PHILOSOPHY OF RELIGION, AND HOW TO MEET THEM.

The first paper was read by the Rev. E. Corbett, vicar of Burntisland, who observed that there were four principal phases in which the versatil

ities of science and of speculation had developed itself in modern times—the historical, the critical, the philosophical, and the scientific.

The historical aspect conscripted a new history of the past, purely a work of the imagination; and the critical aspect was a great advance on the historical aspect, because it was the history of the mind, of the human mind, of the human heart, of the human soul. The philosophical aspect was the history of speculation, the history of ideas, the history of the mind as an object of speculation. The scientific aspect was the history of the mind as an object of science, the history of the mind as an object of observation.

The second paper was read by the Rev. Dr. Bruce, who observed that the history of science was a history of the mind, and that the history of speculation was a history of the soul. The history of science was a history of the mind as an object of observation, the history of speculation was a history of the mind as an object of speculation.

The third paper was read by the Rev. Mr. Scott, who observed that the history of science was a history of the mind, and that the history of speculation was a history of the soul. The history of science was a history of the mind as an object of observation, the history of speculation was a history of the mind as an object of speculation.

The fourth paper was read by the Rev. Mr. White, who observed that the history of science was a history of the mind, and that the history of speculation was a history of the soul. The history of science was a history of the mind as an object of observation, the history of speculation was a history of the mind as an object of speculation.

The fifth paper was read by the Rev. Mr. Brown, who observed that the history of science was a history of the mind, and that the history of speculation was a history of the soul. The history of science was a history of the mind as an object of observation, the history of speculation was a history of the mind as an object of speculation.

The sixth paper was read by the Rev. Mr. Black, who observed that the history of science was a history of the mind, and that the history of speculation was a history of the soul. The history of science was a history of the mind as an object of observation, the history of speculation was a history of the mind as an object of speculation.

The seventh paper was read by the Rev. Mr. Green, who observed that the history of science was a history of the mind, and that the history of speculation was a history of the soul. The history of science was a history of the mind as an object of observation, the history of speculation was a history of the mind as an object of speculation.

The eighth paper was read by the Rev. Mr. Brown, who observed that the history of science was a history of the mind, and that the history of speculation was a history of the soul. The history of science was a history of the mind as an object of observation, the history of speculation was a history of the mind as an object of speculation.

The ninth paper was read by the Rev. Mr. Black, who observed that the history of science was a history of the mind, and that the history of speculation was a history of the soul. The history of science was a history of the mind as an object of observation, the history of speculation was a history of the mind as an object of speculation.

The tenth paper was read by the Rev. Mr. Green, who observed that the history of science was a history of the mind, and that the history of speculation was a history of the soul. The history of science was a history of the mind as an object of observation, the history of speculation was a history of the mind as an object of speculation.

The eleventh paper was read by the Rev. Mr. Brown, who observed that the history of science was a history of the mind, and that the history of speculation was a history of the soul. The history of science was a history of the mind as an object of observation, the history of speculation was a history of the mind as an object of speculation.

The twelfth paper was read by the Rev. Mr. Black, who observed that the history of science was a history of the mind, and that the history of speculation was a history of the soul. The history of science was a history of the mind as an object of observation, the history of speculation was a history of the mind as an object of speculation.

The thirteenth paper was read by the Rev. Mr.Green, who observed that the history of science was a history of the mind, and that the history of speculation was a history of the soul. The history of science was a history of the mind as an object of observation, the history of speculation was a history of the mind as an object of speculation.

The fourteenth paper was read by the Rev. Mr. Brown, who observed that the history of science was a history of the mind, and that the history of speculation was a history of the soul. The history of science was a history of the mind as an object of observation, the history of speculation was a history of the mind as an object of speculation.

The fifteenth paper was read by the Rev. Mr. Black, who observed that the history of science was a history of the mind, and that the history of speculation was a history of the soul. The history of science was a history of the mind as an object of observation, the history of speculation was a history of the mind as an object of speculation.