(Liverport -) OST, FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 15, 1872.

The Expression of the Emetions in Men and Animals. By Charles Darwin, M.A., F.B.S., &c. London; Murray, 1872.

MR. DARWIN ON EXPRESSION .

Had this essay been published in German, we annot help thinking that it would have borne the the prost a theory of expression - for it is the most det of books. Ma Darwin is an ardent admirer Mr. Heibert Span ir, and together they are the est prophets of the doctrine of evolution; yet thing can be more di ferent than the tone of their mlings. Mr. Spene P, it has been said, lays down herule for the construction of a Kosmoa as confimily as he would give a recipe for making a rice milling. Nothing ever interrupts the confident weep of his generalisations, or betrays that he is morant of anything except that mysterious Unnowable with which he will permit no one to be etter acquainted than himself. But Mr. Darwin strays has the courage, and nowhere more conpleasaly than in this book, to say, "I do not Here, in tracing the genesis of subtle and amplicated muscular expression, he constantly confestes that he is standing in the presence of that which he is unable to explain. He has laid down hree principles, of which we shall speak presently, which seem to him to account for much, and in recess of time may prove to be the key to unlock forther mysteries; but he admits, over and over gain, that in his own hands at least they are far from all-powerful. Lafrankly tells his readers that this book is only a first approach to a difficult and omplex subject by the way of the evolution theorys collection of observations which may one day rove the basis of a more exact and exhaustive eory than he is now able to construct. It is the distary over again. Your sciolist is the man who squite sure that his new calculus will untie every toot, while the true philosopher modestly and hamtly bows his head before the infinite subtlety and multiformity of nature. Mr. Darwin's observations on this interesting sub-

rom the

nature

titude

jest go back to the year 1838; but it is only at a comparatively recent period that it occurred to him to complete and methodisc his researches. He has looked for information in many quarters; he has collect the expressions of infants, in whom simple emotions find natural and unrestrained vent, and of insue people, who likewise are under no artificial control in this respect. He has collected opinions in regard to photographs of faces, in which factitious expressions had been produced by galvanism. The works of great painters and soulptors he has found to be of little use, the strength of expression in their works being modified by a regard to principles of beauty. He has sent out a string of queries to friends in all parts of the world with a view of ascertaining how far expressions common to civilised Europeans are also to be traced in savage races. And behasalso paid minute attention "to the expression of the several passions in some of the commoner saimals. And this," he goes on to say, "I believe to be of paramount importance, not of course for deciding how far in man certain expressions are characteristic of certain states of mind, but as affording the safest basis for generalisation on the causes or origin of the various movements of expression. In observing animals we are not so likely to be biassed by our imaginations; and we may feel safe that their expressions are not conventional."

We have in these last words the point of connection between this book and Mr. Darwin's general researches. He not only believes that the for only by the theory of evolution, but that, to that theory, if indeed it needs any. In a passage which we have already extracted, he says, " All the authors who have written on expression, with the exception of Mr. Spencer-the great expounder of the principle of evolution-appear to have been firmly convinced that species, man of course incladed, came into existence in their present condition. Sir C. Bell, being thus convinced, maintains that many of our our facial muscles are 'purely instrumental in expression,' or are 'a special prevision' for this sole object. But the simple fact that the Anthropoid apes possess the same facial muscles at we do, renders it very improbable that these muscles in our case serve exclusively for expression, for no one, I presume, would be inclined to admit that monkeys have been endowed with special muscles solely for exhibiting their hideous grimaces. Distinct uses, independently of expression, can indeed be assigned with much probability for almost all the facisl muscles" (p. 16). We have not a word to say in this connection against man, the case for which is certainly strengthened book. But we confess that we hardly see the force

have quoted. He dislikes the teleological sound in Sir Charles Bell's statement, that the facial muscles in man were specially made for purposes of expression; and no doubt the great anatomist was wrong in saying that they were estely made for the "Versuch et le Expressionstheorie" — an that purpose, as it is always a mistake to suppose that we can exhaust the whole meaning of an organ or a natural contrivance. But how does Mr. Darwin mend the matter by saying that "distinct user, independently of expression, can indeed be assigned, with much probability, for almost all the facial muscles?" Is it not as teleological to say that the muscles of the mouth were meant to masticate food. as to express rage? May not each of these functions have a similar, though usegual, relation, to the proservation of the species? And has any evolution philosopher a right (unless indeed, which we believe to be the case, he cannot help it) to talk of ares at all, whether of the purely material or the more intellectual kind? As to whether the facial muscles of monkeys were partly intended to enable them to make hideous grimaces is a question, the settlement of which we must postpone till we can look at it with monkeys' eyes. What a philosopher calls hideous grimaces, a chimpanzee, if it could speak, might designate by another name. Nothing is more certain than that animals understand little more than the simplest elements of human expression, and that we are equally ignorant in regard to them.

It is impossible to give an analysis, within the limits to which we are confined, of a book which is made up of innumerable sente observations. applied with more or less confidence to explain the methods in which the more definite emotions find expression. But Mr. Darwin himself lays down three general principles, under one or other of which he strives to bring all his facts. These principles we proceed to state; adding to each explanatory instances of as characteristic a sort as we can find. So rich in interesting remark, in curious observation, in acute criticism, is Mr. Darwin's book, that our only difficulty will be that of choice,

"The first of there principles," says Mr. Darwin, in the summary with which he concludes his book, "is, that movements which are serviceable in gratifying some desire, or in relieving some sensation, if often repeated, become so habitual, that they are performed, whether or not of any service, whenever the same desire or sensation is felt, even in a very weak degree" (p. 348). For instance, people shut their eyes in describing a frightful sight, as though they actually saw it. "I have caught myself," says Mr. Darwin, "when thinking in the dark of a horrid spectacle, closing my eyes firmly." Again, "I noticed a young lady earnsetly trying to recollect a punter's name, and she first looked to one corner of the ceiling and then at the opposite corner, arching the one eyebrow on that side, although of course there was nothing to be seen there" (p. 33). "Persons cutting anything with a pair of scissors may be seen to move their jaws simultaneously with the blades of the scissors. Children learning to write often twist about their tongues, as their fagers move, in a ridiculous fashion" (p. 34). Many such actions, especially if they be of a serviceable kind, pass into the reflex stage, and, like coughing and sneezing, become independent of the will. They are thus peculiarly liable to survive, in the shape of actions or gestures, which have no further use than the expression of emotion. Take, for instance, the start, with which, originally, we quickly avoid a dauger, but which comes at last to signify no more than astonishment at some unexpected piece of news. So a dog, going to sleep on a carpet, turns round and facts of expression can be satisfactorily accounted round, because his wild parents once did so, when scooping out a place to live in, on soft sand, or among conversely, they may be fairly adduced as a buttress long grass. Horses nibble one another when they want the skin irritated, and therefore "when a horse is much tickled, as when curry-combed, his wish to bite something becomes so intolerably strong that he will clatter his teeth together, and though not vicious, bite his groom. At the same time, from habit, he closely depresses his ears, so as to protect them from being bitten, as if he were fighting another horse" (p. 45).

The "second principle is that of antithesis. The habit of voluntarily performing opposite movements under opposite impulses has become firmly established in us by the practice of our whole lives. Hence, if certain actions have been regularly performed, in accordance with our first principle, under a certain frame of mind, there will be a strong and involuntary tendency to the performance of directly opposite actions, whether or not these are of any use, under the excitement of an opposite frame of mind" (p. 348). Nothing, for instance, can be more opposed than a dog's attitude in approaching a hostile dog and that with which he greets the evolution theory as applied to the origin of his master: then, upright gait, head raised, tail erect and rigid, hair bristling, cars pricked by many of the fagts and arguments of this forward, eyes fixed; now, body crouching, or floxuous, tail lowered and wagging, hair of Mr. Darwin's argument in the passage which we smooth, cars depressed, lips hauging loosely. In

the same way, though the gestures of the cat are quite different from these of the dog, the same principle of antithesis may be observed in the contrast between its attitude when ferecious or when pleased. Mr. Darwin ascribes to the operation of this principle the gesture which we call "shrugging the shoulders." When a man does this, he at the same time turns his albows in and his hands out. displaying meanwhile the separated fingers. Other associated movements are the elevation of the eyebrows and the partial opening of the mouth. What does this express but deprecation, puzzlement (real or affected), a genuine or a feigned impotence? The man seems to say-! What do I know about it? How can I help it? What on earth do you ask me for?" And the ingenious explanation of this attitude which Mr. Darwin gives is, that it is the antithesis of the attitude proper to attack. The man cannot strike; he cannot ward off a blow; he is completely defenceless. Were he prepared to hold his own, his eves would be partly closed, his lips firmly set, his hands clenched, his arm in a posture of guard across his chest. The necessity of self-defence naturally suggests these gestures; the feeling or the affectation of defencelessness the exact opposite.

The "third principle is the direct action of the excited nervous system on the body, independently of the will, and independently, in large part, of habit. Experience shows that nerve force is generated and set free, whenever the cerebro-spinal system is expited. The direction which this nerve force follows is necessarily determined by the lines of connection between the nerve cells with each other and with various parts of the body. But the direction is likewise much influenced by habit, inasmuch as nerve force passes readily along accustomed channels," (p. 349), Both fear and joy produce trembling. The secretions are affected by strong emotions. No fact is more familiar than the way in which the beating of the heart, which is beyond the control of the will, answers to the stimulus of passion. When a man is in great agony perspiration streams down his cheeks. Ruge reddens the face, quickens the circulation, makes the chest heave and the nostrils quiver, gnashes the teeth, affects the voice. These manifestations may be repressed by strong determination, but in different proportions, as the muscles which produce them are more or less subject to voluntary control. A man in a passion, possibly, does not roll his eyes, or clench his teeth, but he cannot prevent his heart from beating more quickly, or a brief spasm from passing across his face. Tears will come into the eves when the facial muscles are impassive-for the lachrymal glands are independent of the will. "A hungry man, if tempting feed is placed before him, may not show his hunger by any outward gesture, but he caunot check the secretion of saliva."

Whoever is accustomed to reflect on the complexity of all human actions, especially when the new doctrine of hereditary habit is taken into account, will be prepared to hear that very few of the peculiarities of human expression can at all be accounted for without the conjoint help of allithere principles. Let us take the case of anxiety, grief, dejection. "The circulation," says Mr. Darwin, " becomes languid, the face pale, the muscles flaceid : the evelids droop, the head hangs on the contracted chest : the lips. cheeks, and lower jaw all sink downwards from their own weight. Hence all the features are lengthesed, and the face of a person who hears bad news is said to fall. . . . After prolonged suffering, the eyes become dull and lack expression, d are often slightly suffused with tears. The eye. brows not rarely are rendered oblique, which is due to the inner ends being raised. This produces peculiarly formed wrinkles on the forehead, which are very different from those of a simple frown, though in some cases a frown alone may be present. The corners of the mouth are drawn downwards, which is so universally recognised as a sign of being out of spirits, that it is almost proverbial." (op. 178-9). Now, the two points of posuliarity here are certain pyramidal wrinkles in the forshead, caused by the elevation of the inner ends of the eyebrows, and the drawing downwards of the corners of the mouth. These wrinkles are caused by the accousite action of certain muscles -that of the "orbiculars, corrugators, and pyramidals of the ness, which together tend to lower and contract the eyebrows, being partially checked by the more powerful action of the central fascise of the frontal muscle." "These muscles when thus in conjoint yet opposed action, may be called, for the sake of brevity, the 'grief muscles.' Now why should this motion, together with the depression of the corners of the mouth express grief and dejection?" It is general; in some families it is hereditary in a very marked form; it is common to many races of mankind; it is universally understood. We must refer our readers to Mr. Darwin's own pages for an account of the process of observation and reflection which put him on the track of the explanation which we are about to give. We can deal here only with the

result :- " When children scream or cry out they contract, as we know, the orbicular, corongator, and pyramidal muscles, principally for the sake of compressing the eyes, and thus protecting them from being gorged with blood, and secondarily from habit." We have all done this, and a long line of progenitors before us, and we still involuntarily, under the influence of distress, make slight contractions of the muscles in question. But the pyramidal muscles are least under the control of the will : they are checked, if at all, by the fascise of the frontal muscle, and the result is the peculiar puckering up of the forehead, for the reason of which we are in search. "In all cases of distress, whether great er small, our brains tend, through long kabit, to send an order to certain muscles to contract, as if we were still infants, on the point of screaming out. But this order we, by the wondrous power of will, and through habit, are able partially to counteract, although this is effected unconsciously, as far as the means of counteraction are concerned." Then, as to the depression of the corners of the mouth. Infants, when they scream, firmly contract the muscles round the eyes; the upper lip is drawn up; and, as the necessities of the case make them keep their mouths open, the depressors of the lower lip are also called into strong action. Now, Dr. Dachenne is quite sure, from prolonged observation, that these depressers are among the muscles of the face least dependent on the will. "As the depressors have been repeatedly brought into strong action during infancy in many generations, nerve force will tend to flow, on the principle of long associated habit, to these muscles, as well as to various other facial muscles, whenever in after life even a slight feeling of distres is experienced. But as the depressors are somewhat less under the control of the will than most of the other muscles, we might expect that they would often slightly contract, whilst the others remained passive. It is remarkable how such a depression of the corners of the mouth gives the countenance an expression of low spirits or dejection, so that an extremely slight contraction of these muscles would be sufficient to betray this state of mind" (p. 195).

We will take as another instance of the way in which Mr. Darwin manipulates his facts and principles the case of blushing, which he pronounces to be the most distinctively human of all methods of expressing feeling. "The reddening of the face from a blush is due to the relaxation of the muscular coats of the small arteries by which the capillaries become filled with blood" (p. 319). And the great peculiarity of this is "that we cannot cause a blash . by any physical means-that is by any action of the body. It is the mind which must be affected." Infants do not blush; but the faculty is seen acquired. Idiots rarely blush; women blush more than men, the young than the old. Laura Bridgeman, born both deaf and blind, blushes. The tendency is hereditary, and even peculiarities in the manner of blushing are transmitted. Generally, blushing is confined to the face, ears, and neck ; but, in some cases, other parts of the body are similarly affected, while in others there is a feeling as if the trunk and limbs grew hot and tingled. The fact that blushing is thus local, seems to depend "on the face and adjoining parts of the skin having been habitually exposed to the air, light, and alternations of temperature, by which the small arteries not only have acquired the habit of readily dilating and contracting, but appear to have become unusually developed in comparison with other parts he surface" (p. 315). Blushing is evident in all the Aryan nations of Europe, and to a certain extent with those of India." The Semitic races blush freely; the Chinese rarely. The habit is strong with the Polynesians, and not unknown among the North American Indians. The Indians in the hot and damp regions of South America do not seem to blash, as Humboldt quotes, without protest, the Spanish saying—"How can those be trusted, who know not how to blush?" Negroes blush black, as might be expected from the fact that the cause of blushing is an increased supply of blood to the skin. The Kaffirs and the Australians are said never to blush; but the evidence is not quite unanimous or

Blushing is naturally accompanied by gestures which imply the wish for concealment, arising out of the emotion of shame. We turn our eyes downward or away; we do not wish to meet the gaze of the persons with whom we are speaking. If we desire to hide and counteract this tendency, and force ourselves to meet another's gaze, a blinking motion of the eyes is the result. With excessive blushing also goes some degree of mental confusion: a fact, the explanation of which probably "lies in the intricate sympathy which exists between the capillary circulation of the surface of the head and

face, and that of the brain."

Now, in the states of mind which induce blushing -shyness, shame, modesty-there is one common

element, self-attention. "Many reasons can be assigned for believing that originally self-attention directed to personal appearance, in relation to the opinion of others, was the exciting causa; the same effect being subsequently produced through the force of association, by self-attention in relation to moral conduct. It is not the simple act of reflecting on conduct. It is not the simple act of reflecting on our own appearance, but the thinking what others think of us, which excites a blush. In absolute solitude the most sensitive person would be quite indifferent about his appearance " (p. 326-7). The indifferent about his appearance" (p. 325.7). The absence of self-consciousness in very young children is the reason why they do not blush. Women are more sensitive as to personal appearance than men, and therefore blush more. The face is the seat of beauty, the field of expression, the source of the voice, which is a reason why blushing should be chiefly confined to it. Shyaess, which expresses itself by blushing, is a kind of self-attention, and is not exhibited towards those of whose sympathy and good opinion we are assured. So again, we blush not from gailt but from shame: "Not the sense of guilt, but the thought that others think or know us guilt, but the thought that others think or know us to be guilty crimsons the face." Nobedy blushes in confessing his sins to God, or from a conviction that they are open to the All-seeing Eye: the associations are different, and there can be no connection between the thought of God and that of our personal appearance. Why, then, should the idea that others are thinking of us affect our capillary circulation? Mr. Darwin supposes such attention closely directed to any part of the body "tends to interfere with the ordinary and tonio "tends to interfere with the ordinary and contraction of the small arteries of that These vessels, in consequence, become at such time instantly filled with more or less relaxed, and are more or less relaxed, and are instancy arterial blood." In support of this hypothesis—for he admits that it is no more—he brings forward certain analogous facts in relation to other organs and functions. The involuntary movements of the heart are affected, if close attention be paid to them. So also with the peristaltic movement of the intes-tines, as well as with the action of the lachrymal and So also with the peristaltic movement salivatory glands; while in the case of women very curious stories are told of the effect of mental effort both on the mammary glands and on the functions of the uterus. We can throw increased mental energy. into the perceptions of any sense, and it is well known that pain is made more intense by attenling In accordance, then, with this principle, the llary vessels of the skin supply of blood to the capillary vessels of the on the face and neck has been augmented when attention was powerfully called to that part of the person, and this by relteration, by the formation of a habit, by the establishment of reflex action, and, last of all, by hereditary transmission through endless generations, has produced the habit of blushing, of expression enumerated which, of all the modes by Mr. Darwin, alone distinguishes man from the lower animals.

While we cordially welcome Mr. Darwin's book as a most valuable contribution to the elucidation of a very difficult subject—no less than a treasure of curious and interesting observations -- we cannot of curious and interesting observations—we cannot help noticing that he is quite silent as to many of the refinements of facial expression. In fact, he hints at the cause of this, when in his final summary he says—"It has often struck me as a curious fact that so many shades of expression are instantly recognised without any conscious process of analysis on our part. No one, I believe, can clearly define a sullen or sly expression; yet many observers are unanimous that these expressions can be recognised in the various races of men" (p. 360). But is it not true that he has somewhat limited his subject it not true that he has somewhat limited his subject by confining it to muscular expression? Is it a mere figure of speech—a poetical self-deception—when we say that we read the feelings of a friend in his eyes? The only change in the appearance of the eye, which Mr. Darwin mentions, apart from its contraction or dilatation, is the brightness given by accelerated, the dulaess caused by retarded, circulation. But is there not more than one kind of eye brightness—the lustre of wit, the lambens light of sympathy, the flame of a generous indignation, the glare of rage, the larid glow of lust? How strange is power which a pure-minded woman bas of at How strange is the detecting, through all careful disguises, the foulness of a man's desire and life! Ask her what it is that puts her on her guard, and she cannot tell you-that there is something in the mau's eye that her distrust him. So again with truthfulness. is it that there are some eyes which look straight at you, and permit you to look straight at them, and have depths which they are neither unwilling nor ashamed to reveal, and which therefore, in their very limpidity, convey an impression of trustworthiness? The old notion that a man's soul looked out at these windows may not be consistent with a philosophy which does not quite know what a soul is, and whether man has got one; but it is a rude explanation of certain facts, which Mr. Darwin's theory, as developed in these pages, hardly touches. We cannot altogether resist the feeling that he has been hovering round the outskirts of his subject with observing eye and reflective mind, yet has not pierced the inner mystery. We are more than ever convinced that the relation between mind and face, heart and eye, is too complex and close to be explained only upon muscular principles.

It only remains to add that this very valuable book is illustrated not only by wood engravings, but by a series of well-executed heliotypes. Many persons, who know very little of the evolution theory, which it is in part designed to prop up, will read it with the greatest pleasure, as a very curious study of human physiognomy, in which the course of an always acute argument is relieved by many most

interesting and suggestive anecdotes,

