This theory being accepted, for an explanation of the aberration in question we have only to suppose some slight physical alteration in the contents of the coelestials, which would cause the sound wave to strike or affect the innermost portion of the thinnest sphere, and thus a false impression would be carried to the brain.

URBAN PRITCHARD

Now attention is drawn to the above allow me to give another experience.

On two separate occasions while playing the English concertina, and more particularly when single notes or simple chords were struck, instead of the upper organ being used, I found almost an octave lower which appeared to be of that fundamental tone. The musical tones of the voice of any person addressing me, also, had their deeper reverberations in a similar manner, these being numerous and of rapid succession; the lowest was very clear which is heard in a hall unsuitably constructed for sound.

The nuisance, for such it amounted to, I was troubled with for a couple of days each visitation, the abnormal state of hearing being peculiarly felt left ear only.

JOHN HARMER

Wick, near Arundel

Intelllect in Brutes

"The following case will perhaps interest those who believe that the reasoning faculty in man and animals differs in degree only, and is essentially the same in kind. Some years ago a plum-bearer told me he had, on several occasions, been called in to examine into the cause of leakage of water-pipes under the flooring of houses, and had found that the rats had gnawed a hole in the leaden pipe to obtain water, and that great numbers of them had made it a common drinking-place, as evidenced by the quantity of dung lying about. The plumber brought me a piece of leaden pipe, about 3 inch in diameter and 1 inch in thickness, penetrated in two places, taken by himself from a house on Haverton Hill. There are the marks of the incisors on the lead, as clear as an engraving; and a few hairs and two or three of the rats' vibrissae have been pinched into the metal in the act of gnawing. As the plumber supposed the leaden pipe will not drink foul water—interested me so much, that I ventured to send an account of it to Dr. Chas. Darwin, asking his opinion on the means by which the rats ascertained the presence of water in the pipe. To this he replied: "I cannot doubt about animals reasoning in a practical fashion. The case of rats is very curious. Do not they hear the water trickling?" It may be conceded that this explanation is the most probable, and if it be the true one we have an example of an animal utilizing the leaden pipe to obtain the data for forming conclusions leading to conclusions about which he is so certain that he will go to the trouble of cutting through a considerable thickness of lead. Obviously man could do so much under the same conditions.

ARTHUR NICOLS

Our Astronomical Column

"The Companion of Algol.—There are grounds for suspecting that the light of the small star about 8o distant from Algol in the S.P. quadrant is also variable. Schrörer in his letter to Bode, wherein he first drew attention to this object, mentions that he detected it with a 3-foot reflector on October 12, 1877, and although small it was distinctly seen. Soon after he estimated its distance from Algol at 1'i 30'. On April 9, 1788, the star was not to be found, and he therefore concluded that it must be variable. In 1792, when he was in possession of a 3-feet reflector, which he describes as the most powerful instrument then available in Germany, he re-examined the star on March 9, and on March 9 saw the companion much brighter than before, and compares its distinctness in the larger telescope with its faintness in the smaller one with which he had discovered it. But on April 5, in a state of atmosphere at least as favourable as on March 9, with the same instrument and magnifying power, not the slightest trace of the companion could be perceived; on increasing the power to 30, with the utmost straining of the eye, the faintest glimmering was now and then suspected in its position. Schrörer then, in this second com-
munication to Bode, expresses himself more confidently as to the variability of the small star.

In the early part of the year 1874 the writer of these lines made several ineffectual attempts to observe the companion, using various powers on a 7-inch refractor; the stars were in the high heavens, but no trace of the faint body could be glimpsed in its place. It was not therefore without surprise that upon re-examining the vicinity under similar conditions on September 9 of the same year, the companion was caught at once, and seen with great distinctness. It was measured with Mr. J. G. Barclay's 10-inch refractor at Leyton, by Mr. Talmage, on October 2 following in the angle between the stars, the separation was 79''02; the magnitude was estimated 11'12. An observation by Smyth in 1835 is recorded, but his distance is much too small; it is not stated whether he found the companion himself or whether his knowledge of its existence was due to Schröter's communications to Bode. It does not occur amongst the objects in the 'Bedford's Cycle,' which were re-measured by Secchi, but it is present in the 'Comet Star.' From a letter addressed by Prof. C. H. F. Peters, Director of the Observatory, Clinton, New York, to the Superintendent of the Naval Observatory, Washington, which Admiral Rodgers has communicated to the Astronomische Nachrichten (No. 2240), it appears that he has strangely misinterpreted a note with the above heading, which was lately printed in this column. We referred to an object observed at Wash-

ington, which had been noticed, and Prof. Peters is in error in saying that that observatory and elsewhere on the assumption that it might possibly have been a trans-Neptunian planet, and in view of the failure of a careful search on this hypothesis we remarked: "the only likely explanation appears to be that there was a variable star in this position, and that the observations in right ascension were made on the 2nd of the days of observation several comparisons were made." Prof. Peters, however, explains the difficulty by referring several transits to the first instead of to the second wire of the movable plate of the micrometer employed, in which case the star is identified with Lacaille 3360, and Prof. Peters is clearly in error. Secchi in the original observing-books, that Mr. Ferguson had altered several correct observations to correspond with erroneous ones, and Admiral Rodgers accepts the explanation as satisfactory. But Prof. Peters is alarmed about the matter now that NATURE "stirs it up again," and writes to the Superintendent of the Washington Observatory in order that nobody thereby might be induced to spend months and years upon a renewed search," and to "stop any further perpetuation of the credence, that a trans-Neptunian planet is revealed by the Washington Observations." It will be seen that our suggestion was that a variable star might exist in the observed position, and was in no way connected with a renewed search for a trans-Neptunian planet. Prof. Peters must entertain neither odd notions as to the probable knowledge of his astronomical con-
fèrèes respecting the contents of the ecliptical region of the sky, if he believes that any one would be induced, by remarks that we make, to undertake in these days a search for a distant planet close to the ecliptic amongst stars of the ninth magnitude!"