

pleasant, having broken off very many flowers that were just opening. When this occurred the foliage had assumed a stiff, robust habit, while others still retaining their flowers, have a lean, stunted appearance.

To return to the Hibernia bulb fields, we find that although the soil is naturally very sandy, it is made more so by constant drainage of the sand brought from the dunes on each side, and this, with the heavy dressing of new manure, enables the cultivator to give the same crop on the same spot as a third year's rotation. When the ground is well manured Potatoes are planted, then Hyacinths like the *Patens* are taken up, and the next autumn Tulips like the *Hyacinths* are planted, at least this is the system understood one celebrated cultivator (Mr. F. Van Velzen, jun.) pursued, and his bulb garden may be considered second to none, as no one the robust character of the plants was very apparent. In this establishment was raised that splendid Hyacinth, the *Mag of the Blue*; it bears a splendid spike, and for late pot-works is invaluable. Mr. F. Van Velzen has a large stock of this fine variety, as well as of many others. Some of the more effective varieties seen were, of *Aurea*, *Edging*, *Saxonia*, extra fine; *Tulle* per *Hilva*, black, purple & blue; *Anna Maria*, black, pink eye; and *Madame de Saxe*, pale rose. Amongst single varieties the following were the most conspicuous—*Awg*, bright red, fine for cutting; *Magnificus*, very fine rose; *Robert Strijp*, Van *Schiller*, *Vuurbaak*, bright red, very effective; *General Hibernick*, a fine black; *King of the Blue*, before mentioned; *Missa*, late large dark variety; *Regina*; *William the First*; *King of Paradise*, a very fine yellow; *Cometa*, 16; and *La Grotte*, a very fine white; *La Victoria*, *Mammae*, *Ther*, *Thomsonia*, *Charles Dickens*, *Havelock*, and *Tullum*. My visit was rather early to see the Tulips in perfection, still enough was seen to give an idea of the magnificence of the whole; and the *Dor Van Thiel* varieties were very charming, and *Cassio Blue*, single yellow, a very fine and highly recommended; the varieties of *Cremorne*, rose striped and gold, are very good; *Freemont* and *Van der Meer* should be in every collection. *Georg Thompson*, *Croft*, *Salon*.

FERTILISATION OF PLANTS.*

(Continued from p. 511.)

5. ALTHOUGH in many cases it would appear that intercrossed plants are absolutely more fertile than the self-fertilised, yet Mr. Darwin's self-fertilised *Mimulus* was a highly self-fertile variety, which yielded more seed and produced offspring growing taller than their self-fertilised parents, or than the intercrossed plants of the corresponding generation. Such was *Illino* and the white variety of *Mimulus*, and a pale variety of *Diastema*, while *epistylis* *Primitus* proved more fertile than ordinary plants of the same species legitimately fertilised by pollen from a distant individual. The ratio of the intercrossed offspring to "Illino" was only 200 : 100, but in the case of such generation was other "Hoves" appeared, whose ratio was as 100 : 111 and 100 : 150. Their offspring, however, were not preserved.

5. Manual adjustments of the essential organs, as well as special constructions, are often to be met with, which thus secure self-fertilisation. Mr. Michx. thus describes *Melospirum americanum*—"The curved apex of the pistil is clasped by the stamens and held in contact with the pollen, just as in a cleistogamous violet. The same comes with small-flowered *Euphorbia*, while the style of *Melospirum* is curved backwards, as H. Müller has described, and it is the same in other small-flowered species, in order to intertwine amongst the anthers. In *Salvia candidula* the elongated stigma cut back in a similar manner, and lies between the anther-cells, which burst, not downwards, as in other species, but sideways, facing the stigma. The position of the filaments is a position arising over the pistil is very common, as is witnessed in several Ranunculaceae, *Pentstemon*, &c. Such position appears to be other the normal one while in the bud, and have thus been retained after the flower has expanded. In flowers with a large number of stamens, *Ranunculus*, &c., the outer stamens burst first, but if the pistil be not crossed, then the inner which develop later, perform the function.

* Cross and Self-Fertilisation of Plants. By C. Darwin. Murray.

1. Intercrossed flowers are very numerous, and, as H. Müller observes, "most be self-fertilising, or they would become extinct"; and it is observable that they form the majority of our weeds, are excessively vigorous and escape the commonest of plants. Their origin appears to be by degradation from cross-fertilisation, as many of them resemble long-stemmed flowers, such as *Ferns officinalis* and small-flowered *Clematis*, which could only have arisen originally by special agency. I do not agree, therefore, with Prof. Dyer, who regards staminate flowers as "probably survivors of the original type" (*Gardeners*, Feb. 15, p. 235), but in all cases I consider them as degraded forms of their more conspicuous congeners, but nevertheless self-fertilising. I cannot, therefore, accept Mr. Darwin's conclusion that some plants "have actually had their flowers purposely rendered inconspicuous." I take it to be simply and purely a result consequent on the absence of insects.

6. With regard to the facts of intercrossing and of self-fertilisation we must be careful not to confound the process with the end. Crossing does not in any good sense it being any constitutional element. This Mr. Darwin admits. But I do not think he seems to see that self-fertilisation is not injurious to the sown that a plant cannot introduce into itself fresh vigour. But when self-fertilising plants are introduced into other countries, then they may become excessively vigorous, as the Italian weeds have done in New Zealand.

And it is a significant fact that while, with scarcely an exception, such plants are self-fertilising weeds, their conspicuous allies are crossing. Thus *Melospirum* has established itself in New Zealand, the Society Islands, Sandwich Islands, Algiers, and Japan, but *M. spicatum* and *M. maculatum* are conspicuous by their absence, occupying the former, which is in Japan, proving that there is no *prima* reason why it should not have gone elsewhere. *Euphorbia* *Polypus* and *helleborus* are present in New Zealand, both of which Mr. Bennett thought were self-fertilising, but no other species is known. Rose rugulosa is the most conspicuous flower, but solely confined to the only British Islands, Algiers, and the British Isles in America." Once more, five of the small flowered species of *Brickellia* are scattered about the world, one of which, the highly self-fertile *S. media* or *Childwood*, is found in New Zealand, Tasmania, Kangaroo Island, Auckland and Campbell Islands, South Africa, South America, South Australia, Tropical Asia, Hong-kong, Japan, Madaga, but the large flowered *prostrata* *S. helleborus* is present in all the above from any of these far-distant localities. The inference would appear to be that the necessary causes required to cross conspicuous prostrated forms are not being there, such plants have died out, if formerly introduced; and the self-fertilising weeds have thus proved themselves "the best kind to survive in the struggle for life," in accordance with Mr. Darwin's remark on p. 407. "If any inconspicuous species ceased to be visited by insects it would probably perish, unless it were rendered inconspicuous" (or self-fertilising).

The next set of illustrations (p. 113) refer to the relative vigour displayed by self-fertilised plants, and as such has been already alluded to in previous papers little need be now said of it. I would, however, state to the case of *Lotus* filigine. Of the plant three pots containing twelve self-fertilised individuals bear their intercrossed offspring in the mean ratio of 216 : 100, while in five pots all the intercrossed bear their offspring in the mean ratio of 300 : 75.5—the total mean ratio for intercrossed compared with the self-fertilised being at 100 : 91, that is, very nearly equal. In this case, together with that of *Illino* and the white *Mimulus*, would seem to show that when a large number of plants are cultivated or grown for many years, self-fertilised plants may arise quite equal, or even superior to the intercrossed; and as many of Mr. Darwin's experiments were made on single or very few generations, and with even less than ten plants, the above instance very considerably the relative ratio of such cases.

In there is a section in chapter vii, p. 305, headed, "The question of the Good Effects from Cross, and of the Bad Effects from Self-fertilisation." The test was in the heights of the plants raised by the intercrossing both the previously intercrossed and also self-fertilised plants. Of the fifty-four species cultivated Mr. Darwin selects three

* From a set of British plants in New Zealand, by Rich. in *Transactions of the New Zealand Institute*, 1868, vol. 1, p. 131.

only the fact, *Nemophila*, which under favouring self-fertilisation must be struck out, as Mr. Darwin says of it, "This experiment was quite worthless."

The second is *Vicia tricolor*: the parents generation gave the ratio of the heights of the intercrossed to the self-fertilised as 100 : 45, but of the descendants from both derived by crossing and producing "an abundance of very fine capsules," it was at 100 : 82. That is to say, the self-fertilised had improved by the cross, but that the intercrossed had inherited its advantage. The third and last example given is *Lathyrus sativus*. The first two generations gave the mean ratios as 100 : 80 and 100 : 88 respectively, and the ratio of the heights of their offspring (now derived in both cases from an intercrossed at 100 : 50. Hence, the same remark applies to this as to *Vicia*. Mr. Darwin adds—"These two lots of seeds were *Excelsa* sown by being sown under very unfavourable conditions in poor exhausted soil, and the plants whose grandparents had been intercrossed were in fact raised above an exceptionally vigorous." Turning back to page 150, it appears that some seeds were sown in the same pot with a *Ranunculus*, others in a shallow pan in the shelter of the shelter. The natural height of the first lot was 100 : 88, of the others 100 : 103—the latter the mean; for Mr. Darwin elsewhere considers 95 to 124 as equivalent to 100. I do not see, therefore, that the results quite justify the above description. The points which are clearly proved are, first, that constitutional superiority gained by intercrossing is transmitted to the offspring; and, secondly, that nothing has been proved to the detriment of the self-fertilised to be worse off than their progenies. Indeed, when we read that Mr. Keightley's varieties of *Tum*, originating from a cross, were subsequently propagated in abundance as a valuable product for thirty years, and that solely by self-fertilisation, it is difficult to see what is meant by "the evil effects;" we may rather say, was not the "cross" somewhat habituated to the power of self-fertilisation to be able to be kept so long? Then the question suggests itself, Was the *Excelsa* sown in the shallow pan in the shelter of the *Ranunculus*, or mainly to fresh varieties competing with them in the market and expanding them?

If we regard the undoubtedly benefits derived by crossing as a positive good, then it would seem fairer to say that self-fertilisation gives purely negative results.

If two people marry who are consumptive, we might in justice speak of the evil effects or injurionment of the union, as revealed in their offspring children. But of the great number of heterozygous self-fertilising plants, which by their vigour and abundance get called troublesome weeds, as *Senecio vulgaris*, *Cardamine hirsuta*, and *Scleria media*, &c., nothing can possibly be said which justifies these terms. Hence the heading above quoted should have been "On the Transmission of the Good Effects from a Cross alone, or with the addition," and of the converse negative results of self-fertilisation.

The general inference appears to be this, that self-fertilisation is not in any sense the ordinary sense of the term, as implying, for example, weakness of constitution, but only in that a plant cannot introduce by that agency fresh constitutional elements; such being the case, the average vigour of such plants remains stationary. If, however, it can acquire such, in nature by migration to a different locality, artificially by made soil, then the self-fertilised may completely outstrip the intercrossed and beat them in every way. The sole, but doubtful, great advantage of crossing, lies in such being an important means of introducing fresh constitutional peculiarities. On p. 425 Mr. Darwin observes, "That certain plants, for instance *Vicia tricolor*, *Digitalis purpurea*, *Stratagium scoparium*, *Cyclanthes perfoliata*, &c., which have been naturally cross-fertilised for many or all previous generations, should refer to an extreme degree from a single act of self-fertilisation is a most surprising fact." The inference, however, I would draw is, that these plants probably represent a constitution approaching absolute self-fertility, and of generation to generation, or from its own full self-fertility; absolute self-fertility having been reached by some species, the number of which "is not at present large" (p. 341). Hence I would give both kinds under the one common name of several different genera, due to insect agency. *Vicia* seems to show—It may express it metaphorically—that Nature found

she had gone a little too far, and ran the risk of having no offspring at all, and so adopted distinguished flowers as well.

This leads me to contest another of Mr. Darwin's

under *Darwinization*, vol. 8, p. 140, he gives, what seems to me, the correct explanation, that "the sexual elements of the same flower have become differentiated in relation to each other, almost like

When we consider what the plants are which are thus absolutely or more or less self-sterile, we find them scattered about and in no way *de-* in other respects—low type, as correlation would, I prefer, lead us to expect, e.g., *Echidochloa*, *Corydalis*, *Primula*, *Lobelia*, *Veronica*, *Passiflora* and *Urtica*, as *Oenothera*, &c. Now these have either allied species partially or quite self-sterile, or may often become so on changed conditions, but instead of their sexes being not sufficiently, I should prefer Mr. Darwin's former explanation that they were too highly differentiated, and that when they become self-sterile, as *Echidochloa* in England, it is a recovery of, or reversion to, self-sterility. Such is my impression: readers can now form their own conclusions as to which they may think is the more probable. *George Huxley*.

A NEW VARIETY OF COTTON.

M. DELCHÉVALERIE, the Inspector of Agriculture of Cairo, laid before the recent Congress of Amsterdan some specimens of a new Cotton plant found growing in Egypt. In a field of Cotton, among which were found some *Hibiscus* (*Hibiscus esculentus*), a certain Cheik-el-Cheid of the environs of Chibai-el-Kam, in Lower Egypt, noticed some specimens of fastigate Cotton plants, quite different to the others, and similar in habit to the Bahawieh, or Bamis plant. The stems are about 8-10 feet high, straight, and with relatively few branches, and those ascending not spreading as in ordinary Cotton plants. Hence the planters of that region did not hesitate to call them "Kara-Bahawieh." They collected the seeds carefully, in order to plant them separately. The following year they obtained nearly half a *fedwa* (about half an acre) of them, of which the seeds were collected in the same way, and Egypt this year already possesses important plantations of this new variety of Cotton. The first samples which arrived in the market of Alexandria were distributed among several merchants, who sent them to Liverpool, where they were classed about "fine Cotton," and nearly fetched the price of "good fair."

M. Delchavalerie, in a note addressed to the Congress, suggested the idea that this Cotton is a hybrid production between *Hibiscus esculentus* and the Egyptian Cotton itself, and he proposes this manner to make some experiments at Cairo, in order to ascertain if this be so. If this hybridisation has really taken place between the *Hibiscus* (*Abrusmoschus*) *esculentus* and the Cotton, the fact will be of great importance from a scientific point of view, for it may give rise to other experiments in artificial fertilisation between other genera of the same family. Similar facts are not unprecedented in the records of horticulture. However this may be, the new Cotton plant is taller than the ordinary Cotton. It is erect, and scarcely branched, with the exception of two or three small branches at the base, which allow of the plants being planted close. It has not the shrubby form of the ordinary Cotton plant, which has numerous branches, themselves branched and profusing here and there at the joints a copious of cotton in a long pedicels, as seen at fig. 87. On the contrary, in the new Cotton plant, the principal branch is upright and not branched; see fig. 86. The ovules grow on the principal stem in clusters in the axils of the leaves, and are liberate borne on long axillary stalks. The roots are more top-shaped than those of the ordinary Cotton, whose root fibres narrower spread more horizontally (fig. 88). And what is more important is, that the new variety produces much more Cotton. The cultivators of this new Cotton plant have assured M. Delchavalerie that they have obtained fifteen quintals of it per *fedwa*, in the rich soil of the Delta, while the ordinary Cotton does not produce half that quantity. M. Delchavalerie informs us that he has instituted a series of experiments at Cairo on the cultivation of this new Cotton plant, and he has kindly promised to let us know in due season the results of his researches concerning this important question.

We have already alluded to this Cotton, specimens of which may be seen in the New Museum, though by no means equal to those exhibited at Amsterdan. Young plants are also growing at Kew and with Col. Treves Clarke.



FIG. 86.—HABIT SHOWING THE HABIT OF THE BAHAWIEH COTTON.



FIG. 87.—NEW ORLEANS COTTON.



FIG. 88.—ROOT OF ORDINARY COTTON PLANT.

conclusions. On p. 455, in the passage beginning "It is an extraordinary fact" [in end of paragraph], he regards the more or less self-sterility of many species-as due "to the sexual elements not having become sufficiently differentiated;" but in *Animals and Plants*

those of two distinct species," and he further adds, in direct opposition to his present work—"We may conclude that it has been naturally acquired for the sake of effectually preventing self-fertilisation." This he now rejects (see p. 345).