mean length appear nearly proportional to those of mean stature.
Table of mean length of foot and probable variation for four nativities.
Largest and umallest values found.
Length to the hollow above heel, gives approximate length of heel.
A verage variation and probable error of the mean.
Mean length of heel varies little with nativity.
Mean thickness at instep varies, with the nativity, from $\mathbf{2 . 4 4}$ to $\mathbf{2 . 8 4}$ inches.
This dimension vague and uncertain; the results unsatisfactory.
The distribution of individual variation satisfactory in only two nativities.
Mean results and probable variations for these. Extreme values.
Circumference around heel and anterior ligament.
Mean results and probable variations. Extreme values.
Description of Table I.
The number of cases on which a mean depends must always be considered.
Table I. - Mean Dimensions of White Soldiers,from Later Series of Measurements.
Some inferences from the preceding table.
The differences between men in, and men not in, usual vigor, are slight.
For men not in full vigor the mean age is nome years greater.
Effect of age on capability of endurance.
The proportion of enfeebled men very much greater at greater ages.
The mean lateral dimensions of neck, and of shoulders, are less for feebler men.
So too are circumference of waist and hips, and breadth of pelvis.
These differences not so manifest in results from earlier series.
Nor are differences there exhibited confirmed by this later series.
Explanation by the large personal difference between examiners.
Table II. - Mean Dimensions of White Soldiers, from Earlier Measures.

## 5. Sailors.

Number of sailors measured by each examiner, at each station.
Number measured while naked; and number half clad.
The personal error of Mr. Phinney proves to have been very small.
Manner of classification and assortment.
Mean age of the sailors same as that of noldiers in Table I.

Their mean height is less than that of the soldiers by 1.14 inches.
The mean stature of the marines is between that of soldiers and sailors.
Larger value for mean distance between finger-tip and patella.
Greater length of legs observed in sailors; and possible explanation.
Table of mean values of the dimension $4 \frac{1}{2}$, for soldiers and sailors.
These values are in large excess for sailors in each nativity.
Table of mean height to perinæum, for soldiers and sailors.
Table of mean distance from middle of sternum to tinger-tip, for same.
The legs were actually as well as relatively longer in railors.
The excess of length is in the thigh, and not below the knee.
Table of mean distance from knee to perinæum for soldiers and sailors. Relative lengths of the thigh and the leg below the knee.
The neck is larger for sailors.
Length of arm and hand is both actually and relatively less.
Table of mean lengths of arm and hand for soldiers and sailors. Table of mean length of upper arm for the same.
Distance from perinæum to pubes.
Actual and relative mean value of this dimension from 1013 sailors.
Mean distance between nipples, and ratio to circumference of chest.
This mean distance smaller than for soldiers, but the ratio is greater.
The foot-dimensions resemble those of soldiers, but thickness is greater.
Table III. - Mean Dimensims of Sailore.

## 6. Students.

Occasion of the measurements. Data collected.
Full stature corresponding to the mean height at the mean age.
Reason why these numbers are only roughly approximate, and too small.
Nativities of the students measured.
The students nearly an inch taller than soldiers of same nativity.
Other points of difference in the mean dimensions.
Table of mean distance between nipples, and its ratio to circumference.
Comparison between the students of the two universities.
Table IV. - Mean Dimensions of Stulents of Harvard and Yale Colleges.

## 7. Colored Soldiers.

Fndeavors to assort and classify the materials with more nicety.
Different races of negroes in the Southern States.
Admixture with each other, and with the white and various red races.
Final assortment in two classes, full blacks, and men of mixed race.
Natives of free and slave States separately considered.
Those not in ordinary health, and those examined naked, are distinguished.
Average height less than as deduced from more copious data in Chapter V.
The material on file at Washington would be very valuable.
All access to rolls in War Department was denied the Commission.
Distance from tip of finger to upper margin of patella.
This dimension the most striking in its contrast between the races.
Mean value, maximum, and minimum for full blacks and mulattoes.
The mean value is less for natives of the late slave States.
Table of comparison for natives of free and slave States.
Length of head and neck, and length of body.
Both of these are less for the colored men than for the whites.
For the full blacks they are less than for the mixed races.
Table of mean length of body.
Men examined in New Orleans after the close of the war.
Height to perinæum is greater than for white men.
The excess is both in length of thigh, and in the height to knee.
Table of mean heights to perinæum and to knee.
Distance from perinæum to pubes greater than for whites.

Table of mean values, with mean heights, and heights to parinsoups.
Comparison of the men mensured by different examinens.
Girth of neck and breadth of choulders are greater than for whitas.
Circumference of chest et full inspiration is much less.
It is not very different after complete expiration.
The play of chest not more than three filibs as great as in whitan.
Distance between nipples is smaller than for whites.
In full blacks, the ratio to the circuanference of chest is also smaller.
Table of mean values of this dimension, with heicht, circumferance, and ratio.
Maximum and minimwm values observed.
Circumference of waist and bips, less thap for whites.
Comparison between full blacks and mixed races in this respect.
Length of arm is relatively greater than for whites.
The excess is principally in the forearm.
Table of dimensions of arm and their ratio, for the different reces
The excess of the forearm not so marked in mulattoes as in blacks.
Table of relative length of arms and legs for the different races.
The distance between eyes and the width of eyes are greater in the black race.
Table of these dimensions for full blacks, mulattoes, and whiess.
Foot-dimensions show very characteristic differeaces.
Table of various mana dimenaiope of feet.
Maximum foot recorded.
No measures of the breadth were made; nor dimensions of the hand noted.
Table V. - Mean Dimensions of Full Blacks.
Table VI. - Mean Dimensions of Mixed Races.

## 8. Impiaxs,

Number and character of our measurements of the Indiaa nece.
Their mean height greater then that of white soldiers.
Extreme statures observed; probable individual variation and errer of mean.
Length of head and aeck, and of body.
The former larger, the latter smaller, than in the white race.
Variation for individuals; probable error of mean ralue.
Distance from finger-tip to patella greater than for blacks.
Its shortness due to the very great length of the erm.
The length of body and thigh would increase this dimension.
Probable individual variation and error of mean. Extreme values obeerred.
Length of legs, intermediate between the white and the black.
Table of mean values for length above and below the knee.
Probable variation for individuals, and error of mean.
Length of arm the most prominent characteristic of the Indian race.
It exceeds that of the white, in the mean, by more than $1 \frac{1}{f}$ iachee.
Mean value, with probable variation and error.
Maximum and minimum values observed.
Mean length of upper arm, with probable variation, probable error and range.
Comparative table of arm-dimensions for the three races.
Ratio of lower to upper arm; and of leg to arm.
Breadth and girth of neck, with probable variations and error of mean.
Breadth of pelvis, greater than for blacks or whites.
Circumference of waist, greater than for the other races.
Circumference around hipe; probable variation and probable error.
Circumference of chest greater than for the white soldiers.
The play of chest in breathing apparently not so great.
Table of mean chest-measurements for the three races.
Extreme values of chest-dimensions at inspiration and expiration.
Distance between eves is as large as for the full blacks.
Mean width of the eyes between that of whites and of blacks.
Length of foot seems but slightly greater than for whites.

The number of measurements inadequate.
The heel is no longer than in white man.
But the thicknean of the foot is greater.
Table VII. - Mean Dispensions of Iroquois Indiane. 811

## 2. Ampormal Cabra

Three dwarves of German deecent measured in Washington.
Quetelet's measures of Tom Thumb.
That dwarf was at the time but 13 years old; these are older.
Measures of the so-called "Australian Children."
Note regarding these two beings, and their alleged origin.
The dimensions of the so-called "Azters" might well be compared with these.
Their Australian origin is not vouched for.
Table VIII. - Results of Physical kixamination of three Droarves, and the moo "Ametrer bian Children."

## 10. Gengral infikremche.

Some mean values of the principal dimensions and proportions.
Table IX. - Comparison of Mean Dimensions.
A few points invite mention here as being important.
Ratio between lower and upper arm for different classes and races.
Average value of this ratio; students highest, Indians last.
Length of hand in white men, according to Vugt.
The ratio between the two parts of the leg shows no such relation.
No ethnological significance in ratio between arm to leg.
Distance of eyes follows the same order of races.
Four other ratios appear to possess ethnological significance.
Foot note illustrative of their relations in comparative anatomy. Elements of uncertainty in determining width of shoulders.
Difficulties in measuring the true distance between the acromia. Variation of this dimension according to mede of life:-
Relation between length of body and length of arm. Mean values of this proportion; white students are ifrst in order, and minatoes last. Proportion between lengthe of upper arm and of body. In arrangement on this basis, Indians are first, muieatoes last.
Proportion between length of upper arm and width of choulders, at acromia.
Proportion between distance of the acromia and length of body.
Mean values of this proportion, showing a sequence in which aleo Indians are first and mulattoes lact.
Mgnificance of the position of mulattoes, in scales of progression.
Length of head and neck; distance from medial line to finger-tip.
Order of races as regards breadth of pelvis and eircumference of hipa.
Marked characteristics for white, red, and black races respectively.
Characteristics of students and sailors as compared with coldiers.
Simple numerical ratios between normal dimensions of body do not exist.
Supposed relations which are not corroboruted by our data.
Origin of the popular theories or impressions on the subject.
Analogy drawn from the history of astronomy.
This subject better examined by the light of results in mext clapter.
Farther discussion on these topics, from our results, is left for others.
Some promising fields of research indicated.
Tabulated records will be preserved in a form for easy consultation.
CHAPTER IX.
MRAN PROPORTIONS OF BODY.

## 1. Prelimimatay.

821
Results of last chapter satisfactory; variations for some groupe large.
The means typical for groups containing more than 300 men.

The mean age is usually below that of full stature.
Therefore the mean dimensions are smaller than belong to mean age.
The dimensions, when expressed in terms of the stature show less variation.
This assumes a proportional growth for all parts after age of 18.
Also that the same type of form belongs to men of the same class.
Our assumption may be tested by the law of probability.
If warrantable, we may determine the normal form apart from its magnitude.
If unwarrantable, this fact will be disclosed by the discordances.
Characteristic differences between human types thus manifested.
Exceptions to this statement.
The limits of normal variation form part of the typical character. Small comparative variation in size of the head.
Height to the 7th cervical vertebra might have been a better unit.
The results of Chapter V. are directly applicable to those found here.
Reduction of measurements of $\mathbf{2 3} \mathbf{6 8 5}$ men to decimals of stature.
Necessary hypothesis. Its test possible and desirable.
The records of relative dimensions are carefully preserved.
Tables of assortment computed for each dimension.
Amount of labor involved. Satisfactory character of results.
A close approximation to typical proportions seems attained.
The present research does not aim at any exhaustive discussion.
Opportunity for obtaining important anthropological knowledge.
The classes and races are here considered in same order as in Chapter VIII.
Scale of relative dimensions published by Bougery and Jacob.
Their values in general corroborated by those here deduced.
2. White Soldiers.

Head and Neck.
Extreme range of the mean values in the nineteen groups.
This range is less than one seventh of that in the actual dimensions.
Constancy of the mean value in all the larger groups.
Maximum and minimum mean values for the nativity-groups.
Probable variation for individuals and probable error of mean.
Discordances found in actual dimensions disappear in the relative.
Results deduced from the earlier series.
Length of Body.
Table of results for different nativities, from each series.
Predominance for certain nativities in Chapter VIII. is due to stature.
Distance from Finger tip to Patella.
Variable character of this dimension. Its limits.
Probable individual variation; error of mean.
Height to Perinæum.
Values for different nativity-groups.
Probable variation and error of mean. Extreme values.
Results from the earlier series of measures.
Perinxum to Pubes.
Value for sailors only, among white men.
Corresponding total height to pubes.
Height to Knee.
Average proportional value; range of variation with nativity.
Probable variation and error of mean.
Table of ratios between height to knee and length of thigh.
These ratios differ from those deduced from actual dimensions.
Breadth of Neck.
Mean value; variation for different nativities.
Probable variation and error of mean.
Results of the earlier series of measures.
Girth of Neck.

Mean value and variation with the nativity. Probable variation and error of mean.
Breadth of Shoulders.
Maximum and minimum mean ralue between acromia for nativity-groupa.
Results deduced from those measurements which appear entitled to full reliance.
Table of results for arm and shoulder measures, by nativities.
Range of mean values for full breadth in the later series.
Mean values for full breadth in the earlier series.
Breadth of Pelvis.
Mean value; its small variation in different nativities.
It is less for Western than for Eastern men, in the United States.
Probable variation and error of mean.
Value from the earlier series; this was probably width of hios.
Circumference of Chest.
Means at inspiration and at expiration; amount of play.
They corroborate the inferences from actual dimensions.
Probable variation and error of mean.
Mean values from the earlier series.
Girth of Waist.
Range of the means for the several nativities.
This dimension is affected by the age. Means of determining the relations.
Probable variation and error of mean. Final values.
Distance between Nipples.
The mean value is less than one eighth of the height.
Extreme values found.
Circumference around Hips.
Range of mean values for different nativities.
Probable variation and error of mean for natives of New England States.
Length from middle of Sternum to Finger-tip.
The mean value is largely more than half the height.
Range of mean values by nativities. Probable variation and error small.
Length of Arm.
The variation very small and accordant with that found above.
The accordance confirms our results in each case.
Length from acromion process to elbow.
The measures of this dimension are yet more accordant.
Probable individual variations and errors of mean.
Table of ratios between upper and lower arm, also between leg and arm.
Agreement in length of arm as measured from acromion and from armpit.
Comparison of results from earlier and later series of measurements.
Table of ratios between mean length of leg and of arm from earlier series.
Caution in drawing inferences from results by different examiners.
Length of Foot.
Range of mean values for the several nativities.
Probable individual variations and error of mean. Extreme values.
The mean proportional dimensions are given in Chapter VIII.
Table I. - Mean Proportional Dimensions of White Soldiers. Later Series. 336
Tamle II. - Mean Proportional Dimensions of White Soldiers. Earlier Series. 840
3. Sailors. 842

The interval from finger-tip to patella is larger than for soldiers.
Explanation by greater length of thighs and less slope of shoulders.
Comparative table of height to knee, and distance from knee to perimæum. Marines and clothed sailors give values like those for white soldiers. Inferences from the actual dimensions confirmed by the relative ones.
Distance from perinxum to the symphysis pubis.
The height to pubes a little more than one half the stature.
Distance between nipples relatively and actually greater than in soldiers.
Length of arms less than for soldiers, however measured.

Table of lengths of upper and lower arm, and of ratio between leg and antr Ratio between the two parts of arm is modified by increses of lower pertion. Between the two parts of the leg the reverse is the case.
The whole ditit is shofter, the leg decidedly longer.
The foot is relatively longer than for soldierk, by about one Aftioth.
Table III. - Mean Proportional Dimeneions of Sailora

## 4. Studenté

The relative length of body less for the students than for the seldiers. The height to knee is greater by about the same amount.
Lower arm is shorter, upper arm also slightly $e 0$.
Shoulders are broader, and pilay of chest in breathing is greater.
All lateral dimensions are smaller.
Mean age was less, which may affori a pertial explanation.
Average weight was five pounds lexs.
Table IV. - Mean Proportional Dimensions of Students

## 5. Colorid Troops.

The characteffotic differences wre like those mand in the last chapter.
But they are more trustworthy, and their typical character more evideth.
Distance from finger-tip to patella is mont characteristic dimension.
For full blacks it averages less than three fiths as mueh of for whites.
This is owing to their longer arms and shorter bodies.
Comparative table of these dimensions for blacks, mulattoes, anid whites.
Length of both parts of leg and of arm greater in blacks them in whites.
Comparative table fer length of parts of arm:
Comparative table of ratios between the two parts of armand of leg.
Waist and pelvis smaller in mulattoes than ith whites, less yet in negroes.
Comparative table for distance between mipples.
Foot is much longer than in whites. In mulattoes it is intermediate.
Table V.-Mean Proportional Dimensions of Full Blache.
Tarle VI. - Mean Proportional Dimennions of Mulathoes.858

## 6. Indians.

The distance from finger-tip to knee in nearly as small as for black men.
This is owing to their very long arms.
Length of head and neck less, that of body greater, than for any other class.
This result might be given by an erronenus habit of measuring.
The measurements of Indians were all made by Dr. Buckley.
The difference appears too large to be thus explained, but should be tested.
The lateral dimensions are much larger than in whites.
The most maiked diversity from whites in in the length of forearm.
The measures of whites and Indians ly Dr. Buckley should be compared.
Table of mean results deduced from Dr. Buckley'a measares only.
The divenity is thus seen not to be due to any peculiarity in the measurer.
Some values of pribable individual variation and mean error, from men in usual vigor.
Table VII. - Mean Proportional Dimensions of Iroquois Indians.
7. Abnormal Cases.

Convension of actual dimensions of last chaptet itito relafive othes.
Table VIII. - Proportional Dimensions of certain Dioartes, elo.
8. Deductions and General Remarig.

Table IX. - Compurion of Mean Values of Proportional Dintencima. 40
From this table we may best estimate characteristic forms.

Order of classes and races examined, according to distance between eyes.
The same according to relative lenigth of feet.
Red man preeminent in length of body and of arms; black man in that of loga. Classification by mobility of thorax.

Superiority of the white race in this respect; inferiority of mulattoes.
Large diversity in the dimension $4 \frac{1}{2}$ among white men.
Only the soldiers represent the population of the land.
Difference between đimension $4 \frac{1}{4}$ and the height to neck less than that to knees.
The soldiers differ here from the other classes in the slope of shoulders.
The mean values of.
They may be safely adopted for scientific or artistic purposes.
Numerical determinations desirable in biological researches.
The statistical method also applicable to researches in inanimate nature.
Many individuals are needed for determining normal limits of variation.
Absurdity of determining characteristics of a type from a single specimen.
The fact of typicality must be established, as well as the type.
Simple numerical ratios exist in the human type only approximately.
Freedom of the crealive energy; only limited when a purpose is to be attained.
Symmetry and harmony are perfect when requisite; otherwise dispensed with.
Incommensurability not inconsistent with gature's higher symmetry.
supposed harmonic relations not confirmed by these inventigations.
Carus regards the normal dimensions as measurable by the length of hand.
Table of his results with their equivalents in decimals of statere.
These are near approximations to the true values.
The smallness of his unit masks the small error of results.
A larger amount of material might have modified bis views.
Schedow's theories in his Polyklet.
He considers the height of the head as two fifteenths of the stature.
We have not the height of head without the neck.
The head and neck together stand in no simple relation to the statars:
He considers the foot a better unit of measure than the head.
Vitruvius made its length one sixth of the stature.
Schadow's own measures did not confirm this hypothesis.
Our results show that it has no simple ratio to the height.
Zeising's theory of extreme and mean ratio.
Neture of this theory. Inferences therefrom.
Its analogy with deductions from phyllotaxis and similar laws in zoology. Theoretical and inductive arguments for these views.
Zeising considers dimensions determined by muecular outlimes.
Numerical proportions deducible from this theory.
Our dimensions have reference, so far as may be, to the bony frame.
Where comparable with Zeising's inferences, they do not confirm them.
Remark of Zeising as to the ideal character of his interences.
Careful and thorough spirit exhibited in his investigations.
Yet our more copious data show an absence of simple numerical proportion.
Liharžik's theory of harmonic relations.
Results dednced regarding proportions of body.
Relations in detail between different portions of the body.
His inferences also apply to the law of growth.
Our results do not corroborate these deductions.
Liharzik's treatise on the square of 7 as the basis of human symmetry.
Brent's hypothesis of numerical ratios in proportions of the human body.
List of such ratios, supposed by him to exist.
Tested by our measurements, these almo fail of confirmation.
Similar suppositions by Silbermann and others.
Bearty in organized form seems independent of simple numerical ratios.
Nor does observation render their existence probable.

## CHAPTER X. <br> DTMENSIONS AND PROPORTIONS OF HRAD.

## 1. Statistics collecten.

Several of the prescribed measurements were erroneously made.
But the information thus attained may afford some compensation.
This is the case with the cranial dimensions, as also with those of the body. Superciliary ridge sometimes used instead of frontal eminence.
This erroneous method corrected as soon as discovered.
Instructions as to the mode of measuring.
Catalogue of cranial dimensions actually measured and tabulated.
Mode of measurement of these in the earlier series.
Difficulties of the problem: impossibility of precision.
Want of well marked points; necessity of diversity of judgement.
These difficulties greatly enhenced by the flesh, and by the hair.
Degree of confidence due the present results.
Two other head-measures recommended.

## 2. Linear Measures of Heads of White Soldiers.

Results from the two series of measurements are here also kept distinct.
Assortment by nativity as in Chapters V., VIII., IX.
Measurements over the brows compared with those over frontal eminence.
The former are the least trustworthy.
The two mean values differ less than might have been anticipated.
Table I. - Mean Dimensions of Heads of White Soldiers. Later Series.
Diversity between the mean values for different nativities.
Relation of size of the head to that of the body.
The girth of head is greatest for those groups whose mean stature is largest.
Similar inference regarding the length of the head.
The next table was prepared in order to determine this point.
The size of head appears to vary with stature, though not in same proportion.
Heads of the tallest men are absolutely the largest, relatively the smallest.
Illustrations of this inference.
Table II. - Mean Relative Dimensions of Heads of White Soldiers. Later Series. 372
Results from earlier series are differently classified by nativities.
Description of the measurements in that series.
Degree of uncertainty attending them, and warrantable assumptions.
Table III. - Mean Dimensions, Actual and Relative, of Heads of White Soldiers. Earlier Series.
Remarks upon the indications of the foregoing table.
Values obtained by combining the results of both series.

## 3. Linear Meagures of Heads of other White Men.

The first two dimensions were measured over the brows for many of the sailors.
Comparison of the two resultant values of each dimension.
Description of the next six tables.
Table IV. - Mean Dimensions of Heads of Sailors. 375
Table V. - Mean Relative Dimensions of Heads of Sailors. 377
Those sailors only are assorted by nativities who were measured naked. . 878
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Tabie VI. - Mean Dimensioms of Heads of Students. } & \mathbf{8 7 8} \\ \text { Table VII. - Mean Relative Dimensions of Heads of Students. } & \mathbf{3 7 8}\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Table VII. - Mean Relative Dimensions of Heads of Students. } & 378 \\ \text { Table VIII. - Mean Dimensioms of Heads of Dearves, etc. } & 378\end{array}$
Table IX. - Mean Relative Dimensions of Heads of Dwartes, etc. 379
The law concerning ratio of head to body holds for dwarves.
Their heads are absolutely smaller, yet relatively larger, than the normal size.
Microcephalic character of the two so-called Australian children, the most striking feature. Notwithstanding the difference in size of these heads, their width varies little.
$1 \%$

Wide variation of relative cranial dimensions in Table IX.
The relative circumference varies in the ratio of five to two.
The relative length over the top of head differs nearly as three and one.

## 4. Linear Measures of Heade of Other Raceg. 379

Description of the tables.
Table X. - Mean Dimensions of the Heads of Blacks and Indiass.
5. General Inferences from the Linear Measuris.

Table XII. - Comparison of Mean Dimensions of Head.
The values for white soldiers are derived from both series.
Horizontal circumference. Its significance.
Its mean value for different races varies very slightly.
Its maximum is for the full blacks; its minimum for the Indians.
The Indian breadth of face is especially large.
Width between angles of jaws, for students, affected by personal error.
This width is smallest for white men.
Width between the condyloid processes smallest for blacks.
These relations are simple when width at the hinge is considered.
Frontal semicircumference sinall for all the white groups.
Occipital semicircumference relatively large, especially for stadents.
Large lateral semicircumferences in mulattoes.
Inference from these facts.
Loss of cerebral space at forehead overbalanced by shape of head.
Table XIII. - Comparison of Proportional Dimensions of Head.
Explanation of the preceding table.
Ethnical distinctions appear manifest in each of the nine eolumns.
Comments upon these characteristic differences.
Position of mulattoes relatively to their component races.
They frequently differ more from the whites than the full blacks do.
The last six columns of Table XIII. contain ratios only.
Frontal circumference smaller than the occipital in white men. With Indians, full blacks, and mulatoes, the reverse is the case.
Ratios of the transverse semicircumferences to their common diameter. Ratios of the longitudinal to the transverse semicircumference. Ratios of the horizontal to the transverse semicircumference.
Ratios of the two longitudinal peripheries in perpendicular planes.

## 6. Facial Angles.

Unsatisfactory character and discordance of the recorded measures.
The personal differences hare however proved tolerably constant.
Inetrument contrived for measuring facial angles.
Description of the manner of its use.
The measures in the earlier series are especially discordant.
Amount of the discrepancy, and consequent untrustworthiness.
The results of earlier series are only given solely for their historical interest. Probable origin of the discordances.
Table XIV. - Mean Facial Angles according to the Earlier Series.
The later series contains two classes of results.
In the first and smaller, the superciliary ridge was used for measuring the angle.
In the second, the frontal eminence was used.
Investigation of personal differences for the second class.
Reference to the mean of seven examiners, for white soldiers and sailors.
Method of computation.
Second method employed as a control; accordance of the results.
Table of corrections thus obtained, for each examiner and each nativity.

Personal error in measurements of negroes, similarly determined.
The different standards of reference preclude any fair comparison.
The inferiority of numbers alone would render the values for the latter less trustworthy.
Table of corrections tor persoual error in facial angles of colored men.
Influence of certain abnormal results upon the mean.
Different method requisite, for comparing these two races of men.
Mean differences between the races as obtained by each examiner independently.
Table of excess of facial angle for whites above negroes, thus deduced.
Explanation of an apparent incongruity.
Superior value of facial angles of negroes born in the Free States.
This cannot be exclusively due to personal equation.
Nevertheless some yet different method of determination appears desirable.
Results available for a more detailed in vestigation.
Tablex XV. - Mean Facial Angles as determined by each Examiner. 898
The personal equation seems to vary with the class of men measured.
Possible explanation by difference of habitude at different times.
Mean result for each examiner compared with that of each other one.
This done for each one of five classes of men examined.
The several determinations from each class combined for preliminary values.
Measurements by Major Wales proved discordant and were excluded.
Certain other measurements omitted from preliminary determinations.
Twenty-three values for personal differences bet ween nine examiners.
These subject to restrictions of thirty-six absolute conditions.
Manner of determining the corrections, by the theory of probabilities.
Correlatives of equations of condition; application to this problem.
Details of the process.
The numerical solution of thirty-six equations became necessary.
At the second wolution, indirect methods were found adequate.
Table of personal equations of twelve examiners, referred to Mr. Phinney as standard.
Out of eighty distinct personal equations only four required essential change.
Details of the personal equation "Russell-Myers."
Tabular view of discrepancies of Major Wales's results.
Table XVI. - Menn Fiacial Angles corrected for Persomal Equation.
The mean value for Indians surpasees that for whites.
Facial angle of negroes greater for those born in Free States.
The high values for students and Indians are not due to personal equation.
Table XVII. - Mean Facial Angles of White Solliers and Sailors, corrected for Persomal Equation.
The facial angle does not seem to vary with the nativity.
No group comprising 400 men differs from mean of all by 5 minutes.
The absolute values are referred to Mr. Phinney as a standard.
His mean result is closely accordant with that of the best examiners.
The mean value $72 .{ }^{\circ} 1$, for white men, must be less than $0 .{ }^{\circ} 2$ in error.
That for negroes, whether of pure or mixed race, is between $69^{\circ}$ and $70^{\circ}$.
Table XVIII. -Greatest and least Facial Angles observed.
Facial angle is larger when determined by the superciliary ridge.
Table of mean excess of angles thus measured by different examiners.
Origin of the variations in this excess.
Mean excess of the facial angle when thus measured is about $6^{\circ}$.
For negroes this excess would scarcely amount to $6^{\circ}$.

## CHAPTER XI.

WEIGHT AND STRENGTH.

1. Determinations of Weight, and its Relation to Stature.

The observations were recorded to the nearest half pound.
Weight of the clothing has been deducted from the results throughout.
Results of twenty-four determinations of weight of clothing.

Table I. - Average Weight of Men examined. 408
Regret that the units of the metric system were not employed.
Table II. - Average Weiuht of White Soldiers, by Nativities. Earlier Series. 402
Table III. - Average Weight of White Sohliers by Nativities. Later Series. 403
Trustworthiness of these results, as tested by the law of error.
Average variation from the mean, and probable error of mean.
Table IV. - Average Weight of Colored Men.
The variations by nativity must resemble those of the mean stature.
Tables prepared showing ratio of weight to stature for the nativity-groupe.
These are deduced from computation of each individual case.
Distribution of single determinations examined and tested.
All these ratios are for men in usual vigor.
Table V. - Ratio of Weight to Stature for White Soldiers. Earlier Series. 405
Table VI. - Ratio of Weight to Stature for White Soldiers and Sailors. Later Series. 405 Average variation from mean and probable error of mean.
Table VII. - Retio of Weight to Stature fir other Chases of Men. 406
Were this ratio constant, these results would afford valuable tables.
But such an assumption is far from correct.
This will be evident upon assortment of the ratios by height of the men.
A progressive increase is manifest in the ratio of weight to stature.
This is partly due to incomplete lateral growth for the lower statures.
Yet this explanation will not account for the whole phenomenon.
Table VIII. - Mern Weights of White Men, by Height.
Table IX. - Aggregate. Mean Weight of White Men, by Reight, and Ratio to Slature. 408
In similar bodies of same material, the masses must vary as cubes of the heights.
Weights of men would vary in same ratio were their proportions identical.
But the average proportions differ in men of different statures.
Two sources of difference; degree of development and personality.
These distinguishable only by a classification according to age and stature.
Such classification is given in the next following section.
Disregarding age, the mean weights here vary as the squares of the statures.
This is clearly shown by Table $X$. for the military ages.
The average growth in height the product of growths in breadth and thickness.
Table X. - Theoretieal Weights for different Stutures, and Comparison with Observa-
tion.
The fact here elicited was observed by Quetelet. His statement.
From limited materials he suggested what proves to be the real law.
Its application seems far more complete than he suspected.
Even from the age of 16 years it appears to hold good.
Weights corresponding to this law for statures from 15 to 55 inches.
These weights are evidently larger than the true ones.
Quetelet's results apparently too small. Possible explanation.
Data are not sufficient to show limits of application of the law.
Table from Quetelet of mean weight of Belgian males, reduced to inches and pounds.
Hutchinson's results for mean weight of Englishmen.
Table of these results after deducting estimated weight of clothing.
Hutchinson's conclusions as to ratio of weight to stature.
Average weight to the inch according to our own statistics.
Mean weight of British recruits 1860-81, with corresponding age and stature.
Weight, with age and stature, of French mounted chasseurs.
Alleged mean weight and stature for four European nations.
The relations between their weight and height compared with Table VIII.
Variation in weight between men of the same stature.
Table XI. - Limits of Weight observed at different Statures. White Soldiers, Earlier Series.
Table XII. - Limits of Weight observed at different Statures. White Soldiers, Later 415
Series.
For the other races of men our statistics are inadequate.
The indications are in favor of the existence of a similar law for them.

# Table XIII. - Mean Weights of Negroes and Indians, by Height. <br> Modulus for mean weight of full blacks, in pounds, from stature, in inches. <br> Table XIV. - Theoretical Weights of Full Blacks for different Statures. <br> The accordances not so close as for white men. <br> The same law probable for mulattoes and for Indians, but with other moduli. <br> Table XV. - Limits of Weight duserved in each Class of Men examined. 

## 2. Relation of Weight to Age.

This subject investigated by Quetelet.
All our data have been asoorted by the double arguments, age and stature.
The tables XVI. to XXIV., present the mean values for the groups thas formed.
Our aim is simply to provide a trustworthy basis for investigation.
The ratios of weight to stature affiord the best mesns of research.
The mean lateral growth with the age will thus be distinctly shown.
It will be found somewhat less than was inferred by Quetelet.
Description of the next eleven tables.
Table XVI. - Mean Wrights of White Soltiers, by Age and Height. Earlier Series. 480
Table XVII. - Mean Weights of While Soddiers, by Age and Height. Later Series. 423
Table XVIII. - Mean Weighta of White Soldiers, by Age and Height. Both Series. 426
Table XIX. - Mean Weights of Sitilorg, by Age and Height. 429
Table XX. - Mean Weights of Students, by Age and Height. 430
Table XXI. - Mean Wrights of Full Blicks, by Age and Height. 431
Table XXII. - Mean Wrights of Mulattoes, by Age and Height. 452
Table XXIII. - Mean Weights of all Negroen, by Age and Height. 433
Table XXIV. - Mean W'eighte of Iroqueis Inlians, by Age and Height. 434
Table XXV. - Mean Weights of White Men, by Age. 435
Table XXVI. - Mean Weights of Negrrees and Indiana, by Age. 437
The groups for ages above 45 years are too small for trustworthy inferences.
For ages from 15 to 45 the results must be nearly correct.
The mean increase between the ages 21 and 45 scarcely exceeds five pounds.
Sailors and students were excluded in the preparation of Table XXVII.
Table XXVII. - Empirical Table of Weight by Age, from White Soldiers.
Table XXVIII. - Limits of Weight observed at different Ages. Earlier Series. 439
Table XXIX. - Limits of Weight observed at different Ayes. Later'Series. 440
3. Relation of Weight to Circumperence of Chest. $4 \leq 0$

Mode of investigating lateral growth by the tables of the last section.
The arrangement of those in this section is analogous.
Manner of determining the circumference of the chest.
In earlier series, no rule existed as to the degree of inflation.
In later series, mean taken between girths at inspiration and expiration.
The tables XXX. to XXXVIII., are anslogous to tables XVI. to XXIV.
The two tables XXXIX. and XI., ahow the results by circumference of chest only.
Table XXX. - Mean Wcights of White Soldiers, by Height and Circumference of Cheat. farlier Series.
Table XXXI. - Mean Weights of White Soldiers, by Height and Circumference of Chest. Later Series.
Table XXXIL - Mean Weights of White Soldiers, by Height and Circumference of Chest. Both Serics.
Table XXXIII. - Mean Weights of Sailors, by Height and Circumference of Chest. 448
Table XXXIV. - Mean Weights of Students, by Heiyht and Circumference of Chest. 449
Table XXXV. - Mean Weights of Full Blacks, by Height and Circumference of Chest. 450
Table XXXVI. - Mean Weights of Mulatoes, by Height and Circum!ference of Chest. 451
Table XXXVII. - Mean Weights of all Negroes, by Height and Circumference of Chest. 452
Table XXXVIII. - Mean Weights of Iroquis Indians, by Height and Circumference of Chest.
Table XXXIX. - Mean Weights of White Men, by Circumference of Chest. 454
Table XL. - Mean Weights of Negroes and Indians, by Circumference of Chest. 456

Table XLI. - Empirical Table for Weight, by Circumference of Chest, for White Soldiers.
4. Determinations of Muscular Strength.

Only the lifting, or renal, force has been measured in these researches.
Description and representations of the dynamometers employed.
They are not so portable as Regnier's, but are free from its faults. Comparisons of results require comparisons of the instruments.
Only few sets of strength-determinations are on record, and these small.
Regnier's results for strength of Parisians.
Péron first employed the dynamometers for ethnological parposes.
Discrepancy of his results obtained in the Southern Exploring Expedition.
Detection and correction of the error, by Freycinet.
True results of Peron's measures.
Mean strength of natives of New Holland. natives of Island of Timor.
French members of the expedition.
English colonists of Port Jackson.
Ransonnet's measurements of strength of French sailors.
Quetelet's measurements of strength of Belgians.
These values Quetelet regarded as probably too low.
Mean lifting strength for the different classes of men here examined.
With the earlier series are combined many rebel prisoners.
Their strength was about 50 lbs . less than that of U.S. soldiers.
Table XLIL. - Arerage Lifting Strength of Men examined.
Table XI.III. - Mean Liffing Strength of White Soldiers, in Usual Vigor, by Ages.
The inadequacy of number at each age may be remedied by empirical curve.
This curve will, within the limits of military age, closely resemble a hyperbola. The maximum value is 362 lbs . for the age of 31 years.
Table XLIV. - Empirical Tuble for Strength of White Soddiers.
These values differ from those found by Forbes for Edinburgh students.
The difference from his Scotch students is constant atter the age of -
His number of English and Irish was too small for trustworthy resul
Our values exceed those found by other investigators.
They are largely in excess of Quetelet's for ages above 30.
Forbes's values are empirical, and deduced цraphically.
His statement of Quetelet's values for Belgians is similarly deduced.
Comparison of Forbes's results with each other and with our own.
Table of differences bet ween the several determinations, by age.
Form of curve of strength by age, according to our results for soldiers.
Comparison with the form of Quetelet's curve.
Extremely large values given by Forbes, especially for the Irish.
They surpass our results for any class, not excepting Indians.
Our ob-ervations of strength of the suilors make it less than that of soldiers.
The same had been inferred from observations of Ransonnet.
Table XLV. - Mertn Lifiney Strength of Sailors, in Usual Vigor.
For students our numben are too small for safe inferences.
Their mean strensth is less thin that of the soldiers of same age.
But it is very slightly greater than that found for sailors.
Tabie XLVI. - Mean Lifling Strength of Students, in Usual Vigor.
The strength of black and red races is shown in the next two tables.
The full blacks were found to be weaker than white men.
The mulattoes proved somewhat stronger than the whites.
Tabiex XIVII. - Mean Lifting Strength of Negroes, in Usuil Vigor.
Tables XIVVIII. - Mean Liffing Strength of Irnquis Inlinns. 468
The relations between strength and stature formed one of the subjects proposed in this investigation.
So also did those between strength and weight.

These investigations were prerented by the amount of other labor.
Table XLIX. - G'reatest Lifting Strength obserred, with Characteristics of Individual. 4
The maximum here exceeds the maximum observed by Regnier, by 24 lbs.
The mean strength considerably exceeds twice the weight.

## CHAPTER XII.

## PULMONARY CAPACITY.

## 1. Preliminary.

Construction of the spirometers employed.
They are similar to ordinary gas-meters, with slight modifications.
Experience has shown them to be convenient and accurate.
Conditions to be fulfilled in their construction.
Representations of their form and interior arrangement.
The mean of three expirations has been used as the capacity of lungs.
Ordinarily the second trial gave the largest value of the three.
This volume of air is not the highest value attainable by the individual.
Nor is the highest value attainable a measure of the full capacity.
Hutchinson's classification of the supplies of air in the chest.
His definition of "vital capacity."
Use of the phrase "pulmonary capacity" in this chapter.
Table I. - Averige Capacity of Langs in different Classes of Men.
Extreme values recorded for individuals in the twelve classes.
Marked inferiority of the values observed in the black race.
Ratio between volume of air exhaled, and the size and mobility of thorax, etc. Our tabulations are arranged to afforl evidence regarding existing theories.
Summary of Hutchinson's results on subjects of these observations.
Intluence of height, weight, age, disease, size, and mobility of chest, etc.
Evident carefulness and trustworthiness of his investigations.
Some of the inferences should be considerably modified.
Our twelvefold number of observations overbalances any inferiority in accuracy.

## 2. Relation to Stature.

Tables showing mean capacity found for each tenth of inch in stature.
The values varied too much to indicate any regular curve.
No gain is effected by making the intervals less than an inch.
Our tables here give mean capacity and stature for inches of height.
Table II. - Mern Pulmonary Capacity of White Soldiers in Usual Vigor, by Height.
The mean capacity increases systematically with the stature.
Neither the regularity nor amount are so great as Hutchinson supposed.
The normal increase for each inch is about $6 \frac{1}{2}$ cubic inches.
The results from sailors and students lead to similar inferences.
Number of cases insufficient for deducing a typical mean at any inch of stature for either sailurs or students.
Individual variations here are as great as for other physical dimensions.
Reliance due our results in Tables I. and II. may be easily tested.
Tablex III. - Assortment, by Pulmonary Capacily, of White Soldiers, in Dsual Vigor, 67 Inches high.
Probable individual variation, and probable error of mean value.
Accordance between theoretical and actual distribution.
Table IV. - Pulmonary Capacity of White Men in usunl rigor, by Height.
The records for men not in usual vigor have not been studied minutely.
The mean values are aggregated in the next table.
Table V. - Pulmonary Capacity of White Men not in Usurl Vigor, by Height.
Comparison between whites and blacks here exhibits a striking difference.
Besults presented are deduced only from men in full vigor.

Table VI. - Pulmmary Capacity of Negroes in Usual Vigor, by Height.
Table VII. - Pulmonary Capacity of Indians in Ctual Vigor, by Height.
The number of Indians did not allow symmetrical distribution by stature.
This fact is also manifest in difference from whites, in capacity of lungs.
We may construct normal curves of lung-capacity graphically.
These show that it increases regularly with the height.
The rate for white men is about 6 cubic inches for each inch of stature.
The values in the next table have thus been deduced.
Table VIII. - Empirical Determinatiom of Pulmonary Capacity, by Stature.
Close accordance between these empirical values and those observed.
Inferior limit of application of this law.

## 3. Relation to Length of Body.

Differences in height are dependent upon the length of the legs.
Hence dimensions of thorax would seem a better basis of assortment.
Hutchinson's investigations led him to reject this idea.
He inferred that size of chest has no relation to pulmonary capacity. Quotations from his memoir, bearing on this point.
Our more copious materials demanded a repetition of this inquiry.
The results are contained in the next following section.
Tabulation of our results according to the length of the body proper. This length is the distance from perinæum to 7 th cervical vertebra. Its variation in individuals is more restricted than that of the height. Inferences from this tabulation.

Pulmonary capacity is less related to length of body than to height.
A graphic representation of this relation shows not a straight but a curved line.
The accordance with individual determinations is not so good.
The maximum capacity belongs to a lensth of body of about 30 inches.
Table IX. - Pulmonary Capacity of White Suldiers, by Length of Body. 482
Table X. - Pulmonary Capacity of White Men, by Length of Boxly 483
Table XI. - Pulmonary Capacity of Negroes, by Length of Borly. 484
Table XII. - Pulmonary Capacity of Indians, by Length of Bixly. 485
Table XIII. - Empirical Determination of Pulmonary Capacity, by Length of Body 486
Length of body seems related to lung-capacity, only as representing a mean stature.
Men of same stature do not show a capacity varying with length of body.
Men of the same length of body do not show a capacity varying with statare.
Table XIV. - Pulmonary Capacity, by Length of Eudy, for White Soldiers 67 Inches high.

## 4. Relation to Circumperence of Chest.

Mean circumference of chest for white men in usual vigor
The same relation is not indicated for the physical dimensions as for stature.
This is shown by the facts developed in the last section.
The range of variation, for a given stature, often exceeds that of the stature itself.
This circu:ustance explains the apparent difficulty.
The ratio of girth of cheat to height is subject to great fluctuations.
Hutchinson's inference and explanation.
Our results do not corroborate the general inference.
This will be seen from the next five tables.
The relation of lung-capacity to circumference of chest is clearly marked.
The curve which represents it for white soldiers differs little from a straight line.
Table XV. - Pumomary C'apacity of White Soldiers, by Ciicumference of Chest. 489
Table XVI. - Pulmonary Capucity of White Men, by Circumference of Chest. 490
Table XVII. - Pulmonary Capacity of Negroes, by Cïrcumference of Chest. 491
Table XVIII. - Pulmonary Cupacity of Indians, by Circumference of: Chest. 492
Table XIX. - Empirical Determination of Pulmonary Capacity, by Circumference of Chest.

## b. Relation to Play of Chest.

Difference between "play of chest " and actual change in size of thorax. One is the difference between exterior girth at full inspiration and at full expination. The other expansion is both laterally and downwards.
Ordinary breathing is accomplished by a different process from that here ueed.
In unconscious respiration the expansion is chiefly downward.
And the lateral expansion is rather abdominal than thoracic.
Motion of the ribs in mell is often nearly imperceptible.
Its average amount Hutchinson found not more than one thirtieth of an inch.
The deep inspiratory movement here considered is very different.
Increase of sectional thoracic area is not proportional to that of girth.
Our results therefore apply to an unusual mode of respiration.
Yet they must bear some relation to the amount ordinarily respired.
And this latter amount cannot well be directly measured.
Tables XX.-XXII. show the mean capacity found for six classes, by play of cheat.
This tabulation was originally made by tenths of inches.
Table XX. - Pulmonary Capacity of White Men, by Play of Chest. 405
Table XXI. - Pulnomery Capacity of Negroes, by Play of Chest. 496
Table XXII. - Pulmonary Capacity of Indians, by Play of Chest. 407
Tabular view of the mean play of chest in the several classes.
These numbers are not proportional to the average pulmonary capacity.
6. Relation to Age.

49
The maximum capacity was found by Hutchinson to be at the age of 35 .
Our tabulation shows a strongly marked maximum at 21 years.
The capacity is then nearly 200 cubic inches.
Possible effect of increased strength of muscles of thorax.
Table XXIII. - Pulmonary Capacity of White Soldiers in Usual Vigor, by Age. 498
Table XXIV. - Empirical Table for Pulmonary Capacity of White Men, by $\Delta g e$.

## CHAPTER XIII.

respiration and pulse.

## 1. Preliminary.

Uncertainty of observations of the frequency of breathing and pulse.
Very slight excitement often modifies the phenomenon.
Special precautions were enjoined upon our examiners.
Difficulty of maintaining or enforcing the needful conditions.
Manner of observation prescribed.
These sources of error cannot be supposed entirely obviated.
Indications of personal differences are perceptible.
Possible explanations of such constant differences.
Our limits preclude detailed investigation of personal errors.
Improbability that the omission will affect our mean results.
The materials remain available for future investigators.
The frequency both of pulse and breathing varies with time of day.
It is also known that these are affected by the posture.
Our observations were chietly made while the men were standing.
But there were many exceptions to this general usage.

## 2. Respiration, by Age.

The frequency of breathing greatest in childhood.
Our results show frequency greater for soldiers below, than above, 18 years.
It would seem to decrease until puberty, and then to remain constant.

Tables of distribution, by age and frequency of respiration.
This distribution suggests some misgivings as to our results.
More than 1-90 of the records indicate above 20 respirations a minute.
For men not in full vigor the proportion is greater still.
In the majority of cases the number is stated as 24 to the minate.
Suspicions created by this circumstance.
It would seem as though the counting had been made for only part of a minute.
Injunctions were strict that it should continue for a full minute.
And the general fidelity of the examiners is well estublished.
Wide differences between results of the earlier and of the later series.
Hence they are separately presented in all cases.
Mr. Fairchild's results are kept distinct from those of Dr. Buckley.
The former were made in the winter, and mostly confined to prisoners.
It is also clear that the counting was during half a minute only.
Table I. - Distribution by Age and Number of Respirations. Soldiers in Usual Vigor. Earlier Series.
Table II. - Distributiom by Age and Number of Respirations. Soldiers not in Usual Vigor. Earlier Series.
Table III. - Distribution by Age and Number of Respirations. White Soldiers in Doual Vigor. Later Series.
Table IV. - Distribution by Age and Number of Respirations. White Soldiers nod in
Usual Vigor. Later Series.
Table V. - Distributiom by Age and Number of Respirations. Full Blacks in Usual Vigor.
Table VI. - Distribution by Age and Number of Respirations. Mulattoes in Usual Vigor 516
Table VII. - Distribution by Age and Number of Respirations. Indians. 518
For students and sailors the results are omitted.
All the students were examined by Dr. Elsner.
Illustrations of systematic error in his countings.
His observations both of pulse and respiration are rejected throughout.
All his other determinations appear entitled to full contidence.
Of the sailors all but 324 were.measured by Mr. Phinney.
The circumstances were unfavorable for these observations.
Consequently no attempt was made to carry them out.
The remainder were chietly examined by Dr. Elsner.
The negroes not in usual vigor number in these tables but 294.
This comprises both the full blacks and the mulattoes.
The two were therefore aggregated in the tabulation.
For the men in full vigor we find a wide difference between these classes.
Our tables are give: in detail in order to permit and invite criticism.
They exhibit the weak points of our determinations clearly.
Results as tested by the distribution of individual cases.
Character of distribution of individual cases among white soldiers.
This seems inconsistent with a normally constant typical number.
It is equally unexplained by any supposition of carelessness.
These results are given more compactly in the next three tables.
The mean frequency of respiration seems constant during early manhood.
The greater frequency for the black race is conspicuous.
The black troops were mostly examined in a warmer climate.
Indications that white men breathe more frequently in warm regions.
Regret that our limits prevent further inquiry at present.
Mr. Fairchild's examinations were chiefly contined to rebel prisoners.
This cannot explain the discordance between his results and Dr. Buckley's.
There must be some very large personal influence.
Table VIII. - Mean Firequency of Respiration, by Age. White Soluiers. Earlier Series. 620
Table IX. - Mean Frequency of Respiration, by Age. White Men. Later Series. 621
Table X. - Mean Frequency of Reqpiration, by Age. Other Ruces than the White. 528
Comparative constuncy of the mean values for different ages.
Greater frequency in the respiration of the blacks.

Inferior frequency in the respiration of the Indians.
Accelerated respiration in men not in the fullest health.
Attempt at determining the true mean value for students. The result agrees with that for white soldiers, later series.

## 3. Pulse.

These statistics have been elaborated in great detail.
Their interest is diminished by the limited range of ages.
They were collected during ordinary working hours.
The subject was usually in the standing posture.
The resultant mean frequency is greater than was found by Guy.
Tabie XI. - Mean F'requency of Pulse for different Classes of Men.
Distribution of the numbers, tested by law of error.
We find no definite ratio between the pulsations and respirations.
The two are accelerated by similar influences, but not in the same ratio.
The later series gives for white soldiers $4 \frac{1}{2}$ pulsations to each respiration.
The ratio for the Indians is greater, for the negroes less.
Values found from the largest group in each class.
Theory of Rameaux and Sarrus, cited with favor by Quetelet.
This makes the pulse inversely proportional to square of the stature.
It gives 70 as the normal number of pulsations for stature of 168.4 centimeters.
Our tabulations are entirely at variance with these results.
Empirical values from the tabulation.
Table XII. - Pulse by Stature. White Soldiers in Usual Vigor. Later Series.

## CHAPTER XIV.

VISION.

1. Statistics collected.

827
Questions regarding vision added during progress of examinations.
One asked the greatest distance at which a given print could be read.
The other pertained to color-blindness.
Character of the print used as test-type.
Its value upon Jäger's and Snellen's scale.
Reasons why Snellen's scale was not employed.
Value of our results anthropological, rather than ophthalmic.
They contain no measure of the amount of accommodation.
Yet so copious data cannot fail to be important.
Number of men whose vision was examined.
Manner of examination.
Experiments with men unable to read.
Trials with colored soldiers.

## 2. Distance of Distinct Vision, for the Test-object.

Table I. - Mean Distances for different Classes of Men.

Small value found for sailors.
Trustworthiness of the determination.
Probable explanation of the unexpected result.
Possible explanation by want of accommodation.
Comparison of range of vision for different classes.
Excess of far-sighted, as well as of near-sighted, among students.
Table II. - Mean Distance for White Soldiers, by Ages.
Table III. - Mean Distance for Sutilors, by Ages. 533
Table IV. - Mean Distance for Stulents, by Ages. 533
Table V. - Mean Distance for Full Blacks, by Ages. 534
Table VI. - Mean Distance for Mulattoes, by Ages. 535
Table VII. - Mean Distance for Iroquois Indians, by Ages. 536

The outer limit of distinct vision diminishes with advancing age.
Uncertainty as to whether the normal far-point changes.
Age of maximum distance of vision.
The inner limit increases with the age.
So that advancing years curtail the range at each limit.
The mean distance for men not in usual vigor is less than for others.
Uncertainty of ages of the colored men.
Apparent influence of health upon range of vision.
Object of the Tables X. to XIII. Inferences from them.
The students give a larger proportion of iar-sighted and near-sighted than sailors.
The distribution for Indians is improved by increasing the size of groups.
Their normal distance is about 54 inches; their a verage distance about 52.
Table VIII. - Distribution of Soldiers according to Distance of Vision.
Table IX. - Distrilution of Sailors according to Distance of Vision. 539
Table X. - Distribution of Stulents, according to Distance of Vision. 539
Table XI. - Distribution of Full Blacks according to Distance of Vision. 540
Table XII. - Distribution of Mulattoes according to Distance of Vision. 541
Table XIII. - Distribution of Indians accooding to Distance of Vision. 542
Proportional number whose outer limit falls within given distances.
Table XIV. - Comparison of the Vision of different Classes of Men. 542
3. Color-blindness.

Nature of this peculiarity.
Its frequency much greater than is generally supposed.
Estimates of the proportion of persons thus affected.
One fiftieth of the white men examined by us cannot well discriminate colors.
There is another class who do not readily observe contrasts of color.
These are not included in our statistics.
Table XV. - Number of Color-blind found in each Class of Men.
This defect of vision apparently not related to color of the eye.
Assortment of these color-blind by hue of the iris.
Table XVI. - Color-blindness amony White Men, by Nativities.
Color-blind assorted by degree of education.
The inperfection not connected with social grade.
Character of the peculiarity observed in different cases. Incompleteness of this classitication, and inferences from it.
Cause of color-blindness. Dalton's supposition disproved.
It appears due to a limited range in the sensibility of the retina.
This view is analogous to that of Seebeck and Helmholtz.
What it does, and what it does not, imply.
Deductions from this theory.
It may be decisively tested by the spectroscope.
The proportion in the black and red races much smaller than in the white.
Only two instances were found among mulattoes.
Among mulatto natives of Slave States, no case was found.
More observations needed on this point.

## CHAPTER XV.

miscellaneous charactrristics.

## 1. Preliminary.

Many questions in our schedule could not be here discussed.
Problems which might be investigated from our data.
A few of these have been partially examined.
These minor topics are here collected in a single chapter.
Although incongruous, this seems their only place.

## 2. Condition of Teetr. <br> 840

> The general condition of the teeth and the number lost were noted.
> The statistics on these points are here given for white men only.
> Table I. - (laxsificntion by Number uf Teeth wot, and by Age.
> 550
> Tablek II. - Proportiomal Distribution by Number of Teeth hist, and by Age. 552
> Table: III. - Clussification by Number of Teeth lost, and by Nativity. 554
> Table IV. - Propartiomal Distribution iyy Number of Teeth lust, and by Nativity. 556
> Explanation of Table V., and mode of its preparation.
> Table V. - Average Number of Teeth loxt, by Age and also by Nativity. 558
> Table VI. - Clnaseificatiom by Condition of Tceth, anl by Age. 559
> Table VII. - Proportional Distribution by Condition of Teeth, and by Age. $\quad \mathbf{5 6 0}$
> Tablee VIII. - Classification by Cinulition of Teeth, and by Natirity. 661
> Table IX. - Proportional Distribution by Conditum of Teeth, and by Nativily. 562

Materials collected bearing on this subject.
Interestirg researches which they would permit.
'Only a few tabulations are here undertaken.
Table X. - Balduess obserred among Soldiers. Earlier Series. 563
Table XI. - Baldness observed amony Solliers. Later Series. 564
Table XII. - Baldness obserred among Sailars and Stulents, by Nativity. 565
Table XIII. - Buldness obserced aming Negroes, by .Vativily. 565
Only one bald negro seen among 2100 others observed in the 25th Army Corps.
An assortment by ages of the men when examined is unsatisfactory.
Very many of the cases here observed were abnormal.
Table of mean ages of bald men examined, and duration of baldness.
Most of these cases were clearly nwing to disease.
No baldness was seen among the Indians.
Table XIV. - Baldness obserted amun!, Siddiers, by Age when examined. 607
Table XV. - Baliness observed among Negroes, by Aye when examined. 567
Table XVI. - Age at tohich Baliness appeaved, in the foregoing Cases. 568
4. Pilosity of Necroks. 568

Mode of obtaining information on this subject.
Table of relative pilosity, in comparison with white men.
5. Education.

569
Explanation of the classitication of the men on this basis.
Table XVII. - Distribution by Educution and Nurtirity. While Soldiers. Later Series. 570
Tabies XVIII. - Relatire Distrihution of White Noldiers, by Fiducation and Nauirity. 571
Tablef XIX. - Distributimb by Eilucation and Naticily. Sailors. 572
Table X.. - Relative Distribution if S.ihirs, by Eilucatim and Natirity. 578
Of 11688 men included in the foregoing tables, 8521 were native Americans.
For all of these but 235 , we have the parentage.
Table XXI. - Parentage of the Native Americans examined. 574
Table X XII. - Parentage of uneducated Native Americans. 574
Comparison of the last two tables.
The difference of proportion is insignificant.
Table of numbers in Table XXI. reduced to scale of Table XXII.

## CHAPTER XVI.

## military service.

## 1. Statistics collected.

The greoter part of our statistics are of the strictly military class.
They are also connected with questions of health or mortality.

From discussion of these the Sanitary Commission anticipated its chief usefulness.
Organization of system of Camp Inspections.
Object of these inspections.
Account of them, and of their results in the History of the Sanitary Commission.
About $\mathbf{1 5 0 0}$ reports of these inspections are in our archives.
Each report contains answers to between 60 and 180 questions.
All these have been assorted, tabulated, and discussed.
Character of the information which they contain.
The Hospital Directory is described in the History of the Commission.
Tabulation of daily reports of military hospitals.
Prohibition in July 1864, of further infurmation to the Commission.
This the first of a series of ordens of similar character.
These discouragements led to abandonment of the investigations.
Similar researches were subsequently undertaken by the Surgeon-General.
Materials now in the archives of the Statistical Burean.
The most extensive labor has been upon the monthly regimental returns.
Collection of data prosecuted for nearly three years.
In October 1865, 32000 reports had been transcribed and tabulated.
These comprised all returns for voluntrers except for last three months.
Access to the rolls here also suddenly forbinden by the Secretary.
Our work brought to a close before Mr. Stanton left office.
No means then existed for resuming these investigations.
Other vain effiots to procure data for rendering our statistics available.
For want of these data our vast collection of material lies unused.
The State Adjutant generals enabled us to complete the work of collection.
Oar statistics of the loss and gain, casualties, etc., of the volunteer army, to January 1865, are thas quite complete.
For the remaining three months of the war, three fourths of the returns are transcribed.
A detailed account of our materials is given in § 3.
Inquiry into the effect of forced marches.
For this the experience of regiments at Gettysburg is available.
Long and hurried marches were made just previous to this battle.
Special inquiries to determine the effect upon our men.
A few inferences from these are in the final section of this chapter.

## 2. Camp Inspections.

Tabulated and discussed results of these are with our archires.
They are too extensive for convenient or useful publication.
The diversity of circumstances renders a comparison of averages delusive.
We present but one table from these materials.
This shows the relative number of camps for each of nine grades of goodness.
It comprises twelve principal subjects of inquiry, in each of four periods.
The inspecti $i n$ reports chiefly contain verbal statements.
These have been translated into a numerical scale.
The values of the table indicate the proportionate number in each thousand.
Periods employed for this table, number of camps inspected in each period.
Table I. - Results of Canp Inspections. Proportionate Numbers.
3. Siceness, Mortality, Discharges, etc.

Many discordances detected in the records, and adjusted by means of State archives.
For the nine months ending February 18122, the data were discussed by Mr. Elliott.
In that discussion, the Eastern and Western tronps were separately considered.
Each of these classes was then serving in its own region.
The same is true for the next following six months.
The returns for this period have been partially published.
During later periods of the war, soldiers from both regions served in each.
Our statistics therefore require a classification by armies.
A knowledge of the regiments composing each army thus becomes needful.

Our materials would then afford a valuable addition to the history of the war.
They would give, for every army monthly, the mortality, strength, sanitary condition, number of desertions, etc.
Without the data required, our vast materials are comparatively useless.
Final effort of the Commission at the beginning of June 1867.
Its failure, although supported bv distinguished statesmen and officers.
Our materials are carefully preserved for future use.
Very slight official data will suffice to render them valuable.
The next two tables show the character of the information ther contain.
A few of the aggregated summaries are presented in Tables II. and III.
The correspondinis proportionate numbers are in Tables IV. and V.
Some of the most important general facts are in Tables VI. and VIII.
Manner of formation of these tables.
Probable degree of correctness of Tables VI. and VII. Insufficiency of military statistics at the beginning of the war. Obstacles to their collection.
Gradual improvement in their completeness.
In August 1862, nearly two thirds of the whole army reported. During 1863, nearly seven eighths of the volunteers reported.
It seems warrantable to apply our inferences to the total of white troops.
Table II. - Summary of Regimental Reports, firr Eastein Sibliers, to August 1862. 586
Table III. - Summary of Regimental Reports fir Western Soldiers, to August 1862. 588
Table IV. - Monthly Condition of the Eistern Forces, to August 1862.
Table V. - Monthly Cimdition of the Western Forces, to August 1862.
Table VI. - Strength, Sickness, Mortality, Discharges, and Desertions, recorded for the total Armies.
Table VII. - Average Regimental Strength and Rotes of Sickness and Mortality in the total Armies.
The sickness rates increased continually until the middle of 1862.
After that time the average rate was a little less than one fifth. Influence of the season of the year.
Classitication of the sickness and mortality from disease according to months.
Table VIII. - Average Monthly Rates of Sickness and of Mortality from Disease.
The discharges for disability were most frequent early in 1863.
They rapidly decreased soon afterwards.
In 1864 they numbered about a quarter of one per cent.
The number of desertions followed a similar course.
The monthly average in 1864 was little more than a third of one per cent.
Extension to the whole army (except black troops), of inferences from Tables VI. and VII. This is readily accomplished by means of materials in Chapter I.
Our Table IX. is thus formed, and affords an independent estimate.
Table IX. - Statistics of While Troops, inferred from Regimental Reports.
Estimated deaths in the service to the end of the year 1864.
Probable number during the remainder of the war.
Near accordance of our results with those of the Provost Marshal General.
These numbers give the deaths during the war, of men in actual service.
Those occurring after discharge from military service are not included.
The singular mortality of colored soldiers is not here discussed.
It is much to be desired that it should soon be investigated by medical men.
Comparison of the aggregates in Table IX. with the Provost Marshal General's statistics. Probable explanation of the discordances.

## 4. Effect of Long Marches.

Questions prepared for regiments engaged in battle of Gettysburg.
Most of these regiments had made forced marches to reach the field.
Classification of 144 regiments examined.
Blank form of examination used.
Tabulation of the replies and of the special trimonthly returns.
Preliminary report by Mr. $\mathbf{O}^{\prime}$ Connell, from returns of 40 regiments.

The marches of these 144 regiments were mostly above 20 miles a day.
Tabular view of distances marched immediately before the battle.
Tabular view of distances afterward marched in same month.
Character of the rations issued during the rapid marches.
Tabular view. Regiments of each corps assorted by character of rations.
General health of the regiments.
Tabular assortment by general health.

1. In opinion of commanding officers.
2. In opinion of surgeons.

Character of diseases occurring on the march.
Health of those two reginents whose marches were most severe.
Endurance of the troops as affected by the character of rations.
Tabular assortment by condition of the troops and rations furnished.

1. In opinion of commanding officers.
2. In opinion of surgeons.

- 

Character of diseases developed by the march.
Tabular assortment by disesses, and by rations furnished.
Rations of those three regiments which suffered most.
Inferences from the preceding statistics.
Those regiments which had fresh meat suffered little or not at all.
Those which had two kinds of salt meat suffered only from temporary exhaustion.
Those which had salt pork only, but enough, suffered considerably.
In about half of them the suffering was chietly from sunstroke.
More than one third of them exhibited special tendency to disease.
Those which had not enough meat, suffered much even on short marches.
Of those which had gained on the march, one half had received fresh meat.
Of those others which had not suffered, two thirds had received fresh meat.
The diarrhœa was mainly ascribed by the surgeons to excessive use of cold water.
Through these marches the moral condition of the army was excellent.



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ California raised in all about 15700 men; Oregon, one battalion cavalry, about 1800 men; Nevada, one each of cavalry and infantry, about 1200 men.

    2 Provost Marshal General's Report, 1866, p. 144.
    8 Thirteen counties of West Virginia and three Territories, with a military population at aome of perhaps 28,000 , are not included in this enrollment.
    ${ }_{4}$ Proenst Marshal Generals Report, pp. 2, 144, 159.
    ${ }^{6}$ Census, p. vii. $\quad$ Ibid. p. xiv.

[^1]:    1 Census, p. xxv. $\quad 2$ Ibid. p. xxi.
    8 For these figures I am indebted to the courtesy of Hon. J. C. Cox, Chief Clerk of the Department of the Interior.
    1 Census, p. xxi. $\quad$ Ibid. p. xxi. $\quad$ Ibid. p. xxx.

[^2]:    1 Prowot Marahal General's Report, p. $102 . \quad$ \& Page 1.
    Provost Marchal General's Report, p. $102 . \quad$ Ibid. p. 69.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ These comprise all white volunteers from loyal States not on the Pacific coast, up to the beginning of 1865 , for which the monthly returns were on file at the War Department in Scptember of that year, together with those additional ones which were on file at the State capitals, - access to these latter having been courteously granted, and all needful faciities cordially afforded in every case.

[^4]:    1 Prooout Marshal General's Report, pp. 67, $68 . \quad 2$ lbid. pp. 78, 79.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Assumed.
    2 Prowost Marahal Generaf's Report, pp. 78-83.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Prowost Marshal General's Report, p. 69.
    ${ }^{2}$ Report of Bureau of Equipment and Recruiting, 1865-66, p. 200.

[^7]:    2 3533 Veteran Volunteers. Report of the Secretary of War, 1865, page 21. $\quad$ b Not including one battalion.
    c Of 1215 "regulars, marines, and seamen," 1000 are counted as for the army. $\quad$ Including 15987 "emergency men." - Including 8957 not credited by United States.

    E Not including State troops.
    h Probably 40000 or 50000 of this number represent militia called out on emergency and not regularly enited en about 15000 "emergency men not credited. 1 Not including State troops.
    m This is
    178895
    87838

    ## 91057

    Total number of colored troops from all the States (not including 7122 white officers) (page 69). Remainder[^8]:    1 If the colored troops are included in the table, page 163, they must also be included in the last column on page 78. But a comparison with the Ggares given on pages 43, 44 , with those on page 163, shows conclusively that they are so included in the latter.

[^9]:    1 Prowost Marshal General's Report, p. $43 . \quad 2$ Ibid. 8 Ibid., p. 79.
    4 Compare ibid. p. 79 with Report of Secretary of War, 1866, p. 86. Of 3183 casualties to August 1865, about 1700 are assumed to have occurred before May 1.

[^10]:    1 1865, p. 21.
    2 Adjutant General Simpeon believes that there were probably as many as 10000 reenlistments among the German population of Missouri; but in this "German population" he counts all members of German families who retain their ancestral usages, - whether Amer-ican-born or not.

[^11]:    of four years, confessed to having 'jumped the bounty' thirty-tivo times."-Prooost Marshal General's Report, p. 158
    1 lbid p. 89.
    ${ }^{2}$ lbid. p. 75.

[^12]:    * The prescribed limits of military age at the commencement of the rebellion were 18 and 45 years; but the large proportional number at the age of 45 seems to indicate that the law was so interpreted as to permit the acceptance of volunteers whose age at their last birthday did not exceed 45 years.

[^13]:    * "On the Mfilitary Statistics of the United States of America," Procecdings of the International Statistical Congress, V Session, 1863, p. 32.

[^14]:    1 Statistics of the U. S. in 1860 (including Mortality, Property, etc.), being the Final Exhibit of the Eighth Census. Washington, 1866. Table IV. pp. 44-48.

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ Pages 14, 24, 42. See also Liharkik, Proceedings of the Vienna Academy of Sciences, zunt. p. 632.

[^16]:    1 The only apparent exceptions are natives of the Slave States, excluding Kentucky and Tennessee; but here the maximum appears at twenty-nine and the number of men is small.

[^17]:    ${ }^{1}$ The province which bore this name prior to 1866.

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ Since the average excess, above the mean height, for "tall men," is much greater than the average defect in height for "short men," an equal mortality for men above and below the medium stature would diminish the aggregate excess above the mean, more than the aggregate deficiency below it: and thus occasion a decrease in the mean stature, year by year, unless this were compensated by annual growth. The diminution in mean stature, after attainment of the age of maximum, may be in part owing to some influence of this nature.

    2 Quetelet, Physique Sociale: II. 31.
    8 Schumacher's Jahrbuch, 1841, p. 137; 1843, p. 146.

[^19]:    1 Statistical Report on Sickness and Mortality of U. S. Army, in the years 1840-56, p. 638.
    8 These soldiers were taken in the order in which they were entered on the AdjutantGeneral's books. Recruits under 65 inches high were not accepted at the time; but, for the small amount by which this rule could have affected the determination of the mean stature, see Hammond's Military Hygiene, p. 99.
    8 Sur la taille de rhomme en France. Annales d'Hygiène, I. 886.

[^20]:    ${ }^{1}$ This table includes a few natives of New Jersey and Pennsylvania, but not in numbers cufficient to affect the result in any way.

[^21]:    1 Made according to a prevailing usage by a tradesman in the town, and recorded to quarters of an inch.

[^22]:    ${ }^{1}$ Histoire Naturelle, ed. Sonnini, x viII. 802. 2 L'Homme Americain, I. 94, 05, 99.
    ${ }^{2}$ Quetelet, Syuteme Social, pp. 25, 26.

[^23]:    1 L'Homme Americain, I. 395.
    ${ }^{2}$ Annales d' ${ }^{2}$ ygiène, I. 386.
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