THE EVIDENCE

X

OF

CHRISTIANITY,

DERIVED FROM ITS

NATURE AND RECEPTION.

BY

J. B. SUMNER, M. A.

PREBENDARY OF DURHAM; VICAR OF MAPLEDURHAM, OXON. AND LATE FELLOW OF ETON COLLEGE.

LONDON:

PRINTED FOR J. HATCHARD AND SON, 187, PICCADILLY;

SOLD ALSO BY C. AND J. RIVINGTON AND CO. ST. PAUL'S CHURCH-YARD, AND WATERLOO PLACE.

1824.

Printed by S. Gosnell, Little Queen Street, London.

THE idea, which the following pages are designed to illustrate, is briefly this: that a religion like the Christian could never have existed, unless it had been introduced by divine authority. It could not have been invented: it would not have been received.

This line of argument has at least one advantage; at the same time that it proves, if well founded, that the religion is true, it shows also what the religion is.

I am by no means confident, however, that the field into which I have been led in pursuit of the idea above mentioned, is sufficiently unoccupied to justify this addi-

A 2

tion of another volume to the numberless treatises already existing on the evidences of Christianity. But I am disposed to imagine, that an attack upon unbelief, or a confirmation of faith, can never be su-Many books are in constant perfluous. circulation, and almost universally read, in which the Scriptures are passed by as if they had no existence, or tacitly assumed to be an invention of priest-craft, supported by state policy. The most popular historian of our own country is not likely to produce a different impression; and a very important portion of ancient history is still chiefly known through the medium of a writer who professedly treats the origin and progress of Christianity as an event which need excite no more wonder than the rise of Mohammedanism. Not to mention, that the rude and direct assaults upon Revelation, which, for some years past, have been constantly issuing from

ŧ

the press, can hardly fail to have some effect in keeping the minds unsettled, even of a class above that for which they are avowedly written and designed.

In fact, though there is just cause for 2 **8** 4 1 believing that real religion never flourished more in any age or country than at the present time in Britain, yet it is certain that a vast number of persons reject it, either avowedly or virtually; and that even more, convinced by the evidences, still hover on the confines or lie loosely on the surface, and enter very little into the vital principles of the Gospel. Neither of these facts can excite surprise, when we consider how many young persons are thrown upon the world, and plunged in the busy concerns of life, with no other knowledge of the claims of Christianity on their belief, than that it is by law established as the national religion; and with no

A 3

further acquaintance with its nature, than that it forbids the practices to which they are attached, and which most of those around them follow.

Now, I am far from asserting, that an intimate knowledge of the historical evidences of the Gospel is necessary to faith. Happily there is evidence of the truth of our religion, which does not arise from external testimony; and multitudes, before they have ever felt the want of external testimony, are impressed with this evidence. which sets them above doubt and beyond the reach of scepticism. Nothing leads them to hesitate respecting the certainty of that which they find generally confessed, and publicly taught, and which from their infancy they have been accustomed to venerate. And this confidence is supported and confirmed by the impressions resulting from habitual ac-

vi

quaintance with the Scriptures, and the irresistible conviction which they are calculated to fix upon the devout and humble mind.

Repair of the second of the prove

Others, however, are differently constituted, and differently circumstanced. It strikes them at once, how much that is contradictory to the usual experience of the world is involved in the Scripture histories. It strikes them, that in the naked delineation of the history of Jesus Christ there is nothing to command im mediate assent; and it is notorious, that many persons, in different countries, have advanced pretensions like his, with various degrees of success. Then in the mystow rious doctrines of the Gospel there is much that the mind, which has once been allowed to hesitate, is very ill disposed to receive, till the authority has been confirmed by irresistible evidence. Even with

А4

Digitized by Google

vii

viii

many who have not given themselves up to avowed scepticism, and who have a sincere respect for Christianity in the abstract from the benefits which it confers upon society, vague notions of uncertainty in its evidence. and of difficulties in its doctrines, float upon the mind, and keep it in a most unprofitable state of hesitation. In persons thus circumstanced, before any thing like Christian faith can exist, the origin of Christianity must be examined more narrowly, and clearly seen to be divine. And these are the persons whose case I have particularly in view in the present Treatise. Every Christian is exhorted in Scripture to know why he believes': and considering the authority which Christianity bears upon its front; and considering the weight which that authority has derived from the character of those whom it has satisfied, and from the

¹ 1 Pet. iii. 15.

general assent of the civilized world;—it surely is reasonable to expect, that as many refuse or delay their assent, they should know why they do not believe. I have therefore endeavoured to put my argument in such a shape, as may give a substantive form both to belief and unbelief.

21

•

#i++; Line * Statil is within a trans * example for the second of t

1

CHAPTER I.

Introductory.	On	THE	Ori	GIN	OF T	ΉE	
CHRISTIAN RE	LIGION	٠	•	٠	•	•	1
Preliminary ren	narks	•	•	÷.	•	•	
1. Indisputable pr	oofs of	the tin	<i>ne</i> wh	en Cl	nristia	nity	
was first prea	ched		•.	•	21 A •	•	8
2. On the actual of	existence	e of Je	sus	•	•	•	13
•							

CHAPTER II.

OPPOSITION OF CHRISTIANITY TO THE OPINIONS					
PR	evailing among the Jews	•	18		
St	ate of opinions in Jerusalem when Jesus app	beared			
1. C	haracter of the Messiah expected by the Jew	75	24		
2. A	uthority over the Mosaic law assumed by J	esus	31		
3. A	dmission of Gentiles to the religion of Jesu	45	37		
4. D	estruction of Jerusalem foretold by Jesus		45		
P	anitulation				

Page

CHAPTER III.

DRIGINALITY OF THE CHRISTIAN DOCTRINES				
1. Basis of the Christian religion, the alienatic	on of			
mankind from God	. 64			
Jews and Gentiles alike unprepared to receive	e this 70			
2. Redemption of mankind by Jesus, an original sector of the sector of t	ginal			
idea both to Jews and Gentiles	. 82			
3. Peculiar obstacles, from the crucifixion of Je	esus 95			

CHAPTER IV.

CONNEXION OF CHRISTIANITY WITH THE JEWISH	
HISTORY AND SCRIPTURES	103
1. Sacrifice of Isaac	104
2. Brazen Serpent	104
3. Institution of the Passover	105
4. Law of Moses called a covenant	106
5. Appointment of High Priest	107
6. Covenant ratified by sprinkling of blood	107
Jews unaccustomed to application of types .	108
Argument arising from their fulfilment	110
II. Prophecies	119
Time and place of birth of Jesus, predicted .	120
Appearance of John Baptist	121
Character and death of Jesus described	122
Argument from the accomplishment of prophecies	124

CHAPTER V.

CHAPTER VI.

AGREEMENT OF CHRISTIAN					
SUBSEQUENT EXPERIENCE	•	•	•	•	146
I. Persecution foretold .	• ;	•	•		151
II. Divisions foretold .	•	•	•	•	164
III. Progress and reception of	the reli	gion	descri	bed	169
IV. Prophetic parables .		•			181
V. Excellence of the parables	•			,	18 - 1 E - 1

CHAPTER VII.

C ----

WISDOM

••	ISDOM MANIFESTED IN THE ORRIST	IAN	SCRI	r-	
	TURES	•	•	٠	191
1.	Reserve as to the future world	•	•		195
	Compared with Mohammed .	•	•	•	197
2.	Human liberty and Divine prescience	e	•	•	205
	Compared with Mohammed .	•	•	•	208
3.	Confident assertions of Jesus .	•	• "	•	211
4.	Directions respecting prayer, fasting	, al	ms	•	214
	Compared with Mohammed .	•	•	•	216

Digitized by Google

-

xiii

CHAPTER VIII.

		rago
ORIGINALITY OF THE CHRISTIAN CHARACTER		219
I. Humility, exemplified in St. Paul	•	223
Argument deduced from this	•	227
II. Peculiar benevolence enforced in the Gospel		232
III. Meekness and patience prescribed .	•	239
IV. Suitableness of these qualities to their object	•	2 46

CHAPTER IX.

REASONABLENESS OF CHRISTIAN DOCTRINES	•	252
I. Condemnation of mankind, considered		
II. Christian doctrine of redemption, considered	•	275
III. Indirect results of the incarnation .	•	288

CHAPTER X.

FIRST PROMULGATION OF CHRISTIANITY		•	294
Account given in the Acts of the Apostles	•	•	296
Proceedings in heathen countries	•	•	305
Probability of miraculous interference .	•		314
Objections from experience, considered	•	•	322
Unbelief of the Jewish people, considered	•		331

CHAPTER XI.

		Page
FIRST RECEPTION OF CHRISTIANITY .	•	338
Change of moral character, in first Christians	•	339
Examples, from their earliest writings	349), &c.
The principles on which their character was foun	ided	353
Difficulty of producing such a chauge .	368	3, &c.
Evidence resulting from it	•	374
That evidence strengthened by the persecution	; tọ	
which they were exposed	•	376

CHAPTER XII.

E	FECTS OF CHRISTIANITY	•	•	•	•	381
	Some considerations on the part	rtial	effect	of Ch	ris-	
	tianity on human happiness	•	•	•	•	382
	Its positively beneficial effects	•	•	•	•	387
Ì.	It consoles affliction .	. •	•	•	•	389
2.	It provides for establishing re	ligio	us pri	nciple	s.	39 3
3.	It provides for improving the	mor	al and	intel	lec-	
	tual character of mankind	•	• .	•	•	404

CHAPTER XIII.

Co	NCLUSION	•	•		•	٠	•	•	418
	Recapitulatio	n		•	•	•	•	•	418
1.	Nature of th	e Ev	videnc	e of C	hristi	anity		•	421
2.	Agrees with	the	gene	ral cha	aracte	r of d	ivine	go-	
	vernment	•	`.		•	•	•	•	424
3.	Suitable to a	pro	bation	ary sta	ite	•	•	•	426

THÈ

EVIDENCE,

&c.

CHAPTER I.

INTRODUCTORY.—On the Origin of the Christian Religion.

Adr. Bil.

A BOOK is put into my hands, professing to give an account of a revelation from God. I find this revelation established as the religion of my country, under the name of CHRISTIANITY. I find the laws acknowledging it, and taking cognizance of any very gross insults against its divine authority. I find a maintenance for ministers who teach, explain, and enforce it, making part of the constitution of the State. I see a great variety of persons, who do not receive or claim any participation in that public maintenance, also endeavouring to extend a

ON THE ORIGIN OF

2

belief in its truth, and an observance of its precepts.

A slight acquaintance with the nature of Christianity, assures me also, that such a religion is expedient for the public good. It teaches men to consider themselves as placed under the eye of their Creator. It declares the importance of human conduct and character to be such, as to have occasioned the interference of a Divine Person, called the Son of God. It demands a very pure morality. It regulates the lives and habits of men by sanctions so awful, as must affect and influence all that are capable of extending their view to things future and invisible.

These circumstances, however, though they may justly be considered as presumptions in favour of the truth of Christianity, are not decisive. It is a presumption in its favour, that our ancestors should have made Christianity a part of the law of the land; because we are entitled to suppose that they had reason for

what they did. It is in its favour, that they should have provided for its support and extension; and that so many persons should take an evident interest in its success. It is still more in its favour, that its doctrines should be beneficial to the morality and happiness of men. But then I find some of these circumstances on the side of other religions also. The ancient inhabitants of Europe had a religion prior to Christianity, which they maintained at a considerable expense of statues, sacrifices, temples, and ministers. They defended this religion carefully. Their wisest men, though they perceived its absurdity, still supported it, on the express ground of its utility to the state. Again, the religion of Mohammed is established over an immense and populous region; and has its priests and temples, publicly acknowledged and maintained. The Hindoos and the Chinese have a religion and a priesthood, whose power over their people is not inferior to that of the ministers of Christ. In fact, no civilized country exists without some form of religion; the members of which, whatever it be, are no less vehement

в 2

ON THE ORIGIN OF

4

in its support, and often no less confirmed in its belief, than the professors of Christianity. The morality, indeed, of these religions, is very different from that of the Gospel, and their effect upon the mind and upon the happiness of their votaries very different. But as the moral state of different nations, independent of religion, is also unequal; the purer morality and general superiority of the Gospel may, it is possible, have arisen from the exercise of a nobler intellect and a happier combination of circumstances, and are not alone a sufficient reason for my embracing it as divine. England has a better religion than Turkey or Hindostan. But then England has made a far greater advance in arts and sciences; has a wider field of literature; is in every respect a more enlightened country; and its superior religion may be no more a result of divine interference, than its better constitution and more equal laws. Besides which, the Gospel, in proportion as it is purer than the religions of Brahma or Mahommed, demands greater sacrifices; and requires, therefore, to be confirmed by a proportionate

4

force of evidence. And I cannot but be aware, that although this religion is countenanced by the State, and defended by the laws, and cordially believed by many; yet it is also disbelieved by many, neglected by more, and openly assaulted by some. So that it appears, on a cursory view, to be placed in much the same circumstances, as most other religions which have prevailed in different countries and different ages of the world.

For these reasons, I must have a stronger ground for believing Christianity, than that it is the established religion of my own age and country. This fact, together with its obvious utility to the public morals, may secure my respect to its institutions, and my compliance with its forms: Socrates and Cicero offered sacrifice to the deities of their ancestors. But if I am required to go further, I must inquire deeper, and have a surer foundation of my faith. And the slightest consideration shows me, that I am bound to make this inquiry; and that if I neg-

в З

Digitized by Google

б

lect Christianity unexamined, I neglect it at my peril.

I must, therefore, trace back this revelation to its origin. It may not have had the origin to which it pretends. But it must have had some origin. As there are those who deny its origin to have been divine, what other account is given of its existence?

The common account is of a general nature; and speaks of the New Testament as an imposture, a fiction: and so, if not true, it must have been. But an imposture must have had designers: a fiction must have been framed. Who and what were those who framed it? And how did they succeed? how prevail to get their fabrication recognised?

Pursuing this inquiry, I find that the origin of Christianity, as declared in its own records, is briefly this. About eighteen hundred years ago, a person, born in one of the provinces of Judea, went through that country, and attracted

attention by the exercise of miraculous powers. He affirmed, that he had descended from heaven. to recal men from ignorance and sinfulness, and to bring them to the knowledge and service of their Creator. He instructed those who flocked to him in the rules of life which they should obey, and the dispositions they should cultivate; and promised to all, who should believe and obey him, everlasting happiness in a future state. After a short period of time, probably three years, passed in this manner, he was put to death, at the instigation of the chief persons among the Jews; but not until he had predicted this event, and declared it to be an essential part of the mystery of his incarnation; and not until he had attached to himself a certain number of disciples, and taken measures for their disseminating and establishing in the world the religion which he had introduced and founded.

Now, is there any certainty that this indeed took place at the time and in the manner which the history records? Antichristian writers, I observe, affect to throw an air of obscu-

в4

ON THE ORIGIN OF

rity over the first appearance and promulgation of the religion. One of them asserts, that the system was gradually formed out of what he calls the chaos and anarchy of the three first centuries. And others generally assume, that the testimony to the introduction of Christianity is confined to the Church itself, which must not be solely trusted in its own cause.

The grand point is, to obtain something definite: we cannot lean upon a shadow. At what time did the religion of Jesus Christ supersede what was believed before? We know that it exists, and is established, now; but we know likewise, that it did not always exist; that it gradually took the place which had been occupied by Judaism and Paganism, and flourished upon their ruins.

There is, however, indisputable testimony, that the religion was first preached and received at a time which exactly corresponds with the death of its Founder, as related in the Scriptures. We have no occasion, on this head, to

9

appeal to the Church: that is, to rely on Christian writers alone. The foreign and collateral testimony fails in no point where it can be reasonably demanded. It has, indeed, been the fashion to complain of the silence or inattention of the contemporary historians, as to what has since assumed such vast importance. But the truth is, that they are not silent. They are not, indeed, full: but they tell us all that we require. and all which they could be expected to tell. As early as the time of Claudius, who died within twenty years of the crucifixion, Christians had occasioned some confusion, by preaching, and prevailing on men to quit the worship of the heathen gods; and they were so numerous, that Claudius judged it most advisable to check them, by ordering what he thought would be most effectual for this purpose, and forbidding their religious meetings. This we learn from the Roman historian of the age 1.

¹ See Suetonius in Claud. 25. The emperor, he says, banished *the Jews* from Rome; who, *impulsore Chresto*, made continual tumults. Christianity passed at first among the heathens for a sort of Judaism; a mistake easily accounted for: as also the error of the common word χ_{enoros} , for the uncommon χ_{euoros} .

ON THE ORIGIN OF

Another contemporary annalist relates, that about thirty years after the death of Christ, his disciples at Rome were numerous enough to be well known and distinguished in that populous city, and generally styled *Christians*, after the name of their Founder; who, he adds, was put to death in the reign of Tiberius, by his Procurator, Pontius Pilate. The same author proceeds to describe the sufferings which they endured from the tyranny of Nero, who endeavoured to divert from himself the accusation of having set fire to his capital, and to fix the stigma upon them ².

Another sort of collateral evidence, equally unexceptionable, is furnished by a long epistle of Clement, Bishop of Rome, which was addressed by him to the Corinthian Christians, about fifty years after the death of Jesus : the whole tenour of which proves, that the society of Christians had been long established in that city. Several letters of another bishop, Ignatius, dated twenty-

² Tacitus, Annal. xv. 44.

five years later, confirm the same point, with regard to many Christian communities in Asia. About the same period we have similar testimony from Pliny, proconsul under Trajan, who describes the Christian churches, in Bithynia and Pontus, as consisting of many of all ages and of both sexes; and calls the religion a contagious superstition, which has spread not only through cities, but over villages and the whole country³.

To this open testimony it would be easy to add allusions, more or less clear, from almost every writer of note during that period, whose works have remained. But my only object was to show, that we have firm ground to set out upon. If Christians were known as a tangible body in Rome, upon whom a popular stigma might be attached, within thirty years of the death of Jesus; and if they could be collectively addressed in epistles sent to various parts of Greece and Asia; and if within seventy years of

³ Epist. Lib. x. Ep. 91.

Digitized by Google

the same event they could be described as "a vast multitude, numbers of every age, of both sexes 4;" it is quite clear that the system was not gradually formed, but regular and authoritative from the first; and also, that we may assume the date to which the origin of Christianity is commonly referred, as one which is probably exact to a year, and even a day; but which cannot possibly be materially wrong.

2. Having settled this preliminary question, we come to another of more importance, respecting the Author of this religion. Did such a person as Jesus exist, or no? Antichristian writers do not seem to have made up their mind upon this point. Some assert that he did exist, and some that he did not⁵: and others,

Ingens multitudo, multi omnis ætatis, utriusque sexús.
 Pliny.

⁵ Volney: who accounts for the origin of Christianity in the following summary way: "The great Mediator and first Judge was expected, and his advent desired, that an end might be put to so many calamities. This was so much the subject of conversation, that some one was said to have seen him; and a rumour of this kind was all that was wanting to establish a general certainty. The popular report became a

strange to say, suppose both⁶. And the reader, into whose hands this treatise may fall, must make up his mind one way or other. The religion may be an imposture, though Jesus did exist. But it must have been an imposture if he did not: if his name were merely ascribed, like those of Hercules or Bacchus, to adventures which never took place; or, like that of Brahma, to doctrines which had no divine authority.

On the supposition, however, that no such person ever really existed, but was merely an allegorical or imaginary personage, or the hero of a romantic tale, we must believe what follows; we must believe, that a set of persons undertook to persuade their countrymen that a man had

demonstrated fact. The imaginary being was realized; and all the circumstances of mythological tradition being in some manner connected with this phantom, the result was a regular and authentic history, which from henceforth it was blasphemous to doubt." Such is infidelity !

⁶ Paine, in different parts of his "Age of Reason." I should not notice such writers as these, if any thing more rational had been advanced by others.

Digitized by Google

grown up and lived among themselves, and had rendered himself conspicuous by his works and doctrines, and had at last been put to death at the most solemn and frequented festival of their own nation ;—when no such person had ever been executed, or even seen, or heard of. And more, that they did persuade their countrymen to believe all this. For the first Christians were converts from the city in which the principal scene was laid, and became so at the very time when these transactions are said to have happened.

It is disagreeable to speak of the Gospel as an imposture. I am sure that many, who do not in any real sense believe it, would start at the idea of using so harsh a term. But we must not deceive ourselves. If Jesus did not exist, nay, further, if he were not, indeed, the Son of God, it is an imposture. Those, therefore, who framed it must have considered how they could in the surest and easiest manner deceive the world. And certainly they would not begin by asserting such a fact as the birth, public ministry, and

14

execution of a man who had never been born, or known to teach, or put to death at all. Still less could a religion, founded on such false assertions, be received and prevail, in the very place and from the very time when these things were said to have occurred.

The only ground, then, which a sceptic can take, who means his statements or opinions to be examined, is, that Jesus did exist, and that the main circumstances of his history are true; but that with respect to his divinity, or his divine mission, he probably deceived himself; but certainly he deceived others, when he persuaded them to worship him, and to teach a religion under his authority and name.

I will consider the question on this ground. I will take the life, ministry, and public execution of Jesus as an historical fact. It may be denied; as men may deny any thing which they do not actually see, or hear, or feel. But it has this advantage over every other historical fact; that it has been regularly attested by per-

Digitized by Google

sons believing it, and staking all that was most valuable to them upon its truth, from the date assigned to its occurrence to the present hour. It is not extravagant to say, that no memorial which was ever preserved of any past event has a thousandth part of the same title to be trusted, as the memorial of the life and death of Jesus, which is the Christian religion. We may challenge the ingenuity of all the world to show how that religion ever came to be set up, unless the main facts which it records did actually happen.

That religion was set up; and therefore it must be argued, that Jesus, having attracted some attention and raised a party in Judæa, during his life, with hopes which were cut short by his execution;—his followers, from some unknown motive, conspired to introduce a new religion, of which Jesus was made the author and head; and attributed to him such adventures, endowments, and doctrines, as might best suit their object.

Digitized by Google

It were too much to say, that this was impossible; and the phenomenon before us, the existing religion, if its origin were not indeed divine, may be accounted for on this supposition, and on no other.

CHAPTER II.

Opposition of Christianity to the Opinions prevailing amongst the Jews.

 W_{HAT} objection is there to the supposition stated at the conclusion of the preceding chapter, viz. that a party of Jews fabricated the religion, which they set out to teach in the name and under the authority of Jesus ?

Before I can reply to this question, I must consider the nature of the religion, and of the people among whom it originated, and to whom it was proposed. Truth is lost in generalities. Any thing appears possible, or even probable, on cursory reflection, in a distant country, and when eighteen centuries have intervened. But whoever is in earnest, and afraid to judge wrong in so serious a question, must not lose himself in an imaginary period of confusion or anarchy, but carry himself back to the time and place CHRISTIANITY OPPOSED TO LEWISH OPINIONS. 19 where the religion originated which it is supposed so easy to fabricate.

The scene of what is related in the Gospel is laid in Jerusalem. And there seems no room to deny that the religion of Jesus was there first formed into a system, promulgated, and practised. We shall be assisted in our judgment, by considering what was the state of Jerusalem at this time, as to size, civilization, religion, and popular opinion.

Jerusalem, at this period, was a city of considerable population. It was also a place of great resort, for those especially whose minds had been in any degree awakened to the subject of religion. Jews of wealth, talents, or learning, who were spread in the course of their various pursuits over the continent of Asia, were drawn annually to the capital of their nation, for the purpose of legitimate worship in the temple of their ancestors '. And we inci-

¹ Acts, ii. 5.

c 2

20 CHRISTIANITY OPPOSED TO

dentally learn, that foreigners also, who had never embraced the law of Moses, but had become acquainted, through the Jewish Scriptures, with a purer faith and a more rational worship than prevailed around them, were often attracted to the metropolis of the religion which they had learned to hold in veneration².

We perceive at once, that in a place like this, the idea of introducing a new religion is more likely to have occurred, than in a country wholly barbarous and unenlightened. At the period in question there was more probability of such an adventure being undertaken in Greece or Italy, than in Britain or Gaul. But it does not follow that the attempt was more likely to succeed. Men's minds are pre-occupied; and every novel opinion, before it can establish itself, must dislodge a system already in possession.

At the period we speak of, three remarkable sects are known to have existed in Jerusalem,

² Acts, viii. 27.

which divided the attachment of the people, according to their several dispositions.

The Pharisees adhered strictly to the letter of the Mosaic law, and even united to it works of supererogation, fasts, abstinences, and mortifying devotions, to which they ascribed a high degree of importance. Hence they were followed generally by the lower classes, who are commonly disposed to venerate austerity³; and as it may be supposed, by that large majority who in all countries are willing to compound for the want of spiritual religion by a strict observance of prescribed ceremonies.

The Sadducees denied any future state, any resurrection of soul or body. A lax morality could not fail to attend such opinions, especially when maintained in the teeth of the Jewish Scriptures. These had fewer followers; but those were important from their rank and opulence.

³ Τοις δημοις πιθανολαλοι τυΓχανισι.-Jos.

e 3

The Essenes, though few in number, at least in Judea⁴, were remarkable for the singularity of their tenets and habits. They maintained the immortality of the soul; but seem to have borrowed their ideas upon that subject from Pythagoras, rather than the Scripture. They held their public worship separately, and in some respects differently, from the rest of They professed the most their countrymen. exemplary strictness of morals. But the peculiar characteristic of the sect was, an entire community of goods, and the austerest celibacy: so that their number was chiefly continued by the accession of persons advanced in life, who were disgusted with its cares, or wearied by misfortune⁵.

These are the several opinions which existed in Judea, at the time when the Gospel was first preached. But I do not find that those who in-

⁴ Josephus says, 4000. The greater part of this peculiar sect resided in Egypt. See Plin. Nat. Hist. v. 17.

⁵ See Joseph. Antiq. xiii. 5; xviii. 1. Bell. Jud. ii. 8. Philo Frag. p. 632, v. i. Ed. Mangey.

troduced that religion belonged to any of these sects; they betray no attachment to any of their peculiar doctrines; they rather oppose them all; not, indeed, systematically, like the partizans of a different faction, but wherever their tenets are contradictory to enlightened reason, or inconsistent with the general good of mankind. lf not actually biassed towards any sect, we might expect, as a matter of precaution, that they would seek the countenance and support of some who were in possession of public favour; would try to engage on their side some of those who were opulent, or powerful, or respected in their nation. But the plan which they pursue is directly opposite to all this. Their religious precepts are levelled against the self-indulgence of the rich; against the pride and hypocrisy of the Pharisees; against the immoral and degrading principles of the Sadducees; against the unsocial and levelling tenets of the Essenes.

In all human appearance, this was to set at work against the system which they were introducing a counteracting influence which must

c 4

23

at once be fatal to its progress. Unknown and unprotected men, entering upon a new and hazardous enterprise, begin by arming against themselves all the learning, power, wealth, and influence existing in their country.

This is an important point, and requires to be accurately examined. The Gospel was engrafted upon the Jewish religion. The Jews, we know, derived from their peculiar religion very peculiar sentiments; certain national opinions and prejudices were universally received among them, in which every sect agreed. Did the Christian religion agree or disagree with these prevailing opinions? because, upon this question the chance of its being a Jewish invention, or of its being embraced by any of that people, will materially depend.

I. There is no doubt, that at the time when Jesus appeared, the Jews were expecting a prophet, or a king, or a deliverer, known from their ancient writings under the title of the Messiah. This expectation had even extended through

other parts of the East. Such a belief is implied in the inquiry of the Magi who came to Jerusalem to pay homage, asking "Where is he that is born King of the Jews?" We read, too, of " devout men," who were " waiting for the consolation of Israel." All were desiring "one who should come." He was anticipated, moreover, under the very title which Jesus assumed. The Samaritan woman spoke the general opinion, when she said, I know that Messias cometh, which is called Christ: when he is come, he will tell us all things⁶. And the impression produced by the appearance of Jesus is represented as this; "Come, see a man which told me all that ever I did: is not this the When Christ cometh, will he do Christ ? greater things than these ??"

Now, suppose the case assumed: that a person, with no divine commission, resolved to claim to himself the character of the expected Messiah. He would lay hold of the popular hope of such an appearance, as the most reason-

⁶ John, iv. 25. ⁷ John, iv. 29.

25

Digitized by Google

ć 🚽

able chance of his success. Such an expectation would be likely to go far towards accomplishing the event to which it referred ⁸. Therefore he would ascertain what sort of deliverer his nation anticipated, and assimilate himself as nearly as possible to that character.

But it happens very unaccountably, that the actual character of Jesus was decidedly opposed to the expected character of the Messias. They looked for a conqueror, a temporal king; and had been accustomed to interpret in this sense all the prophecies which foretold his coming. And whether we suppose Jesus to have been impostor or enthusiast, this is the character which he would naturally assume. If he were an enthusiast, his mind would have been filled with the popular belief, and his imagination fired with the national ideas of victory and glory. If he

⁸ Volney treats this as so certain and important, that he thinks little else necessary in order to account for the origin of Christianity, than to be able to assert, that a mediator or deliverer was expected, who should relieve the nation from its present calamities. See the quotation, p. 12.

were an impostor, the general expectation would coincide with the only motive to which his conduct can be attributed, ambition, and the desire of personal aggrandizement.

How, then, can we explain his rejecting from the first, and throughout his whole career, all the advantage which he might have derived from the previous expectation of the people, and even his turning it against himself and his cause? Why should he, as a Jew, have interpreted the prophetic Scriptures differently from all other Jews? Why should he, as an impostor, have deprived himself of all personal benefit from his design?

We are told, that when he perceived that they would come, and "take him by force to make him a king, he departed again into a mountain himself alone⁹." That his constant declaration was, "My kingdom is not of this world¹⁰." That being asked by the Pharisees,

9 Luke, vi. 15.

10 John, xviii. 36.

27

"When the kingdom of God should come: he answered, The kingdom of God cometh not with observation ". Neither shall they say, Lo here, and lo there; for, behold, the kingdom of God is within you ¹²."

The writers of his history were well aware how entirely the real appearance of Jesus differed from all previous expectations of the Messiah. They describe him as losing no opportunity of removing these popular notions from the minds of his followers. "From that time forth began Jesus to show unto his disciples, how that he must go unto Jerusalem, and suffer many things of the elders and chief priests and scribes, and be killed, and be raised again the third day. Then Peter took him, and began to rebuke him, saying, Be it far from thee, Lord; this shall not be unto thee¹³." It was incompatible with their notions of a Messiah, that such a fate should befal him. And Peter's

- ¹² Luke, xvii. 20.
- 13 Matt. xvi. 21, 22.

¹¹ Or, outward show, Marg. Mita παçalnonoiws.

mind was not yet weaned from his national prepossessions. So, likewise, after that considerable experience of his doctrine and ministry might have established juster views, the "mother of two of the disciples requested that her two sons might sit, the one on his right hand, and the other on his left, *in his kingdom*¹⁴." Even to the latest discourse which is recorded, the idea still remained; and "his disciples asked of him, Lord, wilt thou at this time *restore again the kingdom unto Israel*¹⁵?"

Here, then, is conduct which is inexplicable on the supposition of imposture. Opinions are combated, which would have been peculiarly advantageous to the design; and a character is maintained, which was alike selfdenying and unpopular. And the natural conclusion on this head is strengthened by historical fact. Several impostors did appear in Judea, relying upon the general expectation; and they uniformly claimed to themselves tem-

14 Matt. xx. 21.

¹⁵ Acts, i. 6.

Digitized by Google

poral power and authority. Theudas, whose insurrection is mentioned in the Acts of the Apostles, appears, by the way in which he is there spoken of, to have founded a political disturbance upon some high pretensions. Of him, however, we know little. But Bar Cochab, in the reign of Hadrian, assumed the title of the son of a star, in allusion to the ancient prophecy of Balaam, and pretended to be the Messias. He collected an army, and was crowned by the Jews. Moses Cretensis did the same in the days of Theodosius. Another, named Julian, at a later period, was set over the Jewish armies to destroy the Christians; and after he had obtained some temporary success, the people owned him as the Messias¹⁶. These instances are sufficient to show what sort of Messiah the nation expected, and was ready to receive; and also prove what manner of persons impostors were, and what character they were inclined to appear in.

¹⁶ See Kidder's Demonstration of the Messias.

II. Proceeding from the title assumed by Jesus, to the authority which he exercised, I read this, among other sentences—"The law and the prophets were until John: since that time the kingdom of God is preached." This was a bold declaration. He was come to make an entire change in their religion. It was saying, in effect,—you have obeyed the ceremonies of the law, and you have honoured the admonitions of the prophets for fifteen hundred years. From henceforth a new authority is to be paramount. I am come to supersede or to confirm them.

Now no feeling could be stronger in any nation, or better founded, than the veneration of the Jews for the Mosaic law. It was impossible that they should not hold this in the devoutest esteem, connected as it was with their very existence as a people. The account of its origin, which had come down to them from their ancestors; its singularity; the effect which that singularity had produced, in establishing a wide separation between themselves and other na-

tions; above all, the important results which they expected from obeying it, as entitling them to the favour and protection of God: all these circumstances united to render that attachment to their national law, which is common among every people, inconceivably strong in the case of the Jews.

But here, in Jesus, or in the authors of Christianity, whoever they are supposed to be, are Jews who have none of this natural partiality. Professing the fear of God beyond other men; acknowledging him as having sanctioned the law given by Moses; they yet dare to pass judgment on his ancient institutions ¹⁷. Jesus is made to intimate, that the reign of the ceremonial law is over: and he claims the right of introducing new explanations of the moral law. He openly condemns the glosses of this law; erroneous indeed, but generally received: he extends some of its provisions; he elucidates its remote intentions, and even repeals its enact-

17 Matt. xix. 8.

4

Digitized by GOOGLE

ments. The listening multitude were astonished at the authority with which he uttered his edicts :--- "Ye have heard that it has been said by them of old time, an eye for an eye, and a tooth for a tooth: but I say unto you, that ye resist not evil." He goes on to condemn existing opinions through many other instances; and sweeps off, by a single sentence, many false interpretations which long usage had authorized; declaring, " Ye know not what that meaneth; I will have mercy and not sacrifice : the Sabbath was made for man, and not man for the Sabbath." The Jews of that day knew not what this meant; they contradicted it in principle and in practice. Born and bred among Jews, how came he to throw off Jewish prejudices? Educated in the midst of prevailing errors, whence did he derive the wisdom which rejected them? Accustomed to the rule. love thy neighbour, and hate thine enemy, how came he to say, " Love your enemies, do good to them that hate you?" When his countrymen were convinced of the legality and sanctity of vows, how came he to say, " Swear neither by

D

34

heaven, nor by the earth, nor by Jerusalem? Swear not at all." Accustomed to the law of retaliation, a law sanctioned by national prescription as well as by the natural bent of the human heart, how came he to say, "Resist not evil;" and to teach others to say, "overcome evil with good?" Accustomed to the ceremonious observance of the Sabbath, how came he to seize that truth, so agreeable to our enlightened reason, but so contradictory to the prevailing usage of his country, "It is lawful to do good on the Sabbath day?"

The authority which Jesus exercised over the law, he equally claimed over the interpreters of the law. We learn from various incidental notices, how highly the Scribes and Pharisees were esteemed among all those over whom religion possessed any hold. They "sat in Moses's seat ¹⁸, and partook of the reverence paid to the memory of the original lawgiver. The subtle question, "Have any of the rulers or of the Pharisees believed on him ?" evidently shows, that if he could have obtained their countenance, or

18 Matt. xxiii.

even have escaped their hostility, he would have gained no slight accession to his cause. But it is strange, that neither the habits of his country and of his education impressed him with veneration for these teachers, nor did his interest lead him to pretend it. He alone, of all his countrymen, saw through the veil of sanctity which they spread over their corrup-He alone dared openly to rend it off, tions. and expose their hypocrisy. "Woe unto you, Scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites ! for ye pay tithe of mint, and anise, and cummin, and have omitted the weightier matters of the law, judgment, mercy, and faith: these ought ye to have done, and not to leave the other undone. Woe unto you, Scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! for ye are like unto whited sepulchres, which, indeed, appear beautiful outwardly, but are within full of dead men's bones, and of all uncleanness. Even so ye also outwardly appear righteous unto men, but within ye are full of hypocrisy and iniquity ¹⁹."

¹⁹ Matt. xxiii. 23, &c. Whoever would see the argument in its just light, must read this whole chapter.

d 2

Digitized by Google

In this manner a way was prepared for the total abrogation of the ritual law, and the substitution of a new mode of propitiating and worshipping God. This is openly declared by Paul to the Jews: "Be it known unto you, men and brethren, that through this man (Jesus) is preached unto you the forgiveness of sins; and by him all that believe are justified from all things, from which ye could not be justified by the law of Moses²⁰." Who had taught this "Hebrew of the Hebrews," in contradiction to all that he had learnt and professed from his youth, that any thing could be wanting to the completeness of the law of Moses? So he argued afterwards in his epistle to the Romans, "What shall we say then? That the Gentiles, which followed not after righteousness, have attained to righteousness, even the righteousness which is of faith. But Israel, which followed after the law of righteousness, hath not attained to the law of righteousness. Wherefore? because they sought it not by faith, but as it were by the works of the law²¹."

²⁰ Acts, xiii. ²¹ Rom. ix. 30.

One epistle of this writer is almost wholly occupied in reproving the Galatians for having relapsed into a dependence on that very law, in which he himself had been taught from his youth to trust exclusively. The course of his argument leads him to insist particularly upon the original intent of the law, as tending, in the providence of God, to that dispensation which had now succeeded it; and about to merge, as it had now merged, in that mission of Jesus, by which the prophetic types of the law were fulfilled, and the divine will, in instituting them, more clearly displayed. And here he utters sentiments which astonish us not a little, as coming from a Jewish pen. That no man is justified by the law in the sight of God, is evident. A man is not justified by the works of the law, but by the faith of Jesus Christ; for by the works of the law shall no flesh be justified. As many as are of the works of the law are under the curse. Wherefore the law was our school-master to bring us unto Christ 22.

22 Gal. ji. 16; iii. 10, 24.

d 3

Thus does he annul the practice, and contradict the belief, of fifteen hundred years: the belief of his own country, that country proverbial for zealous attachment to their law; his own belief: for he had been once eager beyond others to maintain the ritual, which he now declares to be set aside. Is it easy to explain this inconsistency?

It appears too throughout the history of the early Christian church, that the national feeling upon this point was one of the strongest obstacles to the reception of the Gospel. The accusation against the Apostles was, that they *persuaded men to worship God contrary to the law*²³. Even the converts obstinately adhered to the ceremonies of their ancestors, and desired to impose them on the heathen²⁴. Against this feeling a few men, themselves of the same country, having learnt nothing from foreign intercourse, imbued from their infancy with the

²³ Acts, xviii. 3.

²⁴ Acts, xv. 5-31. The deliberations, there recorded, will illustrate the whole of this argument.

same prejudices, stand up alone; take upon themselves the character of oracles; reprove the national attachment, and spiritualize the literal law. To say nothing of the success which attended this attempt, how shall we account, on any common principles, for the spirit which excited it?

III. Inquiring further into the agreement of the doctrines of Jesus, with the temper of the people to whom they were proposed, we find the following declaration. " I say unto you, that many shall come from the East and West. and shall sit down with (the ancestors you so highly venerate) Abraham, and Isaac, and Jacob, in the kingdom of heaven. But the children of the kingdom shall be cast out into outer darkness; there shall be weeping and gnashing of teeth." "Think not to say, among yourselves, we have Abraham to our father; for I say unto you, that God is able of these stones to raise up children unto Abraham." "I say unto you, the kingdom of God shall be taken from you,

р4

Digitized by Google

and given to a nation bringing forth the fruits thereof²⁵."

These sentences contain evident allusions to a popular opinion. And looking into the Jewish character, we find that the purpose here announced assails a very distinguishing feature of their belief.

That people conceived, that their law had been prescribed to them by the Creator of the world, for the express purpose of separating them from other nations. Certainly it had produced that effect. Without entering upon the origin of that law; without going back to the primary causes of that peculiarity which distinguished the Jews from all the rest of the world;—we cannot deny that the peculiarity existed; because the Jews are spoken of by such heathen writers as allude to them at all, as being no less singular and exclusive in their

25 See Matt. viii. 11. Luke, iii. 8. Matt. xxi. 43.

speculative creed than in their national worship.

In consequence of this marked difference from the nations by which they were surrounded; in consequence of their belief of the creation and the unity of God, and their freedom from the polytheism, and idolatry, which prevailed in all other parts of the world; the Jews, to a man, plumed themselves on their peculiar claim to the favour and protection of God: a privilege which their archives gave them reason to believe they had enjoyed for fifteen centuries. Other nations were distinguished by an appellation which implied inferiority, as Gentiles, the common herd of mankind: while they were " children of the covenant;" " a holy nation; a peculiar people²⁶." And the internal evidence of all Jewish records proves how closely this conviction was interwoven among all the ideas and customs of the country, both civil and religious.

²⁶ Acts, iii. 25. Deut. xiv. 2.

Digitized by Google

This then is another point, on which Jesus directly opposes the popular prepossession, instead of turning it to his advantage. He introduces a new and most contrary principle. He begins by warning his countrymen no longer to imagine themselves the favourites of Heaven. who were to enjoy a light which shone the brighter from the contrast of surrounding darkness. He was come to "enlighten the Gentiles" also. The religion, which God was now about to establish, was offered to his people Israel first: but not to Israel exclusively: it was designed for all the nations of the earth, that they might become one fold under one Shepherd. How strange, and how unpopular as well as strange, would it sound in Jewish ears, to hear the promise of divine favour, instead of being limited to the posterity of Abraham, universally proposed to the Greek and to the barbarian, to the Jew and to the Gentile. And this new doctrine is not confined to a few detached passages; it pervades the whole ministry of Jesus; and forms the leading object, sometimes directly and sometimes indirectly, of many of those

parables which so peculiarly distinguish the Christian writings. Under various figures, he warns his nation of the approach of that time when they should find themselves disinherited, deprived of the peculiar glory of their history, and yielding the honour of the service of God to nations which they had hitherto despised for their idolatry.

It was extraordinary enough in Jewish impostors to think of converting other nations, from which they were separated by so broad a line. "The obligation of preaching to the Gentiles the faith of Moses, had never been inculcated as a precept of the law; nor were the Jews inclined to impose it on themselves as a voluntary duty²⁷." Such had never been the national practice; but on a sudden the practice of centuries is changed; the prejudice of centuries removed; and the individuals of this exclusive and unsocial people begin to convert other nations, by disinheriting their own countrymen.

²⁷ Gibbon, i. 453, quarto ed. He passes over the different intention of the Gospel, as if it required no explanation.

All national prejudices are strong; they are strongest when founded on religion; and if there is any truth in history, they were stronger among the Jews than among any other people. The authors of Christianity were alone without them.

And yet they were not without them. It appears from the history, that many remarkable circumstances wrought conviction on the mind of Peter, before he was brought to acknowledge, " Of a truth I perceive that God is no respecter of persons; but in every nation, he that feareth him, and worketh righteousness, is accepted of him²⁸." In the subsequent narrative, Peter clearly intimates, that he should not have ventured to receive Gentiles into the religion which he was promulgating, if he had not received indisputable proof of the will of God concerning them. "While Peter yet spake, the Holy Ghost fell on all them that heard the word. And they of the circumcision which be-

²⁸ Acts, x. 34.

45

lieved were astonished (as many as came with Peter), because that on the Gentiles also was poured out the gift of the Holy Ghost. For they heard them speak with tongues, and magnify God. Then answered Peter, Can any man forbid water, that these should not be baptized which have received the Holy Ghost as well as we?"

If a sceptic refuses his assent to the particulars of this narrative, he cannot deny that the framers of it were aware of the difficulty which their liberal principles would occasion. It is constantly alluded to as forming a subject of dispute between the Jewish and Gentile proselytes; and causing a division among those who could only prevail, we should have supposed, by the most unanimous consent and agreement. "The apostles and brethren that were in Judea heard that the Gentiles also had received the word of God. And when Peter was come up to Jerusalem, they that were of the circumcision contended with him, saying, thou wentest in to

 $\mathbf{2}$

men uncircumcised, and didst eat with them ⁴⁹." He explained and defended his conduct. And when they were, at length, convinced by his narrative, the result strikes them as wholly unexpected and surprising, "Then hath God also to the Gentiles granted repentance unto life."

On the part of the majority of the Jews, who opposed the new religion, this admission of the Gentiles was all along an occasion of great hos-The Jews, as a body, could not bear to tility. be united with strangers in the same synagogue, to hear them instructed out of the same Scriptures, and encouraged by the same promises. This was as unpopular among them, as the abrogation of the Mosaic law. We are told, that "when they saw the multitudes, and that almost the whole city came together to hear the word of God, they were filled with envy, and spake against those things which were spoken of Paul, contradicting and blaspheming ³⁰." On another occasion, "the Jews which were of

29 Acts, xi, 1, &c.

3º Acts, xiii. 45.

Asia stirred up all the people, crying, Men of Israel, help; this is the man that teacheth all men every where against the people, and the law, and this place; and further, brought Greeks also into the temple, and has polluted this holy place³¹."

These disputes and outrages, of which we find many incidental notices throughout the history and writings of the apostles, sufficiently show how strong the current of popular opinion ran; and that the religion of Jesus directly opposed it. Placing ourselves, as we are reasonably bound to do, in the situation of those who first promulgated that religion, must we not be surprised that the countrymen of persons so bigoted should have conceived the idea of proselyting Gentiles, a thing not customary with their nation, but at variance with all their prejudices? Or even allowing that they believed such prejudices to be narrow and groundless :---which, however, had a strong foundation in the national law, a law impressed with the seal of God him-

³¹ Acts, xxi. 28,

Digitized by Google

self:—would they risk the success of their cause by offending universal opinion; would they avow a principle which was unpopular, even among the friends of the new religion, and gave an additional and more plausible ground of opposition to its enemies?

IV. But Jesus, I observe further, goes beyond the mere abolition of the law. He foretels the approaching destruction of the temple, nay, the city too. This prophecy makes a prominent figure in his discourses. He only intimates it obscurely, when he says to the Samaritan, "Woman, believe me, the hour cometh, when neither in this mountain, nor yet at Jerusalem, ye shall worship the Father ³²." If this stood alone, it might pass for a random insinuation. But elsewhere it is declared, in a manner which cannot be mistaken. "As Jesus went out of the temple, one of his disciples saith unto him, Master, see what manner of stones and what buildings are here. And Jesus answer-

³² John, iv. 21.

49

ing, said unto him : Seest thou these great buildings? There shall not be left one stone upon another that shall not be cast down³³." In another passage it assumes the form of a more solemn warning: "And when he was come near, he beheld the city, and wept over it; saying, If thou hadst known, even thou, at least in this thy day, the things which belong unto thy peace! But now they are hid from thine eyes. For the days shall come upon thee, that thine enemies shall cast a trench about thee, and compass thee round, and keep thee in on every side; and shall lay thee even with the ground, and thy children within thee; and they shall not leave in thee one stone upon another, because thou knewest not the time of thy visitation 84."

Now, if we believe that Jesus said these words, according to the date of his history, and that about forty years after he had spoken them, Jerusalem was destroyed, under circumstances unusually calamitous, and the temple in parti-

³³ Mark, xiii. 1. ³⁴ Luke, xix. 41, &c.

E

cular overthrown, in spite of the endeavours of the conqueror himself to preserve it standing; an event which no one ventures to deny: we have arrived at the conclusion of our inquiry, and Jesus confirmed his divine mission by this display of supernatural knowledge.

But I wave, at present, the point of prophecy. I am considering the probability of imposture. And would the framers of a new religion unnecessarily encumber their own cause, a cause which certainly wanted no gratuitous impediment, with a prediction as improbable as it was unpopular?

The threatened destruction of Jerusalem struck at the root of all the national prejudices. The Jews confidently relied upon divine protection. The idea of being deserted by that care, and of seeing their city in the hands of foreigners, was not more shocking to their pride, than contradictory to their faith. Such an event was treated by their writers not as a danger, or a disgrace, or a calamity, but as an *abomina*-

tion ³⁵. And we know, from history, that when the catastrophe really happened, they obstinately shut their eyes to the nearness and extent of the danger; they would not believe that *Gentile* hands would ever be suffered to pollute the sanotuary which they so highly revered; and expected to the last that a divine interposition would preserve their temple, at least, from the general overthrow.

Now, would men belonging to a country in which the national feeling was so enthusiastically strong, partake in no share of that feeling? Indeed, the feeling is admitted, and avowed: we read, that when the author of this very prophecy beheld the city, he *wept over it*. The writers, therefore, at all events, were not ignorant of this feeling, whether they shared in it, or no; and being aware of it, would they openly outrage it, with no apparent benefit to their undertaking? For we do not easily perceive how this prediction was to forward their

35 Daniel, xi. 31; xii. 11. Matt. xxiv. 15. 70 Bderuyua.

Е 2

cause. No inference is drawn from it; neither is it advanced in the way of argument: it stands as a naked assertion; from which it might have been expected that either patriotic enthusiasm, or calculating prudence, whichever feeling predominated, would equally have induced them to abstain.

But are we sure, that these passages always existed where we now find them? The Christians have for many, very many centuries, had the possession of these title-deeds of their religion; may they not have introduced into them, from time to time, additions of this nature, with the desire of propping their cause ³⁶?

We sometimes meet with these insinuations; but they admit of a most complete and satisfac-

³⁶ Volney, I suppose, takes this for granted; because he assumes that the national calamities, in consequence of the destruction of Jerusalem, made the Jews ready to hail the very phantom of a Messiah. I mention this, not because such a writer *deserves* an answer; but to show what a shadow those are following, who think that the truth of Christianity must be doubtful, because such and such persons have denied it.

3

tory reply. Before the destruction of Jerusalem took place, there were Christian churches, according to undeniable testimony, in Smyrna, in Antioch, in Damascus, and all the western side of Asia; in Thessalonica, Athens, and Corinth, and every part of Greece; in Spain, in Italy, and in Gaul: there were Christians, and the writings on which their religion was founded, in the principal cities of the civilized world: what magic or miracle could insert unauthorized additions in all the copies scattered throughout these countries? It is favourable to the evidence, though not to the peace of Christianity, that the religion has never attained a state, which might render such collusion practicable : it has always had too many enemies, both public and private, to allow of such surreptitious insertions, without immediate detection. Let us put the question to ourselves, how could this be practised now? Yet it was never more feasible; for, in proportion as the difficulty is increased, as no doubt it is, by the multiplication of copies, the possibility is also increased by the inven-

е З

Digitized by Google

tion of printing, and the facilities of communication.

Such was the unaccountable conduct of the authors of the Christian religion. It will not be denied that they were Jews. We shall not diminish our difficulties, by supposing that a party of Greeks or Syrians entered Judea, and affirmed to the inhabitants of Jerusalem, that a teacher named Jesus had wrought great miracles in that city, and had preached new doctrines among them, and that they had put him to a public death. Such a case will hardly be supposed, independently of the exact knowledge of the Jewish history, religion, manners, and sentiments, which is found throughout the Christian Scriptures.

Here, then, were Jews, undertaking to invent a religion; and having the field open before them, they were bound to nothing but the general records, traditions, and opinions of their age and nation. Yet these are the very points which they oppose.

There existed in their country, men of power and authority, who were reverenced as oracles in matters of religion. These they make no attempt to conciliate; but expose, without hesitation, to contempt and reprobation.

Their countrymen expected a temporal prince; and were, at the time, suffering under a foreign yoke, which they bore with great uneasiness and impatience. Yet they persisted in asserting, that the Messiah's kingdom was not of this world.

It was a favourite belief among the Jews, confirmed by the whole course of their history, that their nation enjoyed the exclusive regard and protection of the true God. But the first principle of the Christian religion tended to dislodge the Jews from these high pretensions, and to admit all other nations indiscriminately within the pale of God's church.

Е4

These men had been educated in a belief, that a strict compliance with the Mosaic law was prescribed by the command of God, as an indispensable condition of his favour. Yet they set this law aside, both with respect to its supposed efficacy, and its prescriptive obligation.

The city of Jerusalem was universally believed to be secure under the especial care of God, as being the seat of the only true religion; and its temple consecrated to his peculiar service, by divine institution, and ancient usage. Yet these men declared, that total destruction was quickly approaching both the temple and the city.

Now we find an equal difficulty meeting us, whether we consider the improbability of men bred up in these prejudices, becoming, by some unknown process of reasoning, superior to them all; or whether we consider the impolicy of fabricating a religion which ran counter to these well known prepossessions in the minds of those to whom it was proposed. Yet they did that, which, to every common apprehension, must appear most impolitic; and they succeeded in that which, according to every known principle, must appear equally improbable.

For it must be remembered, that these were not unfounded or unreasonable prejudices, such as a superior understanding might be expected to sweep away. The hope of a temporal deliverer rested on the interpretation of prophecy, which had represented the Messiah under the character of a conqueror and a king. The reliance on exclusive favour was supported by the express word of God, who had avouched the Jews to be a holy and peculiar people unto him, to keep his statutes, and his commandments, and to hearken unto his voice ³⁷. The attachment to the Mosaic law was founded on its divine appointment: the reputed sanctity of the temple on the positive command, that worship should

³⁷ Deuter. xxvi. 18, &c.

be regularly offered there by all who professed the Jewish faith.

All this renders any attempt to abolish these opinions more bold and extraordinary. I do not desire to assume the actual authority of those sacred records to which the Jews appealed. It is enough for my present purpose, that the Jews had no doubt of that authority; they considered it indisputably divine.

But it is material to remark, though I shall not here dwell upon the argument, that from the moment when we admit the authors of the Christian religion to be what they pretend to be, the instruments of God, all that has been hitherto pointed out as so improbable is reasonably accounted for, and exactly accords with our natural expectations.

It would be very extraordinary if a divine person, visiting the world under the character assumed by Jesus as the Messiah, should have proposed the present evil world, and not a fu-

JEWISH OPINIONS.

ture and better dispensation, as the final object of his coming. Nothing is more intelligible to us, than that the Scribes and Pharisees had fallen into the natural error, of substituting the form and ceremony for the spirit and reality of religion. Nothing was more to be expected, than that a final revelation of the will of God to mankind, such as the Gospel professes to be, should be intended and adapted for the whole human race, rather than a single country. And if so, the abrogation of the Jewish law naturally follows: it had performed its purpose with regard to that particular nation, and was little calculated for more general reception. Neither was it extraordinary that a people, which had been always placed under a very peculiar dispensation, should be visited with a punishment so signal as the ruin of their country, when they persisted in rejecting the message of God, and the blessings which he brought within their power.

That, therefore, which is altogether inexplicable, if we consider the Evangelists to have

60 CHRISTIANITY OPPOSED TO JEWISH OPINIONS.

acted on their own authority as the inventors of a new religion, is precisely what we should expect and deem most probable, if they were indeed the instruments and ministers of God.

CHAPTER III.

Originality of the Christian Doctrines.

IT was argued in the preceding chapter, that several of the leading doctrines taught by Jesus and his followers, are such as could not be expected to originate from Jews. This appears on the surface. The Messiah desired by the Jews was conspicuous and powerful. The Christian Messiah was humble and unknown. The Jewish religion was national and unsocial: the Christian religion was open and universal. The characteristic of the Jewish religion was its ceremonial strictness: the characteristic of the Christian religion is spirituality. The Jews adored their city: Jesus foretold its destruction. So that Christianity cannot be said to have grown up out of Judaism, though it was grafted upon a Jewish stock; its character was entirely new, and as much opposed to the common prin-

ciples existing among Jews, as to the habits of polytheism.

If we examine the matter further, we shall find much more that is equally surprising. Let me remind the reader, that unless Christianity was of divine origin, it was a system invented by human ingenuity. And the authors who invented it, invented it with a view to its being received. If I imagine the case of persons embracing such a design, I must suppose them considering, both what doctrines it were possible for them to propose, and what doctrines were likely to prove acceptable.

The success of Mahommed's imposture may be ascribed in a great degree to the simplicity of what he taught, and its agreement with human reason, as well as with the previous belief of many of his disciples. "There is one God" a truth however obscured by the errors of idolatry, or lost in the darkness of ignorance, such as reason is willing to acquiesce in, and finds confirmed by the general appearance of the

"Mohammed is his prophet." In deworld. claring this fundamental part of his creed, he was careful to disturb no prejudices, and treated the feelings both of Jews and Christians with tenderness. While he asserted his own superiority, he gave station and authority in his scheme to Adam, to Noah, to Abraham, to Moses, and to Jesus. There is nothing in his Koran which we are surprised to find there: nothing which may not be traced back to existing opinions, or to books within his reach. The truth to which he owed his success, and to which the long duration of his religion must be chiefly attributed, the unity of the godhead, he found in the Jewish and Christian Scriptures: he had only to pronounce it anew, and to clear away the intrusive worship of images and martyrs, saints and angels, which had corrupted the church in that dark age and country.

When I subject Christianity to a similar test, no such result appears. I cannot account for its fundamental doctrines. They are agreeable, indeed, to experience and observation: they

explain appearances which are and always have been universal throughout the world: they suit the character and meet the necessities of mankind; but they are so far from being on that account " as old as the creation," that a moment's reflection on what the tenets of the Gospel really are, will show them to be in the strictest sense original. Like the theory of attraction, they explain phenomena long observed and every where observable; but like that theory, the explanation was perfectly novel. It is difficult to suppose that unauthorized men, of any rank, education, or country, could ever have undertaken to promulgate such doctrines.

"The Son of Man is come to seek and to save that which was lost."—"So God loved the world, that he gave his only begotten Son, that all that believe in Him might not perish, but have everlasting life '."

It is implied in these passages, and others which confirm them, that mankind are under the

¹ Matt. xviii. 11; John, iii. 16.

Digitized by Google

wrath and condemnation of God; who had sent his Son, in the form and nature of man, to undergo in his own person the penalty incurred by sin, and to proclaim the offer of eternal happiness to as many as became his faithful and obedient disciples.

Now, when we reflect on these propositions, and divest our minds of the familiarity derived from long acquaintance with them, do they appear such as would be likely to occur to any man, or party of men, as the foundation of a. religious system, which they were intending to promulgate to the world? Can we believe that imposture, having an unlimited field open before it, would choose this ground to expatiate upon? There is no reason to think that, as Jews, the authors would entertain this view of the state of mankind; still less, that supposing such to be their opinions, they would make this the groundwork of a religion which was to be proposed for acceptance to their countrymen, and to all nations.

F

These, however, are the doctrines on which the religion of Jesus is built. The basis of the whole, is the alienation of mankind from God, and their consequent state of darkness, error, and condemnation. This is no after-thought, or comment of a later age: it is declared by Jesus himself, in express terms, and in various It is declared by him, when he explains wavs. the object of his coming into the world, and applies to himself the prophetic passage of Isaiah, "The Spirit of the Lord is upon me, because he hath anointed me to preach the Gospel to the poor; he hath sent me to "heal the broken hearted, to preach deliverance to the captives, and recovering of sight to the blind; to set at liberty them that are bruised; to preach the acceptable year of the Lord³." He affirms it expressly, when he says, "I am the way, and the truth, and the life: no man cometh unto the Father, but by me⁴." He implies it, when he affectionately complains of those who rejected his message, "Ye will not come unto me, that ye might have life⁵." He implies it, when he

Luke, iv. 18, 19. 4 John, xiv. 6. 5 John, v. 40.



says, "He that heareth my word, and believeth on him that sent me, hath everlasting life, and shall not come into condemnation; but is passed from death unto life⁶." He implies it, in ascribing his incarnation to the merciful design of God: who "had not sent his Son into the world to condemn the world; but that the world through him might be saved. He that believeth on him is not condemned; but he that believeth not is condemned already, because he hath not believed on the name of the only begotten Son of God."

This point, so laid down by the Author of the religion, is mainly insisted on by its teachers after him. It is affirmed by Peter, in his address to his countrymen, when he says, "Repent and be baptized, every one of you, in the name of Jesus Christ, for the remission of sins⁸." And, again, when he calls upon them to repent and be converted, that their sins may be blotted out⁹: and assures them, " Unto you first, God

		v. 24.	- 	8,	Acts,	ii .	26.	
7	Ibid.	iii. 17,	18.	9	Ibid.	ni.	19.	

F 2

Digitized by Google

68

Sec. 4.

having raised up his Son Jesus, sent him to bless you, in turning away every one of you from his iniquities "." And on another occasion he declares, "Neither is there salvation in any other; for there is none other name under heaven given among men, whereby we must be saved "."

The same point is very particularly urged by Paul, as the foundation of Christian truth taught by him to those who professed the religion. He systematically argues, from a comprehensive view of the actual state both of Jews and Gentiles, that "every mouth must be stopped, and all the world become guilty before God. For all have sinned, and come short of the glory of. God; being justified freely by his grace, through the redemption that is in Christ Jesus: whom God hath set forth to be a propitiation through faith in his blood, to declare his righteousness for the remission of sins that are past, through the forbearance of God; that he might be just, and the justifier of him which believeth in Jesus 12." In another epistle he affirms more generally; " the Scripture hath concluded all under sin, that

¹⁰ Acts, iii. 26. ¹¹ Ibid. iv. 12. ¹² Rom. iii. 23, &c.

the promise by faith of Jesus Christ might be given to them that believe 13." And throughout his writings, without making such elaborate statements, he so alludes to this as an acknowledged doctrine, as to prove that it was familiarly received and understood to be the basis of the Christian faith. He teaches the Colossians to be thankful to the Father, who had " delivered them from the power of darkness, and translated them into the kingdom of his dear Son: in whom they had redemption through his blood, even the forgiveness of sins 4." And to the Ephesians he writes, very remarkably, "You hath he quickened, who were dead in trespasses and sins : wherein in times past ye walked according to the course of this world, according to the prince of the power of the air, the spirit that now worketh in the children of disobedience: among whom also we all had our conversation in times past in the lusts of our flesh, fulfilling the desires of the flesh and of the mind: and were by nature the children of wrath, even others 15."

¹³ Gal. iii. 22.

¹⁴ Col. i. 13. ¹⁵ Eph. ii. 1-* F 3

Such was the doctrine proposed both to Jews and Gentiles. But from what existing opinions, among either, was it derived? The Jews, we know, as a body, were entirely satisfied with themselves. And this, for two reasons. They had very low notions of morality¹⁶; and further, that sect among them which had the most pretensions to religion, never seems to have doubted but that their scrupulous attention to the ceremonies and prescriptions of their law entitled them to the especial favour of God ¹⁷.

¹⁶ Trypho says to Justin, "Your precepts in the Gospel are so strong and extraordinary, that we conceive it impossible for any to observe them." Orobio says the same.

¹⁷ So Orobio, in his conference with Limborch: and in the Answers to Questions proposed to the Jews, published by Brenius; "Spiritualis liberatio solum-modò dependet ab observatione legis quam Deus in Monte Sinai promulgavit." See Owen on Hebrews, i. 81. Turretin alleges it as one of the Jewish notions refuted by Jesus, "that all Jews would certainly be saved." He adduces a passage from the Codex Sanhedrim, which affirmed that "every Jew had a portion in the future world;" and another, from the Talmud, in which it is said, that "Abraham is sitting near the gates of hell, and does not permit any Israelite, however wicked he may be, to descend into hell."—See Horne's Introd. v. iii, p. 73.

"The Jews thought that no Israelite should be deprived of future happiness, whatsoever his faults had been, unless he were guilty of apostacy, idolatry, and a few other crimes, which they specified."—Jortin, from Just. Mart. Dial. p. 433. Thirlby.

. Ц.

Probably the general feelings of their party are accurately characterized by the Pharisee's prayer, in which their self-complacency is described to the life: Lord, I thank thee, that I am not as other men are, extortioners, unjust, adulterers: I fast twice in the week. I give tithes of all that I possess 18." It is impossible that men who supported those interpretations of the law which Jesus so forcibly confutes; men who eluded the obligations of filial duty by dedicating their money to the uses of the temple, and censured acts of mercy, because they interfered with the sanctity of the Sabbath-should be awake to those spiritual views of human obligation, and of the extent of the divine laws, and of the submission of the heart required by true religion, which would enable them to discover the truth, that " all have sinned;" or that those who " have. done all," are still to call themselves "unprofitable servants "9."

"The school of Elias used to say, that whosoever learned the traditions of the Misnah might be assured he should have eternal life."—Id. Disc. on Christ. Relig. p. 28.

¹⁸ Luke, xviii. 10. ¹⁹ Luke, xvii. 10.

F 4

71

72

We may affirm this with more confidence, from the pains which St. Paul takes to establish the point in question on the consciences of the Roman Jews, when they had embraced Christianity. "Behold (he says) thou art called a Jew, and restest in the law, and makest thy boast of God, and knowest his will, and art confident that thou thyself art a guide of the blind, a light of them which are in darkness, an instructor of the foolish, a teacher of bubes, which hast the form of knowledge, and of the truth in the law "." In this he lays hold of the national prejudice; their self-complacency; their assumed superiority; their confidence of the divine favour. He knew it well; for he describes his own altered state of feeling, which led him to condemn himself, although he had before been, touching the righteousness which is of the law, blameless 21. Indeed, nothing from the beginning had excited so much malignity against Jesus, as the little respect which he paid to the legal or formal righteousness on which the Pharisees depended, and the boldness with which he laid open the

²⁰ Rom. ii. 17. ²¹ Phil. iii. 9. See the whole passage.

real corruption of their hearts, concealed as it was, even from themselves, by a thick veil of a ignorance and error.

The other prevailing party in the nation, the.) Sadducees, would be in no respect more likely to invent or receive these humiliating doctrines. Denying altogether the immortality of the soul, and the providence of God, and that not in the total absence of religious instruction, like the heathens, but in spite of a revelation which was generally received by their countrymen; it was not probable, that they should embrace the notion of a Moral Governor in controversy with them, or believe the danger of a resurrection to condemnation. These were the sceptical portion of the people; the free-livers and freethinkers of their day.

We can tell, from our own experience, what chance there was of a doctrine proving acceptable to men of this sort, which began by impeaching them as offenders against a righteous and holy God, who looked on all iniquity with

73

A 11 4 6 8

abhorrence. The two classes of men whom it is most difficult to bring over to a right acknowledgment of the Christian faith, are those who, like the Catholics at the period of the Reformation, have rigidly, but too exclusively adhered to the formal ceremonies of religion; and secondly, those whose consciences have been seared by habitual carelessness or profligate habits, indulged in defiance of the warnings which the Gospel sounds throughout our land, as the law and the prophets sounded them in Jerusalem. The former would not come to Jesus "that they might have life," because they doubted not their having it in themselves; the others "loved darkness rather than light, because their deeds were evil."

But the conversion of the Jewish nation made a very small part of the object of these teachers. It may be thought, as they purposed to carry this new doctrine among the heathen world, that their task would become easier as they proceeded. Once awakened to a knowledge of their Creator; once acquainted with his

2

holiness, and the purity of his precepts, and his unlimited demand of obedience on the part of man; the heathen could but prostrate themselves in the dust, in humble conviction of the difference between their practice and the law now revealed to them. Yes; they would do so, when the impression was really made; but how difficult to introduce the light: to create the first conviction! Every thing was to be done. When they had been untaught the errors with which their minds were possessed, they had still to learn the unity of God, and his perfect purity; they had to become practically convinced of his moral superintendence; of the faithful service and obedient love which he requires; and of the resurrection to a future state. in which he will recompence all men according to their conduct in this²². Every article in such

²² Expressions of humility may be found in Antoninus and Seneca; which, taken separately, appear to convey a sense of personal demerit; and have been sometimes quoted for the purpose: but, examined with the context, have no reference to any debt due to a Supreme Judge; but are only introduced, in the way of argument, to recommend clemency in the punishment, or moderation in the censure of others. -See examples in Seneca de Clem. i. 6. Anton. l. xi. c. 18.

a creed was new. They had been accustomed to some general belief in superior beings, but those beings little different from themselves, except in the supposed power of doing them good or evil. They had entertained little idea of moral inspection on the part of their deities; little sense of personal responsibility. The immortality of the soul was discussed among their philosophers, but not with any practical view: it was a speculative question, affirmed by some. and denied by others ²³. Nor was there ever any sect among them, perhaps never an individual, upon whom it gained such an ascendancy, as to become a check upon corrupt habits or natural desires²⁴. Tradition, or probability, had intro-

²³ Juvabat de æternitate animarum quærere, imo mehercule credere. Credebam enim sane opinionibus magnorum virorum, rem gratissimam promittentium magis quam probantium. --Sen. Ep. c. 11.

²⁴ "We are sufficiently acquainted with the eminent persons who flourished in the age of Cicero, and of the first Cæsars, with their actions, their characters, and their motives, to be assured that their conduct in this life was never regulated by any serious conviction of the rewards or punishments of a future life."—Gibbon, i. 558.

"That part of repentance which is a religious sorrow, an acknowledgment of past offences to God, our Maker and

duced among the Greeks certain vague ideas concerning future punishments for extraordinary crimes; but so mixed up with fiction and fable. that they carried no weight, even among the lowest vulgar. No dread of something after death, prevented their rushing upon it with eagerness, or meeting it with indifference: in their discourses, and even prayers, at such times, many of which have come down to us, no sense appears of any need of repentance, no pious sorrow, or acknowledgment of offences. One philosopher writes, "death is the boundary; and the dead appear to be incapable of good or evil²⁵." Was he, or were his disciples prepared to put the question, or admit its force, "what shall it profit a man, if he should gain the whole

Governor, and prayers to him to forgive them; the Gentiles seem to have overlooked, both in the course of their life and at the end of it."—Jort. Disc. p. 265.

²⁵ Περας γαρ[•] και έδεν έν τω τεθνεωτι δοκει έτε άγαθον έτε κακον έεναι.—Aristot. Ethic. 1. iii.

Cæsar, in a well-known passage, makes this argument practical, and urges it as a reason for not inflicting capital punishment on the Catilinarian conspirators, "mortem omnia mortalium mala dissolvere: ultra neque curæ neque gaudio locum esse. An assertion which his rival and opponent scarcely yentures to censure.—Sall. Bel. Cat. 50.

77

world, and lose his own soul?" Another says, There may be something felt in the act of dying; after death we shall either feel nothing, or enjoy happiness²⁶. Would such an one have received the warning : "Fear not them which kill the body, but are not able to kill the soul; but rather fear him which is able to destroy both soul and body in hell." The same man, and he was one of the wisest of the ancients, he says it too, writing as a moralist, "Keep faithfully to what you have promised seriously as in the sight of God; for this is necessary, not on account of the divine anger, which has no reality, but for the sake of justice and good faith ²⁷." How unlike the language, which speaks of a "day of wrath and revelation of the righteous judgment of God, who will render to every man according to his deeds: to those who by patient continuance in well doing, seek for glory, and honour, and immortality-eternal life; but unto them that are contentious, and do not obey the truth,

²⁶ Cicero de Senectute.

²⁷ Num iratum timemus Jovem? Hoc quidem commune est omnium philosophorum, nunquam nec irasci Deum, nec nocere.—Cic. de Off. iii. 28.

78

79

but obey unrighteousness, indignation and wrath, tribulation and anguish, upon every soul of man that doeth evil 28."

Even with regard to the Jews themselves, the views concerning a future state which existed among them, were of a fluctuating, indefinite nature, the glimmering rather than the clear light of truth, altogether unlike what we meet with in the discourses of Jesus and his followers. Though the immortality of the soul was the prevailing sentiment of the synagogue, this did not prevent the existence of a sect among them, in considerable celebrity, and strictly attached to the Mosaic law, who yet denied any resurrection.

In the Old Testament, the state of the deceased is spoken of very briefly and obscurely." It is represented to us rather by negative than by positive qualities; by its silence, its darkness; by the ignorance of the living about it ²⁹. So

²⁸ Romans, ii. 5-9.

²⁹ See Campbell on the Gospels. Preliminary Dissertation.

that the Jews, at least about the time when Christianity was first introduced, were in the habit of treating the subject of a future state as a matter of philosophy, rather than of religion. For their opinions on this head had undergone some variations, as well as those of the heathen. The immortality of the soul had been much more generally believed among the earlier Greeks, than in later times, and among the Romans. And from the subjection of the Jews. first to the Macedonian empire, and afterwards to the Romans, they imbibed many of the sentiments of the people with whom they had intercourse, particularly on those subjects where the law was not explicit. Several speculative tenets from the philosophy of other eastern nations also gained admission among them ³⁰.

³⁰ This is evident, from the account given by Josephus of the sentiments of the Pharisees. Antiq. 18. 2. Bell. Jud. ii. 12. Their prevalent opinion was, that the soul survived the body: that vicious souls would suffer imprisonment in Hades: that the souls of the virtuous would be happy there, and in time be privileged to transmigrate into other bodies: which was their àragradis TWY YERGEN.—Campbell, ubi supra. See also Horne's Introd. vol. ii. p. 618.

80

Hence it arose, that with regard to a future state, a great difference is observable between the language of the ancient prophets, and the popular opinions of the Jews at the Christian æra. It may seem surprising now to us, when we read their Scriptures with eyes enlightened by subsequent discoveries, that there should have been any dissentients from the doctrine of the future existence and responsibility of mankind. But their example shows us the difference between prophetic hints or allusions to ã truth, and positive declarations: between analogical conclusions, and the explicit assertions of a law, of which future rewards and punishments are the sanction.

The Jew, then, speaking of the nation generally, was no more in a state, than his heathen neighbour, from his previous habits of thinking, to invent or receive a religion like the Christian. His views of futurity had nothing clear or positive about them. His usual train of thought seldom carried him beyond this world; and when it did, he was under no apprehension;

G

Digitized by Google

therefore he was not prepared, without further conviction, to believe any thing, or to resign any thing, for the sake of an uncertain future. He was no more ready to embrace, than he was likely to conceive, the precept, "Lay not up for yourselves treasures on earth." It would be new to him to hear the positive assurance, "These shall go away into everlasting punishment; but the righteous into life eternal."

II. If there was no acknowledgment of sinfulness, if there was no feeling of consequent danger, no "fearful expectation of judgment:" —there could be, of course, no preparation for the great and leading article of the Gospel, the doctrine of redemption. That in pity for the condition of mankind, God had sent his Son into the world to make an atonement for their sins, and to ransom from eternal condemnation as many as should believe in his name, and receive him as their Saviour: this was a declaration, which nothing in the previous opinion or expectations of either Jaws or heathen tended to make credible or popular.

1

The heathen, indeed, had been accustomed to propitiate their deities by sacrifice; and such offerings made an important part of their national worship. We find, too, from history, that among nations as widely separated from each other; as the Druids in Gaul, and the Persians and Indians in the East, the remarkable custom of offering human sacrifices prevailed. And although we are not acquainted, from any clear authority, with the notions upon which this custom was originally founded, we cannot easily account for its existence, except from a dread of divine anger, and a vague hope of averting this from the head of one victim to that of another³¹. The explanation is most probable, if I do not assume too much in saying so, which represents it as a fragment of early revelation, broken off from the system, of which it

³¹ The position maintained by Dr. Priestley, that "in no nation, ancient or modern, Jew or heathen, has any idea of a doctrine of atomement, or of any requisite for forgiveness, save repentance and reformation, ever existed," is so inconsistent with fact, that it is surprising he should have ventured the assertion. Magee, i. 292. Yet the ideas which did exist on that subject were far too vague and indefinite to become a foundation for the doctrines of the Gospel.

G 2

formed a part, and carried down along the stream of time after its object and purpose had been forgotten. For, whatever may have been the origin of the practice, we know enough of the heathen sacrifices, and the prayers which accompanied them, to be assured that this mode of worship was rather a compliance with ancient custom, than a solemn offering of which any reasonable account could be given. It did not convey, generally, any idea of substitution, or arise from any sense of personal danger. It had been the practice of their ancestors, the practice of the country; and as such it was maintained. And the idea which attended it was rather that of expensive purchase, than of vicarious suffering³². When Jesus declared, that he came " to give his life a ransom for many;" and when Peter affirms, " that Christ bore our sins in his own body on the tree; that he once suffered for sins, the just for the unjust, that he might bring us to God 33, we are presented with a clear and definite idea; nothing like which was conveyed by the hea-

³² Δωρα θευς πειθει, και αιδουυς Gασιληας.
 Placatur donis Jupiter ipse datis.
 ³³ Matt. xx. 28. 1 Pet. ii. 24. iii. 18.



then sacrifices, or the petitions offered together with them, which expressed the anxiety of the worshippers for some temporal good, and, at the same time, betrayed their ignorance and indifference concerning a future state.

Among the Jews, vicarious sacrifices formed a very remarkable part of their worship; and, by the law which enacted them, were declared to convey an acknowledgment of demerit, and to be intended as a propitiation for sin, in language too clear to be mistaken. But the Jews did not look to any thing beyond the actual sacrifice ordained by their law. They considered it as being perfect and sufficient in itself, and did not expect any ulterior fulfilment, much less such a fulfilment as the Gospel declared. They had never been accustomed to interpret their Scriptures in this way. It was there predicted in a prophecy, confessedly relating to the Messiah, that he should "be cut off, but not for himself³⁴." But they had never applied this and other passages of similar import to the ac-

34 Dan. ix. 26.

G 3

г,

tual circumstances of the expected Messiah; neither could they be persuaded to apply them so, against the stream of a contrary prepossession. So far from this being their previous idea or expectation, Jesus is represented as employing frequent pains, and for a long time without effect, even to convince his immediate followers that such was the purport of their ancient prophecies³⁵.

Under these discouragements, with no party on his side, and no feeling in his favour, Jesus

³⁵ A remarkable passage occurs in Philo, concerning the Aoyos, not indeed as Redeemer, but as Mediator. Tu dexayγελώ και πρεσθυτατώ Λογώ δωρεαν έξαιρετον έδωκεν ό τα όλα γεννησας Trathe, iva medopios otas to revomenor dianging to revoincolos, with more to the same purpose, (V. i. p. 501. edit. Mangey). On this and other coincidences between the Christian writers and Philo, Bryant observes, " If we admit these doctrines of Philo, and excuse his prejudices and misapplication, we shall find some wonderful truths afforded. And these could not be borrowed from his brethren the Jews; for whatever knowledge they had of these mysteries, it was by no means adequate to the intelligence which he has given." His Discourse on Repentance, however, as well as the general tenour of his works, shows, that whether he derived his expressions from Christian sources, as Bryant supposes, or not, his knowledge was merely theoretical: he had no practical understanding of the doctrine of mediation.

began to proclaim to his disciples and countrymen, that he had come into the world as a peacemaker between God and men, by offering himself a sacrifice in their stead. Declaring " that God so loved the world, that he had sent his only son, to the end that all that believe in him might not perish, but have eternal life."-That "the Son of man was come, to give his life a ransom for many." That "as Moses lifted up the serpent in the wilderness, so must the Son of man be lifted up," that they might look on him, and be saved. Which assertion was more fully explained by the apostles, after the event of his crucifixion; when they affirmed in plain terms, that he "was delivered for our offences;" that "whilst we were yet sinners, Christ died for us;" that "when we were enemies, we were reconciled to God by the death of his Son;" that "we have redemption through his blood;" that " he was once offered, to bear the sins of many;" that "God sent his Son to make propitiation for our sins."

G 4

87

Now, whatever may be thought of this declaration, one thing, at least, can never be pretended; that it was conformable to any opinions existing among the Jews of that age. Otherwise, why did they revolt from his claims? Yet we are told, that when he had been explaining more particularly than before, the entire reliance and dependance upon him which the regeneration and salvation of their souls required : many said, "This is a hard saying; who can bear it? And from that time many of his disciples went back, and walked no more with him³⁶." On another occasion, "Jesus said to those Jews which believed on him, If ye continue in my word, then are ye my disciples indeed; and ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free. They answered him, we be Abraham's seed, and were never in bondage to any man; how sayest thou, Ye shall be made free? Jesus answered them, If the Son shall make you free, ye shall be free indeed ³⁷. This assertion was very ill received by the generality; and that it would be so, he was well aware, and often spoke

³⁶ John, vi. 60. 66. ³⁷ John, viii. 31.

Digitized by Google.

89

to this purport: "Blessed is he whosoever shall not be offended in me³⁸."

" If a man keep my saying, he shall never see death. My sheep hear my voice; and I know them, and they follow me; and I give unto them eternal life, and none shall pluck them out of my hand 39." Now, is this a pretension which Jesus was likely to assume, from a desire of gaining reputation, or converting proselytes? We have seen that there was nothing in the state of the public mind, either among Jews or heathens, which could have led to his taking upon himself the character of Mediator between the world and God. He had not the advantage of coming to the world, as one who preached his religion in these latter times came to an Hindoo suffering under the torment of some self-inflicted penance, and pointed out the uselessness of such voluntary martyrdom, since God had "laid on one the iniquity of us all;" and " the blood of Christ cleanseth from all sin." He found none ready

³⁸ Matt. xi. 6. ³⁹ John, vin. 51; x. 27.

to exclaim, "This is what I want," as the Hindoo replied, and threw away the instruments of his torture. Before men could be persuaded to trust in him as their Mediator, they must be first convinced of a future state: then of a future judgment; and then of their incompetency to meet that judgment; their liability to the divine wrath. We know this, from what we know of their previous sentiments; we perceive it from the general strain of his declarations; which aim at proving the value of the soul; its imminent risk; the certainty and strictness of the divine tribunal; the misery of punishment; the blessedness of Heaven. We collect the same from the few addresses of the apostles to the heathen, which are recorded. Before the court of Areopagus, Paul makes it his first object to prove the existence of the Creator, and the resurrection of the dead 49. And to the Roman governor, Felix, he began by " reasoning of temperance, and righteousness, and judgment to come⁴¹." Till he had laid this founda-

4º Acts, xvii. 24, &c. 41 Ibid. xxiv. 25.

tion, there was nothing for the Gospel to stand upon.

There would be no justice, therefore, in comparing the impression made by the doctrines of the Christian teachers with that of any modern impostor or enthusiast, who easily persuades the ignorant to receive his pretensions, He takes advantage of the belief already existing, and supported on other grounds, and on that he founds and establishes his claim to attention. All that he needs to prove is this, that he is an interpreter of the Bible, which his hearers already believe, on widely different evidence, to be the word of God. Jesus, on the contrary, came, not to interpret a revelation, but to make one; to make one in open contradiction to the natural opinions and popular belief of all who heard it.

And the little probability which existed of such a revelation as his being believed, or invented in order to its being believed, is sufficiently plain from what we ourselves know, and

feel, and have constant opportunity of observing. The doctrines in question, that Jesus came to make atonement for the sins of men; for that " all have sinned, and come short of the glory of God;" and that "eternal life is the gift of God through him," or for his sake: how are these statements usually received? Are they the first or the last doctrines which mankind are willing to acquiesce in? Are there not multitudes who do not dispute or doubt the evidence which confirms the authority of the Scriptures, and yet refuse their assent to this leading tenet? Is it not generally understood to be so contrary to the prepossessions of mankind, that it is often kept out of sight, and has been seldom insisted on as the main object of the Gospel, in treatises which were intended to give a popular view of the evidences of Christianity? Notwithstanding the clearness with which it is laid down, and the various proofs which can be alleged to show that divine revelation, from the beginning, has hinged upon this as its principal point: we know that a considerable body, even among those who do not neglect religion, labour to

THE CHRISTIAN DOCTRINES.

exclude this article from the Gospel, on the express plea, that it is contrary to the suggestions of our reason, and, therefore, cannot be admitted by those who profess themselves " rational Christians."

The proposition which they maintain is, that "God freely forgives the sins of men, upon repentance; and that there can be no occasion. properly speaking, for any thing further being done, to avert the punishment with which they had been threatened 42." On this ground, the sacrifice which Jesus declared that he came to make, and which his apostles affirmed that he had made upon the cross, is explained away. His death is sometimes said to have confirmed the truth of his mission. Others treat it as an -" illustrious example, showing us the most perfect obedience to God, and the most generous goodness and love to man, recommended to our imitation by all possible endearments and engaging considerations." And they object against

⁴² Priestly, Hist. of the Corruptions of Christianity.

Digitized by Google

ORIGINALITY OF

the doctrine of atonement, "as having greatly debased the truths of the natural placability of the Divine Being, and our ideas of the equity of his government ⁴³." So they consider Jesus as a man commissioned by God to make a fuller communication of his will, and teach a purer morality than the world had known before; by his life to set an example of perfect obedience; by his death, to manifest his sincerity; and by his resurrection, to convince us of the great truth which he had been commissioned to teach, our rising again to future life ⁴⁴.

If those who do not discard the authority of Scripture, nay, who profess to revere it, can be thus induced to bend and distort its plain declarations, in order to bring them to the level of their previous opinions: we have a striking argument to prove what I began this chapter by alleging, namely, that the purpose which Jesus assigns for his appearance in the world was very

⁴³ Taylor's Key to Apostol. Writings. For the whole subject, see Archbishop Magee on the Atonement, *passim.*⁴⁴ Magee, i. 12.

Digitized by Google

little likely to have been fabricated in order to deceive; and if invented, either by fraud or enthusiasm, very little likely to have obtained attention and credit, without overpowering evidence.

III. The peculiar death of Christ opposed an additional barrier to the reception of his religion. "Let all the house of Israel know assuredly, that God hath made that same Jesus, whom ye have crucified, both Lord and Christ 45." Se Peter affirmed, in a full assembly of his countrymen. But was it probable, that he should be believed in this, on his bare and unsupported assertion? Neither would the apostles, we must imagine, be listened to abroad, when they came to declare among foreign nations, that he who was now held up as the Saviour of the world, and who was to become the object of universal faith and trust, was a Jew, who had been crucified at the instigation of his countrymen. Such a death was certainly an essential part of

45 Acts, ii. 36.

Digitized by Google

ORIGINALITY OF

the whole system; but it was long before that system could be explained, and longer still before it could be understood and comprehended by Gentile hearers, to whom every thing relating to the Jewish law, its rites, and typical sacrifices, was new. "Behold the Lamb of God, which taketh away the sins of the world 46." Such a sentence fills the Christian with love and admiration; and even to the sceptic of the present day, the idea which it conveys is familiar; and from the effect of early association, and popular reverence, carries with it so much that is venerable, that we are unable to judge how strange, not to say revolting, the doctrine must have appeared to the minds of a Grecian or Roman audience.

The plan of redemption disclosed in the Gospel, comes to us united with the Jewish Scriptures and Jewish history; many circumstances of which concur to introduce it gradually to our minds. But, to a Jew, the Cross was

46 John, i. 29.

Digitized by Google

THE CHRISTIAN DOCTRINES.

97

an object of peculiar abhorrence. They had a proverbial sentence in their law, "Cursed is every one that hangeth on a tree." Their firm belief that the Messiah should be a prince and a conqueror, sufficiently disinclined them to receive any one in that character, who had no outward splendour that man should admire. But a disgraceful death was a still more decided disqualification. And they seemed to themselves to have given a death-blow to his pretensions, when they had succeeded in contriving for him a punishment so mean and degrading. "Come down from the cross, if thou be the Son of God."—" He saved others, himself he cannot save."

Among the other nations to which Christianity was first proposed, this obstacle would be no less invincible. That one who had been condemned by his own countrymen to death: that one who had actually suffered that death, by an execution reserved for the vilest malefactors; which it was not permitted to inflict on the most notorious offender, if a Roman citizen:

H

ORIGINALITY OF

that he should be now proclaimed as one sent from God to call the world to repentance, and through whom alone was an opening of acceptance with God: all this would appear so contradictory to the natural feelings and habitual associations of the persons to whom it was addressed, that it could not be received on any common authority. It seems impossible that men should venture to propose it, without some strong confirmation, to which they might ap-The difficulty is acknowledged in the peal. history itself. The whole matter is there represented as quite inexplicable, even to the Apostles, till the entire system of the Gospel was laid open to them; and they were enabled to perceive, that the expected Messiah " ought to have suffered these things, and to enter into his glory 47." During their Master's life-time, all intimations from him of what he was about to undergo were met with an indignant or incredulous repulse. "Be it far from thee, Lord. This shall not happen to thee."-" And if to men so prepossessed as were the Jews, this doc-

47 Luke, xxiv. 26.

THE GHRISTIAN DOCTRINES.

99

trine could not fail to appear impious and execrable; to men so prepossessed as were the Gentiles, it could not fail to appear nonsensical and absurd. In fact, it is manifest from the writings of the apologists for Christianity, in the second and third centuries, that this doctrine long continued to be a principal matter of offence to the enemies of Christianity, and was regarded by such as an insurmountable objection. They treated it as no better than madness, to place confidence in a man whom God had abandoned to the scourge of the executioner, and the indelible reproach of the cross ⁴⁸."

Now, if the followers of Jesus had been conscious that they were promulgating an un-

48 Campbell. Serm. ii. v. 2, p. 23. Λογον ἐπαγγελλομενον ύιον ἐιναι τα θευ, άποδακνυμεν ἐ Λογον καθαρον και άγιον, ἀλλα ἀνθρωπον ἀζιμοΐαίον, ἀπαχθενία και ἀποίυμπανισθενία.—Cels. ap. Orig. p. 79. Ed. Spencer.

Nexpe τινος φημην έις τον υμείεχον (sc. Deorum) έγχαθεστησε αληρον. Libanius, de Constantino loquens, Paneg. Julian. 253.

Ἐπείλα ὁ νομοθέlnς ὁ πρωλος ἐπεισει ἀνίμες, ὡς ἀδελΦοι παλιες ἐιεν ἀλληλων, ἐπειδαν ἀπαξ παραδανίες Θεμς μει τες Ἑλληνικες ἀπαριησων-Ιαι, τον δε ἀνασκολοπισμενον ἐκεινον Σοφιστην ἀυίων προσκυνωσι. Lucian de Morte Peregrini.

н2

ORIGINALITY OF

supported fable, they would surely have kept out of sight this part of their leader's history. Or, if it were too notorious to be omitted in the narrative, we should find them always on the watch to cover the disgrace, and remove the impression which it was naturally calculated to raise. Yet this precaution was neglected, or very imperfectly used by any of the Evangelists. They record the crucifixion as faithfully as they record many other things which might be likely to create a prejudice against the religion: but the explication is left for the more complete developement of the doctrines which was to follow. Neither did the Apostles, in their subsequent ministry, ever conceal this revolting fact, or cloak the disgrace of their Lord under the general dignity of a divine teacher, whose zeal had made him a sacrifice to popular fury. St. Paul is constantly repeating, "I preach Christ, and him crucified"-" I glory in the cross of Christ:" though he was well aware, at the same time, that this very reproach of the cross made his religion "a stumbling-block to the Jews, and foolishness to the Greeks." He

THE CHRISTIAN DOCTRINES.

acknowledges the "offence" taken at the cross; and speaks of "enemies of the cross of Christ:" confessing, that in the doctrines which he proclaimed there was much to contradict the notions of human wisdom, and which human wisdom would reluctantly receive⁴⁹.

All this has very little the appearance of fabrication. No one has ever shown what could induce men to impose a religion on the world, when, by doing so, they risked every thing and could gain nothing. If they were led by interest, where was their profit? If they were hurried along by enthusiasm, whence came their sobriety? why was there so much method in their madness? But if this argument is set aside; if it is thought that the anomalies of human nature make it impossible always to determine, from any ordinary rules of conduct, what enterprise men may or may not take in hand: then I look to another test, to the religion itself, instead of the persons who intro-

49 1 Cor. 1. from v. 17 to the end of the chapter.

н З



102 ORIGINALITY OF THE CHRISTIAN DOCTRINES.

duced it. And I argue, that the main doctrines of Christianity-the condemnation of mankind as corrupt in the sight of God, and the atonement made upon the cross by Jesus as a Mediator between the offenders and their Judge,--are doctrines which we cannot, on any rational or probable grounds, attribute to imposture. Taking them as maintained by the Apostles, with all their attending circumstances of the resurrection of the dead, the future judgment, the final punishment of the wicked, and the eternal happiness of the redeemed, we cannot trace their origin to any known or accessible source in the belief of those times and countries. Neither can we account for their reception. There was nothing in the doctrines themselves to allure or conciliate; and the minds, both of Jews and Gentiles, were utterly unprepared to embrace a religion which had nothing in common with their former opinions, and directly opposed some of their strongest prejudices.

CHAPTER IV.

103

Connexion of Christianity with the Jewish History and Scriptures.

THE inquiry of the preceding chapter came to this result: that the Christian religion sets out upon a view of the state of mankind which was original, and proclaims a method of recovery from that state, which was also original: the expectation of such an event, to be so accomplished, having never entered into the minds of Jews or Gentiles.

But is it not a possible case, that the followers of Jesus, being disappointed by his death, and required to account for it, or to give up their purpose, and confess themselves deluded; should have struck out the idea of atonement, and affirmed that he died a sacrifice? Then having hit upon this explanation, they supported it as they could out of the institutions of their law, and the facts related in their history.

н4

There certainly are points in the law, and circumstances in the history, of the Jews, to which the death of Jesus appears to bear a more or less direct relation.

1. In a very early part of their history, the father of the nation, Abraham, is represented as receiving a command from God to offer his only son, Isaac, as a victim to be sacrificed on the altar by his own hand. Abraham obeyed the extraordinary command; and to the full extent of purpose and intention the sacrifice was consummated; being only restrained at the very crisis of accomplishment, by divine interposition¹. Do we see here the germ of the doctrine that "God so loved the world as to send his only begotten son," to make " a propitiation for their sins?"

2. Again, in the journey through the wilderness, we find it related, that when the camp of the Israelites was infested with venomous serpents, sent as a judicial chastisement for their

¹ Gen. ch. xxii.

THE JEWISH HISTORY AND SCRIPTURES. 105 disobedience; Moses erected, by divine command, a serpent of brass: numbers of the people had perished; but as many as looked up to this brazen figure, were healed of their wounds. To this the crucifixion of Jesus is explicitly compared: "as Moses lifted up the serpent in the wilderness, so must the Son of Man be lifted up^{a} ."

3. The departure of the Israelites from Egypt was attended with this remarkable circumstance. That they might avoid the fate with which the Egyptians were threatened, the Israelites were ordered, in every family, to kill a lamb, and sprinkle the doors of their houses with its blood, under a promise that the impending calamity should be averted from every house on which this token was displayed. The anniversary of this great event in their history, their departure from Egypt, was to be carefully celebrated; and their preservation commemorated in every family by the annual sacrifice of

² Numbers, xxi. 8.-John, iii. 14.

a lamb slain in a manner particularly prescribed. This greatest of the Jewish festivals was termed the Passover, from the peculiar circumstances of its institution³.

This custom is alluded to, when Jesus is designated as "the Lamb of God;" and he is specifically styled "our Passover, who is sacrificed for us 4."

4. The establishment of the law of Moses, which followed the deliverance of the Israelites from Egypt, is represented as a *covenant*; in which a rich and fruitful country is promised to that people, together with an abundant store of temporal blessings, if they continued obedient to the prescriptions of the law. The Gospel is also represented as a *covenant*, of which Jesus is the Mediator⁵; " a new and better covenant," sanctioned not by transitory or earthly rewards, but by the promise of eternal life to as many

Digitized by Google

³ Hebr. xii. 24; ix. 15.

³ Exod. xii. 27, &c.

⁴ John, i. 29. Revelation, passim. 1 Cor. v. 7.

THE JEWISH HISTORY AND SCRIPTURES. 107 as embrace that covenant through faith in its author.

5. By the Mosaic law a high priest was appointed, who should "offer gifts and sacrifices" in the name of the people. The teachers of the Gospel stated, that by this appointment the purpose of the incarnation of Jesus was pre-figured: who was to appear as the great, and acceptable, and final *Intercessor for mankind*, and who, "by the sacrifice of himself," "the offering of his body once for all," should "put away \sin^{6} ."

6. It was part of the ceremonial of the Jewish law, that the altar, and the vessels used in sacrifice, should be washed, and the people sprinkled, with the blood of the victim ⁷." On one occasion, the ratification of the covenant between God and that people, was solemnized in this way. And the reason of the original appointment is expressed in these words —" the blood is the atonement for the soul ⁸."

⁶ Heb. ix. 26; x. 10.

⁸ Levit. xvii. 11.

7 Exod. xxiv. 6, &c.

This custom is declared in the New Testament to have been a type of the purpose of God, to sanctify for himself a people *through the blood of Christ*; which is said to have ratified an "everlasting covenant;" to be sprinkled upon the conscience; to be the "price of redemption and forgiveness of sins," the object of faith, and the medium of justification ⁹.

Now the question is, whether the authors of Christianity took advantage of these and other circumstances belonging to their history and law, and adapted them to their purpose, in order to make out a plausible explanation of their leader's death.

It was before mentioned, that no expectation of any such fulfilment of the law existed among the Jews. They observed the type, without looking towards the antitype. They considered their law to be perfect in itself; and it does not appear that they generally interpreted

9 Heb. xiii. 20; x. 22. Eph. i. 7. Rom. iii. 25; v. 9.

THE JEWISH HISTORY AND SCRIPTURES. 109

it in a figurative point of view. Jesus was not understood, when he made allusions to the historical types and applied them to himself. And the apostle, who explains, in an elaborate treatise, the prophetical institutions of the law, and their fulfilment in what Jesus had done and suffered, thinks it necessary to prove the agreement point by point, as if he was laying before his countrymen a novel and unexpected interpretation ¹⁰.

We have, therefore, little reason to suppose that these men, in opposition to the current of public opinion, would recover from the dismay into which their leader's death had thrown them, to exhibit him in the new character of a sacrifice: would affirm, contrary to every received idea, that it was the object of the predicted Messiah's appearance to make that sacrifice: would have the ingenuity to support their fiction by appealing to the ceremonies of the national worship; and would ultimately suc-

¹⁰ See the Epistle to the Hebrews, chap. vii.—x. and passim.

ceed in converting a number of their countrymen to their side.

But, waving this improbability, how are we to account for the existence, in the Jewish law, of those typical institutions which allowed of such an application ? How are we to account for the historical facts which illustrate the Gospel, and receive illustration from it ? In proportion as these are suitable to the purpose for which the Apostles employed them, the wonder is increased that they should be found in the history at all.

1. That God should send his Son into the world, to suffer a judicial death for the sins of mankind, is an idea so astonishing, that we receive it with difficulty and hesitation. It is extraordinary too, that the Jewish history should relate how the greatest patriarch of their nation was commanded to make a similar sacrifice, by the offer of his only son upon the altar to God. The resemblance, in a matter so remarkable, seems to indicate connexion; especially when we consider the minute circum-

THE JEWISH HISTORY AND SCRIPTURES. 111

stances to which that resemblance extends. Each individual concerned was an only and a beloved son of his father. Each was doomed by his father to be made a sacrifice. Each bore upon his own shoulders the wood upon which he was to suffer. Each willingly gave up the life he was requested to resign; and, "as a sheep before her shearers is dumb, so opened he not his mouth." Each was accounted dead in the sight of men, yet each was raised again, and returned unto those he had left. Each was the heir of promise by descent, and to each has the promise been fulfilled. The seed of each has been multiplied "as the stars of heaven, and as the sand which is upon the sea-shore; and in their seed have all the nations of the earth been blessed '."

2. "Believe in the Lord Jesus Christ, and thou shalt be saved." This was the Christian doctrine; but it was unlike any thing which the world had heard or conceived before. So it was a singular fact, which occurs in the Jewish

> ¹ Benson's Lectures, vol. ii. Lect. xiv. 2

annals, that the people, when wounded and dying by the bite of poisonous serpents, should be told to lift up their eyes towards the image elevated above them, and so receive a cure. The remedy, to which the party requiring aid contributed nothing, and to which the prescribed means appeared wholly inadequate, is in both cases annexed to the act of faith. Can we suppose such coincidence to have been casual?

3. The Jews were in possession of a law peculiar to themselves, which differs in its nature and provisions from that of every other country, and receives its easiest explanation, when considered as an apparatus for introducing the religion of Jesus. The sacrifices ordained by Moses were not treated as in the worship prevalent elsewhere, in the light of compensations; but clearly conveyed the idea of substitution. The offender was instructed to bring his offering, a male without blemish, and to lay his hand upon its head, and to kill it as a sin-offering; and the priest should "make atonement for the sin that he had com-

THE JEWISH HISTORY AND SCRIPTURES. 113

mitted, and it should be forgiven him "." Another appointment ordered, that the priest should lay both his hands upon the head of the victim, and " confess over him all the iniquities of the children of Israel, and all their transgressions in all their sins, putting them upon his head "."

This agrees both with what was prophetically said concerning the death of Jesus, and with what was subsequently declared to be its effect. "He was wounded for our transgressions, he was bruised for our iniquities; the chastisement of our peace was upon him, and with his stripes we are healed. The Lord hath laid on him the iniquity of us all. For the transgression of my people was he stricken." "Christ suffered for sins, the just for the unjust, that he might bring us to God." "He himself bore our sins in his own body." "We are sanctified through the offering of the body of Jesus Christ once for all ³."

¹ Levit. iv. 24, 35. ² Levit. xvi. 21.

³ Isaiah, liii. 1 Pet. iii. 18. Hebr. x. 10.

Ĩ

With respect to the paschal lamb, the law directed that on the tenth day of the month Abib, in which they left Egypt, every family should select a lamb, a male of the first year, without spot or blemish, and keep it up unto the fourteenth day, when the whole congregation should kill it in the evening. It was to be slain and eaten in a particular manner, so that no bone should be broken; and no part was to be suffered to remain unto the morning⁴.

Now the existence of an institution so singular is a remarkable fact; and its agreement with transactions which happened so long after its appointment, is still more remarkable; a resemblance which the agents in those transactions did not intend or perceive; and depending upon circumstances which those who were interested in the resemblance could no way command.

Jesus, on the tenth day of the same month Abib, came up to Jerusalem, four days before

• Exod. xii.

Digitized by Google

THE JEWISH HISTORY AND SCRIPTURES. 115

His judge was led to declare the Passover. that he could "find no fault in him;" and thus affirmed him to be without blemish. It was contrary to all previous probability, that he should be executed under the Roman jurisdiction. Pilate even strove to prevail with the Jews, to deal with him after their own law. Had he succeeded, or had he refused to gratify their wishes, the death of Jesus would not have been crucifixion. Had it not been crucifizion, the resemblance would not have been made good, which required that the blood should be poured out; that "a bone of him should not be broken." Neither could there have been room for the application of the prophecy, "They shall look on him whom they pierced." Neither was it within the reach of anticipation, that the crucifixion should take place on that particular evening, which was the anniversary of the first sacrifice of the passover, at a distance of fifteen hundred and twenty-four years; or that as it was ordered that no part of the victim lamb should remain until the following morning, so the body of Jesus was buried, notwithstanding

12

the circumstances of his death, without delay; or that he should be condemned by the whole assembly of the people.

We have it in our choice, either to believe that all this concurrence of circumstances was purely accidental; or to suppose that the Jewish history and the Mosaic law were connected from the beginning with the death of Jesus, which had been determined in the counsels of Had this been God's purpose, it cannot Goð. be considered unnatural that he should have given such gradual intimations of it, as are conveyed in the Jewish law and history. The existence of such intimations affords strong evidence to us at the present day, confirming other testimony, and proving the truth of what is implied throughout the Gospel, that the crucifixion of Jesus was the divine purpose from the earliest ages. It might have afforded in a higher degree this evidence to a Jew. When the teachers of the Gospel first claimed his attention, "the Jew should have reasoned thus with himself. Do they say that Jesus died for

THE JEWISH HISTORY AND SCRIPTUBES. 117

our redemption? So did the paschal lamb die to redeem our whole nation in Egypt. Did be ascend afterwards into heaven? So did our high priest go yearly into the most holy place, carrying thither the blood of a sacrifice slain in the worldly sanctuary. Is there no remission of sins without shedding of blood? There certainly was none under the law. Has Jesus appointed a baptism with water? So had our law its purifications for the washing away of uncleanness. Numberless other questions might be asked, which would bring their own answers with them out of the law of Moses; and such was the use which the Jew ought to have made of it ⁵."

I think, then, it must be allowed, that the existence of these points in the Jewish law and history, affords additional authority to the Christian religion, instead of diminishing any thing from it. That it did not grow naturally out of the Jewish religion, is clear as was before shown, because it opposed the existing opi-

⁵ Jones on Figurative Language of Scripture.

nions of those who professed that religion at the time of its promulgation. If I divert into a new channel a stream which has been long flowing in its native bed, and so make it contribute to serve and aid some important purpose, that effect cannot be ascribed to the natural current of the stream, which, but for my interference, would have continued to flow on as before. My purpose may indeed receive great advantage from the stream originally existing. But the new direction has a cause independent of the original stream. So in the case we are considering: a party of adventurers, educated, as far as they were educated at all, in a bigoted attachment to the practice of their ancestors, rise up and oppose the current of the national belief: announce the termination of their law, and point out indications in their ancient history and institutions, which prove that such was the original purpose of its author. But whence came the impulse which urged them to this attempt? And how came they to meet with confirmation and collateral support from instituTHE JEWISH HISTORY AND SCRIPTURES. 119 tions and occurrences over which they could have no control?

These difficulties vanish, if we believe that the Christian religion really came from God. Allowing this, we should expect it to agree with his former revelation, and to belong to a connected plan. And it does so, in a remarkable degree. It gives to the leading features of the Jewish law a consistency which they are otherwise in want of, and it affixes a reasonable signification to facts which cannot otherwise be easily explained. It does not only fulfil prophetic words, but accomplishes prophetic facts. And this, it must be acknowledged, greatly increases the difficulty of supposing that it was the invention of a body of Jews who had been deluded to follow a pretended Messiah.

II. It may be thought, further, that a design like that attributed to the followers of Jesus would be greatly assisted by the prophecies recorded in their national Scriptures, and point-

· 1 4

ing to some remarkable personage who was expected to appear.

1. For example: the time of this appearance was fixed by the prophet Daniel at about four hundred and ninety years from his own days; which so closely corresponded with the birth of Jesus, that such an event was looked for, by "devout persons," at the very period when it occurred ⁶. This would be, as was before observed, a circumstance greatly in their favour.

2. The next thing to be considered by the framers of this deceit, would be the place of their leader's birth. Jesus was born at Bethlehem. Upon consulting their Scriptures, they would find this passage respecting Bethlehem: "Thou Bethlehem Ephratah, though thou be little among the thousands of Judah, yet out of thee shall he come forth unto me that is to be a ruler in Israel, whose goings forth have been

⁶ Dan. ix. 24. Luke, ii. 25.

THE JEWISH HISTORY AND SCRIPTURES. 121 from of old, from everlasting '." This would prove, beyond what could be anticipated, an assistance to their design.

3. It seemed to be intimated in the prophecies, that the deliverer who was to come should be preceded by a forerunner, who might awaken the attention of the people to him. For it was written, "The voice of him that crieth in the wilderness, Prepare ye the way of the Lord; make straight in the desert a highway for our God⁸." And again, "Behold, I will send my messenger, and he shall prepare the way before me; and the Lord, whom ye seek, shall suddenly come into his temple⁹." Now it was notorious that a singular character, John, called "the Baptist," had appeared a short time before Jesus began his ministry, pretending to be this messenger, and nothing more, and directing his followers to one who was to " come after him."

7 Micah, v. 2.

8 Isai. xl. 3.

Digitized by Google

9 Malachi, iii. 1.

This was another coincidence equally wonderful and favourable ¹⁰.

4. Further, as to the most important point; the way in which Jesus had lived, and been received, and died. His character, as represented in the Gospels, had been peculiar in every respect; but especially remarkable for the union of meekness and constancy which it displayed.

Of unknown origin and humble parentage, he had attracted considerable notice, and many followers; yet he had not been generally acknowledged among his countrymen, and those who adhered to him were not the great and powerful. His life, upon the whole, was one of trial and hardship, not one of triumph and exaltation. In the end, he was sentenced to death, with those notoriously wicked; and suf-

¹⁰ If it should be thought that there is too much assumption here, in taking it for granted that the ministry of the Baptist, and the time and place of the birth of Jesus, were real facts; the objection has been met and answered in Chap. I. p. 7-14.

THE JEWISH HISTORY AND SCRIPTURES. 123

fered a punishment, which even his judge confessed that his conduct had not deserved. Yet though dying with malefactors, he was laid in a rich and honourable tomb¹.

A character answering this description was pourtrayed by that prophet, who had always been considered as most particular in what respected the future Messiah. "Who hath believed our report; and to whom is the arm of the Lord revealed? For he shall grow up before him as a tender plant, and as a root out of a dry ground; he hath no form nor comeliness; and when we shall see him, there is no beauty that we should desire him. He is despised and rejected of men; a man of sorrows, and acquainted with grief; and we hid as it were our faces from him; he was despised, and we esteemed him not. Surely he hath borne our griefs, and carried our sorrows; yet we did esteem him stricken, smitten of God, and afflicted. But he was wounded for our trans-

¹ Matt. xxvii. 57-60.

Digitized by Google

.....

gressions, he was bruised for our iniquities; the chastisement of our peace was upon him, and with his stripes we are healed. All we like sheep have gone astray, and have turned every one to his own way; and the Lord hath laid on him the iniquity of us all. He was oppressed, and he was afflicted, yet he opened not his mouth: he is brought as a lamb to the slaughter, and as a sheep before his shearers is dumb, even so he opened not his mouth. He was taken from prison and from judgment; and who shall declare his generation? for he was cut off out of the land of the living: for the transgression of my people was he stricken. And he made his grave with the wicked, and with the rich in his death; because he had done no violence, neither was any deceit in his mouth ²."

It cannot be denied that the existence of these ancient prophecies would be very advantageous to men setting out with the purpose in question. But it is time to ask, in our turn,

² Isai. liii.

THE JEWISH HISTORY AND SCRIPTURES. 125

how they came to find these prophecies ready to their hand? Prophecies of such a nature, that no man could have contrived a scheme dependent upon them, because they could not command the facts by which they were to be fulfilled. With respect to the birth-place, for example: in order that it might happen to be Bethlehem, it was requisite that a general census should be held, convening all the inhabitants of the country to their chief town; by which means alone the mother of Jesus was called away from her usual residence, and her infant born at Bethlehem, instead of Nazareth. The preparatory ministry of the Baptist was equally beyond the control of the disciples. So were the minute details of incidents, which agree in a wonderful manner with the circumstantial narrative. The entrance of Jesus into Jerusalem, at once humble and triumphant³. The manner of his death, and his own country-

³ "Rejoice greatly, O daughter of Zion: behold, thy king cometh unto thee; he is just, and having salvation; lowly, and riding upon an ass, and upon a colt, the foal of an ass."—Compare Matt. xxi. 1, &c.; and Zech. ix. 9.

men the cause⁴. The peculiar indignities which he underwent: the very words of mockery used against him⁵. The price which Judas received for his treachery. The purpose to which that money was applied⁶.

Passages of this nature could not have been introduced by the apostles into the existing Scriptures, because, as their countrymen were generally hostile to the design, such an attempt

4 "And one shall say unto him, what are these wounds in thy hands? Then he shall answer, those with which I was wounded in the house of my friends."—Zech. xiii. 6.

⁵ "I gave my back to the smiters; and my cheeks to them that plucked off the hair: I hid not my face from shame and spitting."—Isai. 1. 6. "The assembly of the wicked have inclosed me. They pierced my hands and my feet: they stand staring and looking upon me. They part my garments among them, and cast lots upon my vesture. All they that see me laugh me to scorn: they shoot out the lip, and shake the head, saying, he trusted on the Lord that he would deliver him; let him deliver him, seeing he delighted in him."—Ps. xxii. "They gave me also gall for my meat; and in my thirst they gave me vinegar to drink."—Ps. lxix. 20. Compare Matt. xxvii.

⁶ "They weighed for my price thirty pieces of silver. And the Lord said unto me, Cast it unto the potter; a goodly price that I was prized at of them. And I took the pieces of silver, and cast them to the potter in the house of the Lord."—Zech. xi. 12. Matt. xxvi. 15. xxvii. 3, &c.

THE JEWISH HISTORY AND SCRIPTURES. 127

must have proved fatal to their pretensions. And further, because the books among which these scattered sentences are found, had now been extensively diffused during a period of three hundred years in a foreign language, defying the imposture of the whole nation, if the whole nation had concurred in the design.

We are reduced, then, to the necessity of supposing, that the followers of Jesus, desiring to deify their teacher, selected from their national Scriptures these pointed allusions to circumstances like his which happened to be written there, and brought them forward to confirm his pretensions.

But surely to ascribe coincidences like these to chance; to allege that all these passages were thrown out at random in the Jewish Scriptures, and that the circumstances of the birth, and life, and character, and death of Jesus turned out so as to agree with them; is to attribute to chance what never did or could take place by chance; and in itself far more impro-

bable than the event which such a solution is intended to disprove. For, allow to Jesus the authority which he claims, and every difficulty vanishes. We should then expect to find prophetic intimations of his great purpose, and of the way in which it was to be effected. We should expect to find them, too, just what they are; not united and brought together in a way of formal description, which could only be a provision for imposture; but such scattered hints and allusions as after the event has occurred serve to show that it was predicted, by a comparison of the event and the prophecy.

It ought to be observed, in addition, that if the disciples of Jesus had framed their story and their representation of facts, with a view of obtaining this collateral support, they would have been more diligent and ostentatious in pointing out the circumstances of resemblance. They would have anticipated the labours of those writers who have made it their business to show the completion of prophecy in the events related in the Gospels. But, on the contrary,

THE JEWISH HISTORY AND SCRIPTURES. 129 they bring these things forward in an historical, rather than an argumentative way; and commonly leave the deductions which may be drawn from them to the discernment of after times.

On these grounds I think myself justified in concluding, that the divine mission of Jesus receives a strong confirmation from the historical facts, the ceremonial rites, and the ancient prophecies which corresponded with the circumstances of his life, and the alleged object of his ministry and sufferings.

ĸ

CHAPTER V.

On the Phraseology of the Christian Scriptures.

In examining the Christian writings, I am struck with the original and peculiar phrases by which the teachers of the Gospel recommended it to the notice of their countrymen. We have seen that they were innovators in doctrine. They were innovators in language too. Their writings abound with terms which can only be understood by reference to these doctrines, which were novel when they were first used; and, although they have now obtained such universal currency as to sound familiar to our ears, derive their meaning entirely from the religion which they were employed to communicate and explain.

1. "Behold, I bring you good tidings of great joy, which shall be to all people'." It is remarkable, that these words assume the truth

¹ Luke, ii. 10.

Digitized by Google

130

PHRASEOLOGY OF THE CHRISTIAN SCRIPTURES. 131

of all which the religion purposes to declare. These good tidings were, the reconciliation of God with man. But why should this be proclaimed as good tidings to those who were feeling no distress, who were not aware that God was at enmity with them?

So the proper term by which the religion was distinguished, was not the *law of Christ*, or the *doctrine of Jesus*, as might be expected; but *the good tidings*; the message of joy. Jesus, we are told, went about "preaching the Gospel." i. e. the glad tidings "of the kingdom of God." His command was, "Go ye into all the world, and preach the glad tidings to every creature." Paul declares, that his object was to "testify the glad tidings of the grace of God." He says that Christ commissioned him to preach the joyful message: i. e. the Gospel⁴.

Now, though it was very soon after the promulgation of the religion, that the word signifying

¹ Mark, i. 15; xvi. 15. Acts, xx. 24. 1 Cor. i. 17.

к 2

132 ON THE PHRASEOLOGY OF

good tidings, which we translate Gospel, became comprehensively used for the religion itself²; yet there must have been a time when it bore no such meaning; but simply expressed to them who heard it the idea of favourable news³. When it expressed this idea, and this idea alone, the authors of Christianity seized upon it to communicate the import of the religion which they intended to teach. And yet to understand that import, requires a previous knowledge of what the religion declares. To believe that it brings good tidings, is to admit its truth. If, indeed, the new religion had consisted merely in a promise of eternal happiness to all who embraced it, we could more easily account

² Mark, viii. 35. Rom. i. 1. 1 Thes. i. 5. 1 Tim. i. 11.

³ "The Greek verb ivay ferror, when first used by the Evangelists; or the Hebrew bashar, when used by the prophets; or the Syriac sabar, as most probably used by our Lord and his Apostles, conveyed to their countrymen only one and the same idea, which is precisely what the phrase, to bring good tidings, conveys to us."—Campbell, Prel. Disc. i. 149.

Evay[Error, translated Gospel, bears the sense of good news five times in the Septuagint: once, the reward of good news. The word Gospel, in its Saxon etymology, is an exact counterpart of the Greek ivay[irror]. Ibid.

THE CHRISTIAN SCRIPTURES. 133

for the term by which it was introduced. But the answer to the question which must have been often put, What good tidings do you acquaint us with ? would be to this effect : God has so loved the world as to send his only Son to make atonement for sin, that all that believe in him may not perish, may be saved fromeverlasting condemnation, and enjoy everlasting life. The angels, who made known to the shepherds the birth of Jesus, are represented as giving this very explanation. I bring you good tidings, "for unto you is born this day, in the city of David, a Saviour." Those who have been brought to acknowledge the promises on which the Gospel rests, will understand that these are glad tidings. But I cannot believe that this is language which any set of men, undertaking the scheme ascribed to the Apostles, would stumble upon by accident, or select from deliberation. It would not naturally occur: and it would involve them in unnecessary difficulties. They would surely have chosen some term more simple and less embarrassing.

к З

134 ON THE PHRASEOLOGY OF

2. Another term which is frequently used to express the new religion, conducts us to the same conclusion. Our translators render it grace. The original word simply bears the meaning of favour, kindness, or mercy; and, of course, when put for the religion itself, must imply that this was viewed in the light of mercy or favour. Thus it was contrasted with the Jewish dispensation. "We are not under the law, but under mercy."----" The law was given by Moses, but grace (favour, mercy) came by Jesus Christ 4." So when the disciples are exhorted to constancy in their profession, this is expressed by their continuing " in the grace of God :" implying that this religion had restored them to his favour⁵. By unworthy conduct, on the other hand, some are said to have "fallen from his grace," or mercy; and others are warned against similar transgression, lest they should "receive the grace" or favour "of God in vain 6." This again displays, in a strong light, the view

4 Rom. vi. 14. John, i. 17. ⁵ Acts, xiii. 43.
⁶ Gal. v. 4. 2 Cor. vi. 1.

THE CHRISTIAN SCRIPTURES. 135

which its teachers entertained of the nature of the religion, and the way in which they had accustomed their converts to regard it, as a merciful interposition on the part of God; and increases the difficulty of supposing that they spoke the language of imposture, and not of conviction.

3. There is less to surprise us in the title ascribed by the Apostles to Jesus, as the Saviour, because the Jews were expecting a deliverer, and that expectation has been supposed to pave the way for the new religion. But the usage of the word *saved* throughout the Christian Scriptures is very peculiar⁷. It is used to signify escape from the divine wrath, in a positive and naked manner, which is different

⁷ Schleusner's interpretation sufficiently shows this :— $\sigma\omega\zeta\iota\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$, felicem esse, vel fieri; æternam felicitatem consequi; amplecti religionem Christianam, et per eam emendari ac remissionem peccatorum et felicitatem perennem obtinere. So that the word $\sigma\omega\zeta\iota\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$ cannot be adequately rendered, except by a periphrasis to this effect, "to embrace the Christian faith, and through that to obtain pardon of sin and eternal happiness."

к4

136 ON THE PHRASEOLOGY OF

from any thing occurring elsewhere, even in the Jewish writings⁸. "Jesus Christ came into the world to save sinners."—" It pleased God to save them that believe."—" I became all things to all men, that I might save some."—" Who then shall be saved ?"—" Are there few that shall be saved ?"—" The Lord added to the church daily such as should be saved ?."

This expression is not derived from any ideas previously existing. It originates altogether in the purpose borne on the face of the religion which its authors were employed to introduce. It proves how closely the object professed by that religion was interwoven with the thoughts and imprinted on the language of its teachers. To embrace Christianity, was to be saved. A confidence in the truth of what they preached is implied in this, which could scarcely be assumed where it was not felt, and

⁸ The passage most similar is found in Jeremiah, xvii. 17. Ιασαι με, χυρε, χαι ίαθησομαι σωσον με, χαι σωθησομαι, ότι χαυχημα με συ έι.

⁹ Τυς συζομενος. those (that were) saved. Acts, ii. 47.

THE CHRISTIAN SCRIPTURES. 137 scarcely felt without strong grounds for conviction.

4. The word translated *righteousness*, also bears an entirely new sense in the Christian Scriptures. Its original meaning is justice, integrity; sometimes, goodness, benignity. In the Gospel it often carries a distinct meaning, *acquittal or acceptance with God*.

We read of "the righteousness of God which is by faith in Jesus Christ:" we read, that "the Gentiles, which followed not after righteousness, have attained to righteousness, even the righteousness which is of faith: but Israel, which followed after the law of righteousness, hath not attained to the law of righteousness ¹⁰." These, and many other sentences of the same import, are absolutely unintelligible, without an acquaintance with the religion to which they refer. But when the principles of the Christian doctrine are explained, we perceive what is meant;

¹⁰ Rom. iii. 22; ix. 30, 31.

138 ON THE PHRASEOLOGY OF

namely, that the heathens, who, being ignorant of their Creator, sought no acquaintance with him, have obtained acquittal through faith in Christ; but that the Jews, who did seek acceptance with him through observance of the Mosaic law, have not obtained acquittal. So the Christian faith is called the "way of righteousness:" its doctrine, "the word of righteousness:" its ministers, "the ministers of righteousness:" its profession, "the righteousness of God." When these phrases are examined, they are found to imply, that righteousness, i. e. justification in the sight of God, as a moral Governor, and acquittal before him as our Judge, is to be obtained in no other way than through reliance on the atonement made by Jesus on the cross. And this usage of the word is only warranted by the fact which is the groundwork of the Gospel: that God has covenanted to accept those as righteous, i. e. as justified at his bar, who embrace the way of salvation offered in the Gospel. The belief is strongly impressed upon our natural feelings, that, "if there is a God, he must delight in

2

THE CHRISTIAN SCRIPTURES. 139

virtue." But experience universally declares, that no human virtue will bear examination according to the law of perfect holiness. Tried therefore by that law, no man is *righteous*, acquitted, or justified in the sight of God. This opens the way for " the righteousness of faith ;" for that justification or acceptance with God, which follows a trust in Jesus. And such is the new sense which is attached to the word *righteousness* by the Apostles¹.

¹ Aixouogurn, Justitia, est doctrina Christi, Matt. v. 10; vi. 33; xxi. 32. 2 Pet. ii. 20. et in Epistolà ad Romanos passim. Wetstein. The title, " the Lord our righteousness," Jer. xxiii. 6, is easily explained from the New Testament; but without it, would not have established any such doctrine. It is scarcely necessary, in the present day, to allude to Taylor's bold substitution of the words deliverance or salvation for righteousness. If St. Paul, in the first chapters of his Epistle to the Romans, is not inquiring how mankind may be justified, in a forensic sense, at the bar of God, he cannot be said to argue at all. Neither does he argue, according to Taylor's translation. As in the passages, " that he might be gracious, and the justifier of him that believeth in Jesus." Where is the antithesis of the original, is to inter autor AIKAION xai AIKAIOYNTA TON ix TISTEWS INST ? And what would be the purpose of the succeeding question, "where is boasting then ?" So again, he translates, Rom. iii. 3. Abraham believed, and it was counted to him for a grant of favour; and, v. 5, his faith is counted for salvation. Paraph. on Rom. ch. 16. in his "Key to the Apostolic Writings."

140 ON THE PHRASEOLOGY OF

Now, we know that it is a long process by which a word comes to bear a particular sense, especially if that sense be complex, and include more than one idea. The process is more difficult when the word is of common use, and is wrested from its natural or conventional import. So that we may reasonably be surprised to find that a word so familiar as that which expresses justice or goodness, should, within the short space of fifteen or twenty years, be habitually employed to signify acquittal before God, or all that is contained in the theological term justification. The idea that justification is to be sought through Jesus, must have been familiar to the mind of the writers, in a degree which can scarcely be imagined without supposing personal conviction.

The employment of this ordinary word in an extraordinary signification, proves also the novelty of the doctrine conveyed by it. Had there been nothing original in that doctrine, it would not have required an original term. Had the Christian religion been nothing more

THE CHRISTIAN SCRIPTURES.

141

than a modification of the Jewish faith, the phrases which had been employed in the one would not have been changed, or extended their signification in the other.

5. The corruption of human nature, and the necessity of regeneration, as it was the professed cause of his appearance in the world, so it forms a prominent part of the teaching of Jesus and his Apostles. This leads to the usage of the word *flesh* and its derivatives, for corrupt nature, in a sense altogether original². "That which is born of the flesh, is flesh." "The natural (or fleshly) man cannot receive the things of God³." What a volume of doctrine is concentrated in these short sentences! To "live in the flesh," to "walk after the flesh," are phrases familiarly used in Scripture for a life led after the natural desires and propensities of the heart. But what meaning have they, till the difference between the spiritual

² The existence of the term in the Septuagint, Gen. vi. 3, will hardly be thought to invalidate this assertion.

³ John, iii. 6. **Yuxixos**, 1 Cor. ii. 14.

142 ON THE PHRASEOLOGY OF

and carnal life is first established? till it is understood to be the object of a religion divinely instituted, to take men out of a state of nature, in which they are enemies of God through the corruption that is in them, and to renew their hearts after the divine image, which bears the stamp of "righteousness and true holiness 4?" These do not sound like the inventions of human teachers. I cannot think that it was a selfinstructed or unauthorized reformer who first laid down the distinction, "That which is born of the flesh, is flesh; and that which is born of the spirit, is spirit⁵."

6. The word *faith* affords a similar instance. For by the terms *faith*, or *believing*, in the New Testament, that is not generally meant which is required, as of course, in the case of any divine revelation, a belief of its truth, and a patient expectation of its promises. The sense which the word often bears in the apostle's language is as peculiar, as the doctrine on which its mean-

4 See Col. iii. 10. Eph. iv. 24. 5 John, iii. 6.

THE CHRISTIAN SCRIPTURES.

ing depends, is original. Faith is represented as the channel through which the benefits of the death of Jesus are conveyed to the believer. For as the doctrine of Christianity is, that he has undertaken to deliver from divine wrath all who trust in him, and to bestow on them eternal happiness; the characteristic of the religion is faith; and those who are invited to receive the religion, are invited to rely upon Jesus; to put their confidence in him; to depend upon him.

To see the force of this argument, consider the phrases: "Believe in the Lord Jesus Christ, and thou shalt be saved." "Being justified by faith, we have peace with God." "Do we then make void the law through faith?" "The Gentiles have attained the righteousness which is of faith⁶." All this, written within twenty years of the death of Jesus, shows the substantial and solid form which the religion early attained, and the deep roots which its leading dootrine had struck. The words, trust in Christ;

⁶ Acts, xvi. 31. Rom. v. 1. iii. 31. ix. 30.

Digitized by Google

143

144 ON THE PHRASEOLOGY OF

by trust we are saved; — what idea would they convey, when heard for the first time? How much must be explained, to render them intelligible? Yet all this had been so explained as to become familiar, and to enable the apostles to write, without circumlocution, of salvation through faith in him, who, but a few years before, had been despised, rejected, and condemned.

Even to this day the phrases here discussed would appear too singular, too technical for general conversation, or writings of a general nature. How can this be accounted for, if there was nothing extraordinary in their origin, nothing beyond the thoughts naturally occurring to men, and very ordinary men?

Here, again, I cannot fail to observe, that this is exactly what we should expect if the religion were divine. It was an original revelation of the purpose of God. Therefore it required fresh phrases to convey it. For words follow ideas. If the ideas were new, they could not be

THE CHRISTIAN SCRIPTURES. 145

expressed without some innovation in language. But can we be contented with believing, that such an innovation was attempted and effected by such persons as the first Christian teachers were, if they were not what they professed to be; i. e. if they had no authority to warrant them, and procure them attention? Did such men give a new turn to language, and strike out notions which they could not even express in terms hitherto employed?

at and not exclusive of their armitics in the stank in

L

CHAPTER VI.

Agreement of Christian Scriptures with subsequent Experience.

IN the previous chapters it has been my object to show, that the teachers of Christianity, when they framed the religion which they introduced, could derive no assistance from the existing opinions or prejudices of their country; but that, in truth, instead of following they opposed them all, or directed them into a new channel. In a word, their doctrines were original.

But in addition to the remarkable fact that men who, by the consent of all antiquity as well as by internal evidence, are known to have been uneducated and obscure, should deviate by accident, or strike out by design, into so much consistent originality: I observe, further, that these writings indicate, in the writers, a wonderful foreknowledge of the manner in which

3

their original doctrines should be received, and of the effects which they should hereafter produce.

There was nothing in the situation, habits, or education of a Jew, particularly of a Jew of Galilee, which could inspire him with the knowledge required in order to these predictions. He had been bred up in a country which was not the general resort of foreigners, or of people of various sentiments, manners, and religions; but where opinion had run to a remarkable degree, in an uniform channel. The mind of a native of one of the provinces of Judea, had never, probably, in its most active state, speculated beyond the dispute between his countrymen and the Samaritans, concerning their national privileges, or between the Pharisees and Sadducees concerning a resurrection, or between the strict and the lax interpreters of the Mosaic There is nothing contradictory to this nolaw. tion in the few apocryphal books which have come down to us, as specimens of the Jewish writings. They contain allusions to the history

г 2

of their ancestors, fictions respecting angels, visions of heavenly things;—and in consequence of the advantage which the writers enjoyed from an acquaintance with the prophetic and other sacred books, they often surprise us with sublime and magnificent speculations concerning God and his judgments; but they exhibit few traces of human character or conduct: little of discriminate knowledge of mankind. Their style is as different from that of the Christian Scriptures, as the book of Psalms from the books of the Evangelists, or the prophecies of Isaiah from the epistles of Paul.

The Christian Scriptures, especially the five historical books, are of a very different description; indeed very unlike any thing that might be expected from writers of that age or country. They abound with the knowledge of men. They are full of scenes and characters, which if they were not real, not copied from the life, but invented by the power of the imagination, discover a dramatic spirit which would hardly have confined itself to the fictitious narrative of

the proceedings of a "sect every where spoken against." Evidence of this kind is not of a nature to be drawn out into proofs, and put into syllogistic form; but it is not the less forcible: and is such as every reader may judge of, who will take the trouble to look through the history with this idea in view.

But it must be remarked more particularly, that we find concentrated here a description of characters which, when they were originally pourtrayed, had no living model, but were to arise out of circumstances in which the conduct of mankind had not hitherto been seen or tried. Without assuming the truth of the Gospel, we may acknowledge that wherever it is received, whether justly or not, as of divine authority, it has placed men in a new situation : by discovering to them relations not before apprehended, by opening to them prospects not before known, by awakening faculties not before exercised. But the Gospel displays, within itself, a prophetic insight into the behaviour of men under these new relations and in this untried condi-

г 3

tion. And, more remarkably still, that insight is commonly shown by allusions and hints not fully developed, but manifesting in the original author of them a perfect acquaintance with circumstances and cases which should arise here-Declarations, warnings, descriptions after. occur, which require a key. The characters or circumstances which the Gospel has produced, supply that key. But could such men as first set out to preach the Gospel, have possessed this foreknowledge? Could any men have possessed it? If they had ventured to conjecture at all upon a subject so uncertain as human conduct in a case so delicate as religion, would their conjectures have been verified by the subsequent experience of eighteen hundred years? What would have been thought of Columbus, if, instead of merely persevering till he reached a country of whose existence he was assured, he had undertaken to describe the rivers, mountains, or inhabitants which it contained, and the reception he should meet with there? And if he had hazarded such a prophecy, and the event had turned out according to his predic-

tions, we should look upon him as something more than an enterprising adventurer.

The discourses, however, of Jesus, are full of anticipatory warnings and precepts, which show that the whole map of the future proceedings of his disciples was laid as it were open to his view. And many of these presumed on consequences from the doctrines to be promulgated, some of which would not have seemed probable beforehand to human expectations, and others would not have been openly declared by an impostor, if they had been foreseen.

I. One instance of this nature, was the PER-SECUTION which Jesus taught his disciples to expect. It was not, indeed, unnatural to anticipate that a nation, so bigoted as the Jews, should oppose the introduction of a religion which was to supersede their law; or that even the idolatrous Gentiles, however in many respects indifferent to matters of religion, should display an attachment to their superstitions when an attempt was made to shake them,

г4

which their previous apathy had concealed. Therefore I should found no argument upon the prophecy, if it were merely written in general, "Whosoever will save his life shall lose it; but whosoever shall lose his life for my sake and the Gospel's, the same shall save it '." Some such encouragement as this must be held out by every enthusiast; as it was by Mohammed, when he reserved extraordinary rewards for any proselyte who should fall in battle in defence of his faith.

But the mode in which the persecution of Christians is spoken of, is not in the way of an ordinary command to maintain the faith or support the authority of their Master. It discovers an exact acquaintance with the sort of attack which they would commonly be forced to undergo. "Blessed are they who are persecuted for righteousness' sake." "Blessed are ye when men shall revile you, and persecute you, and say all manner of evil against you falsely for my sake²." "Blessed are ye when men

¹ Mark, viii. 35. ² Matt. v. 10, 11.

shall hate you, and when they shall separate you from their company, and shall reproach and cast out your name as evil for the Son of man's sake³." "If they have called the master of the house Beelzebub, how much more them of his household⁴?" "If the world hate you, ye know that it hated me, before it hated you⁵." "All that will live godly in Christ Jesus, shall suffer persecution." "If ye be reproached for the name of Christ, happy are ye; for the spirit of glory and of God resteth upon you: on their part he is evil spoken of, but on your part he is glorified⁶."

It is clearly intimated in these passages, that the persecution of Christians should be *for righteousness' sake*: that the peculiar piety and strictness of life demanded of them by their faith in Jesus, and practised for his sake, should be generally disliked, and cast in their teeth as a reproach. And it is a certain fact, that this species of persecution has existed under the dis-

 3 Luke, vi. 22.
 4 John, xv. 18.

 Matt. x. 25.
 6 2 Tim. iii. 12.
 1 Pet. iv. 14.

pensation of the Gospel. Yet I do not see that it was to be previously expected. That the name of Jesus should be odious to those who found their prejudices assaulted, or their interests endangered, was sufficiently natural. But that the particular objection made to his disciples should be taken from their adherence to the strictest rules of temperance, moderation, and piety: in short, should be for righteousness' sake: arose from a trait of human nature which had not been before exhibited, and could only be foreseen by him who "knew what was in man." It had not been before exhibited, because no philosophical teachers, such as the world had hitherto seen, had made the duties relating to a man's self, or those which regard God, equally binding, and defined them as strictly, as those which concern his neighbour. In social duties it has never been pretended that any one can be too exact or too fearful of offending. But a similar exactness in habits of personal virtue, as purity, sobriety, moderation, patience, humility, as also in habits of piety, has excited, in almost every age, more or less virulent suspicion and reproach.

Indisputably these virtues were one cause of the abhorrence in which the Christians were held in the early ages. For it was not an uncommon species of trial to solicit them to the commission of crimes which their religion forbade, with no less an offer than exemption from martyrdom. Even to the present hour the crime of too much religion is held in a degree of dread and dislike, which is not easily accounted for. Many persons, whose own moral character is irreproachable, seem to fear it more, and think it a greater misfortune in one for whom they are interested, than the extreme of vanity or extravagance. Acknowledging the authority of sentences like these: "Strive to enter in at the straight gate; for many will seek to enter in, and shall not be able:"---" seek ye first the kingdom of God and his righteousness:"---" broad is the way that leadeth to destruction, and many there be that go in thereat:"-" many are called, but few chosen :"---acknowledging, the authority which uttered these sentences, many shrink from the conduct which acts upon them as true; deem any such watchfulness superfluous,

as a sense of danger must induce; any such zeal enthusiastic as the importance of the object would naturally inspire. If this apprehension arose from experience of real evils resulting from a zealous pursuit of scriptural righteousness, it would be reasonable, and the hostility in question no matter of surprise. But this cannot be asserted. There may have been But let all of these. victims of fanaticism. from the time of the apostles to the present day, be summed up together, they would not approach by a hundredth part the number of the victims of libertinism. Mischief may have been done by false views or impressions of religion. But if the whole of this mischief could be brought before us, it would not amount to a thousandth part of that which has arisen from the want of any religion. Of all the chimerical evils which the imagination of man ever alarmed itself with, the danger of a too scrupulous fear of displeasing God, or a too earnest desire to serve him, is the least really formidable. Yet we have daily occasion to observe, that many

far greater evils are much less dreaded, and many worse errors more easily pardoned.

And this, I argue, could not have been foreseen by mere human intelligence. It was a new case: it was an improbable case: not that those whose situation might oblige them to reprove or restrain the vices of others, should become objects of hatred; this might have been anticipated; but that silent piety, conscientious temperance, unresisting patience should be treated as contemptible, and opposed as pernicious. Yet this case was clearly foreseen and provided for by the authors of the Gospel. It was foreseen, not as arising from the mischief of such deportment, which cannot be pretended; but from the nature of the human heart. That the seat of the enmity was known to be deeply buried there, is intimated in the words, " Marvel not, if the world hate you. If you were of the world, the world would love his own; but because ye are not of the world, therefore the world hateth you⁷." Here it is predicted, first,

7 1 John, iii. 13. John, xv. 19.

that the disciples of Jesus should form "a peculiar people," opposing, in their general conduct. " the course of this world;" and further, that even under the success and dominion of Christianity, they shall be surrounded by multitudes who despise and avoid them. And thus Independently of hitherto it has ever proved. those before alluded to, who, holding the name of religion in respect, seem afraid of its reality; there have always been many, in a nominally Christian community, who have made a law for themselves altogether distinct from the Christian law: a law of which "profaneness, neglect of public worship, or of private devotion, cruelty to servants, rigorous treatment of tenants or other dependents, want of charity to the poor, injuries done to tradesmen by insolvency or delay of payment, are not accounted breaches; a law which allows of fornication, adultery, drunkenness, prodigality, duelling, and of revenge in the extreme; and lays no stress upon the virtues opposite to these⁸."

⁸ Paley's Mor. Phil. l. 1, c. 2.—His description of the Law of Honour.

Therefore, although many points are to be found in which the Christian who is true to his profession agrees with those who acknowledge no such obligation; there are other points in which they must always differ; and that difference will be followed by contempt, or dislike, or ridicule, in a greater or less degree, according to the respective characters of the parties; according as the one is more or less under the control of conscience, and the other more or less gifted with talents or discretion. The Christian cannot resent an injury; cannot join in loose discourse: cannot countenance diversions which favour the corruption of the heart; cannot be a gamester, for example; cannot be indifferent as to the employment of his time: he cannot, therefore, be a favourite with men who have no views beyond this world. For the declarations of the Gospel have never yet taken such general hold in a community, that strictness in these points has not been singular, and therefore attended with the consequences of being singular in a crowd.

The sentences which allude to the severest of all trials, that of being "reviled, and spoken evil of falsely," and encourage Christians to suffer such a trial patiently, display a similar foresight of the peculiar lot which awaited them. Professing, beyond others, the zealous service of God, they were accused of atheism; and nenouncing beyond others the profligate habits which generally prevailed, their characters were aspersed with the foulest calumnies. From all the early accounts respecting the treatment of Christians, and the opinions which were current concerning them, we learn that there was no crime of which they were not accused; nor any virtue which was not construed into a crime when it belonged to them. They were called useless members of society, because they did not struggle for temporal advancement. In the persecution under Domitian, among others whose names have been neglected by history. Flavius Clemens was put to death, a relative of The historian who relates the the emperor. fact, accuses Clemens of the most despicable in-

dolence⁹; probably, because he had shown an indifference to worldly honours, though his two sons had been destined to succeed to the throne of the Cæsars. The reasonable and philosophical Pliny, though he could not find any subject of animadversion against the Christians in his province, had no hesitation in punishing their inflexible obstinacy ". The stoic Marcus characterizes in the same manner their readiness to die for their religion'; and thus gave just cause for the complaint of their apologist², that the patience and resolution which were admired in Regulus, were condemned in a Christian. The historians of that age, who speak of them, betray a malevolence of hatred which must have required all the encouragement that a prophetic warning was calculated to supply³. Public mis-

9 Suet. Domit. ch. xv. On this accusation, see Tertull. Apol. c. 42.

¹⁰ Plin. Ep. p. 725. Varior.

¹ Lib. ii. s. 3.

² Tertull. 40.

³ "Per flagitia invisos vulgus Christianos appellabat. Exitiabilis superstitio."—Tacitus. "Christiani, genus hominum superstitionis novæ et maleficæ."—Suetonius. "Superstitio prava et immodica."—Pliny.

fortunes were attributed to them in the light of judgments⁴. The most nefarious practices were said to take place at their private meetings, a constant subject of remonstrance with the writers who defend their cause⁵. Pliny mentions with evident surprise the description which he obtained of their assemblies, as being attended with no worse evil than an engagement to abstain from \sin^{6} .

4 Tertul. Apol. 20.

⁵ Justin Martyr, Apol. 1.; which led Antoninus Pius to denounce capital punishment against *false accusers* of Christians: an edict which wonderfully illustrates and confirms Matt. v. 11.

6 " Christians have been called superstitious, and yet they have been called atheists; when particulars come to be examined, the superstition appears to be professing a religion very different from that of their ancestors; and the atheism, despising all the heathen gods, and holding no communion with their worshippers, as such. Christians have been called low, and illiterate, and mean, and yet they have been called wise, versed in magic and necromancy: on examination, their vulgarity seems to have been nothing more than plainness and industry in useful occupations; their powers of magic, miraculous powers. Lastly, Christians have been called lazy and indolent, and yet they have been called restless and busy: their indolence was a want of the common endeavours to get money; so that they had nothing to give the gods; their restlessness, a great assiduity in doing good, in succouring their distressed brethren; and perhaps in converting their acquaintance to Christianity."-Hey's Lectures, B. I. ch. xviii. s. xi.

Traces of the same unreasonable enmity, whenever Christianity becomes an operative principle, may be discovered throughout the whole history of the Church. When the Reformers first began to awaken the Christian world from its long continued lethargy, calumnies bore an important part among the various weapons by which they were opposed. And even in happier and more enlightened times, no persons are treated with so little candour and indulgence as those who come remarkably forward in religion. With a large portion of the community, their zeal meets with less favour, than the actual vices of other men. Their motives are misrepresented, their faults exaggerated; they are condemned for those feelings in religion, which in any other case would be considered honourable; the very titles by which as Christians they are characterized in their own Scriptures, are alleged against them as a reproach. All this, to us, is matter of experience; but how came it to be to Jesus a subject of prophecy? How came he to foresee that his followers should be treated in a way in

м 2

which no other men are treated, simply because they are his followers, and, in obedience to his precepts, "take up their cross daily, and deny themselves?"

II. Another prediction of the same nature, equally improbable at a distance, and equally verified by the result, is that which foretold the divisions and dissensions that should accompany the propagation of the Gospel. "Suppose ve that I am come to give peace on earth? I tell you, nay; but rather division. For from henceforth there shall be five in one house divided, three against two, and two against three. The father shall be divided against the son, and the son against the father; the mother against the daughter, and the daughter against the mother; - the mother-in-law against her daughter-in-law, and the daughter in-law against her mother-inlaw." "And a man's foes shall be they of his own household 7."

7 Luke, xii. 51. Matt. x. 36.

This prediction has been accurately fulfilled in the primitive ages, and at various subsequent periods when the Church has been subject to persecution. The early defenders of Christianity made it a formal complaint, that the nearest relations, when any occasion of dispute happened, revenged themselves on the objects of their enmity, by laying an accusation against them *as Christians*, which rendered them liable to examination, imprisonment, or death⁸.

But the words go farther than this, and imply, that from the time when the religion which Jesus was now teaching should have possession in the world, difference of opinion would prevail concerning it which would prove stronger than natural affection, and require natural affection to be sacrificed. For the relinquishment of relations and friends is included among the duties which his followers may be called to exercise; and he affirms, whoever "loveth father or mother more than me, is not worthy of me; and

⁸ Milner, i. 207; and an interesting example from Justin, p. 191.

м З

he that loveth son or daughter more than me, is not worthy of me⁹."

Did it appear probable that such should be the effect of a religion like the Christian? which inculcates peace, forbearance, charity, good-will towards all men; which allows no lower measure of love to others, than that which we bear towards ourselves; which prohibits envy, by teaching humility; which restrains anger, by requiring meekness and patience; which cuts up malice by the roots, by forbidding the very approach to dissension. Surely an ordinary teacher would not have ventured upon this seeming contradiction between the precepts which he enforced, and the practice which he foretold.

What reason indeed was there for imagining, that such could be the effect of any religion? The world had hitherto had no experience of the kind. The divisions here predicted,

• Matt. x. 37.

3

suppose an earnestness, an anxiety, a sensibility on the subject of religion, of which no trace had existed beyond the Jewish nation, and which a law so literal as theirs gives less scope for than the comprehensive precepts of the Gospel: among other nations it had been utterly unknown.

•

Injunctions such as these: "Not to love the world, nor the things of the world;" " not to be conformed to the world;" not to " lay up treasure on earth, but in heaven;" to " resist not evil;" to "seek first the kingdom of God and his righteousness;" with numerous other passages which occur in the Gospel to the same effect; have always been interpreted with different degrees of strictness, even among those who acknowledge their obligation; and have always been practically neglected by many, who nevertheless do not deny the authority from which they proceed. Thus much, it will be thought, was easily deducible from the nature of human character. But was it obvious, that these differences would not exist, even among

м4

members of the same family, without producing an acrimonious feeling, and often a high degree of rancour and animosity?

Nothing can be more contrary to both the letter and the spirit of the Gospel, than these angry feelings. It inculcates all those graces and qualities of mind, which soften the impression of dislike resulting from difference of sen-It recommends every possible tendertiment. ness even towards those who undervalue or neglect religion. It suggests reasons, which, if properly understood or considered, must always prevent those who profess and cordially embrace it, from engaging in vehement contention. Yet experience has proved, that dissensions and enmity are frequently excited from no other cause than an indifference of this world's advantages on the one side, and a pursuit of them on the other.

This was now about to be witnessed for the first time, because Christianity was about to stir up in the world, for the first time to any consi-

derable degree, religious earnestness, and sensibility. And its author described from the beginning, even whilst the parts were not yet completed and put together, this powerful moral engine in future operation: he described the results, which should proceed from the new relations under which it brought mankind, and from the new springs of action which it has set at work. An impostor might have done this, where it was likely to favour his scheme; but would he have done it, where it was likely to raise a prejudice against him? An enthusiast might have attempted this; but would the results have answered his predictions?

III. The argument which I am endeavouring to illustrate, is strongly corroborated by the allusions which the discourses of Jesus contain to the reception which his doctrines should afterwards meet with among mankind.

He assumes, in the first place, that his religion shall spread, and make its way, and establish itself far and wide. It was an original

thought, in that age, and warranted by no experience, to conceive the idea of extending a religion throughout the world. The Jewish religion, the one immediately before the eyes of the teachers of Christianity, had existed fifteen hundred years, likewise claiming the authority of the Creator, and fortified by many of the same sanctions; yet had made little progress beyond the bounds of one small territory. No change of importance had occurred in the religions of Syria, Persia, Greece, or Italy. No symptoms appeared that these countries were in a dissatisfied, inquiring state. All was to be awakened and excited. Yet in the midst of this dead calm, and spiritual stagnation, provision is made for proselyting the world. Jesus, when he had no other assistance than that of a few obscure followers, confidently predicts that his religion shall take root, and extend, and become uni-"The kingdom of heaven," he said, versal. " is like to a grain of mustard seed, which a man took, and sowed in his field; which indeed is the least of all seeds; but when it is grown, it is the greatest among herbs, and becometh a

tree, so that the birds of the air come and lodge in the branches thereof 10," And in another comparison, he describes, with astonishing accuracy, the way in which it should gain footing. "The kingdom of heaven is like unto leaven, which a woman took and hid in three measures of meal, till the whole was leavened '." Peculiar as this comparison is, none could be found which should more justly characterize the nature of the progress of the Gospel. Not compelling proselytes by force of arms, as the religion of Mohammed afterwards; but so hidden at first, that we are obliged to seek carefully for traces of its growth in the history of nations; yet maintaining its place, and effecting its purpose; gradually meliorating the laws, and changing the moral aspect of the countries where it was received: and insinuating its renovating views of God and man into the hearts of those with whom it came in contact².

¹⁰ Matt. xiii. 31. ¹ Ibid. 33.

² See this parable beautifully illustrated by Beason, in his Hulsean Lectures, Disc. XI. V. i.

These were bold predictions, if we refer to the time when they were made. Mohammed indeed extended his projects widely. But he had the example of Christianity before him, and took it as his pattern. With that example, he would have belied his own pretensions, if he had shown a more circumscribed ambition.

So, however, would Jesus, it may be thought, or those who set up his standard. Be it so. I shall not lay stress upon any prophecies which admit of a general application. But many parts of the Christian Scriptures, especially the parables, describe the different sort of reception which the religion should meet with, and the different effects it should produce on different characters, with an exactness attainable by no one to whom the intricate map of the human heart was not laid open; laid open, too, as it should appear after a lapse of very many intervening centuries.

The parable of *the sower* is remarkable on this ground. "Behold there went out a

sower to sow; and it came to pass, as he sowed, some fell by the way side, and the fowls of the air came and devoured it up. And some fell on stony ground, where it had not much earth; and immediately it sprang up, because it had no depth of earth; but when the sun was up, it was scorched; and because it had no root, it withered away. And some fell among thorns; and the thorns grew up, and choked it, and it yielded no fruit. And other fell on good ground, and did yield fruit that sprang up and increased; and brought forth, some thirty, and some sixty, and some an hundred³."

The sower who goes out to sow his seed, that seed being the word of God, is a just and lively representation of the manner in which the Gospel was originally taught, and is still maintained and disseminated throughout the world. The sower resembles Jesus and his Apostles, and the Christian teachers, ministers, and missionaries which have succeeded them: and

³ Mark, iv. 3, &c.

if any one were now describing the office of these various labourers as it has been exercised since the introduction of Christianity, the comparison would be no less obvious than it is apt and natural. But nothing similar had been seen in practice when this parable was delivered. The sower had no prototype in the commentators of the law, the Scribes or Pharisees: nor even in the occasional exhortations and warnings of the prophets: still less among the various priests and hierophants of heathen superstition.

The application of the parable is still more original and extraordinary. It describes, with a sort of graphical illustration, the different reception which was to be expected for the "Word of God." The Gospel claimed this title; and there are four distinct ways, and no more, in which a doctrine professing this claim may be treated.

It may be at once rejected. It may be admitted for a while into the heart, and be afterwards excluded by rival interests. It may

be admitted and retained there, but exercise no active influence over the conduct; or it may be made the ruling principle of a man's sentiments, desires, pursuits, and actions.

Every modification of faith and of unbelief falls naturally into one of these four classes; and all these classes have existed wherever the Gospel has been generally made known. None of them, however, had existed at the time when the parable was uttered. The Jewish law was so different in its nature, and so differently taught, that it produced none of those marked effects which have always attended the promulgation of the Gospel. Therefore the parable was at the time unintelligible to those who heard it. The characters which should hereafter appear, existed only in the mind of the Author of the religion under which they were to spring: as the forms and lineaments of the future world are supposed by the philosopher to have been present in the mind of its divine Architect, though the lapse of time was required to unfold and exhibit them. The parable, when 176 AGREEMENT OF CHRISTIAN SCRIPTURES first pronounced, was as much a *prophecy* as the declaration which foretold the destruction of Jerusalem.

The manner in which these various characters are sketched is peculiarly remarkable. It is an outline of few strokes, displaying an intimate acquaintance with the features to be described.

Wherever the Gospel is taught as a divine revelation, many "hear it, and understand it not." Its declarations lie on the surface of the mind; but no pains are taken to examine the evidence of its authority, nor to bring the heart into subjection to its precepts. In truth, the heart is never affected : the man remains within the hearing but without the feeling of religion. Such is the case with seed which falls "by the way side;" on ground unprepared and unfit to receive it: where it is trodden under-foot by every passenger, or carried off by the fowls of the air : destroyed by the scorner, or scattered by the tempter.

Others, instead of neglecting the Gospel altogether, are struck with some sense of its beauty: with the high views of mankind and their future destiny, which it unfolds; or the ennobling relation to God, which it offers; or the suitableness of its doctrines to the condition of the human race. So when they "hear the word, they receive it with joy;" listen to it gladly; and if there were no trials to come, no self-denial to be exercised, no duties to be performed, they would be something more than almost Christians. So corn might flourish on a rock, if there were no sun to parch it, or no storms to wash it away: it springs up for a time, though afterwards it withers. Such is the religious impression described in the figure. When difficulties arise, it is obliterated. The corrupt propensities of the heart prevail: or opposition ensues; if not such as menaced the early followers of Jesus, the never-failing opposition of the indifference, contempt, and irreligious example of other men; and under these trials religion gives way, if it has not been deeply "He that received the rooted in the heart.

N

seed into stony places, the same is he that heareth the word, and anon with joy receiveth it: yet hath he not root in himself, but dureth for a while; for when tribulation or persecution ariseth because of the word, by and by he is offended 4."

There is also a numerous class who persevere through life with no doubt upon their minds of the truth of Christianity: they pay some attention to its ordinances, and imagine, perhaps, that in the main they are living obediently to its precepts. And so they do live, in all those cases where the world and the Scripture agree: in all the ordinary rules of life which keep society together, and secure the peace of the community. But the heart is still untouched, or, at best, unsubdued: it is fixed on worldly advantages, worldly preferment, worldly pleasures, worldly approbation; and these snares so entangle it, that all those rarer and peculiar graces which the Gospel requires,

4 Matt. xiii. 21.

all that undivided attachment to its Author which it claims, all that uncompromising fidelity which constitutes and distinguishes the true Christian, is still wanting. Such is he who " receives seed among thorns; and the cares of this world, and the deceitfulness of riches, choke the word, and he becometh unfruitful." He is not like those who never have paid any attention to the word: nor like those who, having been drawn to listen to it, have afterwards avowedly discarded it, as requiring too great a sacrifice. He remains to the end a plant upon Christian ground: has leaves and blossoms: a show perhaps of fruit, but it reaches no perfection: it is not Christian fruit, such as belongs peculiarly to the Gospel, being estimated by its standard, and supported by its motives.

So likewise there is a fourth.class, upon whom the word is not lost or destroyed without taking root; neither is it overborne by the opposition which it must encounter; neither is it choked among the concerns, and interests, and pleasures of the present state; but it grows

N 2

among unfriendly plants, and flourishes in spite of an ungenial climate; and is distinguished by the fruits of humility, piety, holiness, and charity, in which it abounds. Yet among these who alike " receive, and understand, and keep the word," there is not an uniform proficiency. All do not in an equal degree obtain the mastery over their natural corruptions. All do not arrive at an equal height in Christian virtues. All do not labour equally in the service of their Master, to whom they have attached themselves. All produce fruit; but " some thirty fold, some sixty, and some an hundred."

Such is the actual state of the Christian world. And such is the description which was drawn of it before Christianity was in existence. The description agrees with the experience of every minister who has observed the workings of human nature under the operation of the Gospel. He can distinguish characters like these among every hundred persons that may be under his charge; he can perceive none who do not fall naturally and easily within some one

4

of these classes. And this I must consider strong evidence of divine authority in him who delivered such a parable: a parable which comprehensively describes the whole of mankind, in a country where the Gospel is preached; so as to mark out by a masterly touch the different shades and variations of character, which should be hereafter produced by a cause not then in operation. That this foreknowledge of character should have been found in men who were no more than Jesus and his followers appeared to be, is as difficult to believe, as that one uneducated in anatomy should be able to delineate the internal conformation of the human body.

IV. It must be observed, farther, that the parable just considered by no means stands alone, an exception to the general tenour of the discourses of Jesus: it cannot be alleged as a single fortunate hit among many failures. The truth which it conveys is intimated by several other parables, likewise of a prophetic nature; among which that of the *tares springing up among*

N 3

the wheat, and of the net cast into the sea⁵, deserve particular attention. Like the former, they communicate information which an impostor would be unwilling to furnish, which an enthusiast would refuse to anticipate, but which has been found agreeable to experience in every age of the Gospel. They predict, that even in the countries where Christianity is received and generally professed, there shall be a great variance between its precepts and the characters of many who come within its pale. They warrant us to expect that many will contradict by their lives the faith which they express in their creed, and fall short of the character which the Gospel prescribes and requires.

That such is the case, none will deny. The great majority of the people, in a Christian country, believe in the incarnation of Jesus as an historical fact, without being in any characteristic degree influenced by his religion. I do not only mean that they are denominated

⁵ Matt. xiii. 24-43.-47-50.

Christians from their geographical position, or the baptism of their infancy; but that, if questioned upon the point, they would profess themselves Christians; would be offended if their faith were doubted : would desire to be comprehended in all the benefits of Christianity, and resent it, if their title to them were denied. And yet, if their lives were examined, and their sentiments tried according to the rules and spirit of Christianity, they would be found altogether defective. The Gospel says, " blessed are the poor in spirit." But how generally does even the Christian world condemn the moderation, the unambitious temper, the humility, the self-abasement, which belong to the "poor in spirit," as contemptible! The Gospel says, " blessed are the meek;" but the world banishes from its society the man who practises this meekness, by patiently bearing an affront, and scrupling to resent an injury. The Gospel says, " blessed are they that hunger and thirst after righteousness." But how little does it appear from the studies, the pursuits, the pleasures, or the conversation of a Christian community, that

N 4

िःज

righteousness is the leading object of desire? how generally, I might justly add, is such a desire despised? The Gospel says, "blessed are the pure in heart." Yet how lamentably common, and how little censured by public opinion, is impurity of discourse, of thought, of prac-I instance in these points of conduct, tice! because they are open and tangible, and capable of no mistake or denial. But if it were necessary to push the inquiry further, the same inconsistency and deficiency would appear with respect to the doctrines of Christianity. The faith of most men is as much at variance with the religion which they profess, as their practice.

No doubt, this discrepancy between the general habits and sentiments of those who profess Christianity, and the precepts of their religion, is an astonishing fact; and one with regard to which we require all the light of Scripture to explain the results of our experience, and all the results of our experience to confirm the predictions of Scripture. An argu-

ment has been raised against the religion itself, that so much should have been undertaken, and yet so much still unaccomplished. And certainly before the experiment had been tried, that which has proved the actual result would hardly have been foreseen. We should have anticipated, perhaps, that many should avow themselves completely independent of any Revelation. But should we have expected that numbers, who confess its authority, and believe its divine obligation, should despise its sanctions, and neglect its demands, and disregard its instructions?

These parables, however, assure us, that in all this inconsistency between profession and practice, between precept and obedience, there is nothing more than was foreseen: foreseen as about to result from the arts of the spiritual enemy of mankind, acting upon human corruption. The dispensation of the Gospel, we are told, or the religion of Jesus, is like a field sown with corn; among which weeds spring up and grow together with the corn: or like a

table furnished with guests of every kind, both bad and good: or like a net which is cast into the sea, and gathers of every kind. This plainly intimates, that among those who, in a country where Christianity is established, profess and call themselves Christians, all shall not be of that kind which the Gospel acknowledges and is intended to produce. There shall be persons of every kind. It is no more supposed that all shall live up to the Gospel, or make it their rule of opinion and standard of duty, than it is supposed by the husbandman that no weeds shall appear among his corn; or by one who casts his net into the sea and gathers all within his reach, that all he takes shall be worth preserving.

And this entirely agrees with what has been actually observed in every country where Christianity has been the national religion. It gathers of every kind: it contains a mixture of wheat and tares, which both grow up together until the harvest, till the final distinction is made between the barren professors of Chris-

2

tianity, and those who are fitted for the mansions of the heavenly husbandman.

These aberrations from the spirit of the Gospel, where they exist, will be open and evident. Others may be more easily concealed from human observation. Provision is made against these also. The parable of the guest who appeared at the feast "not having a wedding garment⁶," is intended to reach the case of one who, to outward appearance, is sound in the Christian faith. For he accepts the invitation, which others refuse ; and he takes his place among the guests. But he had neglected that holiness which is essential to the true Christian. The practical Antinomian is perhaps a rare character; yet, doubtless, it exists; and ecclesiastical history acquaints us that some have been found in almost every age, who have systematically defended this inconsistent heresy. So there are many moral disorders incompatible with the Gospel, as pride, hypo-

6 Matt. xxii. 11-14.

crisy, unsanctified temper, uncharitableness, covetousness, which may remain in a great measure undiscovered to the end. We cannot but admire the prophetic correction which such vices receive in this parable. With the same tendency as those before considered, it proceeds further; and shows its Author's foreknowledge of a truth, which we are reluctantly obliged to own is possible, that a man may deceive all by whom he is surrounded, and find his error only discovered at last to the Searcher of hearts.

V. But insight into the human heart is not the only excellence of these parables. It was an original mode of conveying instruction: for the few parables which previously existed admit of no comparison with the copiousness, variety, and force of those attributed to Jesus. It was a mode of conveying instruction peculiarly suitable to the object proposed. Allegories, it is generally acknowledged, strike the mind more forcibly, and are more subtle and delicate in their operation than direct precepts. And these have every property which can fit

Ň

Û.

D6

хł

DC:

d

л о

tc

Ņ

them for the purpose which they were intended to serve. They are obvious and familiar, otherwise their moral would have been inaccessible to the understanding of those who must always of necessity form the most numerous class of hearers. Yet have they nothing that is low or mean, or unworthy of the source from which they profess to be derived. They can neither be uninteresting to the most learned reader, nor offend the most fastidious. Experience has proved the wisdom which dictated them. They have been commented upon during as many ages as Christianity has existed. Yet, from the abundance of illustration which they admit, every succeeding commentator finds in them the basis of some new argument, by which he may enforce the examination of the heart, and prepare it for the influence of religion. Can it be supposed that all these excellencies, directed to the same object and promoting the same end, could have resulted from an unauthorized imposture?

Impostors, moreover, must have been aware of the embarrassment necessarily arising from

190 AGREEMENT OF SCRIPTURES AND EXPERIENCE.

writings containing so much variety. Discourses, asserting generally the immortality of the soul or a future judgment, or conveying moral rules and religious exhortations, might have been framed with comparatively little hazard of detection or contradiction. But the Gospels, in the mixture of narrative, dialogue, and parabolic language which they contain, betray an adventurous spirit, a boldness of enterprise, which must certainly have led impostors to their own refutation. Yet these writings have been vigilantly scrutinized and closely examined, both by friends and enemies, during eighteen centuries; and the experience of eighteen centuries has confirmed their authority, by bringing to light continually successive proofs of the knowledge of human nature which they display, and the influence over it which they pre-eminently exercise, under all circumstances of time and climate, and all varieties of character and education.

CHAPTER VII.

On the Wisdom manifested in the Christian Scriptures.

In the preceding chapter I have pointed to some proofs which seem to indicate more than human foreknowledge in the authors of the Christian Scriptures. But the proof of wisdom may be negative as well as positive. And it seems incredible that such writers as those of the New Testament must have been, if their works were the coinage of their own minds, should not have committed themselves by absurdities, and betrayed their cause by contradictions. This has been done by all others who have ventured to set out on similar pretensions. But the Gospels have risen in esteem, in proportion as they have been longer the subject of examination, meditation, and commentary. Learning has not found them too simple, nor simplicity too learned. Those who have studied them longest, still de-

192 ON THE WISDOM MANIFESTED

rive fresh interest from the perusal. The critical and historical investigations of the last two centuries, in the only countries of the world which are capable of such researches, have left no subject unfathomed; philosophy has been busily employed; inquiry has been free, unlimited, and bold; yet the work of men confessedly unlearned has not shrunk from philosophical scrutiny; and a composition which must be a composition of falsehood, if it is not of divine authority, has stood the severest test of critical investigation ¹.

A remarkable effect has resulted from this, even with regard to those who do not receive the

¹ It is a fine remark of Dr. Hey, Lectures, B. I. c. xiii. s. xiii: "We say the Gospel narratives must be real, because no one could invent such incidents, manners, sentiments, and expressions, as we find in them. The Evangelists at least were not improved enough to do it, in morality, or in philology. If this be a real argument, it is one, which will appear the more clearly, the more we improve in these particulars."—" If as men improve, the Gospels continue to seem to contain good morality, the evidence of their excellence must be acknowledged to increase, because every improvement in the judges of this matter, must put the writings judged to a new trial."

IN THE CHRISTIAN SCRIPTURES. 193

Gospel as the guide of their own faith and principles. They admire and praise it. Its assailants are no longer found among those who are respectable for learning or intellect. They are chiefly persons too ignorant to understand the strongest proofs on which it rests, and certainly addressing their arguments to those who have none of the skill or knowledge which might be able to appreciate them.

Yet the New Testament is concerned with subjects, which, if its authors had been destitute of guidance, would have been likely to betray them into inconsistencies. Human liberty, and divine prescience; the beings of another world; the rewards and punishments of a future state; these are subjects of such depth and height, and at the same time, so seductive to the imagination, that faculties apparently most competent to such a task, most prepared for it by nature and education, have failed in their attempt to reach them.

0

194 ON THE WISDOM MANIFESTED

We have no right to expect in the Christian writers a degree of discretion and good sense which is not found in Socrates or Plato. But how full of absurdity are the descriptions which these latter gave of the future state of the soul, the moment they ventured to depart from generalities².

Many of the apocryphal writings afford a similar test. Independently of the external testimony which excludes them from the canon, we at once reject them as unsatisfactory. Why? but because our standard is formed upon the authentic books of Scripture, and that standard is approved by our reason to be far superior to the pretenders which rival it. Yet why should

² In the Phædo, different places are assigned to different souls, according to their respective pursuits on earth. Those of philosophers soar to the dwellings of the gods: those of men who have been devoted to the concerns of the body, being too gross and heavy to mount upward, are pressed down towards their native earth, $\pi test$ $\tau \alpha \mu n \mu \alpha | \alpha \tau t$ xat $\tau test$ $\tau \alpha \varphi_{test}$ xuludeµtivat and at last are destined to animate inferior creatures, according to their peculiar bent: the sensual, becoming asses; the rapacious, wolves; the busy ($\pi \alpha \lambda i \tau h x \alpha i$), ants, wasps, or bees.—Ph. S. 80, &c.

IN THE CHRISTIAN SCRIPTURES. 195

this be, if all are to be referred to the same origin?

Jesus Christ, as we cannot but observe, never undertakes to describe the nature of that future reward which he promised to his disciples. He speaks of it generally, under the terms of everlasting life, or glory, or paradise; but he attempts no luxuriant, or even particular description. On one occasion, where an inclination to lead him further was manifested; he merely replies, in forcible, though sober language, that "they who are counted worthy to attain that world, and the resurrection from the dead, shall neither marry, nor be given in marriage, but be as the angels of God in heaven³."

His apostles follow this example, and maintain a similar reserve; speaking of a heavenly inheritance, of a crown of glory, of life and immortality; but discreetly affirming, in words with which the Jews were acquainted, that " eye

³ Matt. xxii. 30.

o 2

196 ON THE WISDOM MANIFESTED

hath not seen, nor ear heard, neither have entered into the heart of man to conceive, the things which God hath prepared for them that love him 4."

St. Paul even speaks of glorious visions revealed to him, and of a glimpse which he was permitted to enjoy of the heavenly world. He had here entered upon a field, in which an enthusiast would have delighted to expatiate. Yet all we are told is, that, he heard " things which it is not lawful for man to utter ⁵."

All this exactly satisfies our reason. We can perfectly understand, that persons in one state of being can never be made to comprehend with any clearness the circumstances of another and a very different state of being; and that any attempt to describe them must inevitably fail⁶.

4 1 Cor. ii. 9, from Isaiah, lxiv. 4.

⁵ 2 Cor. xii. 2-4.

⁶ The difference between the inspired and uninspired writer is evident from the puerility of Irenzeus, l. 5, ch. 33, where he falls into the very error here alluded to.

IN THE CHRISTIAN SCRIPTURES. 197

Compare, however, this reserve with the conduct of Mohammed, when he professes to draw out a minute description of the rewards to be expected by "the faithful."

"Therein are rivers of incorruptible water, and rivers of milk; the taste whereof cloyeth not; and rivers of wine, pleasant unto those that drink; and rivers of clarified honey; and therein shall they have plenty of clarified honey, and pardon from the Lord ⁷."

"These are they who shall approach near unto God. They shall dwell in gardens of delight. Youths which shall continue in their bloom for ever, shall go round about to attend them, with goblets and beakers, and a cup of flowing wine: their heads shall not ache by drinking the same, neither shall their reason be disturbed; and with fruits of the roots which they shall choose, and the flesh of birds of the kind which they shall desire. And there shall

7 Koran, eh. xlvii.

03

198 ON THE WISDOM MANIFESTED

accompany them fair damsels, having large black eyes, resembling pearls hidden in their shells, as a reward for that which they have wrought⁸."

"Verily the righteous shall dwell among delights; seated on cushions, they shall behold objects of pleasure; thou shalt see in their faces the brightness of joy. They shall be given to drink of pure wine, sealed; the seal whereof shall be musk; and to this let those aspire, who aspire to happiness; and the water mixed therewith shall be of Tasnim⁹, a fountain whereof those shall drink who approach near unto the divine presence. They who act wickedly laugh the true believers to scorn; wherefore, one day the true believers, in their turn, shall laugh the infidels to scorn; lying on couches they shall look down upon them in hell ¹⁰."

⁸ Koran, ch. lvi. p. 434. Sale's edit.

⁹ The name of a fountain in paradise, so called from its being conveyed to the highest apartments.

¹⁰ Chap. lxxxiii. Sale, in his Preliminary Discourse, affirms, that Mohammed took the greatest part of his paradise from Jewish traditions; with some assistance from the Persian

IN THE CHRISTIAN SCRIPTURES. 199

It is not extraordinary that we should find these absurdities in a human composition. It would be more extraordinary if we did not; but it remains to be explained, why the discourses of Jesus exhibit no similar traces of a mind bewildering itself among things of which it had no experience, and representing as heavenly truths the dreams of an earthly imagination.

Magi. As far as this is correct, it proves, in a remarkable degree, the difference between human and divine authority. A Jew, surrounded by these traditions, rejects them all. Sale is struck by the distinction. "Our Saviour (he says) speaks of the future state of the blessed as of a kingdom, where they shall eat and drink at his table, Luke, xxii. 29. But then these descriptions have none of those puerile imaginations which reign throughout that of Mohammed, much less any the most distant intimation of sensual delights which he was so fond of; on the contrary, we are expressly assured, that in the resurrection they will neither marry nor be given in marriage, but will be as the angels of God in heaven. Mohammed, however, to enhance the value of paradise with his Arabians, chose rather to imitate the indecency of the Magians, than the modesty of the Christians, in this particular."-Sale's Prelim. Disc. p. 101. See Koran, ch. lv.; to quote which would greatly corroborate my argument, if I were not unwilling to disgust the reader.

o 4

200 ON THE WISDOM MANIFESTED

With regard to the punishments of another world, we find the same discreet reserve in the Christian Scriptures. The most awful retribution is declared; and the fears of unbelieving man are excited by allusions to all those miseries which we here most shudder at; but hell is not described. We are told of "the fire that never shall be quenched; where their worm dieth not, and the fire is not quenched;" " of outer darkness, where shall be weeping and gnashing of teeth;" of "the everlasting fire prepared for the devil and his angels ';" of "the lake which burneth with fire and brimstone, whence the smoke of their torment ascendeth up for ever and ever, and they have no rest day nor night²." But the subject is left in these obscure generalities; and the apostles, instead of enlarging, as a natural temptation might have led them to do, upon the texts thus left them by their master, confine themselves to the most modest and prudent statements upon this tremendous theme. They denounce, as was their commission, the

¹ Mark, ix. 44. Matt. viii. 12. Matt. xxv. 41. ² Rev. xiv. 11.

" terrors of the Lord," " indignation and wrath, tribulation and anguish, upon every soul of man that doeth evil;" but they wrap up these terrors and this anguish in the general expressions of "the blackness of darkness for ever," and " everlasting destruction from the presence of the Lord," and the forfeiture of the heavenly inheritance. In short, instead of yielding to imagination, and giving way to the allurement of ambitious descriptions either of future punishment, or future reward, they rather surprise us by their reserve.

Not so Mohammed. He has, "in his Koran and traditions, been very exact in describing the various torments of hell; which, according to him, the wicked will suffer both from intense heat and excessive cold³."

"Unto those who treasure up gold and silver, and employ it not for the advancement of God's true religion, denounce a grievous punish-

³ Sale's Prelim. Disc. 92.

ment. On the day of judgment their treasures shall be intensely heated in the fire of hell, and their foreheads, and their sides, and their backs shall be stigmatized therewith; and their tor mentors shall say, this is what ye have treasured up for your souls; taste, therefore, that which ye have treasured up ⁴."

"Those who believe not, shall have garments of fire fitted to them; boiling water shall be poured on their heads; their bowels shall be dissolved thereby, and also their skins; and they shall be beaten with maces of iron. So often as they shall endeavour to get out of hell, because of the anguish of their torments, they shall be dragged back into the same; and their tormentors shall say unto them, Taste ye the pain of burning ⁵." "Woe be, on that day, unto those who accused the prophets of imposture! It shall be said unto them, Go ye into the punishment which ye denied as a falsehood: go ye into the shadow of the smoke of hell, which

4 Koran, ch. ix. p. 153. 5 Koran, ch. xxii. p. 276.

shall arise in three columns, and shall not shade you from the heat, neither shall it be of service against the flame; but it shall cast forth sparks as big as towers, resembling yellow camels in colour ⁶."

This is a specimen, and only a short specimen, compared with the numerous passages to the same effect, which occur in the Koran, of the manner in which the imagination is likely to wanton and riot, when it enters upon the mysterious field of future reward and punishment. The Christian writers themselves of the second and third century often afford us a similar example, and appal us by the minuteness with which they delineate the undescribable transac-

⁶ Koran, ch. lxxviii. p. 478. I have made these quotations the more freely, because I believe few persons, comparatively, know what the Koran really contains. They understand that it is a successful imposture, which has covered a wider surface than even Christianity; and this operates to injure Christianity, by familiarizing us to an idea of successful imposture. But if the original records were consulted, if Mohammed were read instead of Gibbon, the imposture would become a powerful auxiliary to the truth.

tions of the day of judgment, and its astonishing consequences⁷. Scripture alone is temperate ;---intelligible, as far as its religious effects require that the subject should be explained: yet neither alluring the fancy by luxuriant images, nor disgusting it by terrific descriptions. Yet apart from his divinity, I see no reason why Jesus and his followers should have differed from those whose inferiority to him every reader must acknowledge. The subject is a favourite with the vulgar; and he addressed his instructions to the poor. The Eastern writers delight in allegory, and figures, and highly coloured representations. And he was an oriental teacher. Even Mohammed's descriptions are, in many instances, traced to Jewish origin: and Jesus was brought up in the midst of those ideas and fables which the Jews had engrafted upon their authentic Scriptures. So that if we persist in supposing that all set out under the same circumstances, no rational account can be

⁷ See, in particular, Tertull. de Spectaculis, c. 30. Lactant. Instit. vii. 21.

IN THE CHRISTIAN SCRIPTURES. 205 given why he should be free from the errors which we immediately detect in others ⁸.

2. Another subject of great delicacy and difficulty which meets us at the entrance of religion, is the degree of human liberty, and its compatibility with divine foreknowledge and government. Our reason tells us that we must be

⁸ Dr. Hey has made a similar observation respecting the character and the miracles of Jesus. He speaks of the danger of detection when any one undertakes to draw a character of a superior; and the greater the superiority, the greater the difficulty. "The absurdities," he adds, "into which a *fictitious* narrative would run, would be greater still, if the character feigned was something more than human: here the author's taste for prodigies would display itself: his deity would be sure to do nothing that a mere man could do, nothing that would be dictated by plain common sense." With respect to miracles, he observes: "It seems undeniable, that if the Evangelists had invented the account of the miracles they related, those miracles would have been as idle and foolish as those related by some of the ancient fathers; for the fathers had many of them much better education than the Evangelists. Inventing miracles is treading on dangerous ground; I know no one, who would not in such an attempt, even with the greatest improvements the world has ever had, run into absurd pomp and ostentation, something remote from human nature and common sense."-Lectures, B. I. ch. xiii. sect. x.

free, "else how shall God judge the world?" Yet our reason assures us likewise, that the governor of the world could not maintain his supremacy, if the agency of man were subject to no restraint, or bounded by no limits. Again, we are conscious of freedom, conscious that we do of our own voluntary determination choose or refuse the evil or the good; while, at the same time, our experience convinces us of the necessity of some preventing, co-operating, and assisting influence, both to convert the soul and to keep it within the course prescribed.

These conflicting principles have embarrassed, in all ages, both those who studied natural religion, and those who believed revelation. The wisest of these have been satisfied with concluding, that there is some mode in which the prescience and sovereignty of God can be reconciled with human liberty, though we may be unable to perceive and trace it. This, I think, is the ground on which those reasoners, who are best capable of discoursing on such a subject, commonly take their stand ;-

for, although we find many who profess Calvinism, or call themselves predestinarians, they are but few who actually and deliberately maintain, with Edwards, on the one hand, that election is absolute and grace irresistible, or, on the other, that unbelief is morally necessary to any man.

I would not wish to assume a disputed point, when I proceed to allege that the Christian Scriptures coincide with this moderate and reasonable conclusion. But surely we are warranted in deducing this result from the acknowledged fact, that both the advocates for necessity, and the advocates for human liberty appeal to these Christian Scriptures for support to their opposite opinions. Now this is exactly what might be looked for, if our understanding and experience have really conducted us to the right conclusion: that is, if God does exert an influence over the human heart, and yet such influence is not inconsistent with human liberty. In that case, we should find certain passages addressing mankind, as if they were solely concerned in determining their own character; we

2

should find other passages implying, that the preparation and direction of the heart is from above. Why need the exact degree be defined in which divine influence or human nature operates? Probably it would not be possible to explain it; certainly it would not be necessary. The purpose would be best answered by leaving it indefinite. Man knows enough to make him humble, if he knows that of himself he can do nothing; and enough to make him diligent, if he is admonished to "watch," and " keep him self," and " work out his salvation."

Mohammed, on the contrary, has split upon this rock also. "The sixth great point of fate, which the Mohammedans are taught by the Koran to believe, is God's absolute decree and predestination, both of good and evil. For the orthodox doctrine is, that whatever hath or shall come to pass in this world, whether it be good or whether it be bad, proceedeth entirely from the divine will, and is irrevocably fixed and recorded from all eternity in the *preserved table*: God having secretly predetermined not

only the adverse and prosperous fortune of every person in this world in the most minute particulars, but also his faith or infidelity; his obedience or disobedience, and consequently his everlasting happiness after death: which fate or predestination it is not possible by any foresight or wisdom to avoid ⁹."

This affords a remarkable contrast to the moderation and reserve of the Christian writings on this intricate subject. While Jesus gives us clearly to understand that faith in himself as Saviour of the world, and the life resulting from it, depend upon heavenly influence; he never allows us to suppose that this influence is arbitrarily bestowed: he distinctly affirms, that it is refused to none; that none are excluded from it; that every one who asks receives, and every one who seeks shall find.

How different is this from the language of the Koran : "As for unbelievers, it will be equal

9 Sale's Prelim. Discourse, p. 101.

P

to them whether thou admonish them or do not admonish them; they will not believe; God has sealed up their hearts and their hearing; a dimness covereth their sight, and they shall suffer a grievous punishment "." Compare this with the command given to the apostles, "Go ve into all the world, and preach the Gospel to every creature;" or with the invitation which they were empowered to issue, "Come unto me, all ye that travel and are heavy laden, and I will give you rest;" and then decide, which carries internal evidence of its origin. Conceive, for a moment, the difference it would create in our feelings, and our sentiments, if such a sentence as this had fallen from the lips of Jesus: "the fate of every man is bound about his neck '." And yet why should it not have escaped him? if he had been a mere adventurer in religion, why should he not have been bewildered in the labyrinth in which so many have most unprofitably strayed?

1º Chap. ii. p. 2.

¹ Koran, ch. xvii. p. 229. "Like a collar which he cannot by any means get off."—Sale in loco.

3. Another mark of superiority in the Christian Scriptures, is the confidence with which Jesus affirms the facts which he undertook to reveal, such as the resurrection, an eternal state, the way of salvation, the divine counsels; without labouring to prove them. Mohammed is constantly employed in argument and discussion: of which I do not recollect a single instance in the Gospels, except for the purpose of convincing the Jews out of their own Scriptures: which is very different from a formal endeavour to prove the possibility of a fact, or the reasonableness of a doctrine. St. Paul was concerned with some opponents, who denied the resurrection of the body; and he takes pains to confute them by an argument from analogy. Mohammed also is not contented with merely asserting the resurrection: he uses the same argument as St. Paul, and adds another to show, what is very true, that the second birth is no greater miracle than the first. This is all as might be expected, that men should argue with men. But Jesus merely declares the fact; " the hour is coming, in the which all that are

р2

in the graves shall hear his voice (the voice of the Son of man), and shall come forth; they that have done good, unto the resurrection of life; and they that have done evil, unto the resurrection of damnation²." And so with respect to whatever he affirms.

When we consider the original and unexpected doctrines which Jesus introduced; the novel idea of his sacrifice, which nevertheless is capable of so much illustration from the Hebrew Scriptures; the clearer view of the personality and office of the Holy Spirit, which also admits of so much confirmation from the books of Moses and the prophets; the novelty of some of his precepts, which might appear at first sight paradoxical or impracticable: it must strike us as singular, that he merely delivered his oracular message, and resisted all temptation to show its justice or its probability. He declared, that out of the heart of man proceed all the crimes which pollute the world³; but left it to his disciples

² John, v. 28.

3 Matt, xv. 19.

Digitized by Google

to explain from whence the heart derived its depravity. He declared that he was come "to give his life a ransom for many ';" but left it to his disciples to show why this was needful, and how it had made a part of the divine counsels from the beginning. He enjoined his followers not to resist evil, and promised that the meek should inherit the earth; but left it for experience to prove that such precepts are compatible with the daily concerns and intercourse of mankind. We see at once the propriety of this conduct, if Jesus were indeed a "teacher come from God⁵;" but can we believe that one who had assumed a title to which he had no just claim would have acted with such consistency? Especially when in that case the only reasonable chance of success must have been his proving the excellence

4 Matt. xx. 28.

⁵ "We may suppose that one sent from God to reform and instruct the world, will have recourse to some plain and satisfactory way of establishing his authority, which must be by working miracles, or by fulfilling ancient prophecies, or by foretelling future events; and that when he has thus prepared men to obey him and trust in him, he will command as a lawgiver, rather than reason as a philosopher."—Jortin. Disc. p. 78.

P 3

of his precepts, and convincing his countrymen of the truth of his doctrines by arguments which they could not but acknowledge.

4. The foregoing points are of a speculative nature. In others, which relate more immediately to practice, the superior wisdom of the author of Christianity is no less conspicuous. In cases of considerable delicacy, he manifests an intimate knowledge of the heart, and of the way in which it is affected by religious exercises.

The Mohammedan religion, like other superstitions prevailing in the East, is rigorous in prescribing prayers, fasts, and specific acts of mortification. Mohammed required his followers to offer five prayers in the twenty-four hours, at certain stated times. He also prescribed with minute exactness the fasts which they should observe. Alms he treated as a religious tax: a certain per centage being levied on each man's property, and this differing according to the nature of the possession. "For, of what is gotten out of the mines, or the sea,

 $\mathbf{2}$

or by any art or profession, over and above what is sufficient for the reasonable support of a man's family, and especially where there is a mixture or suspicion of unjust gain, a fifth part ought to be given in alms ⁶."

And this is an error into which a moral legislator would be very likely to fall. He has to deal with fickle and perverse beings, and might consider it wise to appoint the specific duty; to fix the definite degrees of austerity; the requisite proportion of charity; the exact hours of prayer.

Experience, however, has proved the wisdom of leaving these things more free and general, as they are left by the Christian Scriptures; which prescribe the duty, but intrust the mode of its fulfilment to expediency and conscience. The way in which the Mohammedan fasts are appointed leads directly to the error which in fact prevails among Mohammedans. The abstinence

6 Sale's Koran.

р4

is not practised as a mean towards a higher end, but the merit is supposed to lie in the abstinence itself. The same of charity: the same of prayer : which are rather works to be performed, than the results of a liberal and pious state of mind. And this is the danger attending all forms, a danger which is increased in proportion as the prescription is exact. Many of the Jews, we know, were devoutly attached to fasting as a form. Sale observes, that Mohammed followed their example, as in other customs, so in this. But Jesus condemned them in that very point where we perceive that the error lav. In the same manner as he commanded that men should set their affections on things above, and lay up treasure in heaven, without determining the exact degree of attention which should be paid to the present world and its concerns: in the same manner as he declared charity to be an indispensable duty, leaving the particular exercise of it to the discretion of his followers; so did he enjoin them to keep the body under and bring it into subjection, while he ordained no precise obser-

vances for that purpose. The wisdom of this mode of legislation we sufficiently learn from the errors of the Mohammedans, who fast during the month Ramadan with painful exactness, but lay no general restraint upon their appetites; and who adhere to strict austerity while the sun is up, and think that this warrants a proportionate indulgence at its setting. We learn it also from the subversion of all the essentials of Christianity, which the penances, fastings, austerities, and meritorious alms of the Romish church effected in the days of ignorance, and still continue to produce where ignorance continues to prevail; and which, if they had been sanctioned instead of discouraged by the Gospel, would have afforded no slight argument against its divine authority 7.

⁷ It is a circumstance which deserves to be remarked, that the principal corruptions which have marred the effect of the Gospel, have been introduced not only without its sanction, but in spite of its express prohibition. The infallibility, supremacy, and temporal dominion of the Roman Pontiff are in direct opposition to Matt. xxiii. 8-10.; and xx. 25-27. Compulsory celibacy, austerities, prescribed abstinence, and the worship of saints or angels, are forbidden, in the clearest terms, by St. Paul. See Colos. ii. 16-23; and 1 Tim. iv. 1-8.

218 WISDOM OF THE GHRISTIAN SCRIPTURES.

Here then a further instance presents itself, and that in a case of equal nicety and importance, in which Jesus displayed that wisdom by anticipation of which experience enables us to form a practical estimate, and avoided the mistakes into which others have fallen, who undertook a design like his. And the evidence arising out of this has the more value, because there is nothing to be brought into the opposite There is no alloy to be set against the scale. pure gold. Those who have examined the Gospels with the most unfriendly eyes, have sought to no purpose for a blemish. Those who have been very far from yielding themselves up to the influence of the religion, have been unable to withhold their admiration from the solemnity, simplicity, and consistency of the discourses of Let them but advance one step further, Jesus. and satisfy themselves on what principle this can possibly be explained, if Jesus had no other advantages than would have belonged to him as a native of Galilee, educated in Judea.

CHAPTER VIII.

Originality of the Christian Character.

IT is the object of the Christian Scriptures, not merely to declare certain truths or doctrines, but to recommend and form a particular character; to which those who taught the religion stood pledged themselves; and which they held forth to the imitation of all who might become their disciples, as indispensable to their receiving its benefits.

Now this character is evidently an important test of the truth of the religion. Does it agree with the natural bias of the human mind? If so, we need seek no farther for its origin. Was it copied from any pattern already in existence? If so, it carries no proof of divinity. Is it unsuitable to the object which it was professedly intended to promote? If so, we have a strong argument against its authority. On the

Digitized by Google

other hand, if it is such a character as had no existing original, when it was first proposed in the Gospel; such a character as men are naturally inclined to hold in low esteem, yet admirably suited to the end for which it was designed; then fresh probability will be added to the arguments in favour of the religion.

The Christian character, however, was necessarily in many respects original when the Gospel was promulgated, because it has a remarkable connexion with the facts declared in the Gospel. It grows, as it were, out of them. Deny them, and it has no propriety. The whole character is most natural and suitable, allowing the truth of the religion; but, on any other ground, unintelligible.

Christianity is not proved to be a divine revelation, because it inculcates justice, humanity, sobriety; and forbids the contrary vices. Sufficient light has existed wherever mankind have attained a moderate degree of civilization, to recommend, if not to enforce, the leading

duties of morality, and to show their connexion with the welfare of society. The personal virtues, indeed, have commonly fallen to the ground; and perverted reason has been at no loss for arguments to justify their violation. And it follows of course, that when the relation of man to his Creator is understood imperfectly, or not at all; the duties which spring out of that relation are neglected or unknown¹. But this was not the case in Jerusalem. Both these classes of duty were distinctly laid down and inculcated in the Jewish Scriptures. So that what was absolutely wanting in the world at the Christian æra, that is to say, what could no where be found previously existing in the world, was rather such sanctions as should render it worth while for men to practise inconve-

¹ A lamentable, and at the same time an unanswerable proof of the state of the heathen world, with regard to God, is collected from Cicero. In his book De Officiis, which he wrote not only as a philosopher, but as a father anxious for the welfare of his son, he passes over, in one short sentence, what we justly consider the first and leading duty of mankind. Deos placatos pietas efficiet et sanctitas. Lib. ii. s. 3. Yet he was in possession of all the light of his own and former ages.

nient duties and cultivate virtues to which they are naturally disinclined, than a new code of those duties and virtues.

Supposing, however, that to be revealed which was only obscurely hinted in the Jewish law, but which Christianity professes to disclose, respecting the corruption of man's nature, and the light in which God views that corruption, and the remedy which he has provided, and the atonement which he has accepted for it; we must have expected that new duties should depend upon these truths, now for the first time discovered; and that a new turn should be given to many of those virtues which the best faculties of the best men had always seen to be agreeable to reason.

And so it is. Jesus and his followers require that a character should be cultivated, which, before his religion, had no existing prototype; which in some points, and particularly as to its motives and principles, was original even among

the Jews; and which was altogether foreign from the habits and feelings of other nations.

I. We may consider, as a first example, the state of mind which appears in the epistles of St. Paul. " This is a faithful saying, and worthy of all acceptation, that Jesus Christ came into the world to save sinners: of whom I am chief²." "God forbid that I should glory, save in the cross of the Lord Jesus Christ 3." "I have suffered the loss of all things, that I may win Christ, and be found in him, not having mine own righteousness, which is of the law, but that which is through the faith of Christ, the righteousness which is of God by faith 4." " After that the kindness and love of God our Saviour towards man appeared; not by works of righteousness, which we have done, but according to his mercy he saved us, by the washing of regeneration, and renewing of the Holy Ghost; which he shed on us abundantly through Jesus Christ our Saviour; that being justified by his

² 1 Tim. i. 15.

³ Gal. vi. 14.

4 Phil. iii. 8, 9.

grace, we should be made heirs according to the hope of eternal life⁵." What I observe in this, is not a mere expression of humility, or acknowledgment of unworthiness: but a total renunciation of personal claim, an entire reliance upon Jesus as the author of acceptance with God, and consequent salvation. The doctrine which demands this faith and reliance is explained elsewhere; when it is asserted that " all have sinned, and come short of the glory of God:" that "God hath given to us eternal life, and this life is in his Son." But the feeling which is exhibited in the passages just cited, in which Paul is laying open, without reserve, the ground of his own individual hopes. is not such as can be created by direct precept: it originates in facts which are declared in the Gospel, and can only proceed from an admission of those facts as true.

For how much was there in St. Paul, which, according to the usual current of man's sentiments, might have satisfied him with relying

⁵ Titus, iii. 4-7.

225

upon himself and his own exertions? What he had given up for the sake of Christianity is well known: it includes all which men commonly esteem most valuable : - the faith in which he had been educated; the fellowship of his friends; the good opinion of his countrymen. What he had suffered is no less notorious: contempt, persecution, imprisonment, chastisement; and his "more abundant labours" placed him at the head of all who were engaged in the Christian cause: for there was scarcely a country he had not visited, or a city in which he had not planted or encouraged a congregation of proselvtes. Neither does he deny all this; but frankly avows that no one had surpassed him in what he had done and undergone for the sake of Christianity. But with all these services in his favour, he uniformly withdraws all claim of merit; and exemplifies his Master's meaning, "Ye, when ye have done all, say, we are unprofitable servants⁵:" exemplifies the disposition intended by the words, " blessed are the poor in spirit, for theirs is the kingdom of heaven."

⁵ Luke, xvii. 10.

Q

Now, independently of this reliance upon Christ, which could not have been before inculcated, the humility and renunciation of desert which Paul exhibits, was no part of the general state of religious feeling existing in his age and country. What that general feeling was is sufficiently manifested in the dialogues which Jesus is related to have held: for though I must not consider it as granted that those dialogues actually took place, yet we may reasonably assume that they represent the ordinary opinions of the day. Indeed, those opinions are clearly discovered by what Paul incidentally mentions as to the change which had taken place in his own views. " I might also have confidence in the flesh;" (in myself; my own advantages and performances.) "If any other man thinketh" (as others are wont to think) " that he hath whereof he may trust in the flesh, I more: circumcised the eighth day, of the stock of Israel, of the tribe of Benjamin, an Hebrew of the Hebrews; as touching the law, a Pharisee; concerning zeal, persecuting the church ; touching the righteousness which is of the law, blame-

227

less. But what things were gain to me, those I counted loss for Christ⁶."

From this as well as many other passages of St. Paul's writings, we are able to collect what was the prevailing confidence among the Jews, and what had been his own confidence: and are therefore entitled to ask, how came these unknown and unaccredited authorities to contradict the national sentiments, and beat down the edifice of human "works and deservings;" the last thing from which men are commonly disposed to recede⁷.

It may seem an unexpected course of argument, to adduce doctrines in proof of facts.

⁶ Phil. iii. 4-7.

? "The sublimest philosophy that ever was, did never drive man out of himself for a remedy; did never teach man to deny himself, but to build up his house with the old ruins, to fetch stones and materials out of the wonted quarry. Humiliation, confusion, shame, to be vile in our own eyes, to be nothing within ourselves, to be willing to own the vengeance of God, to judge ourselves, to justify him that may condemn us, and be witnesses against ourselves, are virtues known only in the book of God."—Bishop Reynolds.

Q 2

But it is nevertheless true, that when the Apostles insist upon this self-abasement and humiliation as the groundwork of the Christian character, we have strong evidence of their being personally convinced that the death of Jesus was actually ordained as a ransom for men; a ransom required by sin. If they did not really believe this, no reason appears why these new teachers should promulgate doctrines so unpopular and so difficult: should inculcate the strictest possible morality, and yet deny to man the gratification of self-complacency: should allow them no other satisfaction, either from the faith which they professed or the obedience which they performed, than that of evidencing their title to the benefits which Christ's death had procured. If the condition of the world were not such as the Incarnation of Christ supposes: if there is not that holiness in God, and that unworthiness in man, which sets one at a distance from the other; then there is no propriety in a confession of unprofitableness which sues for pardon, but dares not claim reward; which looks forward to eternal life, not as a recom-

pense which is to be earned and deserved, but as a boon which is to be bestowed through the merits of the Redeemer. Take away the judicial purpose of the cross, take away its expiatory effect, and there remains no basis for humility like the Christian. And therefore it. is a natural consequence, that those who do not receive the doctrine of atonement, do not pretend to any such humility as the Gospel prescribes, and the Apostles profess. If, on the other hand, human sinfulness is so heinous in the sight of the Moral Governor of the world, that it required a sacrifice like that of Christ, and if every individual is indebted to that sacrifice for reconciliation with God, or still remains unreconciled to him: the humiliation inculcated in the Gospel becomes natural, nay, necessary. But unless there had been, on the part of the promulgators of the religion, an intimate conviction that Jesus did indeed "die for our sins, and rise again for our justification," it would neither have occurred to them to conceive such an humbling disposition of self-

q 3

Digitized by Google

abasement, nor to require it of all who should embrace the religion.

Indeed, the reliance upon Jesus inculcated by his disciples, extends further still. He is represented as the author of salvation in a twofold sense: not only by the atonement which justifies, but by the spiritual aid which sanctifies the Christian. They were to look to him, as the *author and finisher* of their faith : his spirit was to deliver them from "the dominion of sin, which was in their members;" and in order to their bearing the fruits of righteousness, they must "*abide in him and he in them*," by an union as close as that of a tree with its branches.

And this principle appears realized and embodied in the Christian writings. Paul writes, "I laboured more abundantly than they all; yet not I, but the grace of God which was with me." "We are not sufficient of ourselves to think any thing as of ourselves; but our sufficiency is of God⁸." "Work out your own salva-

⁸ 2 Cor. iii. 5.

Digitized by Google

231

tion with fear and trembling; for it is God which worketh in you both to will and to do of his good pleasure⁹."

Now the natural impression of the human mind seems to be,—I can do all things. Nothing is wanting but my own purpose and resolution¹⁰. And although a contrary doctrine is implied in many parts of the Jewish Scriptures, the dialogues occurring in the Gospel history do not lead us to suppose that any doubt of personal power, or desire of spiritual assistance, was intimately felt. But a very different language is held where the Christian is describing his state of mind. "I can do all things, through Christ who strengtheneth me¹." What he depends on is, a realization of the promise, "My grace is sufficient for thee; for my strength is made

9 Phil. ii. 12, 13.

¹⁰ As Horace, 1 Ep. xviii. 111.—Sed satis est orare Jovem, qui donat et aufert; Det vitam, det opes. *Æquum mi animum ipse parabo*. "There is one thing," says Seneca, "in which the wise man excels God: God is wise by the benefit of nature, and not by his own choice."—Epist. 53. Monstro quod *ipse tibi possis dare*.—Juven. S. x.

⁴ Phil. iv. 13.

Q4

perfect in weakness²." "He of God is made unto us wisdom, and righteousness, and sanctification, and redemption³." Language like this, not introduced in elaborate argument, but incidentally conveying the feelings of the heart, can only be ascribed to personal conviction.

II. Another original principle arising out of the facts declared in the Gospel, appears in the grounds by which the apostles enforce benevolence and universal charity. They enforce it from the disposition which the incarnation of Jesus had evinced; as introducing a new train of sentiments, and a corresponding course of action, in his disciples.

Spontaneous and disinterested benevolence is the inscription written, as it were, on the face of the incarnation. We know little respecting the happiness of the Deity; but thus much we seem to know, it cannot be capable of addition. Therefore, with the sole purpose of com-

² 2 Cor. xii. 9. ³ 1 Cor. i. 30.

Digitized by Google

municating some portion of that happiness to mankind, God sent his Son, and his Son agreed to bear our human nature and all its infirmities in his own person. It is impossible that this fact should not create a new feeling in the hearts of those who believe it. Did the Son of God, with no other object than my salvation, consent to forego heavenly enjoyments, and to suffer, in no common degree, the miseries of this world? From the moment I believe this, a new principle is imparted to me. "If God so loved us. we ought also to love one another "." And so it has proved from the beginning. The Gospel introduced a new era. It first bound all who embraced it together. " They that believed had all things in common;" and "were of one heart and of one soul⁵." And this arose out of the religion itself. Its author had laid the foundation of it, by saying, "a new commandment I give unto you, that ye love one another; as I have loved you, that ye also love one another. By this shall all men know that

4 1 John, iv. 11.

5 Acts, ii. 44.

· Digitized by Google

ye are my disciples, if ye have love one to another 5." And then they went forth, united in this bond. to exercise the same love towards all their fellow-creatures. St. Paul thus explains the motive which actuates him. " The love of Christ constraineth us : because we thus judge, that if one died for all, then were all dead; and that he died for all, that they which live should not kenceforth live unto themselves, but unto him which died for them 6." And again, urging the disciples to prove the sincerity of their love, "Ye know the grace of our Lord Jesus Christ, that though he was rich, yet for your sakes he became poor, that ye through his poverty might be rich'." Here the argument for Christian charity is taken from the disinterested and selfdenving example of Christ himself, and not from the positive commands of his religion.

The object to which this benevolence was directed is no less remarkable. It was the soul rather than the body; the concerns of another

5 John, xiii. 34.	⁶ 2 Cor. v. 14, 15.
7 2 Cor. viji, 9.	

Digitized by Google

world, and not the present. Jesus indeed is represented as having shown by his own practice, that while his leading purpose was to reclaim the hearts of men, he did not overlook their temporal necessities, or reckon the wants and infirmities of the body of no importance. And when it fell in their way, his disciples followed the example. But the main purpose of their exertions was, to bring mankind to a new state of heart, and a new course of life, as preparatory to their future admission into a heavenly kingdom. The interest which is expressed upon this object, is such as could only be excited by deep conviction.

ar

'n

8]

ĸ

đ

"Ye know," says Paul to the elders of the Ephesian church, "how I kept back nothing that was profitable unto you, but have showed you, and have taught you publicly, and from house to house, testifying both to the Jews, and also to the Greeks, repentance toward God, and faith toward our Lord Jesus Christ. And now, behold, I go bound in the spirit unto Jerusalem, not knowing the things that shall befal me

there: save that the Holy Ghost witnesseth in every city, saying, that bonds and afflictions abide me. But none of these things move me; neither count I my life dear unto myself, so that I might finish my course with joy, and the ministry, which I have received of the Lord Jesus, to testify the Gospel of the grace of God. And now I take you to record this day, that I am pure from the blood of all men. For I have not shunned to declare unto you the whole counsel of God. Therefore watch, and remember, that for the space of three years I ceased not to warn every one night and day with tears⁸." We see at large, in this passage, the spirit which constantly breathes throughout St. Paul's writings. Sensible of escape from imminent danger, he is only anxious to rescue others. Conscious of great personal blessings, he is eager to communicate them as widely as possible. "Seeing we have this ministry, as we have received mercy, we faint not "." "We were willing to have imparted to you, not the Gospel of God only, but

⁸ Acts, xx. 19-31. 9 2 Cor. iv. 1.

Digitized by Google

also our own souls, because ye were dear unto us ¹⁰." "We live, if ye stand fast in the Lord !." "My heart's desire and prayer for Israel is, that they might be saved." "I have great heaviness and continual sorrow in my heart. For I could wish that myself were accursed from Christ for my brethren, my kindred according to the flesh ²."

Interest so vivid as this, in a case which had no concern with any thing belonging to the present world, was altogether new. To go about the world, teaching religion, teaching it to all ranks, to the poor as earnestly as to the rich, had never entered into the thoughts of Jew or Gentile. Whatever instruction had been given by heathen philosophers, was given to those who were able to remunerate their teachers. To communicate the mysteries of religion to the vulgar and illiterate, to women and children, would have been reckoned most preposterous. All conspired, on principle, to keep them in igno-

¹⁰ 1 Thess. ii. 8.

¹ 1 Thes. iii. 8. ² Ror

Digitized by Google

² Rom. x. 1. ix. 2.

ORIGINALITY OF

rance: and to make the characteristic of Christianity more remarkable, that "to the poor the Gospel was preached." It arose indeed naturally out of the facts of the religion, which declared the infinite value of every soul. And the conduct of the apostles was the sure result of an actual conviction of what they affirmed, that "God had sent his Son, that all that believe in him might not perish." But experience shows that nothing short of an actual and personal conviction of this-a conviction far beyond a mere assent to it as an article of national faith or a matter of recorded history,-will lead to the sort of anxiety and warm feeling about the state of others, which is indicated in the language quoted from St. Paul. Such a feeling ought to follow, wherever the authority of doctrine is acknowledged. But it does not really follow, unless the doctrine is very cordially received.

III. Another constituent of the Christian character is equally original, and equally dependent upon the facts of the religion. That humility towards God which is the basis of

239

Christianity, extends also to the dealings and spirit of men towards each other, and leads in an unexampled degree to meekness, patience, and forgiveness of personal injuries. Reason indeed had convinced a few superior men, that it was more magnanimous to forgive than to avenge. But it could not afford an adequate motive for the practice of such magnanimity; and in fact it had not been practised. Even amongst the Jews, in despite of the contrary precepts of their law, the maxims of retaliation prevailed. "It has been said by them of old time, an eye for an eye, and a tooth for a tooth. Thou shalt love thy neighbour, and hate thine enemy³." To such an extent had that propensity to retaliation, which above all others seems to be born with man, gained the ascendancy over the commands of Moses. Jesus issued a new injunction: " I say unto you, that ye resist not evil; but whoseever shall smite thee on the right cheek, turn to him the other also. Love your enemies; bless them that curse you; do

³ Matt. v. 38. 43.

ORIGINALITY OF

good to them that hate you, and pray for them that despitefully use you, and persecute you, that ye may be the children of your Father which is in heaven 4." And when we trace this spirit further to the motives by which it is inculcated, we find it springing out of the doctrines on which the Gospel is founded. Man is corrupt and sinful, and God has shown a signal proof of forbearance towards him; therefore men ought to forgive one another. This is implied in the prayer, " Forgive us our trespasses, as we forgive them that trespass against us :" and positively required in the parable of the unforgiving servant, who is thus reproved; "O thou wicked servant, I forgave thee all that debt, because thou desiredst me; shouldest not thou also have had compassion on thy fellow servant, even as I had pity on thee⁵?" Again, Christ suffered the most unmerited injuries with patience: "when he was reviled, he reviled not again; when he suffered he threatened not; but committed himself to him that judgeth righte-

4 Matt. v. 39. 44.

5 Matt. xviii. 32, 33.

ously." Therefore his followers ought not to complain, but "for conscience toward God. endure grief, suffering wrongfully. For even hereunto were ye called; because Christ also suffered for us, leaving us an example, that ye should follow his steps⁶." Further, Christ was a living instance of meekness and humility and self-devotion: who "being in the form of God, thought it not robbery to be equal with God; but made himself of no reputation, and took upon him the form of a servant, and was made in the likeness of men; and being found in fashion as a man, he humbled himself, and became obedient unto death, even the death of the Therefore, "let this mind be" in his cross." disciples, "which was also in Christ Jesus. Let nothing be done through strife or vain-glory; but in lowliness of mind let each esteem other better than themselves. Look not every man on his own things, but every man also on the things of others 7."

1 Pet. ii. 19-23.

7 Phil, ii. 3-8.

Digitized by Google

Ŗ

ORIGINALITY OF

Such are the principles of Christian meekness and forbearance. And it will be observed, that the principles are no less original than the virtues; and could never have been proposed, unless they had been witnessed in an existing pattern, and confirmed by divine authority. For who would have dared to set out on a mission like that of the apostles, à mission which exposed them to every species of ill treatment and indignity, with no stronger arms of defence than meekness and forbearance! To go, as they went, and induce others to go, with their eyes open, yet their hands bound, into the midst of enemies!

Now what I would insist on is, that all these peculiar features which distinguish the Christian character, are exactly such as we should look for, in the case of the truth of the religion. Humility towards God must follow the fact of the redemption: philanthropy must be excited by the example of the incarnation; and must be directed towards the soul as well as the body; and the passive virtues of meekness and resig-

242

nation grow out of the nature and condition of man revealed in the Gospel. This character, therefore, is perfectly consistent with the facts which the religion declares;—supposing these facts to be of divine authority, and to be received as such, there cannot be less sense of personal unworthiness, less concern for the spiritual welfare of others, less forbearance and humility than the Gospel prescribes, or the language of its followers expresses. So important a fact as the incarnation could not take place. without introducing new duties and new views of duty wherever it was made known. But unless these facts had been divinely revealed; unless Jesus had not only been a teacher, but "a teacher sent from God," unless he had not only died, but died as a sacrifice for sin, these, qualities of self-abasement, and patience, and zeal in the cause of religion, lose much of their propriety, as well as their strongest enforcement; and it becomes in the highest degree improbable, that the fabricators of a new religion should have recommended and prescribed them.

243

r 2

ORIGINALITY OF

When, at the present day, I see a person contented to abandon his private comforts and enjoyments, and occupy his life in making the Scriptures known, in teaching the ignorant, and reclaiming the vicious; when he appears to find a sufficient recompence for this labour, if even a very small flock are brought over to Christian faith and practice, I am sure that he must himself believe the condition of these persons to be dangerous, and that they actually need his interposition. If I were to observe further, that he submitted with patience to insult and injury, and was only stimulated by resistance and opposition to more unceasing efforts for the conversion of his adversaries, I should feel assured that he must be actuated by some powerful and uncommon principle, which thus enabled him to overcome the dispositions which are natural to the human mind. And when I hear one who has been habitually watching over his thoughts, and words, and actions, and labouring to regulate them according to what he takes to be the will of God, speak of himself in a strain like this: "I sin, and repent of my sins,"

and sin in my repentance :—I pray for forgiveness, and sin in my prayers :—I resolve against future sin, and sin in forming my resolutions : —so that I may say, my whole life is almost a continued course of \sin^8 :"—language like this assures me that such an one is judging himself according to a law of unusual strictness, and can have derived his idea of the purity required of him from no other source than the Christian Scriptures.

By a like process of argument, when I find a character of this description in the apostles themselves, and when I find them inculcating this as the character which is to be cherished in others, I am forcibly led to conclude that they personally believed the facts on which such a character is founded, and did not invent them to serve a purpose of their own. I am sure that nothing but an intimate conviction that the matters which they taught were true, could have produced a state of mind, or actuated a course of life, like theirs.

> ⁸ Bishop Beveridge. R 3

ORIGINALITY OF

IV. The virtues then which are encouraged, I might say, created by the Gospel, are, in many instances, peculiar. But it still remains to be considered, whether these virtues agree with the purpose which they are professedly destined to serve; the preparing those who cultivate them for another and a higher state of being. This, however, cannot be denied. They have been sometimes accused of unfitting men for earth; but there can be no doubt of their suitableness to the most reasonable ideas we can form of Humility is surely the feeling with heaven. which a creature like man ought to approach his Creator. Benevolence is the disposition of mind which belongs to a kingdom where all will be love and harmony; and in which there can be no place for malice, hatred, or revenge. And, therefore, unless such a new creation of soul were to be expected as is totally inconsistent with retribution, and would render the present life in a less degree subservient or preparatory to another, than the principle of metempsychosis, humility, and meekness, and brotherly love, must be essential features of the character which

shall be hereafter received into the presence of God.

It may be inquired, further, are these qualifications, which are expected in Christians, such as are calculated to promote the wellbeing and increase the happiness of mankind in -their present state? For, although it cannot be thought the business of men to prescribe what God shall reveal to them, or what the immediate effect of a religion ought to be, which professes to look towards and lead to a state very different from this: still it must be allowed to make in favour of the religion, if it assists human happiness. Especially as its avowed object is, to recover mankind to a better state, from which they have fallen. If their fall from that first estate introduced the evils which exist, as the Scriptures declare; we are justified in expecting that the nearer they returned towards that state, the further they would recede from evil.

R4.

ORIGINALITY OF

This expectation is fully answered. It has been truly observed, that the virtues inculcated in the Gospel, are the only virtues which we can imagine a heavenly teacher to inculcate. As selfishness, rapacity, violence, malice, and revenge, are the vices which occasion a great part of the distress which prevails in human society; so in proportion as these are discouraged, and the contrary virtues established, peace, comfort, and harmony are restored. No doubt men have often urged, that meekness and patience under injuries are incompatible with the condition of mankind, and would surrender the feeble as a prey to the violent, and expose the best to be trampled upon by the worst and vilest of their species. And we can readily conceive, that this reasoning would have occurred to a mere man, who might have assumed to himself the title of a divine legislator. Reverse the case, then, and suppose, that the Christian law, instead of requiring forgiveness, permitted retaliation? Do we not at once acknowledge, that this would be strong internal evidence against its high pretensions? What is the actual state of society,

when private vengeance is suffered to prevail? On the other hand, it is proved by experience, that meekness and forbearance prevent and check the evils which insolence and oppression create, and often disarm the violence which resistance tends to exasperate. Christianity. moreover, is designed for all; proposes to itself universal sway and dominion; and therefore cannot be expected to provide for disobedience to its enactments, or be made accountable for evils which would cease to exist if its precepts were generally followed. This would justify the rules in question, in a dispensation whose object looks beyond this world, even if they were found to occasion present inconvenience. But we possess a further proof of its emanating from more than human wisdom, when it issues a law of which human wisdom would dread the consequences, yet that law is found to correct and diminish mischief, even when imperfectly obeyed.

I conclude, therefore, that the nature of the Christian character affords fresh confirmation of

Digitized by Google

A

ORIGINALITY OF

the divine origin of the Gospel which inculcates In the course of things, that character it. could not be altogether new; many of its parts must have been previously recognised, and only derive stronger sanction from its authority. But still there is in it so much of novelty and originality, as must induce us to seek for their peculiar source; and the practical results contribute to persuade us, that the source must have been divine. Though the Christian character, before the preaching of Jesus, was in many points untried and unknown; experience has proved that as far as it has prevailed and been acted upon, it has cleared and brightened the aspect of the moral world; and that it only needs to be universally received, in order to remove the principal evils which disturb our state on earth.

And yet with such an agent as man, and in a condition so complicated as that of human society, it is no less dangerous than difficult to introduce new modes of conduct, and new principles of action. What extensive and unforeseen results have sometimes proceeded from a single statute, like that which provides for the support

251

of the poor in England—a single institution, like the trial by jury—a single admission, like that of the supremacy of the Roman pontiff—a single principle, as Luther's appeal to the Bible. How difficult, therefore, for the first promulgators of Christianity to foresee the possible effects of such a machine, the consequences of so new and untried an experiment; or to have anticipated the results of its working on a subject so complex and contradictory as the human heart.

Experience, however, universally sides in favour of Christianity. Reliance upon Christ, the main-spring of the whole character, instead of producing carelessness, has quickened the apprehension of offending. Christian benevolence and sympathy afford the surest alleviation of calamity: Christian meekness and patience under injuries prove the strongest safeguard against the encroachments of violence and pride. In every view, the moral tendencies and known effects of the religion strengthen the belief, that its origin was not from earth, but from heaven : its author, not man, but God.

2

CHAPTER IX.

Reasonableness of the Christian Doctrines.

IT may be thought, that what is gained on the one hand, by proving the originality of the doctrines taught in the Gospel, according to the argument of the preceding chapters, is lost, on the other hand, by showing their improbability. If the Gospel proposed a probable or a reasonable system of belief, it would have been likely to occur to those, who, from whatever motives, undertook to invent or introduce a new religion. If it were unlikely to occur, this will show it to be improbable and unreasonable; and so diminish, or even destroy, its credibility.

I conceive, that this objection is the root of all unbelief. The direct proofs of the truth of Christianity are so full, so various, and so irrefragable, that men cannot remain unbelievers through defect of evidence. They doubt or deny

REASONABLENESS OF CHRISTIAN DOCTRINES. 253 in spite of evidence, because of the unexpected and unpalatable nature of what that evidence attests.

The Scriptures themselves lead us to anticipate this. They tell us, that the doctrines are such as the heart naturally revolts from: receives slowly and unwillingly: such as are contrary to the suggestions of human philosophy, and will not be cordially embraced until the heart is brought into a docile and submissive posture, and is disposed to bow humbly before the oracles of God.

It does not however follow, that because the mind of man was not likely to perceive certain truths beforehand, therefore they are not truths: especially if they concern the nature of God, and the condition of man; the relation in which man stands towards God, and his ultimate destination. Setting aside the Bible, it is impossible not to be astonished at the little which mankind have ever discovered upon these momentous points: how vaguely they have con-

jectured, what wild opinions they have adopted. Their errors confound us, whether we are able to correct them or no. Therefore it was to be expected, that an actual revelation concerning these things should declare what was both original and surprising. And we ought to judge of the probable truth of a revelation, as far as we judge from the subject matter of it, rather by its suitableness to human nature and its conformity with our experience, than by its agreement with any previous notions or expectations; which would be different in every age, every country, and every state of civilization.

The two points, I imagine, at which reason is disposed to cavil, are; first, the punishment to which the Scriptures declare that men are liable from the judgments of God¹; and, next, the means offered them to escape from that punishment, through the vicarious sufferings of

¹ "The wrath of God is revealed from heaven against all ungodliness and unrighteousness of men." Rom. i. 18. See also ch. ii. 5, &c. &c.

Digitized by Google

Jesus as the Redeemer². With respect to both of these subjects I shall propose a few observations; not intending to exhaust the subject, which would require a distinct volume; but to suggest such obvious reflections as may indicate the extent and difficulty of the whole question, and so dispose the mind to a more ready acquiescence in the divine authority of scriptural declarations.

I. The Gospel certainly represents mankind as having departed from their allegiance to God, and on that account lying under his condemnation³.

1. Now, as to the first of these statements, how far does it agree with experience? Can we deny, that in all quarters of the world, and in every age, the general conduct of men has been utterly inconsistent with such laws as we

² "This is the will of Him that sent me, that every one which seeth the Son, and believeth on him, may have everlasting life." John, vi. 40.

³ See, in particular, the first two chapters to the Romans.

can believe agreeable to a holy and perfect Being? We hear it laid down as the dictate of reason or conscience, that the God who is in heaven, must delight in virtue. But where was the virtue to be found which he should delight in? The first duty of a creature towards his Creator, is surely adoration. But no such duty has been actually paid. It has been paid to the works of his hands, or to the works of men's own hands: but the Creator himself has been universally neglected. Men have not liked "to retain him in their knowledge." So, again, there are certain moral qualities which our understanding tells us must be agreeable to God, and the contrary vices displeasing. We are sure, the moment that we conceive the idea of a perfect Being, that he must approve of temperance, purity, justice, charity, resignation to his will, and benevolent affections towards his creatures. We are equally sure that he must disapprove of cruelty, pride, malice, injustice, and blind indulgence of the passions. Yet what is the public history or private annals of the world, except an account

257

of the degree in which those vices have been practised, and these virtues neglected or unknown? Such is the general picture. There are many different shades of character, both among individuals and among nations; and some, no doubt, have transgressed beyond others against the light of reason which they enjoyed, or the better knowledge existing in their age and country; and others have risen as far above the ordinary standard. But, taken collectively, mankind have lived in a way which must, we are sure, be contradictory to the will of God, if God requires obedience or approves virtue. And, further, this way of life, instead of serving as a preparation for a purer and more advanced state of being, has been calculated to render them more and more unfit for it the nearer they approached towards it: so that we cannot possibly suppose them admitted to such a state, without a radical change, an entire renovation of character.

Independent of all inquiry into causes, this is the aspect which the moral condition of the

s

human race actually presents. And the question meets us, in what light God views such a state? whether he regards it as a moral Governor, or no?

Upon this point we must abide by one of these two suppositions. Either he is indifferent as to human character, and takes no notice of it: or he will punish habitual neglect of his government, and violation of the laws of moral duty. The first of these was the avowed opinion of Epicurus and his followers, among the ancients, and is the practical belief of the majority at all times. It is certainly in direct opposition to the principles on which the Gospel rests. But it is no less contrary to the best conclusions of our reason, and to many indications afforded us by the actual appearance of the world.

The idea is strongly imprinted upon the mind of man, even without revelation, that God will "discern between the righteous and the wicked, between him that serveth God (according to the light which he enjoys) and him

that serveth him not⁴." Those whose reason has been most exercised, in every age, and those whose moral views have been least perverted by habits which such a conviction would disturb, have acquiesced generally in this belief: though as to the when or the where, they have been unable to give account. For we cannot but allow that the recompenses made to either class, in the present life, are very imperfect. The instances of successful wickedness. and of unrewarded virtue, are not rare. And even though notorious and flagrant vices commonly bring their own chastisement; there is a sober sort of intemperance, and a prudent degree of covetousness, and a sharp attention to self-interest and self-indulgence, which is much more common, and has quite as little concern with the service of God, and as little regard to his will, or to the good of his creatures, as more open profligacy: yet which is perhaps the likeliest temper for procuring the advantages and enjoying the pleasures of this life. A man of this

• Mal. ch. iii. s 2

habit and disposition will provide more largely for himself and his family; will live more easily and smoothly; will experience fewer crosses and vexations than the Christian, who is "working out his salvation with fear and trembling." As far as this world is concerned, he will be more prosperous. The other may enjoy a purer peace, a holier satisfaction; but it will not be derived from any thing which this world confers upon him. It will not arise from present circumstances or advantages; but will be internal, and prospective.

This appears even from the acknowledgments which are daily made by infidels themselves. "What will become of you," said one to his pious friend, "if there is no future state?" To which question only one reply could be made: "What will be your case if there is?" The apprehensions which are felt by many persons, who are not infidels, lest those in whom they have an interest should take what is called a serious turn, i. e. should believe the Bible literally and practically, have the same origin.

They arise from the conviction that this world's advantages are not best consulted by those who are mainly anxious about another; and that all who pursue this object consistently, walk in a path rugged as well as narrow, and are exposed, in most situations, to many inconveniencies and obstructions.

Yet, independently of all that is declared in Scripture, we cannot doubt that persons living thus differently, are very differently regarded in the sight of God. It must be so, if we allow him to be possessed of justice, goodness, holiness: of such qualities as we require to make even the perfect man. To believe that God is equally pleased with the voluptuary or the worldling, who acknowledge no laws but those of pleasure or ambition, and with a Christian who restrains even legitimate desires, lest they should obtain undue influence: that he beholds with the same eyes a Lazarus, bearing a life of penury with fortitude and a death of torture with resignation; and a Dives, immersed in selfish gratifications, and never raising his

s 3

thoughts towards the Giver of all good:—is virtual atheism. Yet, where are they recompensed? Certainly not in this life; and, if a future judgment is a dream, no where.

36

Take the case of St. Paul, whose example I select in place of thousands whose characters 'would suit this argument as well, only because the events of his life are familiarly known. I do not, of course, assume the truth of Christianity; but I suppose it will be allowed, that he believed it to be true; and that by his labours and his sacrifices in that cause, he considered that he was serving God. For the sake, then, of what he believed to be God's service, he resigned what men by common consent hold most valuable; relations, reputation, the esteem of those with whom he lived: he endured the loss of every worldly comfort; he underwent the severest privations and sufferings; he exposed himself to a cruel death daily; and at last he met it. Now even if he were mistaken as to the absolute duty of this course of conduct, which depends on the truth of Christianity; still his

mistake does not blemish his moral character; and the Supreme Being, such a Supreme Being as any enlightened philosopher will own or adore, must regard a life so devoted to his service, and sacrifices so readily made in obedience to what was supposed to be his will, with complacency and approbation. Yet this man, if in this life only he had hope, was of all men most miserable. So truly so, that many of the heathens desired, out of pure compassion, to dissuade the Christians from resigning what was most delightful in life, and braving what was most terrible in death, as they believed, without prospect of return.

And the case is the same with regard to all obedience to the supposed will of God, paid, because it is understood to be his will. Such obedience cannot be maintained without sacrifices of some sort: a renunciation of present interests, a mortification of present inclinations, for which it cannot be said that this world makes any immediate return.

s 4

264

If, then, we deny that God will award a future recompense to the righteous and the wicked, according to their respective lives, we may acknowledge, indeed, a Deity, whose existence and whose power is shown by the works of the creation; but we take from him all moral attributes. We make him indifferent to what is done with the purpose of conforming to his will; and to what is done in open defiance or careless neglect of it. We make him indifferent to those qualities which in ourselves command our most reasonable approbation, or dislike. We suppose that he has implanted in us reason to distinguish between the grand outlines of good and evil; and conscience to admonish us when we offend against what is known to be morally right, and pursue what we feel to be wrong; yet that he is himself unconcerned as to the choice we may make, and the road we may pursue. In judging of men, we should think this very inconsistent with a perfect character. We should think a parent censurable, who should make no difference between the children who might have deserted, and those who had

supported him: between the Goneril who had betrayed, and the Cordelia who had cherished him. We should blame the master, who not only left the servant who had robbed him unnoticed, but failed to reward the other who had devoted his powers or risked his life in his cause. We should not admire the king, who beheld the traitors who had opposed him, and his most faithful adherents, with the same eyes of indifference; and, having every dispensation in his own power, allowed the principal share of advantage to belong to his enemies rather than his friends. And this natural feeling becomes far more sacred, when we remember that in the case under consideration, the service on the one hand, and the disobedience on the other, is connected with a moral difference of character.

These illustrations, however short they may fall of exactness, serve the purpose for which they are brought; they show that we not only see, in our natural judgments, a wide distinction between virtuous and vicious conduct, but that we expect they will be differently treated. Many

sins, indeed, are declared in Scripture to be hateful to God, to incur divine condemnation, towards which we are ourselves disposed to be very lenient; but there are other sins which we even wish to see rewarded as they deserve; and are indignant when heinous cruelty or rapacity or treachery escape unpunished. Men sometimes, in speaking of the divine wrath, attempt to palliate guilt as the effect of situation, and the concurrence of inevitable circumstances: but when the case comes nearer into view, and their moral sense operates unperverted, they acknowledge by their judgments that guilt is guilt, and that they look upon punishment as what it naturally deserves, and ought necessarily to incur.

Upon the whole, then, the idea of God's future judgment awaiting those who have transgressed his laws, and refused him obedience, instead of contradicting our natural sentiments, or the dictates of our reason, does in fact agree with them, and confirm them. We, indeed, are inadequate judges of the degree of guilt which

mankind have incurred, or the degree of punishment which awaits it. We are too much interested in the cause to decide it. Daily examples prove how differently we estimate transgressions against the majesty and holiness of God, and transgressions of which we see the immediate consequences in the evil which they produce in the world. But it may be concluded, generally, that it forms no internal evidence against the divine origin of the Gospel, that it represents men to be liable to the wrath of their Creator. They have transgressed against him: reason and conscience lead us to expect that transgression. shall be followed by punishment; but punishment is neither universally nor equally awarded in this world: it is not, therefore, improbable, that it may be awarded in another state, as the Christian religion declares it will be.

In contradiction to these analogical reasonings, many will be disposed to argue, that God would not have placed mankind in circumstances where he must have foreseen their fall, if the consequences of falling were so fatally

1

serious. He would not have created a race, of whom so large a portion would perish everlastingly.

We touch here upon a great difficulty, which, in our present state of knowledge, or rather of ignorance, it is impossible to clear up. There would be more force in the objection, if this were the only fact in the appearance of the world which baffled our inquiries, or contradicted our expectations. But it is only one of a series of difficulties, which meet us at every view of the creation; which revelation does not enable us entirely to unravel; but which are still more inexplicable, if we set aside revelation.

Future punishment of the offences of this life is supposed to be incompatible with the goodness of God, who created man under circumstances of such tremendous responsibility. But is it not a fact, that numberless cases appear before us daily, incompatible with the abstract idea of divine goodness which we should be inclined to form? I do not see the eternal

world, and therefore I may deny that misery, as the result of misconduct in this earthly state, will exist there. So if I did not see the present world, I might deny that pain, and sorrow, and the many physical evils which abound, could exist in the work of an omnipotent Creator. But I should be mistaken. And so those may be mistaken, who, on no better grounds than á priori reasoning, promise themselves impunity in the world to come. We must frame our notions in conformity with what we see, rather than what we might imagine. And surely the person who looks abroad into the natural world will find much to surprise him, much that is inconsistent with the views of philosophical perfection which he would fondly desire to entertain. The book of nature is at least as mysterious as the book of revelation⁵.

⁵ It is not the business of this treatise to enter more fully upon this subject. I only suggest a train of reasoning, in answer to an obvious and plausible objection; which the reader may carry on in his own mind, or find completed in the most masterly style, in Butler's "Analogy of Natural and Revealed Religion."

Consider, for example, the degree of laborious exertion which is inseparably mixed up with the constitution of the world; which mankind must either submit to, or be savages. The great majority of persons in this country, of all classes, exercise severe toil, either of body or mind, ten hours in the day. If they remitted this, they could not subsist; neither could those indispensable supplies be furnished on which the comfort of the whole community depends. I am not insensible to the many alleviations which make this labour tolerable; but if we were judging beforehand, or picturing a world from imagination, would it bear this form?

The pain, distress, and privation, which meet us wherever we look, are no less perplexing. Pain, arising from the very constitution of our bodies, and apparently inseparable from it. Distress, arising from the abruption of earthly connexions, and the irreparable loss of those who made life valuable. Privation, arising from no arbitrary distribution of the goods of life, or rapacity on the part of other men, or accidental

misfortune; but from the condition into which mankind are naturally brought, in every country, and at every stage of civilization. Remove from these universal dispensations what may be called their moral cause, i. e. their effects on character, and the religious purposes which they serve;—which considerations we must remove, if we desert revelation;—and then say, whether the world answers our previous anticipations?

Other circumstances connected with the nature of mankind are equally unaccountable. As in the most favourable season of the most genîal climate, all fruit attains the most complete perfection, and falls in its maturity: such is the condition which we should look for in the chief production of nature, the human race. But of those born into the world, how many perish, before they have either known or communicated enjoyment, or indeed any feelings but those of anxiety and sorrow: how many of those who attain a riper age, are cut off at the moment when they were beginning to repay the labours

of education? How many others fall in the very meridian of vigour and usefulness; and how large a proportion, from the unfavourable circumstances in which they are placed, and the moral perversion of their hearts, live and die under a total incapacity of fulfilling those functions which might be expected of moral agents, the rational creatures of God, inhabiting a world which he had designed as their abode?

It is not my purpose to insist further on these topics, which I only suggest in the way of defensive argument, and leave for the reflection of the candid sceptic. Whatever ground he may take, short of absolute atheism, he will be unable to account for these and other phænomena of the world around him. He will find facts inconsistent with his theories. He will see appearances which confute his philosophy. He will be involved in difficulties much greater than any which he complains of in Scripture. It is the error of those who cannot or will not think, to imagine that the principal difficulties in religion belong to revealed religion. They

encounter the deist still more obstinately than the Christian. They encounter every man who is not prepared to say that this world and all it contains is the work of blind chance, and not of intelligent omnipotence.

Perhaps, then, it may be wise to say this; and, on account of these perplexities, withdraw our thoughts from all religion whatever, and plunge into atheism? But here we shall meet more difficulties still. Every plant, every animal, every object of nature which we cast our eyes upon, contains a refutation of atheism. We need go no further than ourselves: the mechanism which we carry about in our bodies, and which is constantly at work; the feelings of which we are conscious, the powers which we exercise, and the intellect which we possess, carry us irresistibly back to a source of all these wonders, and fasten down our faith to an intelligent Creator.

If, then, it is a difficulty, which I am very willing to concede it is, to understand why God should have created moral agents liable to the

Т

consequences of misemployed free agency, supposing those consequences to be so calamitous as the Christian Scriptures affirm: it is not a single or solitary instance of difficulty; it is only one of many inexplicable circumstances connected with the present state of mankind. If the case were otherwise, and as long as we confined our views to what is called natural religion, all were clear and intelligible, and the world only became perplexing through the interference of Christianity; this would be a plausible argument against Christianity, requiring us to look into the direct evidence by which it is established with additional vigilance. But this cannot be pretended. Christianity, in fact, furnishes the only clue. It does not acquaint us why we are born in a labyrinth; but it conducts us out of one, in which, without that guide, we must remain and wander for ever.

I conclude, therefore, that the doctrine contained in the Gospel, of the guilt and condemnation of man, is not of such a nature as to set aside the evidences of its truth which we derive

from other sources. That doctrine, instead of opposing, rather coincides with other undeniable facts or appearances which confront us in the world, and agrees with the apprehensions which mankind are disposed to entertain, and have, in some degree, entertained, in all ages.

II. The second point of leading interest which I proposed to consider, is the Christian doctrine of redemption; i.e. of remission, through the sacrifice of Christ, of the penalty incurred by the sinfulness of mankind.

The credibility of this doctrine depends in a great measure on the reception given to the former. If we hold the general alienation from God, and neglect of his government which has prevailed among men, to be a light matter; if we say with the infidels of old, "God careth not for it;" and mock at the idea of his inflicting punishment upon his creatures; then the doctrine of redemption must necessarily appear "foolishness." But, suppose the contrary: a supposition, which, as I have shown, is not in-

т 2

£.___

consistent with many intimations which the world actually presents; then we cannot be surprised at the means revealed in the Gospel for the remedy of so vast an evil.

It is easy to cavil at those means, and the nature of the remedy: to pretend that justice and mercy are one with God; and that if he sees fit to pardon human offences, he can pardon them without requiring a substitute. This is, in truth, the very question at issue.

The governor of our own or any other civilized country could not grant impunity to those who transgressed the laws enacted in that country, without overturning the whole system of government. God has to deal with the same agents: why is it so sure that impunity, on his part, would be followed by no similar consequences?

The great problem with every conscientious magistrate is, how he can exercise lenity without injury to the general cause of morals and good

277

order. If he could grant a free pardon, without encouraging licentiousness, and increasing the number of offenders, no punishment would ever be inflicted.

So with respect to the moral guilt of the human race. We can hardly doubt but our world, and the circumstances in which we are placed in it, are part of a scheme more extended than we at present understand. And in that general scheme, misery, or what we term punishment, may be the natural and necessary consequence of guilt; and moral government may require, for reasons just now hinted, that such consequence should really follow, such punishment should not be dispensed with. The Scriptures seem to lead us to views of this sort. They often represent punishment, i. e. the displeasure and abhorrence of God, as falling upon sin, not so much in the way of sentence as of immediate and necessary result. Thus Jesus insinuates that spiritual death is the state to which mankind were naturally reduced by sin, when he declares, "He that heareth my word,

т З

and believeth on him that sent me, hath everlasting life, and shall not come into condemnation; but is passed from death unto life⁶." Of those who " do not believe in the Son of God," he affirms, that "they are condemned already ";" that they abide in darkness; that their " sin remaineth." The Apostle argues to the same purpose, when he says, that " sin had reigned unto death;" that " death had passed upon all men, for that all had sinned 8." And the general tenour of the Scriptures leads us, upon the whole, to conclude that what we call, using the language of men, the anger of God against sin, is an essential part of his attributes; that he views the condition to which men are consequently reduced with pity; but that such is the nature of his government, that whilst the moral state remains the same, the grant of pardon and reception into his favour is impossible.

Objections, no doubt, may be urged on the other side. It is not pretended that we can clear up all the perplexities of the moral world.

⁶ John, v. 24. ⁷ John, iii. 18. xii. 46. ix. 41. ⁸ Rom. v. 21, 12.



If a man is determined to close his eyes against revelation until that is done, he must keep them shut for ever. There are some things of which he must be content to be ignorant; and silence the pride of his understanding by the futility of "replying against God," or of 'supposing that He should not " do right," to whose wisdom and goodness the whole created world bears testimony.

Under the influence of these considerations let him suppose the case which the Gospel assumes; that it was the divine purpose to exhibit a scheme of government in which mercy and justice should meet together: in which God might exert his merciful desire of recovering mankind from their lost condition, and yet preserve unimpaired the laws of his moral government. Then appears the fitness and consistency of the truths declared in the Gospel. "God is just," and shows his abhorrence of sin; and yet he is merciful, and "justifies those that believe in Jesus ⁹."

> 9 Rom. iii. 26. т 4

For although it is the doctrine of the Gospel, that the death of Jesus is received as an atonement for the sins of every individual who accepts the benefit of his redemption : yet we should err in representing his death as merely a gratuitous substitution of innocence for guilt : it exhibited, at the same time, a public display of the inevitable consequences of sin⁹. It was directed against that very error which is most deeply rooted, and most practically injurious: the error of supposing that the conduct of men is a matter of indifference to their Creator; that no future consequences depend upon the course of life which may be led upon earth. Every offence which is committed against the light of reason, or of conscience, or of the divine law, is - a practical effect of this error; and the inadequate restraint which a vague idea of future retribution exercised amongst the ancient hea-

⁹ The third chapter of Mr. Erskine's excellent treatise on the Internal Evidences, exhibits this view of the atonement in a most convincing manner. The whole volume is admirably calculated to satisfy the doubts of a philosophical objector.

thens, and which a more general conviction of a future judgment exercises now, is a proof of the slowness of the heart to be swayed by the dread of an unseen Being, or the fear of an unknown consequence. Some reject every idea of retribution. Others overlook the prospect of its extending to themselves. Multitudes imagine, that though what they consider very heinous sins may be avenged, yet a neglect of their Maker, and a systematic indulgence of the natural passions, and in particular the transgression, whatever it be, to which they are individually most addicted, will be passed over. The deceitfulness of the heart; the prevalence of vice; the moral disorder of the world, encourage all these delusions. Men contemplate the habits of their fellow-creatures, instead of the divine holiness; and comfort themselves with the poor satisfaction that the majority are in the same condition with themselves 10.

¹⁰ Ut sit magna, tamen certe lenta ira Deorum est.
 Si curant igitur cunctos punire nocentes,
 Quando ad me venient? Sed et exorabile numen
 Fortasse experiar.

Sic animum dira trepidum formidine culpæ Confirmant. Juven. xiii. 100.

282

Now of these vague or false imaginations, every one is swept away when the mysterious truth,-God appearing in the form and undergoing the punishment of man,—is received into the heart. So stupendous a sacrifice discovers the misery of those in whose favour it was prepared. It speaks a language which cannot be refuted : a language addressed to the heart no less than the reason. It puts an end to the delusive hope, that men may pass through the world regardless of God as their Creator, and disobedient to him as their moral Governor, and yet fear no evil: that if any eternity lies before them, it must needs be an eternity of happiness. Let them be once persuaded, that one who "was with God in the beginning, and was God," became man, that he might redeem men from the penalty incurred by their sins; that he might satisfy the offended justice of God in behalf of all who should commit themselves to him as a deliverer and a ruler :---then there is an end of all vague conjectures and groundless expectations. We know that sin is noticed, nay, is condemned by God, because he required a propitiation for it: 1

we are sure that its recompense is dreadful, since a dreadful recompense has already been exacted. If Jesus underwent the death which is reserved for the worst of human crimes: we have convincing evidence of the doom which impends over all for whom he is not a substi-His cross exhibits an inscription which tute. testifies at once "the goodness and the severity of God: on them that continue rebellious, severity:" but goodness towards all that " receive his goodness '." For if God spared not his own Son; if the bitter cup might not pass from him. except he drank it, how vain must be the prevalent expectation, that, if there is another world, those who fear him, and those who fear him not, will fare in it equally well?

The force of this palpable argument, this sensible proof of the evil of sin, is sufficiently exemplified by its effects. It daily produces a transformation of moral character, which nothing else can atchieve. Its power is attested by the fact which some deny, and others treat

¹ See Rom. xi. 22.

Digitized by Google

as a paradox, but which really admits of easy explanation, and is confirmed by every page in the annals of Christianity; that those persons are uniformly the most fearful of sin, and the most singular in their walk of holiness, who have the fullest reliance upon redemption through Jesus. There is nothing wonderful or unaccountable in this: it is the natural effect of their belief. For they, of all men, have the liveliest conviction of the responsibility, danger, and lamentable consequences of sin. Others may hesitate, and do hesitate, to admit the certainty of its condemnation. But they who believe in the sacrifice of Christ have the clearest apprehension and assurance of this truth. Nothing can make so certain the punishment, which, if indulged, it will hereafter incur, as the punishment which it has actually incurred. In proportion, therefore, as a man's views of the atonement are clear, his abhorrence and dread of opposing the divine will are sincere and operative. The cross of Christ is at once a refuge in which his conscience may find shelter, and a beacon holding forth to him a constant warning

284

against the carelessness, the errors, and the corruptions of the world.

If this is the natural result and the practical effect of the death of Jesus, we seem to approach towards a clearer understanding of the wisdom of that mysterious dispensation.

Let the objector calmly reflect upon the state in which the Gospel found the human race. There is surely nothing unreasonable in supposing that a revelation should be made to creatures so ignorant as men were, without revelation, of all that can possibly be pleasing to a holy and perfect Being. Let him consider, further, to what it professes to lead them. And I think he must allow, that if a revelation were to be made with the intent of convincing men of their sinfulness, and of their need of moral regeneration, and of assisting their progress in this necessary work, the Christian dispensation contains every thing essential to such a purpose. Admit the end; and we cannot deny the suitableness of the means.

The Scriptures declare, that God is offended. Reason and conscience confirm the fact; and point out the difference between the character of man and the commands of God. He, then, against whom we have transgressed, is our Creator; who by the same power which gave us being, has power also to destroy; to "destroy both body and soul." The first thing we might desire to our comfort and confidence is, that one who should undertake to deliver us from this danger, and avert the wrath of Almighty God, should also be himself God: also be almighty, that without hesitation we might trust our cause in his hands. And this is declared to us in the Gospel. We are there assured, that he who undertook the redemption of man, is indeed God; was "with God from the beginning;" and claimed to himself nothing to which he was not entitled, and took away from God nothing of his dignity and majesty, when he affirmed himself to be " equal with God." This gives to the Christian a sure ground of reliance, to believe that he who made propitiation for us, is equal to him whom we have offended : that "he and the Father are one."

Still the thoughts of men fail before the contemplation of the greatness of God. We find it difficult to conceive the idea of Him whom no man has seen at any time. There would be a satisfaction in having one whom we might think of more easily; feel nearer to; apply to with less of awe. Hence probably the ready recurrence of mankind to visible representations of the Deity, and to unauthorized mediators; they feel the need of something to which their minds may attach themselves more familiarly. And this wish, apparently so impracticable, meets its fulfilment in the Christian doctrine of God incarnate; "God with us;" "God manifest in the flesh." He removes the veil which separated God from man. " He brings down to our conceptions, in a manner the most familiar and impressive, those high attributes of truth and justice, and mercy, which could not be felt or understood as they existed in the abstract and invisible Deity '."

In addition to these two leading points, the reconcilement of rebellious subjects to their

¹ Chalmers.

287

288

King, and the introducing of that King to the knowledge of his subjects with less array of terror: the indirect effects of the Christian doctrine are wonderfully suited to the nature and situation of mankind.

There is in many a meek and humble disposition, tremblingly alive to the majesty of God, which might doubt his willingness to accept the repentance of his creatures, and to pardon the transgressions which, once committed, must be for ever present to his view. The mortifications, superstitious penances, and voluntary martyrdoms which men in different ages and countries have imposed upon themselves, prove that this is a feeling to which the mind naturally inclines when awakened to religion, but only partially informed. The holiness of God, if revealed to us without an indisputable evidence of his love, might have repelled instead of attracting our endeavours to serve and please him. But fears of this kind, which of all others deserve most tenderness, vanish at once before the belief that "God so loved the world, as to give his only

 $\mathbf{2}$

289

Son" for their salvation; and that Jesus, in love and pity for the souls of men, bore the infirmities of the flesh, and laid down his life for their sakes. Such thoughts administer consolation to the remorse of a wounded conscience, and give fresh vigour to obedience. Are we so precious in the sight of God, as the mysterious plan of redemption emboldens us to believe? Then is there, indeed, good hope, that he will be favourable to our penitence, and accept our imperfect and unworthy services.

Again, the humble condition in which Jesus appeared, might at first sight be deemed inconsistent with the high character which he assumed. And certainly it is improbable that men who contrived a fiction, should represent the Son of God to be so born and so descended; or if they invented the history of his life, should make it so little dignified, so little attractive to the imagination. But when we consider the whole purpose ascribed to him; not only to offer an atonement for sin, but to show a pattern of virtue; not only to reconcile men to God,

U

but to "leave them an example" of a life led according to his will: then what might be thought an inconsistency in his history, becomes an ad-Had he asditional testimony to its truth. sumed a situation of worldly splendour, had he been invested with the dignity of royal honours, he might have furnished an example of moderation in affluence, and of humility in power, to that very small proportion of mankind to whom riches or honours can ever belong. But to the vast majority of what mankind are and always must be in all ages, he could have left no lesson. They could not have trodden in his steps, for he would have walked in paths very different from theirs.

Philosophical teachers, indeed, have commonly bestowed little thought upon the poor and uninstructed classes, who were neither able to appreciate nor repay their labours. But in the sight of God we cannot possibly imagine that one of his creatures is more valued than another, however different their earthly conditions. The probability is, therefore, that the

interests of the majority would be consulted. And to how great a degree they are consulted by the poverty and humility of Jesus, is seen by daily experience. No consolation is more frequently recurred to, or more gratefully received, than the reflection that he came, not "to be ministered unto, but to minister," and often " had not where to lay his head." The evils of life lose much of their bitterness, when we believe that similar evils were actually experienced by him "who for us men and for our salvation came down from heaven;" and who having himself suffered human trials, and known human infirmities, is able " to succour them that are tempted." For it was no temporary character that he assumed. His office was not finished, nor his mercy exhausted, when he left this world. The Christian enjoys an additional encouragement in the difficult warfare which he must needs maintain in his progress towards eternity, from the assurance that he whose compassion was first attracted by the state of man, still extends his care over all who apply to him; still watches their spiritual interests, and inter-

u 2

cedes for their many failings; so that enlivened by his presence, and strengthened by his support, they may go on their way rejoicing, and fulfil the course of probation allotted them.

It appears, therefore, that the Christian doctrine of redemption through a Mediator, is intelligible, as well as original; and is recommended to our reason no less than to our faith. Considered as it ought in all fairness to be considered, according to things as they exist, and in connexion with the actual state of the world and of mankind, it derives additional probability from its adaptation to the purpose for which it was professedly devised. It finds mankind in a condition of moral ruin and spiritual ignorance; whatever be the cause, this fact is indisputable; and it brings to their restoration a deliverer, who is God, with power to save;-who is man, with tenderness to pity;--who has assured mankind of his love, by a proof the most uncontrovertible and endearing;-who is with us to animate our exertions in his service; - and is with God to "make intercession" for our infirmities.

 $\mathbf{292}$

Can we suppose a reasonable man to have been asked, what would best enable him to pursue a religious course in his passage through this world, he could hardly have required less, and certainly he could not have expected more.

CHAPTER X.

First Promulgation of Christianity.

I HAVE shown, in the preceding chapters, the strong internal evidence which supports the divine authority of the Christian Scriptures. And yet a great deal of internal evidence must always remain, which it is not possible to draw out into actual proof. The attentive reader of the New Testament will find this at every turn; and the best use he can make of the arguments which have been urged, would be to employ them as hints according to which he might examine the Gospel for himself.

I come now to consider the first propagation of the religion. We have indisputable proof that it was actively and successfully propagated, and made its way with surprising rapidity, when we know that there were multitudes of Christians of both sexes and of all ages,

FIRST PROMULGATION OF CHRISTIANITY. 295

in Rome, in Greece, and in various parts of Asia, within seventy years of the crucifixion¹. This is an historical truth; and when the nature of the religion, its originality, and its demands, are considered, it may well be reckoned an extraordinary fact. We may, indeed, be loosely told, that mankind are naturally fond of the marvellous; that the ignorant are prone to superstition; and that in a barbarous age any idle tale finds a multitude ready to give it their belief. But a reasonable man will not be satisfied with any thing so vague as this. Though the marvellous may be greedily listened to, when it demands nothing further than an idle acquiescence, mankind are more circumspect and incredulous when they are called upon to sacrifice all their former opinions, desires, habits, and prejudices. The cause could not be forwarded by Jewish superstition, since it is abundantly plain, that the Jews were extremely slow and unwilling to receive Christianity, and in fact never did adopt it, as a people; neither can we

¹ See ch. i. p. 8. At large in Paley, p. ii. c. ix.

ช 4

296 FIRST PROMULGATION

resort to barbarism, since the nations which furnished the first proselytes, were the most civilized then existing, in an age proverbial for civilization. It becomes, therefore, an interesting object of inquiry, to trace the manner in which the religion first gained ground.

The account which is borne on the face of the history, relates, that at an annual feast at Jerusalem, the attention of certain Jews who had resorted thither, from many different countries, for the purpose of national worship, was attracted by a party of Galileans, who addressed the multitude in their respective languages. We can form some idea of the nature of such an occurrence, by figuring to ourselves a Jewish assembly in any of the European capitals, where Jews of every country are assembled; and supposing twelve persons of the same persuasion, who were known never to have travelled, to begin a comment upon the Hebrew Scriptures, in the different languages of Europe.

OF CHRISTIANITY.

During the inquiry which this circumstance excited, one of these, named Peter, who had been a fisherman in his native province, Galilee, undertook to explain the subject of the general astonishment by referring to a passage in their prophets, whose authority all acknowledged; and which contained a promise of interposition like that which the assembly was now witnessing. And he proceeded to this effect, declaring the purpose of the present miracle².

Hear my explanation of this visible interposition of Almighty power. Ye, the men of Israel, have crucified Jesus of Nazareth; to whose divine mission God bore witness by the miracles which he enabled him to perform in the sight of you all. God, however, has raised him from the dead, as your great prophet David foretold concerning him, in a passage with which you are familiar; but which, you must be aware, cannot apply to David, whose death and burial are undisputed; but which does, in truth,

³ See Acts, ii. 14, &c.

297

298 FIRST PROMULGATION

foretel the resurrection of that expected Messiah whom God had promised from the race of David. We here stand up and testify, that Jesus has risen again, according to the prophecy; and that he has shed forth upon us his disciples the Holy Spirit, the effects of which ye now see and hear. "Therefore let all the house of Israel know assuredly that God hath made that same Jesus whom ye have crucified, both Lord and Christ."

This was the first public declaration of the divinity or Messiahship of Jesus, after his death; and was so convincing to the hearers, that three thousand from that moment made open profession of their belief in him, and were added to the existing body of his disciples.

Now there must surely have been something very insuperable in the proofs presented to the minds of this assembly, when so large a number pleaded guilty to the charge of having been accessary to the execution of one whom they ought to have distinguished as bearing a divine com-

OF CHRISTIANITY.

299

mission by the works which he performed; and agreed to embrace a religion preached in his name, as the only remaining condition of pardon. They must have been impressed with a very strong conviction, before they consented to acknowledge him as the Son of God. who not two months before had suffered the death of a malefactor. There is no time when men are less likely, without overpowering testimony, to acknowledge a fact, than when it proves themselves guilty. Nor was there any imaginable reason for their making this confession, except the conviction of their understandings and their consciences. There was nothing said to excite their passions; nothing to alarm their fears, nothing to raise their hopes, unless it derived force from undeniable facts. There was no proof that Jesus had been sent from God, unless, as Peter asserts, he had been really "approved of God among them" by miraculous deeds. There was no weight in the prophecy adduced, and which they had not been accustomed to apply to the expected Messiah, except what it might obtain from the fact affirmed, the resurrection of

3

300 FIRST PROMULGATION

There was no proof of his exercising Jesus. supernatural power now, more than when he suffered on the cross, unless that power were actually witnessed in the gifts conferred on the apostles. Was there no one in that numerous assembly who could refute the unexpected interpretation of an ancient prophecy given by an uneducated Galilean? No plain man of common sense, who could say, we heard of no "wonders, or signs, or mighty deeds?" No one who could account, in any ordinary way, for the possession of various languages? Peter's speech depended entirely on the coincidence of actual fact with his arguments: here was nothing refined, nothing far fetched, nothing to perplex the understanding of reasonable men; but his words came home to their consciences : and, instead of putting down the apostles with the hand of power as disturbers of a solemn assembly, they appeal to them as men and brethren, eagerly inquiring how they might explate the guilt in which they had been concerned. Yet it does not appear to have been one of those simultaneous impressions, which sometimes

OF CHRISTIANITY.

hurry away a multitude without reflection or in spite of reason. The historian does not say, that the conviction was unanimous. "They that gladly received his word were baptized:" which implies that some resisted arguments which proved effectual with the majority of that assembly.

Shortly after, this scene was repeated on a similar occasion in Jerusalem³. Two of the apostles performed a remarkable cure upon a cripple who was known in a regular station as beggar at the entrance of the temple. From the notoriety of the person, the miracle excited general astonishment. Again Peter addressed the multitude, in the same terms as at the feast of Pentecost. He declared the divine mission of Jesus: he asserted his resurrection: he affirmed that the miracle which they had just seen had been effected through his power. He again appealed to the prophets whose authority they professed to acknowledge, and adduced

³ Acts. iii.

301

new passages in proof⁴; and he again concluded with inviting them to hear the call of God, first offered to their nation, and to embrace the faith which would avert the punishment of their iniquities.

Here, as before, the apostle's words persuaded many of the hearers, who united themselves to the infant church.

But by this time the doctrine of the divinity of Jesus, and of the resurrection from the dead, had excited jealousy. If it was true, the chiefs of the state, who had procured his condemnation, were most deeply involved in guilt. Therefore the discourses of the apostles were interrupted by authority: they were themselves imprisoned; and on the following day strict inquiry was made of them in full council, " by what power or in what name they had done this" miracle. The apostles persevered in their declaration; affirmed that the cure which had occasioned

4 Acts, iii. 26.

OF CHRISTIANITY.

such general surprise, had been performed through the power of Jesus Christ of Nazareth, whom the rulers had crucified, and God had raised from the dead; and that it behoved them all to acknowledge him, as they valued the salvation of their souls 5.

Nothing can be more curious than the scene here delineated. On one part, the men in power, accustomed to obedience, and the interpreters of the Mosaic law, whose authority had been paramount; now confronted by men of a despised district, silenced by quotations from their own Scriptures, and authoritatively taught what was essential to their salvation. We can readily comprehend their first impulse, to set down with a high hand these unknown and uneducated men: an impulse, however, which was restrained for a while by the presence of the man who had received the cure, which created a strong popular sensation: so that they could only venture, at this time, to stifle the business

5 Acts, iv.

Digitized by Google

304 FIRST PROMULGATION

if possible, and forbid the new teachers to persist further in the doctrine which they were maintaining.

In defiance of this command, the apostles declared that they had a divine commission to promulgate these truths, and must continue to do so. And as the occasion did not allow of more open hostility, they were dispersed for the present with threats.

This warning, however, gave the apostles good opportunity for deliberation as to the nature of their undertaking. They now saw that their course was not a safe one; that they must look forward to opposition and punishment. There was still time to recede, if they chose to remain silent; but if they resolved to persevere, it could only be in defiance of authority, and in the teeth of danger. And what was their conduct? A solemn committal of their cause to God, whose agents they professed to be; and a devout entreaty that he would inspire them with holy courage, and support them with his power.

OF CHRISTIANITY.

305

"And now, Lord, behold their threatenings; and grant unto thy servants that with all boldness they may speak thy word, by stretching forth thine hand to heal; and that signs and wonders may be done by the name of thy holy child Jesus ⁶."

It is not necessary to detail particularly the further progress of the apostles. Opposition grew more violent, and the consequences of their undertaking were sufficiently seen. They nevertheless continued to teach the Gospel both publicly and privately; and the number of converts was so greatly multiplied within a few months, that it became necessary to appoint officers for the management of their temporal concerns.

At length persecution in Jerusalem drove the converts into the various cities of Asia, to which they conveyed their new faith: confining themselves at first to their own countrymer

Acts, iv. 29.

X

FIRST PROMULGATION

but afterwards induced by the hostility with which they were opposed, to address Jews and Gentiles indiscriminately.

And this change of plan supplies incidental occasion for a remarkable feature of internal evidence in the different tone of address which is used by the same persons, now described as appealing to heathen nations. We possess two circumstantial records of their first discourse to such assemblies, which bear the strongest marks of the qualities most important to their credit, honesty, and common sense. Those who had fabricated a history, would be likely to tell it always in the same tone. The language of the Apostles varied with their circumstances. To the Jews, as we saw, they appealed to things acknowledged by themselves and their countrymen in common, and laboured mainly to establish the point, that the crucified Jesus was the expected Messiah. But between the heathen and themselves there were no books to which they could refer as to the Jewish Scriptures, held in mutual reverence; and before they proceeded to

OF CHRISTIANITY.

the more immediate object, the divinity of Jesus, it was necessary to lay down as a foundation the existence and the unity of God, and his concern with the actions of mankind. So at Lystra⁷, where a miraculous cure had drawn the attention of the multitudes, and induced them to offer such honours to Paul and Barna. bas as they had been used to pay to the deities of their polytheism: the apostles seize on this as the groundwork of their address, and say: we claim no such adoration; "we also are men of like passions with you; and preach unto you that ye should turn from these vanities, unto the living God, which made heaven and earth and all things that are therein. Who in times past suffered all nations to walk in their own ways. Nevertheless he left not himself without witness, in that he did good, and gave us rain from heaven and fruitful seasons, filling our hearts with food and gladness."

If we treat the apostles as impostors, we must account for this honesty and moderation;

7 Acts, xiv. 8, &c. x 2 307

FIRST PROMULGATION

if as enthusiasts, for their prudent forbearance.

The behaviour of Paul at Athens is no less characteristic. He is said to have gone thither without any previous purpose of seeking proselytes; but as he was waiting for two companions whom he had appointed to join him there, " his spirit was stirred up within him, when he saw the city wholly given to idolatry⁸." I may seem to assume the fact of his sincerity and earnestness; but surely this is a most accurate description of the feeling of a man conscious that he was in possession of an important truth, which all around him were in want of; and too anxious for the welfare of his fellowcreatures to pass by any opportunity of enlight-"Therefore disputed he in the ening them. synagogue with the Jews, and with the devout persons, and in the market daily with them that met with him." "Then certain of the philosophers of the Epicureans and of the Stoics en-

8 Acts, xiii. 16, &c.

308

309

countered him. And some said, What will this babbler say? Other some, he seemeth to be a setter forth of strange gods; because he preached unto them Jesus and the resurrection. And they took him, and brought him to Areopagus, saying, May we know what this new doctrine is, whereof thou speakest? For thou bringest certain strange things to our ears."

There could not be a more exact picture of the Athenian agora and its frequenters, accustomed to perpetual discussions and agitating endless questions, with an utter indifference as to their truth and falsehood. Some entirely rejecting what they heard, "what will this babbler say?" Others struck with something imperfectly comprehended, and thinking it of sufficient consequence to be referred to the council of Areopagus.

We are next presented with the address of Paul to this assembly, opening with an ingenious allusion to the altar inscribed, "To the unknown God;" and undertaking to set forth the

x 3

Creator, who was at present strange to them, though convinced by their reason of the existence of some Supreme Being.

The condemnation of idolatry, and declaration of the truth which follows, is delivered in a tone of authority arising from the speaker's own confidence, which is beautifully blended with the compassion which he feels towards the ignorant and erring objects of his address. He speaks in that decisive strain of conscious superiority, which a Christian of the present day would adopt towards a tribe of Indians or Chinese. Yet who was the speaker? A stranger from an obscure province of Syria. Where was he speaking? In Athens, the instructress of Whom was he addressing? The the world. philosophers of highest repute in their age, to whom the wisest of other countries came for illumination.

He does not, however, launch at once into the mysteries of the faith which he professed. His mind is not so enthusiastically filled with

311

the message of salvation which it was his office to convey, as to overlook the wisest method of imparting it. His object is, to prepare them to meet a future judgment: therefore he directs his blow towards their consciences and their fears. "The times of former ignorance God winked at; but now commands all men every where to repent; because he has appointed a day in which he will judge the world in righteousness by that man whom he hath ordained : whereof he hath given assurance unto all, in that he hath raised him again from the dead ⁹."

The effect of this novel declaration was the very effect to be anticipated from all that we know of human nature, and of that particular audience. When they found that his doctrine involved the question of the resurrection of the dead, a part ridiculed the idea; a part postponed the consideration of it; while some adhered to him, and " believed."

9 Acts, xvii. 30.

x 4

He must have unusual confidence in the inventive powers of the early Christians, who can look upon these narratives, and the many others which are contained in the "Acts of the Apostles," as a mere fabrication : remembering, at the same time, the age to which the book indisputably belongs, and the persons by whom it must have been composed. When we consider the immense quantity of matter and the great variety of facts contained in it: the minute circumstances detailed: when we compare the speeches of Peter with those of Paul; and those of Paul to the Ephesians with those which he addressed to an unconverted audience: when we examine the conduct attributed to the Jews: their open persecution at Jerusalem, and their indirect accusation at Thessalonica; the ingenuity with which the adversaries of the apostles address themselves to the passions and interests of men in the different cities: the characters of Gallio, of Felix, of Lysias, of Agrippa: it seems impossible to suppose this an invented narrative of things which never took place, or of persons who never had a real existence. This argument,

indeed, can have no weight with a person who is not sensible of the air of truth and reality which pervades the whole history. But whoever is alive to this, whoever does perceive in almost every page the marks of a writer detailing the account of actual transactions and circumstances, should observe that the proof which arises from evidence of this kind, is not to be deemed far-fetched or imaginary, because it is incapable of being drawn out in words, or of being presented to the mind of the sceptic in any other way than by sending him to the books themselves ¹⁰.

To return, however, to the history. It is a point of some importance, that on the supposition of the facts being true, which form the basis of Christianity, every thing might have been expected to happen, which the history records as having actually taken place. Miraculous interference was to be expected, which might effect the sure, but gradual, establishment

1º See Paley's Horæ Paulinæ, conclusion, p. 359.

of the religion. It was also to be expected, that it should be partially, and not universally received.

I. Whatever difficulties the moral state of the world presents at all times, no one who considers what that state was at the period in question can be surprised that God should devise a plan for its melioration. But supposing that he had devised such a plan, it is probable also that he would authenticate it by such visible interpositions of his power as are said to have accompanied the ministry of Jesus and his apostles. Because we cannot believe that without some co-operation of this kind, their preaching would have attracted the slightest attention, much less have effected what it did effect, the conversion of the civilized world. We talk with ease of the introduction of a religion. But if we set the case fairly before our minds, the obstacles will appear such as both justify and require the use of extraordinary means. However familiarly spoken of, it is not an occurrence of every day to change the religion of mankind.

No sufficient reason can be alleged, why that should not be practicable now, which is believed to have been found practicable eighteen hundred years ago. Suppose, then, a set of persons in this or any other country to associate themselves together, and profess that they had a commission from God to model anew the civil and religious institutions of the land, and re-establish the principles of the law of Moses. Suppose them to assert, in conformity with this pretension, that God required the nation to lay aside their present religious services, and to introduce in their stead, the Jewish ceremonial: to resort for the purpose of national worship annually to the capital city, as the Israelites were accustomed to do; to abstain from certain kinds of food; and to keep holy the original sabbath, instead of the day of Jesus's resurrection. Or farther; that he commanded them to leave their fields unsown, and their orchards unpruned, every seventh year, and not to gather during that year even the spontaneous produce of the ground: to make no bargains of sale for their lands beyond fifty years, but that

315

all estates purchased during the intermediate period should be resigned at the expiration of that term to the original owner.

This would be, as Christianity was, a new religion; and Christianity, from its Jewish converts, required a renunciation of prejudices, and from the Gentiles a change of habits and customs, not less complete and violent. The doctrine of the resurrection of a person who had suffered on the cross, and of baptism in his name for remission of sins, was not more agreeable to their natural prepossessions than any thing in the case here imagined. Private interests were equally affected, when they that believed forsook their houses or lands or their nearest relatives " for the kingdom of God's sake," or sold their possessions and goods, and had all things common'."

It is useful sometimes to realize an idea. Let us suppose doctrines of this sort to be

' Luke, xviii. 29. Acts, ii. 44.

preached within our own knowledge. Everv individual would feel that some or other of the provisions of such an innovation concerned himself. Argument, therefore, of every kind would be employed, from the clamour of the vulgar up to the reason of the well-informed. The most charitable opinion would set down such teachers as fanatics, who believed themselves the servants of God, but were really under the grossest delusion. But the more general opinion would condemn them as workers of mischief under the disguise of religion. No individual would listen to the improbable pretence, that they acted under a divine commission: they would be reckoned enthusiasts or impostors, who must either be silenced or punished.

The Christian teachers, in delivering their message, added argument to assertion. With their countrymen, they appealed to their Scriptures; with the heathen, to their reason. And so arguments might be invented, in defence of the regulations just now supposed; and refer-

317

ence might be made to the law of Moses, by which they were once established. But it would be waste of time to prove that argument, persuasion, and assertion would be equally unable to gain such innovators attention². Those who believe the national religion to be from God, would condemn them : those who regard it not, would despise them. When Christianity was first preached, why should it have had better success either with the bigoted Jew or the careless Gentile?

² Unless, indeed, the argument might prove an accomplishment of prophecy, and, therefore, show a species of miracle. The force of the appeal made by Jesus and the apostles to the Jewish Scriptures, depended entirely on the accordance of those Scriptures with their mission. If it be urged, that their success was favoured by their reference to writings which the Jews acknowledged to be sacred, two important inferences follow: first, that the alleged prophecies were in previous existence; next, that they agreed with the circumstances under which "Jesus who was called Christ," actually appeared, and lived, and died.

Luther, by appealing to the Scriptures, made a very successful innovation in religion. But suppose there had been no Scriptures of authority acknowledged to be paramount, or these had not borne him out in his appeal; would he have been listened to for a moment?

But while we maintain this, it were too much to assert, that there are no means by which they might establish a claim to be heard. Suppose them to confess that they depended on argument no farther than to explain their object; that the proofs of their mission were of a different nature; that they did not expect to be believed on their own assertion, but appealed to proofs of supernatural assistance by which their mission was authenticated. It is evident that this pretence would avail them, or not, exactly according to its agreement or disagreement with positive facts. We can easily conceive fanatic persons claiming credit for a power of working miracles, to whom no such power belonged; but we cannot conceive such persons being generally attended to and credited, unless their claim were supported by facts too plain to be denied. If no supernatural power accompanied them, the pretence to it would only sink them lower in public estimation; instead of deluded enthusiasts, they would be treated as designing impostors; and the idea of their establishing a new religion on the ruins of the

320

old would become more visionary than ever. In a very few days the attempt itself, and the party which had undertaken it, would be numbered among things forgotten. Give them rank; give them authority; give them education; advantages which were entirely wanting to the teachers of Christianity; still the barrier opposed by national belief, prescriptive customs, and personal habits, is so strong, that it has never been overcome without some commensurate power, civil or military. And I have taken more pains than might appear necessary, to show the difficulties encountered by the apostles; because if these difficulties were more justly appreciated, the consequence proved by their success would be more generally admitted. I have supposed nothing greater than they attempted; nothing greater than they achieved; and not in a single city, but over half the world; the same scheme which we at once declare to be impracticable as to our own age or country, was tried within the first century throughout the most civilized parts of the world then known, and succeeded; succeeded too by

321

means which we are aware must now be ineffectual, unless they were supported as the apostles profess to have been supported; succeeded too in spite of opposition, not for want of it; for there is no proof that either Jews or heathens were less attached to the religion, the traditions, or the worship of their ancestors, than ourselves³.

These are our grounds for believing, that if it were the purpose of God to establish a revelation like the Christian, he would see fit for a time to suspend or change the ordinary operation of his laws; and that in the case before us he actually did so.

³ The cases of successful imposture or enthusiasm which sometimes astonish us, are no exception to this argument. Such persons as Swedenborg and Southcote do not introduce a new religion, but stand forward as interpreters of a religion before established on very different grounds; and because that is believed, they are listened to. If the religion were not already believed, these persons would gain no attention. The apostles raised Christianity out of nothing, and against every thing.

Y

Here, however, an adversary steps in, and affirms that this exercise of miraculous power is too improbable to be credited on any testimony. It is contrary to the nature of the Deity, and contrary to uniform experience; and, therefore, cannot be believed.

Whatever force may seem to be in this objection, a slight consideration will show that it carries us too far, and leads to consequences which even a Deist would hesitate to admit.

The argument stands thus. The laws of nature are fixed and uniform, being established by the Creator as the most suitable for the world he has made. To suppose that he would alter what he has once established, is to suppose mutability in his counsels, or imperfection in his laws. Therefore it is more probable that men should deceive or be deceived, than that he should have suffered that temporary change in the constitution of things which we call a miracle.

The most satisfactory answer to any abstract argument is that which can be drawn from matter of fact. In speaking of the Deity, more particularly, it is chiefly by considering what he has done, that we can safely decide what it may be consistent with his attributes to do. And with regard to the present question, it is certain, that if he created the world, he has already seen fit to interfere with what was before established, and to alter the actual order of things.

Where our world now exists, and the innumerable worlds which philosophy opens to our view, before they were created there must either have been vacant space, or matter in another form. That space, or that form of matter, was then the order of nature. And a being of some other sphere might have argued with the same plausibility, that God could not, consistently with his attributes, after the existing state of things, and create a world like ours. But that being would have been mistaken. He would have been refuted by the act of creation. We believe that God did interpose his power, and

- **x** 2

did create our world. Wherever we look around us, whenever we are conscious of our own existence, we have a proof of that very divine interference which is declared to be so improbable. Whether we go back six thousand years, or six thousand ages, or six thousand centuries, we must believe, if we are not altogether atheists, that this world, and all that it contains, once had no existence in its present form, and received its being and its properties contrary to the order of things previously existing.

That then which God certainly saw fit to do for one purpose, he might see fit to do for another: for another, and not a less glorious purpose. For when we reflect on the difference which Christianity has already wrought in the moral world, and the still greater difference which it is calculated to work, and probably will effect in the progress of time, we cannot think it a less important exercise of power to have introduced the Gospel by suspending the laws of nature, than to have created the world by first establishing them.

3

325

Unless, then, we banish from the universe the idea of God, and adopt some other principles to account for an existing world, than its creation by a first great Cause, we have no reason to believe it to be incompatible with the nature of God to change the order of things. He did change that order, to make a rational and accountable creature; and he may have changed it, to reclaim and reform that creature, who had fallen through the wrong use of the powers bestowed on him.

Again, any argument must be founded on fallacy, which, if received, would render it impossible for God to reveal his will to mankind. But if there is justice in the assertion, that no testimony can warrant our belief in miracles, it is evident that we leave to God no assignable method of issuing any revelation. In what other way could a messenger sent by him prove the authority of his mission? As was shown just now, who would believe a messenger on his mere affirmation? We naturally demand such an authentication, as the question attri-

у З

buted to the Jews requires, "What sign showest-thou⁴?" Men may be constrained to profess belief, and embrace a religion, as they were by the sword of Mohammed and his successors; but they cannot be persuaded and convinced, except by some evidence which appeals to their reason, and satisfies their understanding.

It is further to be remarked, that before we decide so positively concerning the order of nature, the phænomena of the Jewish history must be taken into consideration. The wonders which are said to have attested the mission of Jesus and his apostles, took place among a people, whose records contained repeated accounts of similar interference; and who were accustomed to consider such signs as part of a system which God had established in order to preserve in their nation a belief in the Creator, and a knowledge of his will. Either the whole Jewish history must be given up as false, which would only shift our difficulty, without removing

4 John, il. 18.

327

it; or the Christian miracles form the last of a series of interpositions which God had constantly exercised with regard to that people. In - this case they do not indicate the change, but the completion, of an uniform and regular scheme: a scheme which had been devised and kept in view from the beginning of things, and gradually brought to its accomplishment by the incarnation of Jesus: a plan which was shadowed out in a national law which had been observed for fourteen centuries; a plan which is intimated in the writings of numerous authors spread over the surface of that long period; writings abounding with passages that received their first and only explanation in the character and history of Jesus.

Therefore, to the employment of miracles, as a part of the divine government, the whole Jewish people bear witness. Not merely the people of one age, but of a series of ages. Miracles made them what they were, an exception to the general state of the world, in religion, in laws, in customs, in morals. And experience

y 4

like this has a claim to be considered, when we talk of experience, and draw our conclusions. We are not at liberty to assert that miracles are contrary to all experience, when the experience of a whole nation attests them, and when that attestation is confirmed by phænomena, which, except on the supposition of miracles, we have no means of explaining.

The purport of the foregoing reflections is, not to prove the truth of the miracles related in the narratives of the apostles, which belongs to a different course of argument; but to dispose the mind to receive that external evidence which does confirm them; and which establishes the divinity of Jesus from the miracles which he wrought, and enabled his followers to work. The idea, we see, must not be rejected as incredible, that the apostles were endued with the faculty of speaking various languages for the purpose of communicating instruction, which otherwise could never have been imparted; or that they were supernaturally enabled to conciliate attention and favour by acts of mercy and

of power. On the contrary, it appears probable, that if the religion were really divine, they would have been entrusted with such gifts. Because without them, they would in vain have attempted to withdraw the Jews from their ritual, or the heathen from their idolatry ⁵. It savours

⁵ The difficulties which the first teachers of Christianity would have universally to encounter, are well set forth by Dr. Hey, b. i. ch. xviii. s. 6. "Nothing less than being present at the different scenes which attended the propagation of Christianity, would give us a perfect conception of this interesting subject. We should see the magnificence of the heathen temples, the fine workmanship of the statues, the priests, the victims, superbly adorned, the attendant youths of both sexes, &c. &c.; we should observe how every part of religion was contrived to allure and captivate; we should see how all men were attached to it, not only of the lower ranks. but the most improved and the best informed : for we, in our improved times, are apt to think Jupiter, Apollo, and Venus, so absurd as deities, that we have no idea or feeling of the attachment of the heathens to their gods." What follows is too important to be omitted, though it rather belongs to the argument of the succeeding chapter. "When we had got some idea of the heathen religion, we should go to a meeting of first Christians; plain, simple, and incommodious; concealed, in some degree, under alarm from danger of persecution : one such meeting we should find at least in every century, till the end of the seventh: we should hear the heathen conversing about the Christians in private life, and deliberating about them in councils of state; we should attend the tribunals of heathen magistrates, and hear the early Christians accused, defended, condemned: listen to the topics made use of in

of atheism to exclude God from all concern with the world, of which he is acknowledged to be the Creator. True, we do not now experience his interposition. Neither do we perceive it in the direction of the natural world. But he did interpose in the natural world, till he had established such laws as were necessary to maintain its order. And so in respect of religion. He manifested himself openly till he had established a final revelation of his will, and now leaves that revelation to work its effect upon the world without the further operation of his visible power.

accusing and defending : we should attend the convicts to the stake, or the cross; see their mild fortitude, their heroic benevolence: or, first, we should attend them to prison, and see their fellow Christians crowding about them, giving up every sort of convenience, in order to afford them relief and support in their confinement. We should enter into the domestic retirements of those families who were wholly converted, and see their amiable virtues, or their animated piety: or of those which were become Christians in part, and see the conflicts between religious and filial duty; between Christian devotion and fraternal affection. We should see the zealous labours of the clergy; their minds inflamed with the greatness, the novelty, the danger of their situation : free from worldly views of gain, or rank, or power, wholly fixed upon heaven, and the means of attaining it; instructing, persuading, exhorting, convincing."

II. One objection, however, to the Christian miracles still remains to be noticed; the inflexible obstinacy of the ruling party among the Jews, and, indeed, of the great mass of the nation. Who could withhold assent, when the most astonishing miracles were exhibited before their eyes?

In reply to this, we should observe, that it is an error to set the Christians against the Jews. and the Jews against the Christians, as a body. The preaching of the apostles made the Jews a divided body; and the majority of the earliest Christians were, in fact, converted Jews. The conversion of one part removes the objection arising from the obduracy of the other. For what account can be given of that conversion. if the whole history is untrue? Whereas the unbelief of the greater number is sufficiently explained on the known principles of human nature. We need not go far for an exemplification. We look around, and see a community calling itself Christian; and though a few may confess their scepticism, the majority would in-

dignantly repel the insinuation that they disbelieve the Gospel. Yet how few, how very few comparatively, act in consistency with their profession, or live conformably with the Christian faith? Not because they are convinced that it does not deserve to be believed, but because it interferes with their pleasures, or their habits, or their prejudices, and therefore they pass it over with a notice too inconsiderable to be acted upon. On similar grounds it is easy to understand the conduct of the Jews. When we remember the confession of personal guilt, which their acknowledgment of Jesus as the Messiah must have implied: the complete sacrifice of every thing in this world which it required: the prejudices to be renounced; the passions to be overcome; and further, when we add to this the obligations which it would have imposed upon them, the change of personal conduct which it demanded, to which they had the same repugnance as all other men; we shall perceive, I think, that national confession would have been an act of national repentance little to be expected from their character as a

people, or from the nature of mankind in general.

Where there is a strong indisposition to believe, pretexts for not believing are readily discovered. The history of Jesus acquaints us, that the persons in authority among his countrymen withdrew their attention from the miracles, on pretence of their being wrought through the agency of evil spirits. The prejudices of some rendered them unwilling to believe him the Messiah; the habits of others disinclined them to listen to his doctrines; and this set them upon seeking for an explanation of the supernatural power, which they could not but acknowledge. They found one: which, however ridiculous it may appear to us, at least gives the opinion of that age and nation. This solution was as satisfactory to them as that of magic to those of the heathen, who paid sufficient attention to the Christian story to know what it contained. The early apologists themselves assure us, that this consideration prevented them from alleging the miracles of Jesus as their

<u>383</u>

strongest argument⁶: they laid far greater stress upon the prophecies; and their choice in this matter, however unwise it may appear to us, seems justified by the ease with which Celsus thinks that he has disposed of all difficulty, when he has attributed the Christian miracles to a skilful use of magic 7. People are easily satisfied when they are willing to be deceived : and a vague reference to such an explanation, though quite as insufficient to an honest inquirer then, as the plea of witchcraft to an enlightened philosopher now, might be enough to divert attention, and resist the first weak impressions of conscientious conviction. Particularly when such a powerful array of immediate interests opposed the strength of evidence, and fortified the prejudices naturally entertained by the votaries and priests of an expiring religion⁸.

⁶ Justin Mart. Apol. i. oh. xxavii.

7 See, on this subject, Watson's Letters to Gibbon, page 147, &c.

⁸ Much more might be said apon these points; but the question has been so fully and so ably treated, both by Paley and Chalmers, that no reader, I imagine, can require further satisfaction than he may meet with in those writers, respect-

Digitized by Google

The case of Paul illustrates these remarks. Without assuming that he was convinced by z miracle immediately affecting himself, we may argue that he was convinced, and from an enemy became a zealous partisan; from a Jewish persecutor a Christian confessor. Long after his conversion he speaks indirectly of the state of mind under which he had acted; which was no other than that foretold by Jesus, when men should go about to slay his disciples, and think that they were " doing God service 9." He " did it ignorantly, in unbelief;" that is, he was so blinded by prejudice that he could not discern the truth; and though he was now too well instructed to think such projudice innocent; he attributes it to this cause, that God had mercifully pardoned and enlightened him.

We must not, at any rate, allow an objection to divert our minds from the undisputed

ing either the neglect of the heathen philosophers, or the unbelief of the Jews.—See Paley, part iii. ch. iv.; Chalmers's Evid. ch. v.

9 1 Tim. i. 13.

335

fact, that a considerable body of the Jewish nation was persuaded to exchange the religion to which they had been attached with proverbial zeal, for a religion which opposed all their sentiments, disappointed all their expectations, and compromised all their exclusive privileges. Now, from our experience of the human mind, we can in some measure understand how a part of the nation might obstinately resist evidence which convinced the rest: but on no experience whatever can we understand how a single individual should have been converted, without that very evidence to which their conversion is ascribed in the history. And this is what I set out with observing. In the account which we have received of the first propagation of Christianity, there is nothing inconsistent with what we know of the human heart, its prejudices, associations, and tendencies ;--supposing that the facts were true; supposing that such a person as Jesus had been really foretold by a series of prophets; supposing that he had indeed risen from the dead; and supposing that

337

the miracles appealed to had been actually performed. On any other supposition the whole case becomes altogether inexplicable, and the progress of the religion a problem without parallel in the history of mankind.

CHAPTER XI.

First Reception of Christianity.

IT has been argued in the preceding chapter, that the history contained in the book of "the Acts of the Apostles" gives a probable account of the promulgation of Christianity.

Such a report, without doubt, comes attended by suspicion. The report of those whose veracity is the very matter in question, cannot be received without scruple. But whether we receive their account or not, here is a tangible and acknowledged fact, of which some explanation must be given. There is an edifice existing before our eyes. We may disbelieve the current records of its foundation, but it must have had some builder; and there is no philosophy in refusing to admit the alleged history of its erection, unless we can supply another which is better authenticated or more probable.

FIRST RECEPTION OF CHRISTIANITY. 339

This edifice is Christianity. The witnesses to its foundation are the Christians, who, between seventeen and eighteen centuries ago, appeared in the world. If these did not become Christians through indisputable evidence of the divine origin of their religion, how did they become so? What was the occasion of that extraordinary change, that moral revolution which took place, when the native of Asia, or Greece, or Italy, confessed himself a Christian?

What the morals of the world were, at the period when Christianity was first preached, we know from unquestionable authority. We know that the only divine worship practised at all, was idolatrous worship; and that this idolatrous worship was commonly attended with profligacy of the most debasing kind, and often with heinous cruelty. We know that no restraint was laid upon the evil passions of our nature, except by public laws and public opinion. But public laws never did or can extend to many of the worst vices; and public opinion, judging from experience, in order that it may become

z 2

FIRST RECEPTION

340

an efficient correction of vicious passions, requires a higher standard of reference than human nature ever supplied. I have no desire to disparage the characters of those who used to the best purpose the light which they possessed, and exalted the age in which they lived by noble exhibitions of temperance, probity, disinterestedness, or fortitude. Nor have I any wish to derogate from the honour of those philosophers who employed their reason to its noblest purpose; and, in some instances, endeavoured to raise their followers above the dominion of selfish appetite or worldly ambition. It is enough to know, as we do know, what the Asiatic, and Greek, and Roman world was, in spite of individual exceptions, and in defiance of the exertions of philosophy. Wickedness, indeed, will take the same course, and bear in many points the same aspect, in every age. But with the heathen world, taken collectively, habits of life were allowed and uncensured, which we are accustomed to consider as proof that the restraints are thrown aside, by which the rest of the community is bound. Even their moralists appear as libertines, when tried by the standard of the Gospel'. Nor did the world give any signs of melioration, or progressive improvement. In all those points which form the real distinction between vice and virtue, Athens and Lacedæmon were no better than Sardis or Babylon; and imperial Rome had no superiority over the Grecian democracies which it supplanted. Thales, Pythagoras, Solon, Socrates, Cicero, had effected no general change, either in the theory of religion or the practice of morals.

On a sudden, in the midst of idolatry, or of utter carelessness as to all religion, and in the midst of selfish gratifications and sensual indulgences with which they were still on every side surrounded, there grew up in Italy, and in the principal cities of Greece and of Asia, parties of

¹ On this subject it is sufficient to refer to Leland's excellent work, on the Advantage of Revelation; and to Macknight's Truth of the Gospel History. Porphyry (ap. Cyrill. contr. Julian. i. 6. p. 186), Cicero, Orat. pro Cælio, c. 20; Epictet. Enchirid. c. 47; abundantly justify the remark in the text.

z 3

t.

FIRST RECEPTION

men, more or less numerous, who professed a way of life entirely new both in practice and in principle². Renouncing the idols and imaginary deities which they had been educated to worship, they acknowledged one Almighty Creator and Governor of the world, as revealed to them by his Son "the man Christ Jesus." Removed alike from the ignorant thoughtlessness of the vulgar, and the sceptical hesitation of the philosophers, they believed in the immortality of the soul, the resurrection of the body, and a state of future retribution. Stedfastly relying on this expectation, they treated with indifference the honours and gratifications of the present life; and, for the sake of future reward, cultivated a character unknown before, and now that it became known, often despised, and seldom much esteemed: a character of

² Suetonius, the writers of the Augustan history, Lucian, Apuleius, Athenæus, to say nothing of the Roman satirists, may acquaint us what the state of the world was, in which the purity of Christian morals had to make its way. And in the midst of the general corruption, Alexandria, Antioch, Corinth, and the cities of Ionia and Asia Minor, were especially notorious.

343

which the conspicuous features are piety, humility, charity, purity, and moderation.

And the persons who entered upon this new course of life, were not persons whose previous habits rendered them more likely to embrace it than their neighbours, whose society they left. They are spoken of, nay, they are personally addressed, as having been brought from darkness to light, with respect to habits as well as principles. Paul, in his Epistle to the Corinthians, after enumerating some of the worst vices of our nature, and those to which we know from history that the Corinthians were particularly exposed, goes on to say, "Such were some of you; but ye are washed, but ye are sanctified, but ye are justified in the name of our Lord Jesus, and by the Spirit of our God ³." He says the same, in effect, to the converts from Colosse, Ephesus, and Rome; and insinuates it universally: with the intent, we might suppose, of magnifying the extent of his conquests, if his

³ 1 Cor. vi. 11,

z 4

FIRST BECEPTION

object had not been evidently to exhort, and not to prove a point; and if we had not collateral evidence of the greatness of the change. So great a change, indeed, that it is commonly expressed by the strongest imaginable comparisons; and is represented as a new birth, a new creation. Neither will these figures be deemed overstrained by those who have a clear historical acquaintance with the state of that world out of which the first Christians were taken; and those who have not such acquaintance, are necessarily without one of the most striking proofs of the divine origin of our religion⁴. The Mohammedan and the Christian are daily now, in common language, set in opposition to Yet a Mohammedan and a Chriseach other. tian may be considered as brothers in opinion, compared with a Gentile before and after his

⁴ I should think no evidence more likely to prove convincing to a classical scholar than Justin Martyr's Apologies. Let him consider the date, about 110 years after the death of Christ: (indisputable, from the address to Antoninus Pius:) the history and native country of the author; and let him compare the sentiments, morals, and principles which he finds there, with all he ever read of classical antiquity.

conversion to the Gospel. The perplexities and inconsistencies of the best philosophy; the gross ignorance of the mass of mankind; the depraved habits of all; form a contrast so remarkable to the clear views, the authoritative tone, and the purity of the Gospel, that we seem to have been suddenly conveyed from an opposite hemisphere, and to emerge in a moment from darkness to light.

It was shown formerly, that the doctrines and principles from which the Christian character derives its vigour, had no origin, as far as we know, in the opinions which prevailed before in the world among the inhabitants of any country. But the evidence arising from the originality of the doctrines would be comparatively slight, if Christianity were a mere collection of speculative principles. Men, whose attention is mainly given to other concerns, may acquiesce ia certain philosophical or theological opinions with an indifference which renders their profession a very inadequate test of the truth or falsehood of those opinions. Henry the Fourth of

345

France renounced the Protestant faith. But that renunciation, under all its circumstances, contributed nothing in favour of the religion which he adopted. Again, when the Protestant religion was finally established in England, and only two hundred of the Catholic priesthood, so bigoted under Mary, resigned their benefices for conscience' sake, we cannot allege this as any proof of the soundness of the Protestant cause⁵. Such abjuration or professions only show the indifference or want of principle of those concerned.

But the case is very different with the first converts to Christianity. The principles which they embraced made an entire change in their habits of life. The doctrines which they professed were doctrines to be acted upon. And the strongest evidence, after all, that those doctrines deserve to be believed, is that they were acted upon; acted upon by numerous bodies of men in different countries; were received

⁵ Burnet's Hist. of the Reformation, b. iv. Fuller's Church History, b. ix.

as ruling principles of life and conduct; as principles of sufficient weight to overcome previous habits, and to superinduce contrary habits; to defy all opposition during life, and to be maintained triumphantly in death. For to preach the Gospel, as the Apostles preached it, was not to persuade a man who had maintained the extinction of the soul at the dissolution of the body, to acquiesce in arguments for its immortality;---it was not to convince a disciple of Epicurus that the prospective contrivances and admirable adaptation of the several parts of the universe prove an intelligent contriver ;--but it was to persuade those who had believed themselves subject to no law except that of the state, to acknowledge a moral Governor; to submit to a code of unusual strictness and purity; to renounce sensual indulgences which they had been accustomed to consider innocent; to give up habits of life which had been familiar to them from their youth, and adopt a new course on principles entirely different.

This would not be done, by whole bodies of men, on a chance, or out of a rash love of novel

doctrines. It was not the sort of "new thing" for which the sophists of Athens were always on the watch. It is what we cannot imagine any persons to consent to do, without some overruling motive, or without the strictest examination.

The first converts of those who preached Christianity were taken from among their own countrymen. These they persuaded to renounce their dependence on the law of Moses; to change the whole nature of their religious worship; to resign a pretension to the exclusive favour of the Deity, an inheritance bequeathed to them from their ancestors, which they had boasted of during fifteen hundred years; insomuch that those who had been proverbial for their enmity to all other nations, now set out on a pilgrimage to convert them.

Here their success was still more extraordinary. The existence of such characters as Peter, or John, or Paul, appearing suddenly among their Jewish brethren, is a phenomenon which

4

349

requires to be accounted for; but what will be thought of Jason or Dionysius in Greece⁴, of Philemon or Polycarp in Asia, of Clement at Rome? Or still more, of the bodies of men taught and governed by these, and such as these; and to whom the Apostolic epistles were addressed?

This which follows, for example, is the account given by the Roman Clement of the society of Christians which he had visited and seen at Corinth.

"Who that has ever been among you has not experienced the stedfastness of your faith, fruitful in all good works; and admired the temper and moderation of your religion in Christ? Ye were all of you humble-minded, desiring rather to be subject than to govern, to give than to receive, being content with the portion God had dispensed to you; and hearkening diligently to his word, ye received it into your hearts, having his precepts always before

• Acts, xvii. 5-34.

Digitized by Google

Ē-

your eyes. Thus a firm and blessed and profitable peace was given unto you; and an insatiable desire of doing good; and a plentiful effusion of the Holy Ghost was upon all of you. And being full of good desires, ye did with a great readiness, and with a religious confidence, stretch forth your hands to God Almighty; beseeching him to be merciful unto you, if in any thing ye had unwillingly sinned against him. Ye were sincere and without offence towards each other; not mindful of injuries; all sedition and schism was abomination to you. Ye bewailed every one his neighbour's sins, esteeming their defects your own. Ye were kind one to another without grudging; being ready to every good work. And being thus adorned with a conversation altogether virtuous and religious, ye did all things in the fear of God; whose commandments were written upon the tables of your hearts 7."

Now let it be considered, that about thirty years before, Paul had founded the church at

7 Clem. Ep. ad Corinth. i. s. 1. and 2. 3

350

Corinth, which is thus described⁸. As a preliminary, the Corinthians were to be persuaded. that the deities which they and their ancestors had worshipped were no gods, but the fictions of poetry or dreams of ignorance. That there was one invisible Creator, who took cognizance of human actions, and would reward those who obeyed, and punish those who disobeyed him, in another state of eternal existence. That they had individually incurred his wrath and deserved his punishment; but that he had sent his Son into the world, in the human form, to redeem from that punishment as many as trust in him, and receive the mysteries belonging to his incarnation. Further, that those who do trust in him, and profess his religion, must be a holy people; pure in heart, pure in practice, renouncing all dishonesty, all impurity, all malice; devoting their lives to the service of God; and seeking his Holy Spirit by faith and prayer, that they may be enabled to effect this, and become such characters as Clement describes them.

⁸ Clement's Epistle, A. D. about 80. Paul's first Epistle, about 55.

This is a slight sketch of the doctrines which Paul taught, and according to which the Corinthians are said by Clement to have directed their lives. Can any thing persuade us that these persons would have confessed what they were led to confess, or have renounced what this religion bound them to renounce, or have practised what they did practise, on Paul's exhortation, unless he carried with him indisputable proofs of a divine commission? Would any common argument have induced men to model their lives anew after precepts such as these; " Lay not up for yourselves treasures on earth; but in heaven: for where your treasure is, there will your heart be also." "Set your affection on things above, not on things of the earth." "Look not at the things which are seen, but at the things which are not seen; for the things which are seen are temporal, but the things which are not seen are eternal." " Mortify therefore your members which are upon the earth." So "when Christ who is our life shall appear, then shall ye also appear with him in glory."

353

Neither is it any contradiction to the force of this fact, that it was a principle with some of the ancient philosophers to despise the honours and dignities of the world. There is a wide difference between Cynical or Stoic anathy. and Christian patience and self-denial. The motive characterizes and distinguishes them. We need not be severe to mark those few, those very few, who were led by reflection on the capabilities of their nature, or on the uncertainties of life and fortune, or by any consideration derived from their own reason, to despise the vanities around them, and look into their own minds for happiness. But they acted on a calculation of which this world was the object and boundary. Contempt of the present world, arising from a confidence of future recompense, is not to be found in a single passage of heathen antiquity; much less is it the characteristic of a numerous party scattered over the remotest districts, and consisting in great measure of those classes of society which philosophy never deigned to looked upon. The Stoic refused the good things of this world (if indeed he ever did

A A

Digitized by Google

F.

refuse them) because they might be taken from him, or because they ended in dissatisfaction, or because his taste led another way: but these men were indifferent towards temporal things on higher grounds: they had not leisure for them, and could serve God better without them: they had too much to effect in too short a time, to allow any unnecessary delays or deviations. Such had been Paul's injunction : "This I say, brethren, the time is short; it remaineth, that both they that have wives be as though they had none; and they that weep, as though they wept not; and they that rejoice, as though they rejoiced not; and they that buy, as though they purchased not; and they that use this world, as not abusing it; for the fashion of this world passeth away⁹." In the same spirit writes the Roman Clement; "take heed, beloved, that God's many blessings be not our condemnation, unless we walk worthy of him, doing with one consent what is good and pleasing in his sight ". And the Asiatic Polycarp; "I exhort all

9 1 Cor. vii. 30.

10 Epist. i. s. 21.

· Digitized by Google

of you that ye obey the word of righteousness, and exercise patience, which ye have seen displayed before your eyes, not only in the blessed Ignatius, and Zosimus, and Rufus, but in others that have been among you; and in Paul himself, and the rest of the Apostles. Being confident of this, that all these have not run in vain, but in faith and righteousness; and are gone to the place which was due to them from the Lord: with whom also they suffered. For they loved not this present world, but him who died, and was raised again by God for us¹."

Justin Martyr did not hesitate publicly to assert this as the character of the party to which he belonged. "Being inflamed," he says, "with the desire of a pure and an eternal life, we aspire after an intimate converse with God, the great Father and Creator of the world; and are eager to seal our confession with our blood; being certainly persuaded that they shall attain this state, who, by their conduct, study to ap-

¹ Polyc. Epist. s. ix.

а а 2

prove themselves to God, as seeking him, and earnestly desiring communion with him in that life, where no malice or wickedness shall exist².

In another passage, occurring in a private letter, he compares Christians, dwelling in this world, to the soul dwelling in the body: reasoning, that, "as the soul lives in the body, but is not of the body, so Christians dwell in the world, but are not of the world; an immortal spirit dwells in a mortal tabernacle; and Christians, while they sojourn in these corruptible mansions, expect and look forward to an incorruptible estate in heaven³." Indeed, the same author repeats it as one of the reproaches urged against them, that, " having, from a vain belief, chosen Christ to be their Master, they, for his sake, undervalued and threw away all the enjoyments and advantages of this world 4." And it was currently assigned as one reason why the Christians were trained up to despise the pleasures and amusements of this life, " that

² Just. Mart. Apol. 1. s. 8. ³ Ep. ad Diognet.

4 Just. Dial. cum Tryphone.

357

they might be more willing to die; that the cords being severed by which they were fastened to this world, they might be more active and ready for their departure out of it 5."

No one will deny, that before the mind can be brought to such a state as this, it must be strongly biassed from its natural inclination. The force must have been extraordinary, which could in so great a degree counteract the power of immediate impressions.

Unquestionably, the prospect of eternal happiness is calculated to raise and animate the best hopes of human nature; and being confidently entertained, is more than equal to the effects above described. But when a present sacrifice is demanded, and definite qualifications are to be laboriously acquired, the prospect must be unexceptionably assured before things seen and temporal are resigned for things unseen

⁵ Tertull. de Spect. c. l.

A A 3

and eternal⁶. Let a stranger come with the offer of a noble estate, to revert to us after a certain period. We have no hesitation in closing with so generous an offer. But when we proceed to learn that this estate is in a distant country; and when he annexes as a condition of our enjoying it, that we acquire the language of that country, and the manner of its inhabitants, and devote our whole attention during the intermediate term to what may fit us for living in this foreign land; the case is widely altered; we begin to inquire, is it certain that there is such a country? has this stranger unlimited power in it? are his offers to be trusted without scruple? And even if all this were proved to our entire satisfaction, how seldom would the present sacrifice be submitted to, as it was by the primitive Christians? For certainly those

⁶ The misrepresentations of Gibbon put this out of sight, and would seem to imply that no sacrifice was required. "When the promise of eternal happiness was proposed to mankind, on condition of adopting the faith and of observing the precepts of the Gospel, it is no wonder that so advantageous an offer should have been accepted by great numbers of every religion, of every rank, of every province."

359

who first embraced the religion of Jesus, had no notion of a gratuitous offer of eternal happiness. They had no doubt but the promises were annexed to certain qualifications; for they devoted their hearts and lives to the cultivation of virtues, which, if not necessary to the purpose for which they were avowedly pursued, were of little value in this world to their possessors. As Clement represents the Corinthians: "Ye were all of you humble-minded; desiring rather to be subject than to govern; to give than to re-Another thus describes his fellowceive "." Christians: "Amongst us there is no affectation of vain glory; but separating ourselves from all common and earthly thoughts and discourses, and having surrendered ourselves to be governed by the laws of God, we abandon whatever is any way connected with human fame⁸." When the female martyr, Agatha, was upbraided, because, being descended of an illustrious parentage, she stooped to mean and humble offices: "Our nobility," she replied, "lies in this, that

7 Ep. i. s. 2.

⁸ Tatian contr. Gra. p. 167.

АЛ4

we are the servants of Christ." Not to dwell on individual instances, the great body of primitive Christians carried their moderation of appetites so far, as to protest against the common use of wine; as to condemn all second marriages as little better than adultery; as often to refuse marriage altogether; as to inflict severe censures upon all who yielded to sinful temptation; as to proscribe theatres and shows, and to avoid, if possible, any mixed assemblies; so that a Christian might be known, in private, by his fastings; in public, by his temperance; and universally, by simplicity and plainness of dress, and by a subdued and humble countenance and deportment⁹. We may be of opinion that they carried this austerity too far; that is not now the question : I only argue, that they did not assume to themselves the offer of salvation as gratuitous or unconditional; and that they would not have sought it on such conditions as these without sure conviction that the offer was well guaran-For it must be observed, that I have not teed. been exhibiting their rules, but their practice.

9 See Cave's Primitive Christianity, P. 2, passim.

Digitized by Google

Rules may be very strict, while practice is very lax; but I have been citing description, not exhortation; description of those who dreaded all temptation to evil from a sense of its danger, and avoided all appearance of evil from a consciousness of the strong engagements by which they were bound. It was a known fact, that they reckoned themselves obliged, by the vows which they had undertaken at their baptism, to abstain from public shows and theatrical exhibitions: it was a part of their discipline not to admit a stage-player to communion; and as for the accusations of their enemies, the worst that Pliny, after a solemn and not very friendly inquiry, could discover against them, was, that they were accustomed on a stated day to meet before the dawn, and to repeat among themselves a hyman to Christ as a God, and to bind themselves by an oath to abstain from all wickedness; to be guilty of no thefts, robberies, or adulteries; to violate no promise and deny no pledge 10. Near a century afterwards Tertullian could openly assert, that very few Christians had suf-

¹⁰ Epist. lib. x. xcvii.

361

fered by the hand of the executioner, except on account of their religion.

Let us take their character as pourtrayed by the hand of an author who had studied it well, yet with no prepossession in its fa-"Their serious and sequestered life," vour. he says, "averse to the gay luxury of the inured them to chastity, temperance, age. economy, and all the sober and domestic vir-As the greater number were of some tues. trade or profession, it was incumbent on them, by the strictest integrity and the fairest dealing, to remove the suspicions which the profane are too apt to conceive against the appearances of sanctity. The contempt of the world exercised them in habits of humility, meekness, and pa-Even their faults, or rather errors, were tience. derived from an excess of virtue. Ambitious to exalt the perfection of the Gospel above the wisdom of philosophy, the zealous fathers carried the duties of self-mortification, of purity, and of patience, to a height which it is scarcely possible to attain, and much less to preserve, in our present state of weakness and corruption. They

despised all knowledge that was not useful to salvation, and considered all levity of discourse as a criminal abuse of the gift of speech. The candidate for heaven was instructed not only to resist the grosser allurements of taste or smell, but even to shut his ears against the profane harmony of sounds, and to view with indifference the most finished productions of human art; gay apparel, magnificent houses, and elegant furniture, were supposed to unite the double guilt of pride and sensuality. A simple and mortified appearance was more suitable to the Christian, who was certain of his sins, and doubtful of his salvation ¹."

This is the description of persons separated from the rest of the world by a decided line; and they must have been well satisfied of the grounds on which they were acting before they consented to a separation of a nature so uninviting. Neither can their strictness be explained away, as the natural result of their separation. Allow it to be true,

¹ Gibbon, ch. xv.

363

that any particular society having departed from the great body of the nation, or the religion to which it belonged, immediately becomes the object of universal as well as invidious observation: allow it to be true, that this feeling, together with the desire of gaining proselvtes, engaged every member of this new community to watch with the most vigilant attention over his own behaviour, and that of his brethren : the problem is, not the austerity, but the formation of this small society. They first became a sect, and then practised austerity. An anxious wish to increase their number must be felt by all true Christians equally, because they desire to extend as widely as possible those privileges of which they personally feel the value. But it is extraordinary that those of whom we are speaking took measures with regard to the admission of members which would seem likely to deter proselvtes, rather than allure them. The candidates for baptism underwent a long and strict probation, under the title of catechumens. It was not enough to profess themselves convinced of the truth of the Christian doctrine; they were required to pledge themselves to live according

to its precepts; they were directed to perform a solemn exercise of prayer and fasting for the forgiveness of past sins; and their lives and behaviour, during the time that they had been subject to Christian instruction, were closely inquired into². Before the ceremony was performed, they publicly renounced sin, and all the pomps and pleasures of the world. This was the conduct of men who were in earnest as to the value of what they professed, but not of men who wanted proselvtes for the sake of a party. In fact, they absolutely refused baptism, not only to the members of scandalous vocations, but to those who were exposed by their callings to visible danger of temptation³. And further, they excluded from their society, and from the pale of the church, those who were guilty of any known offence against the Christian law; and thus rendered the conditions of remaining within the church no less strict, than those of admission into it.

² Justin Martyr, Apol. i. p. 70.—Origen, contr. Cels. l. iii. p. 50.—Ambr. de Sacram. l. i. c. 2.

³ See Apostol. Constit. l. viii. c. 32, &c. Bingham's Antiqu. xi. 5.

-3

365

The consequence was, that the Christians, when accused by their enemies, could confidently appeal to their lives as proofs of the excellence of their doctrine: could affirm that their societies, when compared with those around them, shone like lights in the world: could point to their converts, and contrast what they once were, with what they had become ⁴.

"Inquire," says Origen, " into the lives of some amongst us; compare our former and our present course of life, and you will find in what impieties and impurities men were involved before they embraced our doctrines. But since they embraced them, how just, grave, moderate, and resolute are they become! Nay, some are so inflamed with the love of purity and holiness, as to abstain even from legitimate gratifications. The church abounds with such men, wherever the doctrines of Christianity are received ⁵."

4 Origen contr. Cels. l. iii. 128.
5 Origen contr. Cels. l. i.

Digitized by Google

"Give me," says Lactantius, "a man that is angry, furious, and passionate; and with a few words from God, I will render him as meek and quiet as a lamb: give me one that has lived in vice and sensuality, and you shall see him sober, chaste, and temperate. So great," he adds, " is the power of divine wisdom, that being infused into the breast it will soon expel that folly which is the parent of all vice and wickedness ⁶." T will conclude with the earlier description of Justin Martyr. "We," he says, "who formerly valued our estates and possessions above all things else, now put them into a common stock, and distribute to those that are in need. We, who formerly delighted in adultery, now observe the strictest chastity. We, who practised magical charms, now devote ourselves to the true God. We, who once hated each other, and delighted in mutual quarrel and bloodshed, and according to custom refused to sit at the same fire with those who were not of our own tribe and party; now since the appearance of Christ in the world live familiarly with them, pray for

6 Lib. ñi. de Falsa Sapient. c. 26.

367

PLRST RECEPTION

our enemies, and endeavour to persuade those that hate us without a cause to direct their lives according to the excellent precepts of Christ; that so they may have reasonable hope to obtain a share in our rewards from the great Lord and Judge of all things ⁷."

Such is the description of those who first appeared as Christians. And are we not bound in some way or other to account for their appearance? Is it so natural for man to lay aside former habits of vice, and assume the opposite habits of virtue? Is it common to give up old companions; to resign amusements which we have been taught to value, and gratifications which we have been accustomed to indulge? To join a new party, a proscribed, unfashionable party?

This is a question which every one may answer from his own experience. True communion with the church is the same in all ages. Whoever embraces the Gospel with any hope of

7 Apol. ii. p. 61.

Digitized by Google

profiting by its profession, must live in all essentials as these first converts lived, and become what they were. If the reader of these pages is so living, he will probably acknowledge that nothing induced him to enter on such a course of life, except a most decided conviction of its necessity, and of the danger If he has neither the faith of living otherwise. nor the habits of these primitive converts, he is equally well able to judge of the resistance opposed by human nature to a change like that which has been described. He can answer. whether a slight argument, or any except the most irresistible testimony, can induce him to confess the Christian's faith, or conform to the strictness of scriptural Christianity. And why should he assume that men were different seventeen or eighteen centuries ago, and ready to do that on insufficient evidence, which no evidence can persuade him to attempt? What can we argue from with more certainty, than the acknowledged and visible character of human nature?

BB

³⁶⁹

1. It would be good, if all those who may demur with regard to the difficulty of changing the moral habits of a community, or of forming a sect which should walk "by faith and not by sight," and prefer things eternal to things temporal, would try the experiment, and see how much it costs to convert an individual. There are few who have not among their acquaintance some who are living in habits inconsistent with the Gospel, and which must exclude them, if persevered in, from the hopes of the Gospel. Let them try to reclaim these acquaintances, by setting before them the threatenings and the promises of God, the offer of mercy, brought by his Son Jesus, and all those truths which had such powerful effects in Greece and Asia. We would not say that they may not prevail: it is an attempt which is constantly making, and not unfrequently successful; but this we may safely affirm, that those who try it, will not pretend that they have had an easy conquest; and that those who are persuaded, will allow that no trifling victory has been gained over them.

3/70

And this in a country where Christianity is supported by all the external advantages which long establishment, national profession, zealous' and learned ministers, and multitudes of sincere believers, can supply.

And if such are the difficulties in the midst of such advantages, for the strength of which I appeal to every man's own heart; what chance of success would Paul have had, humanly speaking, in Corinth, or Ephesus, or Rome, or any heathen city? From Judea-his country. hardly known-if known, proverbially despised: denouncing idols in the centre of idolatry: proscribing the pleasures of this world in the midst of wealth, and vanity, and luxury: preaching the care of the soul to those who denied its immortality: inculcating the fear of God, to those who were ignorant of his existence; or if they acknowledged a Supreme Be. ing, denied his moral government. When would he have made a single convert, if he had stood on no firmer basis than his own opinion, or his own assertion?

вв 2

372

Yet it was under these most unfavourable circumstances that a body of men sprung up, and increased, and diffused themselves, professing such original and austere doctrines; it was in the midst of luxury, and thoughtlessness, and ignorance, and idolatry, and depravity, that a system of pure, and self-denying, and enlightened, and vigilant piety was planted, and rooted, and flourished, and brought forth abundant fruit, and, spreading far and wide, received under its shelter a continually increasing multitude. " A pure and humble religion gently insinuated itself into the minds of men, grew up in silence and obscurity, derived new vigour from opposition, and finally erected the triumphant banner of the Cross on the ruins of the Capitol. Still farther, after a revolution of thirteen or fourteen centuries, that religion is still professed by the nations of Europe; the most distinguished portion of human kind, in arts and learning as well as in arms⁸." Either this religion was the invention of some obscure individuals in that very country of the world

⁸ Gibbon.

which any one would select as the least likely of all countries to convert the rest, and was set up by means, the inefficacy of which it is not possible to exaggerate; or it was truly a REVELA-TION, and prevailed by the force of truth, illustrated by divine power. Surely those must be strangely blind to the light of moral evidence; must have a very partial acquaintance with the human heart, with the strength of established habits, particularly of practical habits of vice; who can attribute the actual effects of the Gospel in overturning them, and introducing the most contrary habits, to any thing except the overpowering and indisputable proofs of a divine commission, which the Apostles carried with them. We find men, who had been brought up in total ignorance of any future state, despising earthly things, and setting their affections on things above. We find men who had hitherto acknowledged deities of human origin, and human passions, obeying an invisible Creator of infinite holiness and purity. We find men, in short, cultivating and rearing a moral and religious character, which but a few years before

в в З

had absolutely no pattern in existence; which they could not have imagined, because it was beyond the range of their conceptions; which they could not have imitated, because it was no where to be seen.

So that this dilemma lies before us: either the first followers of Christianity were men of totally different feelings and dispositions from any men whom we have ever known, and especially from ourselves, whom we know best; or they had irresistible evidence of the truth of those facts which form the basis of the religion. For that the Gospel, with the hopes and fears which it sets before us, and still more with the assistance it bestows, is able to effect this change, and create the character under consideration, is matter of undoubted experience. But those on whom it first produced this effect must have possessed undeniable evidence of its truth. It must have been proved to their satisfaction (to the satisfaction of those, we should remember, whom it was impossible to deceive, if they had the use of their senses), that the

375

facts on which it rests really happened, and that the Apostles were commissioned to pronulgate them to the world. Otherwise, it would have had no more influence upon them than it now has upon those who disown its authority; and indeed much less: for a religion, once received and generally professed, has a manifest effect even on those who disbelieve it : but Christianity had no existence till converts from heathen idolatry and depravity exhibited it in their practice, and gave it a visible and beautiful reality by substantiating it in their lives. So that the faith of these first Christians is of very different weight, in the scale of evidence. from that of any modern opinion. Whoever, in the present day, thinks and lives as a Christian, proves no more than that the historical testimony by which Christianity is confirmed, confirms it to his individual satisfaction. But though we now believe on historical testimony, the first Christians did not; they believed on ocular demonstration, or on the personal evidence of those who had seen the things which we receive on their report: they had opportuni

вв 4

ties and means of inquiry within their reach, which set them above the possibility of mistake. Their conviction is the conviction of persons who could hardly be deceived, even if the error had been of a nature most gratifying to their desires and feelings; but is quite beyond suspicion, when we know that all their desires and feelings must have risen in array against it, and inclined them to disbelieve.

14 MARSHAR

Especially when another obstacle, which has not yet been mentioned, opposed the reception of the Gospel. Those who embraced it, from the first hour of its announcement at Jerusalem to its final triumph over Paganism, were constantly subject to bitter persecution : persecution, which did not come upon them unexpectedly, after they had committed themselves in the cause, and gone too far to recede with oredit; but was provided for in the first writings of the sect. They were forewarned of the consequences of their profession; they expected to suffer, and they consented to suffer. And though we may allow, that from the moment a

man was convinced of the truth of Christianity; i it became so all-important, that he would be ready to encounter any opposition; we can hardly deny that this opposition would ensure his hesitating, before he made either a rash or an insincere profession. Suppose a person, to whom the moral requisites of the Gospel presented nothing alarming. The fear of persecution would make him pause. Another might expect some present benefit in this new profession, if nothing appeared in the opposite scale; but no temporal advantage could recompense him for torture or death: so that the hypocrite was likewise excluded.

Martyrs, indeed, have fallen in every cause. Therefore we do not reckon it decisive in favour of a cause, that it is able to adduce a martyrology on its side. But we have a proof that men are sincerely convinced, when they are ready to seal their sincerity with their blood. In the present case, sincerity is nearly all we want; since what they attested was not matter of opinion, in

which they were liable to error; but matter of fact, in which they could hardly be mistaken.

We are apt, I suspect, to undervalue the testimony of martyrs, from a vague notion of the dignity attending them; the dignity of perishing in a noble cause, applauded by innumerable partisans, and admired even by enemies. But no false lustre of this kind could dazzle the early Christians. The persecution which they underwent, was of the most harassing and wearisome nature. It was the persecution of contempt, of reproach, of obloquy, alike undeserved, and unanswerable. It subjected them abroad to the misery of constant insecurity; and at home to the continual bitterness of domestic opposition, to the taunts of nearest relations, and the tears of beloved friends⁸. There is nothing alluring, in being daily held up to ridicule as an enemy to oneself, or to reproach as the author of injury to others. During a great portion of the three first centuries, if the Tiber

Ħ

• A lively description of this is given in Justin, Apol. ii. s. 2, &c.

overflowed its banks, or the Nile refused to overflow; if an eclipse, or an earthquake, or a dearth, or a pestilence occurred, the popular cry demanded vengeance on the Christians⁹.

To bigotry and inhumanity of this kind we owe those valuable remains of Christian antiquity, the apologies for their faith, presented by different writers from time to time to the emperors, who had the universal power to spare or to destroy. The terms in which they are couched, the humility of their demands, and the evils of which they complain, are sufficient proof of what the Christians endured ¹⁴.

These, then, are the grounds upon which I argue that the reception of Christianity proves the divine authority by which it was introduced and supported. I see an undeniable change, of a nature which I know from experience is

9 So Tertullian feelingly complains, Apol. s. 20.

¹⁰ I have treated the subject of persecution in this very general way, because I consider the argument arising from it as completely exhausted in Paley's masterly work.

379

380 FIRST RECEPTION OF CHRISTIANITY.

the most improbable of all changes: a change in principle, and a change in practice; a change in religious sentiments, which are commonly maintained most pertinaciously; a change in daily habits of life, which are relinquished most unwillingly. I find new habits and new principles assumed in spite of known hostility, and preserved in spite of rigorous persecution. I want a cause; a cause to account for this. I find an explanation in the miraculous testimony borne to the religion, and in nothing else. Allowing such miraculous testimony, the consequence follows of course; denying it, the effect must remain for ever unexplained.

CHAPTER XII.

a 1 (

j,

On the Effects of Christianity.

WHEN the question concerns the probability of truth in a revelation, we are irresistibly led to take into the consideration its effects upon human happiness. Is it of such a nature as to improve the general condition of those to whom it is proposed? To raise or to depress the character of mankind? A revelation might possibly be made on such evidence as could not be rejected, which had no such beneficial tendency. But this at once strikes our reason as a case so improbable, that we feel it would require an unusual weight of positive testimony before a revelation could be accepted by us as divine, which did not bear witness to its origin by the excellence of its immediate effects.

At the same time, in every question of this kind, the object of the revelation must be kept in view. It will make an essential difference,

Digitized by Google

whether a revelation professes to be designed to place men at once in a perfect state, or to lead them towards one. The Gospel no where professes to place men at once in a perfect state. It professes to address those who are in an unhappy and guilty condition, naturally frail, and morally corrupt: a condition requiring that God should send his Son into the world, *that* the world through him might be saved. To such a condition it offers a remedy: not pretending to remove all the evils incidental to such a state; hut promising, in behalf of those who put themselves under its guidance, to diminish and alleviate them.

Wheever refuses to hear this in mind, is incapable of forming a judgment respecting the operation of Christianity. A world exists, in which sin and sourcew are largely mixed up. To suppose that Christianity should take these altogether away, would be to suppose that it should oreate the world anew. It makes provision against them : it proposes a cure for them; and we can reasonably look for nothing more.

388

But there are other causes, independent of itself, of the partial benefits produced by Christianity. We have formerly seen, that the writers of the Gospel foresaw that its effects would always be inadequate to its inherent powers, and fall short of its avowed design, on account of the unwillingness of mankind to receive the remedy offered them. And to this obduracy we must in great measure attribute the evils which disfigure the face of Christianity. The first Christians, in particular, were taught to expect tribulation. And this tribulation was to come upon them, because their brethren refused to listen to the Gospel, and chose to persecute those who did. No small portion of the difficulties which have always beset Christians, arises from a similar cause: from the general discountenance which earnest piety and Christian circumspection meet with. The dread of this keeps multitudes still at a distance from God; and thus deprives them of the happiness resulting from the conscious possession of his favour, which nothing short of an entire devotion to his service can procure. And the feeling of this discourage-

4

Digitized by Google

Æ

384

ment cannot but occasionally disturb the comfort of other more consistent believers.

The remainder of corruption adhering to those who do cordially embrace Christianity, is another cause of the imperfect happiness it procures to them. They have received an impression, with a force which nothing but the Christian religion could have employed, of the dreadful consequences of sin. They have declared war against it, and are striving for the mastery. But the enemy still makes head: is always restless; and will sometimes prevail. This cannot but occasion disquietude. A remedy is proposed to a diseased constitution; is accepted, and tried. But from the nature of the constitution. and inveteracy of the disease, the effect of the remedy is incomplete. Still the patient, if not in perfect health, is in a much better condition than he would have been without the remedy. And so none will deny that the man who is struggling against his evil passions, and keeping them in subjection, is in a much better moral state than he would have been by giving loose to

them: though he cannot enjoy that perfect tranquillity which might belong to a heart brought into complete conformity with the will of God.

These are among the reasons why Christians are often distinguished by a seriousness of deportment, which is ill understood by those who are strangers to their feelings, and misinterpreted as melancholy and gloom. Some persons are acutely sensible of that open ridicule, or even that silent contempt, with which religion is too often treated in the world. Others are tremblingly alive to those remains of corruption which they daily discover in their hearts, and afraid to take home to themselves a comfort which they fear it would be presumptuous to indulge. What shall we say then? To escape the censure of the throughtless and profane, must principles be lowered down to a standard which none shall think too lofty? This will hardly be proposed: for we know that to whatever depth we descend, a lower depth will still remain; multitudes will still be found, for whom the meanest standard

C C

of religion is too high. Or will it be argued, that because a nature originally sinful cannot be altogether purified, therefore it should not be meddled with? That because evil propensities cannot be entirely subdued, therefore they should not be opposed? None will avow this; yet anxiety respecting the success of a contest against sin must be inseparable from such a contest; and those alone can be without anxiety, who never resist their passions, or endeavour to regulate their hearts.

Let it be remembered, too, that most of the complaints concerning the melancholy tendency of religion are made by those who have no just sense of religious obligations; and who adopt their opinion from the demeanour of persons whom their own levity, neglect of God, and indifference about vice and virtue, must naturally render serious. Could they enter into the minds of those persons, or see them in their families, in their daily occupations, or on their beds, they would quickly perceive that Christianity has a cheerfulness and tranquillity belonging to it, to

which irreligion is a total stranger. The Christian is encouraged by the writings which he maintains to be divine, to look for "all joy and peace in believing;" and the expectation thus raised, is commonly and in most dispositions / fulfilled.

From these preliminary observations, I proceed to consider the beneficial tendency of the Gospel in a few distinct particulars.

The general benefits procured to the world by Christianity are very important, and such as nothing else any where received under the name of religion has produced. For a code of duties like that contained in the Gospel is not limited in its effects to those who admit its divine anthority. The existence of such a rule, and far more the existence of persons obeying it, has a general influence extending even to those who might seem removed beyond its reach; as the sun softens and brightens every object in the landscape, and not those alone upon which its

cc2

Digitized by Google

rays directly shine. In this way a general improvement of habits has followed the progressive diffusion of the Gospel. The inhuman sports of the Roman amphitheatres were gradually discontinued: the most savage features of war have been softened: crimes of particular heinousness have become disgraceful in general opinion: the female sex has been raised to its just level in society; the duty of benevolence has been more commonly recognized and prac-Further, the severity of parental rule has tised. been controlled: the barbarous custom of infanticide abolished; the system of domestic slavery has ceased, which subjected the greater part of mankind to the caprice and tyranny of a few freeborn masters, who regarded and treated the rest as inferior beings. These effects cannot with any justice be attributed to the progress of reason and civilization; because they are, in most instances, effects which directly proceed from the new views of the nature and destination of man unfolded by the Gospel; and further, because this improvement of moral habits

exists in countries very far inferior in literature and the arts to the nations addicted to those habits which Christianity discountenanced; and because it follows the course, and accompanies the growth of Christianity; being more and more visible as that is more and more received; and being most visible where Christianity is best understood, and embraced most cordially.

These effects of the religion have been often set forth at large; and every fresh example of its progress gives accumulated weight to the evidence arising from them. Instead of contenting myself with this general view, I shall descend to a few particulars, and consider the admirable adaptation of the Gospel to the exigencies of mankind individually.

I. There is a provision in the Gospel for comforting affliction. If a revelation is to be suited to the circumstances of human life, this quality must be amongst its indispensable requisites. Events occur in the lives of most per-

c c 3

sons: the whole life of others is of such a nature, as to admit of but one real comfort; namely, the assurance, that they make part of a scheme which may prove the entrance to eternal glory. Those who disbelieve revelation are exposed to the same trials as other men; but what consolation belongs to the supposition that their afflictions are part of a general scheme, which the Creator has ordained as best upon the whole? The Deist who is brought into misfortune, has no assurance that it may not be the divine pleasure to afflict him. The Atheist who is worn down by sickness, can only suppose that the general laws by which the world is governed bring that sickness upon him without remedy and without compensation. And although partial evil may be universal good; it is difficult to cherish such enlarged benevolence as to feel satisfied that the partial evil should fall upon ourselves.

The Gospel, however, speaks a very different language. It supplies an effectual comfort to the severest pain or the heaviest bereavement, by

390

assuring the Christian that he is the object of tender interest and everlasting care; that he has a protector full of kindness and full of power, who will cause all things to contribute towards his spiritual good and eternal welfare. The Deist may be patient, no doubt, in acquiescence on supreme Providence; the Atheist may be silent, from a conviction of the uselessness of repining; but to call on either to rejoice in suffering, would be cruelty and folly. The apostles, however, following the example of their Master, not unfrequently use this strong exhortation to Christians, that they should glory in tribulation '; that they should count it all joy when they fall into divers trials²; that they should deem themselves happy, if they suffer for righteousness' sake³; knowing that their light affliction, which is but for a moment, should work for them a far more exceeding and eternal weight of glory⁴. And the consolation thus furnished, is not imaginary, but real and substan-

ĩ	Rom. v. 3.	² James, i. 2.
3	1 Pet. iii. 14.	• 2 Cor. iv. 17.

cc4

391

tial. It converts, in many instances, the most afflictive dispensations into a source of positive rejoicing. It lends a support to sickness and pain, which makes them appear more desirable than health itself. Incredible as this may sound to those who are strangers to the personal influence of Christianity; to those who have either experienced or witnessed it, it is a matter of certainty and reality, in which they cannot be mistaken.

It may be thought, indeed, that the incarnation of the Son of God was not required for a purpose like this; which might have been sufficiently answered by an assured declaration of the immortality of the soul, and a better world to come. Neither do we pretend that the alleviation of earthly afflictions would have afforded an adequate cause for a design so wonderful. But experience proves, that the indisputable assurance of the good will of God towards men, declared by the mission of his Son; and the belief that he took upon himself our nature, and lived on carth as "a man of sorrows," to a de-

gree far beyond what any of his followers are called to imitate him in,—ministers a support to the Christian, when suffering under affliction, which no other contemplation would enable him to realize⁵. The whole dispensation is represented as one of pity and love. And we must believe, if it was divine, that all its consequences were foreseen and intended, as well as the one great consequence of calling men to repentance, and rescuing them from condemnation. Such beneficial effect, indeed, does not prove it to have been divine. But it adds something to the probabilities, on which its proper evidence is grounded.

II. Wherever the Gospel is made known, a regular provision exists for establishing religion in the world; that is, for bringing men to live in the knowledge and fear of God. Legislators in former times endeavoured to maintain a reli-

⁵ The frequent use of this argument by the apostles shows that they were well aware of its powerful influence. See 1 Pet. iii. 18. iv. 1. 2 Cor. viii. 9. Heb. ii. 17, iv. 15. 18, &c. &c.

gious principle, confessedly for the sake of the public good. In order to establish this with additional authority, they pretended to be under the guidance of visions and revelations; and even the philosophers, who laughed at the popular superstitions, were still unwilling to demolish them, for want of something better in their stead.

Perhaps a conviction of this has been unfavourable to the interests of revelation, by causing it to be received with suspicion. It is understood, that a practical belief of Christianity will render men more contented subjects, and more diligent and useful members of society. Regulated by a rule more universal than that of any human laws, they will be restrained in circumstances to which the power of the civil magistrate does not extend; and directing their thoughts mainly to a state beyond the present, they will not be easily drawn to interfere with government, or join the party of those who " are given to change," except in cases of extreme necessity. This unde-

-

niable fact has introduced a vague idea of some mutual understanding between the State and Christianity, and their reciprocal dependence upon each other. Whereas the Gospel was first introduced, and has often greatly flourished, in despite of bitter opposition from the ruling powers. We do not indeed deny that the state may benefit religion, or that religion may benefit the state; but we do deny that it ought to be imputed as a blemish to a divine revelation, if it contributes to the present advantage of mankind, and forms the strongest cement of civil society. In proportion as a serious argument would be raised against its authority, if its effects were different; an additional testimony is established in its favour, when it enforces salutary restraints to which men are not naturally inclined to conform.

If, then, it is desirable, as I may venture to assume, that men shall be governed by religious principles, Christianity is beneficial, inasmuch as, wherever it exists, it is constantly exerting a secret influence to this end. It provides that

the child, from its very birth, should be dedicated to the service of God; not left to discriminate right from wrong by the slow process of observation, or the uncertain light of reason; not left to pursue its natural bent, and strengthen passions by indulgence, that they may be afterwards imperfectly and reluctantly subdued; but made acquainted, at the dawn of reason and entrance of life, with the course to be pursued, and the conduct to be shunned. It provides for his understanding, from the first, the business and object of the present life, the real purpose of man's being. That the scene of this world is not final, but preparatory, if true, is the most important fact conceivable to every individual who bears a part in it. It is, therefore, most important that it should be known. Christianity makes it known; and by so doing, requires that the conduct should be regulated according to that conviction.

The duties, indeed, are often neglected, which can alone render such provisions effectual;

they are neglected by parents, masters, and other superiors, whose business it is to teach these truths; and they are reluctantly received or listened to by those whose business it is to act upon them. But the religion itself orders otherwise, and is not in fault if its directions are disobeyed; which, if universally followed, and willingly heard, would render every being in a Christian country a disciple of Christ, and consequently a servant of God, and an heir of a blessed immortality.

Christianity, moreover, is constantly holding forth an encouragement to whatever things are honourable, just, and pure; and discountenancing every thing which tends to public injury, or private degradation. Whatever wickedness is committed in a Christian country, is committed in defiance of known obligations. Whatever irreligious conduct is practised, is practised in defiance of warning, instruction, and usually of conscience; unless the conscience has been blunted by continual neglect of its admonitions. Strong as the workings of passion

are, and widely as the force of natural corruption prevails, still these checks must operate as a restraint, and weaken the impulse which refuses to be entirely controlled. We regret that the effect is less complete; but without dispute it is, as far as it goes, altogether beneficial.

Further still, Christianity is issuing a constant summons to repentance. It declares what course of life the Creator of the world approves, and requires of his creatures, and the reverse of which he will not suffer them to pursue with impunity. But it delivers even this assurance in language suited to the actual character of human nature. It does not involve the disobedient in despair, by representing a return to favour as impossible. On the contrary, it affirms, that God will accept a change of heart, and treat the penitent as if he never had offended.

Indeed, among the leading peculiarities of the Gospel must be reckoned the freedom of admission to all its privileges which it offers to

Digitized by Google

the penitent, whatever may have been the degree or the nature of his transgressions. This is part of the original system; and conspicuously held forth as such in several remarkable parables. That of the lost sheep pourtrays in lively colours the way in which the consequences of sin and the necessity of repentance are brought home to the conscience, under the ministrations of the Gospel; and those who have long remained in a thoughtless irreligious state are restored, through the atonement of Christ, to the divine favour⁶. The prodigal son describes the career of profligate dissipation which too many run, even of those who have been early taught by a Christian education to know the demands of God upon their service. It frequently happens, that these, in the day of affliction or adversity, consider the destitute estate to which they are reduced by departing from the God of their youth; having lost their earthly happiness, and finding nothing in its stead⁷. The willingness of God to receive, and

⁶ Luke, xv. 3-7. ⁷ Luke, xv. 11-32.

400

1

-assist, and complete their repentance, and to number them among his favourite children, is openly and explicitly declared. The parable of *the labourers* who are sent into the vineyard at different hours of the day, agrees with all experience as to the different periods of life in which religious impressions are made upon the mind; and summons every age to the service of God by the strongest incitement, the assurance of acceptance and reward⁸. These and other parables to the same purpose exemplify the leading and peculiar argument of the Gospel; —repent, and be forgiven, for the price of your redemption has been paid.

The suitableness of this to the condition of human nature will hardly be disputed. If the favour of God were limited to those who have continued stedfast in their allegiance, and made his laws the guide of their youth and life, mankind must either be a different race of beings, or the divine favour confined to a very small

⁸ Matt. xx. 1-16.

number. We cannot imagine a revelation which did not require a righteous and holy life. But we can conceive a revelation which allowed no repentance for an unrighteous or unholv life; while at the same time we see that such a revelation would be a source of despair rather than of comfort; would not be available to creatures like mankind; would confirm some in their sinful state, through want of inducement to reformation; and would condemn others to a hopeless remorse, when they reflected upon irretrievable transgression, and looked forwards to inevitable punishment. Considering the condition in which men are actually placed, by birth, circumstances, and irregular education, often conspiring to add fuel to a corrupt nature; we cannot hesitate to allow, that a revelation which admits repentance, and contains a covenant of pardon, is the one most beneficial to mankind.

We could not indeed call it beneficial, if that, which offered comfort to transgressors, proved an encouragement to sin. And some, in

DD

all ages, have brought this charge against Christianity; arraigning it on this very ground, as a religion which holds out an amnesty to the worst offenders⁹. Others, even of its friends, have shown a tacit acquiescence in this allegation, when they have systematically enforced the precepts rather than the doctrines of the Gospel, from the supposed danger of encouraging mankind to the abuse of mercy by the display of mercy. But Jesus "knew what was in man" better than those who call his wisdom in question; and founded his religion on the surest principles of expediency. Suppose the case, of a part of the inhabitants of a country in rebel-

⁹ Celsus complained, that "Jesus Christ came into the world to make the most horrible and dreadful societies; for he calls sinners, and not the righteous: so that the body he came to assemble is a body of profligates separated from good people, among whom before they were mixed. He has rejected all the good, and collected all the bad."

lion against their lawful sovereign : the object is, to reduce them to order and obedience; and how would that object most probably be answered; which would be the method most promising success; to make a public declaration of the duties of subjects, accompanied with a denunciation of grievous penalty against all who had violated them; or to issue a proclamation of amnesty to all who should return to their allegiance and persevere in future loyalty ¹⁰? The method which, calmly considered, approves itself to our judgment, is the method pursued in the Gospel. And the method which approves itself to our judgment, is sanctioned by the results of our experience; and wherever it is simply acted upon, is daily swelling the triumphs of the Gospel with new converts to the service of God.

In this manner a constant experiment is in operation, wherever the Gospel is preached or read, upon the moral faculties. It is going on

D D 2

¹⁰ This illustration occurs in a preface, by Mr. Erskine, to a recent edition of Gambold's works.

from youth to age; employing every motive by which the human heart can be swayed, and using every means by which it can be governed: teaching, exhorting, inviting, encouraging. No wiser system can be imagined, for beings naturally disposed to evil, and placed in circumstances of temptation. We can easily figure to our imaginations men differently constituted, or more securely fenced in. But for such moral agents as mankind actually are, we can desire no fitter dispensation.

III. I consider it as a third point deserving particular remark, that wherever the Gospel is established as the national religion, provision is made for elevating the general character of men, by raising them to a higher rank as intellectual beings. The condition of the bulk of mankind is inevitably poor and laborious; and we know the effect of poverty and labour, how they depress the mind, and keep it as it were stagnant, till it has neither inclination nor ability for reflection. Each succeeding generation is content to know what their fathers

knew, and to practise what their fathers practised. The ennobling ideas of a supreme Creator, of spiritual worship and pious love to be exercised towards him, of an eternal state of happiness and purity;—these ideas are far beyond them: their thoughts are chained down to the earth by daily wants and laborious occupations; and do not rise to higher things, except by the aid of some strong external impulse.

And yet experience proves that mankind are capable of high spiritual advancement: that laborious poverty, the common lot of the multitude, though it prevents them from discovering, does not prevent them from receiving the greatest and noblest truths; and that employments whose natural tendency is rather to depress than to elevate the mind, may yet be conducted on principles which dignify the lowest stations and the meanest pursuits. Few will venture to deny, that if men are capable of such improvement, it is desirable they should attain it, as exalting their rank in the scale of being. And this is effected by the agency of Christianity.

dd 3

Digitized by Google

From its first establishment, when the Apostles ordained elders in every church; Christianity has provided bodies of men, whose business it is to instruct the ignorant; to awaken them from torpor and stupidity; to rouse their attention to matters of the highest dignity and importance'. Not to remove them from their stations and natural duties, which indeed would be impossible if it were desirable, and undesirable even if it were possible; but to inculcate principles which may soften the roughest, and sweeten the bitterest, and exalt the humblest of human labours.

Now all this is clear gain, and to be set to the account of the Gospel. Nothing of the kind was ever known or thought of in ancient times, at least beyond the narrow limits of Judea².

¹ The benefit of the Christian system, in this respect, was so evident to Julian, that he attributed the success of the religion in some measure to the sanctity and zeal of its ministers; and supposed, that by an imperial ordinance, he could command the same qualities in the heathen priests.—See his letter to Arsacius, in Sozomen, l. v. c. 16; or Lardner's Heath. Test. c. 46.

² " Useful as we now know social religion to be to states

We are apt to forget this, and to consider advantages of this kind no less of course, than to enjoy the light and breathe the air of heaven. We are so generally accustomed to the instruction of our people, in consequence of what Christianity has done, that we forget to ascribe the benefit to Christianity. We have no idea of the mass of mankind being wholly neglected; being never exhorted to seek religious knowledge: still less of their seeking it in vain. But in the heathen world, there were none whose office it was to teach, even if there had been any who could have taught what it was most desirable to Philosophical lectures were attended by learn. some of the richer class; but by no others. One

and kingdoms, it is unlikely that any state should, merely by its own internal wisdom, have instituted a good church, with right provisions, laws, religious exercises, and discipline. Politicians would scarcely *think* of such a thing. Intent on wars, alliances, commerce, taxation, commodious passage of travellers, &c.; religious society must come from religious zeal, though afterwards courted by the state." Hey's Lectures. If the state, even when administered by professors of Christianity, can afford very little attention or support to the interests of religion; we could hardly expect that it should step out of its way to establish, in the first instance, religious instruction.

D D 4

Digitized by Google

408

philosopher alone, of all we read of, seems to have been conscious of some moral obligation in the employment of his extraordinary talents ³; and he addressed himself to the higher ranks. Had it been otherwise, the philosophers in the several ages were but few; so few, that supposing they were dispersed, and that every man had the liberty of attending them, we should be astonished to calculate the average distance which a person must have travelled in order to get instruction. Further, there could be no unity of doctrine, because there was no unity of opinion⁴. The very foundation of religion is an

• "The matters wherein the philosophers differed, were points which concern the very being of religion and virtue; and those differences rendered the motives and obligations to both, precarious and uncertain. And this shows how unjust the objection is which infidels raise upon this head from the different opinions among Christians. It will appear, that the several denominations of Christians agree, both in the substance of religion, and in the necessary enforcements of the practice of it: that the world and all things in it were created by God, and are under the direction and government of his all-powerful hand and all-seeing eye: that there is an essential difference between good and evil, virtue and vice; that there will be a state of future rewards and punishments, ac-

intelligent Creator. Philosophers could not lay this groundwork, when they disagreed about the fact; some holding the world to be the work of chance, and others maintaining its eternity. They could not teach moral duties; for besides that they were unsettled as to the nature and extent of these, the one great sanction of moral duty, the declaration of God's will, was necessarily wanting to their instructions. They could not assert a future retribution, because none believed it; all wandering in universal scepticism, or being lost in vague conjecture. The public

cording to our behaviour in this life; that Christ was a teacher sent from God, and that the Apostles were divinely inspired; that all Christians are bound to declare and profess themselves to be his disciples; that not only the exercise of the several virtues, but also a belief in Christ, is necessary in order to their obtaining the pardon of sin, the favour of God, and eternal life; that the worship of God is to be performed chiefly by the heart, in prayers, praises, and thanksgivings; and as to all other points, that they are bound to live by the rules which Christ and his Apostles have left in the Holy Scriptures. Here then is a fixed, certain, and uniform rule of faith and practice; containing all the most necessary points of religion, established by a divine sanction, embraced as such by all denominations of Christians, and in itself abundantly sufficient to preserve the knowledge and practice of religion in the world,"-Gibson's Second Pastoral Letter.

rites of worship, which the people did attend, were rather calculated to corrupt than to improve them; and consisted wholly of ceremonies performed by the priests, without any moral exhortations or lessons of duty⁵.

Christianity, on the other hand, by means of its accredited agents, is constantly making an aggressive movement against that indolence and indifference respecting all things not immediately present and visible, in which the minds of the generality are sure to repose when left to themselves. And the effect of this excitement is wonderfully powerful, notwithstanding the imperfect degree in which it necessarily acts from the nature of those who are the objects of its operation, and of those who are concerned in carrying it on. We can form a judgment of its power, and of the dependence of mankind upon it, from the ignorance and barbarism which

⁵ Besides Leland's "Advantage of Revelation," this subject is ably handled in Bishop Gibson's Second Pastoral Letter, and concisely touched on in Hey's Lectures, book i. ch. xix.

prevailed throughout Europe during that long and dark period when the Scriptures were virtually sealed up, and the priests deserted their duty of instruction, at least of useful and evangelical instruction. No sooner was the book of revelation again unlocked, and education promoted, and inquiry stimulated, and divine philosophy laid open to the people, than the faculties of mankind were sharpened, and their views enlarged, and a new order of things began which has changed the face of Protestant Europe. On the same extensive scale we still discern the effect of this energy, in the difference between those countries where religious instruction is effectually afforded, and the Scriptures actually understood, and those which possess these advantages in an inferior degree, or in no degree at all. If a map could trace the real influence of the Gospel, it would also delineate the proportion of intelligence and active virtue. The measure of spiritual ignorance and of spiritual knowledge is also the measure of barbarism and of civilization, of mental stupidity or mental illumination.

But the case becomes stronger and clearer when we regard it on a more limited scale, and attend to the individual rather than the general effect; and perceive the difference which is made in a single district, or a single family, or even in a single character, wherever the declarations of the Gospel are faithfully believed.

Looking towards the lower orders of society, we find that excess gives way to temperance; that patience succeeds to discontent; that industry is pursued with cheerfulness; that general good-will takes the place of envy and malice; and a kindly charitable feeling is exercised, not capriciously, but on principle. Such is the first effect of religious influence. By degrees the faculties become enlarged; the mind possesses a grasp of which it had once seemed incapable; the conscience, no longer insensible to right and wrong except in the most glaring cases, acquires a moral acuteness which needs no rules of casuistry; and the mind exhibits a clearness of perception, and a nice discrimination of truth and falsehood, which might appear to be the last

413

result of philosophical investigation, if it were not seen in those who have no leisure for investigation, and no education in philosophy⁶.

This is a proof both of the excellence and truth of Christianity which its ministers neces-

⁶ " In Loskiel's account of the Moravian Missions among the North American Indians, I have found a striking illustration of the uniformity with which the grace of God operates Crantz, in his account of the Missions in Greenupon man. land, had shown the grace of God working on a man-fish: on a stupid, sottish, senseless creature, scarcely a remove from the fish on which he lived. Loskiel shows the same grace working on a man-devil: a fierce, bloody, revengeful warrior, dancing his infernal war-dance with the mind of a fury. Divine grace brings these men to the same point. Tŧ quickens, stimulates, and elevates the Greenlander: it raises him to a sort of new life: it seems almost to bestow on him new senses; it opens his eye and bends his ear, and rouses his heart; and what it adds, it sanctifies. The same grace tames the high spirit of the Indian; it reduces him to the meekness, and docility, and simplicity of a child. The evidence arising to Christianity from these facts, is perhaps seldom sufficient, by itself, to convince a gainsayer; but to a man who already believes, it greatly strengthens the reasons of his belief."-Cecil's Remains.

These reflections, corroborated as they are by still more recent instances, are well worthy the consideration of such persons as object to the employment of missionaries in countries yet uncivilized. No engine of civilization has as yet been discovered which bears comparison with the Gospel, when preached in its native purity and simplicity.

414

sarily enjoy beyond others. When they observe the power which it has, and which nothing else has, of elevating the mind and enlarging its faculties; when they see the Gospel prove to the sincere Christian a safe and ready test of thought, discourse, and action; when they see it furnishing him with additional sources of gratitude in prosperity, and a never-failing consolation in sorrow; when they see it raising him to an elevation of thought and a consistency of conduct which lifts him above his natural rank, and all this the sole effect of Christian knowledge; they possess an assurance of the divine origin of the religion, which is stronger than the soundest argument, and which the most elaborate sophistry can never shake. This, indeed, is a proof which belongs chiefly to the teachers of Christianity; and is a needful encouragement to them among many anxieties and disappointments. But although it is theirs principally, it is not theirs exclusively. Much satisfaction of the same kind is within the reach of every one who beheld Christianity in practical has ever operation.

4

I have been alluding to the lower orders, the great bulk of mankind. In the higher ranks, which have access to other modes of improvement and instruction, the results of a Christian faith may seem less decidedly and evidently marked. But it will be found, on inquiry, that a comparative indifference to the honours and pleasures of the world, a sense of responsibility concerning the employment of the various talents of time, wealth, and influence, an active charity, a spirit of humility and condescension, a lively interest in whatever regards the moral or temporal welfare of others, belongs, in an eminent and singular degree, to the disciples of Christianity. We cannot contemplate such a character, without acknowledging its intrinsic excellence. But to make a fair estimate, we ought to compare this character with what would otherwise have existed in its stead: we ought to remember, that, speaking generally, what is benevolence would have been selfishness; what is charity would have been indifference; what is lowliness would have been arrogance and pride; what is moderation would have been intemperate luxury, if the virtues had not been

substituted for the vices through the influence of Christianity.

These results are daily arising from the Christian religion, and have done so in a greater or less degree from the period of its first promulgation. They are either the consequences of a design contrived by divine wisdom for the benefit of man, or the accidental effects of an imposture undertaken for no assignable motive by uneducated enthusiasts. But surely it contradicts all probability or experience, to believe that a scheme so vague and empirical as that of the apostles must have been, if they did not act upon divine authority, should have proved so suitable to mankind, so effectual towards the object which it professes, and so beneficial to the world at large.

This, however, like every other difficulty which has been shown, in the preceding treatise, to embarrass the hypothesis which ascribes Christianity to human invention, vanishes at once when the divine origin of the religion is admitted. We should then expect that it would

prove efficient for all those purposes which are actually answered by it; that it would console the anxieties, trials, and sorrows to which human life is inevitably subject; that it would provide for the dedication of the powers and faculties of mankind to the will and service of their Maker; that it would propose a way for restoring the disobedient to his regard; and further, that it should be found suitable to every age, and condition, and climate, and capable of improving the general character wherever it was received. And all this it is constantly effecting. Systems confessedly of human origin make no approach towards these results. We see no reason to wish all men Stoics; or all men Epicureans; or all men Mohammedans; or all men polytheists; or all men Atheists. But no one who has ever witnessed, with impartial eyes, the operation of Christianity in a single family, or a single individual, can resist the inference, that if the spirit of the Gospel were universal, and all men were practical Christians, there would be little left to complain of even in this imperfect world.

ЕЕ

Digitized by Google

CHAPTER XIII.

Conclusion.

THE preceding chapters have been intended to establish a strong moral evidence of the truth of Christianity. Whether we consider the doctrines introduced by its Author :- their originality in his nation; their originality in the world;-and yet the confirmation which they receive from many singular facts, singular enactments, and minute prophecies contained in the Jewish Scriptures :- Or whether we consider the internal evidence of the Christian writings ;---their language ; their anticipation of conduct subsequently developed, and their general wisdom :-- Or whether we consider the peculiar character formed under the influence of Christianity; its excellence in individuals; its beneficial effects upon mankind; and its suit-

ableness to their condition as dependent and corrupt beings :- Or whether we consider the rapidity with which a religion so pure, so self-denying, so humiliating, and so uncompromising, was propagated and embraced, even in the face of bitter hostility :--we have phænomena which nothing, except the truth of the religion, can adequately explain. Except on this supposition, it would be difficult to account for any one of these several facts. But either we must believe that not one only, but all of these improbable facts concur to deceive us:--or Jesus Christ did appear in the world, and bear the character which he claimed of Mediator between God and man:---did suffer the penalty due to human transgression;-and does redeem from that penalty as many as "receive him," and commit themselves to his care ¹.

It must be always borne in mind, that this is the assertion made throughout the Gospel.

¹ "As many as received him, to them gave he power to become the sons of God, even to them that believe in his name."—John, i. 12.

E E 2

Jesus is either the Redeemer of the world, or he is nothing. That he professed to be. That his supernatural birth, his miraculous power, his peculiar death, his predicted resurrection, were designed to prove him. Unless then he is that, his professions are untrue, and the whole authority of his religion falls to the ground. We cannot distinguish between his doctrines and his precepts. We cannot deny his mysterious divinity, and retain his moral supremacy. Not to insist upon the undoubted fact, that the precepts and the doctrines are connected together, and depend upon one another :--- why should we practise sobriety; why enforce purity, or humility, or any other characteristic of Christianity, because it is recommended by Jesus of Nazareth, unless Jesus of Nazareth were indeed the Son of God, and requires these graces as a preparation for that future kingdom which he came to reveal, and offers to his followers?

What, therefore, the preceding evidence proves, if it prove any thing, is, that the Gospel

is a message of reconciliation from God to man, proposed by Christ in the character of their Redeemer. And what those reject, who are not living as the disciples of Christ by a vital and practical faith, is the offered means of restoration to the favour of their Creator.

Perhaps it may be thought, that if the responsibility were so awful, the evidence would be more irresistible.

1. But in answer to this, it must be remembered, that if the Christian Scriptures are true, and give a faithful account of the mission of Christ and its design, the evidence of it which we actually possess is the only conceivable evidence by which it could be confirmed to us. Jesus could not have put on human nature, or have suffered the punishment of human transgressions, in every country, and in every age, Yet, unless he had done so, unless he had been personally seen by every individual who might be required to believe in him, the rest of the

EE'3 and the plate strong

world, those who were not witnesses of his incarnation, must have received the revelation on exactly the same evidence as declares it to us now; that is, on human testimony. If the eighteenth century were substituted for the age of Augustus, if any country of the world were substituted for Judea, all but the comparatively few inhabitants of that country which might be chosen for the scene of his appearance, must receive by report what they could not possibly learn from ocular demonstration. So that the assertion sometimes hazarded, that if God made a revelation at all, he would render its truth indisputable to every individual, is to assume the fact in question; to assume that Christianity is not true; since it is impossible that such a revelation as that of the Gospel should be communicated in any other way than that in which we have actually received it.

Will it be argued, that for that very reason Christianity cannot be true? Surely not. Because as the sort of evidence which assures us of

Christ's incarnation is the same evidence as that on which we act in every other concern of life, it may reasonably be taken as the proper evidence of religion. In order to be certain of the existence of America or India. I do not require the countries to be set before my eyes; it is enough if I possess the testimony of those who have visited and seen them. Indeed, there are few affairs of common life in which we are not obliged to shape our course, as best we may, through conflicting testimony. Here there is no conflicting testimony. I am not aware that any counter-evidence can be adduced against the multiplicity of proofs in favour of Christianity. No one is able, no one pretends to be able to deny any one of the facts brought forward in the preceding chapters. Nor can any facts be alleged against them. Nothing can be alleged except the supposed improbability of this or of any other revelation; a ground of argument which we at once perceive it must be extremely dangerous to admit in opposition to positive circumstances, even if

Е Е 4

the argument were stronger in itself than it has appeared to be on reasonable consideration².

2. But, further, the very fact that the proofs of Christianity are to be sought with pain's and ascertained by diligent inquiry, is in favour of its truth, because it is a fact which harmonizes with the general character of the divine government.

This is manifest, on the most superficial view. The truth which forced itself upon the mind of the heathen poet, whilst contemplating the labours of agriculture, and the obstacles which impede their success:

PATER IPSE colendi Haud facilem esse viam voluit: Nec torpere gravi passus sua regna veterno³;

is a truth which meets us at every turn in our survey of the world. Without experience, we should doubtless consider it improbable that so large a proportion of life would

² See ch. ix. ³ Virg. Georg. i. 121.

424



Nee on in

be occupied in obtaining such education as is necessary to the useful employment of the remainder. Yet we do not, on this account, suppose it to be the design of God that men should not improve their faculties by education. Without experience, again, we should hardly be led to imagine that by far the greatest portion of the human race, in every age, and country, and state of civilization, would be obliged to devote their time to the providing of food and clothing. We should expect that wants so universal and so indispensable would be supplied in some easier manner. Yet no one is guilty of the absurdity of arguing, that if God had intended his creatures to be fed and clothed, he would have rendered food and raiment more readily procurable. It seems to me no way more extraordinary, that men must study the proofs of religion in order to be convinced of its divine au thority⁴, or the nature of religion in order to an live conformably to it; than that a man must think, and forecast, and labour through at least

⁴ See, however, a remark in Preface, p. iii.

Digitized by Google

twelve months, before he can procure for himself the materials of a day's clothing, or of a single meal suited to a state of civilization.

The metals and other mineral productions so useful, and almost indispensable to mankind;—the sciences by which their nature is so highly improved;—and in particular the knowledge of medicine, which is often necessary to preserve and continue their existence;—are all obvious instances of the late discovery and tedious or difficult acquisition of things in the highest degree desirable to man; and fully justify the conclusion, that if pains must be used for the right understanding of religion, nothing appears in that dispensation contrary to the usual and acknowledged system of Divine Providence.

3. I shall only observe further, that to argue as if the proofs of a revelation must necessarily be intuitive or self-evident, is to assume that man is not, and cannot be placed in a state of probation. If he is in such a state, he may be

tried by the disposition of his mind towards religion, as well as in any other way. We freely acknowledge the necessity, that the evidences of a divine revelation should appear, on a candid examination, unanswerably strong; and few, I apprehend, will deny this with respect to the evidences of Christianity. But it is not necessary that these proofs should be flashed upon every mind, as in the case of St. Paul, with the rapidity of lightning; so that a man should have no more power over his creed, and consequently no more responsibility concerning it, than he has to determine his complexion, or the country in which he shall be born.

And the mode, in which the Christian religion must be listened to, in order that it may be received, and studied in order that it may be understood, is well calculated to bring into exercise that disposition of mind which is suitable to a being in circumstances like those of man, applying to the subject of religion. This is not a disposition which makes no inquiry, or demands no evidence, or feels `no hesitation;

but which confines evidence to its proper business of ascertaining facts; and does not so lend itself to prejudice, or adhere to prepossessions, as virtually to exclude revelation, and make its own religion. This is the disposition with which the whole subject of religion must be approached; not the evidences only, which lead us to the threshold of the temple; but the Bible itself, which conducts us within the vestibule. We must guard against a critical, captious spirit. We must be aware that the ways of God are far above out of our sight; and be ready to receive divine instruction with the humility and teachableness of the tenderest years. Surely there is enough of ignorance and enough of evil discoverable in the mind of man, to show that he needs illumination from above, and to set him upon earnest prayer to the Author of "every good and perfect gift," that in matters relating to God and to eternity, he may be enabled to exercise his understanding humbly, and with proper deference to divine wisdom. Those who inquire thus will find the Bible its own best evidence; carrying with it marks of

3

divine origin, which can neither, perhaps, be easily described nor accurately defined; but are not the less indisputable and infallible. Reason would lead us to expect what experience uniformly proves; that " the secret of the Lord is with them that fear him, and he will show them his covenant ⁵."

⁵ Psalm xxv. 14.

THE END.

Printed by S. GOSNELL, Little Queen Street, London.

Digitized by Google

WORKS BY THE SAME AUTHOR,

Printed for J. Hatchard and Son, 187, Piccadilly.

A TREATISE

ON THE

RECORDS OF THE CREATION,

AND ON THE

MORAL ATTRIBUTES OF THE CREATOR.

Second Edition. 2 Vols. 8vo. 1l. 1s.

APOSTOLICAL PREACHING considered,

IN AN

EXAMINATION OF ST. PAUL'S EPISTLES.

Third Edition. 1 Vol. 8vo. 9s.

A SERIES OF SERMONS

ON THE

CHRISTIAN FAITH AND CHARACTER.

Third Edition. 12mo. 5s. 6d. Fourth Edition. 8vo. 10s. 6d.

FEW OBSERVATIONS

A

ON

CERTAIN PRINCIPLES

MAINTAINED BY THE REV. J. B. SUMNER,

IN HIS BOOK, INTITLED,

"THE EVIDENCE OF CHRISTIANITY, DERIVED FROM ITS NATURE AND RECEPTION, THAT THE AUTHORS OF CHRISTIANITY WERE INNOVATORS IN DOCTRINE, AND INNOVATORS IN LANGUAGE."

BY THE

REV. WILLIAM VANSITTART, M.A.

PREBENDARY OF CARLISLE.

LONDON:

PRINTED FOR C. AND J. RIVINGTON,

62, ST. PAUL'S CHURCH-YARD, AND 3, WATERLOO-PLACE, PALL-MALL.

1825.

PROTECTION STREET

BEATERIAL ZOLA 170

ارد. موروع در معروري مرم در مرور مراجع در در مرور در مرور در م

و **ت**ر منتظر الم الم

te des last

ta bin kurtareta

00.00

اليون بيد المراجع الميان. المراجع المراجع

PRINTED BY R. GILBERT, ST. JOHN'S SQUARE.

Strounger an Alteration and

FEW OBSERVATIONS

ADDRESSED TO THE

REV. JOHN BIRD SUMNER.

HAD it not been for your high authority, I should have been content to let such a principle, that the Apostles were innovators in doctrine and in language, remain unnoticed, especially as I also thought that such a system of theology could not fail to be remarked upon by some of the learned editors of the different Reviews, whose province it is to watch over the Theological Press. It seems, however, to me, to be a statement so fraught with wrong impressions, as to require examination previous to its taking entire possession of the minds of those young Divines who might build their faith on the authority of your ipse dixit, without any question whether your statement were founded on truth: and here I may truly say, that your reputation is of that nature, as to make any person who should take the task in hand, diffident of his success.

The first thing that strikes me in regard to

A 2

this statement of yours, that the Apostles were innovators in doctrine. is this: that it stands directly opposed to St. Paul's opinion of the Faith, and that it was a point which he opposed in his disputations with the Jews, who defended their rejection of belief in the Gospel, on the ground that it was a new religion, or what you call an innovation in doctrine. It is a curious fact, that in these days of the Church, that that argument, that Christianity was a new religion, which was used by the Jews as an apology for their unbelief, should be brought forward as a point of evidence to establish the evidence of Christianity. My remarks will be made separately on each of these two principles; but I may here premise, that my remarks on the second. principle,-That the Apostles were innovators in language,-will be founded on the consideration, that the strength of the real evidence of Christianity, depends on the language of the New Testament being found to be an open manifestation or fulfilment of those doctrines which were before known in secret, and embraced by those of the Fathers who lived and died in Faith.

In regard to the first point, That the teachers of the Gospel were innovators in doctrine, it stands expressly opposed to St. Paul, who tells us, in his defence before Felix, when he was accused by Ananias the High Priest, and Tertullus the orator, "But this I confess unto thee, that after the way which they call heresy (an innovation in doctrine), so worship I the God of my Fathers." The faith which he professed was what had been before held by the Fathers, and was then revealed publicly. The worship of the Fathers is of two parts: the promise of Christ, made to the Fathers before the Law: and the Law: and therefore, when the Apostle was charged with the worship of Christ, he declares it to be the same worship which the Fathers held. On this ground the Apostle elsewhere argues against the Jews, that the Law did not make the promise of none effect: the Law was but to serve for a time: that so, the blessing of Abraham might come on the Gentiles through Jesus Christ: therefore, when he preached Christ, he preached no heresy or innovation of doctrine. And again; when he preached Justification by Faith, he made no innovation, he only preached the same justification which Abraham had beforehand, who believed in the Lord, and it was counted to him for righteousness, Gen. xv. 6. He only preached the same Gospel that was preached to Abraham before, through the hope that it would be perceived and acknowledged by the Jews, and the more easily believed, as not being any innovation in doctrine, but what their father Abraham believed beforehand, and in the belief of which they

Toogle

might be blessed, together with faithful Abraham. The Scripture, foreseeing that God would justify the heathen through Faith, preached before the Gospel unto Abraham, saying, 'In thee shall all the nations of the earth be blessed.' Gal. iii. 8.

What has led you to make this statement of an innovation in doctrine, is, I fear, the foolish title of a deistical book, "Christianity as old as the Creation:" and as you had probably been in search of deistical objections, you thought, without a due consideration of the consequences, that the best way of opposing such a principle, was by shewing that Christianity was an entire new thing; hence I find, in different places, these following statements of yours, of an opposite nature to that opinion, and intended to be opposed to such a principle.

1st. "The Apostles raised Christianity out of nothing." Page 321, note.

2dly. "In a word, their doctrines were original, and could receive no assistance from the existing opinions of their country." Page 146.

3dly. Again; "they are so far from being as old as the Creation, that a moment's reflection on what the tenets of the Gospel really are, will show them to be, in the strictest sense, original." Page 64, line 4.

To these statements I would reply that the

apostles instead of raising Christianity out of nothing preached it out of the Old Testament. The Christian religion is Jesus Christ come into the world according to promise, and as that was no innovation in doctrine, so neither are the things preached through him innovations, but . a fulfilment of those points foreshadowed in the Old Testament, and as such they ought to be esteemed. to shew the identity between the Old and the New Testament in doctrine. Thus in regard to your innovation of doctrine, " that God so loved the world that he gave his only begotten Son," it is no innovating doctrine, it is the completion of the promise to restore the fall through the Messiah, for where is the difference between this love of God. and that which promised the Messiah at the beginning; it is the fulfilment of it rather than an innovation. and so it ought to be spoken of to prevent raising wrong notions in the minds of your readers.

Again, in regard to your innovation of doctrine, "you must be born again of water and the Spirit," it is the substance of the shadow of the deluge which prefigured baptism.

Again, in regard to that other innovation of doctrine, "that Christ came to seek and to save that which was lost;" it was no innovation of doctrine; the world had been lost from the beginning, and the promise of salvation had been in

· Digitized by GOOGLE

consequence made from the beginning, and this salvation was what all the worthies of old expected through the promise of Christ. Jacob at the point of death tells us, "I have waited for thy salvation :" he expected it to be revealed in his days, and almost, as an old divine expresses it, touched it with the tip of his finger. Also the pious of David's time respired after it, " Oh that the salvation were given unto Israel out of Zion," the pious expected it throughout all ages; hence Simeon and the other devout persons who waited for the consolation of Israel, when they saw it revealed. declared "Lord, now let thy servant depart in peace, for mine eyes have seen thy salvation, which thou hast prepared before the face of all people; a light to lighten the Gentiles, and to be the glory of thy people Israel." Thus the preaching of this salvation was no innovation in doctrine, and your argument of an innovating doctrine tends to destroy this evidence which the expectation of the pious affords to the faith, which is the strongest evidence of its truth, and turns your reader away from the knowledge that the New Testament was the fulfilment of the Old. In your own words on another occasion, you ought to put the Jews reasoning in this manner on these doctrines, did God prove his love to the world by sending his only begotten Son into the world to save it; so he proved a like love before when he promised the Messiah. Did Christ tell the Jews you must be born again of water and the Spirit, so the old world had been dead in trespasses and sin, and born anew of water and the Spirit. I should hope that you see in these points the difference between innovation and fulfilment, for although such doctrines might appear novel, yet it is a very untheological way to speak of them as innovations, not only as they were not so, but from the effect which such a notion must necessarily create on the mind of the reader.

I come now to certain points which may be called corollaries of your system, that the faith was an innovation in doctrime. The first is that declaration of yours that the Christian religion was engrafted on the Jewish. as if it were something which you could take and place on the other instead of being the original stem, which in the fulness of time grew up of itself. It may be applicable enough to Christians, who were engrafted in the place of the Jews, but not so to the faith which was the promise of Christ to be fulfilled in due time. Besides. this expression hath this objection, that it would be like engrafting it upon circumcision, and then Christ could profit it nothing, Gal. v. 21.

Another point, as a corollary to be derived from the system of calling the faith a new reli-

Ą

gion, is the speaking of Abraham offering up his son Isaac as a germ, page 104. How much better it would have been had you retained the common theological term, a type, a shadow, a figure, because these words signify a resemblance or a sketching of an exemplar, as when we behold a shadow reflected in a mirror, and which shadowed Christ to the fathers, and a type is a different thing entirely from a germ. Besides, if the offering up of Isaac be a germ, what name of a bud shall be given to the prior promise of the Redeemer made to Eve, which an old theologian calls the $\pi\rho\omega\tau$ evarythion; or to the institution of the Sabbath-day, which was a type of the eternal rest; for either of these points are as much a germ of the Gospel as Abraham offering up of Isaac, and these bring Christianity to be as old as the creation, because they foreshadowed Christ to the fathers in a figure, the same as he is now revealed in substance. The word germ stands directly opposed to the worship of Christ by the fathers. under the types and similitudes of the Old Testament, and therefore it is a term that ought not to be used, and is subversive of all notions of theology.

A third point which may be taken as a corollary of your system that the Apostles were innovators in doctrine, is your declaration that the Christian religion did not grow naturally out

of the Jewish, because it opposed the existing opinions of those who professed that religion at the time of its promulgation. Here let me premise what a door this tenet of yours opens against the Old Testament, for it is the same with saying that it did not grow out of the Old Testament, which perhaps to you who hold the notion that the Apostles raised Christianity out of nothing, may be of no consequence, but to your reader, who believes with St. Paul that the faith is nothing more than what the prophets and Moses did say, should come to pass, it conveys a great blow against the Old Testa-But in regard to the declaration itself ment. the opposition of those who did not believe did not affect the nature of the faith, or make what the Apostles preached to the Jews an innovation of doctrine; for their hearers' hearts may have been gross, that hearing they might hear, and not understand; and seeing they might see, and not perceive, (Matt. xiii. 14, 15.) The faith arose as it was believed: some believed, and some did not; but those who did not believe did not prove by their unbelief that what was preached to them was an innovation in doctrine. The foundation of the Christian religion, was the promise of Christ to restore the fall: in due time Christ was born and preached as the promised Messiah, and the faith arose where it was believed; it grew naturally

Digitized by GOOSIC

enough out of the Old Testament to those who believed, to Simeon and Anna, and the devout persons who expected the consolation of Israel.

Moreover, the promise of Christ could not be affected by the law which was only to serve for a time, the law was not contrary to the promise, it was added on account of transgressions, and when it served its purpose, then the promise by faith of Jesus Christ was fulfilled, and given to those who believed. The promise was an original root, which laid in the ground till the season arrived when it was appointed to shoot up Now this difference between the and flourish. promise and the law is very necessary to be observed in viewing your argument that the faith was an innovation in doctrine. for it is exactly the manner in which the unbelieving Jews resisted the faith, and called it a heresy. You illustrate your notion that the Christian religion did not grow naturally out of the Jewish in this manner: "If I divert into a new channel a stream which has been long flowing in its native bed, and so make it contribute and aid some important purpose, that effect cannot be ascribed to the natural current of the stream, which, but for my interference, would have continued to flow on as before. My purpose may, indeed, receive great advantage from the stream originally existing, but the new direction has a cause independent of the original stream."

I will illustrate my argument by a similar mode of comparison; if I float a vessel for a certain time down a stream, and then raise a mound, which prevents its reaching its appointed destination; if, after a time, I remove the mound. the vessel continues its course, and accomplishes its destination. So it was with the promise of the Messiah: the law for a time interrupted its fulfilment, but when that had served its purpose, then the promise was given to those The distinction between the law who believed. and the Gospel is well marked by an old divine. who calls the promise of the Messiah made to Eve the first Gospel. "Luther lays this down as the character of a good divine, to be able rightly. to distinguish between law and Gospel, qui bene norit discernere Evangelium a lege gratiasagat Deo et sciat se esse Theologum, Upon this account sure they are bad ones who confine the Gospel to the times of and after Christ, as if the Jews before Christ had been wholly under a covenant of works, having law only, without any Gospel, preached to them: an assertion which the discovery of that $\pi \rho \omega \tau$ evaryelior, first Gospel, Gen. iii. 15. of the seed of the woman, the prophecies, types and sacrifices sufficiently convince

to be false and unsound; but we need go no further for a confirmation of this error than Heb. v. 2., where the apostle, speaking of the Jews in the wilderness, tells us they were ευνγελίσμενοι, evangelized or gospelled as well as we now, although " the word preached did not profit them, not being mixed with faith in them that heard it *." Heb. iv. 2. Thus there was a Gospel in those days, but as the want of faith in the hearers did not cause the Gospel to be less the Gospel, so the unbelief of the Jews did not cause either our Saviour or the Apostles to be innovators in doctrine.

Hence I may, as a corollary to be derived from what has been said, infer that if the Gospel were preached to Eve as well as to Abraham, who believed, and the Lord counted such belief to him for righteousness, that then the terms righteousness and faith were not new in the days of the Evangelists, but were words of evangelical signification in the time of Abraham. Gen. xv. 16. But before I proceed further, I will first beg the reader's attention to these two points, first, That the Christian religion was the fulfilment of the promise of the Messiah; and the second, That the Apostles did not preach Christ out of nothing, but out of the Scriptures, and this will clear up all matters that the Apostles, in

* See Frost's Sermons on Heb. iv. 2.

regard to the original doctrine of the Redeemer, and of the Gospel preached before to Abraham, which would naturally introduce the use of Gospel terms, were neither innovators in doctrine nor in language, they fulfilled the promise, and brought to light, or restored, the Gospel preached before to Abraham.

I will now enter on your other principle, that the Apostles were innovators in language, but I must premise that if you meant to draw an argument from the phraseology of the New Testament it ought to have been that of shewing the identity of the language of the New Testament with the Old, for no doubt the strength of the Gospel evidence lies in proving it to be the same faith and the same righteousness that was preached to Abraham before. The first word you fix on is Evarythior, Gospel, glad tidings; you say there must have been a time when this word bore no such meaning, but simply expressed to them who heard it favourable news, when it expressed this idea, and this idea alone, the authors of Christianity seized upon it to communicate the import of the religion they intended to teach. On this statement of yours I would remark that Evayyedia would just as much have signified the glad tidings of the Gospel then as it does now; but to bring glad tidings cannot be expressed by the verb to bring, and the noun, but by the

verb the same as the angel when he addressed the shepherds used the verb EvayyEdi Comai. And this will account sufficiently for the non-usage of the noun in the sense of the glad tidings of the Gospel, not but if the verb signifies to bring glad tidings, the nonn would also signify it as a thing of course. What, however, you ought to have done was not to trouble yourself about the noun, but have sent your readers first of all to in the pihel בשר he verb השר in the pihel mood, and next to the Seventy, where you will find it frequently translated by Evaryelizopai in the full sense of evangelizing the whole world. Thus in Ps. xcvi. 2, 3. ευαγγελιζεσθε, evangelize his salvation from day to day, declare his glory among the heathen, his wonders among all people ; also, in Ps. xl. 10. EunyyElisaunv, I have evangelized righteousness in the great congregation ; also, in Isaiah xl. 9. get up into the high mountain thou that evangelizeth Sion, lift up thy voice thou that evangelizeth Jerusalem, lift up, be not afraid, say unto the city of Judah, behold your God; also, in Isaiah lii. 7. How beautiful upon the mountains are the feet of him that evangelizeth, that publisheth peace; that evangelizeth good, that publisheth salvation, that saith unto Zion, thy God reigneth. See also xli. 27., lxi. 1. Now in all these places the verb to evangelize appears in its full evangelical signification, and therefore any criticism

upon the noun ought to have been subject to this signification of the verb. Hence I may state these three objections to your explanation of the word, the first is, That it destroys its real strong evidence, which would be to show how it was the fulfilment of the prophet's usage of the verb in the Old Testament. The second, That it necessarily gives the word an almost individual signification; it limits it to denote the individual feelings of those who, as you say, when they have been brought to acknowledge the terms of the Gospel, confess the distress they experienced when they discovered they were at enmity with God. But the sense of the word. as given by St. Paul, is its general sense of evangelizing the whole world, as when he tells us that "the Scripture foreseeing that God would justify the heathen through faith, preached the Gospel before unto Abraham, saying, that in thy seed shall all the nations of the earth be blessed." Gal. iii. 8. The same universal sense of the word appears in both David's and Isaiah's and the prophet Nahum's use of it. Now all this knowledge of the word, that it was the blessing promised to Abraham, is banished by the individual sense you have given it, as founded on your argument, that the faith was a new thing, and although every person is welcome to give the word an individual signification, yet in a book

B

of evidence its general signification, and the use of the verb by the prophets, in the Old Testament, ought to have been fully brought forward It would be absurd to to the reader's notice. suppose that the shepherds understood the word in an individual sense, and went proclaiming their distress at the discovery that they were at enmity with God; they received it as a matter of universal joy, and glorified and praised God for all the things which they had seen and heard, Luke ii. 20. The third objection is. that the word Gospel when so limited, and without any reference to the Old Testament, is no greater proof to the Christian of the truth of Christianity, than the word Koran is to the Mahometan, which word expresses all that it assumes, that it was revealed piecemeal. And indeed I do not see what advantage your argument, that the authors of Christianity, (a very uncouth phrase,) were innovators in language to prove Christianity a new thing, hath over the language of the Koran, " there is no other God but God. and Mahomet his prophet," for surely when the Mahometan repeats this dogma he firmly believes his prophet to be the greatest of all the prophets, and hath the evidence of the great extension of his religion as a proof that he was a true prophet; and also, that the ninety and nine names of God could never have suggested themselves to the prophet without a revelation from Heaven. If your argument benefit your adversary, and injure your own evidence, it is not a good one; the fault seems to me to lie in forming a negative argument to prove Christianity a new thing, which could not have established itself, which tends to destroy the positive argument of its establishment.

Moreover, in regard to your next word, saved. or Saviour, you say its usage in the Christian Scriptures is different from any thing occurring elsewhere, even in the Jewish writings. This expression is not derived from any ideas previously existing. I should say it is entirely derived from the pre-existing notion of a Saviour, and of the salvation of Israel, that was prophesied to come. However, that I may give an instance of the word saved in the full evangelical sense of the word, see Isaiah xlv. 20, 21. Look unto me, and be ye saved, all the ends of the earth, for I am God, and there is none else ; a just God, and a Saviour. And in the same chapter, at the 17th verse. "Israel shall be saved. in the Lord, with an everlasting Salvation." The whole Old Testament is a system of God's gracious salvation of Israel from his temporal enemies, as by Moses and Joshua: so also by the Judges, and various other worthies, raised up to be saviours, as Nehemiah calls them, ch. ix.

в 2

27, types of our Saviour, and of the spiritual deliverance of all Israel from sin.

Again, in regard to the word righteousness, you say it is a new word, and proves the novelty of the doctrine conveyed by it. "Had there been nothing original in that doctrine, it would not have required an original term: had the Christian religion been nothing more than a modification of the Jewish faith, the phrases which had been employed in the one would not have been changed, or extended their signification in the other." To this I need make no other reply than these two texts of Scripture: the first. "Abraham believed in the Lord, and it was counted to him for righteousness." Gen. xv. 6. The other, "The just shall live by his faith." Hab. ii. 4. Now, had you taken up the argument that the Christian religion was the fulfilment of the Gospel preached before to Abraham, you would have had a pleasure in tracing the Gospel in the Old Testament, and the full evangelical sense of the words righteousness, faith. salvation. "My salvation is near to come, and my righteousness to be revealed." Isaiah lvi. 1.

Again, in regard to the word grace, you say it is a new term; but you forget that "Noah found grace in the eyes of the Lord." Gen. vi. 8. He was saved in the ark by grace, through faith, being, as St. Paul calls him, "an heir of

the righteousness which is by faith," Heb. xi. 7, to be a type of the gospel salvation, by baptism. which now saves believers. Thus all these words shew, at once, the doctrines of the New Testament, which they prefigured, and you cannot fail seeing them in such a light, if you view the Apostles as the fulfillers of the doctrine foreshadowed in the Old Testament. and not as innovators in doctrine; not as setting forth a new religion, but as proposing the same worship of the fathers, and as saying nothing else than what the prophets and Moses did say should come to pass. It is to correct this wrong impression, which your principle, that the Apostles were innovators both in doctrine and language, is calculated to make on your readers, that I have ventured to address these few pages to you; and had you considered the numerous fathers who are said, in the Epistle to the Hebrews, to have lived and died in Faith, you would have concluded there must have been a worship of Christ in the Old Testament, and that the terms of the Gospel would be found therein in a full evangelical sense. Let us just go to the New Testament for our guide in this point, and there we shall find that the children of Israel, in the wilderness, drank of that rock which followed them, and that rock was Christ; there we shall find certain who tempted Christ,

and a warning to Christians not to tempt him as they did: there we shall find a Moses, who esteemed the reproach of Christ greater riches than the treasures in Egypt. If, then, there were a mystical worship of Christ in the Old Testament, and a spiritual meaning were attached to the promise of the temporal Canaan*; and if I believe with the Apostle, that the old Israelites were evangelized in the wilderness; and if I believe that The only reason why those who obtained a good report through faith, received not the promise, merely from God having prepared some better thing for us, that they, without us, should not be made perfect, Heb. xi. 39, 40; then I naturally look to the Old Testament abounding as it does, though in a mystical manner, with those terms which are peculiar to the Gospel.

I will just add a few remarks on your sixth chapter, entitled, "The Agreement of the Christian Scriptures with subsequent Experience." It might almost have been intitled, The agreement of the Old Testament with subsequent experience. However, this chapter will shew the different opinion we entertain of the same thing; you in asserting that the Apostles raised Christianity out of nothing; I, that it was preached out of the Old Testament. As a corollary of

• See Burnet, Art. VII. page 191, line 10.

your opinion, you say that the family dissensions among Christians, which were an equally improbable thing with the persecutions of Christians, was a prophecy, de novo, without any foundation for it. I rather call it a fulfilment of the Scriptures, according as our Saviour himself tells us, he came to fulfil whatsoever was written of him in the law and in the prophets, and in the book of Psalms. It was a prophesying out of Scripture, or an interpretation of Scripture. "The father shall be divided against the son, and the son against the father, and a man's foes shalf be those of his own household." Compare Micah vii. 6; Matt. x. 35, 36; and Luke xii. 51.

Again; of the persecutions of Christians for righteousness sake, you call it a prophecy, and that it was not to be previously expected, p. 154. But our Saviour grounds the persecution of the disciples on what had happened to the pious in the Old Testament; "For so persecuted they the prophets before you." Matt. v. 12. St. Paul illustrates the point in his Epistle to the Galatians, "Now we, brethren, as Abraham iv. 28, 29. was, are the children of promise. But as then he that was born after the flesh, persecuted him that was born after the Spirit, even so it is now." The persecution of believers was only the same thing which prevailed all throughout the Old Testament. Cain persecuted Abel, Ishmael Isaac,

Joogle

Esau Jacob; and the Psalms are full of the persecution of the pious and poor man, the Messiah and Christians, in figure, by the profane. Hence the persecution of Christians was no more than the fulfilment of the Old Testament, and so it was a prophesying, out of Scripture, of that event.

While I am upon this subject, of the persecution of those who were born after the Spirit, by those who were born after the flesh, I may state, that it is the foundation of St. Paul's argument which respects the opposition between the flesh and the Spirit. You think the Apostle used the word flesh in an entirely original manner, without any previously existing idea or sense of the word in the Old Testament; but what will you say of the word in the 56th Psalm? "In God I have put my trust, I will not fear what flesh (that is, the unregenerate nature of man.) can do unto me." Psalm lvi. 4, 11, and cxviii. 6. Another example of this word, as opposed to the Spirit, is in Isaiah xxxi. 3. "The Egyptians are men, and not God; and their horses flesh, and not spirit." Besides, the word is frequently used in the New Testament to signify the carnality of the law, which stood in circumcision in the flesh in the Old Testament, as it is contrasted with the spirituality of the New.

Again, you say that our Lord's assumption of his religion that it would spread throughout the world was an original thought in that age, and warranted by no experience. But this was no original thought any more than the family dissensions; it was a prophesying out of Scripture, founded on those texts of the vine that should spread; of the knowledge of the Lord which should cover the earth; of the blessing of all the nations of the earth in Abraham's seed; of the inheritance of the whole world which was given to the Messiah, Ask of me, and I will give the heathen for thine inheritance, and the utmost parts of the earth for thy possession, Ps. ii. 8.

Again, what you call a prediction of the destruction of Jerusalem by Christ's supernatural knowledge, I call a foreshewing of it out of the Old Testament, as in the following text, Zion shall be ploughed as a field. Jerusalem shall be a heap of ruins, Jer. xxvi. 18. Micah iii. 12.

Again, what you call Christ's predicting his own death, I call a foreshewing it or a prophesying it out of Scripture; from that time forth began Jesus to shew unto his disciples how that he must go unto Jerusalem, and suffer, and be killed, and be raised again the third day; and this is explained by St. Luke, behold we go up to Jerusalem, and all things that are written by the prophets of the Son of Man shall be accomplished, for he shall be dalivered, Luke xviii. 31. But although the disciples understood not these

things at the time, yet they did afterwards, and that his death was the fulfilment of what was written of him beforehand. And here I cannot but remark on that very irreverent declaration of yours in respect of our Saviour in page 147. "There was nothing in the situation, habits, or education of a Jew, particularly of a Jew of Galilee, which could inspire him with the knowledge necessary to these predictions." Why not? Who is he that has said search the Scriptures, for they are they which testify of me? Why could not any of the seed of Israel who searched the Scriptures prophesy or interpret out of them? Your arguments and notions from the particular opinions you have adopted, that Christianity was raised out of nothing, are all so untheological that it is a difficult thing to know how to deal with them.

Again, you argue to the originality of Christianity by our Lord's mode of instruction by parables, that it betrays such a bold and adventurous spirit as would have led impostors to their own refutation. But if we can suppose that an impostor had adopted such a mode of teaching he would have had the support of Scripture in his favour. All these things spake Jesus unto the multitude in parables, and without a parable spake he not unto them, that it might be fulfilled which was spoken by the prophet, saying, I

will open my mouth in parables, Matt. xiii. 34. If you had happened to have thought that Christ was preached, and preached himself, out of the Scriptures, how much more correctly your book would have been written than now, under the notion that Christianity was a new thing, and raised out of nothing; at present, it seems to me to be a book which very much misleads. I would here remark on this error of yours, and it is not only yours but common to very many, of calling those things which Christ foreshewed out of the Scriptures predictions of his supernatural knowledge rather than as of the inspiration, and of that unction of the Holy Ghost which he received at his baptism, because by considering them in this last sense of prophesying out of Scripture, you identify them with the Old Testament, and that Christ spake by the same Spirit by which the prophets spake. This point is well illustrated by St. Peter, of which salvation the prophets have enquired and searched diligently, who prophesied of the grace that should come unto you, searching what, or what manner of time the Spirit of Christ which was in them did signify, when it testified beforehand the sufferings of Christ and the glory that should follow; unto whom it was revealed, that not unto themselves, but unto us they did. minister the things, which are now reported unto vou. 1 Pet. i. 10.

Again, you have another untheological opinion, that Christ came not to interpret a revelation, but to make one. (Page 91.) He came to fulfil the Scriptures, and how could he fulfil them, but by interpreting them to be written of him; the same as the Apostles and his ministers practise at this day. What was his conduct at the beginning of his ministry in the congregation at Nazareth? he stood up and read in the book of the prophet Isaiah, this day is this Scripture fulfilled in your ears, Luke iv. 16-22. And how acted Philip with the eunuch? It is all an interpretation of the Old Testament for its fulfilment in the New.

Again, you say that Christ came in open contradiction to the natural opinions and popular belief of all who heard him. But here your argument of evidence destroys the much stronger evidence in the Evangelists' history, who record that the multitudes in general believed him; that Simeon and Anna, and the other devout persons who looked for redemption in Israel acknowledged him; that the five thousand whom he fed confessed him to be that prophet who should come into the world; and those numbers mentioned by St. James, thou knowest brother how many myriads of Jews there are which believe, Acts xxi. 10. Hence I would infer that had you looked to Simeon and Anna and Joseph of Arimathea and the devout persons who waited for the consolation of Israel, and had considered that the faith was the fulfilment of the Old Testament, you would have known how easily the Christian religion grew out of the Old Testament, which was the Jews' religion, to those who believed; and that portion of the Jews who disbelieved, did not make that it did not naturally grow out of it.

I would suggest that a book of evidence. ought to be of positive evidence, for a very little reflection would convince you that your endea+ vour to establish a chain of negative evidence was almost sure to interfere with the positive, and this point I might particularly exemplify in your argument, that the Apostles were innovators in language, for your attempt to prove that the Apostles, in their chief doctrines, used words in a sense unknown in the Old Testament. naturally leads your reader away from the Old I would beg to point out to you Testament. one or two places, to shew the great and inconsiderate haste with which you write; you say, in page 128, that a methodical description of the prophetic intimations could only be a provision for imposture, but you forget our Lord's conversation with the two disciples going to Emmaus, when beginning at Moses and all the prophets, he expounded unto them in all the Scriptures the things concerning himself.

Another proof, and which it is only necessary to mention for you to see your want of a mature consideration, is where to give a weight to your Book of Evidence, you say that a person who has not made a study of what evidence Christianity rests upon, may be following, for what he knows to the contrary, tales like those of Hercules and Bacchus. Suppose that our young divines should form sermons on the evidences under the authority of your example, and their pulpits ring with such language.

Another mark of haste is what you assert in your preface, it is notorious that many persons in different countries, have advanced pretensions like his with various degrees of success. How could you be so carried away with your subject as to speak of the character of the Son of God, and of his religion ? pretensions like his ! The fault I should say is, that you must have so long familiarized yourself to the deistical writers, to form your book, until you made, in a sort of manner, their objections your own. I may explain, that my only reason for inditing these few pages is the wrong impressions which your book, under the high authority of your name, is calculated to make upon its numerous readers, and the

great injury it must necessarily do to the Old Testament. I may also state the injury which your argument does to the reader, in leading him astray from the Old Testament, instead of directing him to it to see how it foreshadows the doctrines of the New, for the New is the open manifestation of the worship of Christ, which the fathers who lived and died in faith possessed in mystery. It is well explained by St. Paul, by the Greek word $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \gamma \eta \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$, kept secret, that is, whispered amongst the early ages, but now made manifest. Rom. xvi. 25, 26.

THE END.

PRINTED BY R. GILBERT, ST. JOHN'S SQUARE.