ON EPITHETS OF MOVEMENT IN HOMER.

Some time ago ¹ I endeavoured to show by a copious exhibition of Homer's phrases of colour, that his discrimination of the various forms of decomposed light was imperfectly developed, while his perceptions of light itself, apart from colour, were highly vivid and effective. It is matter of interest to consider as kindred topics the manner in which he appreciated other visible phenomena, such as those of form and movement. I now propose to investigate his use of epithets in connection with movement. These epithets are not only copious and diversified, but in some important respects may be called even scientific: namely, where they have reference to velocity in its several degrees, and in the manner of its production.

Corporeal motion may be considered, first of all, as slow or quick. These terms are relative only, and do not rest upon a distinction of definable essence. But as darkness offers little material and little attraction to the Poet in comparison with light, so slowness is for him a trivial and barren subject in comparison with speed. At the very threshold, accordingly, we are met by this fact: that slow movement has little of particular description in Homer. I do not recollect that he anywhere distinguishes majestic and stately movement from such as is merely slow. It may seem as if his mind already indicated in germ a reaction from Egyptian art, and its main principle of repose, in favour of the principle of motion, which was characteristic rather of the Assyrian school. Most certainly we do not find the distinction taken where we might positively have expected it, as when Zeus, after his interview with Thetis, moves into the circle of the gods. And at any rate, be the cause what it may, bradūs (βραδύς), which may be considered as the staple expression of slowness, is only used six times in the Poems. Its substantive βραδύτης is also found, but only once. Of the seven passages, four refer to the pace of horses. He uses no other word to describe the slow pace of the animal. For its rapid pace he has, between epithets and phrases, eight or nine.

The root of bradūs is brad, bard; and the meaning of this root trüg, stumpfsinnig, and the like (Benfey, i. 509). Signifying dull

¹ Nincteenth Century, October 1877. Also Studies on Homer (1858), vol. iii. p. 457.

and sluggish, it is not akin to bri the root of obrimos, though the idea of weight be a middle term between them: for weight may be considered on the one side as embodying force; on the other as resisting motion by inertia.

In Homer, $brad\bar{u}s$ is applied to the mind; but only in the comparative degree (II. x. 225, $\beta\rho\dot{\alpha}\sigma\sigma\omega\nu$ $\tau\epsilon$ $\nu\dot{o}os$) and metaphorically. Considered as an epithet descriptive of movement between place and place, it is at the bottom of the quantitative scale, as $\dot{\omega}\kappa\dot{\nu}s$ is at the top. In the first of these, mass is at a maximum, and the element of speed is evanescent; in the second, speed is superlative, and mass approximates to zero.

This may be the proper place for two remarks before entering into the general discussion.

First. All use of epithets of motion for the mind is, of course, metaphorical and secondary. In one very curious passage (II. xv. 80) this figurative movement is actually made the basis of a simile to illustrate the flight of Herè from Ida to Olumpos. This will be noticed under the term $\kappa\rho\alpha\iota\pi\nu\delta s$: but the general inquiry will turn mainly upon material motion.

Secondly. We may take material motion as it is quantitative, or as it is qualitative. By quantitative motion, I mean that which has reference only to rate or speed: by qualitative motion, that which embraces other ideas, such as those of direction, or of intermission; or properties of the mind exhibited in motion, or suggested by it.

I now proceed with the discussion.

This purely quantitative motion of material objects is expressed in the mathematical formula $M \propto Q V$: signifying that Momentum varies as, or corresponds with, the Quantity of matter, or mass, multiplied into the Velocity, or time in which a given space would be traversed. It is the product of those two factors: and momentum is the force which belongs to matter when in movement, and which may be spent in overcoming pressure, or in traversing aerial space. As a given momentum is thus the product of two factors, it admits of being supplied, where M is a constant, by different combinations of the two, in each of which the one factor will be greater as the other is smaller. Bradūs and okūs exhibit the extremes of the combination. Between these two come other words—namely $(\theta o \acute{o} s)$, thoos, $(\theta o \acute{o} \rho o s)$, thouros, and $(\delta \beta \rho \iota \mu o s)$ obrimos; and the five epithets may be arranged as follows in a quantitative scale:—

- 1. $Ok\bar{u}s$. Here Q is at its minimum; the mind hardly takes notice of it; it may be practically disregarded: and V is at its maximum.
- 2. Thoos. Here both Q and V are distinctly presented to the mind as the factors from which momentum, or force of impact, results. But velocity decidedly predominates over mass or quantity of matter.



- 3. Thouros is the middle term of the series. Here again both the factors Q and V are distinctly presented, and each is raised to a full height; each is adequately balanced against the other. If we compare thouros with thoos, there is no reduction of velocity in thouros. On the other hand, there is palpably a greater momentum or force of impact. This is supplied, V remaining the same, by the augmentation of Q. The position of thouros may be further elucidated by comparing it with the next member of the scale.
- 4. Obrimos. It will be found that this epithet always implies motion, actual or proximate: and it may be considered as the precise opposite of thoos. For while the two elements of mass and speed are combined, each of them with a substantive, and not an evanescent, force, in the two words respectively, mass is as decidedly dominant in obrimos, as velocity in thoos.
- 5. Bradūs is the opposite of okūs. Speed becomes evanescent: the motion that remains hardly seems to generate force of impact; which, until the steam-engine came, the human mind had learned always to associate with more or less of impetus or stroke. Slowness of motion is conceived almost as negation of motion, and the force of inertia is the residue, the force of momentum not contributing in an appreciable manner to the idea: for, though present, it does not suggest itself through the eye to the mind.

Let us return now to the formula $M \propto Q V$. Let M, a given amount of moving force, be represented by the number 12. It is required to produce, by the different combinations of Q and V, the amount of force measured by the number 12, in the modes indicated by each of these five epithets.

In the cases of okūs and bradūs, what I have called the evanescent factor may be conveniently indicated by unity.

$$M=12=Q V=1 \times 12=12$$
.

In bradus, Q rises from unity to 12, and V sinks from 12 to unity.

$$M = 12 = Q V = 12 \times 1 = 12.$$

In thours, the middle term of the series, the two elements of mass and speed are set in equilibrium to produce the quality of motion, and the quantity of momentum, which are required. Therefore

$$Q = \sqrt{12} = V = \sqrt{12}$$
;

and we have

$$M=12=Q V = \sqrt{12} \times \sqrt{12}=12$$
.

But in thoos and in obrimos, while each element is substantive and appreciable, one is dominant, with a certain range of degree. If largely dominant, then we may say, for thoos,

Vol. V.-No. 25.

$$Q=2$$
 and $V=6$.

So we have

$$M=12=Q V=2 \times 6=12.$$

If less decisively dominant, as, for example, in the case of a ship, we may take

$$Q=3$$
 and $V=4$;

and

$$M = 12 = Q V = 3 \times 4 = 12$$
.

For obrimos, these combinations will simply be reversed. If the object be one like the door of the cavern in Od. ix. 241, we may take

$$Q=6$$
 and $V=2$.

If it be the spear hurled in battle, we may take

$$Q=4$$
 and $V=3$.

The result in each case being 12.

These numbers do not, of course, describe with exactitude the quantities of momentum, mass, and speed, but they indicate by approximation and relatively the value of the respective epithets in such a manner as to justify us in stating that Homer has conceived and applied these epithets in a quantitative scale, and with something at least approaching to a scientific arrangement.

All these words, however, have regard (a) to motion when already generated; (b) to motion which is, speaking roughly, uniform, and in a right line between point and point; (c) to motion as it is in itself, a physical phenomenon, without any regard to the frame of mind which it may indicate, or which may have prompted it: except that as to the word thours, which is used for Ares only, it may perhaps be argued, even if disputably, that a mental quality of impetuosity is implied. But Homer has other words, which provide for the signification of these different ideas. Of the three heads, the first, or motion as already generated, demands a particular notice.

Apart from motion already generated, we have to consider the mode and conditions of its generation. Of the two factors which make up momentum, the one indicated by Q, or mass of matter, is very far from making (so to speak) an original contribution to motion, for it resists by inertia any effort to stir it, and only on receiving motion from without thereby becomes a contributor to momentum. This operation, of bringing mass into motion by overcoming its resistance, is performed by a consumption of time upon it; and according to the greater or less quantity of time required for the first generation of the motion, bodies capable of motion present to us another quality, that of readiness or unreadiness to move. The body, in which the

motion is generated easily and quickly, is called brisk, nimble, or agile. These epithets refer to the initial stage of motion; or to the repeated actions by which a continual generation of it visibly takes place in order to keep up the motion itself, as in the running of a man; but the essential distinction is, that the reference is always to the generation of the motion, not to the motion itself as between point and point. Now the same place which the epithet $ok\bar{u}s$ has in regard to motion when fully generated, tachūs has in regard to the generation of it. Okūs has no reference to the generation of motion, or to passing more or less readily into it, or to briskness in the efforts by which it is sustained, but only to prolonged speed as between point and point. Tachūs, on the other hand, is that which starts easily into motion, or performs briskly and effectively, without laborious and retarding effort, the action necessary for sustaining it. Thus the snipe is tachus, from the rapidity with which it starts into full speed. So is the rabbit; but the rabbit is not okūs, because of the shortness of its run, while the hare is okūs, and the pheasant when in full flight; but the pheasant is not tachus, because of the comparatively encumbered manner in which it rises.

Thus far, I have been busy with description and allegation only, which are not proof; and it is now time to turn to the Poems, and show whether and how, in all its parts, the description, which has been given, can be made good upon an examination of the many passages in which the words are used.

The most convenient mode of extricating the subject from some confusion, in which it appears to have lain, will be by taking first the two most conspicuous words among those which have just been mentioned. These words, okūs and tachūs, have too commonly been treated as synonymous. In Maltby's Thesaurus we find each given among the synonyms for the other. The regular Lexicons may be taken to represent what has hitherto been ascertained on the meanings of words. I find rayis explained as follows: 'celer, and τάχος, celeritas,' in Ebeling, the newest, and as yet unfinished, Homeric Lexicon. 'Quick, swift, fleet,' Autenrieth, Eng. Tr. 'Schnell,' Lünemann. 'Like wwws, opp. to Bradus,' Liddell and Scott. 'Idem quod wav's fere,' Damm. The resemblance of the two words lies in this: that neither of them feels the burden of matter, so to speak, in its work. The difference of them is, that tachūs refers to the generation of motion, $ok\bar{u}s$ to the motion when generated and in full progress.

A passage in the account of the chariot-race (Il. xxiii. 364-5), gives ready aid in bringing this question to issue:—

οί δ' ὧκα διέπρησσον πεδίοιο, νόσφι νεῶν ταχέως.



if their sense be the same, an instance of insufferable tautology. I believe that no such tautology as this is to be found in Homer. At any rate it raises the presumption of a clear distinction in the meaning of the words; and the general intention of the passage readily admits such a distinction. The progress made over the plain, the actual distance cleared, with which oka is placed in immediate juxtaposition, is one thing: the agile movement of the limbs of the horses as they run is another.

It may be that the Poet means to connect $\tau a \chi \acute{\epsilon} \omega s$ with $\nu \acute{\epsilon} \omega \nu$, as he connects $\mathring{\omega} \kappa a$ with $\delta \iota \acute{\epsilon} \pi \rho \eta \sigma \sigma \sigma \nu \pi \epsilon \delta \acute{\epsilon} \iota o o$. So Voss seems to take it: schnell von den Schiffen hinweg. The meaning would then be 'They were fast scouring the plain, or, leaving the plain behind them, (having started) nimbly off $(\nu \acute{\epsilon} \sigma \phi \iota)$ from the ships:' associating $tach \bar{u}s$ with the start, $ok \bar{u}s$ with the top speed.

Another apt illustration may be found in the application of these epithets respectively to the goddess Iris. When discoursing with Poseidon, she is addressed in the vocative case, and no epithet of speed is used, she being stationary: the phrase is simply *Ιρι θεά (xv. 206). The same phrase is used when she is in the quarters of Achilles (xviii. 182). Four times, however, the epithet $tach\bar{u}s$ is applied to her (viii. 399, xi. 186, xv. 188, xxiv. 144); and in every one of them it is when Zeus opens his charge to her to set out on an errand: βάσκ' ίθι, Ἰρι ταγεία. Her agility, the effortless ease with which the airy being starts, is an idea most appropriate, not only to the person, but to the situation. As, however, such an idea has no application to her except in connection with setting out, so we never find her called rayela in any other connection: for when in actual motion, or when described by a dominant characteristic, she is constantly with or πόδας ωκέα, or ποδήνεμος ωκέα. The epithet okūs, in these three different forms, is used of Iris in every situation except one: it is used of her when she is in flight (xv. 172), when she is in converse (ii. 790, xviii. 186, 193), when she is in arrival (ii. 786, xxiii. 198), when she is departing after the delivery of her message: four times (viii. 425, xi. 210, xviii. 202, xxiv. 188) the line is repeated:

ή μεν ἄρ' ὡς εἰποῦσ' ἀπέβη πόδας ὡκέα *Ιρις.

Thus $ok\bar{u}s$ is employed in general description of her, when her office has for the time been fulfilled; and in every particular situation, as has been said, except one. It is never applied to her when she is actually starting. This is the more remarkable, because twice it is given her ere receiving the command to set out (xi. 195, xv. 163).

ώς έφατ' οὐδ' ἀπίθησε ποδήνεμος ὼκέα 1ρις.

But the act of starting is on each occasion kept separate from $ok\bar{u}s$, and expressed in an immediately following line:—

βη δέ κατ' 'Ιδαίων δρέων είς "Ιλιον ίρην.



It was hardly possible for the Poet to draw more clearly the distinction between the use of the two words, $tach\bar{u}s$ for initial, and $ok\bar{u}s$ for continuing or travelling motion. And the root of this distinction lies in the further distinction, that initial speed means production of speed, and the epithet $tach\bar{u}s$ is, as Döderlein (Lat. Synonym. i. 168) observes, a subjective epithet; it describes the quality in a body which produces speed, agility or nimbleness.

The opinion, that tachūs signifies a subjective quality, is strongly supported by the way in which Homer applies it to dogs and to horses. It is applied seven times to dogs. Odusseus asks, respecting the disabled dog Argos, whether he had been tachūs in proportion to his figure; and Eumaios in answer commends his former strength and tachutes, or activity. In Od. xxi. 363 (Taxées Kúves), it is an unattached epithet of general description. In the Iliad the passages are five. In two of them, the dogs are supposed to be engaged in devouring (xvii. 558) the body of Patroclos and (xxi. 89) the body of Hector respectively. In iii. 26 they are assailing a lion, that is busy in devouring flesh. In xi. 817 they are feeding on a human carcass. In xviii. 584 herdsmen, vainly endeavouring to scare away two lions from the body of a bull, egg on the rayéas κύνας to assail them. In all these cases the quality of agility is to the purpose, but pure speed is not. Accordingly we have tachūs, not okūs. fact, okūs is never applied in Homer to the dog.

For the horse, okūs, with its cognates podokes, okupous, and okupetes, is employed as a staple epithet. Evidently the horse is an animal more fleet than nimble, while the dog of most descriptions is more nimble than fleet. In the thirty passages of the Riad besides some of the Odyssey, where okus is thus applied, it is always for the horse at speed, or at least harnessed and in the chariot. But tachūs is only employed four times in all: once to the horses of Ares when stationary (Il. v. 356); to the horses of Eumelos, also when stationary (xxiii. 545); to the horse Arcion in an abstract description (xxiii. 347); once only to horses in motion, namely, those of Achilles dragging the corpse of Hector bound to the chariot; and perhaps it is here so employed because the word okūs would have been less proper for horses thus encumbered by a weight to be dragged lumberingly along the ground. It may be worth remarking that the metre would have admitted freely the other use: it runs ταχέες δέ μιν ἵπποι; it might have run τον δ' ωκέες ίπποι.

There are still some uses of $tach\bar{u}s$ for living creatures which are worth noting, and which confirm the view already taken. In Il. viii. 248 and xi. 113, we have it applied to the hind, $\ell\lambda a\phi os \tau a\chi \ell a$, mentioned both times simply as mother of the fawn, an image which suggests still life. But when we have the deer in motion, such as gives Artemis delight, then the Poet changes to $ok\bar{u}s$ (Od. vi. 104)—

τερπομένη κάπροισι και ωκείης ελάφοισιν.

We have $\tau a \chi \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon s$ applied in Od. xiv. 133 to birds; but to birds engaged in stripping bones, and therefore stationary. Twice, in Il. xxiv. 292, 310, the eagle of a particular kind is the nimble messenger ($\tau a \chi \dot{\nu} s \, \dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda o s$) of Zeus. This may recall the case of Iris. It is, indeed, in a general description of the eagle's power of motion; but where we have, in Od. xvi. 468, $\ddot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda o s \, \dot{\omega} \kappa \dot{\nu} s$, it is in reference to an actual message which had been brought, and which thus supplied a concrete instead of an abstract image. $Ok\bar{u}s$ is applied to birds in Il. xv. 238, xvi. 583, xxi. 253: but in each of these cases it is in connection with motion actually performed.

Among inanimate objects, the most significant use of these words is for the arrow. In Il. xi. 478 the arrow is spoken of as subduing or crippling a man: in Il. v. 395 we are told how Ares suffered from an arrow: in both cases the epithet is & κύς. Il. xxi. 416 it is again used, when Odusseus takes up the arrow, which lay by him ready for the great shot, and discharges it. The rest of his arrows, says the Poet, were within the quiver; and the lifting with the hand is here given as part of the concrete act. Now the epithet tachūs is remarkably suitable to the arrow in itself, because it flies without effort and seems to start at its full speed; and it is repeatedly applied in the plural to arrows: Il. xxi. 492, Od. xxii. 3, xxiv. 178. But in no one of these cases is it for arrows in flight; it is always for the removal of them from the quiver in preparation for flight. This cannot be considered part of the actual shot; so that it is the subjective quality of the arrow which alone is described. Again, Athenè proposes that Pandaros should despatch an arrow. This proposal is perfectly separate from the action: and accordingly the expression is $\tau a \chi \dot{\nu} \nu i \dot{\rho} \nu (Il. iv. 94)$.

In complete accordance with the view here taken, Homer applies $ok\bar{u}s$ sometimes to a ship, but $tach\bar{u}s$ never: for a ship may be swift, but cannot be agile or nimble.

With regard to men, it is to be observed that Homer uses ταχύτης for the foot-race in the 23rd Iliad (ταχύτητος ἄεθλα, v. 740). It is not the speed that is to be tested by the reward, but the power or faculty of speed, that is to say, ταχύτης. In the same way he says, in the chariot-race, that Antilochos went ahead of Menelaos, κέρδεσιν οὖτι τάχει γε (v. 515). Here, as κέρδος does not signify a performance, but a quality or faculty, so does τάχος: Antilochos won by craft, not by superior power of movement in his team. In like manner (v. 406) Athenè has given τάχος to the horses of Diomed: not the fact of speed, but the faculty of speed, or agility.

Homer does not commonly associate the idea of speed with the human animal, which is not well formed for it, and is greatly excelled by inferior creatures. It is remarkable, however, that okūs, podokes and podas okūs, and also podarkes are given as epithets only to Achilles (though Podarkes is the name of a combatant; Orsilochos

in the Odyssey, xiii. 260, is podas okūs, and podokes is used as a description of Dolon in Il. x. 316). For Achilles they are, beyond doubt, favourite epithets. They are used scores of times, and in all manner of situations; as for example when he addresses Odusseus in his barrack, on the occasion of the Embassy of the Ninth Book (ix. 307). The reason appears to be that it is part of Homer's plan to adorn Achilles with all that is most rare, as for example his wearing gold ornaments (ii. 875) and his playing on the lyre (ix. 186); and not merely with paramount excellence in the commoner attributes of beauty and strength. Ares was fleetest of the gods (ἀκύτατος, Od. viii. 331), and is $ok\bar{u}s$ as opposed to the slow and halting Hephaistos (βραδύς, Od. viii. 329).

But Achilles always has the very highest of its kind. Therefore, while the epithets of pure speed, which is the highest idea in the matter of movement, are largely given to him, those of nimbleness seldom appear, and commonly only as to nimbleness of the feet, not of the man (Il. xiii. 13, 249, 348, xvii. 709, xviii. 2, 354, 358, xxi. 564, xx. 189, Od. xiii. 261). Only once he is called $\tau a \chi v s$, and then evidently with reference to the subjective quality of agility; for it is when he is still and in grief, with the Myrmidones standing around him (Il. xviii. 69). On the other hand it is the favourite epithet for the lesser Ajax: out of a small total number of references, we have the phrase Oir higos $Ta \chi v s$ Alas nine times in the Iliad, and twice (x. 110, 175) he is called $Ta \chi v s$ simply: nimbleness, not velocity, being the proper quality of a warrior of his stamp.

As ταχύς thus belongs to a bodily organisation, Homer makes no use of it in the immaterial world, but employs $ok\bar{u}s$: ψυχης ὅκιστος ὅλεθρος (Π. xxii. 325); and again for the departing spirit, ἀκὺς δ' ἐκ μελέων θυμὸς πτάτο (xxiii. 880).

We need not be surprised when we find these two epithets applied to the same subject; for it may be both fleet and nimble. But, as we have seen, each of them is sometimes applied exclusively, and they are never applied to the same subject in the same manner, but always so as to mark the dominant quality, as in Achilles and Oilean Ajax, or with strict reference to the situation at the moment, according as action is represented or not, as in the hind and in the arrow.

Having thus separated, I hope effectually, these two epithets according to their qualitative difference, I proceed with the quantitative scale from ἀκύs downwards to its opposite βραδύs in the other extreme.

Both $ok\bar{u}s$ and $tach\bar{u}s$ are unencumbered with any sense of weight in connection with the movement of the material object. But when we come to the word $(\thetao\acute{os})$ thoos, the notion of weight is at once added. It also differs in the number of its secondary or derivative meanings.

It is right to observe that, here as before, the Lexicons scarcely appear as yet to have recorded the true distinctions; nor do they, I think,

clearly exhibit the specific idea of the word in its Homeric use. Alacer, velox, strenuus, celer, according to Ebeling: three meanings at least are included in the four words, and no clue to a root-meaning, or to the relation which connects the three. 'Quick, nimble, active,' Liddell and Scott. Buttmann, who is usually so satisfactory, expounds the Homeric uses of the word at great length; but I cannot feel satisfied with the manner in which they are arranged and correlated.

I will first give my own conclusions, and then the reasons for them. First, then, we have here the element or factor of weight added to pure speed: a compound is substituted for a simple idea. If so, it will not surprise us that this compound and no longer one-edged idea should lead us out into a greater diversity of meanings, so that θοός varies, while ἀκύς remains at its original standing-point as a simple description of speed.

Next let us consider the admitted derivation, which is from $\theta i\omega$ (see Buttmann, Ebeling, and others). Buttmann indeed doubts whether, to meet some of the senses, another root is not required: but let us see. The word $\theta i\omega$ (used also for the movement of deities) seems to designate by preference the hard running of a man. Curro vehementer is the meaning given by Damm.

If this is so, the fast running of a man represents weight along with motion, but the speed is the chief idea, and weight is the secondary element. The characteristic of it, as distinguished from the idea expressed in $ok\bar{u}s$, is that it carries way, as is said of a ship or boat. Thus a railway train might be called thoos, for it carries a great deal of way: yet speed is the principal idea it offers through the eye to the mind. The best English word that occurs to me for describing this particular class of movement is a vehement or rushing movement. And this I take to be the radical idea of thoos: velocity with vehemence.

Now (1) that, which rushes, is apt to smash objects on which it impinges, and to sever their parts. It does the work of a sharp instrument, and thus acquires the cognate sense of sharp, and may describe sharpness in acutely angular material form.

Secondly (2), that which rushes may have passed beyond measured into an unmeasured motion. It then has the effect of haste as opposed to order, and thus thoos obtains the cognate sense of hasty.

Thirdly (3), the rush of battle is the proper work of the brave warrior, and attaches to him closely, as in the constant Homeric phrase $\ell\pi\delta\rho\sigma\nu\sigma\varepsilon$. The Homeric warrior leaps, springs, or bounds. Thus thoos acquires the cognate sense of bold or brave.

² I hope these words may not appear to imply censure or depreciation. What we may expect of Lexicons is that they shall exhibit, in the best manner compatible with their rigid limitations of space, all results firmly established by usage, or obtained by detailed and special inquiry. This great task they accomplish for us. There cannot, for example, be a Greek student in England who is insensible of the debt he owes to Deans Liddell and Scott for their invaluable work.



Fourthly (4), that, which rushes and smashes, inspires fear; and thus thoos acquires the cognate sense of awful or formidable.

These five senses, the original and the four derivative, will include, I think, among them every passage in which the word is used by Homer.

1. That use, which I have called the primary use of thoos, the rushing, or moving onwards both with weight and with rapidity, may be readily exemplified. For example, it is a stock epithet of Ares, applied to him eight times (Il. v. 430 et alibi). In the passage named I do not doubt that a corporal and not a mental quality is principally signified: for the Ares of Homer is never described by mental qualities unless they be bad ones, which thoos is not; for, although it may tend to, it does not include, excess. Buttmann expresses a different opinion on the passage, but he does not appear to have taken into consideration the very marked Homeric view of the character of Ares.

But, out of about seventy places where the word is used in the Iliad, fifty have it as an epithet for ships. In the Odyssey it reappears still more markedly as a stock epithet; and, out of fiftythree places, in no less than fifty-one it is applied to ships. The ship, then, will probably supply the leading idea. Buttmann observes that the meaning here might be sharp in form, from the shape of the beak (in voc. § 2). But if the old building of the hull was bluff, it seems unlikely that the form of the beak, which is a mere appendage, should suggest an epithet so dominant, which is sure to signify some principal property. This must, then, be found in the motion of the ship: and such motion unites the three properties of being smooth, weighty or forceful, and rapid. This entirely agrees with the application of the word to Ares, the only other case in which it is employed as a stock phrase. I think, therefore, that this is established as the staple idea of the word for Homer, and that the other senses are derivative and occasional. The two motions give to thoos the place I have assigned it in the quantitative scale. And we may conceive it as meaning, for the ship, way-carrying; for Ares, with no more than a shade of difference, rushing or vehement.

It is strongly supported by the grammatical derivative $\theta o \hat{\omega} s$ (tho $\hat{\omega} s$). We find this adverb used in eight places of the Iliad: which appears uniformly to bear the sense of 'briskly' or 'promptly,' and thus to give testimony, as far as it goes, to the original sense of brisk or quick motion. In the Odyssey we have the adverb sixteen times: and in two of the passages, which relate to acts done in terror (xxii. 19, 364), which Buttmann has failed to observe (in voc. § 1), we may trace the idea of haste. In all the rest the word has, to all appearance, the exact meaning which appears in the Iliad. In Il. xviii. 40 a Nereid nymph is Thoè, in ii. 758 the warrior Prothoos is thoos, and in Od. i. 71 the mother of Poluphemos is Thoōsa. In

the first of these we can hardly presume any element of vehemence in the idea conveyed; in the second and third we may.

The other applications of thoos are as follows. It is given to Antilochos, Æneas, Glaucos, Acamas, and several other warriors, and also to the Abantes of Eubœa, who are commonly mentioned with great favour by the Poet. Here we have the rush of battle for the basis of the idea, just as, in the expression, $\beta o \hat{\rho} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma a \theta \delta s$, we have the shout of battle. In the case of Æneas (xiii. 477) the two ideas are joined: he is there $\beta o \hat{\rho} \theta o \delta s$. To both of these notions the idea of stout or valiant is proximate; and this appears to be the meaning wherever the word is given to warriors. We have it in the rallying call of Sarpedon to the Lycians (IL xvi. 422) retreating from Patroclos:—

αίδως, & Λύκιοι, πόσε Φεύγετε; νῦν θοοὶ ἐστέ.

To suppose anything but the direct meaning to be here intended (as in the refined irony 'now ye are swift,' i.e. in running away) is out of keeping with Homer's high estimate of the Lycian soldiery and with the use of the lofty word aidós. We may, without doubt, render the phrase 'be bold,' 'quit you like men.'

Next, as that which is in rapid movement while carrying weight must always be near to an excess of rapidity, the idea of haste is kindred and proximate to the primary sense, and so appears in Od viii. 38, $\theta \circ \dot{\eta} \nu$ åleyúvete $\delta a \hat{\imath} \tau a$, 'prepare a hasty meal.'

The other applications of the word are as follows: to

Night, in *Il.* x. 394, 468; xii. 463; xiv. 261; xxiv. 366, 653. *Od.* xii. 284. The chariot, xi. 533; xvii. 458. The scourge, xvii. 430.

The (warrior's) hand, xii. 306; and, in the *Odyssey*, to Islands, xv. 298.

An arrow, xxii. 83.

In the first of these cases alone thoos has something of the character of a stock epithet. Doubtless the rapid descent of Night entitles her to the epithet. Et jam nox humida calo Pracipitat (£n. ii. 8). But the mere sense of rapid or rushing Night would be rather tame and thin for a passage like Il. xiv. 261, where impersonated Sleep described how Night was able to save him from the resentment of Zeus:—

αζετο γάρ, μη Νυκτί θοῦ ἀποθύμια ἔρδοι.

The spirit of the passage requires, that the epithet should tend to represent Night as a personage that even Zeus would be shy of offending: say, as dread or formidable Night. This is agreeable to all the epithets attaching to Night in Homer; with whom, be it remembered, Night is one thing, and moonlit Night is another. With the single exception of $\mathring{a}\mu\beta\rho\sigma\sigma\acute{n}$, an epithet always used for Night in relation to the supernatural order, all her epithets are of the awful and repellent character. Not only is she $\delta\nu\sigma\phi\rho$, $\mathring{o}\rho\phi\nu\alpha\acute{n}$, and $\mu\acute{e}\lambda\alpha\nu\sigma$, but

ἐρεμνή, ἐρεβαννή, and κακή. She is in Π . xiv. 260 θεῶν δμήτειρα καὶ ἀνδρῶν. Thus the rapid rush of Night, in herself perhaps repulsive as the enemy of Light, makes her awful or formidable, and imparts this meaning to thoos as one of its derivative senses. Such a signification is appropriate generally to the passages where the epithet is used for Night, and by some of them it seems to be actually required. One case requires particular notice. In Od. xii. 279, Eurulochos complains that Odusseus requires his crew to remain on board through the νὺξ θοή. Here the meaning cannot be rapid, for rapidity would diminish the force of the complaint. The meaning is dread, and the reason follows at once in v. 285: it is that Night is the parent of storms, which are the destroyers of ships.

The course of the chariot plainly enough belongs to the original sense. So does the movement of the warrior's arm and hand, in hurling the dart (Il. xii. 306). So does the application to belos, the arrow of Odusseus, in Od. xxii. 83. It is, however, noteworthy that the epithet of movement commonly applied to the arrow in Homer is not thoos, but $ok\bar{u}s$, which carries no idea of weight. There seems to be, as usual, a reason for the difference, in the passages where it is found. Here the line runs:—

έν δέ οἱ ήπατι πηξε θοὸν βέλος

The Poet is describing the actual stroke, so he takes an epithet which adds force to the idea of movement. This oku would not have done. We note the value of the distinction in a passage like Il. v. 106:—

ως έφατ' εὐχόμενος τον δ' οὐ βέλος ωκὸ δάμασσεν.

Pandaros, boasting of his shot, had just before (v. 104) called the dart $\kappa \rho a \tau \epsilon \rho \delta v$ $\beta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda o s$: but when the weapon had arrived, and only its failure is in view, the epithet of force would be out of place for Homer. So again in v. 112, where the arrow is drawn out, it is oku; but an arrow which does its work, and kills its man, is theore.

There remains yet one other sense to consider. That which rushes with force, smashes and divides: in dividing, it does the work of sharpness, and hence thoos comes to signify an object of a sharp or cutting form. In the sense of sharpness of form the word is applied (Od. xv. 248) to the islands called Echinades, at the mouth of the Acheloos. So Buttmann; I think rightly. The sense of rapid, i.e. quickly disappearing from vessels as they passed, is a far-fetched meaning. The later name of these islands, as the Echinades, appears to show that this was a vertical sharpness, perhaps such as that which has suggested, on our own coast, the name of the Needles.

There remains only the $\mu\acute{a}\sigma\tau\iota\xi$ $\theta\acute{o}\acute{\eta}$. This expression occurs in a particular case, where the lash was eagerly employed. Its object was, either by coaxing or by punishing, to induce the horses of Achilles,

after the death of Patroclos, to move; but they remained obstinately still (xvii. 430).

πολλά μεν δρ μάστιγι θοῆ ἐπεμαίετο θείνων, πολλὰ δὲ μειλιχίοισι προσηύδα, πολλὰ δ' ἀρειῆ.

What we see plainly and primarily is the eager use of the whip in lashing the horses. It is the rapid whip, rapid because eagerly and keenly used. But if it be preferred to render the epithet here by the word 'eager,' this rendering might be supported perhaps by the form used where Herè eagerly whips her horses (Il. v. 748, viii. 392).

"Ηρη δὲ μάστιγι θοῶς ἐπεμαίετ' ἄρ' ἵππους.

The meaning in all the passages appears to lie balanced between 'eager' and 'rapid;' rapid with force, and not only with velocity. Commonly Homer has no epithet for μάστιξ. When he employs any, it is mostly φαεινή, 'glittering.' In xi. 532 he has μάστιγι λυγυρή, the shrill, cracking whip. The mode of use is signified by thoos.

In thoos, then, we have seen a strong, rapid, weighty, but still for the most part ordered movement. When we come to thours, there is a degree of difference; the element of weight is more perceptible, and with and owing to this weight, not to an increase of speed, there is a greater violence.

The use of thouros is extremely limited; for it is applied to Ares only, and this in eleven passages, as a sort of stock epithet. Its cognate thouris is in like manner a stock epithet of $\partial \lambda \omega \dot{\eta}$. Both these words seem to denote physical, corporal, impetuosity. But thouros is also employed for a shield (Il. xi. 32 and xx. 162); and for the dread Aigis in xv. 308. It would not have been possible for Homer to attach thoos to these words: it would have been too rapid an epithet for them. The mere fact that they can receive $\theta o \hat{\nu} \rho o s$ proves an addition of the element of mass, modifying the compound, and lets it mark a stage on our way from pure speed to slowness and the reluctance of inertia.

I do not doubt that, as regards the notion of violence, θοῦρος with the sister word is a step in advance of θοός, and I would propose to translate it as 'impetuous,' or perhaps 'overwhelming.' On the one side it differs from θοός in that the motion it describes is no longer, generally, an ordered motion; on the other side it differs from δβριμος not in its overwhelming or crushing force, but in that the idea of velocity still remains prominent, although it is yoked with the other idea, now equally prominent, of mass or quantity of matter. Our adjective 'impetuous,' which implies a vehemence carried into some excess and emancipated from due restraint, seems applicable to thouros. For thoos in its primary sense I cannot find a nearer word than 'vehement;' although this adjective seems not to be used by Shakespeare or Milton for material things. But 'vehemence' is applied to sound in

Par. Lost, ii. 954. The word 'sweeping,' however, if not wholly satisfactory, comes near to expressing the kind of motion signified by thoos.

Obrimos (öβριμος), which stands fourth in our quantitative scale, must be fully considered. Heretofore, it has hardly obtained acknowledgment as an epithet of motion. But Mr. Weymouth has established its true force with such conclusiveness and clearness, that we have only to build on his foundations.

The meanings usually given are as follows:-

Strong, mighty. Liddell and Scott.

Gravis; wuchtig; de personis, vehemens,
impetuosus. Ebeling.

Starke mit sich habend, gewaltig. Benfey.

Schwer, gewichtig, stark, gewaltig. Lünemann. Of heroes, mighty; of things, ponderous, heavy. Autenrieth, Transl.

Fortis, gravis, vehemens, stark von Kräften, stark von Anfall, schwer. Damm.

It is agreed that the word is composed of the prothetic o and the root $\beta\rho\iota$, with the ending added. It is akin to $\beta\alpha\rho\iota$ s, $\beta\rho\iota\theta\sigma$, $\beta\rho\iota\alpha\rho\iota$ s, and also $\beta\rho\iota\theta\sigma$ s. The derivation, and the nature of the cognate words, show that weight, or mass of matter, is the central element of the word; as velocity is of the word thoos, its opposite. In its first intention, the word is wholly material; but it is applicable, in second intention or figure, to sentient beings, adhering to the same idea of what is not easily moved, by reason of that mass and weight, which makes it so formidable when put into motion. Velocity, then, is the secondary element in the word obrimos, mass the primary.

The leading characteristic of this kind of motion is the effectiveness of the impact upon its object. It recalls the fine line of Coleridge in his translation of Schiller's Wallenstein:

Shattering that it may reach, and shattering what it reaches.

Of all the English epithets that meet the main condition of expressing a motion in which weight and strength decidedly predominate over swiftness without necessarily destroying it, perhaps (1) 'violent,' like the 'violent sea' of Shakespeare (Macbeth, act iv. sc. 2), is the best. But there are many others, e.g.:

2. Overpowering	5. Charging	8. Crashing
3. Overwhelming	6. Plunging	9. Smashing
4. Shattering	7. Crushing	10. Driving
J	11. Forceful	· ·

which last will tolerably suit all, or nearly all, the uses of obvimos for material objects.

No frustrated blow in Homer is ὅβριμος. It must be a motion that prevails, that does its work: not like the βέλος ἀκύ which ἐτώσιον

^{*} Trans. Philol. Soc. 1861, pp. 250 seqq.

ἔκφυγε χειρός (ll. xxii. 292). Again, in the moral or immaterial sense, I should render it

1. Masterful | 2. Overbearing | 3. Obstinate | 4. Violent

The motion implied must be

1. Weighty | 2. Continuous | 3. Effectual

But it may be either actual, or proximate and potential; as we saw thoos applied to the arrow, in Od. xxii. 83, which was already, and effectually, lodged. Damm alone includes in his definition the idea of motion, of strength in connection with impact (Anfall). Herewith agrees eminently the word 'forceful.'

'Forceful' is used in Shakespeare only for a mental operation:

'follow our forceful instigation;' but we have in Dryden:

Against the steed he threw His forceful spear, which, hissing as it flew, Pierced through the yielding planks.⁵

Lastly, I observe that the word obrimos is never used in a good sense.

I will now analyse the application of the word itself, and afterwards of its compounds.

It is employed in the $Iliad\ 26$ times; in the $Odyssey\ 3$; in all, 29 times.

It is applied to material objects 21 times; to persons 8 times, always in connection with purposes of war.

Of the personal uses, three are for Ares (II. v. 845, xiii. 521, xv. 112). Here the word has no reference to his position at the moment, but only to his general character, and may be rendered masterful; or violent, without reference to the attainment of the object of violence.

It is applied once to Achilles in the speech of the horse Xanthos (xix. 408). The chieftain having reproached his horses with leaving Patroclos dead behind them, Xanthos replies with a just sense of wrong:

καὶ λίην σ' ἔτι νῦνγε σαώσομεν, ὅβριμ' Αχιλλεῦ.

A line which, to give its full meaning, requires a large expansion. The first two words have a sense something like what would be conveyed in Shakespeare by the word 'marry:'

You bid me make it orderly and well: Marry and did, sir.⁶

'We will do our duty, and more than our duty.' Then ἔτι refers to the doom that hung over the short-lived Achilles, the ἀκύμορος.

Winter's Tale, ii. 1.
 Æn. ii. 64 (Æn. ii. 50).
 Tuming of the Shrew, iv. 3.

And viv ye seems to say 'at any rate for this time;' or 'at least if we may do it now, before the doom is actually on you.' In thus repelling a reproach, the horse applies to Achilles, who has been unreasonable, a suggested reproach in return as acting beyond reason, as masterful, domineering, or unreasonable, perverse, obstinate. This is a case where the epithet belongs strictly to the actual position. It is nowhere else applied to Achilles.

In the remaining four cases it is given to Hector; whose intellectual standard is not so high as that of the great Protagonist; but here also it is given to him, not as in the case of Ares, who is a mere incorporation of force, but with reference to the specific situation in his forward and conquering movement, (a) in the battle before the long day, x. 200, (b) on the long day in the field, xi. 347, and at the ships, viii. 473, xiv. 44. It is the very same idea as that conveyed in the speech of Achilles, xvi. 74-78, about Hector, as then carrying all before him. We may therefore render it the overpowering or all-shattering Hector: or if, in the mouth of an Achaian (xi. 347, xiv. 44), it ought to be coloured with blame, we may render it the violent or overbearing.

I take now the material, which is the primary, application of the word. The favourite use of it is for the spear. Out of the twenty-one passages already named, we have the epithet joined with $x_{\gamma\chi_0}$ in no less than seventeen. In three of these the whole line is identical (iii. 357, vii. 251, xi. 435):

διὰ μὲν ἀσπίδος ἦλθε φαεινης ὅβριμον ἔγχος:

and it admirably describes the arrival of the spear charged with all its driving, piercing, crushing force. In three more, the substantive is Ares, metaphorically used for the weapon, which has already been named Exces in the same line (xiii. 444, xvi. 613, xvii. 529):

(οὐρίαχον πελεμιζεν) εγχεος: ενθα δ' επειτ' ἀφίει μένος ὅβριμος Ἅρης.

In these three passages, the weapon is, so to speak, breathing out or discharging the unspent residue of that force, with which it had done its deadly work. In these six cases the actual motion of the spear is described: so likewise with the spear of Æneas, xx. 259, 267; of Idomeneus, xiii. 519; of Pouludamas, xiv. 451. Ten in all.

But the movement need not be actual. It is sometimes a movement which has taken place before: as with the spear of Thoas, iv. 529; of Odusseus, xi. 456; of Meriones, xiii. 532; of Peneleös, xiv. 498. But then it is always a motion just before; as when the spear is drawn out of a wound just inflicted. In one passage alone, out of seventeen (Il. v. 729), the spear, that ever-prevailing spear, of Achilles is described by this epithet without reference to any one movement in particular. So, on the other hand, the movement may

be one just coming, but not yet come. Idomeneus desires Meriones to fetch the spear, ŏβριμον ἔγχος (xiii. 294), for instant action, with which just after (532) he wounds Deiphobos.

That the most prominent feature of the movement indicated by obrimos is its effectiveness, is shown by the fact that, in every one of these cases, the spear named is one which does its work. For, even in the case of Æneas, it crushes through the outer coats of the Shield of Achilles, and it is only arrested by the plate of gold beneath.

In none of these descriptions is any epithet descriptive of rapidity applied to the flight of the spear. In two instances, of Hector with Ajax, and again with Achilles, he calls the spear Hector had discharged $\beta \acute{\epsilon} \lambda o s \, \mathring{\omega} \kappa \acute{\nu}$, 'the fleet missile.' In both cases the weapon failed, and moreover was not recoverable. It would have been contrary to Homer's manner to call it obrimon: in calling the ponderous weapon $\beta \acute{\epsilon} \lambda o s \, \mathring{\omega} \kappa \acute{\nu}$ he appears to intend, and to convey, a subtle disparagement. It had been a telum imbelle, sine ictu.

The force of obrimos, when applied to the lance or spear, will be yet more clearly understood by our taking notice that, when it is detached from any immediate connection with action, Homer puts in requisition another epithet, alkimon, instead of obrimon. Such are the cases of chiefs arming in x. 135, xiv. 12, xv. 482, where, before actual fighting, something is to intervene. It is true that Paris takes his alkingov ryxos (iii. 338) for the fight with Menelaos, and discharges it immediately after taking it into his hand. But it is a forceless throw, and an utter failure (347). To such a spear, so thrown, Homer would never give the epithet of obrimos, which implies real heroic power in the spearman's arm. He never gives to Paris the qualities of true heroism or manhood.

The other four passages have reference to objects of a different class. In Il. iv. 453, rivers winter-swellen join by plunging into a deep chasm: their water as it descends is $\delta\beta\rho\iota\mu\rho\nu$.

ές μισγάγκειαν συμβάλλετον δβριμον δδωρ.

In Od. ix. 233, Poluphemos brings home a load ($\delta\beta\rho\mu\rho\nu$ $\delta\chi\theta\sigma$) of firewood, and immediately flings it on the ground:

έκτοσθεν δ' ἄντροιο βαλών δρυμαγδόν έθηκεν.

Then he sets against the doorway of his cave the huge stone which formed its door (240):

αὐτίκ' ἔπειτ' ἐπέθηκε θυρεὸν μέγαν ὑψόσ' ἀείρας, ὅβριμον.

This also he flung with force, for he 'raised it high,' an image which is in close accordance with the epithet.

In all these three cases the motion is actual; forceful or violent, and also steady or continuous. In the remaining one it is rather potential: the stone is (305) λίθος δβριμος, δυ προσέθηκευ, and this

the prisoners were not able to dislodge ($\partial \pi \omega \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota$); but the description of the actual motion is even here introduced in the two closing words $\partial \nu \pi \rho o \sigma \dot{\epsilon} \theta \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu$, with no other apparent use than to sustain the idea of motion as conveyed in $\delta \beta \rho \iota \mu o s$, which idea it keeps alive, so to speak, in an appropriate and adequate manner.

Thus the whole of the twenty-nine passages, in which the word is used, concur to establish its definition as expressive of

- 1. Weight or mass, agreeably to the root $\beta \rho \iota$.
- 2. This weight or mass combined with motion, but still predominant, so that velocity is never the paramount idea.
 - 3. The motion is continuous, never interrupted and then renewed.
 - 4: It is a motion that does not fail, but prevails.
 - 5. The motion is either actual or potential; but if potential,
 - 6. Then proximate, whether as just at an end, or as just about to begin.

Mr. Weymouth also traces the use of obrimos through the later authors, who for the most part fell so far beneath the refinement and exactitude of Homer; and who, except Æschylus, appear to have lost the true force of the word.

The compounds of obrimos likewise deserve examination.

Obrimoergos (ὀβριμοεργός). Doing strong deeds, but always in a bad sense: doing deeds of violence or wrong. (Liddell and Scott.) This definition agrees with what has been said of ὅβριμος. The epithet belongs entirely to the sphere of mind or character: and as it signifies the quality of violence in action, we have here the analogue to physical movement. The nearest word to express it known to me is the Italian prepotente, which signifies a standing disposition to the unjust, unreasonable exercise of superior power: a trampler upon rights. Our best word is probably 'masterful' or 'oppressive.'

This compound epithet is used twice in Homer; both times in combination with other epithets which serve as guides.

In Il. xxii. 418, after the death of Hector, Priam cries he will go forth as a suppliant to Achilles the sinner (ἀτάσθαλος) and the ὀβριμοεργός the man of violence: an estimate made in horror and exasperation.

In Il. v. 403, Dione describes Heracles as

- 1. σχέτλιος, 'dour,' hard and stubborn beyond nature (vid. in voc.).
- 2. ὀβριμοεργός, masterful, aggressive.
- 3. δs οὐκ ὅθετ' αἴσυλα ῥέζων, who cared not to regulate his conduct by αἶσα.

Obrimopatrè (ὀβριμοπάτρη). Daughter of a mighty sire (Liddell and Scott). 'Quæ præpotente patre utitur' (Ebeling).

Although I cannot dispute that this is the accepted rendering, I would raise the question whether it is according to the general manner of Homer, or to the sense of the particular passages, that he should give to Athenè an epithet descriptive not of any quality of her own, but simply of a quality of her father. I submit that the word does

Vol. V.—No. 25. I I

not mean 'daughter of a father who is $\delta\beta\rho\iota\mu\sigma s$,' but 'daughter who derives from her father the quality of the $\delta\beta\rho\iota\mu\sigma s$,' or overpowering, all-subduing, by right of birth.

The epithet is used twice in the Riad , thrice in the $\mathit{Odyssey}$. The passages, Il . v. 745-7, viii. 389-91, Od . i. 99-101, nearly correspond: they describe Athenè grasping the spear, $\beta \rho \iota \theta \dot{\nu}$, $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \gamma a$, $\sigma \tau \iota \beta a \rho \dot{\nu} \nu$, weighty, huge, and stout:

τῷ δάμνησι στίχας ἀνδρῶν ἡρώων, τοῖσίντε κοτέσσεται ὀβριμοπάτρη :

'wherewith she quells those valiant, against whom she bears a grudge.' The epithet is here, as we have observed elsewhere, in close correspondence with the verb κοτέσσεται. Neither is expressive of an ideal perfection: but it is of the nature of the ὅβριμος to suffice and to prevail, and κότος is the grudge, which a sovereign silently nurses against one who has offended him (Il. i. 82). One marked side of Athenè's character is that of all-prevailing, never-baffled, power. Another is supplied by her tenacity of purpose. This correspondence of the phrases is surely better sustained by supposing ὁβριμοπάτρη to describe her own quality, than her father's. Nor is Ζεύς ever called ὁβριμος, or invested with a character to which the epithet would closely belong, though there are in him the might and movement which form its basis. His tone is somewhat Epicurean: he counsels and thinks, but he does not act with energy, except under pressing necessity.

On both the other occasions, this title is placed in immediate juxtaposition with her more angry and violent form of action. Many of the Greeks perish after the capture of Troy, not unjustly but (Od. iii. 135)

μήνιος έξ όλοης Γλαυκώπιδος όβριμοπάτρης:

and the thunderbolt of Zeus, intended to check the action of Athenè in the closing scene (xxiv. 539), lights immediately in front of the ὀβριμοπάτρη: and thereupon she checks Odusseus by a direct injunction, which she had not given before, to abstain from further slaughter. Again her own personal qualities seem to be brought directly into play, on that side of her character where there is a latent possibility of, if not a tendency to, excess.

We have now gone through six leading words; besides noticing several derivative and compound or otherwise related words. These six, ἀκύς, θούς, θοῦρος, ὅβριμος, and βραδύς, with the word ταχύς in another order of ideas, may be considered the most important, both as exhibiting the Poet's ideas of motion, and as throwing light upon his cast of mind.

There remain, however, four other words, each of which appears to have a mode or special notion of its own, namely $\kappa \rho a \iota \pi \nu \delta s$, $\lambda \acute{a} \beta \rho o s$, $a \acute{e} \delta \lambda \delta s$, $a \acute{e} \rho \gamma \delta s$. By reason of their thus appearing to bear distinctive characters, these words also require examination.

(1) Kraipnos (κραιπνός); wirbelnd, reissend (Benfey). Rapidus,



quick, hasty, hot (Autenrieth Tr.), Leicht, behend, schnell (Lünemann). Root ἀρπ-άζω: snatching away, sweeping, rushing: hence swift, rapid (Liddell and Scott).

Adjectively or adverbially, we have the word used fifteen times in the *Iliad*, and five times in the *Odyssey*.

It is always associated with what is light, and disencumbered of weight. So far it agrees with both $ok\bar{u}s$ and $tach\bar{u}s$. But it is also a good deal associated with rapidity of passage, or movement between place and place. Here it drops $tach\bar{u}s$ and still cleaves to $ok\bar{u}s$. But again, while $ok\bar{u}s$ denominates a smooth or undisturbed velocity, kraipnos describes a velocity either

- 1. Disturbed by turbulence, or at least
- 2. Accelerated by eagerness or keenness.
- 1. As to association with lightness. This is universal. Twice the word is used (Il. xx. 247, xxii. 138) for the swift feet of Achilles; vi. 505 of Paris; xvii. 190 of Hector. In v. 223, viii. 107, it describes the smart movement of the horses which Diomed took from Æneas. which were next in merit to those of Eumelos. Sleep and Death are called kraipnoi as the messengers who are to carry the dead Sarpedon, evidently with the utmost lightness and speed (xvi. 671, 681), back to his home in Lycia. Poseidon marched kraipna (κραιπνά ποσὶ προβιβάς) when in four steps he went from the top of Samothrace to Aigai. The prize of the foot-race is to the person who might prove lightest (ξλαφρότατος) ποσσὶ κραιπνοῖσι (xxiii. 749). It is the movement of Herè travelling κραιπνώς, and anxiously, which in xv. 80-3 is compared with the rapidity of thought. So that of Iris (xv. 170-2) is compared with the descent of hail or snow-storm. In xxii. 138, where this epithet is used for the swiftness of Achilles, he is compared with the kirkos, 'lightest of all birds.'
- 2. Swiftness, associated with a ruder form of movement, is particularly signified in the application to Boreas (Od. v. 285) and to the tempests (Od. vi. 171).

Nowhere is there an idea of weight conveyed. But the element of mental eagerness is several times mentioned, and very commonly implied. Here, in special haste (xv. 83), moves κραιπνῶς μεμαυῖα, and Iris in like manner (xv. 172). The eager mind of youth (xxiii. 590) is νόος κραιπνότερος.

Such being the ideas conveyed by kraipnos, we do not seem to have any perfect word for it in English; but 'sharp,' 'eager,' 'vehement,' and 'hasty,' seem best to meet it in its separate aspects. 'Violent' may rarely be used, but the idea of weight or impetus derived from matter must not be included: it must be as in Milton—

Ease would recant Vows made in pain, as violent and void.⁷

7 Paradise Lost, iv. 96.

.II2

(2) Lubros (λάβρος), according to Liddell and Scott connected with λαμβάνω, as κραιπνός with ἀρπάζω; rendered as furious, boisterous; in the later Greek, gluttonous.

The adjective is used but four times in \mathbb{N} . and once in Od. In \mathbb{N} . xxi. 271 it is Scamander sweeping under Achilles:

λάβρος ὖπαιθα ῥέων, κονίην δ' ὑπέρεπτε ποδοίῖν.

In Π . xv. 625 it is a heavy swollen wave, not a storm wave, but a wind-fed swell, that falls upon a ship, compared with the charge of Hector on the Greeks. In Π . xvi. 385 it is autumn flood, and this is $\lambda \alpha \beta \rho \acute{\sigma} \tau a \tau o \nu$. In the other passages it signifies the movement of wind. The movement in the Greek Assembly is compared to a field of corn agitated by $Z\acute{e}\phi\nu\rho\sigma s$, $\lambda\acute{\alpha}\beta\rho\sigma s \dot{\epsilon}\pi\alpha\nu\gamma l\zeta\omega\nu$. But we seem to find a key-passage in Od. xv. 292, where Athenè sends for Telemachos an $i\kappa\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma\nu$ o $i\rho\sigma\nu$ or favourable wind, with the full force indicated by the phrase $\lambda\acute{\alpha}\beta\rho\sigma\nu$ $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\alpha\nu\gamma l\zeta\sigma\nu\tau a$: this, however, is not a storm, it is a wind given that the ship may run before it, and he may get home as quickly as possible ($i\phi\rho\alpha$ $i\alpha\chi\iota\sigma\tau\alpha$ $\nu\eta\bar{\nu}s$ $i\alpha\nu\nu\sigma\iota\kappa$, $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$.) It therefore appears that the word indicates a great force, but a regular and smooth force; quite unlike $krai\rho nos$, because not having in it an element of disturbance.

We have, moreover, three other passages which throw light upon this word, all in Il. xxiii., and in the short angry speech of Ajax the nimble to Idomeneus. 'Why is it your habit to be $\lambda \acute{a}\beta \rho os$? ($\tau \acute{a}$ $\pi \acute{a}\rho os \lambda a\beta \rho s \acute{e}s \acute{e}s \acute{e}s \acute{e}s$); you are neither young nor sharp of sight, but you are ever $\lambda \acute{a}\beta \rho os$ in your talk ($a\acute{e}s \acute{e}s \acute{e$

It is, therefore, quantity or volume, which is the essential idea of the motion implied by labros. This agrees with the river under autumn rains; and with the water not overwhelming but undermining Achilles; and with the billow that struck the ship, and the toward wind that filled the sails of Telemachos. It also agrees with the later usage of the word for a glutton. It implies smooth, even, copious force, without weight of matter as essential to it. It agrees with $ok\bar{u}s$, but adds quantity or volume in the moving current. Fulness and copiousness of motion without disturbance form the idea: we have no word that will supply its place. The tongue, that is labros, is talkative. The wind, that is labros, is the wind which blows fresh. It is a stiff breeze. The stream or wave is the swollen stream or wave: but our language does not supply the common link between all these. Perhaps, when applied to water, we may call it full-

flowing. A mill-stream which is flushed becomes labros, fuller and stronger than it was.

(3) Aiolos (aiolos). Of all the epithets we have examined, I do not find any one with which aiolos can claim kindred, except it be tachūs. Perhaps, as kraipnos is labros with an element of haste or irregularity, so aiolos is tachūs with a like element added to it. It is irregularly nimble, that is to say, flickering, fitful, shifty, shifting. But there seems to be another peculiar element in aiolos: this is particularly related to the eye of the beholder, as kraipnos is to the mind, and as ροιζείν and ροιβδοs connect another kind of motion with the ear. Hence aiolos passes over to a sense, in which it has lost the power of motion, and replaces change of place by change of hue, coming to mean parti-coloured. I cite the following words from an article published some years ago in the Contemporary Review:—'A physical changefulness, exhibited in motion, appears to be the primary idea. But the motion should be one changeful in itself: shifting, twisting, plunging, darting, glancing, flickering, wavy, wriggling, zigzag. Always more or less irregular, never signifying an equable swiftness, like that of a bird in flight.'

Benfey (ii. 301) derives aiolos from a root signifying motion, and treats it as akin to velox and varius. Buttmann (in voc.) draws it from aiω, to blow, comp. aελλa. Quick-moving, nimble, rapid, Liddell and Scott. Micans, Autenrieth Tr. Mobilis, Ebeling.

It is applied, Od. xxii. 300, to the gadfly, as darting; Π . xxii. 509, to worms, as wriggling; Π . xii. 167, to wasps, as twisting at the waist; and Od. xx. 27 we have $aia\lambda\lambda\epsilon\nu$ for the twisting or turning round of meat before the fire to roast it.

Alone and in its compounds κορυθαίολος, αἰολοθώρηξ, αἰολομίτρης, it is applied to the glancing or shifting light reflected from arms. This represents a middle point between the original sense of motion, and the later purely visual sense, indicating a kind of motion, but a kind which is peculiarly related to the eye. How near it is to the later sense, we may discover by observing that, in Il. x. 149, and vi. 134, a shield is called ποικίλου or parti-coloured, but the shield of Ajax (vii. 222) is also aiolon, which can hardly mean easily shifted, as in v. 220 it is compared with its sevenfold coat of hide to a tower.

In the article already cited, I have given reasons for supposing that (II. xix. 404) $\pi \delta \delta as$ alohos does not refer to the motion of a horse, but to the 'white stocking' so common in the chestnut horse; and that the Phrygians are $dio \lambda \delta \pi \omega \lambda oi$ as having not rapid but speckled, mottled, or piebald horses: these passages therefore do not affect our argument on the epithets of motion.

(4) Argos (àpyòs). 1. velox. 2. candidus (Ebeling). White; swift (Autenrieth Tr.) Benfey (deriving from arg or rag, bright) white: whence also arguros, silver. Liddell and Scott assume two distinct words: 1. argos, bright, meaning also swift, because 'swift motion causes a kind of glancing or flickering light;' 2. argos con-

tracted from aergos, not working, especially not working the ground, living without labour; hence idle, slow. Of this second word they cite no example from Homer. Its use in the later Greek is easily traced to the derivation which they assign.

It seems beyond doubt, in the first place, that the argos of Homer admits, and requires, in some cases to be treated as an epithet of motion. Its application to dogs, and its use for the dog Argos in Od. xvii., renders this probable; but its peculiar application to them in respect of their feet (Il. xviii. 578, Od. ii. 11, xvii. 62, xx. 145) seems absolutely to require it, as there does not appear to be any quality suggested by the feet of dogs except such as involves motion.

Again, it is difficult to exclude from the word the notion of whiteness. The wild goose (Il. xv. 161) will take this sense. It is highly appropriate, at least, for the oxen in the solemn funeral rite of Patroclos, xxiii. 30. It seems to be distinctly required by the cows in tin, Il. xviii. 574; by the sheep ($a\rho\gamma\epsilon\nu\nu al$), iii. 198 et al.; by the use of the same word for the dress of Helen, iii. 141; by the teeth of boars ($a\rho\gamma\iota\delta\delta o\nu\tau\epsilon s$), x. 264 et al., Od. viii. 60; and by human fat ($a\rho\gamma\epsilon a \delta\eta\mu\delta\nu$), Il. xxi. 127. The affinity of this whiteness to the idea of light is shown in the $a\rho\gamma\eta s \kappa\epsilon \rho a\nu\nu s$, Il. iii. 419, viii. 133, Od. v. 128, et al., as well as in the epithet Argikeraunos for Zeus the Lightener. Again, can the epithet argipodes for oxen go to support the same construction? as does, evidently, arginoeis (Il. ii. 647, viii. 656) used in the description of certain places.

Whiteness and brightness are for Homer very closely allied: nor does it seem difficult to derive this sense from swiftness, because rapid motion produces such an appearance for the eye. But then we have no root signifying swiftness; and it does not seem, conversely, so easy to derive the idea of swiftness from whiteness or brightness. Swiftness produces brightness; but is not produced by it.

We have also to consider the relation of the epithet argos to the very important proper name Argos; and the probable affinities of that proper name. I have argued at large elsewhere that it is associated with the establishment of the agricultural stage of society in the Greek Peninsula, and is related to the important word ergon, sometimes called argon, and designating particularly agricultural labour and its results.

It appears to me that the idea involved in ergon or argon best combines all the uses and meanings of Argos the local name, Argos the national name, Argo the ship, Argos the dog, and the various applications of the epithet argos and its compounds. For the first two of these, no explanation is here required. As to the other uses, that idea of work suggests the meaning, as a ground-meaning, of 'staunch' and 'strenuous.'

We should then have the stout or good ship Argo (unless it be preferred to associate the word directly with the contemporary national

Juventus Mundi, pp. 53 seqq.



name of Argeians), perhaps the diligent or plodding ox, and its unwearying strenuous feet. Again, the strenuous or staunch dog Argos. This is certainly a more telling or complete epithet for dogs, and the feet of dogs, than mere swiftness. It does not, however, exclude swiftness, but rather includes it; presenting to us this link between staunch and swift, that every true worker excels according to his natural capacity: so, as the dog is well built for swiftness, the staunch or strenuous dog is swift. That there is in argos some derivation of meanings, appears to be admitted on all hands. The derivation I suggest is this: first, strenuous, as expressing the idea of ergon. Secondly, because strenuous, swift, where adapted for swiftness. Thirdly, white, because swift, in respect of the tendency of rapid movement to produce the effect of whiteness.

Thus viewed, the word argos acquires its office as a word of motion from a mental quality, and loses it again when passing into a visual phenomenon. While discharging that office, it has a differentia quite easy to discern. It signifies a motion associated with a mental quality of diligence and earnestness as its mainspring: a strenuous motion.

This paper does not aim at supplying an exhaustive catalogue of the Homeric epithets of movement. But the following ten epithets, which, with their compounds, nearly complete the Poet's vocabulary under this head, will be found to present its principal forms, as he, with a singular fineness and precision, appears to have comprehended and expressed them. The English renderings are suggested as imperfect, but as perhaps the best which the tongue affords. As a whole, the inquiry has, I hope, its own utility in the great business of understanding the Poet; but it acquires, I conceive, an additional interest from the light which it casts on the delicate, exact, and subtle organisation of his mental faculties.

A. In the Quantitative Scale represented by $M \propto QV$.

- 1. arús, fleet.
- 2. θοός, vehement or sweeping.
- 3. θοῦρος, impetuous.
- 4. δβριμος, forceful or violent.
- 5. βραδύς, slow.

B. Motion in other Forms.

- 6. raxús, nimble.
- 7. κραιπνός, eager or sharp, in motion.
- 8. λάβρος, copious, in motion.
- 9. alódos, shifting.
- 10. apyós, strenuous.

W. E. GLADSTONE.

The adverb rhimpha ($\delta'\mu\phi\alpha$), derived from $\delta'h\pi\tau\omega$, has no corresponding Homeric adjective; and, though mostly associated with swift motion, it is not always so. Used for ships and for horses, even sometimes at full speed, and for the movement of divinities, and for the rapid or brisk despatch of a meal (IL viii. 54), it is likewise applied in IL xxiv. 799 to the raising of Hector's mound ($\delta'\mu\phi\alpha$ δ^2 $\sigma''\mu'$ $\delta'\chi\epsilon\alpha\nu$), and in xx. 497 to the oxen treading out the corn ($\delta'\mu\phi\alpha$ $\tau\epsilon$ $\lambda'\epsilon\pi\tau'$ $\delta'\gamma'\epsilon\nu\nu\nu\tau$ 0). To meet the whole of the passages where it is employed, we must treat it as having to do more with proceeding to act than with rate of performance: and as emphatically a word of action rather than of motion proper. It may perhaps be rendered 'promptly,' 'at once,' or 'forthwith.'

