

THE ORIGIN OF MAN

The Descent of Man, and Selection in relation to Sex.
By Charles Darwin, M.A., F.R.S., &c. In two volumes.
Pp. 428, 474. (Murray, 1871.)

IF Mr. Darwin had closed his rich series of contributions to Science by the publication of the "Origin of Species," he would have made an epoch in Natural History like that which Newton made in philosophy, or Lavoisier in medicine. The theory identified with his name has stimulated philosophical and anatomical inquiries in every direction; it has been largely adopted and followed out by naturalists in this country and America, but most of all by the great work-station of modern science, whence a complete literature on "Darwinism" has sprung up, and their disciples have appeared who stand in the same relation to their master as Mowbray and the Aristotelians did to Aristotle. Like most great advances in knowledge, the theory of Evolution found everything ripe for it. This is shown by the well-known fact that Mr. Wallace arrived at the same conclusion as to the origin of species while working in the Eastern Archipelago, and exactly less so by the manner in which the theory has been worked out by men so distinguished as Mr. Herbert Spencer and Prof. Huxley. For it was known when the "Origin of Species" was published, that instead of bringing the more boldness hypothesis of a man of genius, of which the proofs were to be furnished and the fruits gathered in by his successors, it was really only a summary of opinions based upon the most extensive and long-continued researches. Its author did not simply open new problems for future travellers to explore, he had already surveyed it himself, and the present volume shows him still on the head of his business. They are written in a more popular style than those on "Animals and Plants under Domestication," as they deal with subjects of more general interest; but all the great qualities of industry and accuracy in research, of breadth in forming hypotheses, and of impartiality in judgment, are as apparent in this as in Mr. Darwin's previous works. To one who has in mind the two frequent terms of the controversy these works have excited, the rapid rhetoric and general presumption of those "who are not of his school — or any school," and the still more lamentable bad taste which marks the writings of Vogt and even occasionally of Huxley, it is very admirable to see the calmness and moderation (the which philosophical would be too low an epithet) with which the author handles his subject. If possible one can be reconciled, it will surely be by a look like this.

It consists of two parts. The first treats of the origin of man, his affinities to other animals, and the formation of the races (or sub-races) of the human family. Besides the obvious interest in all Mr. Darwin's studies of a discussion on the subject of their "proper knowledge," naturalists will find the directed application of the laws of natural selection to a single common and well-known species an excellent test of their work and illustration of their difficulties. It is in dealing with the latter, which are never enumerated or passed by, that the author introduces the subject of sexual selection. This is dealt with in the second part, which forms more than two-thirds of the work, and that not only as it affects man, but in its entire range. Reserving this division of the book for a

future article, we will endeavour here to give a summary of the course of argument in the earlier portion.

The author, justly assuming that the general principles of natural selection are admitted by all who have examined the evidence on the subject, with the exception of many of "the able and honored chiefs in natural science," proceeds at once to discuss the proofs of the origin of man considered apart from those affecting all animals in common. The first group of facts adduced to show his kinship with other forms of animal life, refers to the exact correspondence of his bodily parts with those of other mammals. To say that these structures are the same because they have the same use, is untrue, for many of them have no use in the sense of active function, and we constantly find the same structures in animals suited to different uses, and the same uses followed by different structures. To say that the bodies of man and animals are alike because they are formed on the same plan, or because they are the realisation of the same idea in the Creator, is no enough, for it beside the work; the natural selection implies how or by what steps these things have become so, not why and from what first cause. It was seen two men very much alike, who naturally suppose that they are brothers; if they are not, but so, they may be cousins; if only agreeing in general character, we recognise them as at least belonging to the same race or nation; and so, when the facts to be accounted for are once surveyed, nothing but prejudice or ignorance to knowledge on true relations, can explain why it was so long before naturalists admitted the hypothesis of community of origin between man and other animals. What is called the Darwinian theory amounts for the way in which diversities have arisen, and thus has converted an apparently obvious hypothesis into a well-grounded theory. But in expounding the likeness between man and animals, the author does not confine himself to anatomical structure, but shows how the same resemblance extends to the laws of disease, the distribution of parasites, and other internal peculiarities.

The next argument brought forward is the equally familiar one drawn from the likeness of the human embryo to that of other vertebrates. This follows an account of the rudimentary organs in man, which in all other species are justly held among the most important indications of affinity. One such rudiment is mentioned which, we believe, hitherto unrecorded. It is a slight projection of the rim of the bill of the beak, which would correspond when unfolded to the point of an eye-socket. (See illustration.) This occasional abnormality may, perhaps, have been recognised by former anatomists as the *Angulus Huxleyi* after its first observer.

In the second chapter Mr. Darwin shows that a consideration of the mental faculties of man, including the use of language, which has been held the greatest difficulty to admitting his kinship to other animals, may rather strengthen than weaken the arguments deduced from his bodily structure. Memory and reason, jealousy and friendship, and even the power of correct reasoning, and of communication by sounds, are shown to belong to many of the lower animals, while the faculty of selection and self-consciousness, and "the reasoning held in the confinement of an *Ornithogaster* Test," cannot be ascribed to the lowest tribes of the human family. At the same time

It is argued that the use of articulate language, the power of forming abstract ideas, and even the sense of right and wrong, may have been gradually acquired by steps which here and there it is not impossible to trace. The question of the origin of the moral sense leads to the proposition of the following theory. Some natural emotions are of great intensity but short endurance, and their force is not easily recalled by memory; when, though less powerful at certain times, exert a constant influence, in one which is only interrupted by being overpowered by a more by the former. Accordingly, during the greater part of life, and always when there is leisure for reflection, the gradation connected with the most violent passions, such as hunger, sexual desire, and revenge, appears small, whereas the social instincts of sympathy and the pleasures of benevolence meet their full growth. Hence we find social virtues, as courage, fidelity, obedience, among savages and even animals, long before the "self-regarding" virtues begin to appear. This theory is analogous to that by which Mr. Bain explains the higher character of the pleasures of sight compared with those of smell; they can be more easily recalled and corresponds to the distinction drawn by the same writer between the acute and the more "massive" and permanent pleasures.

In the fourth chapter Mr. Darwin discusses the manner in which man was developed. It is shown that the broad facts on which the theory of Natural Selection now apply



to him. He is prolific enough to share in the struggle for existence. In him, as in all organic forms, there is a constant tendency to growth, which being checked and modified by external influences, proceeds in the direction of least resistance, and so produces the variations which are often ascribed to an assumed inherent tendency. Among the various forms produced, those will survive which are best fitted for the surrounding conditions, and they will transmit their character to their descendants, still subject to the same liability to vary. Next the author argues that the natural endowments of man, including language, his social habits, his upright position, and perfect hands, are of great advantage to him in the struggle with other animals and with his fellows. It has always appeared that the difficult point in the development of man, both as a race and as an individual, is at the period when he was more distinguished from an anthropoid ape and less intelligent than the lowest savages; but Mr. Darwin thinks that the transition may have been more easily made in some large-brained island where there was abundance of forest and food. That man, more developed, can gradually descend, in obvious form, his present condition. The argument is known of civilized man being the descendant of savages, which have been so

admirably developed by Sir John Lubbock and Mr. Tylor, are of course brought forward in support of the author's view, and the important question is discussed how far we may hope for future improvement in the race by means of continued Natural Selection. Thus, while admitting that the process undergoes many checks and complications among human beings, the author does not dissent in the arguments urged by Mr. Wallace that it would come to operate as soon as the moral faculties came into play. One human peculiarity which is apparently inapplicable by Natural Selection, the inheritance of the body and presence of a head, is referred by Mr. Darwin to the operation of Sexual Selection. To this same agency is ascribed the origin of the so-called Races of Man, which is discussed with admirable clearness and impartiality in the last chapter, and this leads to the complete exposition of the theory of Sexual Selection which occupies the second part of this work, and must be considered in a future article.

It only remains here to add a word on the account of the intellect and physiology of man contained in the sixth chapter. A detailed description of the attempt to raise Darwin's whole theory into a sub-class, not only have some naturalists now resorted to a modified definition of the Primacy of Limbs, but Mr. Darwin shows reasons for relating to the great *Manus* even the rank of a family in this order, which Prof. Huxley admits, and regards it simply as an advanced member of the Cantharid division of the *Stenota*. This conclusion, which seems to us to be a just one, will only be distasteful to those who so little appreciate the true character of man as a spiritual being, that they could not self-complacently in the breast of a such class.

Mr. Darwin mentions Africa as the possible seat of the Cantharid progenitors of man, but shows the futility of speculation on this point, until we know more of the recent changes of the earth, the records of palæontology, and the laws affecting the capability of animal modification. He does not adhere to Prof. Huxley's hypothesis of a "Lemuria" in the Indian Ocean, but agrees with him in best tracing the pedigree of man to the *Prosimia*. These again were developed into "homo" standing very low in the *Insidiata* mammalian series; possibly, as Prof. Huxley suggests, most nearly allied to the existing *Arctopithecus*, and then, through the *Megapithecus* and *Megastomus* from the *Rapellian* stock, and thence through the *Diposid* and *Goniodon* from the *Stylops* of the western series, represented by the *Lanœtus* alone. We see Mr. Darwin stop here, but adds the weight of his judgment to the theory based on the observations of Kowalevsky and Sapper, which deduces the primate *Protopithecus* from a form resembling a *Triton* larva. Perhaps the most brilliant of the many new suggestions in these volumes is one thrown out incidentally in a note on p. 212, and based upon the supposed relation of man to the *Amphibia*. Beyond the regular world Mr. Darwin does not attempt to trace the genealogy of man. Considering how essential this extension of the theory of evolution is held by men so distinguished as Huxley, and how deeply the question

* In referring to these volumes, the modification of the lower mammalia which we find previous to the development of man is supposed to be the *Cantharid* class. Should persons be disposed to doubt this, the second volume of Mr. Wallace's work, will be found to be accompanied either entirely or in part by the same opinion. See pp. 141, 142, and 143.

of Abiogenesis has recently been discussed, the reticence shown in avoiding allusion to the subject is perhaps the most remarkable among the many remarkable characters of this great work.

P. H. PEE-SMITH

OUR BOOK SHELF

Elementary Natural Philosophy. Being a course of nine lectures by J. Clifton Ward, F.G.S., Associate of the School of Mines. (London: Trübner and Co.)

The attempt to crowd the Elements of Natural Philosophy into nine lectures cannot be otherwise than a failure. This is signally the case with the little book before us. We need hardly go further than the table of contents to justify the statement. A single lecture is devoted respectively to Magnetism, Voltaic Electricity, Light, and Heat; Pyrometria and Hydrostatics together occupy one lecture, whereas to Frictional Electricity and Sound are given two lectures apiece. Nor does the author confine himself to a simple summary of the leading facts in each of these subjects, he tries to rush over all the field occupied by larger text-books. Hence, important facts are often lightly passed over and comparatively trivial matters made unduly prominent. In Voltaic Electricity, for example, two pages are occupied with a description of the effects of electro-chemical decomposition, when seen on the screen by the aid of the solar microscope. We recognize here, and indeed on every page of the book, those lecture-experiments with which Dr. Tyndall has made the students of the School of Mines so familiar. Mr. Ward has not only drawn largely upon his notes of those lectures, but he imitates Dr. Tyndall's language and style.

Notwithstanding this, we are quite sure Mr. Ward has only himself to blame for the errors which even a cursory glance has revealed to us. On p. 83 we read "Magnetism may be produced by friction (of soft iron with lodestone or other magnet) by magnetic induction and electricity." Magnetism is not produced by friction of soft iron. On pp. 95 and 97 Mr. Ward has fallen into a vulgar and serious error in explaining the electric eel. Speaking of the so-called electric fish, here is what he says:—"If the interior of the Leyden jar be charged positively, negative electricity will be attracted to the head of the fish, from the somewhat blunt point of which it will stream and cause a movement from the knob; while the gliding off of the repelled positive from the finer pointed tail, will counterbalance this movement, and keep the body in equilibrium." The author also speaks of a lighted candle extinguished by the *draft of electricity* issuing from a point. This, of course, is grossly incorrect; it is the movement of contiguous air particles charged similarly by contact and then repelled, that extinguishes the candle, or supports the gold leaf fish.

Though there are some good points in this little book, we regret our inability to recommend it either to schools or students. We venture to think the author betrays his want of experience in teaching science by the over-crowding of his facts; the first lecture, for instance, is accompanied by thirty-three distinct experiments. Teaching—especially science teaching—requires "precept upon precept, line upon line, here a little and there a little" otherwise there is an almost certain danger of the learner obtaining little and superficial knowledge, the end of which is not sound instruction, but disinterest.

W. F. B.

Essays on Darwinism. By J. R. R. Stebbing. (Longmans and Co., 1871.)

MR. DARWIN, in his recent work, very truly observes that "false facts are highly injurious to the progress of science, for they often long endure; but that false theories are comparatively innocuous." Mr. Stebbing's work can then

do little harm, as it supplies us with whatever, whether true or false. That man who accuses Mr. Darwin, with reason, and who seems but little, if at all, the arguments and objections adduced. Some who are already convinced of wisdom will read with pleasure a well interesting essay in its nature; but, to confirm a disciple, they are singularly convert an opponent. Before Mr. Stebbing upon this subject we strongly recommend carefully Mr. Grew's "Examination of Philosophy."

Das Wesen und die Ziele der Chemischen oder Chemischen Wissenschaft. Abhandlungen von Dr. Rudolph Fittig, und Harde!, 1870. London: Williams.

So busy are the majority of our research, that it is seldom we are permitted to form an opinion on the object of the sciences, should occupy as a study. Dr. Fittig, of his appointment as Professor of Chemistry of Tübingen to deliver an address in which these points are discussed with ability. Starting with the assumption of man estimate the value of a science to satisfy want and contribute to that Fittig goes on to claim for chemistry view the first place among the sciences, "is there another science which of its results to man, almost from his birth, is so true a companion as chemistry needs to show that it is useful, not so what the ascribing constancy of it closing the laws of agriculture, and the reproduce means of nourishment. For that there is not an article of clothing, of which chemical knowledge has no and the same knowledge is necessary spread of disease may be prevented, it has taken hold. While these practical by the study of chemistry, Dr. Fittig is it is a total misunderstanding to say purpose is to discover brilliant colours.

Thus, without undervaluing the practical discovery of the various colours, first that the splendid results obtained by have had the same interest for the compounds been colourless and without as we are told, "The task of chemistry composition of bodies and all phenomena change of this composition is *not* to *conceive and cause of these phenomena of the natural laws which regulate the discovery of substances.*"

to multiply the number of substances of nature, not for the sake of possessing breathing the world, *but to discover its nature.* He is a true chemist who compounds without any definite aim; he has prepared a large number of the unknown and possibly very beautiful in his work has no direct value for science become valuable when employed by a scientific sense. . . . True science must never be given over to chance, it tentatively planned, begins with a theory what is to be attained, and finished by Dr. Fittig has done well to point out aim of the sciences of chemistry, and the estimation of its value, which would of nature of discovering bodies with some application. And even in this direct

years. Each course occupies from sixty to one hundred hours during a term of four months and a half. Some professors go with their students through a complete course of elementary instruction, while others leave this chiefly to privately-taught pupils, devoting the greater part of their time to inducing their pupils into the method of special research. The attendance of students at the lectures is required by the circumstance that the Natural Sciences form part of the objects in which medical men, chemists, and teachers, are examined before they are allowed to enter upon the exercise of their calling. We are informed that, at a University with nearly two students (young men) remaining generally eight years, some fifty would attend, within one term, the course of Natural Philosophy, or History, about descriptive parts of Zoology, or Comparative Anatomy; from fifteen to twenty that of Mineralogy, or Palæontology; and about thirty-five that of Geology. These were the numbers usually seen in the lecture-rooms, but these were, of course, other students who were presented from attendance by various causes. The majority of these students who are desirous of receiving a perfect scientific education, and have the means for it, take advantage of the great variety of collections and instruments by prosecuting their studies at two or more Universities, finishing them at those places which offer the largest collections, and, in natural commodities, the best instruction.

It may be mentioned here that the teaching of Science in German Universities is not entirely dependent on the public collections. Beside the staff of "ordinary" professors, there are younger men attached to the University, who have the right to teach, but can make only such use of the collections as the ordinary professor is disposed to grant. Most of them select, for their course of lectures, lectures in the teaching of which they can dispense with the collections of the Museum—as, physiology of plants, histology and microscopy, history of development, general biology. This instruction of "private doctors," as they are called, is valuable not only to the students, because of the body of instruction, inasmuch as it forms a preparatory school for men who intend to undertake the duties of an ordinary University teacher. The provision of an able and popular "private doctor" has also not rarely had the beneficial effect of exciting to fresh exertions the ordinary professor, who had gradually slipped into a course of stereotyped lectures. Nevertheless this instruction can be regarded only as supplementary to the system of scientific education which is principally carried out in connection with the Museum.

We are not aware that there has ever been any lack of men possessing an exact knowledge of some branch of Natural History with the aptitude for teaching it; nor have we ever heard of complaint that the duties of teaching seriously interfered with those of the curatorship; on the contrary, this seems to us one individual case here and has had only a beneficial effect. As teacher he knows best how to regulate the attention, and modify the arrangements of the collection, in so as to meet the requirements of, and to be in accordance with, his system of teaching; and as curator he takes care that those parts which are not in direct connection with the lectures are not neglected, so that valuable specimens are not want-

ing for temporary purposes in the lecture-rooms or student's laboratory. Work in the Museum is an necessary for the training of the students as students in the lecture-rooms; and it is the duty of the teacher to devise suitable objects of research for his pupils. Can it be said, not the management of the collection, how could he be certain that the materials required are present, or will be made available? Would it be possible for him to superintend the student's work in a place where he is not the master? Were these duties assigned to two individuals, they would soon clash, to the injury of the service expected from the Institution.

The existence of numerous large and well-equipped collections, their collection for educational purposes, and the derivation of adequate time to instruction, are among the principal causes which have rendered the system of scientific education successful throughout Germany. But we must not forget that this success is due to the Universities only, and is limited to the classes receiving a University education. In the schools of lower degree, Science (with the exception of Chemistry and natural philosophy) is only taught in the form of book-knowledge, in which the pupil takes but little interest, and therefore it has no great or lasting influence on the culture of his mind.

THE DESCENT OF MAN

The Descent of Man, and Selection in relation to Sex.
By Charles Darwin, M.A., F.R.S., &c. In two volumes.
Pp. 468, 471. (Murray, 1871.)

II.

THAT selection in relation to sex has been an important factor in the formation of the present breeds of animals was more than indicated in the "Origin of Species," and the theory has since been especially worked out by Professor Huxley. It includes two distinct hypotheses. One is that in common between males, the weaker would go to the wall, and thus either be killed outright, or at least debilitated more or less completely from transmitting their characters to another generation. This may be regarded as a particular case of Natural Selection, and may be compared with the theory of protection by mimicry, suggested by Mr. Bates, and carried out by him and by Mr. Wallace. But though it is the Rite of Love the battle is often to the strong, even more frequently it is to the beautiful. This introduces a new process, of which the effects are not easily so obvious as those of Natural Selection, either in its simplest form or in the more complicated cases of mimicry, and of sexual selection by battle. Many circumstances must combine in order that the most successful weapon shall have a larger and more vigorous progeny than the rest. In the first place, all hermaphrodite and all sessile animals may be excluded, and also those cases in which sexual differences depend on different habits of life. Mr. Darwin then shows that secondary sexual characters are commonly variable, and that males vary more than females from the standard of the species, a standard determined by the young by allied forms, and sometimes by the character of the male himself when his parental functions are only periodical, or when they have been artificially prevented. Moreover it is the males who take the active part in pairing, and who not only fight for the possession of their mates, but display their colours, their voices, or whatever

be their peculiar attractions, in order to gain the same end. This rule is confirmed by the exceptional case of the passerary and a few other species in which the hens court the male birds, fight together in rivalry, and accordingly assume the brighter colours and more attractive shape usually worn by the male. Not only the parental and incubating instincts, but the usual moral qualities of the two sexes are in these cases reversed: "the female being savage, quarrelsome, and noisy, the male gentle and good." But it is further necessary to show that the females exert a choice among the males, and that the latter are polygamous, or arrive earlier at the place of pairing, as is the case with some birds, or else exceed in numbers, at least when both sexes are mature. On this point a series of observations is recorded relating chiefly to man, to domesticated mammals, and to insects. The rule as to transmission of male characters to both sexes appears to be that when variations appear late in life they are usually developed in the same sex only of the next generation, although they are, of course, transmitted in a latent condition through both; while, on the other hand, the differences which appear before maturity in the



FIG. 2.—Clamshell Oyster. Upper figure, male; lower figure, female.

parent are equally developed in both sexes when transmitted to the offspring. The numerous apparent exceptions to these laws of inheritance and of sexual selection are accounted with wonderful fairness and facility in resources. I may particularly refer to the discussion of the ways in which the young and adults of both sexes differ among birds. The extreme intricacy of some of the questions considered is best shown by a postscript in which, with characteristic candour, the author corrects "a serious and unfortunate error" in the eighth chapter.

The remainder of the first and the greater portion of second volume are occupied by a survey of sexual variations throughout the animal kingdom. Passing rapidly over the other invertebrate classes, the author devotes two chapters to the secondary sexual characters of insects. The weapons, the ornaments, and the sounds peculiar to the males of this vast group of animals are briefly described, and the remarkable analogy between insects and birds which is seen in so many other particulars is traced here also. The brilliant colours of many caterpillars, which, of course, cannot be due to sexual selection, offer one of the many difficulties which are faced, and this

is explained by the aid of what the author terms Mr. Wallace's "innate genius for solving difficulties," as being due to natural selection. The bright colours warn the enemies of the caterpillars that they are unfit for food, and so benefit the latter, "on exactly the same principle that certain poisons are coloured by druggists for the good of man." Many cases are probably further complicated by mimicry, sassy caterpillars assuming the colours of distasteful ones so as to share in their immunity, in the same way that a druggist might label his bottles of verminous "poison," to keep them from the shop-boy.

In the frigid classes of the lower Vertebrata one would think that sexual selection would have little play; yet Mr. Darwin gives several instances among fishes, amphibians, and reptiles in which weapons or ornaments, peculiar to the males, appear to have been acquired by this means. (See Fig. 2.) But it is in the great class of birds that the most complete series of examples is found, and our advanced knowledge of the habits of this class renders it



FIG. 3.—Head of *Lemmings vole*. This figure Olin Peck thought is given to show the old arrangement and development of the hair on the head.

the best possible field for the exposition of the whole theory. Again and again our author furnishes the evidence adduced in the chapters on sexual selection among birds, when tracing its first obscure operation among lower classes, and falls back on the same stronghold when explaining its less obvious working in the mammalia.

Among birds the rivalry of beauty has led to far more striking results than has the rivalry of strength. Foremost of these is the power of song, which, in accordance with the law of the least waste, is usually confined to birds of inconspicuous colours, while the combination of the harsh note with the magnificent plumage of the peacock is a familiar converse example. The object of the adornment of birds is conclusively proved by its being, as a rule, confined to males, and often to them only during the breeding season, as well

as by the pains they take to exhibit their beauties to the eye. The difficulty is to show the precise way in which the results have been obtained by gradual selection. In two remarkable instances, the wings of the *Argus* pheasant and the tail of the porcupine, Mr. Darwin succeeds in tracing the gradations in the members of the same family by which these wonderful and otherwise unaccountable have been brought to their present perfection. The woodcock which illustrates these gradations are unfortunately too numerous to be reproduced here: they are admirably drawn, and convey the impression of the feathers to nearly as it is possible by the means employed. Indeed, we may here remark that throughout their volumes the original cuts, generally of details of structure, contain very liberally with the figures of species taken from Bechstein's "Thierleben," which are finely drawn and ill-regarded.

Natural selection has, of course, been repeatedly checked and modified by the never-failing influence of natural selection, sometimes, as in the case of the horns of stags, being only somewhat diverted, but often diversely opposed, as when it produces dispositionally conspicuous colours, and dangerously cumbersome ornaments. In the case of birds, Mr. Darwin holds that the usual tendency of sexual selection being to produce variation in males, its transmission to the birds has been checked by natural selection. Mr. Wallace, on the other hand, believes that both tendencies have generally operated together, in opposite directions, so as to make successive generations of males more and more conspicuous than the primitive type, and those of females less so. The fact that, as a rule, young birds resemble more in their plumage, is a strong argument for the former opinion, since most naturalists admit that early characters are the most transmissibly guided to natural selection, i.e., to true genetics. To explain the transmission in some cases of brilliant colours (acquired probably by sexual selection, and therefore properly a male character) to both sexes indiscriminately, Mr. Wallace has framed the ingenious hypothesis, that the females have been protected from the full influence of defensive selection, by their very general habit of building covered nests. One author looks at the facts in a reversed way, and supposes that in most cases these less birds, having inherited bright colours from the males, were led to the habit of building covered nests for the sake of protection.

Among mammals sexual selection has chiefly operated by increasing the size and strength of the males, and furnishing them "with weapons of offence;" but besides allusions to the cases of smell and hearing, this class offers not a few instances, especially among the *Canidæ*, of brilliant colouring being developed into secondary sexual characters. Here also we have the most striking instance of the production of defensive organs by the same process, as in the case of hoofs, the chequered spots of some of the *Canidæ*, and possibly the upper limbs of that ancient origin, the bat-horned. Lastly, it is in the class of mammals that we meet with cases of what may be called primary sexual ornaments, as in *Cervophylax* *gambosus*, which make our wonder, with a thankful wonder, why such apparently useless results are not

more common. We must, however, admit that such ornaments are not merely disgusting, but that of which we copy a figure more willingly, than the personal decorations of savages. Mr. Joshua Reynolds says that if a European in full dress and signal were to meet a Hind Indian in his wrapper, the one who showed surprise or a disposition to laugh would be the barbarian.* But who could stand this test when meeting *Cervophylax gambosus* or *Pithecia nana*?

We must admit, notwithstanding such anomalies, that, on the whole, birds and other animals exhibit the same laws and colours which we admire, and this, perhaps, may be admitted as an additional argument in favour of their kinship with us. Some of the ugliest creatures like the hippopotamus appear to have been quite un-influenced by sexual selection, while the magnificent plumes of pheasants and birds of paradise are undoubtedly due to its operation. That it has occasionally led to unpleasant results in birds and monkeys of aberrant taste, is no more strange than that all savages do not carve and colour as well as the New Zealanders, or that most Englishmen admire ugly building and vulgar pictures. The prevailing error of nature in beauty, and the prevailing taste of man is the family one. The means by which natural beauty has been obtained are various. Sexual selection is one, by which the headless, and therefore the most symmetrical forms survive the rest. Protective mimicry is another, by which birds have assumed the bright colours of a coral garden and imitated the delicate venation of leaves. Flowers again have in many cases obtained their gay forms and fantastic shapes from the advantage thus gained by fertilization by insects. The successive crops which have led to the general forms and brilliant tints of shells, to the intricate symmetry of an octopus, or a nautilus, have not yet entered even to imagination.

But that many of the most striking ornaments of the higher animals, and almost all those which are peculiar to man, have been developed by means of sexual selection, is a conclusion which can no longer be denied. There remain doubtless many exceptions to be accounted for, many modifying influences to be discovered; but the existence of a new principle has been established which has helped to guide the organic world to its present condition. Side by side with the struggle for existence has gone on a rivalry for reproduction, and the survival of the fittest has been tempered by the success of the most attractive.

F. H. FOX SMITH

PHYSIOLOGICAL TRANSPARENTNESS

Dr. Lohr von der Thierghebelange, von H. Helmholtz.
[Braunschweig: F. Vieweg, London: Williams and Norgate, 2nd edition, 1870.]

THIS work traces the connection between physical and physiological transparent, on the one hand, and the general principles and practice of music, on the other. Professor Helmholtz's qualifications for taking up this subject are unique. In such a branch of science involved in its inquiry he has a reputation at least equal to that of any specialist in that branch. In the combination of uncommonly original mathematical power and common sense

* The most striking illustration of such organs in both sexes may, perhaps, be explained by the well known fact of males in several birds

* This paper appeared in the *Revue Scientifique*, December 14, 1870.