"WHICH STRAIN AN A GRAP AND SWALLOW & CAMPL.

AT

## THE ARTICLES

THE DARWIN FAITH.

THE REV. F. O. MORRIS, B.A.

Resire of Nucleochelms, Yorkshire,

Anthon of "A Hispoor of British Birds," defineded by permission
to bee most gracious Majorty the Queen, who, etc.

Bidicalum acri fritine co moltes plerunque eccet ren"—Honacu

MOFFATT, PAIGE, AND CO 4, Palernoster Duzdings, R.O. DEDICATED

---

TO THE RIGHT HONOURABLE

HE COMMON SENSE

PROPLE OF ENGLAND

"O ye fools, when will ye understood? ... He that made the una; shall He not see?" ... Pealm univ. 8, 9.

## ALL THE ARTICLES

## THE DARWIN FAITI

BELIEVE that we are the people, and that wisdom shall die with us.

I believe that my theory of natural assection is right, and that every one who does not hold it is in the wrong, although the difficulties "ere so graves, that to this day I can never reflect on them without being staggered." (Darwis).

I believe that man, and all the animals, briefs, falses, reptiles, and insects in the world have descended from one single original, and not any of these from successors of their own kinds; that the great such the slephant, the gas and the mosse, the bat and the botterly, the whale such ant, the total and the availese, the hare and the torticies, the crocedile and the lamb, the humming-bird and the make, the mole and the monkey, and then the man, are all one species—and only one.

I believe this, dithough I now that whilst animake of the second probin described by nateralize's level askip's agestion, and that their diprier are profits (in like manner, generation offer generation, any others which may exceptionally breed together here no perspery, seeps in very rare cases, and that any they may have lares no descendants, except still more rarely fee pushage one farther generation or on.

. I believe that all the various creatures on the earth have spring from a single parent, although I hold that each now species has "supplanted and enterminated its original parent and all the transitional varieties between its past and present status" (Darwin).

I believe that the decoping of the cars in domestic animals is due to the diseas of the meetles of the sar, from the animals not being much sharmed by danger, although I see the horse with erect cars, not the hars and the rabbit with strikingly decoping cars.

I believe that the temporary variation of several ruces of

any one species of plant is a proof that permanent so-called species are thus produced, although I see that the varieties if left for many generations in a poor soil would to a large extent by degrees, and in the earl wholly, recent to the force of the silk obsciried should.

I believe that I am using an able and sensitio argument in againg that the miditors may metaphorically be said to strugglo with other fruit-bearing plants in order to tempt briefs to devour and thus disseminate its seeds rather than those of other plants.

I believe that the eye of every living creature was preduced by natural selection, although in some species is consists of 4,000 lenses, in others 12,000, 17,000, or 25,000, and in others of various other vast numbers.

A course team my theory is right, actioning a size that according to it "all nature" cought to be "in confusions" instead of the species being, as we see them, "well defined." (Derwin).

I believe—"there seems to me no great difficulty in believing "—(Chrwin)—that the avim-bladder in fabou "through originally constructed for one purpose," has been "actually converted " "into a lang or organ used exclusively for respiration."

I believe that the "clectric organs of fishes" have been produced by "natural selection," although it is " impossible to conceive by what steps those wondrons organs have been preduced." I believe this although these grouns only occur in species "widely remote in their affinities," while we "might have expected " (on Darwinian grounds), that they would all " have been specifically related to each other. I believe the same in the like case of laminous insects.

I believe that the most simple parts of species are due to natural selection, although I see that their "importance does not seem sufficient to cause the preservation of

I believe that the tail of the girafic has grown by decrees into a "fly-flapper" (1), although I cannot explain how the species did without it in previous countless ages before

I believe that every "well-developed tail" in a water animal has been worked in as a "fly-flapper" for land azimals, or as a "prehensile instrument," or to "helm them in turning; " although I see that in the dog it is of next to no such use at all, and that the hare "can double quickly enough, though with hardly any tail."

I believe that long tails are necessary to animals in het countries to give them the "power of resisting the attacks of insects," although I see that sheep have heavy talks which they cannot and do not make use of for any such purpose, and are especially attacked by flies on their heads, which, if their tails were ever so light, they could not possibly reach

I believe that—as I hold that the swim-bladder in creatures of the sea is modified into lange in their descendants changed into land animals—the tail, having been so useful to the former as a means of locometion, still proves its origin in the latter, though of so little use to them.

I believe that the green colour of the green woodpecker is due to selection by the male or female bird, because I see that there are black and pied weedpeckers also.

I believe that it is not the case that many structures have been created for beauty in the eyes of man, or for mere variety, although I "fully admit that many structures are of no direct use to their possessors."

I believe that there is "no logical impossibility in the acquirement of any conceivable degree of perfection through natural selection," although I must admit that the case of the eye is "more than enough to stagger any

I believe that the American ostrich "is not yet perfect." although I can give no proof whatever that it is in she process of becoming so. I believe that the "most wonderful of all known instincts," that of the hive bee, can be explained by me,

as a Darwinite, and the illegical way in which I have accounted for it in my work on "The Origin of Spacies" I believe to be a master-piece of reasoning, though nine out of ten of its facts prove nothing whatever by way of conclusion for it. I believe the same in the case of the working of sterile

auts, though "how the workers have been made sterile in a difficulty;" that is to say, in itself, but none whatever to my more "fertile" beain, which thinks acthing of any difficulty that stands in its way.

I believe that the difficulty, though "opposing inexperable," "disappears" by my imagicies that selection may be exercised by a whole "ferrily" as well as by an "Sadividual." I choose to forget that femilies are made up of individuals.

I believe that on my theory no account need he taken of the "predigious amount of difference between the feetile and sterile individuals of many insecta."

I believe that my theory is perfectly correct, although there is a 'climan of the difficulty' beyond all these that have yet stated, soone of the nearies differing even frees such other to an "almost incredible dagres," some "with jows and institute extracellizarily different," othere with forms "the use of "which is quite outposen."

I believe that I am right, and all the world class wrong, although it will be thought that I have an "overwooning confidence in my own winders," which mokes noe "not adult that such wonderful and well-established facts at once annihilate my theory."

I believe that I must be right, although I can't "pretend that the facts given in this chapter (VII.) strengthen in any degree my theory," and all that I can say is that they

do not "annihilate it;" ergo I must be right.

I believe that the sterility of hybrids is no disproof of
my theory of natural adection, which is that it sets fee tha
good of the creatures which corrects it, although is could
not possibly by of any subvantage to the neuronal animals.

and although I think that the importance of the fact has been "much underrated by some writers."

I believe that my theory of hybridism is right, although it does not "go to the root of the matter" and "no explanation is offered" of the main fact. "Brids quo pacts sif" is quite beyond me.

I believe that "no part of the structure of any case species has been fermal for the centainty good of another species," though, "authoral selection" can and done often preduce structures for the direct injury of other species; and though I see that the spike voluntarity uses for structures for the sale good of the ania, and I can only "probably" inspine that it is of any convenience to the spiketo themselves.

I believe that tumble-spiceous have been produced by the long costinues electrics of such in many generations, though I can't at all tell here they first came to have the habit, or why it should have been fortenately noticed by some funcior, or how be some to think that it might be propagated and preserved, and sneeceded in deing so.

I believe that I strengthen my argument by saying that dogs only rarely require, when young, to be taught

not to attack sheep, etc., though I see that is in the eccamonest thing possible that some dogs can never be broken of the habit, and that there is not a dog in existence but might be encouraged to it.

I believe generally that "natural instincts see lost under demonstration," although I have the preceding fact and numberless others stering me in the face to show me can exact coolway, and that they are at the most but dominant, and ready to be restored to their former falsess.

I believe that young oblikens have lost by babit the fear of degs and cote, although I have so possible proof whatever, and cannot possibly have any proof, that they ever had such.

I believe that the earlow "soon spen a time" dil not lay her eggs in other belief confe, that hos expired the hair by degrees, soon "old bind" or other prefixes (by the minists), or the young being made stronger by it, visa, by being tended by a factor present intensal of by their cantend cost, and no becoming "ogt to follow" than standard pressive, and this thinge! I need that various bliefs "secasionally" by their eggs in other briefs needs; which we consider that the control of the control of the present of the control of Although in spite of an "enormous accumulation of probabilities, we yet stand without the direct production of a new species from one common stock," nevertheless, against the evidence of my seems, I believe that such has been the case with all the so-called species in the world.

Although the remains of the herse existed in prological strata of "encourage antiquity" long before any indications of the existence of man have yet been found, - and although those remains show that the horse and the asset that remote period exactly resembled in nearly every respect the horse and the ass which now run wild in many parts of Asia and Africa,-and although, "going still further back to the Upper Miscene period, the horse is still found with its present peculiarities, and the two differ from each other only in minute details,"-yet as the remains of the hipparion or "little horse," are found in the same deposit as the horse, namely, the Upper Miccens, so that it could not have been its ancestor, though like it in several respects. and as the remains of the anchetherium are only found in the Lower Miocene, so that there is a wider gap between it and the hipparion than between the latter and the horse, still, for all that, inasmoch as in the archetherium the leebonce are still more separated, as it has three bones on the

Homes have sometimes been born with extentoes, eye I believe that "the borse must at one time have had the legand foot borse occapion, shinough they were highest cost before the borne was turned into a perfect running machine"! (Huzley).

The Durwin Dectrine therefore being rurs () "made out in this one case of the horse," I believe that it is strong evidence that "similar modifications have taken place in all once." (Healey.)

I believe that the common saying that cos "cannel-draw bleed eat of a steen" is the reverse of the truth, and that not cost process, drawn, and file on the perdeced from them, but also, mind, reason, and the voice of conneisnes,—which though would-be philosophers and athletts beave out in dayinght, they are so "herething which" of its to that offer the process of the philosophers are and athletts beave out in dayinght, they are so "herething which" of its to the arts.

I believe that I alone are right, although I see that though slight crosses benefit the offspring, greater crosses,

i.e., those of widely separated species, produce sterile hybrids, and I "cannot persuade myself that this parallelism (11) is an accident or an illation."

I believe I am right, although I see that the widely different forms of the pigeon among blink, and the onbloge and other varieties among plants, are producites equally, while other species "though resembling each other most closely are utterly storic when cressed," and I admit that the former is "kinosi invarieble the case."

I believe that the "inperfection of the geological reord," showing no regular chain of species, and so giving no proof of ny theory, and therefore the most obvious and grews objection which can be urged signisation, in, may nevertheless be assumed by me as conclusively proving it.

I believe that it is a sufficient current to the question what has become of the incurrentile forms which must have existed before the Silintan deposit, that "long before thats" the world "may have," then, "presented a totally different aspect," that the older continuents, "may" mor "all be in a notanosophosed condition," or "may" "lies, haried under the coon; "that the older cohe has "probably," lies.

more extinction of species during the periods of subsidence, and that the duration of each formation was "perhaps" short compared with the average duration of species forms.

In fine, I believe that although the Mosale account of the Creation is berne out by the "Testimony of the Rocks" in a most wooderful manner, yet as it does not said the thoory I have takes into my head, it cannot possibly be true, and I do not believe a word of it.

I believe that if ever there was each a person as Moses, the five books called the five books of Moses were none of his at all, but a mere compilation of some imposter or victim of delusion.

I believe that no one who believes in the Bible has any sense or wisdom compared with me.

I believe that such persons in former times as Sir Isano Newton, Herschell, Leed Baorn, Dr. Johnson, Milkon, Leeke, Sir Matthew Hala, etc., etc., etc., who were Believers in the Bible, were far behind no in intellect and knowledge.

I believe, in like manner, that others in the present time who are Believers also, as they were, such as Sir Roundell Palmer (Lord Selborne), Lord Hatherley, Lord Shistlesbury, Faraday, Sir David Brewster, etc., etc., etc., and others who like them have taken the highest borours in the Universition, and distinguished thomselves in the highest departments of set, science, and politics, are quite beamant me in mind and statisticscute, for if I am right, so I must be, and therefore an, they of course numb to wrone.

I believe that mine is a much more valuable opinion, and much more to be received than that of Humbeldi, who said of Streams "what displaness no in him is the notestide brinty which causes him to one oddiestly in the origanic springing from the inorpasio, may, man himself, from the Calalisea most."

I believe that the following supposititious guesses are "worthy of all men to be received," and should be accepted by all the world as scientific facts and truths, inamusch as "I have spokes; "namely,—

I believe, "By considering the embryological structure of nan-the heotologies which he presents with the lower animals—the reliabousts which he retains—and the revocations to which he is inhich,—we can parily recall, in insightation, the framer condition of our only propositors, and can appreciately place them in take proper positions, and can appreciately place them in take proper positions.

in the anchorical series. We thus (1) learn that man is descended from a heavy quadruped, furnished with a tail and nainted cars, probably arboreal in its babits, and as inhabitant of the Old World This creature if its whole structure had been examined by a naturalist, would have been classed amongst the quadrumans, as surely as would the common and still more ancient progenitor of the OM higher mammals are probably derived from an angient warranial animal; and this, through a long line of diversified forms either from some ventile-like er some amphibian-like creature, and this again from fah-like animal In the dies observes of the west we can see (?) that the early progresitor of all the vertebrata must kees been? an acceptic animal, provided with branchise, with the two sexus united in the same individual, and with the most important orwans of the body (such as the brain and heart) immerfectly developed. This animal scens to hove been more like the larva of our existing marine Ascidians that any

I believe that an argument based on that which some, is quite as valuable as one based on that which is; a chain with gaps in the links, is quite as firmly held together as one without; and to lack no evidence but that of facts is amply sufficient for me, of which the following will serve for examples.

I believe-"I causet doubt, that the theory of descent with medification embraces all the members of the same class." "I can indeed hardly doubt that all vertebrate animals having true lungs are descended by ordinary generation from an ancient prototype, of which we know nothing, furnished with a floating apparatus or swim-Madder."

I believe-" It is conceivable that the now atterly lost branchise might have been gradually worked in by natural selection for some quite distinct purpose, in the same manner as . . . it is probable that corpans which at a very anxient period served for respiration, have been actually converted into cerans of flight."

I believe that the opinion of Dr. Carpenter on the nonprogressive character of the Forcesinjfers is as valuable as that of "any other man in England" (except myself); and therefore whereas Dr. Carpenter distinctly asserts there has been "no advance in the Feraminiferous type

from the Palmosoic period to the present time," and states his conviction that " the version state of ariestide aridones. instead of sanctioning the idea that the descendants of the primitive type or types of Foraminifers, can ever visa to any higher grade, justifies the anti-Danalaire inference. their originals they still remain Forminifere " I believe and I must be right, o. z. p.

I believe that an assertion "not proven" is as good as or better than one that is proved. I believe that I must admit Dr. Carpenter's assertion as

an "absolute matter of fact:" but for all that as it does not suit my theory, I must hold that "as we do not know

I believe, therefore, that we can thus "cortle receil" the former condition of our early progenitors; though even that "portly" is "in imprinction!" Thus too, we can " approximately place them in their proper position " (" is

imogination"). "We thus learn," also "in imogination," about the "tail and pointed ears," "probably derived" from something-or from something else (" some reptile-like. or some amphibian-like creature") or "this again from some fish-like azimal." Thus "in the dim obscurity of the past, we can see" (?) what this animal "sout have been :" or rather what I must after all own it to have been

I believe this is a highly satisfactory and conclusive result of the "eleven years," libour I have expended on my last reblication.

I believe that all the wisset men of the world for the six thousand years since it is commonly supposed to have been created, or six hundred thousand million years, or any number more, as I believe, have been altogether wrong, and that it has been reserved for me in this se-called nineteenth century to set them all right and by down the law

I believe that it may help my argument, if I can find people simple enough to believe that humble bees are common in gardens, and scarce elsewhere in comparison, in consequence of being proyed on by field-mice which are kept down by outs about houses; the fact being, as any national-school boy can see, that those bees abound near woods, or in any other wild places where thinks and other will flowers which been are found of are found, a hundredfold more than they do in gueleou, but that if they are found more or less transceardy in gueleou, it is only because of theme being more flowers three, for which they will fly for miles, there being no more notes these than anywhere sks, and in minety-nine cases out of one hundred nothing like se many.

I believe that all creation is derived frem some one form, a more mound, although I ofmit that "no one can at present say by what line of descent the three higher and related classes, namely, mammals, hirds, reptiles, were derived from other of the two lower vertebrate classes.

I believe that it requires several generations of cellibritist haint to make the mind optal to high follotional astialments, but I find it ourvesion to frepet that it is just as easy a supposition that the debasement of the intellect at any given time, even assuming it as that to be slowly recovered from, may have been acquired gradually by angient from a previous height equal to that to be finally attained to.

I believe that there is no such art as logic, at least, if

there is, it is quite beneath me to be guided by it, and that one premise, or at all events a number of single premisess strong together so as to give a great appearance of argument to those who know no botter, is amply sufficient to prove any conclusion.

I believe that the Christian is the happiest of man, because he evidently has a hope for another world in addition to the peaceful happiness be enjoys here.

I believe that an Infidel or Unbeliever is "of all men most miserable"; nevertheless I have done all I could to make others as wretched as I am myself, and have given and can give them, nothing in return but a dreamy blank. If you sak me about the future, there, I confess, I am in the dark; all I can say is that I believe that you and I will "melt into the infinite sours of the past," (Tyndall), (whatever that may mean). I repeat that I believe that Christian Believers have a peace of mind which I own I have not myself. They have "a good hope" for the fature, which I must admit I have not myself, "having no hope, and without Goo in the world." I do my little best, or weret, to shake their faith and rob them of their peace of mind, but I have nothing better, because I have nothing at all to give them in the place of it.

remain offer them my buppines in the next would see any finers state, because I do not helieve that there will be any finers enter, see that if you will me what is the any finers enter, see that I you will me what is the part of the p

I. (Huxley) believe that there is a good deal to be said much so as if they were mills or steam engines, and that they have no feeling; that they no not hear, see, or smell, and that their "apparent states of consciousness," as they process." ("Eisen tenesti's quiet?" This is philosophy! This is science!). It is true I believe that I am only an snimal, come from one of them myself, and therefore you year areas that I have no feelings, and may sak me to allow myself to be experimented on accordingly for the might interfere rather unpleasantly with my theory, and with the calmoss,-or to speak more truly, the cool assurance—which a "Philosopher" ought to exhibit to the public when he lays down the law to them.

I believe it would be highly improduct in on to enough the challenger which we drawn down to see at the mosting of the Bolink Association in 1974, to must the challenger from their before the public and discuss the trails or otherwise of any sourcious part of the contrary, though I have the obstraction of a good amount, as forced image, if now the obstraction of a good amount, as forced in the contrary of the contrary of most of most forced image, if you will oblig the product of a see a

I believe that though Profesore Salmon has shown that Darwinism is a more scientific imagination, not a scientific fact, novertheless the luman species is descended from the send-duman, and this again from so less an origin on the tarea or entoptifier of some one arising.

I therefore believe, for all the above antisfactory reasons, that the origin of man is to be derived as follows :---

that the origin of man is to be derived as follows:-
2. Marine arimals, recentling the large of existing

Atciding.

- 2. Fishes as lewly organized as the laucelot.

  3. Fishes like the Lexidosirens.
- o. rishes in
  - 4. Amphib
  - 5. Reptiles
  - 6. Monotremata
  - 7. Marenpials.
    - R. Placental Mann
    - . Lemarida.
    - 0 Simiate.
    - 11. Old Weeld Monkeys
  - 12. Man.
  - "O most lane and impotent conclusion!"

4. (Cyclab) believe that I can "pulson guy intellerants rivine backwards, into requires where the unariestiffe search filter, (or one but require and these when disks, with non an estimation-in my optimizer), and was disserted in matter the premains and posteroy of very from and quility of life. All who are exposed to me I, (Rainely), pressures exceptable, to be "spirings in instellent." This is a very early or wifeign down opposents, and supposing the internal life, in a "window form opposents and supposing the internal life, in a "window form" of minera, when lafter that manual learning it or materials are also also believe in the contract of minera, when lafter that manual learning it is minerally easily (All-Refrint, 1821).

As to "prolonging my vision" forward, there I am in the dark, even on my even scofession. I am compelled to encounded part that a time rany to coming when re-"perfenser" of irreligion and solones "falsely so called" may have to say to religion, "give us of your oil, for our homes up even call "into "sate or informas".

I. (Cyroddi) she believe that the in a "continuous diplomated of instant relutions to external relations," by which process some original times "equely sensitive all rows" has come by degrees to be "differentiated" into max 11° (O Spoindors). "I do not believe with therein in any 11° (O Spoindors). "I do not believe with therein in any 11° (O Spoindors) in the origin of all living belongs; I has nather som cannot full wherea the proposes to duries, this nather som I tall you whose my original—(error original);—"timess" cannot. (Dotters office for you man.)

All this is science! knowledge!! philosophy!!! very clear, as well as very neefal, and very profitable, is it not? as clear as the "Chaldean read."

It is really difficult to say whether Huxley or Tyndall talks and writes the most sceneless manufering. These are some of their choicest sayings.—"These be thy gods, O Israel," and "miserable confortees are they sil."

gods, O Israel," and "miserable comforters are they all."

I will supplement the above, per contra, with the

opinions of The Time, which are not so flattering to the scientific attainments or reasoning powers of the Darwinites as their own estimate of themselves.

" For this reason we must needs express our disagnointment with the more important part of Mr. Darwin's book. His discussion of the faculties of man in comparison with those of animals appears to us utterly inadequate to the subject, independently of its being insufficient to quetain his theory. As it seems to us, he has not merely falled, but he has not duly grappled with the essential difficulties of the question. He has thought it resultle to lear by the aid of a few illustrations over the momentons and ardness operations respecting the mental powers of men and animals, and the moral nature of man is dissected with a subjects Mr. Darwin supears quite out of his element."

"For a natural philosopher to appeal to such superficial resemblances is much the same as for an astronomer to appeal, to the apprehension of the vulgar with respect to the meticos of the heavenly bodies."

"But the truth is that Mr. Darwin's argument is at every point supplemented by energous assumption.

This is the first process, the energy in the greenest here in the first bear here. In the state that the same has been found that the same has the same has been found have been found to be the same of the energy control of the same has been found to be a first of the energy control of the same has been found to be a first

"When Mr. Durwin is confronted with the extending terms and successful safety of the general conditions, instear of the approximate or which he relates, he continuely finds bath on what raight have been in the large of the lar

To call in aid such an indefinite agency is a more veil for ignorance. It may even be doubted whether to assert that a process takes effect in an infinite time, he not simply a round a roundahout way of," etc. etc.

"If in abort, in its general application, Mr. Darwin's hypothesis is utterly unsupported by observed facts, it is still more destinate of such support in its application to man."

"This is precisely the solution which Mr. Darwin is unable to apply to his instances of approximation between species. If he could say in a single instance, 'activitarambulando,' 'here is a case of one true species having resued into another,' we should have a practical proof that the kind of approximation he brings to light is of such a kind as to end in coincidence. But this, as we have seen condition most energetically repodiated by Mr. Derwin arthurnt of time which their process requires. The knowledge of so prolonged a proof, would have been of no practical avail even to Methuselah.

"We are reminded, in fact, by such speculations, of the famous, story which Corporal Trim endoavoured so effects.

ally to recite to Uzcle Teby. ""There was a certain king of Bohemia," said Trim; "but in whose reign except his own, I am not able to inform your honour." Uncle Toby was more accommodating then we are able to be from a scientific point of view. But we recommend the gracious nermission he accorded to the corporal as a racet appropriate mette for speculations of this kind. "Leave out the date entirely, Trim," said my Uncle Teby." In almost similar language 'There was a certain Monkey,' save Mr. Darwin; of that he is quite sure, and he frequently reiterages the assurance; 'There was a certain monkey; but in what period or country, except his own, I am not able to inform my readers.' The certainty, unfortunately, is bypothetical, and the particular monkey unknown."

"We are at a late to understand the value of all this complicated gene-work. It represents a kind of Philicansk theory of central hosping supposition in supposition stands theory of central hosping supposition in supposition substitution of the stand congrises to be supposition for the proposition of the stand of the stand congrises the supposition of the stand of th

tributes greatly to the charm of his strictly philosophical writings, but he does not heritate, in accordance with Professor Tyndall's advice, to let it take the place of science when the means and methods of science fail."

To seedin D (Anthropological Department) the massing was held in the great lecture theater of the massin, so as to accommodate the large number of persons who desired to utsted. The question of human relationship to the spe was again takked about (for its cannot be said to have been discussed) by many speakers who vid with one nucleir is loodness of declination and shallowness of agramats."

"This assumption is the very point to be proved. To argue from it is to assume the while doctrins of evolution. The assertion is question is solution or out, ascenting as it is true or not. The only extending question is whether, as a matter of float, species have been developed by fixes of circumstances out of other species, and man out of an agalia cortainly no ocientific argument to assume that they must have been.

"Starting from the unsubstantial presumption just indicated, Mr. Darwin proceeds to spaculate on the manner of man's development, without being able to address the slightest evidence that facts correspond with his hypothesia. The history, however ingenious, is purely imaginary from beginning to and."

"That, at all events, is the practical result for all the purposes of Re. If, as seems to be admitted even by the most advanced Evolutionists, species he so persuacestly fixed that millions of years would be necessary to transform them, it follows that for all human purposes they must be treated as personnessity independent."

"It is impossible to maintain unbroken gravity in discasing such a dress. But let us turn to Mr. Darwin's investigation of the physical basis of his conclusion. which appears to us sourcely less unsatisfactory than his inquiry into its mental and moral bearings. He simply accumulates a variety of points of similarity between the human frame and that of animals."

"There is much reason to face that lone philamps," and instituted by an instituted region, has done on a table to water he force of those red given principles. There are that the first set of those red given principles. There are that the first set of the red given principles of the red that the first set of the red given proposition of Freed notice, A ran forces a grave requestibility, who, which the nathering of a well most proposition, setures at one is time the dissipation of proposition of the love. He cought to the equals of magneting that by the most cereations reclaims of first, and receive jux recognition of the love on the template reference first, and exceevy investigation, such hypothetical originates are well as well as a constitution of the red given from the respect in contract account for medium of the red given from the respect in contract account for medium.

"We will we could think that there speculities were an innercous as they are superstical and mesicallife, the it is too probable that if motherclot they applies were a very anisotherous inflaceou. We obtain from noticing their bearings on religious theoght, although it is hard to see how, on Mr. Develor's hypothesis, it is possible to make how, on Mr. Develor's hypothesis, it is possible to make to man any other innertedly or any other spiritual existence than that possessed by the brates. But, spart from these consideration, if such views as he advances on the nature of the monal sense were generally accepted, it seems evident that merality would loss all elements of stable authority, and the over fixed marrier account which the temporar of inrana passion now break themselves, would case to exert their guiding and controlling infrance."

"It should be the work of science to reveal this difference, not to construct theories on its mere apparent magnitude. But Mr. Durwin urges that this homological construction of the whole frame in the members of the same class is intelligible if we admit their descent from a common repression, together with their subsequent adaption to diversified conditions. 'On any other view,' he says, 'the similarity of pattern between the hand of a man or manker, the foot of a herse, the flipper of a seal, the wing of a bat, etc., is utterly inexplicable.' We fail to see the inexplicability. What is there unreasonable in the supposition that they have all been formed on the same oreperal plan? Mr. Darwin's only objection is that 'this is no selectific emissation," but this is simply to bee the "We fear the truth is that the study of mental philosophy, under the disastrees influence of one or two popular writers, had of her years become extremely loss and superdicid, and Mr. Darwin does but Einstein the guneral vagueness of thought which prevails on such subjects."

Here are a few more instances of the way in which these would-be Philosophers have been set down by the London Press. The next is from the "Jaka Bell."

"There is still, it seems, some uncertainty at one stage of the evolution :--

No one can at present my by what Ino of discount the three higher and related diasen-namely manusch, blods, and reptites—were derived from either of the two lower vertebrate classes, namely, amphibiase and fishes,—(Tol. 1, p. 215.)

The remaining steps, however, 'see and difficult to 'connective'. Possibly not, if you start as Mr. Durwin does, by assessing his principle of evolution as the not crigin of species, and rejecting superate creation as 'unscientific.' In other words, you must find your data man is descended from a mustley, and then it is 'not difficult to' conceive' the intermediate steps; but if you desire to shain this positio prively', ye are withilly desire you shain this positio prively', i.e., are well thilly desire you

10 even to what Mr. Darwin assures you is the fact. Such is the estimated of this methoden's logic. The book is full of interesting observations on natural history. exhibiting more or less relovancy to the argument it seeks to sustain; but the induction never advances a step without a confession of lorical defectiveness. We are treated to tendencies, and probabilities, and conjectures. which derive all their force from a previous assumption of the weiet to be second. Take away this, and there is hardly a proposition in the whole work which could westend to the character of a lorical conclusion.

The cohenesches who swallow for science all that comes from scientific men were confounded to hear of this secret laboratory of imagination. The Times protested against the action that experimental philosophers ever draw bills But Tyndall and Darwin know better.

Mr. Darwin's present book is a consulctions example of this utterly unscientific process. It herins by samming evolution in the exact sense which Dr. Salmon instilu called a scientific imarination, not a scientific fact. Force a plausible conjecture that some species may be modified descendents of other species—the very most that Darwinisen can logically pretend to-its author quietly infers a book 'whether man, like every other species, is descended from some pre-existing form"! Having by this good beginning accomplished more than helf his work has proceeds in like manner to 'take for granted' the high antiquity assigned to man by M. Bencher de Perthes. Six-Charles Lwell, Sir John Lubbook, and others, together with Professor Huxley's 'conclusive' proof that 'man 'differs less from the higher spes than these do from the 'lower members of the same order of primates.' New in the dark before the new argument can see daylight, Of the first Mr. Darwin himself confesses that 'of the "older and heregral chiefs in natural science many an-"fortenately are still opposed to evolution in every form." His prostort authority only deries the independent creation of every species, though Mr. Darwin, in quoting --instead of "some species"-are the modified descendants of other species. From this universal proposition,

acts and reasons on the contrary side are overpowering;

The battle, in short, has yet to be fought before Darwinism can make good this first step in its hypothetical sprites. The antiquity of man is another battle eround where it is hardly set up its banners. Assuredly it can boast no victory. On the existence of man before the Tertiary period all is yet the merest conjecture, and that of so slender a structure that it may at any moment vanish sweet. Sir John Lubbook's theory of a savage origin is a third hypothesis more in want of proof for itself, than able to afford proof of another. Not a single fact is established which is not quite as easily reconciled with the opposite theory. Against it is the unbroken testimony of history that while in many notions civilization has decoved and died out, in some has it sprung up and flourished without extraneous assistance. If man were originally savage and acquired civilization by his own exertions, we ought occasionally to find him on the rise. There are savages enough within the sphere of history, and even of present observation, to give full scope for the emeriment. How is "If that we merer see them improving themselves, till some one comes to improve them? Why did New Zealand remain in caribalism till visited by missionaries within corown recollection, and then spring almost at a bound to a

level beyond many parts of Europe? That the New Zealander was carable of civiliration is record by the result : if it be a natural acquisition, why did be never service it before we found him out? On the other hand, if he received the gift from the Briton, as the Briton from the Roman, the Roman from the Greek, and the Greek from the Execution, we are conducted back to an original restored, as water remounts to its level when the intertestimony of history the most that is offered is that all evisting vatious were orioitally barbarous; but to infer from this that all sected races were barbarous also, is again to be the casetion. Our contention is that they were not, and we have some evidence in our favour in the remains of that these were in tern preceded by an anknown period of barbarism, requires us to admit the very point to be proved. If civilization (we repeat) did spring spontsnecessity out of barbarism at the first, why has it never deep so sinon? To this question there is no reply. But if the original savagery is still an improbable conjecture. instead of an established fact, the whole basis of Darwinism is gone.

Mr. Huxley's doctrine is in the same category of assumtion without proof and against evidence. He is not more logical than his fellows, because he is more peremotory and scornful. Granting that in physical structure man approximates nearer to the ape than the ape to the lowest monkey, this is no argument for either being descended from the other, till we have admitted the two previous processed man. Again, physical structure is only one element in specific classification, and in the case of man the least important. His moral and intellectual nature is emphatically his specific difference from other mammals; and here it is easy to retort Mr. Huxley's argument. The highest ape is merally and intellectually more removed from the lowest savage than the latter from the most eminent philosopher. The savare may become a philosopher, but the spe never becomes even a savage. Neither can we detect the slightest tendency to such moral or intellectual evolution. Mr. Darwin does, indeed, collect some interesting anecdotes of quasi-human reason and affections in the lower animals, but it requires an enormous exercise of The agent of the desired from the control of the co

If non were recred under positivity the same conditions as hire-here, there can hereby be a doubt that we essential furnales would, like his worker-bess, (think is a second dary to MII their bankson, and mothers would safrie to kill their fortile despitace, and no one would think of herebylands.)

What would be said if any advents of Revolution reserved to early parelle relining? In the case supposed, the creatures would not be men, but beas, and set like other beas. But that been ever think anything a "assered 'dniy" it can of the thousand forms of legister the question arthly scattered up and down the book. Curricusly except, the had energies are found, not some the sup-

# All the Articles of the Darwis Paith.

from whom we ought to inherit, but among creatures so rempte in physical structure as the dog, the elephant, and the bea.

Amid all this irrelevant gossip, Mr. Darwin notices, with the feeblest attempt at refutation, the crucial arguments that man alone is capable of progressive improvement, and that man alone feshious implements for a special purpose To the first be can only answer that in the hunting countries forms are more wary than in districts where they are not disturbed; and, to the second, that the chimpsoners cracks note with a stone, and other spee build temporary platforms (as birds build nests), which 'might readily "grow into a voluntary and conscious act." Might! But does it? And could it, unless we admit intellectual evolution, and so once more boy the question? It is astonishing how pursistently this artifice is resorted to throughout. It pervades every part of the book till, by diet of repetition and increased assumption, often veiled in the most subtle implications, the reader is led to think a point demonstrated for which not a shadow of orideron has been presented. Of the course of things, when reason. language, and religion have been core "acquired" Me-Darwin writes as coolly as if such "acquisitions" were of

common experience, instead of being the wildest speculation, contrary to every conviction of our nature, and never in a single instance confirmed or indicated by experiment. It is really an abuse of language to call such writing 'scientific:' to mistake the "Arabian Nights" for history would be far more excusable.

Such being the character of the thesis, we need not spend much time on the new hypothesis. The moment he atterests to draw any conclusion, Mr. Darwin himself is sensible of the exceeding tenuity of his premisses. Showtoo us plainly enough what he is in quest of, he writes of what he has found either in the optative or conditional mood. In place of what is, we bear of what might, could, would, or should be-of what is probable or may be easily consired-and unbacoily the probability is often in inverse ratio to the importance of the conjecture. Here souln Darwinism failing to establish its point by any kind of proof, is obliged to take refuge in imagination.

Its author, meanwhile, with as much assurance as if he had completed a methometical demonstration, blandly anclorises for the shock to our taste and our religion, by arowing that, for his own part, he would rather be descended from a monkey than a Fuerian savage; he adds that "it is not more irreliations to avaluin (2) the arisin of 'man as a distinct species from some lower form, than to 'emisin the hirth of the individual through the laws of tendinger remodestion." The first errors conslooks the little fact that the simish appearing involves the savage ·les."

Again,

# DARWINISM AND ASTRONOMY TO THE EDITOR OF THE TIMES

Siz,-After the many solid arguments addressd in your late admirable and most welcome notices of Mr. Charles gestion. Mr. Darwin's theory requires us to believe that animal life existed on this plobe at a period when according to a theory much more plausible than his, the earth and all the placets with the sun constituted but one diffused nebula. Astronomers really have some data on which to found this theory of theirs, since marked variations in the conformation of several nebulae within historic times are now on record; whereas all the variations which Mr. Darwin has been able to point out in species, and especially in man, within the same limits of time are either zero or of an extremely nebulous character.

I remain, Sir, yours faithfully,

April 10. ASTRONOMICO

Thus much from the Times, now from the Globs,

The other points in soils in Positioner Managine reports. The Lancase geometrees are not only absorbed in planel was tool habit the Lancase geometrees and not only absorbed period from the lancase that the lancase in the lancase in the lancase in the lancase in the lancase of the lancase in the lancase of the lancase in the lancase in the lancase of the lancase in the lancase in

"On Geology and Daroininn "Dagentree" holds decided opinions. He regards the former as a science so completely in its infancy that to attempt to reconstruct our thoology in reference to it is simply absurd:—"Late

researches have, I think, proved more clearly than ever if was proved before-fret, that man is a very record inhabitant of this planet; and, secondly, that man has not been produced by any process of selection or development. Darwie has left the origin of species, not where he fraud it. but darker than ever; for he has proved that there exobs to be to species at all; and if his views were true, there could have been no such thing." This is admirably rest. and not easily answered, and the following reply to the scientists who object to the argument from design is irrefrarable. 'The professor who sees in nature no traces of a Creator, will find in a wretched piece of flint, as he never excharted through his speciacles, the long-last proofs of pre-Admitte man.' The truth is that peoteric arisace is raised by the shallow sciolist, the lecturing professor, who, the moment a new notion strikes him, airs it to an andience of ladies at Albertarle-street or South Kensington. 'Natural selection' - 'protoplasm' - 'air perma' - come into fishion and go out again like passers and chiquous."

Alska

And lastly our friend "Peach" whose withat always a good deal of window in it.

" Darwin's specu Is of another sort;

Tis one which demonstration

In nowise deth support.

Time, theory's dispeller,

Will out of mind remove it

We say, as said old Weller, "Prove it." And he can't prove it."

Pusel.

The following is Dr. Carpenter's epinion, the President of the British Association.

"There is a great tent of what I consist but regard as finderies and midstelling philosophys-repositions of micros fidely in cultiff—alread in the world at the present tilent. And I hope to midsty you thin this for any place was conception of the tentry sequence which they closers in the phenomena of unters, as final unit distriction laws which these phenomena and only new widths all humans experience, but always have loss as within all humans experience, but always have loss as the always matrix for covarially perithed, from 180% perilipric than indicated everygones they continue in the systems of the middle-cold everygones they continue in the systems of norm to those real philosophers, by whose comprehensive grasp and punctuating insight that order has been so far disclosed."

"It must not be supposed that there is much unity among those "philosophera." But in this they all agree, they all argue d pesteriori, and they are all infallable."

# "LOOK ON THIS PICTURE"

Zhowe is a path which to rever knoweth, and which the valuers's eye hath not seen. The lim's whelps have not troofen it, nor the ferce lim

pased it.

He putteth feeth His hand upon the water His average hi

Be county out rivers among the rocks; and His eye seeth

He bindeth the fixeds from overdowing; and the thing that is hid bringwin He forth to light. But where shall window be found? and where is the place of understanding?

Man knoweth not the prior thereof; seither is it found in the land of the living. "It cannot be valued with the

gold of Ophir, with the precious ouyz, or the napphire."

### AND ON THAT

"What finds I know about matter leads me to think that Come, had presented the all our avandanance with biology

miscon, (Philosopher Gangree, it seems) he would have derived his phrausology upside dawn, and have fromed that we can have as knowledge of the great laws of 10°, agrops that which is based upon the shody of meterol living

hetape." (Huxiny111)

"If there is one thing clear," (clear!) about the progress of nucleas science; is the tendency to revise all scientific problems unough these which are parely

involutions to questions of molecular physics, that is no as to the survections, repulsion motions, and coordination of the abstract particles of matter. Noted phononomens are the exactly the lumination of the complement of molecular matter with non-modeling or more with non-modeling.

Well wrote Canon Kingstey:—"All we have to do in to wait. Norinalism, sed that Reconstructions which has syring from Normitalen, are remaing find to seed. Compties seems to me its superces offers, after which the whitiging of time may bring round its revenages, and Bealism and we who hold the Realist creed may have our turn. Only well—the end of that Philosophy is very very." "The tile studies in assist transitions."