LITERARY.

MR DARWIN'S DESCENT OF MAN, HIS ORIGIN OF SPECIES, AND SELECTION IN RELATION TO SEX.


The positive or scientific mode of thought has within the present generation received a great impulse from three works. The first and most widely influential was Mr Mill's 'Logic,' which, it is hardly too much to say, rescued the science of reasoning from scholastic trifling, and restored it to the position it had long held as the common and necessary aid to the advance of human knowledge. The second was Mr Maine's 'Ancient Law,' which impressed on all who read it a profound sense of the value of the historical method of studying questions regarding the nature and condition of man. The third was Mr Darwin's 'Origin of Species,' which, substituted in natural history the profound conception of evolution for a mere catalogue or enumeration of species held together by a slender metaphysical or theological fiction. This was followed up by a detailed application to the fertilization of orchids, and the case of animals and plants under domestication. It was of course obvious from the beginning that the principle of natural selection could not be restricted to the lower animals, and Mr Darwin has now, in the volume before us, examined the arguments against the extension of his theory to 'the paragon of animals.' The conclusion at which he arrives is: 'the progress of the 'natural man'; it offends, or seems to offend, that sense of dignity which redeems the lowest savages from mere animalism, and which ever remains the most precious safeguard of virtue. No objection is felt to the degradation of men into animals, but the reverse operation is bitterly resented. In the Timaeus, Plato tells us that men who were guilty of cowardice and injustice were, after death, turned into women; harmless but superficial men were changed into birds; those who in their lifetime made gods of their bellies passed into the more brutal land animals; the stupid men became fishes. Such is the teaching of imagination, in human nature; it holds forth a different but not less elevating conception. To believe that man was aboriginally civilized, and then suffered utter degradation in so many regions, is to take a pitifully low view of human nature. It is apparent that a man who has been murdered in general than retrogression; that man has risen, though by slow and interrupted steps, from a low condition to the highest standard as yet attained by him in knowledge, morals, and religion.

Every attempt that has been made to discover in the bodily structure of man any impassable barrier separating him from other animals has utterly failed. The scientific world has not forgotten the sharp contest about the Hippocampus, which was admitted, only on the condition that 'every one of us has in his memory the story of the ancient fable of the man's brain and its analogy to that of the horse.' The human embryo is distinguishable from the young ape only in the later stages of its growth. The points of resemblance between man and other animals are numerous; but there is one class of cases singularly instructive, namely, the presence of useless, rudimentary organs, the representatives of which are found in useful activity in other animals. No one can doubt that the rudimentary legs of snakes, which are of no use to them, indicate their descent from animals by whom those extremities were employed in locomotion. The ears of most animals are different, but those of man, as fixed, as is also the case with the chimpanzee and orang-utan. But the most striking peculiarity in the ear of man has been pointed out by the celebrated sculptor, Mr Woolner. He observed in the ears of various monkeys and men a little blunt point, projecting from the inward-folded margin of the external ear. Mr Darwin, this strange pointed ear, when the point has been folded inward. The intermediate stage is actually observed in Ateles belzebuth. Slight anatomical facts like those are often more useful in classification than broad outstanding differences. "In man the frontal bone consists of a single piece; but in the elephant and the Kentish folk, and in the lower mammaam, it consists of two pieces separated by a distinct suture." Occasionally, in the adult, the separation is kept up, and, what is strangely inexplicable if we reject evolution, more commonly in the crania of extinct races. A large collection of similar facts is given by Mr Darwin, showing, much as we may resist the suggestion, that the higher animals are "bone of our bone, and flesh of our flesh." One of the least agreeable marks of our similarity in physical structure to the animals is our subjection to the law of population. If thirty millions were to increase at the rate attained in the United States, namely, doubling in twenty-five years, they would in 657 years cover the globe to such an extent that four men would have to stand on each square yard of surface. Although it is probable that barbarous races are less productive than the civilized races, yet they increase far more rapidly than they find destitute of food. In the countries of India the interinsec warfare has been put down and vaccination introduced, increased to an extraordinary extent. Among savages, the principal mode of checking the excess of births is infanticide. It formerly prevailed nearly all over the world. Even the 'divine Plato' did not see anything improper in resorting to it as a means of getting rid of children who might be expected to grow into weaklings. Low as the state of society is in which infanticide is a normal mode of relief, it is infinitely superior to the condition of the lower animals, who allow the useless mouths to grow up, afterwards to perish. It is a step in the growth of civilization, and leads to the more humane practice of celibacy in a more advanced social stage.

It may be said, however, that it is "mind that makes the man," and that, notwithstanding his external similarity to the brutes that perish, man is "only a little lower than the angels," and therefore to be placed in a totally different category from the rest of the animal kingdom. This objection Mr Darwin has examined in two chapters of the greatest interest, because he approaches the subject not with any a priori, foregone conclusion, but with the experience and knowledge of a profound naturalist soliciting merely to ascertain the truth. The popular rationales of man's distinction from other animals, any body art is expressed by the animation of reason and instinct. Although it is true that man has fewer instincts than the animals, the difference is one of degree, and not of kind. Many things are attributed to instinct which may be the result of experience. Monkeys avoid the poisonous fruits of the tropics, but our domestic animals often eat poisonous herbs till they learn from their pernicious effects to avoid them. On some farms there are peculiar poisonous herbs that are fatal to most cattle freshly introduced into the farm, so that the stock has to be kept up by breeding from those that have learned to avoid the dangerous herbs. Mr Darwin well observes: "It is a significant fact that the more the habits of a species is in any way associated with habits more he attributes to reason and the less to unlearned instinct." All the common emotions are shared by animals as well as men. A dog shows jealousy of his master's affection; it loves praise and even feels shame. It is stated that monkeys dislike being laughed at. Mr Darwin mentions a curious fact.

In the Zoological Gardens I saw a baboon who always got into a furious rage when his keeper took out a letter or book, and read it aloud to him; and his rage was so violent that, as I witnessed on one occasion, he threw his own leg till it fell off. That animals can reason, and can invent original devices, is evident to any one who has watched their habits. An example given by Mr Darwin illustrates this: Mr Colquhoun winged two wild ducks, which fell on the opposite side of the pond, his retriever tried to bring both over at once, but could not succeed; she then, though never before known to ruffle a feather, deliberately killed one, brought over the other, and returned for the dead bird. There are few things that could not give similar instances. In one case a bird was introduced into a pond, a little Scotch terrier was sent in by its master to get it out. The ball was rather large, and after many trials the dog failed to take the ball in its mouth, and was returning without it. On being sent back it deliberated, and then began to push the ball before it in the water, and thus took it to land. Such a stroke of invention differs in nothing but degree from the great feats of human ingenuity; and it is not saying too much that, if allowance be made
for the vast superiority of the mental power of man, there are not many human beings capable of distinguishing them- selves from the crowd. Various other criteria have been proposed to establish a line of demarcation, such as that man is the only progressive animal, or that he alone possesses language, or has self-consciousness, or a sense of beauty, or any religion; but those alleged peculiarities (except the last-mentioned) are on the same degree, in the lower animals, and the last, besides being dependent on other faculties, is not shared by all men. Mr. Darwin says:

We could never discover that the Fugians believed in what we should call a God, or that any religious rites; and Jeremy Bentin (a Fugian on board the Beagle) described the absolute pride, stoutly maintained that there was no devil in his land.

The distinction most relied on is conscience. Animals do not discriminate between right and wrong; they exhibit prejudice, and may be set on by fear, but they have no moral sense. To this extent, the idea that conscience is a unique faculty, the difficulty must, no doubt, be considerable of imagining by what steps it could have been developed. Rather it must have come all at once, uno loto, as a divine inspiration, and could not have been elaborated, studied, and refined, of itself, and incapable of being analysed or resolved into simpler components. At this point, therefore, a naturalist, who accepts the theory of evolution, is compelled to leave, for a time, the concrete, visible facts with which he is accustomed to deal, and follow the metaphysical moralist through all the mazes of ethical controversy. The reader, if he disbelieves in the existence of a supreme being, will find it difficult to feel a certain amount of sympathy with them, and to perform various services for them. This instinct is shared in by many animals, conspicuously by the monkeys, regarding whom many curious instances are produced. One example may be given from the birds.

And whereas comes the supremacy of conscience? Why should it set up a claim to dominion over all the other instinctive powers? The social instinct gives a sort of pleasure and the migratory instincts give a sort of pleasure, and when out of sight are out of mind. Thus any violence done to the social instinct continues to give pain long after the temptation has disappeared, and the momentary gratification is forgotten. Perhaps the most powerful instinct in a bird is the gregarious instinct. "When she arrives at the end of her long journey, and the migratory instinct ceases to act, what an agony of remorse each bird would feel, if, from being endowed with great mental activity, she could not prevent the image continually passing before her mind of her young ones perishing in the bleak north from cold and hunger. The whole chapter is of great interest; it traces the analogy between the social animals and man very closely and instructively.

The conclusion to which Mr Darwin comes is the same as that expressed by Professor Huxley, "that man in all parts of his organisation differs less from the higher animals than do the lower members of the same group." It is probable that man originated from an ancient member of the sub-group of anthropomorphous apes, the representatives of which now are the gorilla, chimpanzee, orang, and hylobates. Man may have diverged from the stock so far back as the Eocene period. He is related to the gorilla, not as a great-grand-nephew, or a great-grand-nephew, but perhaps a distant cousin. We shall not pursue the genealogy farther; but it may be observed that Mr Darwin speculates with his usual ingenuity and sagacity on the probable line of descent. It may, however, not be uninteresting to quote his "restoration" of our forefathers:

The early progenitors of man were doubt once covered with hair, both sexes having beards; their ears were pointed and capable of movement, and their bodies were provided with a tail having a sensory function, perhaps a sign of the reversion of on by many muscles which now only occasionally reappear, but are normally present in the Quadrupeds. The great artery and recedes into the body, there is but a short stretch of prehensile; and our progenitors, no doubt, were arboreal in their habits, frequenting some warm, forest-clad land. The males were provided with great canine teeth, which served them as formidable weapons.

Our notice of the second part of Mr Darwin's book, on "Sexual Selection," must be reserved for another article.

JAPANESE LITERATURE.

Tales of Old Japan.

By B. Mitford, Secretary to the British Legation in Japan. In Two Volumes. Macmillan.

The recent revolution in Japan has caused so many changes, both political and social, throughout the country, that a book which attempts to give us a sketch of old Japanese customs and institutions is very welcome. We cannot praise too highly Mr Mitford's pleasant volumes. He has here translated into ready English some of the most interesting national legends, histories, and specimens of literature, and has appended copious notes explanatory of the text, where necessary. The illustrations, which are genuine specimens of Japanese art, count for something besides their intrinsic value, done by a native artist, named Odaiko, and were cut in wood by a celebrated wood-engraver at Yedo; and it is a curious fact, illustrative of Japanese skill, that the lines are cut with the grain of the wood after the manner of Albert Dürer and the old German masters.

The first volume contains some legends of the Romans and knights-errant of old Japan, and a selection of fairy tales; while the second is devoted to certain ancient superstitions, Japanese preachers, and some of the native rites and ceremonies. The first two tales originally appeared in the Fortnightly Review, and two of the sermons and a portion of the "Cache and Whistle" were translated by Mr Creswell.

The old Japanese customs and institutions, which the travellers, Caron and Fischer, Kuniyuki and Thunberg, have described, have now almost entirely disappeared. The feudal system, with its oppression and tyranny, its cruelties and injustice, has faded away even before the eyes of those Europeans who of the greatest antiquity it was for them the last few years. The Mikado having shaken off his shok, and abandoned his seduction in the sacred capital at Kioto, has at length proved his title to the imperial dignity. His Maire du Palais, the Shigoon or Tycoon,—"Barbarian-repressing Commander-in-Chief,"—who so long seemed to be an independent power with his palace and court, has now been relegated to his proper position, while the Daisinos, or great feudal lords, have restored their fiefs into the hands of the Emperor, and have ceded to him their independent rights. A new Japan has sprung up, which is essentially different to that "Land of Sunrises" to which we were introduced only eleven years ago; and it is very important that we should endeavour, before it is too late, to secure some record of a curious civilisation which has passed away, and realise what manner of men were the lord and the retainer, the warrior and the priest, of ancient Japanese society.

It is a curious fact that in all the thickly-populated nations of the world, we find a certain amount of superstition. A bold Darwinian apostle might, indeed, see in the frequent murders and suicides of the Japanese a natural preventive check upon too rapid increase of bread-eaters. Mr Mitford, in the story of the "Forty-seven Bows," tells us how readily the old knights-errant of Japan engaged in some bloody enterprise, committed whole families with all their members, and were willing to sacrifice their lords, and then, to satisfy the requirements of Japanese justice, killed themselves by harakiri. The forty-seven knights, who lie buried amidst the groves of stately trees in the cemetery of Sengikaji, are famous in history, and are heroes of the drama. Fions hands still burn incense upon their graves, and every sixty years a commemorative festival is held for two months, to which the people flock from all parts of the country, to do honour to the memory of those brave men.