HBH



# ZOOLOGICAL LABORATORY CAMBRIDGE

BALFOUR LIBRARY

HBH C



# THE EFFECTS

# CROSS AND SELF FERTILISATION

IN THE

## VEGETABLE KINGDOM.

BY CHARLES DARWIN, LL.D., F.R.S.,

SECOND EDITION.

LONDON:

JOHN MURRAY, ALBEMARLE STREET. 1878.

The right of Translation is reserved.

© The Complete Work of Charles Darwin Online

#### BY THE SAME AUTHOR.

ON THE ORIGIN OF SPECIES BY MEANS OF NATURAL SELECTION; or, The Preservation of Pavodired Races in the Strength

THE DESCENT OF MAN, AND SELECTION IN RELA-TION TO SEX. With Illustrations. Second Edition, revised and augmented. Thirteenth Thousand.

THE VARIATION OF ANIMALS AND PLANTS UNDER DOMESTICATION. With Illustrations. Second Edition, revised. Fourth Thousand. 2 vols.

THE EXPRESSION OF THE EMOTIONS IN MAN AND ANIMALS. With Photographic and other Illustrations. Night Thousand, Musas, T.
ON THE VARIOUS CONTRIVANCES BY WHICH BRITISH AND EMPHASE OF THE PARTICIPATION OF THE PARTICIPATION

ON THE VARIOUS CONTRIVANCES BY WHICH BRITISH AND FOREIGN ORIGIDIS ARE FERTILISED BY INSECTS. Second Edition, revised. With Woodsta.

INSECTIVOROUS PLANTS. With Illustrations. Their Transaction.

THE MOVEMENTS AND HABITS OF CLIMBING PLANTS With Illustration. Second Dilition, revision. Merianz. THE EFFECTS OF CROSS AND SELF PERTILISATION IN THE VEGETABLE KINGLOSI. Second Dilition. Merianz. THE DIFFERENT FORUM OF FLOWERS ON PLANTS

OF THE SAME SPECIES.

MURRAY.

A NATURALIST'S VOYAGE ROUND THE WORLD; or, A JOURNAL OF RESEARCHED END THE NATURAL HERORY AND GROLOGY OF THE CONTRELES VISITED during the Voyage of HAMS. | Fleegie', under the com-

mind of Capitalin FireRoy, R.N. Thereigh Thousand. Murray.

ON THE STRUCTURE AND DISTRIBUTION OF CORAL REEFS. Second Indiction, recised.

GEOLOGICAL OBSERVATIONS ON THE VOLCANIC

ISLANDS AND PARTS OF SOUTH AMERICA, visited during the Veyage of H.M.S. 'Beagle.' Second Edition.

A MONOGRAPH OF THE CIRRIPEDIA. With numerous llustrations. 2 vols. 8vo. RAY SOCETT. HARDWICKE.

Illustrations. 2 vols. 8vo. RAY SOCIETT. HARDWICKER.

A MONOGRAPH OF THE FOSSIL LEPADIDÆ OR PEDUNCULATED CIRRIPEDS OF GREAT BRITAIN.

A MONOGRAPH OF THE FOSSIL BALANIDÆ AND VERRUCIDÆ OF GREAT BRITAIN.

PALBONTOGRAPHICAL SOCIETY, 1854.

FACTS AND ARGUMENTS FOR DARWIN. By Fritz
MULLER. From the German, with Additions by the Author. Translated by
W. S. Dallas, F.L.S. With illustrations. Post 8vo.
MURRAY.

LONDON: PRINTED BY WILLIAM CLOWES AND BONS, STAMFORD STREET

#### CONTENTS.

#### CHAPTER L

#### INTRODUCTORY REMARKS.

Various means which favour or determine the cross-fertillation of plants—Bonetia derived from cons-fertillation. Self-fertilization favourable to the proquestion of the species—Brief history of the subject—Object of the experiments, and the manner in which they were tried—Statistical value of the measurements—The experiments carried on during several successive generations—Statistical to the service of the subject of the s

### CHAPTER II.

#### CONVOLVULACE

I formen purpares, compution of the height and fertility of the count and suffertilitied plant during to successive processives.—Greater constitutional vigour of the crossed plants—The effects on the officient of crossing different flowers on the same plant, instead of crossing distinct individuals—The effects of a cross with a firsh stock—The descendants of the safefertilised plant named libro—Semmany on the growth, or constitution of the co

#### CHAPTER IIL

SCHOPHULARIACEE, GESNERIACEE, LABIATE, ETC.

Minubus Interus; Inégis, vigour, and fertility of the crossed and self-fertilited plants of the first four generations—Appearance of a new, tall, and highly self-fertile variety — Olipping from a cross between self-fertilited plantes—Effects of cross with a fresh stock — Effects of crossing flowers on the same plant— Schwausey on Minusia Intera—Digitalis proprass, superfectly the superior of the control of the control of the control plantes plant—Calcolotris—Litaria valgaris—Verbascens they moplet the control of the control plantes of the control plantes of the control plantes of the control plantes of the control plante by stokens—Tunbergia slate . 63-67

#### CHAPTER IV.

CEUCIFERE, PAPAVERACEE, RESEDACEE, ETC.

Brastica cleraca, crossel and self-fertilized plants — Grast effect of a cross with a frosh schot on the weight of the offering — Boriz unbellata — Papawer vagum — Bodachelutia culifornia, suedilizaç from a cross with a frosh stock not more viguous, but more fertile than the self-fertilized seedlings — Besech Intea and colorata, many individuals settled with their own pollem — Violaticolor, wonderful effects of a cross — Adonis sativalis — Dalphinium consolida — Viscaria contlas, crossed plants hardly taller, but more fertile than the self-fertilized — Dianthus curyophythus, crossed and self-fertilized plants campared for four generations — Grast effects of a cross with a final stock — the control of the control of the flowers on the self-fertilized plants —

#### CHAPTER V.

#### GERANIACEÆ, LEGUMINOSÆ, ONAGRACEÆ, ETC.

Pélargonium zonals, a cross between plants propagated by cuttings does no good — Tropcolum mines — Limanuths doughati — Lupinus luteus and piloras — Phaseolus multiflorus and vulgaris — Lathyrus odoratus, varieties of, acress attraïlly intercross, bit a cross between them highly beneficial—Sacchamuras scoparius, wonderful effects of a cross — Osoniu mizrutissima, elektogamic foreword — Summay on la Limanutha and the chistogamic foreword — Summay on la Limanutha and the chistogamic foreword — Summay on la Limanutha and the limin — Sakhona atropurpura — Lacteus attiva — Speculam — Isoblium atropurpura — Lacteus attiva — Speculam — Lobelia rancos, abentages of a cross during two generations — Lobelia relations, abuntages of a cross during two generations — Lobelia relations, abuntages of a cross of uring two generations — Lobelia relations, abuntages of a cross or sur-

Page 142-187

#### CHAPTER VI.

#### SOLANACEÆ, PRIMULACEÆ, POLYGONEÆ, ETC.

Pétunia violacea, crossed and self-értillised plants compared for four generations—Effects of a cross with a firsh stock—Uniform colour of the flowers on the self-fertillised plants of the fourth generation—Neutrina Internations, crossed and self-orizined self-entitled and self-entitled self-entitled self-entitled sub-rately on the height, but not on the fertility, of the offspring—Cybelmon pericom, crossed sendings prostal superior to the self-fertilised—Anagallia collina—Primala veria-Equal-styled variety of Primals veria, fertility of, gready increased by a cross with a fresh stock—Pacpyrum esculumed plants of organ height—Zen zara—Phalaric canariensis.

188-23

#### CHAPTER VIL

SUMMARY OF THE HEIGHTS AND WEIGHTS OF THE CROSSED AND SELF-FERTILISED PLANTS.

Number of species and plants measured—Tables given—Preliminary meants on the displacing of plants crossed by a fresh stock—Thirteen cases specially considered—The effects of crossing a self-fertilised plant wither by another self-fertilised plant or by an intercrossed plant of the old stock—Summary of the results—Preliminary remarks on the crossed and selffertilised plants of the same stock—The twenty-six exceptional cases considered, in which the crossed plants did not exceed greatly in height the self-fertilised—Most of these cases shown not to be real exceptions to the rule hat cross-fertilisation is beneficial—Summary of results—Relative weights of the crossed and self-fertilised plants . . . . . . . , Page 238–258

#### CHAPTER VIII.

DIFFERENCE BETWEEN CROSSED AND SELF-FERTILISED PLANTS IN CONSTITUTIONAL VIGOUR AND IN OTHER RESPECTS.

Grater constitutional vigore of crossed plants — The effects of great crowding—Competition with other kinds of plants—Self-fer-tilised plants more liable to premature death — Crossed plants generally flower before the self-fertilised — Negative effects of intercrossing flowers on the same plant—Cases described—Transmission of the good effects of a cross to later generations of the production of the growth of the control of the production of a cross to later generations. Uniform colour of the flowers on plants suff-fertilized during several generations and cultivated under similar conditions.

285-311

CHAPTER IX.

#### CHAPTER I

THE EFFECTS OF CROSS-FERTILISATION AND SELF-FERTILISATION ON THE PRODUCTION OF SEEDS.

Fertility of plants of crossed and self-fertilised parentage, both lots being fertilised in the same manner — Fertility of the parentplants when first crossed and self-fertilised, and of their crossed and self-fertilized offspring when again crossed and self-fertilized —Comparison of the fertility of flowers fertilized with their own pollen and with that from other flowers on the same plant —Self-sterile planta—Canses of self-sterility —The appearance of highly self-fertile varieties —Self-fertilization apparently in some respects beenfeckal, independintly of the assured production of seeds —Belative weights and rates of germination of seeds from crossed and self-fertilized flowers — Page 312–355

# CHAPTER X.

MEANS OF FERTILISATION.

Sterillty and fertility of plants when insects are excluded—The means by which flowers are conservitible—Structures favourable to self-fertilization—Relation between the structure and consplenousness of flowers, the visits of insects, and the advantages of cross-fertilization—The means by which flowers are fertilized with pollen from a distinct plant—Greater fertilizing and the section of the conservation of the section of the conservation of

#### CHAPTER XL

The Habits of Insects in relation to the Feutilisation of Flowers.

Insects with the flowers of the same species as long as they can— Cause of this habit—Means by which beer recognise the flowers of the same species—Studden sceretion of nectar— Nectar of certain flowers unstattactive to certain insects—Industry of bees, and the number of flowers winted within a short time—Peritains of the certain by beau—Stull shown in the —Hiller of the certain of the certain of the certain of the results of the certain of the certain of the certain of the —Hiller of habit—The motive for perfecting flowers to save time—Flowers growing in crowodul masses chiefly perfected.

418-43

#### CHAPTER XII.

GENERAL RESULTS.

Cross-fertilitation proved to be beneficial, and self-certilitation injurious—Allida species affire greatly in the means by which cross-fertilitation is favoured and self-fertilitation avoided in the property of the property of the property of the degree of the property of the property of the property of the property due to the combination of morbid tendencies in the parents— Nature of the conditions to which plants are subjected when growing near together in a state of nature or under cultum, and the effects of such conditions—Theoretical considerations with respect to the interaction of differentiated award elements demoe between the effects of cross-fertilisation and self-certilisation, and of the legitimate and illegitimate unions of betterstyled plants, in comparison with hybrid unions. Page 4509–474

INDEX .. .. .. .. .. .. .. Page 475-487

#### EFFECTS

-

# CROSS AND SELF-FERTILISATION.

#### CHAPTER I.

INTRODUCTORY REMARKS.

Various means which favour or determine the cross-ortifization of plants—Bondis derived from consciontiation—Self-definition in a second section of the propagation of the spools—Bord history of the second section of the relationship of the plants in the hear posteriors—Marine of the relationship of the plants in the hear posteriors—defined—Selfment of the conditions to which the plants were subjected—Self-second section of the second section of the section of the second section of the second section of the second section of the section of the second section of the secti

Tunn: is weighty and abundant evidence that the flowers of most kinds of plants are constructed so as to be occasionally or habitually cross-fertilized by pollen from another flower, produced either by the same plant, or generally, as we shall hereafter see reason to believe, by a distinct plant. Cross-fertilization is sometimes ensured by the sexes being separated, and in a large number of cases by the pollen and stigma of the same flower being matured at different times. Such plants are called dichogamous, and have been divided into two sub-classes: proterandrous species, in which the pollen is mature before the stigma, and proterogynous species, in which the reverse occurs; this latter form of dichogamy not being nearly so common as the other. Cross-fertilisation is also ensured, in many cases, by mechanical contrivances of wonderful beauty, preventing the impregnation of the flowers by their own pollen. There is a small class of plants, which I have called dimorphic and trimorphic, but to which Hildebrand has given the more appropriate name of heterostyled; this class consists of plants presenting two or three distinct forms, adapted for reciprocal fertilisation, so that, like plants with separate sexes, they can hardly fail to be intercrossed in each generation. The male and female organs of some flowers are irritable, and the insects which touch them get dusted with pollen, which is thus transported to other flowers. Again, there is a class, in which the ovules absolutely refuse to be fertilised by pollen from the same plant, but can be fertilised by pollen from any other individual of the same species. There are also very many species which are partially sterile with their own pollen. Lastly, there is a large class in which the flowers present no apparent obstacle of any kind to self-fertilisation, nevertheless these plants are frequently intercrossed, owing to the prepotency of pollen from another individual or variety over the plant's own pollen.

As plants are adapted by such diversified and effictive means for cross-fertilisation, it might have been inferred from this fact alone that they derived some great advantage from the process; and it is the object of the present work to show the nature and importance of the benefits thus derived. There are, however, some exceptions to the rule of plants being constructed so as to allow of or to favour cross-fertilisation, for some few plants seem to be invariably self-fertilised; yet even these retain traces of having been formerly adapted for cross-fertilisation. These exceptions need not make us doubt the truth of the above rule, any more than the existence of some few plants which produce flowers, and yet never set seed, should make us doubt that flowers are adapted for the production of seed and the propagation of the species.

We should always keep in mind the obvious fact that the production of seed is the chief end of the act of fertilisation; and that this end can be gained by hermaphrodite plants with incomparably greater certainty by self-fertilisation, than by the union of the sexual elements belonging to two distinct flowers or plants. Yet it is as unmistakably plain that innumerable flowers are adapted for cross-fertilisation, as that the teeth and talons of a carnivorous animal are adapted for catching prey; or that the plumes, wings, and hooks of a seed are adapted for its dissemination. Flowers, therefore, are constructed so as to gain two objects which are, to a certain extent, antagonistic, and this explains many apparent anomalies in their structure. The close proximity of the anthers to the stigma in a multitude of species favours, and often leads, to self-fertilisation; but this end could have been gained far more safely if the flowers had been completely closed, for then the pollen would not have been injured by the rain or devoured by insects, as often happens. Moreover, in this case, a very small quantity of pollen would have been sufficient for fertilisation, instead of millions of grains being produced. But the openness of the flower and the production of a great and apparently wasteful amount of pollen are necessary for cross-fertilisation. These remarks are well illustrated by the plants called cleistogamic, which bear on the

B 2

same stock two kinds of flowers. The flowers of the one kind are ninute and completely closed, so that they cannot possibly be crossed; but they are abundantly fertile, although producing an extremely small quantity of pollen. The flowers of the other kind produce much pollen and are open; and these can be, and often are, cross-fertilised. Hermann Miller has also made the remarkable discovery that there are some plants which exist under two forms; that is, produce on distinct stocks two kinds of hermaphrodite flowers. The one form bears small flowers constructed for self-fertilisation; whilst the other bears larger and much more conspicuous flowers plainly constructed for cross-fertilisation by the aid of insects; and without their aid these produce no seed.

The adaptation of flowers for cross-fertilisation is a subject which has interested me for the last thirtyseven years, and I have collected a large mass of observations, but these are now rendered superfluous by the many excellent works which have been lately published. In the year 1857 I wrote \* a short paper on the fertilisation of the kidney bean; and in 1862 my work 'On the Contrivances by which British and Foreign Orchids are Fertilised by Insects' appeared. It seemed to me a better plan to work out one group of plants as carefully as I could, rather than to publish many miscellaneous and imperfect observations. My present work is the complement of that on Orchids, in which it was shown how admirably these plants are constructed so as to permit of, or to favour, or to necessitate cross-fertilisation. The adaptations

Gardeners' Chronicle, 1857,
 Annals and Mag. of Nat. Hist.
 725, and 1858, p. 828. Also
 3rd series, vol. ii. 1858, p. 462.

for cross-fertilisation are perhaps more obvious in the Orchidese than in any other group of plants, but it is an error to speak of them, as some authors have done, as an exceptional case. The lever-like action of the stamens of Salvia (described by Hildebrand, Dr. W. Ogle, and others), by which the anthers are depressed and rubbed on the backs of bees, shows as perfect a structure as can be found in any orchid. Papilionaceous flowers, as described by various authors-for instance, by Mr. T. H. Farrer-offer innumerable curious adaptations for cross-fertilisation. The case of Posoqueria fragrans (one of the Rubiaceæ), is as wonderful as that of the most wonderful orchid. The stamens, according to Fritz Müller,\* are irritable, so that as soon as a moth visits a flower, the anthers explode and cover the insect with pollen; one of the filaments which is broader than the others then moves and closes the flower for about twelve hours, after which time it resumes its original position. Thus the stigma cannot be fertilised by pollen from the same flower, but only by that brought by a moth from some other flower. Endless other beautiful contrivances for this same purpose could be specified.

Long before I had attended to the fertilisation of flowers, a remarkable book appeared in 1793 in Germany, 'Das Entdeckte Geheimniss der Natur,' by C.K. Spengel, in which he clearly proved by innumerable observations, how essential a part insects play in the fertilisation of many plants. But he was in advance of his age, and his discoveries were for a long time neglected. Since the appearance of my book on Orchids, many excellent works on the fertilisation of flowers, such as those by Hildebrand Debpino, Axell,

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Botanische Zeitung,' 1866, p. 129.

and Hermann Müller,\* and numerous shorter papers, have been published. A list would occupy several pages, and this is not the proper place to give their titles, as we are not here concerned with the means, but with the results of cross-fertilisation. No one who feels interest into the means of the proper place of the property of the property of the property of the who feels interest into the means of the property of the effects her ends, can read these books and memoirs without the most lively interest.

From my own observations on plants, guided to a certain extent by the experience of the breeders of animals, I became convinced many years ago that it is a general law of nature that flowers are adapted to be crossed, at least occasionally, by pollen from a distinct plant. Sprengel at times foresaw this law, but only partially, for it does not appear that he was aware that there was any difference in power between pollen from the same plant and from a distinct plant. In the introduction to his book (p, 4) he says, as the sexes are separated in so many flowers, and as so many other flowers are dichogamous, "it appears that nature has not willed that any one flower should be fertilised by its own pollen." Nevertheless, he was far from keeping this conclusion always before his mind, or he did not

known, visit the flowers of each species. He likewise enters on species, the likewise enters on the species, the likewise enters of the species of the speci

Sir John Lubbeck has given an interesting summary of the whole subject in his Diritish Wild Flowers considered in relation to Insects, 1875. Hermann Maller's work 'Die Befrechung der Blaemen durch Insekton,' 1875, conlation an immerse mumber of the property of the contains an immerse mumber of valuable as a repertory with references to almost everything which has been published on the subject. His work differs from that of all others in specifying

see its full importance, as may be perceived by anyone who will read his observations carefully; and he
consequently mistook the meaning of various structures. But his discoveries are so numerous and his
work so excellent, that he can well afford to bear a
small amount of blame. A most capable judge, H.
Müller, likewise sayse \* "It is remarkable in how very
many cases Sprengel rightly perceived that pollen is
necessarily transported to the stigmas of other flowers
of the same species by the insects which visit them,
and yet did not imagine that this transportation was
of any service to the plants themselves."

Andrew Knight saw the truth much more clearly, for he remarks," "Nature intended that a sexual intercourse should take place between neighbouring plants of the same species." After alluding to the various means by which pollen is transported from flower to flower, as far as was then imperfectly known, he adds, "Nature has something more in view than that its own proper males should feeundate each blossom." In ISII Kölvetter plainly hinted at the same law, as did afterwards another famous hybridies of plants, Herbert,! But none of these distinguished observers appear to have been sufficiently impressed with the

\* Die Befruchtung der Blu-

1 Kölrenter, Mérn. de l'Acad. de St. Peterabourg' fom ili 1806 (published 1811), p. 197. After showing how well the Malvacce are adapted for cross-fertilisation, be asks, "An di aliquid in recosur anauquan proprio suo pulvere, see supper co aliarum sua speciei impregnentur, merito quaritur." Certe natura nil facit frastra." Herbert, "Amaryllidacca, with a tables, '1837. Cross-bred Vegetables, '1837.

men, '1873, p. 4. His words are:
"Es ist metrowirdig, in wie zahlreichen Fällen Sprengel richtig
erhannte, dass durch die Besuchendem Insekten der Büthemstaub
ben andere Büthem derreiben
Art übertragen wird, ohne auf die
Vernunlung zu kommen, dass in
dieser Wirkung der Nutzen des
Insektenbessehes für die Pflanzen
+ 'Pflidoophical Transactions,'
1799, p. 202.

truth and generality of the law, so as to insist on it and impress their belief on others.

In 1882 I summed up my observations on Orchids by asying that nature "abbors perpetual self-fertilisation." If the word perpetual had been omitted, the aphorism would have been false. As it stands, I believe that it is true, though perhaps rather too strongly expressed; and I should have added the self-evident proposition that the propagation of the species, whether by self-fertilisation or by cross-fertilisation, or ascurally by buds, stolons, &c. is of paramount importance. Hermann Müller has done excellent service by insisting repeatedly on this latter point.

It often occurred to me that it would be advisable to try whether seedlings from cross-fertilised flowers were in any way superior to those from self-fertilised flowers. But as no instance was known with animals of any evil appearing in a single generation from the closest possible interbreeding, that is between brothers and sisters, I thought that the same rule would hold good with plants; and that it would be necessary at the sacrifice of too much time to self-fertilise and intercross plants during several successive generations, in order to arrive at any result. I ought to have reflected that such elaborate provisions favouring crossfertilisation, as we see in innumerable plants, would not have been acquired for the sake of gaining a distant and slight advantage, or of avoiding a distant and slight evil. Moreover, the fertilisation of a flower by its own pollen corresponds to a closer form of interbreeding than is possible with ordinary bi-sexual animals; so that an earlier result might have been expected.

Î was at last led to make the experiments recorded in the present volume from the following circumstance.

For the sake of determining certain points with respect to inheritance, and without any thought of the effects of close interbreeding, I raised close together two large beds of self-fertilised and crossed seedlings from the same plant of Linaria vulgaris. To my surprise, the crossed plants when fully grown were plainly taller and more vigorous than the self-fertilised ones. Bees incessantly visit the flowers of this Linaria and carry pollen from one to the other; and if insects are excluded, the flowers produce extremely few seeds; so that the wild plants from which my seedlings were raised must have been intercrossed during all previous generations. It seemed therefore quite incredible that the difference between the two beds of seedlings could have been due to a single act of self-fertilisation; and I attributed the result to the self-fertilised seeds not having been well ripened, improbable as it was that all should have been in this state, or to some other accidental and inexplicable cause. During the next year, I raised for the same purpose as before two large beds close together of self-fertilised and crossed seedlings from the carnation, Dianthus caryophyllus. This plant, like the Linaria, is almost sterile if insects are excluded; and we may draw the same inference as before, namely, that the parent-plants must have been intercrossed during every or almost every previous generation. Nevertheless, the self-fertilised seedlings were plainly inferior in height and vigour to the

My attention was now thoroughly aroused, for I could hardly doubt that the difference between the two beds was due to the one set being the offspring of crossed, and the other of self-fertilised flowers. Accordingly I selected almost by hazard two other plants, which happened to be in flower in the greenhouse, namely, Minulus luteus and Ipomæa purpurea, both of which, unlike the Linaria and Dianthus, are highly selffertile if insects are excluded. Some flowers on a single plant of both species were fertilised with their own pollen, and others were crossed with pollen from a distinct individual; both plants being protected by a net from insects. The crossed and self-fertilised seeds thus produced were sown on opposite sides of the same pots, and treated in all respects alike; and the plants when fully grown were measured and compared. With both species, as in the cases of the Linaria and Dianthus, the crossed seedlings were conspicuously superior in height and in other ways to the selffertilised. I therefore determined to begin a long series of experiments with various plants, and these were continued for the following eleven years; and we shall see that in a large majority of cases the crossed beat the self-fertilised plants. Several of the exceptional cases, moreover, in which the crossed plants were not victorious, can be explained.

It should be observed that I have spoken for the sake of brevity, and shall continue to do so, of crossed and self-fertilised seeds, seedlings, or plants; these terms implying that they are the product of crossed or self-fertilised flowers. Cross-fertilisation always means a cross between distinct plants which were raised from seeds and not from cuttings or buds. Self-fertilisation always implies that the flowers in question were impregnated with their own pollen.

My experiments were tried in the following manner. A single plant, if it produced a sufficiency of flowers, or two or three plants were placed under a net stretched on a frame, and large enough to cover the plant (together with the pot, when one was used) without touching it. This latter point is important, for if the flowers touch the net they may be cross-fertilised by bees, as I have known to happen; and when the net is wet the pollen may be injured. I used at first "white cotton net," with very fine meshes, but afterwards a kind of net with meshes one-tenth of an inch in diameter; and this I found by experience effectually excluded all insects excepting Thrips, which no net will exclude. On the plants thus protected several flowers were marked, and were fertilised with their own pollen; and an equal number on the same plants, marked in a different manner, were at the same time crossed with pollen from a distinct plant. The crossed flowers were never castrated, in order to make the experiments as like as possible to what occurs under nature with plants fertilised by the aid of insects. Therefore, some of the flowers which were crossed may have failed to be thus fertilised, and afterwards have been self-fertilised. But this and some other sources of error will presently be discussed. In some few cases of spontaneously self-fertile species, the flowers were allowed to fertilise themselves under the net; and in still fewer cases uncovered plants were allowed to be freely crossed by the insects which incessantly visited them. There are some great advantages and some disadvantages in my having occasionally varied my method of proceeding; but when there was any difference in the treatment, it is always so stated under the head of each species.

Care was taken that the seeds were thoroughly ripened before being gathered. Afterwards the crossed and self-fertilised seeds were in most cases placed on damp sand on opposite sides of a glass tumbler covered by a glass place, with a partition between the two lots; and the glass was placed on the chimney-piece in a warm room. I could thus observe the germination of the seeds. Sometimes a few would germinate on one side before any on the other, and these were thrown away. But as often as a pair germinated at the same time, they were planted on opposite sides of a pot, with a superficial partition between the two; and I thus proceeded until from half-a-locen to a score or more seedlings of exactly the same age were planted on the opposite sides of several pots. If one of the young seedlings became sickly or was in any way injuried, it was pulled up and thrown away, as well as if an antagonist on the opposite side of the same pot,

As a large number of seeds were placed on the sand to germinate, many remained after the pairs had been selected, some of which were in a state of germination and others not so; and these were sown crowded together on the opposite sides of one or two rather larger nots, or sometimes in two long rows out of doors, In these cases there was the most severe struggle for life among the crossed seedlings on one side of the pot, and the self-fertilised seedlings on the other side. and between the two lots which grew in competition in the same pot. A vast number soon perished. and the tallest of the survivors on both sides when fully grown were measured. Plants treated in this manner, were subjected to nearly the same conditions as those growing in a state of nature, which have to struggle to maturity in the midst of a host of competitors.

On other occasions, from the want of time, the seeds, instead of being allowed to germinate on damp sand, were sown on the opposite sides of pots, and the fully grown plants measured. But this plan is less accurate as the seeds sometimes germinated more quickly on one side than on the other. It was however necessary to act in this manner with some few species, as certain kinds of seeds would not germinate well when exposed to the light; though the glasses containing them were kept on the chimney-piece on one side of a room, and some way from the two windows which faced the N.E.\*

The soil in the pots in which the seedlings were planted, or the seeds sown, was well mixed, so as to be uniform in composition. The plants on the two sides were always watered at the same time and as equally as possible; and even if this had not been done, the water would have spread almost equally to both sides, as the pots were not large. The crossed and self-fertilised plants were separated by a superficial partition, which was always kept directed towards the chief source of the light, so that the plants on both sides were equally illuminated. I do not believe it possible that two sets of plants could have been subjected to more closely similar conditions, than were my crossed and self-fertilised seedlings, as grown in the above described manner.

In comparing the two sets, the eye alone was never trusted. Generally the height of every plant on both sides was carefully measured, often more than once, viz., whilst young, sometimes again when older, and finally when fully or almost fully grown. But in some cases, which are always specified, owing to the want of time, only one or two of the tallest plants on each side were measured. This plan, which is not a good one, was never followed (except with the crowded

t species germinated on the bare sand, though left there for some weeks; but when these same seeds were placed on earth in pots, and covered with a thin layer of sand, they germinated immediately in large numbers.

<sup>\*</sup> This occurred in the plainest manner with the seeds of Papaver vagum and Delphinium consolida, and less plainly with those of Adonis extivalis and Ononis minutissima. Rarely more than one or two of the seeds of these four

plants raised from the seeds remaining after the pairs had been planted) unless the tallest plants on each side seemed fairly to represent the average difference between those on both sides. It has, however, some great advantages, as sickly or accidentally injured plants, or the offspring of ill-ripened seeds, are thus eliminated. When the tallest plants alone on each side were measured, their average height of course exceeds that of all the plants on the same side taken together. But in the case of the much crowded plants raised from the remaining seeds, the average height of the tallest plants was less than that of the plants in pairs, owing to the unfavourable conditions to which they were subjected from being greatly crowded. For our purpose, however, of the comparison of the crossed and self-fertilised plants, their absolute height signifies little.

As the plants were measured by an ordinary English standard divided into inches and eighths of an inch. I have not thought it worth while to change the fractions into decimals. The average or mean heights were calculated in the ordinary rough method by adding up the measurements of all, and dividing the product by the number of plants measured; the result being here given in inches and decimals. As the different species grow to various heights, I have always for the sake of easy comparison given in addition the average height of the crossed plants of each species taken as 100, and have calculated the average height of the self-fertilised plant in relation to this standard. With respect to the crowded plants raised from the seeds remaining after the pairs had been planted, and of which only some of the tallest on each side were measured, I have not thought it worth while to complicate the results by giving separate averages for them and for the pairs, but have added up all their heights, and thus obtained a single average.

I long doubted whether it was worth while to give the measurements of each separate plant, but have decided to do so, in order that it may be seen that the superiority of the crossed plants over the self-fertilised, does not commonly depend on the presence of two or three extra fine plants on the one side, or of a few very poor plants on the other side. Although several observers have insisted in general terms on the offsyring from intercrossed varieties being superior to either parent-form, no precise measurements have been given;\* and I have met with no observations on the effects of crossing and self-fertilising the individuals of the same variety. Moreover, experiments of this kind require so much time—mine having been continued during eleven years—that they are not likely soon to be repeated.

As only a molerate number of crossed and selfertilised plants were measured, it was of great importance to me to learn how far the averages were trustworthy. I therefore asked Mr. Galton, who has have much experience in statistical researches, to examine some of my tables of measurements, seven in number, analey, those of Ipomosa, Digitalis, Reseda lutea, Viola, Limnanthes, Petunia, and Zea. I may premise that if we took by chance a dozen or score of men belonging to two nations and measured them, it would I presume be very rash to form any judgment from such small numbers on their average heights. But the case is somewhat different with my crossed and self-fertilised plants, as they were of exactly the same

<sup>\*</sup> A summary of these statements, with references, may be found in my 'Variation of Animals ii. p. 103.

age, were subjected from first to last to the same conditions, and were descended from the same parents. When only from two to six pairs of plants were measured, the results are manifestly of little or no value, except in so far as they confirm and are confirmed by experiments made on a larger scale with other species. It will now give the report on the seven tables of measurements, which Mr. Galton has had the great kindness to draw up for measurements.

"I have examined the measurements of the plants with care, and by many statistical methods, for find on the off at the measure of the several sets represent constant realities, such as would come out the name so long as the general conditions of growth remained unlitted. The principal methods that were adopted are easily explained by selecting one of the aborter series of plants, say of Zee ways, for an example."

Zea mays (young plants).

As recorded by Mr. Darwin.		ARRANGED IN ORDER OF MAGNITUDE,					
		In Separate Pots.		In a Single Series.			
Column I.	II.	III.	IV.	V.	VI.	VIL	VIII.
	Crossed.	Self-fert.	Crossed.	Self-fert.	Crossed.	Self-fert.	Difference
	Inches.	Inches.	Inches. 23 ‡	Inches. 201	Inches, 231	Inches.	Inches.
Pot I.	12	201	21	20	232	20	-31
	21	20	12	173	23	20	-3
	22	20	22	20	221	185	-31
Pot II.	191	183	214	181	22	187	-3
	21 2	18	19	18	214	18	-3
	221	189	231	183	21 2	18 18	-3± -3
	203	151	22	18	21	172	-31
Pot III.	183	161	21	164	20 }	161	-31
	214	18 162	20 g 18 g	16 15 1	19	162	-25
	23%	108	101	101	12	157	+33
Pot IV.	21	18	23	18	12	128	+08
	221	125 151	22:	18			
	23	18	12	12;			

"The observations as I received them are shown in clumms II. and III., where they certainly have no prind slowed appearance of regularity. But as soon as we arrange them in the order of regularity. But as soon as we arrange them in the order of their magnitudes, as in columns IV. and V, the case is materially altered. We now see, with few exceptions, that the largest plant on the self-fertilised side, that the second exceeds the second, that think the third, and so on. Out of the fifteen cases in the table, there are only two exceptions to this rule. We may therefore confidently suffirm that a crossed series will always be found to exceed a self-fertilised series, within the runge of the confidence of the

Pot.	Crossed.	Self-fert.	Difference
I.	18]	197	+01
II.	207	19	-17
III.	211	161	-41
IV.	191	16	-31

"Next as regards the numerical estimate of this excess. The mean values of the several groups are so discordant, as is shown in the table just given, that a fairly precise numerical estimate seems impossible. But the consideration arises, whether the difference between pot and pot may not be of much the same order of importance as that of the other conditions upon which the growth of the plants has been modified. If so, and only on that condition, it would follow that when all the measurements, either of the crossed or the self-fertilised plants, were combined into a single series, that series would be statistically regular. The experiment is tried in columns VII. and VIII., where the regularity is abundantly clear, and justifies us in considering its mean as perfectly reliable. I have protracted these measurements, and revised them in the usual way, by drawing a curve through them with a free hand, but the revision barely modifies the means derived from the original observations. In the present, and in nearly all the other cases. the difference between the original and revised means is under 2 per cent, of their value. It is a very remarkable coincidence that in the seven kinds of plants, whose measurements I have examined, the ratio between the heights of the crossed and of the self-fertilised ranges in five cases within very narrow limits. In Zeo mays it is as 100 to 84, and in the others it ranges between 100 to 76 and 100 to 86."

"The determination of the variability (measured by what is technically called the 'probable cerve') is a problem of more delicacy than that of determining the means, and I doubt, after making many trials, whether it is possible to derive metric conclusions from these few observations. We ought to have measurements of at least fifty plants in each case, in order to be in a position to deduce fair results. One fact, however, bearing on variability, is very evident in most cases, though not in Zes maps, viz., that the self-certilised plants include the larger number of exceptionally small specimens, while the crossed are more generally full grown."

made of a few of the tallest plants that grew in rows, each of which contained a multitude of plants, show very clearly that the crossed plants exceed the self-fertilised in height, but they do not tell by inference anything about their respective mean values. If it should happen that a series is known to follow the law of error or any other law, and if the number of individuals in the series is known, it would be always possible to reconstruct the whole series when a fragment of it has been given. But I find no such method to be applicable in the present case. The doubt as to the number of plants in each row is of minor importance; the real difficulty lies in our ignorance of the precise law followed by the series. The experience of the plants in pots does not help us to determine that law, because the observations of such plants are too few to enable us to lay down more than the middle terms of the series to which they belong with any sort of accuracy, whereas the cases we are now considering refer to one of its extremities. There are other special difficulties which need not be gone into, as the one already mentioned is a complete bar."

Mr. Galton sent me at the same time graphical representations which he had made of the measurements, and they evidently form fairly regular curves. He appends the words "very good" to those of Zea and

Limnanthes. He also calculated the average height of the crossed and self-fertilised plants in the seven tables by a more correct method than that followed by me, namely, by including the heights, as estimated in accordance with statistical rules, of a few plants which died before they were measured; whereas I merely added up the heights of the survivors, and divided the sum by their number. The difference in our results is in one way highly satisfactory, for the average heights of the self-fertilised plants, as deduced by Mr, Galton, is less than mine in all the casse excepting one, in which our averages are the same; and this shows that I have by no means exaggerated the superiority of the crossed over the self-fertilised plants.

After the heights of the crossed and self-fertilised plants had been taken, they were sometimes cut down close to the ground, and an equal number of both weighed. This method of comparison gives very striking results, and I wish that it had been oftener followed. Finally a record was often kept of any marked difference in the rate of germination of the recorded and self-fertillised seeks,—of the relative periods of flowering of the plants raised from them,—and of their productiveness, that is, of the number of seedcapaties which they produced and of the average washes of seed saying seeks are supported to

When I began my experiments I did not intend to raise crossed and self-fertilised plants for more than a single generation; but as soon as the plants of the first generation were in flower I thought that I would raise one more generation, and acted in the following manner. Several flowers on one or more of the selffertilised plants were again self-fertilised; and several

flowers on one or more of the crossed plants were fertilised with pollen from another crossed plant of the same lot. Having thus once begun, the same method was followed for as many as ten successive generations with some of the species. The seeds and seedlings were always treated in exactly the same manner as already described. The self-fertilised plants, whether originally descended from one or two mother-plants, were thus in each generation as closely interbred as was possible; and I could not have improved on my plan. But instead of crossing one of the crossed plants with another crossed plant, I ought to have crossed the selffertilised plants of each generation with pollen taken from a non-related plant-that is, one belonging to a distinct family or stock of the same species and variety. This was done in several cases as an additional experiment, and gave very striking results. But the plan usually followed was to put into competition and compare intercrossed plants, which were almost always the offspring of more or less closely related plants, with the self-fertilised plants of each succeeding generation;-all having been grown under closely similar conditions. I have, however, learnt more by this method of proceeding, which was begun by an oversight and then necessarily followed, than if I had always crossed the self-fertilised plants of each succeeding generation with pollen from a fresh stock.

I have said that the crossed plants of the successive generations were almost always inter-related. When the flowers on an hermaphrotite plant are crossed with pollen taken from a distinct plant, the seedlings thus raised may be considered as hermaphrotite brothers or sisters; those raised from the same capsule being as close as twins or animals of the same plant are distinct one sense the flowers on the same plant are distinct individuals, and as several flowers on the mother-plant were crossed by pollen taken from several flowers on the father-plant, such seedlings would be in one sense half-brothers or sisters, but more closely related than are the half-brothers and sisters of ordinary animals. The flowers on the mother-plant were, however, commonly crossed by pollen taken from two or more distinet plants; and in these cases the seedlings might be called with more truth half-brothers or sisters. When two or three mother-plants were crossed, as often happened, by pollen taken from two or three fatherplants (the seeds being all intermingled), some of the seedlings of the first generation would be in no way related, whilst many others would be whole or halfbrothers and sisters. In the second generation a large number of the seedlings would be what may be called whole or half first-cousins, mingled with whole and half-brothers and sisters, and with some plants not at all related. So it would be in the succeeding generations, but there would also be many cousins of the second and more remote degrees. The relationship will thus have become more and more inextricably complex in the later generations; with most of the plants in some degree and many of them closely related.

I have only one other point to notice, but this is one of the highest importance; namely, that the crossed and self-fertilised plants were subjected in the same generation to as nearly similar and uniform conditions as was possible. In the successive generations they were exposed to slightly different conditions as the seasons varied, and they were raised at different periods. But in other respects all were treated alike, being grown in pots in the same artificially prepared soil, being watered at the same time, and kept close together in the same greenhouse or bothouse. They were therefore not exposed during successive years to such great vicissitudes of climate as are plants growing out of doors.

On some apparent and real Causes of Error in my Esperiments.—It has been objected to such experiments as mine, that covering plants with a net, although only for a short time whilst in flower, may affect their health and fertility. I have seen no such effect except in one instance with a Mysostis, and the covering may not then have been the real cause of injury. But even if the net were slightly injurious, and certainly it was not so in any high degree, as I could judge by the appearance of the plants and by comparing their fertility with that of neighbouring uncovered plants, it would not have vittated my experiments; for in all the more important cases the flowers were crossed as well as selffertilised under a net, so that they were treated in this respect exactly alike.

As it is impossible to exclude such minute pollencarrying insects as Thrips, flowers which it was intended to fertilise with their own pollen may sometimes have been afterwards crossed with pollen brought by these insects from another flower on the same plant; but as we shall hereafter see, a cross of this kind does not produce any effect, or at most only a slight one. When two or more plants were placed near one another under the same net, as was often done, there is some real though not great danger of the flowers which were believed to be self-fertilised being afterwards crossed with pollen brought by Thrips from a distinct plant. I have said that the danger is not great, because I have often found that plants which are self-sterile, unless aided by insects, remained sterile when several plants of the same species were placed under the same net. If, however, the flowers which had been presumably self-fertilised by me were in any case afterwards crossed by Thrips with pollen brought from a distinct plant, crossed seedlings would have been included amongst the self-fertilised; but if should be especially observed that this occurrence would tend of diminish and not to increase any superiority in average height, fertility, &c., of the crossed over the self-fertilised plants.

As the flowers which were crossed were never castrated, it is probable or even almost certain that I sometimes failed to cross-fertilise them effectually, and that they were afterwards spontaneously self-fertilised. This would have been most likely to occur with dichogamous species, for without much care it is not easy to perceive whether their stigmas are ready to be fertilised when the anthers open. But in all cases, as the flowers were protected from wind, rain, and the access of insects, any pollen placed by me on the stigmatic surface whilst it was immature, would generally have remained there until the stigma was mature; and the flowers would then have been crossed as was intended. Nevertheless, it is highly probable that self-fertilised seedlings have sometimes by this means got included amongst the crossed seedlings. The effect would be, as in the former case, not to exaggerate but to diminish any average superiority of the crossed over the self-fertilised plants.

Errors arising from the two causes just named, and from others,—such as some of the seeds not having been thoroughly ripened, though care was taken to avoid this error—the sickness or unperceived injury of any of the plants,—will have been to a large extent eliminated, in those cases in which many crossed and self-fertilised plants were measured and an average struck. Some of these causes of error will also have been eliminated by the seeds having been allowed to germinate on bare damp sand, and being planted in pairs; for it is not likely that ill-matured and wellmatured, or diseased and healthy seeds, would germinate at exactly the same time. The same result will have been gained in the several cases in which only a few of the tallest, finest, and healthiest plants on each side of the pote were measured.

Kölreuter and Gärtner\* have proved that with some plants several, even as many as from fifty to sixty, pollen-grains are necessary for the fertilisation of all the ovules in the ovarium. Naudin also found in the case of Mirabilis that if only one or two of its very large pollen-grains were placed on the stigma, the plants raised from such seeds were dwarfed. I was therefore careful to give an amply sufficient supply of pollen, and generally covered the stigma with it; but I did not take any special pains to place exactly the same amount on the stigmas of the selffertilised and crossed flowers. After having acted in this manner during two seasons, I remembered that Gärtner thought, though without any direct evidence. that an excess of pollen was perhaps injurious; and it has been proved by Spallanzani, Quatrefages, and Newport,† that with various animals an excess of the seminal fluid entirely prevents fertilisation. It was therefore necessary to ascertain whether the fertility of the flowers was affected by applying a rather small and an extremely large quantity of pollen to the stigma. Accordingly a very small mass of pollen-grains was

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Kenntniss der Befruchtung,' 1844, p. 345. Naudin, 'Nouvelles Archives du Muséum,' Soc.' 1853, pp. 253-258.

placed on one side of the large stigma in sixty-four flowers of Ipomæa purpurea, and a great mass of pollen over the whole surface of the stigma in sixty-four other flowers. In order to vary the experiment, half the flowers of both lots were on plants produced from selffertilised seeds, and the other half on plants from crossed seeds. The sixty-four flowers with an excess of pollen vielded sixty-one capsules; and excluding four capsules, each of which contained only a single poor seed, the remainder contained on an average 5.07 seeds per capsule. The sixty-four flowers with only a little pollen placed on one side of the stigma yielded sixty-three capsules, and excluding one from the same cause as before, the remainder contained on an average 5.129 seeds. So that the flowers fertilised with little pollen vielded rather more capsules and seeds than did those fertilised with an excess; but the difference is too slight to be of any significance. On the other hand, the seeds produced by the flowers with an excess of pollen were a little heavier of the two; for 170 of them weighed 79.67 grains, whilst 170 seeds from the flowers with very little pollen weighed 79.20 grains. Both lots of seeds having been placed on damp sand presented no difference in their rate of germination. We may therefore conclude that my experiments were not affected by any slight difference in the amount of pollen used; a sufficiency having been employed in all cases.

The order in which our subject will be treated in the present volume is as follows. A long series of experiments will first be given in Chapters II. to VI. Tables will afterwards be appended, showing in a condensed form the relative heights, weights, and fertility of the offsoring of the various crossed and self-fertilised species. Another table exhibits the striking results from fertilising plants, which during several generations had either been self-fertilised or had been crossed with plants kept all the time under closely similar conditions, with pollen taken from plants of a distinct stock and which had been exposed to different constitions. In the concluding elapheters various related points and questions of general interest will be discussed.

Anyone not specially interested in the subject need not attempt to read all the details; though they possess, I think, some value, and cannot be all ammarised. But I would suggest to the reader to take as an example the experiments on Ingitalis, Origanum, Viola, or the common cabbage, as in all these cases the crossed plants are superior to the self-fertilised in a marked degree, but not in quite the same manner. As instances of self-fertilised plants being equal or superior to the crossed, the experiments on Bartonia, Canna, and the common pea ought to be road; but in the last case, and probably in that of Canna, the want of any superiority in the crossed plants can be explained.

Species were selected for experiment belonging to widely distinct families, inhabiting various countries. In some few cases several genera belonging to the same family were tried, and these are grouped together; but the families themselves have been arranged not in any natural order, but in that which was the most convenient for my purpose. The experiments have been fully given, as the results appear to me of sufficient value to justify the details. Plants bearing hermaphrodite flowers can be interbred more closely than is possible with the higher animals, and are theres fore well-fitted to throw light on the nature and extent of the good effects of crossing, and on the evil effects of close interbreeding or self-fertilisation. The most important conclusion at which I have arrived is that the mere act of crossing by itself does no good. The good depends on the individuals which are crossed differing slightly in constitution, owing to their progenitors having been subjected during several generations to slightly different conditions, or to what we call in our ignorance spontaneous variation. This conclusion, as we shall hereafter see, is closely connected with various important physiological problems, such as the benefit derived from slight changes in the conditions of life, and this stands in the closest connection with life itself. It throws light on the origin of the two sexes and on their separation or union in the same individual, and lastly on the whole subject of hybridism, which is one of the greatest obstacles to the general acceptance and progress of the great principle

In order to avoid misapprehension, I beg leave to repeat that throughout this volume a crossed plant, seedling, or seed, means one of crossed parantage, that is, one derived from a flower fertilised with pollen from a distinct plant of the same species. And that a self-fertilised plant, seedling, or seed, means one of self-fertilised parantage, that is, one derived from a flower fertilised with pollen from the same flower, or sometimes, when thus stated, from another flower on the same plant

## CHAPTER II.

CONVOLVULACER

Jpomos purpures, comparison of the height and fertility of the erosed and elifectified plants during ten mesonizer persentions. —Greater constitutional vigour of the crossed plants—The effects instead of crossing distinct individuals.—The effects of a cross with a fresh stock—The descendants of the self-fertilized plant mande Heres—Summer on the greatet, legars, and fertility of the susposite in the authors of the self-fertilized plants of the later persentage of the property of the self-fertilized plants—The colour of the flowers produced by the self-fertilized plants—The advantage from coras between two distinct plants depends on their advantage from coras between two distinct plants depends on their

A FLANT of I pomora purpures, or as it is often called in England the convolvulus major, a native of South America, grew in my greenhouse. Ten flowers on this plant were fertilised with pollen from the same flower; and ten other flowers on the same plant were crossed with pollen from a distinct plant. The fertilization of the flowers with their own pollen was superfluous, as this convolvulus is highly self-fertile; but I neted in this mamen to make the experiments correspond in all respects. Whilst the flowers are young the stigma projects beyond the anthers; and it might have been thought that it could not be fertilised without the aid of humble-bose, which often visit the flowers; but as the flower grows older the stamens increase in length, and their anthers brush against the stigma, which thus receives some pollen. The number of seeds produced by the crossed and self-fertilised flowers differed very little.

Crossed and self-fertilitied seeds obtained in the above manner was allowed to germinate on damp sand, and as often as pairs germinated at the same time they were planted in the manner described in the Introduction, on the opposite sides of two pots. Five pairs were thus planted; and all the remaining social, whether on on in a state of germination, were planted on the opposite sides of a third pot, so that the young plants on the sides were these greatly crowded and exposed to very seven competition. Bolds of firm or word of spall disanteer each pair reached the summit both were measured. A single roll was placed on each side of the crowded pot, No. III., and only the talket plant on each side was measured.

| No. of Pod. | Consolina | No. of Pod. | Consolina | No. of Pod. | Consolina | No. of Pod. | No. of

Table I. (First Generation.)

The average height of the six crossed plants is here 86 inches, whilst that of the xis self-fertilitied plants is only 65 of linches, so that the crossed plants are to the self-fertilitied in height as 100 to 76. It should be observed that this difference is not due to a few of the crossed plants being extremely tail, or to a few of the self-fertilitied being extremely hard, but to all the crossed plants plants are the contraction of the crossed plants are the contraction of the cont at the final measuring. But it is an interesting fact, of which I have seen several other instances, that one of the self-fertilised plants, when nearly a foot in height, was half an inch taller plant is the plant; and again, when two foot high, it was 13 inch taller, but during the ten subsequent days the crossed plant began to gain on its antagonist, and ever afterward asserted its supremacy, until it exceeded its self-fertilised opponent by 10 inches.

The five crossed plants in Pots I. and II. were covered with a net, and produced 121 capsules; the five self-fertilised plants produced eighty-four capsules, so that the numbers of capsules were as 100 to 69. Of the 121 capsules on the crossed plants sixty-five were the product of flowers crossed with pollen from a distinct plant, and these contained on an average 5:23 seeds per capsule; the remaining fifty-six capsules were spontaneously self-fertilised. Of the eighty-four capsules on the self-fertilised plants, all the product of renewed self-fertilisation, fifty-five (which were alone examined) contained on an average 4.85 seeds per capsule. Therefore the cross-fertilised capsules, compared with the self-fertilised capsules, yielded seeds in the proportion of 100 to 93. The crossed seeds were relatively heavier than the self-fertilised seeds. Combining the above data (i.e., number of capsules and average number of contained seeds), the crossed plants, compared with the self-fertilised, yielded seeds in the ratio of 100 to 64.

These crossed plants produced, as already stated, fifty-six spontaneously self-fertilised capsules, and the self-fertilised plants produced twenty-nine such capsules. The former contained on an average, in comparison with the latter, seeds in the proportion of 100 to 99

In Fot III, on the opposite sides of which a large number of crossed and self-critilized scots had been sown and the scotlings allowed to struggle together, the crossed plants had at first no great advantage. At one time the falled crossed was first no great advantage. At one time the tablest crossed was the difference afterwards became nucle greater. The plants on both sides, from being so crowded, were poor specimens. The flowers were allowed to fertilize themselves spontaneously nucles a net; the crossed plants produced thirty-seven capsules, the self-derithined plants only sighteen, or as 100 to 47. The formation of the control of the control of the control of the control of 3.28 secole, or as 100 to 98. Combining these data (f.e., number of capsules and average number of seeds), the crowded crossed plants produced seeds compared with the self-fertilised as 100 to 45. These latter seeds, however, were decidedly heavier, a hundred weighing 41.64 grains, than those from the capsules on the crossed plants, of which a hundred weighed 36.79 grains: and this probably was due to the fewer capsules borne by the self-fertilised plants having been better nourished. We thus see that the crossed plants in this the first generation, when grown under favourable conditions, and when grown under unfavourable conditions from being much crowded, greatly exceeded in height, and in the number of capsules produced, and slightly in the number of seeds per capsule, the self-fertilised plants,

Crossed and self-fertilised Plants of the Second Generation ,-Flowers on the crossed plants of the last generation (Table I.) were crossed by pollen from distinct plants of the same generation; and flowers on the self-fertilised plants were fertilised by pollen from the same flower. The seeds thus produced were treated in every respect as before, and we have in Table II.

No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants
L	Inches, 87	Inches, 671
L.	83	681
	83	801
II.	851 89 771	61 § 79
	89	79
	772	41
Total inches.	503	398

Here again every single crossed plant is taller than its antareached the unusual height of 80t inches, was for a long time taller than the opposed crossed plant, though at last beaten by whilst that of the six self-fertilised plants is 66.33 inches, or

Crossed and self-fertilised Plants of the Third Generation - Scools from the crossed plants of the last generation (Table II.) again crossed, and from the self-fertilised plants again self-fertilised, were treated in all respects exactly as before, with the following result:—

Table III. (Third Generation.)

No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants
I.	Inches, 74 72 73 g	Inches. 56 1 51 1
II.	82 81 82	59 30 66
Total inches.	464.5	817:0

Again all the crossed plants are higher than their antagonists: their average height is 77.41 inches, whereas that of the selffertilised is 52.83 inches, or as 100 to 68.

I attended closely to the fertility of the plants of this third generation. Thirty flowers on the crossed plants were crossed with pollen from other crossed plants of the same generation. and the twenty-six capsules thus produced contained, on an average, 4.73 seeds; whilst thirty flowers on the self-fertilised plants, fertilised with the pollen from the same flower, produced twenty-three capsules, each containing 4·43 seeds. Thus the average number of seeds in the crossed capsules was to that in the self-fertilised capsules as 100 to 94. A hundred of the crossed seeds weighed 43 27 grains, whilst a hundred of the selffertilised seeds weighed only 57 '63 grains. Many of these lighter self-fertilised seeds placed on damp sand germinated before the crossel; thus thirty-six of the former germinated whilst only thirteen of the latter or crossed seeds germinated. In Pot I. the three crossed plants produced spontaneously under the net (besides the twenty-six artificially cross-fertilised capsules) seventy-seven self-fertilised capsules containing on an average 4.41 seeds; whilst the three self-fertilised plants produced spontaneously (besides the twenty-three artificially self-fertilised capsules) only twenty-nine self-fertilised capsules, containing on an average 4:14 seeds. Therefore the average number of seeds in the two lots of spontaneously self-fertilised capsules was as

100 to 94. Taking into consideration the number of capsules together with the average number of seeds, the crossed plants (spontaneously self-fertilised) produced seeds in comparison with the self-fertilised) plants (spontaneously self-fertilised) in the proportion of 100 to 33. By whatever melhod the fertility of these plants is compared, the crossed are more fertile than the self-fertilised plants.

I tried in several ways the comparative vigour and powers of growth of the crossed and self-fertilised plants of this third generation. Thus, four self-fertilised seeds which had just germinated were planted on one side of a pot, and after an interval of forty-eight hours, four crossed seeds in the same state of germination were planted on the opposite side; and the pot was kept in the bothoms. I thought that the advantage thus given to the self-fertilised seedlings would have been so great that they would never have been beaten by the crossed ones no five the self-fertilised seedlings would have been so great that they would never have been beaten by the crossed ones. They were not beaten until all had grown to a height of 18 Thus were all beaten until all had grown to a height of 18 Subway in the following table (No. IV.). We have that the saverage height of the four crossed plants is 76° 619, and of the four self-fertilised plants 65°ST inches, or as 100 to 86; therefore less than when both sides started fair.

Table IV. (Third Generation, the self-fertilised Plants having had a start of forty-eight hours.)

No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants
III.	Inches, 78 å	Inches, 73 ‡
	77 1 73 77 1	53 61 ± 75 ±
Total inches.	306.5	263.5

Crossed and self-fertillized seeds of the third generation were also sown out of doors late in the summer, and therefore under unfavourable conditions, and a single stick was given to each lot of plants to twine up. The two lots were sufficiently separate so as not to interfere with each other's growth, and the ground was clear of weeds. As soon as they were killed by the first frost (and there was no difference in their hardiness), the two tallest crossed plants were found to be 24° one 12°5 inches, whilst the two tallest self-fertilised plants were only 15 and 12.5 inches in height, or as 100 to 59.

I likewise sowed at the same time two lots of the same scots in a part of the garden which was shady and covered with weeds. The crossed seedlings from the first looked the most healthy, but they twined up a stick only to a height of 7½ inches; whilst the self-fertilized were not able to twine at all; and the tallest of them was only 3½ inches in height. Lastly, two lots of the same seeds were sown in the midst of

a held of analysis (there) proving viscously. The minimum as held of analysis (there) proving viscously.

In a support of the self-fertilled ones soon died excepting one, which never twined and grew to a height of only 4 inches. Many of the crossol seedlings, on the other hand, survived; and some twined up the stems of the Beris to the height of all linches. These cases prove that the crossed seedlings have an immense advantage over the self-fertilled, both when growing isolated under very undavarable conditions, and when put into competition with such other or with other plants, as a constraint of the conditions of the condition of the conditi

Crosset and sej-printed Points of the Fourth Generation.—Seedlings raised as before from the crossed and self-fertilised plants of the third generation in Table III., gave results as follows:— Table V. (Fourth Generation.)

No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants.
I.	Inches. 84 47	Inches, 80 44 ½
II.	83 59	78 ½ 51 ½
III.	82 65 <del>1</del> 68	561 63 52
Total inches.	488.5	421-0

Here the average height of the seven crossed plants is 69.78 inches, and that of the seven self-fertilised plants 60.14; or as 100 to 86. This smaller difference relatively to that in the former generations, may be attributed to the plants having been raised during the depth of winter, and consequently to their not having grown vigorously, as was shown by their general apprance and from several of them never reaching the summits of the role. In Pot II., one of the self-fertilized plants was for a long time taller by two inches than its opponent, but was ultimately beaten by it, so that all the crossed plants exceeded their opponents in height. Of two-pic-dict capsulars produced by the crossed plants fertilized by polen from a distinct plant, but was considered by the crossed plants fertilized on the self-fertilized plants, each contained on an average 4-47 scots; so that the proportion of scods in the crossed and deficilized capsulars was a 100 to 94.

Some of the ames seeds, from which the plants in the last Table Y, had been raised, were planted, after they had germinated on damp sand, in a square tub, in which a large Brugmusia had long been growing. The soil was extremely poor and full of roots; six crossed seeds were planted in one corner, and its self-critified seeds in the opposite corner. All the seedlings from the latter soon died excepting one, and this grow to the height of only 1 inch. Of the crossed plants three the contract of the contract of the contract of the conable to twine round a stick; novertheless, to my surprise, they produced some small missenble flowers. The crossed plants thus had a decided advantage over the self-fertilised plants under this extremity of bad conditions.

Crossed and self-fertilised Plants of the Fifth Generation.—These were raised in the same manner as before, and when measured gave the following results:—

Table VI. (Fifth Generation.)

No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants.
L.	Inches. 96 86 69	Inches. 73 78 29
II.	84 84 76 ‡	51 84 59
Total inches.	495.25	374-00

The average height of the six crossed plants is 82·54 inches,  $^{\circ}$  D  $^{\circ}$  2

and that of the six self-fertilised plants 62:33 inches, or as 100 to 75. Every crossed plant exceeded its antagonist in height. In Pot I, the middle plant on the crossed side was slightly injured whilst young by a blow, and was for a time beaten by its opponent, but ultimately recovered the usual superiority. The crossed plants produced spontaneously a vast number more capsules than did the self-fertilised plants; and the capsules of the former contained on an average 3.37 seeds, whilst those of the latter contained only 3.0 per capsule, or as 100 to 89. But looking only to the artificially fertilised capsules, those on the crossed plants again crossed contained on an average 4.46 seeds, whilst those on the self-fertilised plants again selffertilised contained 4.77 seeds; so that the self-fertilised capsules were the more fertile of the two, and of this unusual fact I can offer no explanation Crossed and self-fertilised Plants of the Sixth Generation .-These were raised in the usual manner, with the following result.

I should state that there were originally eight plants on each side; but as two of the seid reliable of mome extremely make the seid; but as two of the seid reliable of the most reliable of the seid reliable of the seid of the seid reliable of the seid reliable

Table VII. (Sixth Generation.)

No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants
I.	Inches, 93 91	Inches, 50 ½ 65
II.	79 86½ 88	50 87 62
III.	87 1	64 ½
Total inches,	525	379

The average height of the six crossed plants is here  $87 \cdot 5$ , and of the six self-fertilised plants  $63 \cdot 16$ , or as 100 to 72. This large difference was chiefly due to most of the plants, especially the

subfertillised ones, having become unhealthy towards the close of their growth, and they were severely attacked by aphides. From this cause nothing can be inferred with respect to their relative fartility. In this generation we have the first instance of a self-fertilised plant in Pot II. exceeding (though only by after the properties of the properties of the properties of the after long struggle. At first the self-fertilised plant was several inches taller than its opponent, but when the latter was 44 feet high it had grown equal; it then grow a little taller than the self-fertilised plant, but was ultimately beaten by it to the extent of half an inch, as shown in the table. I was so much the properties of the properties of the properties of the thing had at this case that I saved the self-fertilised scele of this plant is self-fertilised scele of this plant is self-fertilised scele of this plant was self-fertilised scele of the properties of the prop

Besides the plants included in Table VII, nine crossed and nine self-fertilized plants of the same lot were missed in two other pots, IV, and V. Those pots had been kept in the hothouse, but from want of room were, whilst the plants were young, suddenly moved during very cold weather into the coldest part of the greenhouse. They all suffered greatly, and never quite recovered. After a fortnight only two of the nine self-fertilised seedlings were alive, whilst seven of the crossed survived. The tallest of these latter plants when measured was "finished in height, whilst the tallest of the two surviving selffrinches in height, whilst the tallest of the two surviving selfmore vigorous the crossed plants are than the self-fertilized, home vigorous the crossed plants are than the self-fertilized.

These were raised as heretofore with the following result:—

Table VIII. (Seventh Generation.)

No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants.
I.	Inches. 84 ‡ 84 ‡ 76 ‡	Inches, 74 g 84 55 g
II.	844 90 821	65 51 2 80 5
III.	83 86	67 g 60 g
IV.	842	753
Total inches.	755.50	614.25

Each of these nine crossed plants is higher than its opposent, though in one case only by three-quarters of an inol. Their average height is 83°94 inches, and that of the self-fertilized plants 68°39, or as 100 to 81. These plants, after proving to their full height, became very unhealthy and infested with sphides, just when the seeds were setting, so that many of the capsules failed, and nothing can be said on their relative fertility.

Crossed and self-fertilised Plants of the Eighth Generation .- As just stated, the plants of the last generation, from which the present ones were raised, were very unhealthy and their seeds of unusually small size; and this probably accounts, through abnormal premature growth, for the two lots behaving differently to what they did in any of the previous or succeeding generations. Many of the self-fertilised seeds germinated before the crossed ones, and these were of course rejected. When the crossed seedlings in Table IX. had grown to a height of between 1 and 2 feet, they were all, or almost all, shorter than their selffertilised opponents, but were not then measured. When they had acquired an average height of 32-28 inches, that of the self-fertilised plants was 40.68, or as 100 to 122. Moreover, every one of the self-fertilised plants, with a single exception, exceeded its crossed opponent. When, however, the crossed plants had grown to an average height of 77.56 inches, they just exceeded (viz., by '7 of an inch) the average height of the self-fertilised plants; but two of the latter were still taller than their crossed opponents. I was so much astonished at this whole case, that I tied string to the summits of the rods; the plants being thus allowed to continue climbing upwards. When their growth was complete they were untwined, stretched straight. and measured. The crossed plants had now almost regained their accustomed superiority, as may be seen in Table IX.

The average height of the eight crossed plants is here 132:25 inches, and that of the self-fertilized plants 95:6, or as 100 to 85. Nevertheless two of the self-fertilized plants, as may be seen in the table, were still higher than their crosses. The latter manifestly had much thicker stems and morpounds. The latter manifestly had much thicker stems and morpounds that the self-fertilized plants, and generally flowered before them. The acriler flowers produced by these self-fertilized plants did not searlier flowers produced by these self-fertilized plants did not seat any capsules, and their anthers contained only a small amount of police just to this subject I shall return. Neverthe-

less capsules produced by two other self-fertilised plants of the same lot, not included in Table IX., which had been highly favoured by being grown in separate pots, contained the large average number of  $5\cdot 1$  seeds per capsule.

TABLE IX. (Eighth Generation.)

No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plan
	Inches.	Inches,
I.	1119	96
	127	54
	130 4	931
II.	973	94
	89 [	125 8
III.	1039	1154
	1009	84.9
	147 [	1099
Total inches.	908:25	773-25

Crossed and self-fertilised Plants of the Ninth Generation,— The plants of this generation were raised in the same manner as before, with the result shown in Table X.

The fourteen crossed plants average in height 81.39 inches and the fourteen self-fertilised plants 64.07, or as 100 to 79, One self-fertilised plant in Pot III. exceeded, and one in Pot IV. equalled in height, its opponent. The self-fertilised plants showed no sign of inheriting the precocious growth of their parents; this having been due, as it would appear, to the abnormal state of the seeds from the unhealthiness of their parents. The fourteen self-fertilised plants yielded only forty spontaneously self-fertilised capsules, to which must be added seven, the product of ten flowers artificially self-fertilised. On the other hand, the fourteen crossed plants yielded 152 spontaneously self-fertilised capsules; but thirty-six flowers on these plants were crossed (yielding thirty-three capsules), and these flowers would probably have produced about thirty spontaneously self-fertilised capsules. Therefore an equal number of the crossed and self-fertilised plants would have produced capsules in the proportion of about 182 to 47, or as 100 to 26. Another phenomenon was well pronounced in this generation, but I believe had occurred previously to a slight extent : namely, that most of the flowers on the self-fertilised plants were somewhat monstrous. The monstrously consisted in the corolla being irregularly split so that it did not open properly, with one or two of the stames slightly foliacous, coloured, and firmly coherent to the corolla. I observed this monstrously in only one shower on the crossed plants. The self-fertilized properties of the corollar control of the corollar corollar plants are most produced to the corollar plants are more produced to the flowers, for they had already become in some decree sterilor.

TABLE X. (Ninth Generation.)

No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants
	Inches.	Inches.
I.	834	57
	851	71
	83 [	481
II.	832	45
	64 3	481
	641	381
		001
III.	79	63
	881	71
	61	891
IV.	824	824
	90	761
		101
V.	891	67
Crowded plants.	921	743
	921	70
Total inches.	1139.5	897.0

Crossed and self-profilied Plants of the Tenth Generation.—Six plants were raised in the usual manner from the crossed plants of the last generation (Table X.) again intercrossed, and from the self-fertilised again self-fertilised. As one of the crossed plants in Pct I, in the following lable became untel diseased, having crumpled leaves, and producing hardly any capsules, it and its opponent have been struck out of the table.

\* See on this subject 'Variation of Animals and Plants under Domestication,' chap. xviii. 2nd edit. vol. ii. p. 152.

Table XI. (Tenth Generation.)

No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants.
L	Inches. 92 1 94 1	Inches. 47 g 34 g
II.	87 89 § 105	544 498 668
Total inches.	468.5	252-0

The five crossed plants average 93.7 inches, and the five selffertilised only 50.4, or as 100 to 54. This difference, however, is so great that it must be looked at as in part accidental. The six crossed plants (the diseased one here included) yielded spontaneously 101 cansules, and the six self-fertilised plants 88, the latter being chiefly produced by one of the plants. But as the diseased plant, which yielded hardly any seed, is here included, the ratio of 101 to 88 does not fairly give the relative fertility of the two lots. The stems of the six crossed plants looked so much finer than those of the six self-fertilised plants, that after the capsules had been gathered and most of the leaves had fallen off, they were weighed. Those of the crossed plants weighed 2,693 grains, whilst those of the self-fertilised plants weighed only 1,173 grains, or as 100 to 44; but as the diseased and dwarfed crossed plant is here included, the superiority of the former in weight was really greater.

The Effects on the Offspring of crossing different Flowers on the same Plant, instead of crossing distinct Individuals.—In all the foregoing experiments, seedlings from flowers crossed by pollen from a distinct plant (though in the later generations more or less closely related) were put into competition with, and almost invariably proved markedly superior in height to the offspring from self-fertilised flowers. I wished, therefore, to ascertain whether a cross between two flowers on the same plant would give to the offspring any superiority

over the offspring from flowers fertilised with their own pollen. I procured some fresh seed and raised two plants, which were covered with a net; and several of their flowers were crossed with pollen from a distinct flower on the same plant. Twenty-nine capsules thus produced contained on an average 4.86 seeds per capsule; and 100 of these seeds weighed 36.77 grains. Several other flowers were fertilised with their own pollen, and twenty-six capsules thus produced contained on an average 4.42 seeds per capsule; 100 of which weighed 42.61 grains. So that a cross of this kind appears to have increased slightly the number of seeds per capsule, in the ratio of 100 to 91; but these crossed seeds were lighter than the self-fertilised in the ratio of 86 to 100. I doubt, however, from other observations, whether these results are fully trustworthy. The two lots of seeds, after germinating on sand, were planted in pairs on the opposite sides of nine pots, and were treated in every respect like the plants in the previous experiments. The remaining seeds, some in a state of germination and some not so, were sown on the opposite sides of a large pot (No. X.); and the four tallest plants on each side of this pot were measured. The result is shown in The average height of the thirty-one crossed plants is

The average height of the thirty-one crossed plants is 37-23 inches, and that of the thirty-one self-ferillised plants 77-41 inches; or as 100 to 106. Looking to each pair, it may be seen that only thirteen of the crossed plants, whilst eighteen of the self-ferillised plants exceed their opponents. A record was kept with respect to the plant which flowered first in each pot; and only two of the crossed flowered before one of the self-ferillised in the same pot; whilst eight of the selfferillised flowered first. It thus appears that the

TABLE XIL

_		
No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants,
	Inches.	Inches,
I.	82	774
	75	87
	65	64
	76	871
II.	781	84
	43	861
	651	901
	001	901
III.	010	
111.	618	86
	85	691
	89	871
IV.	83	801
	731	881
	67	841
-		-
V.	78	661
	769	771
	57	81
		ort
VI. •	804	80
7.4.	701	80
		824
	791	55 1
VII.		
VII.	76	77
	841	831
	79	73 1
VIII.	73	761
	67	82
	83	80,
IX,	731	781
	78	67
X. '	34	004
Crowded plants,	82	824
oroman plants,	82 849	369
	043	69
	71	757
Total inches.	2270.25	2399 - 75

crossed plants are slightly inferior in height and in earliness of flowering to the self-fertilised. But the inferiority in height is so small, namely as 100 to 106, that I should have felt very doubtful on this head, had I not down all the plants (except those in the crowded pot No. X.) close to the ground and weighed them. The twenty-seven crossed plants weighed 16½ ounces, and the twenty-seven self-fertilised plants 20½ ounces; and this gives a ratio of 100 to 124. A self-fertilised plant of the same parentage as those

in Table XII. had been raised in a separate pot for a distinct purpose; and it proved partially sterile, the anthers containing very little pollen. Several flowers on this plant were crossed with the little pollen which could be obtained from the other flowers on the same plant; and other flowers were self-fertilised. From the seeds thus produced four crossed and four self-fertilised plants were raised, which were planted in the usual manner on the opposite sides of two pots. All these four crossed plants were inferior in height to their opponents; they averaged 78.18 inches, whilst the four self-fertilised plants averaged 84.8 inches; or as 100 to 108.\* This case, therefore, confirms the last. Taking all the evidence together, we must conclude that these strictly self-fertilised plants grew a little taller, were heavier, and generally flowered before those derived from a cross between two flowers on the same plant. These latter plants thus present a wonderful contrast with those derived from a cross between two distinct individuals.

an average only 3.2 seeds per capsule; so that this plant had apparently inherited some of the sterility of its parent.

apsules, and they contained on sterility of its parent.

© The Complete Work of Charles Darwin Online

<sup>\*</sup> From one of these self-fertilised plants, spontaneously selffertilised, I gathered twenty-four capsules, and they contained on

The Effects on the Offspring of a Cross with a distinct or fresh Stock belonging to the same Variety.-From the two foregoing series of experiments we see, firstly, the good effects during several successive generations of a cross between distinct plants, although these were in some degree inter-related and had been grown under nearly the same conditions; and, secondly, the absence of all such good effects from a cross between flowers on the same plant; the comparison in both cases being made with the offspring of flowers fertilised with their own pollen. The experiments now to be given show how powerfully and beneficially plants, which have been intercrossed during many successive generations, having been kept all the time under nearly uniform conditions, are affected by a cross with another plant belonging to the same variety, but to a

distinct family or stock, which had grown under dif-

ferent conditions.

Several flowers on the crossed plants of the ninth generation in Table X., were crossed with pollen from another crossed plant of the same lot. The seedlings thus raised formed the tenth intercrossed generation, and I will call them the "intercrossed plants." Several other flowers on the same crossed plants of the ninth generation were fertilised (not having been castrated) with pollen taken from plants of the same variety, but belonging to a distinct family, which had been grown in a distant garden at Colchester, and therefore under somewhat different conditions. The capsules produced by this cross contained, to my surprise, fewer and lighter seeds than did the capsules of the intercrossed plants: but this, I think, must have been accidental. The seedlings raised from them I will call the " Colchester-crossed," The two lots of seeds, after germinating on sand, were planted in the usual manner on the opposite sides of five pots, and the remaining seeds, whether or not in a state of germination. were thickly sown on the opposite sides of a very large pot. No. VI., in Table XIII. In three of the six pots, after the young plants had twined a short way up their sticks, one of the Colebsetve-crossed plants was much taller than any one of the intercrossed plants on the opposits side of the amap pet, and in the three other pots somewhat taller. I should state that two of the Colebsetve-crossed plants in Pet VIV, when about two-thirds grown, became much diseased, and were, together with their intercrossed opponents, rejected. The remaining nineteen plants, when almost fully grown, were measured, with the following result:—

TABLE XIII.

No. of Pot.	Colchester-crossed Plants,	Intercrossed Plants of the Tenth Generation
	Inches.	Inches.
I.	87	78
	871	1 681
	85	941
II.	93 6	60
	851	871
	90	45
III.	842 .	701
	921	819
	85	86
IV.	95 8	651
V.	901	859
	861	63
	84	629
VI.	904	431
Crowded plants in a	75	399
very large pot.	71	30%
	834	86
	63	53
	65	481
Total inches.	1596 • 50	1249 • 75

In sixteen out of these nineteen pairs, the Colchester-crossed plant exceeded in height its intercrossed opponent. The average height of the Colchester-crossed is 84 '03 inches, and that of the intercrossed 65 '78 inches; or as 100 to 78. With respect to the fertility of the two lois, it was to troublesome to collect and count the capacies on all the plants; so I selected two of the best pois, V, and VI, and in these the Colchester-crossed probability of the control of the control of the control of the cross 100 to 157. By weight the capsules from the Colchestercrosed plants were to those from the intercrossed plants as 100 to 61; so that the former probably contained a somewhat larger average number of seeds.

We learn from this important experiment that plants in some degree related, which had been intercrossed during the nine previous generations, when they were fertilised with pollen from a fresh stock, yielded seedlings as superior to the seedlings of the tenth intercrossed generation, as these latter were to the selffertilised plants of the corresponding generation. For if we look to the plants of the ninth generation for it we look to the plants of the ninth generation. For it we look to the plants of the ninth generation. The tandard of comparison) we find that the intercrossed plants were in height to the self-fertilised as 100 to 78, and in fertility as 100 to 28; whilst the Colchestercrossed plants are in height to the intercrossed as 100 to 78, and in fertility as 100 to 51.

The Denominate of the wife-terilized Plant, numed Here, which general the Sixth wife-fertilized Generation.—In the five generations before the sixth, the crossed plant of each pair was taller than its self-cellfulled opponent; but in the sixth generation (Chile VII., Tet II.) the Here appeared, which after a long and admines struggle conquered its crossed opponent, though by only half an inch. I was so much surprised at this fact, that I resolved to accurate a whether this plant would transmit its powers of growth to its seedlings. Several flowers on the control of the seedlings are several flowers on the control of the seedlings. Several flowers on the control of the seedlings are several flowers on the control of the seedlings. Several flowers of the several flowers of the corresponding generation. The third half the control of the corresponding generation. The three body of seedlings thus all belong to the several exemption. Their relative heights are shown in the two following tables:—

TABLE XIV.

No. of Pot.	Self-fertilised Plants of the Seventh Gene- ration, Children of Hero.	Self-fertilised Plants of the Seventh Gene- ration.  Inches. 89 4 61 49		
L	Inches. 74 60 55 2			
II.	92 91 f 74 f	82 56 38		
Total inches.	447.25	375 • 50		

The average height of the six self-fertilised children of Hero is 74:54 inches, whilst that of the ordinary self-fertilised plants of the corresponding generation is only 62:58 inches, or as 100 to 84.

Table XV.

ABLE XV

No. of Pot.	Self-fertilised Plants of the Seventh Gene- ration, Children of Hero.	Intercrossed Plants o the Seventh Gene- ration.		
III,	Inches. 92	Inches, 76 g		
IV.	87 87 g	89 861		
Total inches.	266.75	252.50		

Here the average height of the three self-fertillied children of Hero is 88°91 blues, whilst that of the intercreesed plants is 84°16; or as 100 to 95. We thus see that the self-fertillied felders of Hero certainly inherit parents; for growth of the parents; for they greatly exceed in height the self-fertillied offspring of the other self-fertillied, and even exceed by a triffe the intercreesed plants,—all of the corresponding escention. Seventa flowers on the self-fertilised children of Hero in Table.

Wit were fertilised with pollen from the same flower; and from
the sods thus produced, self-fertilised plants of the eighth
generation (grand-children of Hero) were raised. Sevenal other
flowers on the same plants were crossed with pollen from the other
flowers on the same plants were crossed with pollen from the other
children of Hero. The seedlings raised from this cross may be
considered as the offspring of the union of brothers and sisters.

The result of the competition between these two sets of seedlings
(mandy salf-fertilised and the offspring of brothers and sisters)
is given in the following table:—

TABLE XVI.

No. of Pot.	Self-fertilised Grand- children of Hero, from the Self-fertilised Children. Eighth Generation.	Grandchildren from a cross between the self- fertilised Children of Hero. Eighth Gene- ration.  Inches.  95  95  95  95  8		
I.	Inches, 86 g 90 g			
II.	96 77 [	85 93		
III.	73 66 84 §	868 824 702		
IV.	881 84 362 74	66 1 15 1 38 78 1		
v.	90 l 90 g	82 § 83 §		
Total inches.	1037.00	973-13		

The average height of the thirteen self-fertilised grandchildren of Hero is 79·76 inches, and that of the grandchildren from a cross between the self-fertilised children is 74·85; or as 100 to 34. But in Pot IV. one of the crossed plants grew only to a height of 154 inches; and if this plant and its opponent are struck out, as would be the fairest plan, the average height of the crossed plants exceeds, but only by a fraction of an inch, that of the selffertilised plants. It is therefore clear that a cross between the subfertilised oblither on Heros did not produce any hemofais effect worth notice; and it is very doubtful whether this negative result and he attributed merely to the fact of trothers and sisters having been united, for the ordinary intercrossed plants of the several accessive generations must often have been derived from the accessive generations must often have been derived from the of them were greatly superior to the subful Chip 1), and yet all of them were greatly superior to the subful chip 1, and yet all are therefore driven to the suspicion, which we shall soon see strengthened, that Hero transmitted to its ofspring a peculiar constitution adapted for self-fertilisation.

It would appear that the self-fertilised descendants of Hero have not only inherited from Hero a power of growth equal to that of the ordinary intercrossed plants, but have become more fertile when self-fertilised than is usual with the plants of the present species. The flowers on the self-fertilised grandchildren of Hero in Table XVI. (the eighth generation of self-fertilised plants) were fertilised with their own pollen and produced plenty of capsules, ten of which (though this is too few a number for a safe average) contained 5.2 seeds per capsule,-a higher average than was observed in any other case with the self-fertilised plants. The anthers produced by these self-fertilised grandchildren were also as well developed and contained as much pollen as those on the intercrossed plants of the corresponding generation; whereas this was not the case with the ordinary self-fertilised plants of the later generations. Nevertheless some few of the flowers produced by the grandchildren of Hero were slightly monstrous, like those of the ordinary self-fertilised plants of the later generations. In order not to recur to the subject of fertility, I may add that twenty-one self-fertilised capsules, spontaneously produced by the great-grandchildren of Hero (forming the ninth generation of self-fertilised plants), contained on an average 4.47 seeds; and this is as high an average as the self-fertilised flowers of any generation usually vielded.

Several flowers on the self-fertilled grandchildren of Hero in Table XVI, were fertilled with polion from the same flower; and the seedlings raised from them (great-grandchildren of Hero) formed the inith self-fertilled spectarities. Several other flowers were crossed with polien from another grandchild, so that they were crossed with polien from another grandchild, so that they may be considered as the offspring of brothers and sisters, and the seedlings thus raised may be called the interconsed great-grandchildren. And lastly, other flowers were fertilled with polien from a distinct stock, and the seedlings thus raised may be called the Colchester-crossed great-grandchildren. In my anxiety to see what the result would be, I unfortunately planted the three lots of seeds (after they had germinated on sand) in the hothouse in the middle of winter, and in consequence of this the seedlings (twenty in number of each kind) became very unhealthy, some growing only a few inches in height, and very few to their proper height. The result, therefore, cannot be fully trusted; and it would be useless to give the measurements in detail. In order to strike as fair an average as possible. I first excluded all the plants under 50 inches in height, thus rejecting all the most unhealthy plants. The six self-fertilised thus left were on an average 66.86 inches high; the eight intercrossed plants 63.2 high; and the seven Colchester-crossed 65.37 high; so that there was not much difference between the three sets, the selffertilised plants having a slight advantage. Nor was there any great difference when only the plants under 36 inches in height were excluded. Nor again when all the plants, however much dwarfed and unhealthy, were included. In this latter case the Colchester-crossed gave the lowest average of all: and if these plants had been in any marked manner superior to the other two lots, as from my former experience I fully expected they would have been, I cannot but think that some vestige of such superiority would have been evident, notwithstanding the very unhealthy condition of most of the plants. No advantage, as far as we can judge, was derived from intercrossing two of the grandchildren of Hero, any more than when two of the children were crossed. It appears therefore that Hero and its descendants have varied from the common type, not only in acquiring great power of growth, and increased fertility when subjected to selffertilisation, but in not profiting from a cross with a distinct stock; and this latter fact, if trustworthy, is a unique case, as far as I have observed in all my experiments.

Summary on the Growth, Vigour, and Fertility of the successive Generations of the crossed and self-fertilised Plants of Ipomoca purpurea, together with some miscellaneous Observations.

In the following table, No. XVII., we see the average or mean heights of the ten successive generations of the intercrossed and self-fertilised plants, grown in

competition with each other; and in the right-hand column we have the ratios of the one to the other, the height of the intercrossed plants being taken at 100. In the bottom line the mean height of the seventy three intercrossed plants is shown to be 85°84 inches, and that of the seventy-three self-fertilised plants 66°02 inches, or as 100 to 77.

Іротаа ригригеа.	TABLE XVII.  Summary of Measurements (in Inches) of the Ten Generations.
	Post town

the Ten Generations,						
Number of the Generation.	of	Average Height of Crossed Piants,	of Self-	Average Height o Self-ferti- lis-d Plants,	Ratio between Average Heights of Crossed and Self-fertilised Plants.	
First generation Table I.	6	86.00	6	65.66	as 100 to 76	
Second generation Table II.	. 6	84.16	6	66.33	as 100 to 79	
Third generation Table III.	6	77.41	6	52.83	as 100 to 68	
Fourth generation Table V.	7	69.78	7	60.14	as 100 to 86	
Fifth generation Table VI.	6	82.54	6	62.33	as 100 to 75	
Sixth generation Table VII.	6	87.50	6	63.16	as 100 to 72	
Seventh generation Table VIII.	9	83.94	9	68.25	as 100 to 81	
Eighth generation Table 1X.	8	113.25	8	96.65	as 100 to 85	
Ninth generation Table X.	14	81.39	14	64.07	as 100 to 79	
Tenth generation Table XI.	5	93.70	5	50.40	as 100 to 54	
All the ten monantions		1	-		1	

© The Complete Work of Charles Darwin Online

taken together .

The mean height of the self-fertilised plants in each of the ten generations is also shown in the accompanying diagram, that of the intercrossed plants being taken at 100; and on the right side we see the relative heights of the seventy-three intercrossed plants, and of the seventy-three self-fertilised plants. The difference in height between the crossed and



Diagram showing the mean heights of the crossed and self-fertilised plants of Ipomous purpureu in the ten generations; the mean height of the crossed plants being taken as 100. On the right hand, the mean heights of the crossed and self-fertilised plants of all the generations taken together are shown.

self-fertilised plants will perhaps be best appreciated by an illustration: If all the men in a country were on an average 6 feet high, and there were some families which had been long and closely interbred, these would be almost dwarfs, their average height during ten generations being only 4 feet 81 inches.

It should be especially observed that the average difference between the crossed and self-fertilised plants is not due to a few of the former having grown to an extraordinary height, or to a few of the self-fertilised being extremely short, but to all the crossed plants having surpassed their self-fertilised opponents, with the few following exceptions. The first occurred in the sixth generation, in which the plant named "Hero" appeared; two in the eighth generation, but the selffertilised plants in this generation were in an anomalous condition, as they grew at first at an unusual rate and conquered for a time the opposed crossed plants; and two exceptions in the ninth generation, though one of these plants only equalled its crossed opponent. Therefore, of the seventy-three crossed plants, sixtyeight grew to a greater height than the self-fertilised plants, to which they were opposed.

In the right-hand column of figures, the difference in height between the crossed and self-fertilised plants in the successive generations is seen to fluctuate much. as might indeed have been expected from the small number of plants measured in each generation being insufficient to give a fair average. It should be remembered that the absolute height of the plants goes for nothing, as each pair was measured as soon as one of them had twined up to the summit of its rod. The great difference in the tenth generation, viz., 100 to 54, no doubt was partly accidental, though, when these plants were weighed, the difference was even greater, viz., 100 to 44. The smallest amount of difference occurred in the fourth and the eighth generations, and this was apparently due to both the crossed and self-fertilised plants having become unhealthy, which prevented the former attaining their usual degree of superiority. This was an unfortunate circumstance, but my experiments were not thus vitiated, as both lots of plants were exposed to the same conditions, whether favourable or unfavourable.

There is reason to believe that the flowers of this Ipomœa, when growing out of doors, are habitually crossed by insects, so that the first seedlings which I raised from purchased seeds were probably the offspring of a cross. I infer that this is the case, firstly from humble-bees often visiting the flowers, and from the quantity of pollen left by them on the stigmas of such flowers; and, secondly, from the plants raised from the same lot of seed varying greatly in the colour of their flowers, for as we shall hereafter see, this indicates much intercrossing.\* It is, therefore, remarkable that the plants raised by me from flowers which were, in all probability, self-fertilised for the first time after many generations of crossing, should have been so markedly inferior in height to the intercrossed plants as they were, namely, as 76 to 100. As the plants which were self-fertilised in each succeeding generation necessarily became much more closely interbred in the later than in the earlier generations, it might have been expected that the difference in height between them and the crossed plants would have gone on increasing; but, so far is this from being the case, that the difference between the two sets of plants in the seventh, eighth, and ninth generations taken together is less than in the first and second generations together. When, however, we remember that the self-fertilised and crossed plants are all descended from the same

<sup>\*</sup> Verlot says ('Sur la Production des Variétés,' 1865, p. 66) that certain varieties of a closely allied plant, the Convolvalus tri-

color, cannot be kept pure unless grown at a distance from all other varieties,

mother-plant, that many of the crossed plants in each generation were related, often closely related, and that all were exposed to the same conditions, which, as we shall hereafter find, is a very important circumstance, it is not at all surprising that the difference between them should have somewhat decreased in the later generations. It is, on the contrary, an astonishing fact, that the crossed plants should have been victorious, even to a slight degree, over the self-fertilised plants of the later generations. The much greater constitutional vigour of the

crossed than of the self-fertilised plants, was proved on five occasions in various ways; namely, by exposing them, while young, to a low temperature or to a sudden change of temperature, or by growing them, under very unfavourable conditions, in competition with full-grown plants of other kinds.

With respect to the productiveness of the crossed and self-fertilised plants of the successive generations, my observations unfortunately were not made on any uniform plan, partly from the want of time, and partly from not having at first intended to observe more than a single generation. A summary of the results is here given in a tabulated form, the fertility of the crossed plants being taken as 100.

First Generation of crossed and self-fertilised Plants growing in competition with one another .- Sixty-five capsules produced from flowers on five crossed plants fertilised by pollen from a distinct plant, and fifty-five capsules produced from flowers on five self-fertilised plants fertilised by their own pollen, contained seeds

100 to 93 the above five crossed plants, and twenty-five sponta-

neously self-fertilised capsules on the above five selffertilised plants, yielded seeds in the proportion of . 100 to 99

100 to 64

100 to 45

100 to 38

100 to 94

100 to 35

100 to 94

100 to 89

100 to 26

100 to 51

Combining the total number of capsules produced by these plants, and the average number of seeds in each, the above crossed and self-fertilised plants yielded seeds in the proportion of

Other plants of this first generation grown under unfavourable conditions and spontaneously self-fertilised, yielded seeds in the proportion of Third Generation of crossed and self-fertilized Plants

Third Generation of crossed and self-fertilised Plants.

—Crossed capsules compared with self-fertilised capsules contained seeds in the ratio of

An equal number of crossed and self-fertilised

plants, both spontaneously self-fertilised, produced capsules in the ratio of .

And these capsules contained seeds in the ratio of . Combining these data, the productiveness of the crossed to the self-fertilised plants, both spontaneously self-fertilised, was as

Fourth Generation of crossed and self-fertilised Plants,
—Capsules from flowers on the crossed plants fertilised by pollen from another plant, and capsules from
flowers on the self-fertilised plants fertilised with their

own pollen, contained seeds in the proportion of

Fifth Generation of crossed and self-fertilised Plants.

—The crossed plants produced spontaneously a vasnumber more pods (not actually counted) then the

-Fouriest crossed plants, spontaneously self-ertilised, and fourteen self-fertilised, and fourteen self-fertilised plants spontaneously self-ertilised, yielded capsules (the average number of seeds per capsule not having been ascertained) in the proportion of

Plants derived from a cross with a fresh Stock compared with intercrossed Plants.—The offspring of intercrossed plants of the ninth generation, crossed by a fresh stock, compared with plants of the same stock intercrossed during ten generations, both sets of plants left uncovered and naturally fertilised, produced capsales by weight as

We see in this table that the crossed plants are

always in some degree more productive than the selffertilised plants, by whatever standard they are compared. The degree differs greatly; but this depends chiefly on whether an average was taken of the seeds alone, or of the capsules alone, or of both combined. The relative superiority of the crossed plants is chiefly due to their producing a much greater number of capsules, and not to each capsule containing a larger average number of seeds. For instance, in the third generation the crossed and self-fertilised plants produced capsules in the ratio of 100 to 38, whilst the seeds in the capsules on the crossed plants were to those on the self-fertilised plants only as 100 to 94. In the eighth generation the capsules on two selffertilised plants (not included in the above table), grown in separate pots and thus not subjected to any competition, vielded the large average of 5.1 seeds. The smaller number of capsules produced by the selffertilised plants may be in part, but not altogether, attributed to their lessened size or height; this being chiefly due to their lessened constitutional vigour, so that they were not able to compete with the crossed plants growing in the same pots. The seeds produced by the crossed flowers on the crossed plants were not always heavier than the self-fertilised seeds on the self-fertilised plants. The lighter seeds, whether produced from crossed or self-fertilised flowers, generally germinated before the heavier seeds. I may add that the crossed plants, with very few exceptions, flowered before their self-fertilised opponents, as might have been expected from their greater height and vigour.

The impaired fertility of the self-fertilised plants was shown in another way, namely, by their anthers being smaller than those in the flowers on the crossed plants. This was first observed in the seventh generation, but may have occurred earlier. Several anthers from flowers on the crossed and self-fertilised plants of the eighth generation were compared under the microscope; and those from the former were generally longer and plainly broader than the anthers of the self-fertilised plants. The quantity of pollen contained in one of the latter was, as far as could be judged by the eye, about half of that contained in one from a crossed plant. The impaired fertility of the self-fertilised plants of the eighth generation was also shown in another manner. which may often be observed in hybrids-namely, by the first-formed flowers being sterile. For instance, the fifteen first flowers on a self-fertilised plant of one of the later generations were carefully fertilised with their own pollen, and eight of them dropped off; at the same time fifteen flowers on a crossed plant growing in the same pot were self-fertilised, and only one dropped off. On two other crossed plants of the same generation, several of the earliest flowers were observed to fertilise themselves and to produce capsules. In the plants of the ninth, and I believe of some previous generations, very many of the flowers, as already stated, were slightly monstrous; and this probably was connected with their lessened fertility.

All the self-fertilised plants of the seventh generation, and I believe of one or two previous generations, produced flowers of exactly the same tint, namely, of a rich dark purple. So did all the plants, without any exception, in the three succeeding generations of selffertilised plants; and very many were raised on account of other experiments in progress not here recorded. My attention was first called to this fact by my gardener remarking that there was no occasion to label the self-fertilised plants, as they could always be known by their colour. The flowers were as uniform in tint as those of a wild species growing in a state of nature; whether the same tint occurred, as is probable, in the earlier generations, neither my gardener nor self could recollect. The flowers on the plants which were first raised from purchased seed, as well as during the first few generations, varied much in the depth of the purple tint; many were more or less pink, and occasionally a white variety appeared. The crossed plants continued to the tenth generation to vary in the same manner as before, but to a much less degree, owing, probably, to their having become more or less closely inter-related. We must therefore attribute the extraordinary uniformity of colour in the flowers on the plants of the seventh and succeeding self-fertilised generations, to inheritance not having been interfered with by crosses during several preceding generations, in combination with the conditions of life having been very uniform.

A plant appeared in the sixth self-fertilised generation, named the Hero, which exceeded by a little in height its crossed antagonist, and which transmitted its powers of growth and increased self-fertility to its children and grandchildren. A cross between the children of Hero did not give to the grandchildren any advantage over the self-fertilised grandchildren raised from the self-fertilised children. And as far as my observations can be trusted, which were made on very unhealthy plants, the great-grandchildren raised from intercrossing the grandchildren had no advantage over the seedlings from the grandchildren the product of continued self-fertilisation; and what is far more remarkable, the great-grandchildren raised by crossing the grandchildren with a fresh stock, had no advantage over either the intercrossed or self-fertilised greatgrandchildren. It thus appears that Hero and its descendants differed in constitution in an extraordinary manner from ordinary plants of the present species.

Although the plants raised during ten successive generations from crosses between distinct yet interrelated plants almost invariably exceeded in height, constitutional vigour, and fertility their self-fertilised opponents, it has been proved that seedlings raised by intercrossing flowers on the same plant are by no means superior, on the contrary are somewhat inferior in height and weight, to seedlings raised from flowers fertilised with their own pollen. This is a remarkable fact, which seems to indicate that self-fertilisation is in some manner more advantageous than crossing, unless the cross brings with it, as is generally the case, some decided and preponderant advantage; but to this subject I shall recur in a future chapter.

The benefits which so generally follow from a

cross between two plants apparently depend on the two differing somewhat in constitution or character. This is shown by the seedlings from the intercrossed plants of the ninth generation, when crossed with pollen from a fresh stock, being as superior in height and almost as superior in fertility to the again intercrossed plants, as these latter were to seedlings from self-fertilised plants of the corresponding generation. We thus learn the important fact that the mere act of crossing two distinct plants, which are in some degree inter-related and which have been long subjected to nearly the same conditions, does little good as compared with that from a cross between plants belonging to different stocks or families, and which have been subjected to somewhat different conditions. We may attribute the good derived from the crossing of the intercrossed plants during the ten successive generations to their still differing somewhat in constitution or character, as was indeed proved by their flowers still differing somewhat in colour. But the several conclusions which may be deduced from the experiments on Ipomoa will be more fully considered in the final chapters, after all my other observations have been given.

## CHAPTER III.

### Schophulariacele, Gesneriacele, Labiatie, etc.

Minulus latency: height, vigour, and fertility of the crossed and self-tritiles plants of the first four generations—Appearance of a new, tall, and highly self-fertile variety—Oligaring from a cross between self-fertiled plants—Effects of a cross with a flesh stock—Effects of crossing flavors on the same plant—Summary on Minutus linea—Philicals purpose, superiority of the crossed plants—Billests of crossing flavors on the same plant—Summary on Hilliams and Companion of the Comp

In the family of the Scrophulariaceæ I experimented on species in the six following genera: Mimulus, Digitalis, Calceolaria, Linaria, Verbaseum, and Vandellia.

#### II. SCROPHULARIACEÆ.-MIMULUS LUTEUS.

The plants which I naised from purchased soot varied grantly in the colour of their flowers, so that hardly two individuals were quite alike; the corolla being of all shades of yellow, with the most diversided blobbes of purple, crimon, comage, and coppery brown. But these plants differed in no other respect. The flowers are evidently well adapted for fertiliastic by the agency of insects; and in the case of a closely allied posicies, Mrowers, I have varieded been entering the flowers, thus getting their backs well dusted with poller; and when they entered another flower the poller was talked off their backs by

The flowers with much red have been named by horticulturists as var. Youngians.

<sup>\*</sup> I sent several specimens with variously coloured flowers to Kew, and Dr. Hooker informs me that they all consisted of M. Intens.

the two-lipped stigma, the lips of which are irritable and close like a forceps on the pollen-grains. If no pollen is enclosed between the lips, these open again after a time. Mr. Kitchener has ingeniously explained \* the use of these movements, namely, to prevent the self-fertilisation of the flower. If a bee with no pollen on its back enters a flower it touches the stigma. which quickly closes, and when the bee retires dusted with pollen, it can leave none on the stigma of the same flower, But as soon as it enters any other flower, plenty of pollen is left on the stigma, which will be thus cross-fertilised. Nevertheless, if insects are excluded, the flowers fertilise themselves perfectly and produce plenty of seed; but I did not ascertain whether this is effected by the stamens increasing in length with advancing age, or by the bending down of the pistil. The chief interest in my experiments on the present species, lies in the appearance in the fourth self-fertilised generation of a variety which bore large peculiarly-coloured flowers, and grew to a greater height than the other varieties; it likewise became more highly self-fertile, so that this variety resembles the plant named Hero, which appeared in the sixth self-fertilised generation of Inomesa. Some flowers on one of the plants raised from the purchased

seeds were fertilized with their own poller; and others on the same plant were crossed with pollen from a distinct plant. The seeds from twelve capsules thus produced were placed is separated vatel-plasses for comparison; and those from the six crossed capsules appeared to the eye hardly more numerous than those from the six self-fertilized capsules. But when the seeds were weighed, those from the crossed capsules amounted to 1'02 grain, whilst those from the self-fertilized capsules were only '81 grain; so that the former were either heavier more numerous than the latter, in the ratio of 100 to 70.

Crossed and sulf-firstlined Plants of the First Generation.—Having ascertained, by leaving crossed and solf-strillined regions and sand, that they germinated simultaneously, both only and sand, that they germinated simultaneously, both others are thickly sown on copposite sides of a bread and rathers are suppan; so that the two sets of seedlings, which came up at the same time, were sulfected to the same unforcumatic conditions. This was a bad method of treatment, but this species was one of the first on which I experimented. When the crossed seedlines

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;A Year's Botany,' 1874, p. 118.

were on an average half an inch high, the self-fertilited ones were only a quarter of an inch high. When grown to their full height under the above unfavourable conditions, the four tallest resoed plants averaged 7-62, and the four tallest self-fertilited 5-57 inches in height; or as 100 to 77. Ten flowers on the crossed plants were fully expanded before one on the self-fertilited plants, and the plants of both hots were transplanted plants, as few of these plants of both hots were transplanted during the following year as the self-fertilited plants, not now being subjected to severe competition, grew during the following year as the self-fertilited plants, not now being subjected to severe competition, grew were consolved that the plants of the self-fertilited resources of the consolved plants, and the self-fertilited resources of the crossed plants were crossed vital to plant from the consolved plants, and the capables thus produced contained a rather greater weight of seed than those on the self-fertilized plants again self-fertilited.

Crossed and self-fertilised Plants of the Second Generation .- Seeds from the foregoing plants, fertilised in the manner just stated, were sown on the opposite sides of a small pot (I.) and came up crowded. The four tallest crossed seedlings, at the time of flowering, averaged 8 inches in height, whilst the four tallest self-fertilised plants averaged only 4 inches. Crossed seeds were sown by themselves in a second small pot, and selffortilised seeds were sown by themselves in a third small pot; so that there was no competition whatever between these two lots. Nevertheless the crossed plants grew from 1 to 2 inches higher on an average than the self-fertilised. Both lots looked equally vigorous, but the crossed plants flowered earlier and more profusely than the self-fertilised. In Pot L, in which the two lots competed with each other, the crossed plants flowered first and produced a large number of capsules, whilst the self-fertilised produced only nineteen. The contents of twelve capsules from the crossed flowers on the crossed plants, and of twelve capsules from self-fertilised flowers on the self-fertilised plants, were placed in separate watch-glasses for comparison; and the crossed seeds seemed more numerous by half than the self-fertilised.

The plants on both sides of Pot I., after they had seeded, were cut down and transplanted into a large pot with plenty of good earth, and in the following spring, when they had grown to a height of between 5 and 6 inches, the two lots were equal, as occurred in a similar experiment in the last generation. But after some weeks the crossed plants exceeded the selffertilised ones on the opposite side of the same pot, though not nearly to so great a degree as before, when they were subjected to very severe competition.

Crossed and self-fertilitian Flunts of the Third Generation— Crossed seeds from the crossed plants, and self-fertilitied seeds from the self-fertilitied plants of the last generation, were sown hickly on opposite sides of a mult pol, No. T. The two tallest plants on each side were measured after they had flowered, and the two crossed ones were 12 and 71 inches, and the two selffertilitied ones 8 and 54 inches in height; that is, in the ratio of 100 to 93. Twenty flowers on the crossed plants were apain crossed and produced twenty capsules; ten of which contained 1-28 grain weight of seeds. Thirty flowers on the self-fertilitied plants were again self-fertilized and produced twenty-elecapsitics; ten of the bost of which (many being very procy conception; the off-the bost of which (many being very procy conception; the off-the bost of which (many being very procytion) to 5 by weight.

was proved in various ways. Self-fertilized seeds were sown on opposite side. The two lots of seedlings were equal until they were above half an inch high; but when fully grown the two that set at latelet erossed plants statuned a height of 121 and 81 inches high the two tallest sets self-fertilized plants were only 8 and 51 inches high.

In a third pot, crossed seeds were sown four days after the self-fertilised, and the seedlings from the latter had at first, as might have been expected, an advantage; but when the two lots were between 5 and 6 inches in height, they were equal, and ultimately the three tallest crossed plants were 11, 10, and 8 inches, whilst the three tallest self-fertilised were 12, 84, and 71 inches in height. So that there was not much difference between them, the crossed plants having an average advantage of only the third of an inch. The plants were cut down, and without being disturbed were transplanted into a larger pot, Thus the two lots started fair in the following spring, and now the crossed plants showed their inherent superiority, for the two tallest were 13 inches, whilst the two tallest self-fertilised plants were only 11 and 81 inches in height; or as 100 to 75. The two lots were allowed to fertilise themselves spontaneously : the crossed plants produced a large number of capsules, whilst the self-fertilised produced very few and poor ones. The seeds from eight of the capsules on the crossed plants weighed '65 grain, whilst those from eight of the capsules on the self-fertilised plants weighed only '22 grain; or as 100 to 34.

The crossed plants in the above three pots, as in almost all the previous experiments, flowered before the self-fertilised. This occurred even in the third pot in which the crossed seeds

were sown four days after the self-fertilised seeds,

Lastly, seeds of both lots were sown on opposite sides of a large pot in which a Fuchsia had long been growing, so that the earth was full of roots. Both lots grew miserably: but the crossed seedlings had an advantage at all times, and ultimately attained to a height of 31 inches, whilst the self-fertilised seedlings never exceeded 1 inch. The several foregoing experiments prove in a decisive manner the superiority in constitutional vigour of the crossed over the self-fertilised plants.

In the three generations now described and taken together, the average height of the ten tallest crossed plants was 8.19 inches. and that of the ten tallest self-fertilised plants 5.29 inches (the plants having been grown in small pots), or as 100 to 65.

In the next or fourth self-fertilised generation, several plants of a new and tall variety appeared, which increased in the later self-fertilised generations, owing to its great self-fertility. to the complete exclusion of the original kinds. The same variety also appeared amongst the crossed plants, but as it was not at first regarded with any particular attention, I know not how far it was used for raising the intercrossed plants; and in the later crossed generations it was rarely present. Owing to the appearance of this tall variety, the comparison of the crossed and self-fertilised plants of the fifth and succeeding generations was rendered unfair, as all the self-fertilised and only a few or none of the crossed plants consisted of it. Nevertheless, the results of the later experiments are in some respects well worth

Crossed and self-fertilised Plants of the Fourth Generation. - Seeds of the two kinds, produced in the usual way from the two sets of plants of the third generation, were sown on opposite sides of two pots (I, and II.); but the seedlings were not thinned enough and did not grow well. Many of the self-fertilised plants, especially in one of the pots, consisted of the new and tall variety above referred to, which bore large and almost white flowers marked with crimson blotches. I will call it the White variety. I believe that it first appeared amongst both the crossed and self-fertilised plants of the last generation; but neither my gardene nor myself could resumber any such variety in the seedlings raised from the purchased seed. It must therefore have arisen either through ordinary variation, or, judging from its appearance amongst both the crossed and self-fertilised plants, more probably through reversion to a formerly existing variety.

In Pot I, the tallest crossed plant was 81 inches, and the tallest self-fertilised 5 inches in height. In Pot II. the tallest crossed plant was 6) inches, and the tallest self-fertilised plant, which consisted of the white variety, 7 inches in height; and this was the first instance in my experiments on Mimulus in which the tallest self-fertilised plant exceeded the tallest crossed. Nevertheless, the two tallest crossed plants taken together were to the two tallest self-fertilised plants in height as 100 to 80. As yet the crossed plants were superior to the self-fertilised in fertility: for twelve flowers on the crossed plants were crossed and yielded ten capsules, the seeds of which weighed 1.71 grain. Twenty flowers on the self-fertilised plants were self-fertilised, and produced fifteen capsules, all appearing poor; and the seeds from ten of them weighed only '68 grain, so that from an equal number of capsules the crossed seeds were to the selffertilised in weight as 100 to 40.

Crossed and self-fertilised Plants of the Fifth Generation,-Seeds from both lots of the fourth generation, fertilised in the usual manner, were sown on opposite sides of three pots. When the seedlings flowered, most of the self-fertilised plants were found to consist of the tall white variety. Several of the crossed plants in Pot I, likewise belonged to this variety, as did a very few in Pots II. and III. The tallest crossed plant in Pot I, was 7 inches. and the tallest self-fertilised plant on the opposite side 8 inches; in Pots II. and III. the tallest crossed were 41 and 54, and the tallest self-fertilised 7 and 61 inches in height; so that the average height of the tallest plants in the two lots was as 100 for the crossed to 126 for the self-fertilised; and thus we have a complete reversal of what occurred in the four previous generations. Nevertheless, in all three pots the crossed plants retained their habit of flowering before the self-fertilised. The plants were unhealthy from being crowded and from the extreme heat of the season, and were in consequence more or less sterile; but the crossed plants were somewhat less sterile than the self-fertilised plants.

Crossed and self-fertilised Plants of the Sixth Generation,-Seeds from plants of the fifth generation crossed and self-fertilised in the usual manner were sown on opposite sides of several pots, On the self-fertilised side every single plant belonged to the tall white variety. On the crossed side some plants belonged to this variety, but the greater number approached in character to the old and shorter kinds with smaller yellowish flowers blotched with coppery brown. When the plants on both sides were from 2 to 3 inches in height they were equal, but when fully grown the self-fertilised were decidedly the tallest and finest plants, but. from want of time, they were not actually measured. In half the pots the first plant which flowered was a self-fertilised one, and in the other half a crossed one. And now another remarkable change was clearly perceived, namely, that the self-fertilised plants had become more self-fertile than the crossed. The pots were all put under a net to exclude insects, and the crossed plants produced spontaneously only fifty-five capsules, whilst the self-fertilised plants produced eighty-one capsules, or as 100 to 147. The seeds from nine capsules of both lots were placed in separate watch-glasses for comparison, and the self-fertilised appeared rather the more numerous. Besides these snontaneously self-fertilised capsules, twenty flowers on the crossed plants again crossed yielded sixteen capsules; twenty-five flowers on the self-fertilised plants again self-fertilised yielded seventeen capsules, and this is a larger proportional number of capsules than was produced by the self-fertilised flowers on the self-fertilised plants in the previous generations. The contents of ten capsules of both these lots were compared in separate watch-glasses, and the seeds from the self-fertilised appeared decidedly more numerous than those from the crossed plants. Crossed and self-fertilised Plants of the Seventh Generation .-

Crossed and self-furtilised seeds from the crossed and self-fertilised plants of the sixth generation were sown in the usual manner on opposite sides of three pots, and the seedlings were well and equally thinned. Every once of the self-fertilised plants (and many were raised) in this, as well as in the eighth and ninth generations, belonged to the tail white variety. Their uniformity of character, in comparison with the seedlings first raised from the purchased seed, was quite remarkable. On the other hand, the crossed plants differed, much in the times of their flowers, I determined this time to measure the plants on both sides

carefully. The self-fertilised seedlings came up rather before the crossed, but both lots were for a time of equal height. When first measured, the average height of the six tallest crossed plants in the three pote was 7 '02, and that of the six tallest self-fertilised plants 8'97 inches, or as 100 to 128. When fully grown the same plants were again measured, with the result shown in the following table:

Table XVIII. (Seventh Generation.)

No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants
I.	Inches, 11 g 11 g	Inches, 19 ½ 18
II.	121 111	182 142
III.	9 5 11 g	12 g 11
Total inches.	68.63	93.88

The average height of the six crossed is here 11.43, and that of the six self-fertilised 15.64, or as 100 to 137.

As it was now evident that the tall white variety transmitted its characters faithfully, and as the self-fertilised plants consisted exclusively of this variety, it was manifest that they would always exceed in height the crossed plants which belonged chiefly to the original shorter varieties. This line of experiment was therefore discontinued, and I tried whether intercrossing two self-fertilised plants of the sixth generation, growing in distinct pots, would give their offspring any advantage over the offspring of flowers on one of the same plants fertilised with their own pollen. These latter seedlings formed the seventh generation of self-fertilised plants, like those in the right-hand column in Table XVIII.; the crossed plants were the product of six previous self-fertilised generations with an intercross in the last generation. The seeds were allowed to germinate on sand, and were planted in pairs on opposite sides of four pots, all the remaining seeds being sown crowded on opposite sides of Pot V. in Table XIX.: the three tallest on each side in this latter not being alone measured. All the plants were twice measured—the first time whilst young, and the average height of the crossed plants to that of the self-fertilised was then as 100 to 122. When fully grown they were again measured, as in the following table :—

TABLE XIX.

No. of Pot.	Intercrossed Plants from Self-fertilised Plants of the Sixth Generation.	Self-fertilised Plants of the Seventh Generation.
L	Inches. 12 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 14 1 10 1 14 1 10 1 14 1 10 1 1 1 1	Inches, 15 ½ 11 ½ 11
II.	10 1 7 1 1 2 1 2 1 7	11 1 11 1 8 1 14 1
III.	13 ½ 12 į	101 110
IV.	7 b 8 g 7 g	14¶ 7 8
V. Crowded.	8	107 91 91
Total in inches,	159-38	175.50

The average height of the sixteen intercrossed plants is here 99% inches, and that of the sixteen neif-fertilized plants 10·98, or as 100 to 110; so that the intercrossed plants, the progenitors of which had been self-fertilized for the six previous generations, and had been exposed during the whole time to remarkshily uniform conditions, were somewhat inferior in height to the plants sently see that a similar experiment made after two additional generations of solf-fertilization gave a different routint I, know not how far to trust the present one. In three of the five points of the plants of the plant is presented to the plants of the plant in the plant is presented by the plant in the plant in the plant is presented by the plant in the plant is presented by t

The effects of a Cross with a distinct Stock .- Some flowers on the self-fertilised plants in Pot IV. in Table XIX, were fertilised with their own pollen, and plants of the eighth self-fertilised generation were thus raised, merely to serve as parents in the fol-lowing experiment. Several flowers on these plants were allowed to fertilise themselves spontaneously (insects being of course excluded), and the plants raised from these seeds formed the ninth self-fertilised generation; they consisted wholly of the tall white variety with crimson blotches. Other flowers on the same plants of the eighth self-fertilised generation were crossed with pollen taken from another plant of the same lot; so that the seedlings thus raised were the offspring of eight previous generations of self-fertilisation with an intercross in the last generation; these I will call the intercrossed plants, Lastly, other flowers on the same plants of the eighth self-fertilised generation were crossed with pollen taken from plants which had been raised from seed procured from a garden at Chelsea. The Chelsea plants bore yellow flowers blotched with red, but differed in no other respect. They had been grown out of doors, whilst mine had been cultivated in pots in the greenhouse for the last eight generations, and in a different kind of soil. The seedlings raised from this cross with a wholly different stock may be called the "Chelsea-crossed." The three lots of seeds thus obtained were allowed to germinate on bare sand; and whenever a seed in all three lots, or in only two, germinated at the same time, they were planted in pots superficially divided into three or two compartments. The remaining seeds, whether or not in a state of germination, were thickly sown in three divisions in a large pot, X., in Table XX. When the plants had grown to their full height they were measured, as shown in the following table: but only the three tallest plants in each of the three divisions in Pot X, were measured.

In this table the average height of the twenty-eight Chelseacrossed plants is 21.62 inches; that of the twenty-seven intercrossed plants 12.2; and that of the nineteen self-fertilised 10.44. But with respect to the latter it will be the fairest plan to strike out two dwarfed ones (only 4 inches in height), so as not to exaggerate the inferiority of the self-fertilised plants; and this will raise the average height of the seventeen remaining self-fertilised plants to 11.2 inches. Therefore the Chelsea-crossed are to the intercrossed in height as 100 to 56; the Chelsea-crossed to the selffertilised as 100 to 52; and the intercrossed to the self-fertilised

TABLE XX.

-	21121	in Ala	
No. of Pot.	Plants from Self- fertilised Plants of the Eighth Genera- tion crossed by Chelsea Plants,	Plants from an in- tercross between the Plants of the Eighth Self-ferti- lised Generation,	Self-fertilised Plants of the Ninth Generation from Plants of the Eighth Self-ferti- lised Generation.
L	Inches. 30% 28%	Inches. 14 13 g 13 g	Inches, 9 \$ 10 \$ 10
II.	20 g 22 g	11 # 12 9 #	11 f 12 g
III.	23 § 24 § 25 §	12 8	8 § 11 § 6 §
IV.	22 § 22 17	988	4 13 11
v.	22 1 19 2 23 1	9 11	4 1 13
VI.	28 g 22	18 g 7 12 g	12 16 ½
VII.	12 ± 24 ± 20 ± 26 ±	15 12 g 11 g 15 g	::
VIII.	17 2 22 2 27	13 8 14 8 14 8	::
IX.	22 g 6 20 g	11 ½ 17 14 ½	::
X. Crowded Plants.	18 1 16 8 17 8	9 # 8 2 10	10 8 8 1 11 8
Total inches.	605.38	329.50	198-50

as 100 to 92. We thus see how immensely superior in height the Chelsea-crossed are to the intercrossed and to the selffertilised plants. They began to show their superiority when only one inch high. They were also, when fully grown, much more branched with larger leaves and somewhat larger flowers than the plants of the other two lots, so that if they had been weighed, the ratio would certainly have been much higher than that of 100 to 56 and 52.

The intercrossed plants are here to the self-fertilised in height as 100 to 92; whereas in the analogous experiment given in Table XIX, the intercrossed plants from the selffertilised plants of the sixth generation were inferior in height to the self-fertilised plants in the ratio of 100 to 110. I doubt whether this discordance in the results of the two experiments can be explained by the self-fertilised plants in the present case having been raised from spontaneously selffertilised seeds, whereas in the former case they were raised from artificially self-fertilised seeds; nor by the present plants having been self-fertilised during two additional generations, though this is a more probable explanation

With respect to fertility, the twenty-eight Chelsea-crossed plants produced 272 capsules; the twenty-seven intercrossed plants produced 24; and the seventeen self-fertilised plants 17 capsules. All the plants were left uncovered so as to be naturally fertilised, and empty capsules were rejected.

Therefore	20 Chelsea-cr	0880	l plants	would	have	produced	194 - 99
33	20 Intercross	ed p	lants	33		"	17.77
,,,	20 Self-fertili	sed ]	plants	23		33	20.00
The seeds	contained in	8	capsules	from	the	Chelsea-	Grains.

crossed plants weighed . . . . The seeds contained in 8 capsules from the Intercrossed \ 0.51 plants weighed . . . . .

The seeds contained in 8 capsules from the Self-fertilised plants weighed . If we combine the number of capsules produced together with

the average weight of contained seeds, we get the following extraordinary ratios: Weight of seed produced by the same number of Chelsea-crossed and intercrossed plants .

Weight of seed produced by the same number of Chelsea-crossed and self-fertilised plants as 100 to 3

Weight of seeds produced by the same number as 100 to 73 of intercrossed and self-fertilised plants . . }

It is also a remarkable fact that the Chelsea-crossed plants exceeded the two other lots in hardiness, as greatly as they did in height, luxuriance, and fertility. In the early autumn most of the pots were bedded out in the open ground; and this always injures plants which have been long kept in a warm greenhouse, All three lots consequently suffered greatly, but the Chelseacrossed plants much less than the other two lots. On the 3rd of October the Chelsea-crossed plants began to flower again, and continued to do so for some time; whilst not a single flower was produced by the plants of the other two lots, the stems of which were cut almost down to the ground and seemed half dead. Early in December there was a sharp frost, and the stems of Chelsea-crossed were now cut down; but on the 23rd of December they began to shoot up again from the roots, whilst all the plants of the other two lots were quite dead.

Although several of the self-fertilised seeds, from which the plants in the right-hand column in Table XX, were raised, germinated (and were of course rejected) before any of those of the other two lots, yet in only one of the ten pots did a selffertilised plant flower before the Chelsea-crossed or the intercrossed plants growing in the same pots. The plants of these two latter lots flowered at the same time, though the Chelseacrossed grew so much taller and more vigorously than the

As already stated, the flowers of the plants originally raised from the Chelsea seeds were yellow; and it deserves notice that every one of the twenty-eight seedlings raised from the tall white variety fertilised, without being castrated, with pollen from the Chelsea plants, produced vellow flowers; and this shows how prepotent this colour, which is the natural one of

the species, is over the white colour.

The Effects on the Offspring of intercrossing Flowers on the same Plant, instead of crossing distinct Individuals,-In all the foregoing experiments the crossed plants were the product of a cross between distinct plants. I now selected a very vigorous plant in Table XX., raised by fertilising a plant of the eighth self-fertilised generation with pollen from the Chelsea stock. Several flowers on this plant were crossed with pollen from other flowers on the same plant, and several other flowers were fertilised with their own pollen. The seed thus produced was allowed to germinate on bare sand; and the seedlings were planted in the usual manner on the opposite sides of six posts. All the remaining seeds, whether or not in a state of germination, were seen thickly in Pot VIII, the three tallest was in a hurry to learn the result, some of these next was not harry to learn the result, some of these next way so was in a hurry to learn the result, some of these next way so were also harry to learn the result at 28 jinches, and two others only 4, or less than 4 inches in height, as may be seen in Table XXI. Under such circumstances, as I have observed in many other cases, the result is not in the least trustwortly; nevertheless I feel bound to give the measurements.

TABLE XXI.

No. of Pot.	Plants raised from a Cross between dif- ferent Flowers on the same Plant.	Plants raised from Flowers fertilised with their own Pollen.
L	Inches. 17 9	Inches, 17 3 ½
II.	28] 16] 13]	194 6 2
ш.	4 21	15 g 10
IV.	23 <u>8</u> 15 <u>8</u>	62 71
V.	7	13 1
VI.	18 g 11	1 # 2
VII. Crowded.	21 11 g 12 g	15½ 11 11¾
Total inches.	210-88	140-75

The fifteen crossed plants here average 14 ·05, and the fifteen elf-fertilised plants 9 ·38 in height, or as 100 to 67. But if all the plants under ten inches in height are struck out, the ratio of the eleven crossed plants to the eight self-fertilised plants is as 100 to 8.

On the following spring, some remaining seeds of the two lots were treated in exactly the same manner; and the measurements of the seedlings are given in the following table:—

TABLE XXII.

TADUS AAIL.				
No. of Pot.	Plants raised from a Cross between diffe- rent Flowers on the same Plant.	Plants raised from Flowers fertilised with their own Pollen.		
I.	Inches.  15 \( \frac{1}{4} \)  12  10 \( \frac{1}{4} \)	Inches. 19 l 20 g 12 g		
. II.	162 132 202	112 193 173		
III.	18 I 15 13 I	126 158 17		
IV.	191 191	162 212		
v.	25 ह	22 §		
VI.	15 20 2 27 2	19 § 16 § 19 §		
VIL	7 g 14 13 g	7 \$ 8 7		
VIII. Crowded.	18 g 18 g 18 g 18 g 18 g	20 g 17 g 15 g 15 g		
Total in inches.	370-88	353.63		

Here the average height of the twenty-two crossed plants is 16.85, and that of the twenty-two self-fertilised plants 16.07; or as 100 to 95. But if four of the plants in Pot VII., which are much shorter than any of the others, are struck out (and this would be the fairest plan), the twenty-one crossed are to the nineteen self-fertilised plants in height as 100 to 100.6—that is, are equal. All the plants, except the crowded ones in Pot VIII., after being measured were cut down, and the eighteen crossed plants weighed 10 oz., whilst the same number of self-fertilised plants weighed 101 oz., or as 100 to 102.5; but if the dwarfed plants in Pot VII, had been excluded, the self-fertilised would have exceeded the crossed in weight in a higher ratio. In all the previous experiments in which seedlines were raised from a cross between distinct plants, and were put into competition with self-fertilised plants, the former generally flowered first; but in the present case, in seven out of the eight pots a self-fertilised plant flowered before a crossed one on the opposite side. Considering all the evidence with respect to the plants in Table XXII., a cross between two flowers on the same plant seems to give no advantage to the offering thus produced, the self-fertilised plants being in weight superior. But this conclusion cannot be absolutely trusted, owing to the measurements given in Table XXL, though these latter, from the cause already assigned, are very much less trustworthy than the present ones.

Summary of Observations on Minulus luteus,-In the three first generations of crossed and self-fertilised plants, the tallest plants alone on each side of the several pots were measured: and the average height of the ten crossed to that of the ten self-fértilised plants was as 100 to 64. The crossed were also much more fertile than the self-fertilised, and so much more vigorous that they exceeded them in height, even when sown on the opposite side of the same pot after an interval of four days. The same superiority was likewise shown in a remarkable manner when both kinds of seeds were sown on the opposite sides of a pot with very poor earth full of the roots of another plant.

In one instance crossed and self-fertilised seedlings, grown in rich soil and not put into competition with each other, attained to an equal height. When we come to the fourth generation the two tallest crossed plants taken together exceeded by only a little the two tallest self-fertilised plants, and one of the latter beat its crossed opponent,-a circumstance which had not occurred in the previous generations. This victorious self-fertilised plant consisted of a new white-flowered variety, which grew taller than the old yellowish varieties. From the first it seemed to be rather more fertile, when self-fertilised, than the old varieties, and in the succeeding self-fertilised generations became more and more self-fertile. In the sixth generation the self-fertilised plants of this variety compared with the crossed plants produced capsules in the proportion of 147 to 100, both lots being allowed to fertilise themselves spontaneously. In the seventh generation twenty flowers on one of these plants artificially self-fertilised yielded no less than nineteen very fine capsules!

This variety transmitted its characters so faithfully to all the succeeding self-fertilised generations, up to the last or ninth, that all the many plants which were mised presented a complete uniformity of character; thus offering a remarkable contrast with the seedlings raised from the purchased seeds. Yet this variety retained to the last a latent tendency to produce yellow flowers; for when a plant of the eighth self-fertilised generation was crossed with pollen from a yellow-flowered plant of the Chelsea stock, every single seedling bore yellow flowers. A similar variety, at least in the colour of its flowers, also appeared amongst the crossed plants of the third generation. No attention was at first paid to it, and I know not

how far it was at first used either for crossing or selffertilisation. In the fifth generation most of the self-fertilised plants, and in the sixth and all the succeeding generations every single plant consisted of this variety; and this no doubt was partly due to its great and increasing self-fertility. On the other hand, it disappeared from amongst the crossed plants in the later generations; and this was probably due to the continued intercrossing of the several plants. From the tallness of this variety, the selffertilised plants exceeded the crossed plants in height in all the generations from the fifth to the seventh inclusive; and no doubt would have done so in the later generations, had they been grown in competition with one another. In the fifth generation the crossed plants were in height to the self-fertilised, as 100 to 126; in the sixth, as 100 to 147; and in the seventh generation, as 100 to 137. This excess of height may be attributed not only to this variety naturally growing taller than the other plants, but to its possessing a peculiar constitution, so that it did not suffer from continued self-fertilisation.

This variety presents a strikingly analogous case to that of the plant called the Horo, which appeared in the sixth self-fertilised generation of Ipomona. If the seeds produced by Hero had been as greatly in excess of those produced by the other plants, as was the case with Minulus, and if all the seeds had been mingled together, the offspring of Hero would have increased to the entire exclusion of the ordinary plants in the later self-fertilised generations, and from naturally growing taller would have exceeded the roosed plants in height in each succeeding generation.

Some of the self-fertilised plants of the sixth generation were intercrossed, as were some in the eighth

generation; and the seedlings from these crosses were grown in competition with self-fertilised plants of the two corresponding generations. In the first trial the intercrossed plants were less fertile than the selffertilised, and less tall in the ratio of 100 to 110. In the second trial, the intercrossed plants were more fertile than the self-fertilised in the ratio of 100 to 92. Notwithstanding that the self-fertilised plants in the second trial were the product of two additional generations of self-fertilisation, I cannot understand this discordance in the results of the two analogous experiments.

The most important of all the experiments on Minulus are those in which flowers on plants of the eighth self-fertilised generation were again self-fertiined; other flowers on distinct plants of the same lot were intercrossed; and others were crossed with a new stock of plants from Cheisea. The Cheisea-crossed seellings were to the intercrossed in height as 100 to 56, and in fertility as 100 to 4; and they were to the self-fertilised plants, in height as 100 to 52, and in fertility as 100 to 3. These Chelsea-crossed plants were also much more hardy than the plants of the other two lots; so that altogether the gain from the cross with a fresh stock was wonderfully great.

Lastly, seedlings raised from a cross between flowers on the same plant were not superior to those from flowers fertilised with their own pollen; but this result cannot be absolutely trusted, owing to some previous observations, which, however, were made under very unfavourable circumstances.

#### DIGITALIS PURPURE

The flowers of the common Foxglove are proterandrous; that is, the pollen is mature and mostly shed before the stigma of the same flower is ready for fertilisation. This is effected by the larger humble-bees, which, whilst in search of nectar, carry pollen from flower to flower. The two upper and longer stamens shed their pollen before the two lower and shorter ones. The meaning of this fact probably is, as Dr. Ogle remarks," that the anthers of the longer stamens stand near to the stiems, so that they would be the most likely to fertilise it: and as it is an advantage to avoid self-fertilisation, they shed their pollen first, thus lessening the chance. There is, however, but little danger of self-fertilisation until the bifid stigma opens : for Hildebrand + found that pollen placed on the stigma before it had opened produced no effect. The anthers, which are large, stand at first transversely with respect to the tubular corolla, and if they were to debisee in this position they would as Dr. Ogle also remarks, smear with pollen the whole back and sides of an entering humble-bee in a useless manner; but the anthers twist round and place themselves longitudinally before they debisee. The lower and inner side of the mouth of the corolla is thickly clothed with hairs, and these collect so much of the fallen pollen that I have seen the under surface of a humble-bee thickly dusted with it; but this can never be applied to the stigma, as the bees in retreating do not turn their under surfaces upwards. I was therefore puzzled whether these hairs were of any use; but Mr. Belt has, I think, explained their use: the smaller kinds of bees are not fitted to fertilise the flowers, and if they were allowed to enter easily they would steal much nectar, and fewer large bees would haunt the flowers. Humble-bees can crawl into the dependent flowers with the greatest ease, using the "hairs as footholds while sucking the honey; but the smaller bees are impeded by them, and when, having at length struggled through them, they reach the slippery precipice above, they are completely baffled." Mr. Belt says that he watched many flowers during a whole season in North Wales, and "only once saw a small bee reach the nectary, though many were seen trying in vain to do so." t

I covered a plant growing in its native soil in North Wales with a net, and fertilised six flowers each with its own pollen,

gua, 1874, p. 132. But it appears from H. Müller (\* Die Befruchtung der Blumen, 1873, p. 285), that small insects sometimes succeed in entering the flowers.

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Popular Science Review,'
Jan. 1870, p. 50.
† 'Geschlechter - Vertheilung
bei den Pflanzen,' 1867, p. 20.
1 'The Naturalist in Nicora-

and six others with pollen from a distinct plant growing within the distance of a few feet. The covered plant was occasionally shaken with violence, so as to imitate the effects of a gale of wind, and thus to facilitate as far as possible self-fertilisation. It bore ninety-two flowers (besides the dozen artificially fertilised), and of these only twenty-four produced capsules: whereas almost all the flowers on the surrounding uncovered plants were fruitful. Of the twenty-four spontaneously self-fertilised capsules, only two contained their full complement of seed : six contained a moderate supply; and the remaining sixteen extremely few seeds. A little pollen adhering to the anthers after they had dehisced, and accidentally falling on the stigma when mature, must have been the means by which the above twentyfour flowers were partially self-fertilised; for the margins of the corolla in withering do not curl inwards, nor do the flowers in dropping off turn round on their axes, so as to bring the pollencovered hairs, with which the lower surface is clothed, into contact with the stigma-by either of which means self-fertilisation might be effected.

Sools from the above crossed and self-fertilised capsules, after reminating on brase and, were planted in pairs on the opposite sides of five moderately-sized pots, which were kept in the greenbuses. The plants after a time apparent starred, and were therefore, without being disturbed, turned out of their pots, and planted in the open ground in two close parallel rows. They were thus subjected to tolerably severe competition with one another; but not marry so severe as if they had been left in the pots. At the time when they were turned out, their leaves were believen it and is blanches in regard, and the shapest leaf on result that the leaves of the crossed plants exceeded, on an example that the leaves of the crossed plants exceeded, on an arrange, those of the effectibles plants by 's of an inch.

In the following summer the tallest flower-stem on each plant, when fully grown, was measured. There were seventeen crossed plants; but one did not produce a flower-stem. There were also, originally, seventeen self-fertilised plants, but these had such poor constitutions that no loss than nine died in the course of the winter and spring, leaving only eight to be measured, as in the following table:—

The tallest Flower-stem on each Plant measured: 0 means that the Plant died before a Flower-stem was produced.

No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plan
L	Inches. 53 1 57 1 57 1 65	Inches, 27 4 55 5 0
II.	34 ± 52 ± 63 ±	39 32 21
III.	57 1 53 1 50 1 37 1	531 0 0 0
IV.	64 g 37 g	344 231 0
v.	53 47 § 34 §	0 0
Total in inches.	821-25	287.00

The average height of the flower-stems of the sixteen crossed plants is here 51.33 inches; and that of the eight self-fertilised plants, 35.87; or as 100 to 70. But this difference in height does not give at all a fair idea of the vast superiority of the crosse plants. These latter produced altogether sixty-four flower-stems. each plant producing, on an average, exactly four flower-stems; whereas the eight self-fertilised plants produced only fifteen flower-stems, each producing an average only of 1.87 stems, and these had a less luxuriant appearance. We may put the result in another way: the number of flower-stems on the crossed plants was to those on an equal number of self-fertilised plants as 100 to 48.

Three crossed seeds in a state of germination were also planted in three separate pots; and three self-fertilised seeds in the same state in three other pots. These plants were therefore at first exposed to no competition with one another, and when

turned out of their pots into the open ground they were planted at a moderate distance apart, so that they were exposed to much less severe competition than in the last case. The longest leaves on the three crossed plants, when turned out, exceeded those on the self-fertilised plants by a mere trifle, viz., on an average by 17 of an inch. When fully grown the three crossed plants produced twenty-six flower-stems; the two tallest of which on each plant were on an average 54.04 inches in height. The three self-fertilised plants produced twenty-three flower-stems. the two tallest of which on each plant had an average height of 46.18 inches. So that the difference between these two lots, which hardly competed together, is much less than in the last case when there was moderately severe competition, namely, as 100 to 85, instead of as 100 to 70.

The Effects on the Offspring of intercrossing different Flowers on the same Plant, instead of crossing distinct Individuals.—A fine plant growing in my garden (one of the foregoing seedlings) was covered with a net, and six flowers were crossed with pollen from another flower on the same plant, and six others were fertilised with their own pollen. All produced good capsules. The seeds from each were placed in separate watch-glasses, and no difference could be perceived by the eye between the two lots of seeds; and when they were weighed there was no difference of any significance, as the seeds from the self-fertilised capsules weighed 7.65 grains, whilst those from the crossed capsules weighed 7.7 grains. Therefore the sterility of the present species, when insects are excluded, is not due to the impotence of pollen on the stigma of the same flower. Both lots of seeds and seedlings were treated in exactly the same manner as in the previous table (XXIII.), excepting that after the pairs of germinating seeds had been planted on the opposite sides of eight pots, all the remaining seeds were thickly sown on the opposite sides of Pots IX. and X. in Table XXIV. The young plants during the following spring were turned out of their pots, without being disturbed. and planted in the open ground in two rows, not very close together, so that they were subjected to only moderately severe competition with one another. Very differently to what occurred in the first experiment, when the plants were subjected to somewhat severe mutual competition, an equal number on each side either died or did not produce flower-stems. The tallest flower-stems on the surviving plants were measured, as shown in the following table :-

Table XXIV.

N.B. 0 signifies that the Plant died, or did not produce a Flower-

stem.				
No. of Pot.	Plants raised from a Cross between dif- ferent Flowers on the same Plant.	Plants raised from Flowers fertilised with their own Pollen.		
I.	Inches, 49 ± 46 ± 43 ± 43 ±	Inches. 45 § 52 0		
II.	38 ± 47 ± 0	54 4 47 8 32 8		
III.	547	468		
IV.	32 ± 0 43 ₹	· 41 2 · 29 1 37 8		
v.	46 g 40 g 43	42 ¼ 42 ¼ 0		
VI.	48 2 46 2	47 T 48 Z		
VII.	481 42	25 40 g		
VIII.	467	391		
IX. Crowded Plants,	49 50 g 46 g 47 g 0	30 g 15 36 Z 44 g 31 g		
X. Crowded Plants,	46 4 35 8 24 6 41 6 17 8	47 I 0 34 I 40 I 41 I		

995:38

Total inches.

The average height of the flower-stems on the twenty-five crossed plants in all the pots taken together is 43.12 inches, and that of the twenty-five self-fertilised plants 39 82, or as 100 to 92. In order to test this result, the plants planted in pairs in Pots I, to VIII, were considered by themselves, and the average height of the sixteen crossed plants is here 44 9, and that of the sixteen self-fertilised plants 42.03, or as 100 to 94. Again, the plants raised from the thickly sown seed in Pots XI. and X., which were subjected to very severe mutual competition, were taken by themselves, and the average height of the nine crossed plants is 39.86, and that of the nine self-fertilised plants 35.88, or as 100 to 90. The plants in these two latter pots (IX. and X.), after being measured, were cut down close to the ground and weighed; the nine crossed plants weighed 57.66 ounces, and the nine self-fertilised plants 45.25 ounces, or as 100 to 78. On the whole we may conclude, especially from the evidence of weight, that seedlings from a cross between flowers on the same plant have a decided, though not great, advantage over those from flowers fertilised with their own pollen, more especially in the case of the plants subjected to severe mutual competition. But the advantage is much less than that exhibited by the crossed offspring of distinct plants, for these exceeded the selffertilised plants in height as 100 to 70, and in the number of flower-stems as 100 to 48. Digitalis thus differs from Ipomoea, and almost certainly from Mimulus, as with these two species a cross between flowers on the same plant did no good,

#### CALCEOLARIA.

A bushy greenhouse variety, with yellow flowers blotched with purple.

The fowers in this genus are constructed so as to favour or masset source reconstruitation," and Mr. Anderson remarks't that extreme ears is necessary to exclude insects in order to preserve my kind true. He adds the interesting statement, that when the corolla is cut quite away, insects, as for as he has seen, are discover or with the flower. So fow experiments were made by me, that they are hardly worth giving. Crossed and self-fulfilled scoke were sorn on opposite sides of a pot, and defatifilled scoke were sorn on opposite sides of a pot, and

<sup>\*</sup> Hildebrand, as quoted by H. Müller, 'Die Befruchtung p. 534.

<sup>©</sup> The Complete Work of Charles Darwin Online

after a time the crossed seedlings slightly exceeded the selffertilised in height. When a little further grown, the longest leaves on the former were very nearly 3 nehes in length, whilst those on the self-fertilised plants were only 2 inches. Or Owing to an accident, and to the pot being too small, only one plant on each side grew up and flowered; the crossed plant was 193 inches in height, and the self-fertilised one 15 inches; or as 100 to 77.

## LINARIA VULGARIS.

It has been mentioned in the introductory chapter that two large beds of this plant were raised by me many years ago from crossed and self-fertilised seeds, and that there was a conspicuous difference in height and general appearance between the two lots. The trial was afterwards repeated with more care; but as this was one of the first plants experimented on, my usual method was not followed. Seeds were taken from wild plants growing in this neighbourhood and sown in poor soil in my garden. Five plants were covered with a net, the others being left exposed to the bees, which incessantly visit the flowers of this species, and which, according to H. Müller, are the exclusive fertilisers. This excellent observer remarks \* that, as the stigma lies between the anthers and is mature at the same time with them, self-fertilisation is possible. But so few seeds are produced by protected plants, that the pollen and stigma of the same flower seem to have little power of mutual interaction. The exposed plants bore numerous capsules forming solid spikes. Five of these capsules were examined and appeared to contain an equal number of seeds; and these being counted in one capsule, were found to be 166. The five protected plants produced altogether only twenty-five capsules, of which five were much finer than all the others, and these contained an average of 23.6 seeds, with a maximum in one capsule of fifty-five. So that the number of seeds in the capsules on the exposed plants to the average number in the finest capsules on the protected plants was as 100 to 14.

Some of the spontaneously self-fertilised seeds from under the net, and some seeds from the uncovered plants naturally fertilised and almost certainly intercrossed by the bees, were sown separately in two large pots of the same size; so that the

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Die Befruchtung,' &c. p. 279.

two lots of seedlings were not subjected to any antunal compaction. Three of the crossed plants when in full flower were measured, but no care was taken to select the tallest plants; respectively. The contractive of the co

# VERBASCUM THAPSUS.

The flowers of this plant are frequented by various insects, shelfly by bees, for the sake of the pollen. II. Miller, however, bias shown (\*) Die Befrucktung, \* &c. p. 277) that \* V. adgraws secrets minute drops of nectar. The arrangement of the reproductive organs, though not at all complex, favours cross-scribination; and even distinct species are often crossed, for a greater number of naturally produced hybrids have been observed in this genus than in almost any other. \* Nevertheless the present species is perfectly self-fertile, if meets are excluded for a plant protected by and was at thickly loaded with fine protected by a net was at thickly loaded with fine of the protected by a net was at thickly loaded with fine loss self-fertile, for once protected plants did not yield quite so many capsules as the actioning uncovered plants.

consideratilities and its analysis of the second properties again self-fertilities of pieces and the second self-fertilities of the second self-fertilities generation; and other flowers were crossed with pollen from a distinct plant. The secols thus produced were some on the opposite sides of four large pots. They germinated, however, so respectively the second seedings generally coming up first) the properties of the second seedings generally coming up first) in full flower to one over only air pains of equal age. These when in full flower that two of the self-fertilised plants exceed in

We here see that two of the self-fertilised plants exceed in height their crossed opponents. Nevertheless the average height of the six crossed plants is 65°34 inches, and that of the six self-fertilised plants 56°5 inches; or as 100 to 86.

<sup>\*</sup> I have given a striking case of a large number of such hybrids between V. thapsus and luchnitis

found growing wild: 'Journal of Linn. Soc. Bot.' vol. x. p. 451,

TABLE XXV.

No. of Pos.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plant of the Second Gene- ration.
I.	Inches. 76	Inches. 53 g
II.	54	66
IIL	62 601	75 301
IV.	73 661	62 52
Total in inches.	392-13	339.00

# VANDELLIA NUMMULARIFOLIA.

Seeds were sent to me by Mr. J. Scott from Calcutta of this small Indian weed, which bears perfect and cleistogamie \* flowers The latter are extremely small, imperfectly developed, and never expand, yet yield plenty of seeds. The perfect and open flowers are also small, of a white colour with purple marks: they generally produce seed, although the contrary has been asserted; and they do so even if protected from insects. They have a rather complicated structure, and appear to be adapted for cross-fertilisation, but were not carefully examined by me. They are not easy to fertilise artificially, and it is possible that some of the flowers which I thought that I had succeeded in crossing were afterwards spontaneously self-fertilised under the net. Sixteen capsules from the crossed perfect flowers contained on an average ninety-three seeds (with a maximum in one capsule of 137), and thirteen capsules from the self-fertilised perfect flowers contained sixty-two seeds (with a maximum in one capsule of 135); or as 100 to 67. But I suspect that this considerable excess was accidental, as on one occasion nine crossed capsules were compared with seven self-fertilised capalmost exactly the same average number of seed. I may add

<sup>\*</sup> The convenient term of cleistogamic was proposed by Kuhn in 'Bot, Zeitung,' 1867, p. 65.

that fifteen capsules from self-fertilised cleistogamic flowers contained on an average sixty-four seeds, with a maximum in one

Crossed and self-fertilised seeds from the perfect flowers, and other seeds from the self-fertilised cleistogamic flowers, were sown in five pots, each divided superficially into three compartments. The seedlings were thinned at an early age, so that twenty plants were left in each of the three divisions. The crossed plants when in full flower averaged 4.3 inches, and the self-fertilised plants from the perfect flowers 4.27 inches in height; or as 100 to 99. The self-fertilised plants from the cleistogamic flowers averaged 4.06 inches in height; so that the crossed were in height to these latter plants as 100 to 94.

I determined to compare again the growth of plants raised from crossed and self-fertilised perfect flowers, and obtained two fresh lots of seeds. These were sown on opposite sides of five pots, but they were not sufficiently thinned, so that they grew rather crowded. When fully grown, all those above 2 inches in height were selected, all below this standard being rejected; the former consisted of forty-seven crossed and forty-one selffertilised plants; thus a greater number of the crossed than of the self-fertilised plants grew to a height of above 2 inches. Of the crossed plants, the twenty-four tallest were on an average 3:6 inches in height; whilst the twenty-four tallest selffertilised plants were 3.38 inches in average height; or as 100 to 94. All these plants were then cut down close to the ground. and the forty-seven crossed plants weighed 1090 3 grains, and the forty-one self-fertilised plants weighed 887.4 grains. Therefore an equal number of crossed and self-fertilised would have been to each other in weight as 100 to 97. From these several facts we may conclude that the crossed plants had some real. though very slight, advantage in height and weight over the self-fertilised plants, when grown in competition with one

The crossed plants were, however, inferior in fertility to the self-fertilised. Six of the finest plants were selected out of the forty-seven crossed plants, and six out of the forty-one selffertilised plants; and the former produced 598 capsules, whilst the latter or self-fertilised plants produced 752 capsules. All these capsules were the product of cleistogamic flowers, for the plants did not bear during the whole of this season any perfect flowers. The seeds were counted in ten cleistogamic capsules produced by the crossed plants, and their average number was  $46\cdot4$  per capsule; whilst the number in ten cleistogamic capsules produced by the self-fertilised plants was  $49\cdot4$ ; or as 100 to 106.

### III. GESNERIACEÆ.—Gesneria pendulina,

In Generia the several parts of the flower are arranged on nearly the same plan as in Digitalis, and most or all of the species are dichegamous. Plants were raised from seed sent me by Fritz Müller from South Brazil. Seven flowers were crossed with pollen from a distinct plant, and produced seven capsules containing by weight 3°O grains of seeds. Seven flowers on the same plants were fertilised with their own pollen, and their seven capsules contained exactly the same weight of seeds. Germinating seeds were planted on opposite sides of four pots, and when fully grown measured to the tips of their leaves.

TABLE XXVI.

No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants,
I.	Inches, 42 g 24 g	Inches, 39 27 ‡
II.	33 27	30 g 19 g
III.	33 å 29 å	317 28 g
IV.	30 ½ 36	29 g 26 g
Total inches.	256.50	233 · 13

The average height of the eight crossed plants is 32.06 inches, and that of the eight self-fertilised plants 29.14; or as 100 to 90.

<sup>\*</sup> Dr. Ogle, 'Popular Science Review,' Jan. 1870, p. 51.

#### IV. LABIATÆ.-SALVIA COCCINEA."

This species, unlike most of the others in the same genus, yields a good many seeds when insects are excluded. I gathered ninety-eight capsules produced by flowers spontaneously selffertilised under a net, and they contained on an average 1-45 seeds, whilst flowers artificially fertilised with their own pollen, in which case the stigms will have received plenty of pollen, yielded on an average 3-3 seeds, or more than twice as many. Tenty flowers were crossed with pollen from a distinct plant, and treaty-six were self-fertilised. There was no great difference in the proportional number of flowers which produced capsules by these two processes, or in the number of the contained seeds or in the weight of an equal number of seeds.

Seeds of both kinds were sown rather thickly on opposite sides of three pots. When the seedlings were about 3 inches in height, the crossed showed a slight advantage over the selffertiliset. When two-thirds grown, the two tallest plants on each side of each pot were measured; the crossed averaged 16 off When the plants were fully grown and had done flowering, the two tallest plants on each side were again measured, with the results shown in the following table:—

TABLE XXVII.

No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants.
L	Inches. 32 g 20	Inches, 25 18 g
II.	32 1 24 2	20 § 19 §
III.	291 28	25 18
Total inches.	167-13	127-00

<sup>\*</sup> The admirable mechanical adaptations in this genus for favouring or ensuring cross-fertilisation, have been fully described

by Sprengel, Hildebrand, Delpino, H. Müller, Ogle, and others, in their several works. It may be here seen that each of the six tallest crossed plants exceeds in height its self-critical opponent; the former averaged 27:86 inches; whilst the six tallest self-fertilized plants averaged 27:16 inches; or an 100 to 76. In all three pots the averaged 27:16 inches; or an 100 to 76. In the pots the plant topother produced 400 flowers, white a line to the plant topother produced 400 flowers, white a line of the topother produced only 282 flowers; or as 100 to 67. So that the crossed plants in this respect were far more productive than the self-fertilized.

### ORIGANUM VULGARE.

This plant exists, according to H. Müller, under two forms: one hermaphrodite and strongly proterandrous, so that it is almost certain to be fertilised by pollen from another flower; the other form is exclusively female, has a smaller corolla, and must of course be fertilised by pollen from a distinct plant in order to yield any seeds. The plants on which I experimented were hermaphrodites; they had been cultivated for a long period as a pot-herb in my kitchen garden, and were, like so many long-cultivated plants, extremely sterile. As I felt doubtful about the specific name I sent specimens to Kew, and was assured that the species was O. valgare. My plants formed one great clump, and had evidently spread from a single root by stolons. In a strict sense, therefore, they all belonged to the same individual. My object in experimenting on them was, firstly, to ascertain whether crossing flowers borne by plants having distinct roots, but all derived asexually from the same individual, would be in any respect more advantageous than self-fertilisation; and, secondly, to raise for future trial seedlings which would constitute really distinct individuals. Several plants in the above clump were covered by a net, and about two dozen seeds (many of which, however, were small and withered) were obtained from the flowers thus spontaneously self-fertilised. The remainder of the plants were left uncovered and were incessantly visited by bees, so that they were doubtless crossed by them. These exposed plants yielded rather more and finer seed (but still very few) than did the covered plants. The two lots of seeds thus obtained were sown on opposite sides of two nots: the seedlings were carefully observed from their first growth to maturity, but they did not differ at any period in height or in vicour, the importance of which latter observation we shall presently see. When fully grown, the tallest crossed plant in one pot was a very little taller than the tallest selffertilised plant on the opposite side, and in the other pot exactly the reverse occurred. So that the two lots were in fact equal; and a cross of this kind did no more good than crossing two flowers on the same plant of Ipomoa or Mimulus.

The plants were turned out of the two pots without being disturbed and planted in the open ground, in order that they might grow more vigorously. In the following summer all the self-fertilised and some of the quasi-crossed plants were covered by a net. Many flowers on the latter were crossed by me with pollen from a distinct plant, and others were left to be crossed by the bees. These quasi-crossed plants produced rather more seed than did the original ones in the great clump when left to the action of the bees. Many flowers on the self-fertilised plants were artificially self-fertilised, and others were allowed to fertilise themselves spontaneously under the net, but they yielded altogether very few seeds. These two lots of seedsthe product of a cross between distinct seedlings, instead of as in the last case between plants multiplied by stolons, and the product of self-fertilised flowers-were allowed to germinate on bare sand, and several equal pairs were planted on opposite sides of two large pots. At a very early age the crossed plants showed some superiority over the self-fertilised, which was ever afterwards retained. When the plants were fully grown, the two tallest crossed and the two tallest self-fertilised plants in each pot were measured, as shown in the following table. I regret that from want of time I did not measure all the pairs; but the tallest on each side seemed fairly to represent the average difference between the two lots.

TABLE XXVIII.

No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants (two tallest in each pot).	Self-fertilised Plants (two tallest in each pot).
L	Inches. 26 21	Inches, 24 21
II.	17 16	12 11 ‡
Total inches.	80.0	68.5

The average height of the crossed plants is here 20 inches, and that of the self-fertilised 17:12; or as 100 to 86. But this excess of height by no means gives a fair idea of the vast superiority in vigour of the crossed over the self-fertilised plants. The crossed flowered first and produced thirty flower-stems, whilst the selffertilised produced only fifteen, or half the number. The pots were then bedded out, and the roots probably came out of the holes at the bottom and thus aided their growth. Early in the following summer the superiority of the crossed plants, owing to their increase by stolons, over the self-fertilised plants was truly wonderful. In Pot I., and it should be remembered that very large pots had been used, the oval clump of crossed plants was 10 by 41 inches across, with the tallest stem, as yet young, 51 inches in height: whilst the clump of self-fertilised plants. on the opposite side of the same pot, was only 34 by 24 inches across, with the tallest young stem 4 inches in height. In Pot II., the clump of crossed plants was 18 by 9 inches across, with the tallest young stem 81 inches in height; whilst the clump of self-fertilised plants on the opposite side of the same pot was 12 by 44 inches across, with the tallest young stem 6 inches in height. The crossed plants during this season, as during the last, flowered first. Both the crossed and self-fertilised plants being left freely exposed to the visits of bees, manifestly produced much more seed than their grand-parents,—the plants of the original clump still growing close by in the same garden, and equally left to the action of the bees.

#### V. ACANTHACEÆ,-THUNBERGIA ALATA.

It appears from Hildebrand's description (Pot. Zeitung, 1887, p. 285) that the conspicuous flowers of this plant are adapted for cross-fertilisation. Seedlings were twice raised from purchased seed; but during the early summer, when first experimented on, they were extremely sterile, many of the authors containing hardly any polien. Nevertheless, during the autumn these same plants spontaneously produced a good many seeds, produced the produced of the produc

generating seeds were planted on opposite sides of five pole; and cantly haff the crossed and haft the self-fertillised plants exceeded their opponents in height. Two of the self-fertillised plants died young, before they were measured, and their crossed opponents were thrown away. The six remaining pairs gree requirements of the self-fertillised plants of the self-fertillised plants of the self-fertillised plants of the trous as the self-fertillised plants of ones, or and 10 to 10 fl. A cross, therefore, between distinct individuals here appears to do no good; but this result deduced from so fee plants in a very steller condition and growing very unequally, obviously cannot be trusted.

#### CHAPTER IV.

#### CRUCIFERE, PAPAVERACEE, RESEDUCEE, ETC.

Brassico Correco, crossed and edifertillicel plants—Grast effect of a cross with a front back on the weight of the offspring—Beria unbellata—Bragaver vagum—Betheslottias californias, scoilings and the second second second second second second second facilities and second second second second second second many individuals starile with their own pollen—Vida tricolor, many individuals starile with their own pollen—Vida tricolor, wonderful effect of a cross—Adoins starilli—Delphilumia consolida —Vissaria coultat, crossed plants harbly table, but more further than —Vissaria coultat, crossed plants harbly table, but more further than plants compared for personal conference of the second second plants compared for per generalmen—Grast effect of a cross with a front stock—Uniform colour of the flowers on the self-fertillized plants—Hilberton africans.

# VI. CRUCIFERÆ.—Brassica Oleracea. Var. Cattell's Early Barnes Cabbage.

Tux flowers of the common cabbage are adapted, as shown by H. Müller, for cons-fertilisation, and should this fail, for salffertilisation. It is well known that the varieties are crossed so largely by insects, that it is impossible to raise pure kinds in the same garden, if move than one kind is in flower at the same time Cabbages, in one repect, were not well fitted for my experiments, as, after they had formed heads, they were often difficult to measure. The flower-stems also differ much in height, and a poor part of the control of the control of the control of the conplant. In the later experiments, the full-great advantage from a cross became manifest.

A single plant of the above variety was covered with a net just before flowering, and was crossed with pollen from another plant of the same variety growing close by; and the seven capsules thus produced contained on an average 16·3 seeds, with a

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Die Befruchtung,' &c. p. 139.

maximum of twenty in one capsule. Some flowers were artificially self-fertilised, but their capsules did not contain so many seeds as those from flowers spontaneously self-fertilised under the net, of which a considerable number were produced. Fourteen of these latter capsules contained on an average 4.1 seeds, with a maximum in one of ten seeds; so that the seeds in the crossed capsules were in number to those in the self-fertilised capsules as 100 to 25. The self-fertilised seeds, fifty-eight of which weighed 3.88 grains, were, however, a little finer than those from the crossed capsules, fifty-eight of which weighed 3.76 grains. When few seeds are produced, these seem often to be better nourished and to be heavier than when many are produced.

The two lots of seeds in an equal state of germination were planted, some on opposite sides of a single pot, and some in the open ground. The young crossed plants in the pot at first exceeded by a little in height the self-fertilised; then equalled them; were then beaten; and lastly were again victorious. The plants, without being disturbed, were turned out of the pot, and planted in the open ground; and after growing for some time, the crossed plants, which were all of nearly the same height, exceeded the self-fertilised ones by 2 inches. When they flowered, the flower-stems of the tallest crossed plant exceeded that of the tallest self-fertilised plant by 6 inches. The other seedlings which were planted in the open ground stood separate, so that they did not compete with one another: nevertheless the crossed plants certainly grew to a rather greater height than the self-fertilised; but no measurements were made. The crossed plants which had been raised in the pot, and those planted in the open ground, all flowered a little before the self-fertilised plants,

Crossed and self-fertilised Plants of the Second Generation,-Some flowers on the crossed plants of the last generation were again crossed with pollen from another crossed plant, and produced fine capsules. The flowers on the self-fertilised plants of the last generation were allowed to fertilise themselves spontaneously under a net, and they produced some remarkably fine capsules. The two lots of seeds thus produced germinated on sand, and eight pairs were planted on opposite sides of four pots. These plants were measured to the tips of their leaves on the 20th of October of the same year, and the eight crossed plants averaged in height 8.4 inches, whilst the self-fertilised averaged 8.53 inches, so that the crossed were a little inferior in height, as 100 to 101.5. By the 5th of June of the following year these н 2

plants had grown much bulkier, and had begun to form heads. The crossed had now acquired a marked superiority in general appearance, and averaged 8:02 inches in height, whilst the self-fertilised averaged 7:31 inches; or as 100 to 91, The plants were then turned out of their pots and planted undisturbed in the open ground. By the 5th of August their heads were fully formed, but several had grown so crooked that their heights could hardly be measured with accuracy. The crossed plants, however, were on the whole considerably taller than the self-fertilised. In the following year they flowered; the crossed plants flowering before the self-fertilised in three of the pots, and at the same time in Pot II. The flower-stems were now measured, as shown in Table XXIX.

Measured to tops of Flower-stems; O signifies that a Flower-stem

No. of Pot.	* Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants
I.	Inches. 49 g 39 g	Inches, 44 41
II.	37 å 33 å	38 35 ‡
III.	47 40 42	51 L 41 g 46 g
IV.	43 g 37 g 0	20 # 33 # 0
Total in inches.	369.75	351.00

The nine flower-stems on the crossed plants here average 41:08 inches, and the nine on the self-fertilised plants 39 inches in height, or as 100 to 95. But this small difference, which, moreover, depended almost wholly on one of the self-fertilised plants being only 20 inches high, does not in the least show the vast superiority of the crossed over the self-fertilised plants. Both lots, including the two plants in Pot IV., which did not flower, were now cut down close to the ground and weighed, but those in Pet II. were excluded, for they had been accidentally injured by a fall during transplantation, and one was almost killed. The eight crossed plants weighed 219 ounces, whilst the third of the property of the property of the property of the property so that the superiority of the former over the latter in weight was great.

The Effects of a Cross with a fresh Stock,-Some flowers on a crossed plant of the last or second generation were fertilised, without being castrated, by pollen taken from a plant of the same variety, but not related to my plants, and brought from a nursery garden (whence my seeds originally came) having a different soil and aspect. The flowers on the self-fertilised plants of the last or second generation (Table XXIX.) were allowed to fertilise themselves spontaneously under a net, and yielded plenty of seeds. These latter and the crossed seeds, after germinating on sand, were planted in pairs on the opposite sides of six large pots, which were kept at first in a cool greenhouse, Early in January their heights were measured to the tips of their leaves. The thirteen crossed plants averaged 13:16 inches in height, and the twelve (for one had died) self-fertilised plants averaged 13.7 inches, or as 100 to 104; so that the self-fertilised plants exceeded by a little the crossed plants.

Table XXX.

Weight of Plants after they had formed Heads.

No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants from Pollen of fresh Stock.	Self-fertilised Plants of the Third Gene- ration.
I.	Ounces. 130	Ounces. 18%
II.	74	841
III.	121	17 2
IV.	127 4	14
v.	90	117
VI.	106 द	46
Total in ounces.	649.00	142.25

Early in the spring the plants were gradually hardened, and turned out of their pots into the open ground without being disturbed. By the end of August the greater number had formed fine beach, but several give extremely crooked, from having been drawn up to the light whilst in the greenhouse. As it was scarcely possible to measure their heights, the finest plant on each side of each pot was cut down close to the ground and worknot. In the mescellar table we have the result.

The six finest crossed plants average 108 to onness, whilst the six finest self-fertilised plants average only 23.7 onnes, or as 100 to 22. This difference shows in the clearest manner the enormous benefit which these plants derived from a cross with another plant belonging to the same sub-variety, but to a fresh stock, and grown during at least the three previous generations under somewhat different conditions.

The Offyring from a cut-lamed, cut-led, and variegated while gene (Librago canal with a cut-lamed, cut-led, and variegated crimes-speen Cubbaye, compared with the wlf-pirtilised Offyreion from the two Furielise.—These trials were made, not for the sake of comparing the growth of the crossed and self-critilised would not naturally intercores when growing unovered and near one another. This statement proved quite erroseous; but he will-green variety was in some degree sterile in my garden, producing little pollen and few seeds. It was therefore no wonder that seculing raised from the self-fertilized flowers of this variety were greatly exceeded in height by seedlings from a cross both of the control of the control of the control of the producing of the control of the control of the control of the variety were greatly exceeded in height by seedlings from a cross and the control of the control of the control of the control of the public green control of the control of the control of the control of the public green control of the control of the control of the control of the public green control of the control of the control of the control of the public green control of the control of the control of the control of the public green control of the contro

The secilings from the reciprocal cross, that is, from the crimsurgerous variety fertilised with pollen from the white-green variety, offer a somewhat more curious case. A few of these crossed secilings reverted to a pure green variety with their leaves less cut and curied, so that they were altogether in a much more natural state, and these plants grew more vigerously and taller than any of the others. Now it is a strange first that a much larger number of the self-fertilized secdings from the crimens ground proper the self-fertilized secdings grow taller by 21 inches on an average than the crossed seedlings, with which they were put into competition. At first, however, the crossed seedlings creeded the self-fertilized by an average of a quarter of an inch. We thus see that reversion to a more natural condition need more powerfully in favouring the ultimate growth of these plants than did a cross; but it should be remembered that the cross was with a semi-sterile variety having a feeble constitution.

# IBERIS UMBELLATA. Var. Kermesiana.

This variety produced plenty of spontaneously self-fertilised seed under a not. Other plants in pots in the greenhouse were left uncovered; and as I saw small flies visiting the flowers, it seemed probable that they would be intercrossed. Consequently seeds supposed to have been thus crossed and spontaneously selfself-fertilised seedlings grew from the first quicker than the supposed crossed seedlings and when both lots were in full flower the former were from 5 to 6 inches higher than the crossed! I record in my notes that the self-fertilised seeds from which these self-fertilised plants were raised were not so well ripened as the crossed; and this may possibly have caused, from pre-mature growth, the great difference in their height, in nearly the same manner as when self-fertilised seeds of other plants were sown a few days before the crossed in the same pot. We have seen a somewhat analogous case with the self-fertilised parents. It is a curious circumstance, that two other lots of the above seeds were sown in pure sand mixed with burnt earth, and therefore without any organic matter; and here the supposed crossed seedlings grow to double the height of the selffertilised, before both lots died, as necessarily occurred at an early period. We shall hereafter meet with another case apparently analogous to this of Iberis in the third generation of Petunia.

The above self-ertillased plants were allowed to fertillae themselves again under a net, yielding self-fertillased plants of the second generation, and the supposed crossed plants were crossed by pollen of a distinct plant; but from want of time this was done in a careless manner, namely, by smearing one head of expanded flowers over another. I should have thought that this would have succeeded, and perhaps it did say, but the fact of 108 multiple of the plants of the plant

does not look like it. Five seedlings from each lot of seeds were raised, and the aclifertillized plants, when fully grown, exceeded in average height by a trifle (viz. -4 of an inch) the five probably crossed plants. It have thought it right to give this case and the last, became had the supposed crossed plants proved superior to the self-certified in height, I should have assumed without doubt that the former had really been crossed. As it is, I do not know what to conclude.

Being much surprised at the two foregoing trials, I determined to make another, in which there should be no doubt about the crossing. I therefore fertilised with great care (but as usual without eastration) twenty-four flowers on the supposed crossed plants of the last generation with pollen from distinct plants, and thus obtained twenty-one capsules. The self-fertilised plants of the last generation were allowed to fertilise, themselves again under a net, and the seedlings reared from these seeds formed the third self-fertilised generation. Both lots of seeds, after germinating on bare sand, were planted in pairs on the opposite sides of two pots. All the remaining seeds were sown crowded on opposite sides of a third pot; but as all the self-fertilised seedlings in this latter pot died before they grew to any considerable height, they were not measured. The plants in Pots I. and II. were measured when between 7 and 8 inches in height, and the crossed exceeded the self-fertilised in average height by 1.57 inches. When fully grown they were again measured to the summits of their flower-heads, with the

TABLE XXXI.

No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Piants of the Third Gene- ration.
I.	Inches, 18 21 18 2	Inches, 19 21 19 §
II.	19 18 4 17 6 21 7	169 74 144 164
Total in inches.	133-88	114.75

The average height of the seven crossed plants is here, 19-29, inches, and that of the seven self-ortifized plants 16-29, or an 200 to 86. But as the plants on the self-fortilized side gives you uncounty, this nois cannot be fully trusted, and is probably too high. In both pots a crossed plant flowered before any new first proceed to the self-fortilized. These plants were left uncovered in the graenhouse; but from being too much crowded they were not very productive. The seeds from all seven plants of both tois ware counted; the crossed produced 206, and the self-fertilized bid; or as 100 to 7 kg; or as 100 to 7 k

154: or as 100 to 75 Cross by a fresh Stock .- From the doubts caused by the two first trials, in which it was not known with certainty that the plants had been crossed; and from the crossed plants in the last experiment having been put into competition with plants self-fertilised for three generations, which moreover grew very unequally, I resolved to repeat the trial on a larger scale, and in a rather different manner. I obtained seeds of the same erimson variety of I, umbellata from another nursery garden. and raised plants from them. Some of these plants were allowed to fertilise themselves spontaneously under a net; others were erossed by pollen taken from plants raised from seed sent me by Dr. Durando from Algiers, where the parent-plants had been cultivated for some generations. These latter plants differed in having pale pink instead of crimson flowers, but in no other respect, That the cross had been effective (though the flowers on the crimson mother-plant had not been castrated) was well shown when the pale pink flowers, exactly like those of their father; the six others having crimson flowers exactly like those of their mother and like those of all the self-fertilised seedlings. This case offers a good instance of a result which not rarely follows from crossing varieties having differently coloured flowers; namely, that the colours do not blend, but resemble perfectly those either of the father or mother plant. The seeds of both lots, after germinating on sand, were planted on opposite sides of eight pots. When fully grown, the plants were measured to the summits of the flower-heads, as shown in the following table :-

Table XXXII.

Iberis umbellata: 0 signifies that the Plant died.

No. of Pot.	Plants from a Cross with a fresh Stock.	Plants from Spon- taneously Self-ferti- lised Scods.
	Inches.	Inches.
I.	189	17 8
	17 8	162
	178	134
	20	15
II.	203	0
	153	165
	17	15 2
III.	193	13 2
	18	14
	15	13
IV.	171	161
	18%	144
	178	16
	151	158
	14	14%
v.	181	164
	147	163
	168	143
	15	148
	12 8	16
VL.	189	161
1.44	188	15
	17 8	15%
VII.	18	163
	168	144
	18	13
VIII.	201	159
	177	163
	13 2	203
	19	109
Total in inches,	520:38	449-88

The average height of the thirty crossed plants is here 17.34, and that of the twenty-nine self-fertilised plants (one having died) 15·51, or as 100 to 89. I am surprised that the difference did not prove somewhat greater, considering that in the last experiment it was as 100 to 85; but this latter ratio, as before explained, was probably too great. It should, however, be observed that in the last experiment (Table XXXL), the before replained in the should be a surprised to the control of the generation; whilst in the present case, plants derived from a cross with a fresh stock competed with self-fertilised plants of the first generation.

The crossed plants in the posent case, as in the last, were more fertile than the self-feetilised, both lots being left uncovered in the greenhouse. The thirty crossed plants produced 102 seed-bearing flower-heads, as well as some heads with probability folided no seeds; whereas the twenty-nine self-fertilised plants produced only 81 seed-bearing heads; therefore thirty such plants would have pordoust 83°? heads. We thus get that be a fine of 100 to \$1, for the number of seed-bearing flower-heads produced by the crossed and self-fertilized plants. Moreover, a number of seed-bearing heads from the crossed plants, compared with the same number from the self-fertilized, yielded produced with the same number of most-boar in heads from the crossed plants, compared with the same number from the self-fertilized, yielded we obtained, the produced plants are said to \$2.0. Combining these we obscured, the, the number lower \$0.2. Combining these weights of seeds in each head, the productiveness of the crossed to the self-fertilized plants are as also plants are as 100 set to a first fertilized plants are as 100 plants are as 100 plants are as 100 plants.

The crossed and self-fertilised seeds, which remained after the above pairs had been planted, (some in a state of germintion and some not so), were sown early in the year out of doors in two rows. Many of the self-fertilised seedlings suffered greatly, and a much larger number of them perished than of the crossed. In the autumn the surviving self-fertilised plants were plainly less well-crown than the crossed plants.

# VII. PAPAVERACE E .- PAPAVER VAGUM.

A sub-species of P. dubium, from the south of France

The poppy does not secrete nectar, but the fewers are highly complement and are visited by many pollen-collecting bees, flies and bestles. The anthers shed their pollen very early, and in the case of P. Awas, it falls on the circumference of the radiating stigmas, so that this species must often be self-fertilisel; but with P. doblow the same result does not follow (according to H. Muller, Yibe Bertruchturg', P. 128), owing to the shortness of the stamens, unless the flower happens to stand inclined. The present species, therefore, does not seem so well fitted for self-fertilisation as most of the others. Nevertheless P. vagum produced plenty of capsules in my garden when insects were excluded, but only late in the season. I may here add that P. somniferum produces an abundance of spontaneously selffertilised capsules, as Professor H. Hoffmann likewise found to be the case.\* Some species of Papaver cross freely when growing in the same garden, as I have known to be the case with P. Plants of Papaver vagum were raised from seeds sent me from

Antibes through the kindness of Dr. Bornet. Some little time after the flowers had expanded, several were fertilised with their own pollen, and others (not castrated) with pollen from a distinct individual; but I have reason to believe, from observations subsequently made, that these flowers had been already fertilised by their own pollen, as this process seems to take place soon after their expansion. † I raised, however, a few seedlines of both lots, and the self-fertilised rather exceeded the crossed plants in height.

Early in the following year I acted differently, and fertilised seven flowers, very soon after their expansion, with pollen from another plant, and obtained six capsules. From counting the seeds in a medium-sized one, I estimated that the average number in each was at least 120. Four out of twelve capsules, spontaneously self-fertilised at the same time, were found to contain no good seeds; and the remaining eight contained on an average 6.6 seeds per capsule. But it should be observed that later in the season the same plants produced under a net plenty of very fine spontaneously self-fertilised capsules.

The above two lots of seeds, after germinating on sand, were planted in pairs on opposite sides of five pots. The two lots of seedlings, when half an inch in height, and again when 6 inches high, were measured to the tips of their leaves, but presented

\* 'Zur Speciesfrage,' 1875, p. 53. + Mr. J. Scott found ('Report on the Experimental Culture of p. 47), in the case of Paparer somstigmatic surface before the flower had expanded, no seeds

done "on the second day, or even a few hours after the expansion of the flower on the first day, a partial fertilisation had already been effected, and a few good seeds were almost invariably produced." This proves at how early no difference. When fully grown, the flower-stalks were measured to the summits of the seed capsules, with the following result:—

TABLE XXXIII.

Papaver vaqum.

No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants.
L	Inches, 24 g 30 18 g	Inches, 21 26 § 16
II.	14 # 22 19 2 21 g	15 2 20 1 14 1 16 2
III.	201 201 201	192 138 18
IV.	25 I 24 I	23 I 23
v.	20 27 1 19	18 g 27 21 g
Total in inches,	328.75	293-13

The fifteen crossed plants here average 21-91 inches, and the tell fifteen self-fertilised plants 19-54 inches in height, or as 10-889. These plants did not differ in fertility, as far as could be 1899. These plants did not differ in fertility, as far as could be self-guiged by the number of capsulae produced, for there was executy-five on the crossed side and seventy-four on the self-fertilised side.

#### ESCHSCHOLTZIA CALIFORNICA.

This plant is remarkable from the crossed seedlings not exceeding in height or vigour the self-fertilised. On the other hand, a cross greatly increases the productiveness of the flowers on the parent-plants, or, as it would be more correct to any, selffertilisation lessens their productiveness. A cross is indeed smetters necessary in order that the flowers should produce any seed. Moreover, plants derived from a cross are themselves much more feetile than those raised from self-fertilised flowers. so that the whole advantage of a cross is confined to the reproductive system. It will be necessary for me to give this singular case in considerable detail.

Twelve flowers on some plants in my flower-garden were fertilised with pollen from distinct plants, and profused twelve capsules; but one of these centained no good seed. The seeds of the elsewa good capsules weighed 17-4 grains. Eighteen of the elsewa good capsules weighed 17-4 grains. Eighteen and produced same plants were fertilised with their own pollen and produced seeds of the elsewa even and another of nocellar grains weight of seed. Therefore an equal number of nograrians weight of seed. Therefore an equal number of nograting propertion of flowers produced capsules when crossed than when self-fertilized, the relative fertility of the crossed to than when self-fertilized, the relative fertility of the crossed to than when self-fertilized, the relative fertility of the crossed to plants, whilst still protecting a series.

The seeds of the two lots after germinating on sand were planted in pairs on the opposite sides of four large pots. At first there was no difference in their growth, but ultimately the crossed seedlings exceeded the self-fertilised considerably in height, as shown in the following table. But I believe from

Table XXXIV.

Eschscholtzia california

No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants.
I.	Inches, 33 g	Inches, 25
II.	342	35
III.	29	273
IV.	22	15
Total in inches.	118-75	102.25

\* Prof. Hildebrand experiion nented on plants in Germany on a larger scale than I dist, and found them much more self-sterile. Eighteen capsules, produced by cross-fertilisation, contained on an

average eighty-five seeds, whilst fourteen capsules from self-fertilised flowers contained on an average only nine seeds; that is, as 100 to 11: 'Jahrb. für Wissen. Botanik.' B. vii. p. 467. the cases which follow that this result was accidental, owing to only a few plants having been measured, and to one of the self-fertilised plants having grown only to a height of 15 inches. The plants had been kept in the greenhouse, and from being drawn up to the light had to be tied to sticks in this and the following trials. They were measured to the summits of their flower-tons.

The four crossed plants here average 29-08 inches, and the four self-fertilized 25-05 in height; or as 100 to 86. The remaining seeds were sown in a large pot in which a Cincarial hald long been growing; and in this case again the two crossed plants on the one side greatly exceeded in height the two selffertilized plants on the opposite side. The plants in the above four pois from having been kept in the greenboses—side to the foreign on the crossed plants when again crossed were much more productive than the flowers on the self-fertilized plants when again self-fertilized. These plants after seeding were cut down and kept in the greenboure; and in the following year, when grown again, their relative heights were reversed, as the self-fertilized plants in three out of the four plast when one value that and flowered before the crossed

election and subferition. Pleasts of the Second Generation.—The their just given with respect to the growth of the eut-down plants made me doubtful about my first trial, so I determined to make another on a larger scale with roused and self-fertifield scellings raised from the crossed and self-fertifield plants on the last generation. Eleven pairs were raised and grown in competition in the usual manner; and now the result was different, for the two lost swee mostly qualt during their whole growth. It would therefore be superfluores to give a table of their hights. When we defertiled 2.8% in holes in height; ce as 100 to 101. There was no great difference in the number of flowers and canestics unclosed by the two lots when both

were left freely exposed to the visits of insects.

were set treety exposen to new rates of insocate.

Plants ratical from Brazillan Seed.—Pitta Müller sent me from
South Brazil seeds of plants which were there absolutely
sterile when fertilised with pollen from the same plant, but
were perfectly fertile when fertilised with pollen from any
other plant. The plants raised by me in England from these

seeds were examined by Professor Asa Gray, and pronounced to belong to E. californica, with which they were identical in general appearance. Two of these plants were covered by a net, and were found not to be so completely self-sterile as in Brazil. But I shall recur to this subject in another part of this work. Here it will suffice to state that eight flowers on these two plants, fertilised with pollen from another plant under the net, produced eight fine capsules, each containing on an average about eighty seeds. Eight flowers on these same which contained on an average only twelve seeds, with a maximum in one of sixteen seeds. Therefore the cross-fertilised capsules, compared with the self-fertilised, yielded seeds in the ratio of about 100 to 15. These plants of Brazilian parentage differed also in a marked manner from the English plants in producing extremely few spontaneously self-fertilised capsules under a net.

Crossed and self-fertilised seeds from the above plants, after germinating on bare sand, were planted in pairs on the opposite sides of five large pots. The seedlings thus raised were the grandchildren of the plants which grew in Brazil; the parents having been grown in England. As the grand-parents in Brazil absolutely require cross-fertilisation in order to yield any seeds, I expected that self-fertilisation would have proved very injurious to these seedlings, and that the crossed ones would have been greatly superior in height and vigour to those raised from self-fertilised flowers. But the result showed that my anticipation was erroneous; for as in the last experiment with plants of the English stock, so in the present one. the self-fertilised plants exceeded the crossed by a little in height. It will be sufficient to state that the fourteen crossed plants averaged 44.64, and the fourteen self-fertilised 45.12 inches in height; or as 100 to 101.

The lifests of a Cross wide afreek Stock—I now tried a different experiment. Eight flowers on the neaf fortilized plants of the last experiment (i.e., grandehildren of the plants which grew in Brazil) were again fertilized with an analysis of the same plant, and produced five capsules, countaining on an exercise 27°4 countaining on an exercise 27°4 countaining of the same plant, seeds, with a naximum in one of forty-two scales. The seedlings raised from those seeds formed the second wide sufficient generation of the Brazillian sets for men the seed of the Brazillian sets of the Brazillian sets of the seed of the Brazillian sets of the seed of the second sets of the second sets of the Brazillian sets of the second set of the second sets of the second second second second sets of the second se

Eight flowers on one of the crossed plants of the last experi-

ment were crossed with pollen from another grandchild, and produced five capsules. These contained on an average 31-6 seeds, with a maximum in one of forty-nine seeds. The seedlings raised from these seeds may be called the *Intercrossed*.

Lastly, eight other flowers on the crossed plants of the last experiment were fertilized with pollen from a plant of the Engight stock, growing in my garden, and which must have been exposed during many previous generations to very different conditions from those to which the Brazilian progenitors of the mother-plant has containing on an average 63° geoid, with a maximum in one of ninety. The plants raised from these seeds my te called the Engilse-crossed. As far as the above averages can be trusted from so few capsules, the Engilse-crossed capsules contained twice as many seeds as the intercressed, and rather plants with hy joided these capsules were grown in pois in the premisions, or that their absolute productiveness must not be

compared with that of plants growing out of doors.

The above three lots of seeds, viz., the self fertilised, Intercessed, and English-crossed, very palated in an equal state of germination (having been as usual sown on bare sand) in nine large took, each dvided into three parts by superficial partitions. Many of the self-fertilised seeds germinated before those of the successed lots, and these were desiddent of the plants which grow in Brazil. When they were from 2 to 4 inches in height, the three lots were equal. They were measured when four-fifths grown, and again when fully grown, and as their creative heights were almost exactly the same at these two ages, I will give only the last measurements. The average height of the inheriton English-creed of the read of the plants of the pla

The English-crossed to the self-fertilised plants, as 100 to 109
The English-crossed to the intercrossed plants, as 100 to 94
The intercrossed to the self-fertilised plants, as 100 to 116

After the seed-capsules had been gathered, all these plants were cut down close to the ground and weighed. The nineteen English-crossed plants weighed 18·25 ounces; the intercrossed

plants (with their weight calculated as if there had been nineteen) weighed 18°2 owness; and the nineteen self-fertilised plants 21°5 owness. We have therefore for the weights of the three lots of plants the following ratios:—

The English-crossed to the self-fertilised plants, as 100 to 118 The English-crossed to the intercrossed plants, as 100 to 100

The intercrossed to the self-fertilised plants, as 100 to 118.

We thus see that in weight, as in height, the self-fertilised.

plants had a decided advantage over the English-crossed and intercrossed plants.

The remaining seeds of the three kinds, whether or not in a state of germination, vers oven in three long parallel over in the open ground; and here again the self-fertilized crediting exceeded in height by between 2 and 3 inches the seedlings in the two other rows, which were of nearly equal heights. The three rows were fell unprotected throughout the winter, and all the plants were killed, with the exception of two of the selffertilized; so that as far as this little bid of evidence goes, some of the self-fertilized plants were more hardy than any of the crossed plants of either lot.

If we now turn to the fertility of the three lots of plants we find a very different result. I may premise that in five out of the nine pots the first plant which flowered was one of the English-crossed; in four of the pots it was a self-ertilized plant; and in not one did an intercrossed plant flower first; so that these latter plants were beaten in this respect, as in so many other ways. The three closely adopting rows of plants growing in

the open ground flowered profusely, and the flowers were incessantly visited by bees, and certainly thus intercrossed. The manner in which several plants in the previous experiments continued to be almost sterile as long as they were covered by a net, but set a multitude of capsules immediately that they were uncovered, proves how effectually the bees carry pollen from plant to plant. My gardener gathered, at three successive times, an equal number of ripe capsules from the plants of the three lots, until he had collected forty-five from each lot. It is not possible to judge from external appearance whether or not a capsule contains any good seeds; so that I opened all the capsules. Of the forty-five from the English-crossed plants, four were empty: of those from the intercrossed, five were empty: and of those from the self-fertilised, nine were empty. The seeds were counted in twenty-one capsules taken by chance out of each lot, and the average number of seeds in the capsules from the English-crossed plants was 67; from the intercrossed, 6; and from the self-fertilised, 48.52. It therefore follows that

The forty-five capsules (the four empty ones included) from the English-crossed plants contained. The forty-five capsules (the five empty ones included) from the intercrossed plants contained.

cluded) from the intercrossed plants contained . 2240 The forty-five capsules (the nine empty ones in-

cluded) from the self-fertilised plants contained . 1746-7

The reader should remember that these capsules are the product of cross-fertilisation, effected by the bees; and that the difference in the number of the contained seeds must depend on the constitution of the plants;—that is, on whether they were offerried from a cross with a distinct stock; or from a cross between plants of the same stock, or from self-fertilisation. From the above facts we obtain the following ratios:—

Number of seeds contained in an equal number of naturally fertilised capsules produced—

By the English-crossed and self-fertilised plants, as 100 to 63 By the English-crossed and intercrossed plants, as 100 to 81 By the intercrossed and self-fertilised plants, as 100 to 78

But to have ascertained the productiveness of the three lots of plants, it would have been necessary to know how many capsules were produced by the same number of plants. The

three long rows, however, were not of quite equal lengths, and the plants were much crowded, so that it would have been extremely difficult to have ascertained how many capsules were produced by them, even if I had been willing to undertake so laborious a task as to collect and count all the capsules. But this was feasible with the plants grown in pots in the greenhouse; and although these were much less fertile than those growing out of doors, their relative fertility appeared, after carefully observing them, to be the same. The nineteen plants of the English-crossed stock in the pots produced altogether 240 capsules: the intercrossed plants (calculated as nineteen) produced 137.22 capsules; and the nineteen self-fertilised plants 152 capsules. Now, knowing the number of seeds contained in forty-five capsules of each lot, it is easy to calculate the relative numbers of seeds produced by an equal number of the plants of the three lots.

Number of seeds produced by an equal number of naturallyfertilised plants.

Plants of English-crossed and self-fertilised	Docum.
parentage	as 100 to 40
Plants of the English-crossed and intercrossed	
	as 100 to 45
Plants of the intercrossed and self-fertilised	
parentage	as 100 to 89

The superiority in productiveness of the intercrossed plants (that is, the product of a cross between the grandchildren of the plants which grew in Brazil) over the self-fertilised, small as it is, is wholly due to the larger average number of seeds contained in the capsules; for the intercrossed plants produced fewer capsules in the greenhouse than did the self-fertilised plants. The great superiority in productiveness of the English-crossed over the self-fertilised plants is shown by the larger number of capsules produced, the larger average number of contained seeds. and the smaller number of empty capsules. As the Englishcrossed and intercrossed plants were the offspring of crosses in every previous generation (as must have been the case from the flowers being sterile with their own pollen), we may conclude that the great superiority in productiveness of the English-crossed over the intercrossed plants is due to the two parents of the former having been long subjected to different conditions.

The English-crossed plants, though so superior in productiveness, were, as we have seen, decidedly inferior in height to the self-fertilised, and only equal to, or hardly superior to, the intercrossed plants. Therefore, the whole advantage of a cross with a distinct stock is here confined to productiveness, and I have met with no similar case.

## VIII. RESEDACEÆ.—Reseda LUTEA.

Seeds collected from wild plants growing in this neighbourhood were sown in the kitchen-garden; and several of the seedlings thus raised were covered with a net. Of these, some were found (as will hereafter be more fully described) to be absolutely sterile when left to fertilise themselves spontaneously, although plenty of pollen fell on their stigmas; and they were equally sterile when artificially and repeatedly fertilised with their own pollen; whilst other plants produced a few spontaneously self-fertilised capsules. The remaining plants were left uncovered, and as pollen was carried from plant to plant by the hive and humble-bees which incessantly visit the flowers. they produced an abundance of capsules. Of the necessity of pollen being carried from one plant to another, I had ample evidence in the case of this species and of R. odorata; for those plants, which set no seeds or very few as long as they were protected from insects, became loaded with capsules immediately that they were uncovered.

Seeds from the flowers apontaneously self-fertilized under the nut, and from flowers naturally crossed by the bees, were sour on opposite sides of five large pots. The secollings were thinned as soon as they appeared above ground, so that an equal number were left on the two sides. After a time the pots were plunged into the open ground. The same number of plants of crossed and self-fertilized parentage were measured up to the summits of their flower-stems, with the result given in the following table (XXXV). Those which did not produce flower-stems were not measured.

The average height of the twenty-four crossed plants is here 17-17 inches, and that of the same number of self-fertilised plants 14-61; or as 100 to 85. Of the crossed plants all but five flowered, whilst steveral of the self-fertilised did not do so. The above pairs, whilst still in flower, but with some capsules already formed, were afterwarks cut down and weighed. The crossed weighed 90.5 ounces; and an equal number of the self-fertilised only 19 ounces, or as 100 to 21; and this is an astonishing difference.

Table XXXV.
Reseda lutea, in pots.

No, of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised-Plants.
I.	Inches.	Inches.
I.	21	127
	14]	16
	19	117
	7	157
	151	19
II.	201	121
	17 }	163
	231	161
	17	13
	20	13
III.	161	141
****	17	191
	16	201
	10	7 7
	10	1 7 6
	10	17
IV.	221	9
	19	11#
	187	11
	161	16
	19	168
V.	251	149
	25 ł 22	16
	81	143
	141	14
Total in inches.	412-25	350.88

Seeds of the same two lots were also sown in two adjoining rows in the open ground. There were twenty crossed plants in the one row and thirty-two self-fertilised plants in the other row, so that the experiment was not quite fair; but not so unfair as it at first appears, for the plants in the same row were not crowded so much as seriously to interfere with each other's growth, and the ground was bere on the outside of both rows. These plants were better nourished than those in the pots and grew to a greater height. The eight tallest plants in each row were measured in the same manner as before, with the following result:—

Table XXXVI.

Reseda lutea, growing in the open ground.

rossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants
Inches.	Inches,
	331
27 8	214
281 -	201
	21 8
261	213
30	21
224-75	185-13
	28 27 8 27 8 28 2 28 2 26 2 26 2 30 8

The accesses beight of the crossed plants, whilst in full flower, was here 3:200, and that of the self-efficient 229 14 inches; or as 100 to 82. It is a simpular fact that the tallout plant in the two rows, was one of the self-efficient. The self-efficient plants is smaller and paler green increased that the self-efficient plants is the two plants of the self-efficient plants and the trusty crossed plants weighted 60 cmoses, and twenty self-fertilised (by calculation from the actual weight of the thirty-two selffertilised plants) weighted 20°-25 concess; or an 100 to 40°. Therefore the crossed plants did not exceed in weight the self-efficient plants in meanly as great a degree as those growing in the severe mutual competition. On the other hand, they exceeded the self-efficient on height in a slightly greater degree.

#### RESEDA ODORATA.

Plants of the common mignosetic were raised from purchased sod, and several of them were placed under separate nots. Of these some became loaded with spontaneously self-fertilised capsales; others produced a few, and others not a single one. It must not be supposed that these latter plants produced no seed because their stigmas did not receive any pollen, for they were repeatedly fartificed with pollen from the same plant with no effect; but they were perfectly fertile with pollen from any other plant. Spontaneously self-fertilled seeds were saved from one of the highly self-fertile plants, and other seeds were collected from the plants growing outside the nest, which had been crossed by the bose. These seeds after germinating on saud were planted in pairs on the opposite ideas of five pots. The plants were trained up sticks, and measured to the summits of have the result:—the forever stem not being included. We here have the result:

Table XXXVII.

Reseda odorata (seedlings from a highly Self-fertile Plant).

No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Pla
	Inches.	Inches.
I.	207	224
	347	28 8
	261	23 2
	32 8	30 4
II.	342	281
	34 4	30 8
	119	23°
	33	301
HI.	177	41
	27	25
	301	263
	30 2	25
IV.	218	22 6
	28	251
	324	151
	32	24 8
v.	21 .	119
	253	19
	26	10
Total in inches.	522.25	428.50
Tout in inches.	022 20	920.00

The average height of the nineteen crossed plants is here  $27^{\circ}48$ , and that of the nineteen self-fertilised  $22^{\circ}55$  inches; or as 100 to 82. All these plants were cut down in the early autumn

and weighed; the crossed weighed 11.5 ounces, and the selffertilised 7.75 ounces, or as 100 to 67. These two lots having been left freely exposed to the visits of insects, did not present any difference to the eye in the number of seed-capsules which they produced.

The remainder of the same two lots of seeds were sown in two adjoining rows in the open ground; so that the plants were exposed to only moderate competition. The eight tallest on each side were measured, as shown in the following table:—

Table XXXVIII.

Reseda odorata, growing in the open ground.

Inches,	Inches.
241	261
27 2	25
24	25
261	281
25	297
261	251
271	267
251 .	281
	201
Total in 206.13	216.75

The average height of the eight crossed plants is 25·76, and that of the eight self-fertilised 27·09; or as 100 to 105. We here have the anomalous result of the self-fertilised plants

being a little taller than the crossed; of which fact I can offer no explanation. It is of course possible, but not probable, that the labels may have been interchanged by accident.

Another experiment was now tried: all the self-fertilitied capsules, though very few in munber, were gathered from one of the semi-self-sterile plants under a net; and as several flowers on this same plant had been fertilized with pollen from a distinct individual, crossed seeds were thus obtained. I expected that the seedlings from this semi-self-sterile plant would have profited in a higher degree from a cross, than did the seedlings from the fully self-sertile plants. Dut my anticipation was quite wrong, for they grateful in a law degree. An another one of the plant is described in the plant is described by the plant is described b

profit more from a cross, than did the plants of the far more self-fertile English stock. The above two lots of crossed and self-fertillised seeds from the same plant of Reseda colorata, after germinating on sand, were planted on opposite sides of five pots, and measured as in the last case, with the following result:—

Table XXXIX.

Reseda odorata (seedlings from a semi-self-sterile Plant).

No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants
	Inches.	Inches,
I.	334	31
	301	28
	291	131
	20	32
IL.	22	219
	334	269
	313	251
	32	301
III.	301	172
	321	29 2
	31	240
	32	341
IV.	191	20#
	301	321
	24	811
	301	36
v.	344	244
	371	34
	813	223
	33	37
Total in inches.	599.75	554-25

The average height of the twenty crossed plants is here 29·38, and that of the twenty self-fertilised 27·71 inches; or as 100 to 92. These plants were then cut down and weighed; and the crossed in this case exceeded the self-fertilised in weight by a mere trifle, viz., in the ratio of 100 to 99. The two lots, left freely exposed to insects, seemed to be equally fertile.

The remainder of the seed was sown in two adjoining rows in

the open ground; and the eight tallest plants in each row were measured, with the following result:—

Table XL.

Reseda odorata (seedlings from a semi-self-sterile Plant, planted in the open ground).

Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants.
Inches,  28 g  22 g  25 g  25 g  27 g  27 g  22 g  26 g	Inches, 22 2 24 2 23 2 21 2 22 2 27 2 27 2 19 2
Total in } 207 · 38	188:38

The average height of the eight crossed plants is here 25 92, and that of the eight self-fertilised plants 23 54 inches; or as 100 to 90.

#### IX. VIOLACEÆ.—VIOLA TRICOLOR.

Whilst the flowers of the common cultivated hearteness are pung, the anthers shed their pollen into a little semi-cylindrical passage, formed by the basal portion of the lower petal, and surrounded by papilla. The pollen thus collected lies close beneath the stigma, but can soldom gain access into its cavity, except by the aid of insects, which pass their probosoides down this passage into the nectary.\* Consequently when I covered up a large plant of a cultivated variety, it set only eighteen capsules, and most of these contained very few good sceld—several from only one to three; whereas an equally fine

Bennett, in 'Nature,' May 15, 1873, p. 50; and some remarks by Mr. Kitcheher, ibid. p. 143. The facts which follow on the effects of covering up a plant of V. tricolor have been quoted by Sir J. Lubbock in his 'British Wild Flowers' &c. p. 62.

<sup>\*</sup> The flowers of this plant have been fully described by Sprengel, Hildebrand, Delpino, and H. Müller. The latter author sums up all the previous observations in his 'Befruchtung der Blumen,' and in 'Nature,' Nov. 20, 1873, p. 44. See also Mr. A. W.

uncovered plant of the same variety, growing close by, produced 105 fine capsules. The few flowers which produce capsules when insects are excluded, are perhaps fertilised by the curling inwards of the petals as they wither, for by this means pollen-grains adhering to the papillse might be inserted into the cavity of the stigma. But it is more probable that their fertilisation is effected, as Mr. Bennett suggests, by Thrips and certain minute beetles which haunt the flowers, and which cannot be excluded by any net. Humble-bees are the usual fertilisers; but I have more than once seen flies (Rhingia rostrata) at work, with the under sides of their bodies, heads and legs dusted with pollen; and having marked the flowers which they visited, I found them after a few days fertilised.\* It is curious for how long a time the flowers of the heartsease and of some other plants may be watched without an insect being seen to visit them. During the summer of 1841. I observed many times daily for more than a fortnight some large clumps of heartsease growing in my garden, before I saw a single humble-bee at work. During another summer I did the same, but at last saw some dark-coloured humble-bees visiting on three successive days almost every flower in several clumps; and almost all these flowers quickly withered and produced fine capsules, I presume that a certain state of the atmosphere is necessary for the secretion of nectar, and that as soon as this occurs the insects discover the fact by the odour emitted, and immediately frequent the flowers.

As the flowers require the aid of insects for their complete

\* I should add that this fly apparently did not suck the nectar, but was attracted by the papills which surround the stigma. H. Müller also saw a small bee an Andrena, which could not reach the nectar, repeatedly inserting where the papills are situated; so that these papillse must be in some way attractive to insects. A writer asserts ('Zoologist,' vol. iii.-iv. p. 1225) that a moth (Plusia) frequently visits the flowers of the pansy. Hive-bees do not ordinarily visit them, but a case has been recorded ('Gardeners' Chronicle,' 1844, p. 374)

of them bees doing so. H. Müller has also seen the hir-be be at work, but only on the wild small-flowered form. He gives a list (Nature, 1875, p. 46) of all the both the large and small-flowered forms. From his account, I suspect that the flowered forms, From his account, I suspect that the flowers of plants in spect that the flowers of plants in the property of the contract of the cultivated varieties. He has seen several butterflies anching in flowers of wild plants; in the flowers of wild plants or watched the flowers during many years.

fertilisation, and as they are not visited by insects nearly so often as most other necta-secreting flowers, we can understand the remarkable fact discovered by II. Muller and described by him in 'Nature,' namely, that this species exists under two forms. One of these bears conspicuous flowers, which, as we have seen, crucium the aid of insects, and are adapted to be cross-fertilised by them; whilst the other form has much smaller and accomplisation of the control of the substantial of the control of the control of the consistence of the control of the control of the consistence of the control of the control of the control of the substantial of the control of the control of the control of the substantial of the control of the control of the control of the substantial of the control of the control

by insects, though this is rather doubtful, In my first experiments on Viola tricolor I was unsuccessful in raising seedlings, and obtained only one full-grown crossed and self-fertilised plant. The former was 124 inches and the latter 8 inches in height. On the following year several flowers on a fresh plant were crossed with pollen from another plant, which was known to be a distinct seedling; and to this point it is important to attend. Several other flowers on the same plant were fertilised with their own pollen. The average number of seeds in the ten crossed capsules was 18.7, and in the twelve self-fertilised capsules 12.83; or as 100 to 69. These seeds, after germinating on bare sand, were planted in pairs on the opposite sides of five pots. They were first measured when about a third of their full size, and the crossed plants then averaged 3.87 inches, and the self-fertilised only 2.00 inches in height; or as 100 to 52. They were kept in the greenhouse, and did not grow vigorously. Whilst in flower they were again measured to the summits of their stems (see Table XLL), with the following result :-

The average height of the fourtone crossed plants is here 5:58 inches, and that of the fourteen self-fertilised 2:37; or as 100 to 42. In four out of the five pots, a crossed plant flowered before any one of the self-fertilised; as illuveius occurred with the pair raised during the provious year. These plants without being the provious year of the self-paints without being disturbed were now turned out of their pots and planted in the open ground, so as to form five separate clumps. Early in the following summer (1889) they flowered profusely, and being visited by humble-bess set many capsules, which were carefully collected from all the plants on both sides. The crossed plants produced 167 capsules, and the self-fertilised only 17; or as 100 to 10. So that the crossed plants have the control of the plants on both as the result of the plants on the plants were more that twice the

height of the self-fertilised, generally flowered first, and produced ten times as many naturally fertilised capsules.

TABLE XLL

No, of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plant
I.	Inches, 8 2 7 1 5	Inches, 0 2 2 4 1 2
п.	5 4 4‡	6 4 31
III.	9 400 700 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 9	31 12 08
IV.	47 42 4	2 to 1 to 2 to
v.	6 3 8	3 1 g
Total in inches.	78-13	33-25

By the early part of the summer of 1870 the crossed plants in all the five clumps had grown and spread so much more than the self-fertilised, that any comparison between them was superfluous. The crossed plants were covered with a sheet of bloom, whilst only a single self-fertilised plant, which was much bloom, whilst only a single self-fertilised plant, which was not fertilised plants had now grown all. The crossed and selffertilised plants had now grown the first self-fertilised plant, and in the clump which included the finest self-fertilised plant, I estimated that the surface covered by the crossed plants was about nine times as large as that covered by the self-fertilised plants. The extraordinary superiority of the crossed over the the crossed plants at first laving lad a decided advantage over the self-fertilised, and then robbing them more and more of their food during the succeeding seasons. But we should remember that the same result would follow in a state of nature even to a greater degree; for my plants grew in ground kept clear of greater degree; for my plants grew in ground kept clear of the weeds, so that the self-fortilised had to compete only with the recrossed plants; whereas the whole surface of the ground is naturally covered with various kinds of plants, all of which have to strungthe together for each stickness.

The ensuing winter was very severe, and in the following spring (1871) the plants were again examined. All the selffertilised were now dead, with the exception of a single branch on one plant, which tope on its summit a minute resette of leaves about as large as a pea. On the other hand, all the crossed plants without exception were growing vigorously. So that the self-fertilised plants, besides their inferiority in other respects, were more tender.

Another experiment was now tried for the sake of ascertaining how far the superiority of the crossed plants, or to speak more correctly, the inferiority of the self-fertilised plants, would be transmitted to their offspring. The one crossed and one selffertilised plant, which were first raised, had been turned out of their pot and planted in the open ground. Both produced an abundance of very fine capsules, from which fact we may safely conclude that they had been cross-fertilised by insects. Seeds from both, after germinating on sand, were planted in pairs on the opposite sides of three pots. The naturally crossed seedlings

TABLE XLIL

Viola tricolor: seedlings from crossed and self-fertilised Plants, the parents of both sets having been left to be naturally fertilised.

No. of Pot.	Naturally crossed Plants from artifi- cially crossed Plants,	Naturally crossed Plants from self- fertilised Plants.
L	Inches. 12 ½ 11 g	Inches, 9 9 8 2
II.	13 g 10	96 11
III.	14 ± 13 g	11 i 11 i
Total in inches.	75-38	61.88

derived from the crossed plants flowered in all three pots before the naturally crossed seedlings derived from the self-fertilised plants. When both lots were in full flower, the two tallest plants on each side of each pot were measured, and the result is shown in the preceding table.

#### X. RANUNCULACEÆ.—Adonis æstivalis.

The results of my experiments on this plant are hardly worth giving, as I remark in my note ranke at the time, "seedlings, from some unknown cause, all miserably unbeathty." Nor did they care become behaltly; us I foel bound to give the present case, as it is opposed to the general results at which I have arrived. Fifteen flowers were crossed and all produced fruit, containing on an average \$2.5 seeds; nineteen flowers were fertilized with their own police, and they likewise all yielded fruit, containing a rather larger average of \$3.5 seeds; or as 100 to 105. Seedlings were raised from these seeds. In one of the pots all the self-fertilized plants died whith quite young; in the two others, the measurements were as follows:

TABLE XLIII.

No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants
I.	Inches. 14 13 ‡	Inches. 13 ± 13 ±
II.	161 131	15 g 15
Total in inches.	57.00	57.25

The average height of the four crossed plants is 14.25, and that of the four self-fertilised plants 14.31; or as 100 to 100.04; so that they were in fact of equal height. According to Professor H. Hoffmann, this plant is proterandrous; nevertheless it yields plenty of seeds when protected from insects.

#### DELPHINIUM CONSOLIDA.

It has been said in the case of this plant, as of so many others, that the flowers are fertilised in the bud, and that distinct plants or varieties can never naturally intercross.† But this is an error, as we may infer, firstly from the flowers being proterandrous.—the mature stamens bending up, one after the other, into the passage which leads to the nectary, and afterwards the mature pistils bending in the same direction; secondly, from the number of humble-bees which visit the flowers 1; and thirdly, from the greater fertility of the flowers when crossed with pollen from a distinct plant than when spontaneously self-fertilised. In the year 1863 I enclosed a large branch in a net, and crossed five flowers with pollen from a distinct plant: these yielded capsules containing on an average 35.2 very fine seeds, with a maximum of forty-two in one capsule. Thirty-two other flowers on the same branch produced twenty-eight spontaneously self-fertilised capsules, containing on an average 17.2 seeds, with a maximum in one of thirty-six seeds. But six of these capsules were very poor. vielding only from one to five seeds; if these are excluded, the remaining twenty-two capsules give an average of 20.9 seeds. though many of these seeds were small. The fairest ratio, therefore, for the number of seeds produced by a cross and by spontaneous self-fertilisation is as 100 to 59. These seeds were not sown, as I had too many other experiments in progress.

In the summer of 1867, which was a very unfavourable one, I again crossed several flowers under a net with pollen from a distinct plant, and fertilised other flowers on the same plant with their own pollen. The former yielded a much larger proportion of causains than the latter; and many of the seeds in the self-fertilised capsules, though numerous, were so poor that an quantity of the model of the plant of the self-fertilised capsules of the plant of the

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Zur Speciesfrage,' 1875, p. 11. by H. Müller, 'Befruchtung,' &c., † Decaisne, 'Comptes-Rendus,' p. 122.

CHAP, IV.

were in weight as 100 to 45. The two lots were allowed to germinate on sand, and pairs were planted on the opposite sides of four posts. When nearly two-thirds grown they were measured, as shown in the following table:—

Table XLIV.

Delphinium consolida,

No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants
L	Inches, 11	Inches. 11
II.	19 16]	161 111
III.	26	22
IV.	91	81
Total in inches.	89-75	75-50

The six crossed plants here average 14:'05, and the six self-critistical 12:'05 choices in height; or as 100 to 84. When fully grown they were again measured, but from want of time only a single plant on each side was measured; as that I have thought it best to give the earlier measurements. At the later period the three tablest crossed plants still exceeded considerably in height the three tablest self-critised, but not in quite so great home, but whether the flowers were intercrossed by bea of realf-fertilized. I do not know. The six crossed plants produced 28 fertilized I do not know. The six crossed plants produced 28 plants produced 00 plants greater out only 102; or as 100 to 56. So that the crossed plants were very much more productive than those elf-critistical.

### XI. CARYOPHYLLACEÆ.-VISCARIA OCULATA.

Twelve flowers were crossed with pollen from another plant, and yielded ten capsules, containing by weight 5·77 grains of seeds. Eighteen flowers were fertilised with their own pollen and yielded twelve capsules, containing by weight 2·63 grains Therefore the seeds from an equal number of crossed and self-

fertilised flowers would have been in weight as 100 to 38. I had previously selected a medium-sized capsule from each lot, and counted the seeds in both; the crossed one contained 284, and the self-fertilised one 126 seeds; or as 100 to 44. These seeds were sown on opposite sides of three pots, and several seedlings raised; but only the tallest flower-stem of one plant on each side was measured. The three on the crossed side averaged 39:5 inches, and the three on the self-fertilised side 34 inches in height: or as 100 to 104. But this trial was on much too small a scale to be trusted; the plants also grew so unequally that one of the three flower-stems on the crossed plants was very nearly twice as tall as that on one of the others: and one of the three flower-stems on the self-fertilised plants exceeded in an equal degree one of the others. In the following year the experiment was repeated on a larger

scale: ten flowers were crossed on a new set of plants and vielded ten capsules containing by weight 6.54 grains of seed. Eighteen spontaneously self-fertilised capsules were gathered. of which two contained no seed; the other sixteen contained by weight 6:07 grains of seed. Therefore the weight of seed from an equal number of crossed and spontaneously self-fertilised flowers (instead of artificially fertilised as in the previous case) was as 100 to 58.

The seeds after germinating on sand were planted in pairs on the opposite sides of four pots, with all the remaining seeds sown crowded in the opposite sides of a fifth pot; in this latter pot only the tallest plant on each side was measured. Until the seedlings had grown about 5 inches in height no difference could be perceived in the two lots. Both lots flowered at nearly the same time. When they had almost done flowering, the tallest flower-stem on each plant was measured, as shown in the following table (XLV.).

The fifteen crossed plants here average 34.5, and the fifteen self-fertilised 33:55 inches in height; or as 100 to 97. So that the excess of height of the crossed plants is quite insignificant. In productiveness, however, the difference was much more plainly marked. All the capsules were gathered from both lots of plants (except from the crowded and unproductive ones in Pot V.), and at the close of the season the few remaining flowers were added in. The fourteen crossed plants produced 381, whilst the fourteen self-fertilised plants produced only 293 capsules and flowers. or as 100 to 77.

TABLE XLV.

No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants
	Inches.	Inches.
I.	19	321
	33	38
	41	38
	41	281
II.	37 å 36 å 38	36
	361	32}
	38	351
III.	44.1 39.1 39	36
	391	201
	39	. 30
IV.	302	36
	31	39
	331	29
	24	381
V. Crowded.	30	32
Total in inches.	517-63	503.38

### matter to dear to a

The common carastion is strongly protestadrous, and therefore depends to a large extent upon insects for fertilization. I have seen only humble-bees visiting the flowers, but I dare say there insects likewise does. It is noterious that if pure seed is desired, the greatest care is necessary to prevent the varieties which grow in the same gender from intercressing. The police is generally shed and only the consideration of sugars. The police is generally shed and the large that the state of the same flower. The police is generally shed and the large that the same plant instead of from the same flower. But on two occasions, when I attended to this point, I was not able to detect any analysis of difference in the number of seeds produced by these two forms of self-fertilitation.

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Gardeners' Chronicle,' 1847, p. 268

Several single-flowered carnations were planted in good soil. and were all covered with a net. Eight flowers were crossed with pollen from a distinct plant and yielded six capsules. containing on an average 88.6 seeds, with a maximum in one of 112 seeds. Eight other flowers were self-fertilised in the manner above described, and vielded seven capsules containing on an average 82 seeds, with a maximum in one of 112 seeds. So that there was very little difference in the number of seeds produced by cross-fertilisation and self-fertilisation, viz., as 100 to 92. As these plants were covered by a net, they produced spontaneously only a few capsules containing any seeds, and these few may perhaps be attributed to the action of Thrips and other minute insects which haunt the flowers, A large majority of the spontaneously self-fertilised capsules produced by several plants contained no seeds, or only a single one. Excluding these latter capsules, I counted the seeds in eighteen of the finest ones, and these contained on an average 18 seeds. One of the plants was spontaneously self-fertile in a higher degree than any of the others. On another occasion a single covered-up plant produced spontaneously eighteen capsules, but only two of these contained any seed, namely 10 and 15,

Crossed and self-fertilised Plants of the First Generation.-The many seeds obtained from the above crossed and artificially self-fertilfsed flowers were sown out of doors, and two large beds of seedlings, closely adjoining one another, thus raised. This was the first plant on which I experimented, and I had not then formed any regular scheme of operation. When the two lots were in full flower, I measured roughly a large number of plants, but record only that the crossed were on an average fully 4 inches taller than the self-fertilised. Judging from subsequent measurements, we may assume that the crossed plants were about 28 inches, and the self-fertilised about 24 inches in height; and this will give us a ratio of 100 to 86. Out of a large number of plants, four of the crossed ones flowered before any one of the self-fertilised plants.

Thirty flowers on these crossed plants of the first generation were again crossed with pollen from a distinct plant of the same lot, and vielded twenty-nine capsules, containing on an average 55.62 seeds, with a maximum in one of 110 seeds,

Thirty flowers on the self-fertilised plants were again selffertilised : eight of them with pollen from the same flower, and the remainder with pollen from another flower on the same plant; and these produced twenty-two capsules, containing on an average 35.95 seeds, with a maximum in one of 61 seeds. We thus see, judging by the number of seeds per capsule, that the crossed plants again crossed were more productive than the self-fertilised again self-fertilised, in the ratio of 100 to 65. Both the crossed and self-fertilised plants, from having grown much crowded in the two beds, produced less fine capsules and fewer seeds than did their parents.

Crossed and self-fertilised Plants of the Second Generation .- The crossed and self-fertilised seeds from the crossed and self-fertilised plants of the last generation were sown on opposite sides of two pots; but the seedlings were not thinned enough, so that both lots grew very irregularly, and most of the self-fertilised plants after a time died from being smothered. My measurements were, therefore, very incomplete. From the first the crossed seedlings appeared the finest, and when they were on an average, by estimation. 5 inches high, the self-fertilised plants were only 4 inches. In both pots the crossed plants flowered first. The two tallest flower-stems on the crossed plants in the two pots were 17 and 164 inches in height; and the two tallest flower-stems on the self-fertilised plants 104 and 9 inches; so that their heights were as 100 to 58. But this ratio, deduced from only two pairs, obviously is not in the least trustworthy, and would not have been given had it not been otherwise supported. I state in my notes that the crossed plants were very much more luxuriant than their opponents, and seemed to be twice as bulky. This latter estimate may be believed from the ascertained weights of the two lots in the next generation. Some flowers on these crossed plants were again crossed with pollen from another plant of the same lot, and some flowers on the selffertilised plants again self-fertilised; and from the seeds thus obtained the plants of the next generation were raised.

Crossed and self-fertilised Plants of the Third Generation.—The seeds just alluded to were allowed to germinate on bare sand. and were planted in pairs on the opposite sides of four pots. When the seedlings were in full flower, the tallest stem on each plant was measured to the base of the calyx. The measurements are given in the following table (XLVL). In Pot I. the crossed and self-fertilised plants flowered at the same time; but in the other three pots the crossed flowered first. These latter plants also continued flowering much later in the autumn than the self-fertilised.

Table XLVI.

Dianthus caryophyllus (Third Generation).

No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants
I.	Inches. 28 g 27 g	Inches. 30 26
II,	29 29 1	307 271
ш.	28 § 23 §	31 g 24 g
IV.	27 33 ‡	30 25
Total in inches.	227-13	225.75

The average height of the eight crossed plants is here 28:30 inches, and of the eight self-ferdible 28:21; or an 100 to 199. So that there was no difference in height worth speaking of but in general vigours and invariance there was an astonishing difference, as shown by their weights. After the seed-capsules bad been gathered, the eight crossed and the eight self-fertillised plants were cut down and weighed; the former weighed 43 omnes, and the latter only 21 ounces; or as 100 to 45.

These plants were all kept under a net, so that the capsules which they produced must have been all spontaneously self-fertilised. The eight crossed plants produced twenty-one such acquisites, of which only twelve continued any seed, averaging 8.5 per apaule. On the other hand, the eight self-fertilised plants produced no less than thirty-inc capsules, of which I cannined twenty-five, and, with the exception of three, all contained seeds, averaging 10 Si seeds per capsule. Thus the proportional number of seeds per capsule are proposed to the produced of the plants of the proposed of the produced produced by the plants or the produced of the plants of the produced produced by the plants of the produced produced by the plants of the plants of the plants of the produced produced by the plants of the plants of the produced produced by the plants of the plants of the plants of the produced produced produced by the plants of the produced plants of the produced prod

first experiment differed from the others in, being slightly more self-fertile.

The Effects of a Cross with a fresh Stock .- Twenty flowers on the self-fertilised plants of the last or third generation, in Table XLVI., were fertilised with their own pollen, but taken from other flowers on the same plants. These produced fifteen capsules, which contained (omitting two with only three and six seeds) on an average 47.23 seeds, with a maximum of seventy in one. The self-fertilised capsules from the self-fertilised plants of the first generation yielded the much lower average of 35.95 seids; but as these latter plants grew extremely crowded, nothing can be inferred with respect to this difference in their self-fertility. The seedlings raised from the above seeds constitute the plants of the fourth self-fertilised generation in the following table Twelve flowers on the same plants of the third self-fertilised

generation, in Table XLVI., were crossed with pollen from the crossed plants in the same table. These crossed plants had been intercrossed for the three previous generations; and many of them, no doubt, were more or less closely inter-related, but not so closely as in some of the experiments with other species; for several carnation plants had been raised and crossed in the earlier generations. They were not related, or only in a distant degree, to the self-fertilised plants. The parents of both the self-fertilised and crossed plants had been subjected to as nearly as possible the same conditions during the three previous generations. The above twelve flowers produced ten capsules, containing on an average 48.66 seeds, with a maximum in one of seventy-two seeds. The plants raised from these seeds may be called the intercrossed.

Lastly, twelve flowers on the same self-fertilised plants of the third generation were crossed with pollen from plants which had been raised from seeds purchased in London. It is almost certain that the plants which produced these seeds had grown under very different conditions to those to which my selffertilised and crossed plants had been subjected; and they were in no degree related. The above twelve flowers thus crossed all produced capsules, but these contained the low average of 37.41 seeds per capsule, with a maximum in one of sixty-four seeds. It is surprising that this cross with a fresh stock did not give a much higher average number of seeds; for, as we shall immediately see, the plants raised from these seeds, which may

be called the London-crossed, benefited greatly by the cross, both in growth and fertility.

The above three lots of seeds were allowed to germinate on bare sand. Many of the London-crossed germinated before the others, and were nejected; and many of the intercrossed later than those of the other two lots. The seeds after thus germinating were planted in ten pots, made tripartite by superficial

TABLE XLVII.

Dianthus caryophyllus,

No. of Pot.	London-crossed Plants.	Intercrossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants.
L	Inches. 39 § 30 §	Inches, 25 ½ 21 ½	Inches. 291 +
п.	361		221 +
III.	281	30 2 23 1	
IV.	33 4 28 1	35 g 32	30 24 ‡
v.	28 0	341 241	‡
VI.	32 § 31	24 I 26	30 g 24 g
VII.	417 341	29 I 26 I	27 I 27
VIII.	34 g 28 g	29 0	26¶ +
IX.	25 g	28g +	+ 0
X.	38 32 1	28‡ +	227 0
Total in inches.	525 • 13	420-00	265.50

divisions; but when only two kinds of seeds germinated at the same time, they were planted on the opposite sides of other pots; and this is indicated by blank spaces in one of the three columns in Table XLVII. An 0 in the table signifies that the seedling died before it was measured; and a + signifies that the plant did not produce a flower-stem, and therefore was not measured. It deserves notice that no less than eight out of the eighteen selffertilised plants either died or did not flower; whereas only three out of the eighteen intercrossed, and four out of the twenty London-crossed plants, were in this predicament. The selffertilised plants had a decidedly less vicorous appearance than the plants of the other two lots, their leaves being smaller and narrower. In only one pot did a self-fertilised plant flower before one of the two kinds of crossed plants, between which there was no marked difference in the period of flowering, The plants were measured to the base of the calyx, after they had completed their growth, late in the autumn The average height of the sixteen London-crossed plants in

the preceding table is 32.82 inches; that of the fifteen intercrossed plants, 28 inches; and that of the ten self-fertilised plants, 26.55.

So that in height we have the following ratios:-The London-crossed to the self-fertilised as 100 to 81

The London-crossed to the intercrossed as 100 to 85 The Intercrossed to the self-fertilised as

These three lots of plants, which it should be remembered were all derived on the mother-side from plants of the third self-fertilised generation, fertilised in three different ways, were left exposed to the visits of insects, and their flowers were freely crossed by them. As the capsules of each lot became ripe they were gathered and kept separate, the empty or bad ones being thrown away. But towards the middle of October, when the capsules could no longer ripen, all were gathered and were counted, whether good or bad. The capsules were then crushed. and the seed cleaned by sieves and weighed. For the sake of uniformity the results are given from calculation, as if there had been twenty plants in each lot.

The sixteen London-crossed plants actually produced 286 capsules; therefore twenty such plants would have produced 357.5 capsules; and from the actual weight of the seeds, the twenty plants would have yielded 462 grains weight of seeds.

The fifteen intercrossed plants actually produced 157 capsules; therefore twenty of them would have produced 209.3 capsules, and the seeds would have weighed 208.48 grains.

The ten self-fertilised plants actually produced 70 capsules; therefore twenty of them would have produced 140 capsules: and the seeds would have weighed 153.2 grains, From these data we get the following ratios:-

Number of capsules produced by an equal number of plants of the three lots, Number of Cansules.

The London-crossed to the self-fertilised, as 100 to 39 The London-crossed to the intercrossed, as 100 to 45

The Intercrossed to the self-fertilised as 100 to 67

Weight of seeds produced by an equal number of plants of the Weight of Seed.

The London-crossed to the self-fertilised, as 100 to 33 The London-crossed to the intercrossed, as 100 to 45

The Intercrossed to the self-fertilised, as 100 to 73

We thus see how greatly the offspring from the self-fertilised plants of the third generation crossed by a fresh stock, had their fertility increased, whether tested by the number of capsules produced or by the weight of the contained seeds; this latter being the more trustworthy method. Even the offspring from the self-fertilised plants crossed by one of the crossed plants of the same stock, notwithstanding that both lots had been long subjected to the same conditions, had their fertility considerably increased, as tested by the same two methods.

In conclusion it may be well to repeat in reference to the fertility of these three lots of plants, that their flowers were left freely exposed to the visits of insects and were undoubtedly crossed by them, as may be inferred from the large number of good capsules produced. These plants were all the offspring of the same mother-plants, and the strongly marked difference in their fertility must be attributed to the nature of the pollen employed in fertilising their parents; and the difference in the nature of the pollen must be attributed to the different treatment to which the pollen-bearing parents had been subjected during several previous generations.

Colour of the Flowers,-The flowers produced by the self-fertilised

plants of the last or fourth generation were as uniform in tint as those of a wild species, being of a pale pink or rose colour. Analogous cases with Mimulus and Ipomoea, after several generations of self-fertilisation, have been already given. The flowers of the intercrossed plants of the fourth generation were likewise nearly uniform in colour. On the other hand, the flowers of the London-crossed plants, or those raised from a cross with the fresh stock which bore dark crimson flowers, varied extremely in colour, as might have been expected, and as is the general rule with seedling carnations. It deserves notice that only two or three of the London-crossed plants produced dark crimson flowers like those of their fathers, and only a very few of a pale pink like those of their mothers. The great majority had their petals longitudinally and variously striped with the two colours, -the groundwork tint being, however, in some cases darker than that of the mother-plants.

## XII. MALVACEÆ.—HIBISCUS AFRICANUS.

Many flowers on this Hilbscus were crossed with pollen from a distinct plant, and many others were self-sertilized. A rather larger proportional number of the crossed than of the selffertilized flowers yielded capsules, and the crossed capsules contained rather more seeds. The self-fertilized seeds were a little heavier than an equal number of the crossed seeds, but they germinated badly, and I raised only four plants of each lot. In three out of the four pets, the crossed plants flowered first.

Table XLVIII.

Hibiscus africanus.

No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants
I,	Inches. 13 f	Inches, 16 g
II.	14	14
III.	8	7
IV.	17‡	20 8
Total in inches.	53.00	57.75

The four crossed plants average 13:25, and the four self-fertilised 14:43 inches in height; or as 100 to 109. Here we have the unusual case of self-fertilised plants exceeding the crossed in height; but only four pairs were measured, and these did not grow well or equally. I did not compare the fertility of the two lots.

### CHAPTER V.

Geraniaceæ, Leguminosæ, Onagraceæ, etc.

Polargonium zonale, a cross between plants propagated by entings does no good—Tropsedum nimus—Limannathe doplasii—Lupinus Intens and pilous—Phaseolus multiflorus and vulgaris—Lathynus Intens and pilous—Phaseolus multiflorus and vulgaris—Lathynus activum, varieties of, ranky interrors, but a cross between them highly beneficial—Savotlannus scoparius, wonderful effects of a cross—Gunsi multimismi, ediclogue floreser of—Summary on the Logunitous—Clarkin elegans—Barbain surea—Passifixus stativa—Specialeria speculum—Lobelia ranzona, arteninges of a cross during two generations—Lobelia fulgens—Menophila inalgris, great advantages of a cross—Borgo officinalis—Nolana prostratus.

### XIII. GERANIACEÆ.-PELARGONIUM ZONALE.

Turn plant, as a general rule, is strongly proferandrous,\* and is therefore adapted for cross-fertilisation by the aid of insects. Some flowers on a common searlet variety were self-fertilised, and other flowers were crossed with pollen from another plant; and other flowers were crossed with pollen from another plant; and other flowers were crossed with pollen from the same thought and the propagated by cuttings from the same thought and were therefore parts in a strict sense of the same individual. Nevertheless, having made the cross I resolved to save the seeds, which, after generalization gover a plant is the other propagate of the propagate

\* Mr. J. Denny, a great raiser of new varieties of pelagroniums, after stating that this species is proterandrous, adds (\*The Horist and Pomologist,\* Jan. 1872, p. 11) "there are some varieties, especially those with petals of a pink colour, or which possess a weakly constitution, where the pistil expands as soon as or even before the pollen-bag bursts, and in which also the pistil is frequently short, so when it expands it is smothered as it were by the bursting authors; these varieties are great seeders, each pip being fertilised by its own pollen. If would instance Christine as an example of this fact." We have here an interesting case of variability in an important functional point. sides of three pots. In one pot the quasi-crossed plant was very soon and ever afterwards tailer and fure than the self-efficient. In the two other pots the seedlings on both sides were for a time exactly quality into when the self-efficient plants were supported to 10 inches in height, they surpassed their antaquoists by a little and ever afterwards showed a more decided and increasing advantage; so that the self-fertilised plants, taken altogether, were somewhat superior to the quasi-crossed plants. In this case, as in that of the Origanum, if individuals which have been assumily propagated from the same stock, and which have been long subjected to the same conditions, are crossed, no advantage whatever is gained.

Several flowers on another plant of the same variety were furtilised with pollen from the younger flowers on the same plant, so as to avoid using the old and long-shed pollen from the same flower, as I thought that this latter might be loss efficient than finely pollen. Other flowers on the same plant were crossed with known to have accessed as a distinct seeding. The self-dettilled seeds germinated rather before the others; but as soon as I got qual pairs they were planted on the opposite disde of four pols.

No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants
I.	Inches. 22 1 19 8	Inches, 25 g 12 g
IL.	15 12 g	19 g 22 g
III.	30 § 18 å	19 4 7 1
IV.	38	91
Total in inches.	156.50	116.38

TABLE XLIX.
elargonium zonale.

When the two lots of seedlings were between 4 and 5 inches in height they were equal, excepting in Fot IV., in which the crossed plant was much the tallest. When between 11 and 14 inches in height, they were measured to the tips of their uppermost

leaves; the crossed averaged  $13\cdot46$ , and the self-fertilised  $11\cdot07$  inches in height, or as 100 to 82. Five months later they were again measured in the same manner, and the results are given in the preceding table.

The seven crossed plants now averaged 22:35, and the seven self-fertilised 16:62 inches in height, or as 100 to 74. But from the great inequality of the several plants, the result is less trustworthy than in most other cases. In Pot II. the two self-fertilised plants always had an advantage, except whilst quite young, over the two crossed obats.

As I wished to accrtain her these plants would behave during a second growth, they were cut down close to the ground whilst growing freely. The crossed above the seven was superiority in another way, for only one out of the seven was killed by the operation, whilst three of the self-fertilised plants never recovered. There was, therefore, no use in keeping any of the plants excepting those in Pots I. and III.; and in the following year the crossed plants in these two pots showed during their second growth nearly the same relative superiority over the self-fertilised plants as before.

# TROPEOLUM MINUS.

The flowers are proteandrous, and are manifestly adapted for cross-fertilisation by insects, as shown by Sprengal and Delpino. Twelven flowers on some plants growing out of doors were crossed with pollen from a distinct plant and produced eleven capsules, containing altogather twenty-flowers and produced capsules, containing altogather twenty-two pollen and produced only eleven capsules, containing twenty-two post codes; so that a much larger proportion of the crossed than of the self-fertilised flowers produced capsules, and the crossed capsules contained rather more seed than the self-fertilised in the ratio of 100 to 92. The seeds from the self-fertilised capsules were however the bawker of the two, in the ratio of 100 to 87.

Scole in an equal state of germination were planted on the opposite sides of four post, some planted on the opposite sides of four post, some planted on the cach side of each pot were made only the two tallest plants on each side of each pot were made to the cach side of each pot were made to the cach side of each pot were made to the plant plant plant plant plants. The post were placed in the greenflows, and up sticks, so that they ascended to an unusual height. It is not of the pots the crossed plants flowered first, but in the fourth at the same time with the self-fertilized. When the seclifiest were between 6 and 7 inches in height, the crossed became for

show a slight advantage over their opponents. When grown to a considerable height the eight tallest crossed plants averaged 44-43, and the eight tallest self-fertilised plants 37-34 inches, or as 100 to 84. When their growth was completed they were again measured, as shown in the following table:—

Table L.
Troppolum minus.

No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants
L	Inches, 65 50	Inches. 31 45
II.	. 69 . 35	42 45
III,	70 591	50 å 55 å
IV.	61 <u>4</u> 57 <u>1</u>	374 614
Total in inches.	467.5	368.0

The eight tallest crossed plants now averaged 58:43, and the eight tallest self-fertilised plants 46 inches in height, or as 100 to 79.

There was also a great difference in the fertility of the two

There was also a great uniervale on the branchouse. On the 17th of September the capsules from all the plants were gathered, and the seeds counted. The crossed plants yielded 243, whilst the same number of self-fertilised plants yielded only 155 seeds, or as 100 to 64.

# LIMNANTHES DOUGLASIL

Seveni flowers were crossed and self-fertilised in the usual namer, but there was no marked difference in the number of seeds which they yielded. A vast number of spontaneously selffertilised capsules were also produced under the net. Seedlings were raised in five pots from the above seeds, and when the crossed were about 3 inches in height they showed a slight startunger over the self-fertilised. When double this height, the sixten crossed and sixten self-fertilised plants were measured to the tips of their leaves; the former averaged 7° 3 inches, and the self-fertilised 6°07 inches in height, or as 100 to 83. In all the pots, excepting IV, a crossed plant flowered before any one of the self-fertilised plants. The plants, when fully grown were again measured to the summits of their ripe capsules, with the following result:

Table LI.

Limnanthes doualasii

Similarita douglasse.		
No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants.
I.	Inches. 17 ½ 17 ½ 13	Inches. 151 161 11
II.	20 22 21 18#	14 ± 15 9 16 ± 17
III.	15 g 17 g 14	11 4 10 1 0
IV.	20 g 14 18	13 # 13 12 #
v.	17 18 § 14 §	14 2 14 1 12 2
Total in inches.	279.50	207.75

The sixteen crossed plants now averaged 17-46, and the fifteen (for one had idies) self-fertilized plants 13-85 inches in height, or as 100 to 79. Mr. Galton considers that a higher ratio would be fairer, viz., 100 to 76. He made a graphical representation of the above measurements, and adds the words "very good" to the curvature thus formed. Both lots of plants produced an abundance of seed-capsules, and, as far as could be judged by the eye, there was no difference in their fertility.

### XIV. LEGUMINOSÆ.

In this family I experimented on the following six genera, Lupinus, Phaseolus, Lathyrus, Pisum, Sarothamnus, and Ononis.

# LUPINUS LUTEUS.\*

A few flowers were crossed with pollen from a distinct plant. but owing to the unfavourable season only two crossed seeds were produced. Nine seeds were saved from flowers spontaneously self-fertilised under a net, on the same plant which vielded the two crossed seeds. One of these crossed seeds was sown in a pot with two self-fertilised seeds on the opposite side; the latter came up between two and three days before the crossed seed. The second crossed seed was sown in like manner with two self-fertilised seeds on the opposite side; these latter also came up about a day before the crossed one. In both pots, therefore, the crossed seedlings from germinating later, were at first completely beaten by the self-fertilised; nevertheless, this state of things was afterwards completely reversed. The seeds were sown late in the autumn, and the pots, which were much too small, were kept in the greenhouse. The plants in consequence grew hadly, and the self-fertilised suffered most in both nots. The two crossed plants when in flower during the following spring were 9 inches in height; one of the self-fertilised plants was 8 and the three others only 2 inches in height, being thus mere dwarfs. The two crossed plants produced thirteen pods, whilst the four self-fertilised plants produced only a single one. Some other self-fertilised plants which had been raised separately in larger pots produced several spontaneously selffertilised pods under a net, and seeds from these were used in the following experiment.

Crossed and self-fertilised Plants of the Second Generation,— The

<sup>\*</sup> The structure of the flowers of this plant, and their manner of fertilisation, have been described by H. Müller, 'Befruchtung,' &c. p. 243. The flowers do not secrete free nectar, and bees generally visit them for their pollen. Mr. Farrer, however, remarks

<sup>(&#</sup>x27;Nature,' 1872, p. 499) that "there is a cavity at the back and base of the vexillum, in which I have not been able to find nectar. But the bees, which constantly visit these flowers, certainty go to this cavity for what they want, and not to the staminal tube."

spontaneously self-fertilised seeds just mentioned, and crosses seeds obtained by intercrossing the two crossed plants of the last generation, after germinating on sand, were planted in pairs on the opposite sides of three large poist. When the scedlings were only 4 inches in height, the crossed had a slight advantage over their opponents. When grown to their full beight, every one of the crossed plants exceeded its opponent in height. Nevertheless the self-fertilised plants in all three pots flowered before the crossed! The measurements are given in the following table:

TABLE LII.

Lupinus luteus.

No. of Pot,	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Ph
	Inches,	Inches,
I.	333	244
	301	181
	30	28
II.	29 ‡	26
	30	25
III.	304	28
	31	277
	314	24 1
otal in inches.	246-25	201.75

The eight crossed plants here average 39.78, and the eight selfertified 32 21 inches in height; or as 100 to 82. These plants were left uncovered in the groenhouse to set their post, but they produced very few good ones, perhaps in part owing to few been visiting them. The crossed plants produced nine posts, containing on an average 32 seeds, and the self-fertilised plants seven posts, containing on an average 3 seeds, so that the seeds from an expal number of plants were as 100 to 88.

Two other crossed seedlings, each with two self-fertilised seedlings on the opposite sides of the same large pot, were turned out of their pots early in the season, without being disturbed, into open ground of good quality. They were thus subjected to but little competition with one another, in comparison with the plants in the above three pots. In the antumn the two crossed plants were about 3 inches taller than the four self-fertilised plants; they looked also more vigorous and produced many more pods.

Two other crossed and self-fertilised seeds of the same lot, after germinating on sand, were planted on the opposite sides of a large pot, in which a Calceolaria had long been growing, and were therefore exposed to unfavourable conditions: the two crossed plants ultimately statisted a height of 201 and 20 inches high.

### LUPINUS PILOSUS.

From a series of accidents I was again unfortunate in obtaining a sufficient number of crossed seedlings; and the following results would not be worth giving, did they not strictly accord with those just given with respect to L. luteus. I raised at first only a single crossed seedling, which was placed in competition with two self-fertilised ones on the opposite side of the same pot. These plants, without being disturbed, were soon afterwards turned into the open ground. By the autumn the crossed plant had grown to so large a size that it almost smothered the two self-fertilised plants, which were mere dwarfs: and the latter died without maturing a single pod. Several selffertilised seeds had been planted at the same time separately in the open ground; and the two tallest of these were 33 and 32 inches, whereas the one crossed plant was 38 inches in height. This latter plant also produced many more pods than did any one of the self-fertilised plants, although growing separately. A from one of the self-fertilised plants, for I had no other crossed plant from which to obtain pollen. One of the self-fertilised plants having been covered by a net produced plenty of spontaneously self-fertilised pods.

Grassi and self-fertilled Plants of the Second Generation.—From crossed and self-fertilled codes obtained in the manner just described, I succeeded in raising to maturity only a pair of plants, which were kept in a poin in the genenhouse. The crossed plant grew to a beight of 50 inches, and the self-fertilled to that of 250 inches. The former produced, sitting 277 medis; and the latter only two pols, containing on an average 2-5 and the latter only two pols, containing on an average 2-5 axes. The average height of the two crossed plants of the two

generations taken together was 35.5, and that of the three self-fertilised plants of the same two generations 30.5; or as 100 to 86.\*

# Phaseolus multiflorus.

This plant, the scarlet-runner of English gardeners and the P. coccineus of Lamarck, originally came from Mexico, as I am informed by Mr. Bentham. The flowers are so constructed that hive and humble-bees, which visit them incessantly, almost always alight on the left wing-petal, as they can best suck the nectar from this side. Their weight and movements depress the petal, and this causes the stigma to protrude from the spirally-wound keel, and a brush of hairs round the stigma pushes out the pollen before it. The pollen adheres to the head or proboscis of the bee which is at work, and is thus placed either on the stigma of the same flower, or is carried to another flower, + Several years ago I covered some plants under a large net, and these produced on one occasion about one-third, and on another occasion about one-eighth, of the number of pods which the same number of uncovered plants growing close alongside produced. This lessened fertility was not caused by any injury from the net, as I moved the wing-petals of several protected flowers, in the same manner as bees do, and these produced remarkably

\* We here see that both Lupinus luteus and pilosus seed freely when insects are excluded; but Mr. Swale, of Christchurch, in New Zealand, informs me (see 'Gardeners' Chroniele,' 1858, p. 828) that the garden varieties of any bees, and that they seed less freely than any other introduced leguminous plant, with the excention of red clover. He adds, "I have, for amusement, during the summer, released the stamens with a pin, and a pod of seed has always adjoining flowers not so served not know to what species this

statement refers.

† The flowers have been described by Delpino, and in an admirable manner by Mr. Farrer

in the 'Annals and Mag, of Nat. Hist.' vol. ii. (4th series) Ctd. 1888, p. 296. My son Francis has explained (Vasture) Jan. & Jane explained (Vasture) Jane explained (Vasture)

† 'Gardeners' Chronicle,' 1857, p. 725, and more especially ibid. 1858, p. 828, Alvo 'Annals and Mag. of Nat. Hist.' (3rd series) vol. ii. 1858, p. 462. fine pols. When the net was taken of, the flowers were initiality visited by bees, and it was interesting to observe how quickly the plants became covered with young pols. As the most of the flowers was the policy of the plants became overed with young pols. As the most of the flowers under the net may, be an affectivisation of of these minute insects. Dr. Ogle likewise, covered up a large portion of a plant, and "out of a vast number of blossoms when specially one of the produced a pod, while the unprotected blossoms were for the most part furnithia." Mr. But gives a best produced a pod, while the unprotected blossoms were for the most part furnithia. "An Edu gives a but as none of the native bees visit the flowers, and a single pol sever produced."

From the facts now given we may feel nearly sure that individuals of the same variety or of different varieties, if growing near each other and in flower at the same time, would intercross; but I cannot myself advance any direct evidence of such an occurrence, as only a single variety is commonly cultivated in England. I have, however, received an account from the Rev. W. A. Leighton, that plants raised by him from ordinary seed produced seeds differing in an extraordinary manner in colour and shape, leading to the belief that their parents must have been crossed. In France M. Fermond more than once planted close together varieties which ordinarily come true and which bear differently coloured flowers and seeds; and the offspring thus raised varied so greatly that there could hardly be a doubt that they had intercrossed.† On the other hand, Professor H. Hoffmann t does not believe in the natural crossing of the varieties; for although seedlings raised from two varieties growing close together produced plants which yielded seeds of a mixed character, he found that this likewise occurred with plants separated by a space of from 40 to 150 paces from any other variety: he therefore attributes the mixed character of the seed to sponta-

<sup>\*</sup> Dr. Ogle, 'Pop. Science Review,' 1870, p. 168. Mr. Belt, 'The Naturalist in Niearagua,' 1874, p. 70. 'The latter author gives a case 'Natura', 1875, p. 26) of a late crop of *P. multi-Borus* near London, which "Was readered barren" by the humble-bese cutting, as they frequently do, holes at the bases of the flowers instead of entering them in the

t 'Fécondation chez les Végétaux, '1839, pp. 34-40. He adds that M. Villiers has described a spontaneous hybrid, which he calls P. coccineus hybridus, in the 'Annales de la Soc. R. de Horticulture,' June 1841.

<sup>† &#</sup>x27;Bestimmung des Werthes von Species und Varietät,' 1869, pp. 47-72.

noous variability. But the above distance would be very far from sufficient to provent intercrossing; cubbages have bown known to cross at several times this distance; and the careful Gistract gives many instance of plants growing at from 600 to 800 yards apart feetilising one another. Professor Hoffmann even maintains that the diverse of the kindey-boar nare specially adapted for that the flowers of the kindey-boar nare specially adapted for the board of the professor in the second of t

Soff-ortified seeds were obtained by moving up and down in the same manner as bees do the wing-petals of flowers protected by a net; and crossed seeds were obtained by crossing two of the plants under the same net. The social after germinating on sand were planted on the opposite sides of two large pots, and equalsized sticks were given them to twine up. When 8 inches in height, the plants on the two sides were equal. The crossed plants flowered before the self-feritised in both pox. As soon as one of each pair had grown to the summit of its stick both were measured.

Table LIII.

Phaseolus multiflorus.

No. of Pot,	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants.
I.	Inches. 87 88 821	Inches. 84 <sup>6</sup> 87 76
II.	90 821	76 ± 87 ±
Total in inches.	430.00	411.75

The average height of the five crossed plants is 86 inches, and that of the five self-fertilised plants 82 35; or as 100 to 96. The pots were kept in the greenhouse, and there was little or no difference in the fertility of the two lots. Therefore as far as these few observations serve, the advantage gained by a cross is very small.

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Kenntniss der Befruchtung,' 1844, pp. 573, 577.

### Phaseolus vulgaris.

With respect to this species, I merely ascertained that the flowers were highly fertile when insects were excluded, as indeed must be the case, for the plants are often forced during the winter when no insects are present. Some plants of two varieties (viz., Canterbury and Fulmer's Forcing Bean) were covered with a net and they seemed to produce as many pods, containing as many beans, as some uncovered plants growing alongside; but neither the pods nor the beans were actually counted. difference in self-fertility between P. vulgaris and multiplorus is remarkable, as these two species are so closely related that Linnaus thought that they formed one. When the varieties of P. sulgaris grow near one another in the open ground, they sometimes cross largely, notwithstanding their capacity for selffertilisation. Mr. Coe has given me a remarkable instance of this fact with respect to the negro and a white-seeded and a brown-seeded variety, which were all grown together. The diversity of character in the seedlings of the second generation raised by me from his plants was wonderful. I could add other analogous cases, and the fact is well known to gardeners.\*

#### LATHYRUS ODORATUS

Almost everyone who has studied the structure of papilionacous flowers has been convinced that they are specially adapted for cross-fertilisation, although many of the species are likewise capable of self-fertilisation. The case therefore of Ladayara colorates or the sweet-pea is curious, for in this country it seems invariably to fertilise instell. I conclude that this is no, as five varieties, differing greatly in the colour of their flowers but in no other rasped, are commonly off for sale, I start that they take no precautions to insure purity—the five varieties being landstungly grown close together; I have myself purposely made similar trials with the same result. Although the varieties always come true, yet, as we shall presently see, one

<sup>\*</sup> I have given Mr. Coe's case in the 'Gardeners' Chroniele,' 1858, p. 829. See also for another case, ibid. p. 845. † Seo Mr. W. Earley in 'Na-

se ture, 1872, p. 242, to the same effect. He once, however, saw bees visiting the flowers, and supposed that on this occasion they would have been intercrossed.

of the five well-known varieties occasionally gives birth to another, which exhibits all its usual characters. Owing to this curious fact, and to the darker-coloured varieties being the most productive, these increase, to the exclusion of the others, as I was informed by the late Mr. Masters, if there be no selection.

In order to ascertain what would be the effect of crossing two varieties, some flowers on the Purple sweet-pea, which has a dark reddish-purple standard-petal with violet-coloured wingpetals and keel, were castrated whilst very young, and were fertilised with pollen of the Painted Lady. This latter variety has a pale cherry-coloured standard, with almost white wines and keel. On two occasions I raised from a flower thus crossed plants perfectly resembling both parent-forms; but the greater number resembled the paternal variety. So perfect was the resemblance, that I should have suspected some mistake in the label, had not the plants, which were at first identical in appearance with the father or Painted Lady, later in the season produced flowers blotched and streaked with dark purple. This is an interesting example of partial reversion in the same individual plant as it grows older. The purple-flowered plants were thrown away, as they might possibly have been the product of the accidental self-fertilisation of the mother-plant, owing to the castration not having been effectual. But the plants which resembled in the colour of their flowers the paternal variety or Painted Lady were preserved, and their seeds saved. Next summer many plants were raised from these seeds, and they generally resembled their grandfather the Painted Lady, but most of them had their wing-petals streaked and stained with dark pink; and a few had pale purple wings with the standard of a darker crimson than is natural to the Painted Lady, so that they formed a new sub-variety. Amongst these plants a single one appeared having purple flowers like those of the grandmother, but with the petals slightly streaked with a paler tint : this was thrown away. Seeds were again saved from the foregoing plants, and the seedlings thus raised still resembled the Painted Lady, or great-grandfather; but they now varied much, the standard petal varying from pale to dark red, in a few instances with blotches of white; and the wing-petals varied from nearly white to purple, the keel being in all nearly white.

As no variability of this kind can be detected in plants raised from seeds, the parents of which have grown during many successive generations in close proximity, we may infer that they

cannot have intercrossed. What does occasionally occur is that in a row of plants raised from seeds of one variety, another variety true of its kind appears; for instance, in a long row of Scarlets (the seeds of which had been carefully gathered from Searlets for the sake of this experiment) two Purples and one Painted Lady appeared. Seeds from these three aberrant plants were saved and sown in separate beds. The seedlings from both the Purples were chiefly Purples, but with some Painted Ladies and some Scarlets. The seedlings from the aberrant Painted Lady were chiefly Painted Ladies with some Scarlets, Each variety, whatever its parentage may have been, retained all its characters perfect, and there was no streaking or blotching of the colours, as in the foregoing plants of crossed origin. Another with dark purple; and this is probably of crossed origin, for I found, as well as Mr. Masters, that it did not transmit its characters at all truly.

From the evidence now given, we may conclude that the varieties of the sweet-pea rarely or never intercross in this country; and this is a highly remarkable fact, considering, firstly, the general structure of the flowers: secondly, the large quantity of pollen produced, far more than is requisite for self-fertilisation; and thirdly, the occasional visits of insects. That insects should sometimes fail to cross-fertilise the flowers is intelligible, for I have thrice seen humble-bees of two kinds, as well as hive-bees, sucking the nectar, and they did not depress the keel-petals so as to expose the anthers and stigma; they were therefore quite inefficient for fertilising the flowers. One of these bees, namely, Bombus lapidarius, stood on one side at the base of the standard and inserted its proboscis beneath the single separate stamen, as I afterwards ascertained by opening the flower and finding this stamen prised up. Bees are forced to act in this manner from the slit in the staminal tube being closely covered by the broad membranous margin of the single stamen, and from the tube not being perforated by nectar-passages. On the other hand, in the three British species of Lathyrus which I have examined, and in the allied genus Vicia, two nectar-passages are present, Therefore British bees might well be puzzled how to act in the case of the sweet-pea. I may add that the staminal tube of another exotic species, Lathurus grandiflorus, is not perforated by nectar-passages, and this species has rarely set any pods in my garden, unless the wing-petals were moved up and

down, in the same manner as bees ought to do; and then pods were generally formed, but from some cause often dropped off afterwards. One of my sons caught an elephant sphinx-moth whilst visiting the flowers of the sweet-pea, but this insect would not depress the wing-petals and keel. On the other hand, I have seen on one occasion hive-bees, and two or three occasions the Megachile willughbiella in the act of depressing the keel; and these bees had the under sides of their bodies thickly covered with pollen, and could not thus fail to carry pollen from one flower to the stigma of another. Why then do not the varieties occasionally intercross, though this would not often happen, as insects so rarely act in an efficient manner? The fact cannot, as it appears, be explained by the flowers being self-fertilised at a very early age; for although nectar is sometimes secreted and pollen adheres to the viscid stigma before the flowers are fully expanded, yet in five young flowers which were examined by me the pollen-tubes were not exserted. Whatever the cause may be, we may conclude, that in England the varieties never or very rarely intercross. But it does not follow from this, that they would not be crossed by the aid of other and larger insects in their native country, which in botanical works is said to be the south of Europe and the East Indies. Accordingly I wrote to Professor Delpino, in Florence, and he informs me "that it is the fixed opinion of gardeners there that the varieties do intercross, and that they cannot be preserved pure unless they are sown separately."

It follows also from the foregoing facts that the several varieties of the sweet-pea must have propagated themselves in England by self-fertilisation for very many generations, since the time when each new variety first appeared. From the analogy of the plants of Mimulus and Ipomœa, which had been self-fertilised for several generations, and from trials previously made with the common pea, which is in nearly the same state as the sweetpea, it appeared to me very improbable that a cross between the individuals of the same variety would benefit the offspring. A cross of this kind was therefore not tried, which I now regret, But some flowers of the Painted Lady, castrated at an early age, were fertilised with pollen from the Purple sweet-pea; and it should be remembered that these varieties differ in nothing except in the colour of their flowers. The cross was manifestly effectual (though only two seeds were obtained), as was shown by the two seedlings, when they flowered, closely resembling their father, the Purple pea, excepting that they were a little lighter coloured, with their keels slightly streaked with pale purple. Seeds from flowers spontaneously self-fertilised under a net were at the same time saved from the same mother-plant, the Painted Lady. These seeds unfortunately did not germinate on sand at the same time with the crossed seeds, so that they could not be planted simultaneously. One of the two crossed seeds in a state of germination was planted in a pot (No. I.) in which a self-fertilised seed in the same state had been planted four days before, so that this latter seedling had a great advantage over the crossed one. In Pot II, the other crossed seed was planted two days before a self-fertilised one; so that here the crossed seedling had a considerable advantage over the self-fertilised one. But this crossed seedling had its summit gnawed off by a slug. and was in consequence for a time quite beaten by the selffertilised plant. Nevertheless I allowed it to remain, and so great was its constitutional vigour that it ultimately beat its uninjured self-fertilised rival. When all four plants were almost fully grown they were measured, as here shown :-

TABLE LIV.

Lathyrus odoratus,

ı	No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants.
,	I.	Inches, 80	Inches, 64 g
ı	II.	78‡	63
ı	Total in inches.	158.5	127.5

The two crossed plants here average 79–25, and the two self-fertilized 63–75 inches in height, or as 100 to 80. Six flowers on these two crossed plants were reciprocally crossed with pollen from the other plants, and the six pols thus produced contained from the other plants, and the six pols thus produced contained the other plants, and the six pols thus produced contained the self-fertilized pols from the Parintal Laft, which, as already stated, had no doubt been self-fertilized for many previous generations, contained on an average only 3–38 peas, with a maximum in one of five peas; so that the number of rose in the crossed and self-fertilized pols was as 100 to 55.

The self-fertilised peas were, however, quite as heavy as those from the crossed pods. From these two lots of seeds, the plants of the next generation were raised.

Plants of the Second Generation.—Many of the nelf-fertilited peas just referred to germinated on and before any of the crossed ones, and were rejected. As soon as I got equal pairs, they were planted on the opposite sales of two large ports, which were kept in the greenhouse. The seedlings thus raised were the grandehildren of the Paintel Lady, which was fart crossed by the Parple variety. When the two lots were from 4 to 6 inches in height there was no difference between them. No var was there any marked difference in the period of their flowering. When fully grown they were measured, as follows:—

Table LV.

Lathyrus odoratus (Second Generation),

No. of Pot.	Seedlings from Plants crossed during the two previous Genera- tions.	self-fertilised duri
	Inches.	Inches.
I.	724	57 4
	71	67
	52 }	56 8
II.	814	66 2 38 2 46
	45	381
	45 a 55	46
Total in inches.	377-50	331-86

The average height of the six crossed plants is here 62–91, and that of the six self-fertilised 55–31 inches; or as 100 to 88. There was not much difference in the fertility of the two lots; the crossed plants having produced in the greenhouse thirty-five pols, and the self-fertilised thirty-two pols. Seeds were award from the self-fertilised flowers on these two

coops were seven und me sometimes inverse on mess we lost of plants, for the sake of ascertaining whether the seedlings thus raised would inherit any difference in growth or vigour. It must therefore be understood that both lots in the following trial are plants of self-fertilised parentage; but that in the one lot the plants were the children of plants which had been crossed during two previous generations, having been before that selffertilised for many generations; and that in the other lot they were the children of plants which had not been crossed for very many previous generations. The seeds germinated on sand and were planted in pairs on the opposite sides of four pots. They were measured, when fully grown, with the following result:—

Table LVI.
Lathyrus edoratus.

No. of Pot.	Self-fertilised Plants from Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plant from Self-fertilised Plants.
I.	Inches. 72 72	Inches. 65 611
II.	58 68 72‡	64 681 561
III.	81	601
IV.	77 (	761
Total in inches.	501	452

The average height of the seven self-fertilised plants, the offspring of crossed plants, is 71-57, and that of the seven self-fertilised plants, the offspring of self-fertilised plants, is 61-57; or as 100 to 90. The self-fertilised plants from the self-fertilised polaries are more pols—viz., highly-sir-dual ne self-fertilised plants from the crossed, for these produced only thirty-one pols.

A few seeds of the same two lots were sown in the opposite conners of a large box in which a Brugmannia hall long been growing, and in which the soil was so exhausted that seeds of phomos purposes would hardly vegetate; yet the two plants of the sweed-pea which were raised flourished well. For a long time the self-fertilized plant from the self-fertilized plant from the feutied plant from the crossed plant; the former flowered first, feutied plant from the crossed plant; the former flowered first, feutied plant from the crossed plant; the former flowered first, feutied plant from the crossed plant; the former flowered first, feutied plant from the crossed plant; the former soul (Si) in feutied plant from the crossed plant; the former soul (Si) in the superiority and attained a height of 108 inches, whilet the other was only 50 inches. I also sowed some of the same two lots of seeds in poor soil in a sharly place in a shursheay. Here again the cell-fertilised plants from the self-fertilised for a long time exceeded considerably in height those from the previously crossed plants; and this may probably be attributed, in the present as in the last case, to these seeds having germinated rather somer than those from the crossed plants; but at the close of the season the tallest of the self-fertilised plants from the crossed plants was 30 inches, whilst the tallest of the selffertilised from the self-fertilised was 293 inches in height. From the various facts now given we see that busins derived

From a cross between two varieties of the sweet-pa, which differ in no respect except in the colour of their flowers, exceed considerably in height the offigiring from self-fertilised plants, both in the first and second generations. The crossed plants also transmit their superiority in height and vigour to their self-fertilised offspring.

# PISUM SATIVUM.

The common pea is perfectly fertile when its flowers are protected from the visits of insects; I ascertained this with two or three different varieties, as did Dr. Ogle with another. But the flowers are likewise adapted for cross-fertilisation; Mr. Farrer specifies \* the following points, namely: "The open blossom dis-playing itself in the most attractive and convenient position for insects; the conspicuous vexillum; the wings forming an alighting place; the attachment of the wings to the keel, by which any body pressing on the former must press down the latter: the staminal tube enclosing nectar, and affording by means of its partially free stamen with apertures on each side of its base an open passage to an insect seeking the nectar; the moist and sticky pollen placed just where it will be swent out of the apov of the keel against the entering insect; the stiff elastic style so placed that on a pressure being applied to the keel it will be pushed upwards out of the keel; the hairs on the style placed on that side of the style only on which there is space for the pollen, and in such a direction as to sweep it out: and the stigma so placed as to meet an entering insect,—all these become correlated parts of one elaborate mechanism, if we

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Nature,' Oct. 10, 1872, p. description of the flowers, 'Be-479. H. Müller gives an elaborate fruchtung,' &c. p. 247.

suppose that the fertilisation of these flowers is effected by the carriage of pollen from one to the other." Notwithstanding these manifest provisions for cross-fertilisation, varieties which have been entitivated for very many ascossive generations in close proximity, although flowering at the same time, remain pure. I have elsewhere "given evidence on this head, and if required could give more. There can hardly be a doubt that some of Knight's articles, which were originally produced by an artificial control of the control of the control of the control of the very large than the control of the control of the control wise, they would not have kept true, as the several varieties are generally grown near together. Most of the varieties, however, endure for a shorter prioria, and this may be in part due to their weakness of constitution from long-continued selffertilisation.

It is remarkable, considering that the flowers secrete much nectar and afford much pollen, how seldom they are visited by insects either in England or, as H. Müller remarks, in North Germany. I have observed the flowers for the last thirty years, and in all this time have only thrice seen bees of the proper kind at work (one of them being Bombus muscorum), such as were sufficiently powerful to depress the keel, so as to get the undersides of their bodies dusted with pollen. These bees visited several flowers, and could hardly have failed to cross-fertilise them. Hive-bees and other small kinds sometimes collect pollen from old and already fertilised flowers, but this is of no account. The rarity of the visits of efficient bees to this exotic plant is. I believe, the chief cause of the varieties so seldom intercrossing. That a cross does occasionally take place, as might be expected from what has just been stated, is certain, from the recorded cases of the direct action of the pollen of one variety on the seed-coats of another. t The late Mr. Masters, who particularly attended to the raising of new varieties of peas, was convinced that some of them had originated from accidental crosses. But as such crosses are rare, the old varieties would not often be thus deteriorated, more especially as plants departing from the proper type are generally rejected by those who collect seed for sale. There is another cause which probably tends to render cross-fertilisation rare,

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Variation of Animals and Plants under Domestication,' chap, ix, 2nd edit, vol. i, p. 348.

<sup>† &#</sup>x27;Var. under Domestication,' chap. xi. 2nd edit, vol. i. p. 428.

namely, the early age at which the pollen-tubes are exserted; eight flowers not fully expanded were examined, and in seven of these the pollen-tubes were in this state; but they had not as yet penetrated the stigma. Although so few insects visit the flowers of the pea in this country or in North Germany, and atthough the anthers seen here to open abnormally soon, it does not follow that the species in its native country would be thus circumstanced.

Owing to the varieties having been self-fertilised for many generations, and to their having been subjected in each generation to nearly the same conditions (as will be explained in a future chapter), I did not expect that a cross between two such plants would benefit the offspring; and so it proved on trial. In 1867 I covered up several plants of the Early Emperor pea, which was not then a very new variety, so that it must already have been propagated by self-fertilisation for at least a dozen generations. Some flowers were crossed with pollen from a distinct plant growing in the same row, and others were allowed to fertilise themselves under a net. The two lots of seeds thus obtained were sown on opposite sides of two large pots, but only four pairs came up at the same time. The pots were kept in the greenhouse. The seedlings of both lots when between 6 and 7 inches in height were equal. When nearly full-grown they were measured, as in the following table :-

TABLE LVII.

No. of Pot,	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants
I.	Inches, 35	Inches. 29 g
II.	31 # 35 37	51 45 33
Total in inches.	138-50	158.75

The average height of the four crossed plants is here 34.62, and that of the four self-fertilised plants 39.68, or as 100 to 115. So that the crossed plants, far from beating the self-fertilised, were completely beaten by them.

There can be no doubt that the result would have been widely different, if any two varieties out of the numberless ones which exist had been crossed. Notwithstanding that both had been self-fertilised for many previous generations, each would almost certainly have possessed its own peculiar constitution: and this degree of differentiation would have been sufficient to make a cross highly beneficial. I have spoken thus confidently of the benefit which would have been derived from crossing any two varieties of the pea from the following facts: Andrew Knight in speaking of the results of crossing reciprocally very tall and short varieties, says, " "I had in this experiment a striking instance of the stimulative effects of crossing the breeds; for the smallest variety, whose height rarely exceeded 2 feet, was increased to 6 feet; whilst the height of the large and luxuriant kind was very little diminished." Recently Mr. Laxton has made numerous crosses, and everyone has been astonished at the vigour and luxuriance of the new varieties which he has thus raised and afterwards fixed by selection. He gave me seed-peas produced from crosses between four distinct kinds; and the plants thus raised were extraordinarily vigorous, being in each ease from 1 to 2 or even 3 feet taller than the parent-forms, which were raised at the same time close alongside. But as I did not measure their actual height I cannot give the exact ratio, but it must have been at least as 100 to 75. A similar trial was subsequently made with two other peas from a different cross, and the result was nearly the same. For instance, a crossed seedling between the Maple and Purple-podded pea was planted in poor soil and grew to the extraordinary height of 116 inches; whereas the tallest plant of either parent variety, namely, a Purple-podded pea, was only 70 inches in height; or as 100 to 60.

#### SAROTHAMNUS SCOPARIUS.

Boes incessantly visit the flowers of the common Broom, and these are adapted by a curious necessaries for cross-fertilization. When a bee alights on the wing-petals of a young flower, the sell is slightly opened and the short stanness spring out, which rub their polien against the abdomen of the bee. If a rather older flower is wisted for the first time (or if the bee exerts great force on a younger flower), the keel opens along its whole length, and the longer as well as the shorter stanness, toercher

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Philosophical Transactions,' 1799, p. 200.

M 2

with the much elongated curved pistil, spring forth with violence. The flattened, spoon-like extremity of the pistil rests for a time which it is charged. As soon as the bee flies away, the pistil instantly curls round, so that the stigmatic surface is now upagainst the abdomen of another bee visiting the same flower, Thus, when the pistil first escapes from the keel, the stigma is rubbed against the back of the bee, dusted with pollen from the longer stamens, either of the same or another flower: and afterwards against the lower surface of the bee dusted with pollen from the shorter stamens, which is often shed a day or two before that from the longer stamens. \* By this mechanism cross-fertilisation is rendered almost inevitable, and we shall immediately see that pollen from a distinct plant is more effective than that from the same flower. I need only add that, according to H. Müller, the flowers do not secrete nectar, and he thinks that bees insert their proboscides only in the hope of finding nectar; but they act in this manner so frequently and for so long a time that I cannot avoid the belief that they

If the visits of bees are prevented, and if the flowers are not dashed by the wind against any object, the keel never opens, so that the stamens and pistil remain enclosed. Plants thus protected yield very few pods in comparison with those produced by neighbouring uncovered bushes, and sometimes none at all. I fertilised a few flowers on a plant growing almost in a state of nature with pollen from another plant close alongside, and the four crossed capsules contained on an average 9.2 seeds. This large number no doubt was due to the bush being covered up, and thus not exhausted by producing many pods; for fifty pods gathered from an adjoining plant, the flowers of which had been fertilised by the bees. contained an average of only 7.14 seeds. Ninety-three pods spontaneously self-fertilised on a large bush which had been covered up, but had been much agitated by the wind, contained an average of 2.93 seeds. Ten of the finest of these ninety-

<sup>\*</sup> These observations have been quoted in an abbreviated form by the Rev. G. Henslow, in the 'Journal of Linn. Soc. Bot.' vol.

ix. 1866, p. 358. H. Müller has since published a full and excellent account of the flower in his ol. 'Befruchtung,' &c. p. 240.

three appules yielded an average of 4:30 seeds, that is less than left the average number in the four artificially crossed capsules. The ratio of 7:14 to 2:30, or as 100 to 41, is probably the finest for the number of seeds per pod, yielded by naturally-crossed and spontaneously self-fertilised flowers. The crossed compared with an equal number of the spontaneously self-fertilised seeds were heavier, in the ratio of 100 to 88. We thus set that besides the mechanical adaptations for cross-fertilisation, the flowers are much more productive with pollen from a distinct plant than with their own pollen.

Eight pairs of the above crossed and self-fertilised seeds, after they had germinated on sand, were planted (1867) on the opposite sides of two large pots. When several of the secollings were an inch and a half in height, there was no marked difference between the two lots. But even at this early age the leaves of the self-fertilised secollings were smaller and of not no bright a genn as those of the crossed seedlings. The pots were kept in the greenhouse, and as the plants in the following spiring (1885) belief unhealthy and had grown but littis, they were plunged, to be the second of the crossed seedlings. The pots were hanged, and two of the latter died. The remainder were measured, and I, give the measurements in the following table, because I have not seen in any other species so great a difference between the crossed and self-fertilised oxedings at so carry an age.

Table LVIII.
Sarothamnus scoparius (very young plants).

No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants.
L -	Inches. 4 \$ 6 6 2	Inches.  2 \$ 1 \$ 1 \$ 1
II.	2 2 4 0 4	1 ± 1 0 ± 0
Total in inches.	17-5	8.0

The six crossed plants here average 2.91, and the six self-

fertilised 1.33 inches in height; so that the former were more than twice as high as the latter, or as 100 to 46.

In the spring of the succeeding year (1892) the three crossed plants in PCI. I had all grown to nearly a foot in height, and they plants in PCI. I had all grown to nearly a foot in height, and they had smothered the three little self-fertilised plants so completely that two were doad; and the third, only an inch and a half in height, was dying. It should be remembered that these plants had been bedded out in their pots, so that they were subjected to very severe competition. This pot was now thrown away.

The six plants in Pot II. were all alive. One of the selffertilised was an inch and a quarter tailer than any one of the errossed plants; but the other two self-fertilised plants were in a very pore condition. I therefore recoved to leave these plants to struggle together for some years. By the autumn of the same year (1869) he self-fertilised plant which had been victorious was now beaten. The measurements are shown in the following table :—

Table LIX.
of II.—Sarothamnus scopari

1	Crossed Plants,	Solf-fertilised Plant
	Inches. 15 9 9 9 8 2	Inches, 13 ½ 3 2 ½
-		-

The same plants were again measured in the autumn of the following year, 1870.

Table LX.
Pot II.—Sarothamnus scoparis

	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants
	Inches. 26 g 16 g 14	Inches. 142 114 99
T	56.75	35.50

The three crossed plants now averaged 18:91, and the three self-fertilised 11:83 inches in height; or as 100 to 63. The three crossed plants in Pot L, as already shown, had beaten the three self-fertilised plants so completely, that any comparison between them was superfluous.

The winter of 1870-1871 was severe. In the spring the three reased plants in Pot II. had not even the tips of their shoots in the least injured, whereas all three self-fertilised plants were slilled half-way down to the ground; and this shows how much slilled half-way down to consequence not one of these latter plants bore a single flower during the ensuing summer of 1871, whilst all three crossed plants flowered.

# Ononis minutissima.

This plant, of which scods were sent me from North Italy, produces, basides the ordinary parillomeous flavores, institute, imperfect, cloud or cleistogania flowers, which can never be cross-feritfield, but are highly self-fertile. Some of the perfect flowers were crossed with pollen from a distinct plant, and six capsules thus produced yielded on an average 3° 50° scode, with a maximum produced yielded on an average 3° sex-secd, and all of the second produced by the second produced by the second to fertilize themselves apontaneously unders and all of the produced produced by the second produced by the a maximum of three seeds in one. So that the crossed and selffertilized capsules from the perfect flowers yielded seeds in the proportion of 100 to 65. Fifty-three capsules produced by the clastoganic flowers contained on an average 4°1 seeds, so that these were the most productive of all; and the seeds themselves looked flower even than those from the crossed perfect

The seeds from the crossed perfect flowers and from the self-fertilized clestogoamic flowers were allowed to germinate on sand; but unfortunately only two pairs germinated at the same time. These were planted on the opposite sides of the same pot, which was kept in the greenhouse. In the summer of the same year, when the seedlings were about 44 inhesis in beight, the two lots were equal. In the autumn of the following year (1688) the two was the seed of the self-fertilized of casedy the same beight, vir., 113 indees, and the lowes first of casedy the same beight, vir., 113 indees, and the lowes first of casedy the same beight, vir., 113 indees, and the lowes first of casedy the same beight, vir., 113 indees, and the lowest first of casedy the same beight and the vir. In the same of the self-fertilized exceeded considerably in height all the others. But the autumn of 1869 the two crossed plants had acquired the

supremacy; their height being 16<sup>4</sup> and 15<sup>1</sup><sub>2</sub>, whilst that of the two self-fertilised plants was 14<sup>1</sup><sub>2</sub> and 11<sup>4</sup><sub>2</sub> inches.

By the autumn of 1870, the heights were as follows:-

TABLE LXI.

Crossed Plants,	Self-fertilised Plants	
Inches. 201 191	Inches, 17 ± 17 ₹	
39.63	34.75	

So that the mean height of the two crossed plants was 19:31, and that of the two self-fertilised 17:37 inches; or as 100 to 88. It should be remembered that the two lots were at first equal in height; that one of the self-fertilised plants then had the advantage, the two crossed plants being at last victorious.

Summary on the Leguminosw.-Six genera in this family were experimented on, and the results are in some respects remarkable. The crossed plants of the two species of Lupinus were conspicuously superior to the self-fertilised plants in height and fertility; and when grown under very unfavourable conditions, in vigour. The scarlet-runner (Phaseolus multiflorus) is partially sterile if the visits of bees are prevented, and there is reason to believe that varieties growing near one another intercross. The five crossed plants, however, exceeded in height the five self-fertilised only by a little. Phaseolus vulgaris is perfectly self-fertile; nevertheless, varieties growing in the same garden sometimes intercross largely. The varieties of Lathurus odoratus, on the other hand, appear never to intercross in this country; and though the flowers are not often visited by efficient insects, I cannot account for this fact, more especially as the varieties are believed to

intercross in North Italy. Plants raised from a cross between two varieties, differing only in the colour of their flowers, grew much taller and were under unfavourable conditions more vigorous than the self-fertilised plants; they also transmitted, when self-fertilised, their superiority to their offspring. The many varieties of the common Pea (Pisum sativum), though growing in close proximity, very seldom intercross; and this seems due to the rarity in this country of the visits of bees sufficiently powerful to effect cross-fertilisation. A cross between the self-fertilised individuals of the same variety does no good whatever to the offspring; whilst a cross between distinct varieties, though closely allied, does great good, of which we have excellent evidence. The flowers of the Broom (Sarothamnus) are almost sterile if they are not disturbed and if insects are excluded. The pollen from a distinct plant is more effective than that from the same flower in producing seeds. The crossed seedlings have an enormous advantage over the self-fertilised when grown together in close competition. Lastly, only four plants of the Ononis minutissima were raised; but as these were observed during their whole growth, the advantage of the crossed over the self-fertilised plants may, I think, be fully trusted.

#### XV. ONAGRACEÆ.-CLARKIA ELEGANS.

owing to the eason being very unfavorable (1867), few of the flowers which I fertillised formed capanies; twoive crossed flowers produced only four, and eighteen self-fertillised flowers yielded only one capaule. The second safer perminating on sand were planted in three pots, but all the self-fertillised plants died in one of them. When the two lots were between 4 and 5 cover the self-fertillised. When in full flower they were measured, with the following resulti--

TABLE LXII.

No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plant
I.	Inches. 40 ± 35 25	Inches. 33 24 23
II.	33 #	30 4
Total in inches.	134.0	110.5

The average height of the four crossed plants is 33·5, and that of the four self-fertilised plants 27·62 inches, or as 100 to 82. The crossed plants altogether produced 105 and the self-fortilised plants 63 capsules; or as 100 to 60. In both pots a self-fortilised plant flower-obefore any one of the crossed plants, and the self-fortilised plant flower-obefore any one of the crossed plants.

#### XVI. LOASACEÆ.-BARTONIA AUREA.

Some flowers were crossed and self-fertilised in the usual manner during two seasons; but as I reared on the first occasion

Table LXIII.

Bartonia aurea,

No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants,	Self-fertilised Piants.
I.	Inches. 31	Inches. 37
II.	181	20 (
III.	194	401
IV.	25 36	35 15 ģ
v.	31 16	18 11 g
VI.	20	324
Total in inches.	197.0	210.5

only two pairs, the results are given together. On both occasions the crossed capsules contained slightly more seeds than the self-fertilised. During the first year, when the plants were about 7 inches in height, the self-fertilised were the tallest, and in the second year the crossed were the tallest. When the two lots were in full flower they were measured, as in the preceding table. The average begint of the eight crossed plants was 24 :62, and

that of the eight self-fertilised 26°31 inches; or as 100 to 107. So that the self-fertilised had a decided advantage over the crossed. But the plants from some cause never grew well, and finally became so unhealthy that only three crossed and three self-fertilised plants survived to set any capsules, and these were few in number. The two lots seemed to be about equally unproductive.

# XVII. PASSIFLORACEÆ.—Passiflora gracilis.

This annual species produces apontaneously numerous fruits when insects are excluded, and behaves in this respect very differently from most of the other species in the genus, which are extremely sterile unless fertilized with pollen from a distinct plant. Fourteen fruits from crossed flowers contained on an average 24-14 sector. Fourteen fruits (two poor ones being average 24-18 sector. Fourteen fruits (two poor ones being the product of the product of the product of the product was soon on the opposite sides of three pois, but only two pairs came up at the same time; and therefore a fair judgment cannot be formed.

TABLE LXIV.

Passiflora gracilii

No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants
L	Inches, 56	Inches. 38
II.	42	64
Total in inches.	98	102

The mean of the two crossed is 49 inches, and that of the two self-fertilised 51 inches; or as 100 to 104.

<sup>\*</sup> Variation of Animals and chap, xvii, 2nd edit vol. ii, p. Plants under Domestication, 118.

#### XVIII. UMBELLIFERÆ.-APIUM PETROSELINUM.

The Umbellifers are proterandrous, and can hardly fail to be cross-fertilised by the many flies and small Hymenoptera which visit the flowers." A plant of the common parsley was covered by a net, and it apparently produced as many and as fine spontaneously self-fertilised fruits or seeds as the adjoining uncovered plants. The flowers on the latter were visited by so many insects that they must have received pollen from one another. Some of these two lots of seeds were left on sand, but nearly all the self-fertilised seeds germinated before the others. so that I was forced to throw all away. The remaining seeds were then sown on the opposite sides of four pots. At first the self-fertilised seedlings were a little taller in most of the pots than the naturally crossed seedlings, and this no doubt was due to the self-fertilised seeds having germinated first. But in the autumn all the plants were so equal that it did not seem worth while to measure them. In two of the pots they were absolutely equal; in a third, if there was any difference, it was in favour of the crossed plants, and in a somewhat plainer manner in the fourth pot. But neither side had any substantial advantage over the other; so that in height they may be said to be as 100 to 100.

### XIX. DIPSACEÆ.—Scabiosa atro-purpurea.

The flowers, which are proterandrous, were fertilised during

Table LXV. Scabiosa atro-purpurea,

No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants.
I.	Inches. 14	Inches. 20
II.	15	148
III.	21 18‡	14 18
Total in inches.	68.5	, 61.5

<sup>\*</sup> H. Müller, 'Befruchtung,' &c. p. 96. According to M. Mustel (as stated by Godron, 'De PEsother readily intercross.

the unfavourable season of 1867, so that I got few seeds, sepecially from the self-fertilised heads, which were extremely sterile. The crossed and self-fertilised plants raised from these seeds were measured before they were in full flower, as in the preceding table.

The four crossed plants averaged 17-12, and the four self-fertilised 15-37 inches in height; or as 100 to 90. One of the selffertilised plants in Pot III. was killed by an accident, and its fellow pulled up; so that when they were again measured to the summits of their flowers, there were only three on each side; the crossed now averaged in height 32-83, and the self-fertilised 39-16 inches; or as 100 to 92.

## XX. COMPOSITÆ.—LACTUCA SATIVA.

Three plants of Lettuce\* (Great London Cos var.) grew close together in my garden; one was covered by a net, and produced self-fertilised seeds, the other two were allowed to be naturally crossed by insects; but the season (1867) was unfavourable, and I did not obtain many seeds. Only one crossed and one selffertilised plant were raised in Pot I., and their measurements are given in the following table (LXVI.). The flowers on this one self-fertilised plant were again self-fertilised under a net not with pollen from the same floret, but from other florets on the same head. The flowers on the two crossed plants were left to be crossed by insects, but the process was aided by some nollen being occasionally transported by me from plant to plant. These two lots of seeds, after germinating on sand, were planted in nairs on the opposite sides of Pots II. and III., which were at first kept in the greenhouse and then turned out of doors. The plants were measured when in full flower. The following table. therefore, includes plants belonging to two generations. When the seedlings of the two lots were only 5 or 6 inches in height they were equal. In Pot III. one of the self-fertilised plants died before flowering, as has occurred in so many other cases.

"The Composite are welladapted for cross-fertilisation, but
a nurseryman on whom I can
ruthe habit of sowing several kinds
of lettuce near together for the
sake of seed, and had never observed that they became crossed.

varieties which were thus cultivated near together flow red at different times; but two which I selected by hazard and sowed near each other did not flower at the same time; and my trial failed.

TABLE LXVI.

No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants
I. First generation, planted in open ground.	Inches, 27 25	Inches. 21 ½ 20
II. Second generation, planted in open ground,	29 å 17 å 12 å	24 10 11
III. Second generation, kept in the pot.	14 10 g	94
Total in inches,	136	96

The average height of the seven crossed plants is 19.43, and that of the six self-fertilised plants 16 inches; or as 100 to 82.

# XXI. CAMPANULACEÆ.—SPECULARIA SPECULUM.

In the closely allied genus, Campanula, in which Specularia was formerly included, the anthers shed at an early period their pollen, and this adheres to the collecting hairs which surround the pistil beneath the stigma; so that without some mechanical aid the flowers cannot be fertilised. For instance, I covered up a plant of Campanula carpathica, and it did not produce a single capsule, whilst the surrounding uncovered plants seeded profusely. On the other hand, the present species of Specularia appears to set almost as many capsules when covered up, as when left to the visits of the Diptera, which, as far as I have seen, are the only insects that frequent the flowers,\* I did not ascertain whether the naturally crossed and spontaneously selffertilised capsules contained an equal number of seeds, but a comparison of artificially crossed and self-fertilised flowers.

<sup>.</sup> It has long been known that another species of the genus, Specularia perfoliata, produces course self-fertile.

eleistogamic as well as perfect flowers, and the former are of

showed that the former were probably the most productive. If a spapears that this plant is capable of producing a large number of self-fertilised capsules owing to the petals closing at night, as well as during cold weather. In the act of closing, the mangins of the petals become reflexed, and their invarsily projecting midrist then pass between the close of the stigma, and in doing so push the pollen from the outside of the pistil on to the stigmatic surfaces.\*

Twenty flowers were fertilised by me with their own poline, but owing to the bad season, only six capstels were produced; they contained on an average 21.7 seeds, with a maximum of fortweight in one. Fourteen flowers were crossed with polien from ar-other plant, and these produced twelve capsulus, containform and the plant, and these produced twelve capsulus, containseem scele; so that the crossed sceled were to the self-fertilised from an equal number of capsules as 100 to 72. The former were also heavier than an equal number of self-fertilised seeds, in the ratio of 100 to 86. Thus, whether we pidge by the number were such capsules of the contained week, or the numer or by the wavegen number of the contained week, or the numer or by the contained of the contained week, or the survey of the inany one capsule, or by their weight, crossing does great good in comparison with self-fertilisation. The two lost of seeds were

TABLE LXVII.

No. of Pot.	Tallest Crossed Plant in each Put.	Tallest Self-fertilised Plant in each Pot.
L	Inches, 18	Inches, 15 g
II.	17	19
III.	221	18
IV.	20	23
Total in inches,	77-13	75.75

<sup>\*</sup> Mr. Meehan has lately shown ('Proc. Acad. Nat. Sc. Philadelphia,' May 16, 1876, p. 84) that the closing of the flowers of Clas-

n tonis virginica and Ransneulus bulbous during the night causes their t self-fertilisation.

sown on the opposite sides of four pots: but the seedlings were not sufficiently thinned. Only the tallest plant on each side was measured, when fully grown. The measurements are given in first. When the seedlings were only about an inch and a half in height both lots were equal. The four tallest crossed plants averaged 19.28, and the four

tallest self-fertilised 18:93 inches in height; or as 100 to 98. So that there was no difference worth speaking of between the two lots in height; though other great advantages are derived, as we have seen, from cross-fertilisation. From being grown in pots and kept in the greenhouse, none of the plants produced any capsules.

#### LOBELIA RAMOSA.\* Var. Snow-flake.

The well-adapted means by which cross-fertilisation is ensured in this genus have been described by several authors. † The pistil as it slowly increases in length pushes the pollen out of the conjoined anthers, by the aid of a ring of bristles; the two lobes of the stigma being at this time closed and incapable of fertilisation. The extrusion of the pollen is also aided by insects, which rub against the little bristles that project from the anthers. The pollen thus pushed out is carried by insects to the older flowers, in which the stigma of the now freely projecting pistil is open and ready to be fertilised. I proved the importance of the gaily-coloured corolla, by cutting off the large lower petal of several flowers of Lobelia crinus; and these flowers were neglected by the hive-bees which were incessantly visiting the other flowers. A capsule was obtained by crossing a flower of L. ramosa

\* I have adopted the name given to this plant in the 'Gardeners' Chronicle,' 1866. Prof. T. Dyer, however, informs me

† See the works of Hildebrand and Delpino. Mr. Farrer also has given a remarkably clear description of the mechanism by in this genus, in the 'Annals and

Mag. of Nat. Hist.' vol. ii. (4th series) 1868, p. 260. In the allied genus Isotoma, the curious spike the anthers, and which when from a bristle, like one of those which spring from the anthers in belia, as described by Mr. Farrer. with polien from another plant, and two other capsules from artificially self-critillaced flowers. The contained seeds were sown on the opposite sides of four pols. Some of the crossed scellings which came up before the others had to be pulled up and thrown away. Whilst the plants were very small there was not much difference in height letween the two lots; but in Pot III, the self-fortilloid were for a time the tallest. When in full flower the fallest plant on each side of each pot was measured, and the result is shown in the following table. In all flour pols a crossed plant flowered before any one of its opponents.

Table LXVIII.

Lobelia ramosa (First Generation).

No. of Pot,	Tallest Crossed Plant in each Pot.	Tallest Self-fertilised Plant in each Pot.
L	Inches. 22 i	Inches, 17 g
II.	27 [	24
III.	168	15
IV.	221	17
Total in inches.	89.0	73.5

tables self-dertillied 18-97 inches in height; or as 100 to 82. It was surprised to find that the anthern of a good many of these self-fertillied plants did not cohere and did not contain any policin; and the anthern even of a very few of the crossel plants were fit the same condition. Some flowers on the crossel plants were fit the same condition. Some flowers on the crossel plants were fit the same condition. Some flowers on the crossel plants seven captured to the condition of the same condition in the crossel plants seven captured being thus obtained. The seeds from both lots seven explants being thus obtained. The seeds from both lots were weighed, and it was calculated that an equal number of captules would have yielded seed in the proportion by weight of captured to the consequence of the condition of the crossel plants again crossed were much the flowers on the crossed plants again crossed were much the first plants of the condition of

Plants of the Second Generation.—The above two lots of seeds were placed on damp sand, and many of the crossed seeds germinated, as on the last occasion, before the self-fertilised, and were rejected. Three or four pairs in the same state of germination were planted on the opposite sides of two pots; a single pair in a third pot; and all the remaining seeds were sown crowded in a fourth pot. When the seedlings were about one and a half inches in height, they were equal on both sides of the three first pots; but in Pot IV., in which they grew crowded and were thus exposed to severe competition, the crossed were about a third taller than the self-fertilised. In this latter pot, when the crossed averaged 5 inches in height, the self-fertilised were about 4 inches; nor did they look nearly such fine plants, In all four pots the crossed plants flowered some days before the self-fertilised. When in full flower the tallest plant on each side was measured; but before this time the single crossed plant in Pot III., which was taller than its antagonist, had died and was not measured. So that only the tallest plant on each side of three pots was measured, as in the following table :-

Table LXIX.

Lobelia ramosa (Second Generation).

No. of Pot.	Tallest Crossed Plant in each Pot.	Tallest Self-fertilised Plant in each Pot.
L	Inches, 27 g	Inches, 18 å
II.	21	194
IV. Crowded.	214	19
Total in inches.	70	57

The average height of the three tallest crossed plants is here 23°-33, and that of the three tallest self-fertilised 19 inches; or as 100 to 81. Besides this difference in height, the crossed plants were much more vigorous and more branched than the selffertilised plants, and it is unfortunate that they were not weighed.

## LOBELIA FULGENS.

This species offers a somewhat perplexing case. In the first generation the self-fertilised plants, though few in number, greatly exceeded the crossed in height; whilst in the second generation, when the trial was made on a much larger scale. the crossed beat the self-fertilised plants. As this species is generally propagated by off-sets, some seedlings were first raised, in order to have distinct plants. On one of these plants several flowers were fertilised with their own pollen; and as the pollen is mature and shed long before the stigma of the same flower is ready for fertilisation, it was necessary to number each flower and keep its pollen in paper with a corresponding number. By this means well-matured pollen was used for self-fertilisation. a distinct individual, and to obtain this the conjoined anthers of young flowers were roughly squeezed, and as it is naturally protruded very slowly by the growth of the pistil, it is probable that the pollen used by me was hardly mature, certainly less mature than that employed for self-fertilisation. I did not at the time think of this source of error, but I now suspect that the growth of the crossed plants was thus injured. Anyhow the trial was not perfectly fair. Opposed to the belief that the pollen used in crossing was not in so good a state as that used for self-fertilisation, is the fact that a greater proportional number of the crossed than of the self-fertilised flowers produced capsules; but there was no marked difference in the amount of seed contained in the capsules of the two lots,\*

As the seeds obtained by the above two methods would not agrinate when left on here said, they were sown on the opposite sides of four pole; but I encoused in raising only a manufacture of the seed of the seeds of

\* Gärtner has shown that certain plants of Lobelia fulgens are quite sterile with pollen from the same plant, though this pollen is efficient on any other individual; but none of the plants on which I experimented, which were kept in the greenhouse, were in this psculiar condition.

Table LXX.

Lobelia fulgens (First Generation).

No. of Pot.	Height of Flower- stems on the Crossed Plants.	Height of Flower- stems on the Self-fer tilised Plants.
L	Inches, 33	Inches, 50
II.	361	384
III.	Not in full flower.	43
IV.	Not in full flower.	351

The mean height of the floreer-stems of the two crossed plants in Pots L and II. is here 34 '75 inches, and that of the two self-fertilised plants in the same pots 44 '25 inches; or as 100 to 127. The self-fertilised plants in Pots III. and IV. were in every respect very much finer than the crossed plants.

I was so much surprised at this great superiority of the safel fertilized over the crossed plants, that I determined to try how they would behave in one of the pots during a second growth. The two plants, therefore, in Pet I, were ent down, and reported without being disturbed in a much larger pot. In the following year the self-fertilized plant showed even a greater superiority than before; for the two tallest flower-stems produced by the whereas the two west only 25 and 50½ inches in height, whereas the two west only 25 and 50½ inches in height, were 459 and 459 inches; and this gives a suffectivitied plant were 459 and 459 inches; and this gives a very larger of the were 459 and 459 inches; and this gives a very larger of the considering all the evidence, there can be no doubt that those self-fertilized plants had a great superiority over the crossed plants.

Crossed and self-fertilistic Planta of the Second Generation.—I determined on this occasion to avoid the error of rusing polarion; so not quite equal maturity for crossing and self-fertilisation; so that I squeezed pollen out of the conjoined authers of young flowers for both operations. Several flowers on the crossed plant in Pot I. in Table LXX. were again crossed with pollen from a distinct plant. Several other flowers on the self-fertilised plant

Table LXXI.

Lobelia fulgens (Second Generation).

No. of Pot.	Crossed Piants. Height of Flower- stems.	Self-fertilised Plants, Height of Flower- stems.
L	Inches. 27   26 24   24   24	Inches.  32 \( \bar{\partial} \)  26 \( \bar{\partial} \)  25 \( \bar{\partial} \)  26 \( \bar{\partial} \)
II.	34 26 9 25 1 26	36   28   30   32   32
III.	401 371 321	30 <u>1</u> 28 <u>1</u> 23
IV.	34 1 32 1 29 1 27 1	29 1 28 2 26 25 2
v.	28   27 25   24	29 24 ¶ 23 ∦ 24
VI.	33   32 26   25	44 8 37 9 37 35
VIL	30 g 30 g 29 g	27 8 19 8 21
VIII.	39 g 37 g 36 36 36	23 ½ 23 ½ 25 ½ 25 ½
IX.	33 g 25 25 g 21 g	19 8 16 8 19 18 8
Total in inches.	1014-00	921-63

in the same pot were again self-fertilised with pollen from the authers of other flowers on the same-plant. Therefore the degree of self-ertilisation was not quite so close as in the last generation, in which pollen from the same flower, kept in paper, was used. These two lots of seeds were thinly sown on opposite sides of nine pols; and the young seedlings were thimsed, an equal number of nearly as possible the same age being left on the two sides. In the spring of the following year (1970), when the seedlings had grown to a considerable size, they were necessared to the lips of their leaves, and the twenty-three three self-critised seedlings were 13 5c inches; or as 100 to 50. In the summer of the same var several of these selections are

flowered, the crossed and self-fertilised plants flowering almost simultaneously, and all the flower-stems were measured. Those produced by eleven of the crossed plants averaged 30 '71 inches, and those by nine of the self-fertilised plants 29 '43 inches in

height; or as 100 to 96.

The plants in these nine pots, after they had flowered, were

reported without being disturbed in much larger pots; and in the following year, 1871, all flowered freely; but they had grown into such an entangled mass, that the separate plants on each side could no longer be distinguished. Accordingly three or four of the tallost flower-steams on each side of each table and think, more trustworthy than the previous ones, from being more numerous, and from the plants being well established and growing vigcrously.

The average height of the thirty-four tallest flower-stems on the twenty-three crossed plants is 29-82 inches, and that of the same number of flower-stems on the same number of selffertilised plants is 27-10 inches; or as 100 to 91. So that the crossed plants now showed a decided advantage over their selffertilised uponoments.

11

## XXII. POLEMONIACEÆ,-NEMOPHILA INSIGNIS.

Twelve flowers were crossed with pollen from a distinct plant, but produced only six capsules, containing on an average 18·3 seeds. Eighteen flowers were fertilised with their own pollen and produced ten capsules, containing on an average 12·7 seeds so that the seeds per capsule were as 100 to 62.\* The coased seeds velipide a little less than an equal number of selffertilised seeds, in the preportion of 100 to 105; but this was elsely due to some of the self-fertilised capsules containing very few seeds, and these were much bulkier than the others, from unitary the seeds of the self-fertilised capsules containing the self-fertilised self-fertilised capsules containing very few seeds in a few capsules old not show that the superiority on the side of the crossed capsules as in the present case.

The seeds were placed on sand, and after germinating were plated in pairs on the opposite sides of five pots, which were kepf in the greenhouse. When the seedlings were from 2 to 3 inches in height, most of the crossed had a slight advantage over the self-fertilised. The planta were trained up sticks, and thus grow to a considerable height. In four out of the five pota a crossed plant flowered before any one of the self-fertilised.

TABLE LXXII.

Nemophila insignis; 0 means that the plant died.

No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants.
L	Inches, 32 g	Inches, 21 g
II.	341	23 1
III.	33   22   29	19 7 8 17 8
IV.	35 g 33 g	10 å 27
v.	35 38 36 37 4 32 2	0 18 20 34 0
Total in inches,	399-38	199-00

<sup>\*</sup> Several species of Polemoniaceæ are kno-m to be proterthat varieties growing near one androus, but I did not attend to this point in Nemophila. Veriot

The plants were first measured to the tips of their leaves, before they had flowered and when the crossed were union as food in height. The twelve crossed plants averaged 11-1 inches is height, whilst the twelve self-feetilised were less than half of this height, viz., 5-45; or as 100 to 49. Before the plants had grown to their full height, two of the self-fertilised died, and as I faxed that this might happen with others, they were again measured to the tors of their steam, as shown in the preceding table.

The twelve crossed plants now averaged 33.28, and the ten selffertilised 19.9 inches in height, or as 100 to 60; so that they differed somewhat less than before.

The plants in Pots III. and V, were placed under a net in the greenhouse, two of the crossed plants in the latter pot being pulled up on account of the death of two of the self-fertilised; so that altogether six crossed and its self-fertilised plants were left to fertilise themselves spontaneously. The pots were rather small, and the plants did not produce many capsules. The small, and the plants did not produce many capsules. The the fewerse of the capsules which they produced. The six crossed shall be a small control of the produced of the six considerable shall be a small so that the six considerable shall be a small so that the six considerable shall be a small shall be six of the six considerable shall be six of the six considerable shall be six of the si

The self-fertilised seeds thus obtained from the crossed and self-fertilised plants, after germinating on sand, were planted

Table LXXIII.

Nemophila insignis,

No. of Pot.	Self-fertilised Plants from Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants from Self-fertilised Plants.
L	Inches, 27 14	Inches. 27 ± 34 ‡
II.	17 f 24 i	23 32
III.	16	7
IV.	5 a 5 a	7 g 16
Total in inches,	110-13	147.00

on the opposite sides of four small pots, and treated as before. But many of the plants were unhealthy, and their heights were so unequal—some on both sides being five times as tall as the others—that the averages deduced from the measurements in the preceding table are not in the least trustworthy. Nevertheless I have felt bound to give them, as they are opposed to my general conclusions.

The seven self-fertilised plants from the crossed plants here average 15-73, and the seven self-fertilised from the self-fertillised 21 inches in height; or as 100 to 133. Strietly analogous experiments with Viola tricolor and Lathyrus odorutus gave a very different result.

# XXIII. BORAGINACEÆ.—Borago officinalis.

This plant is frequented by a greater number of bees than almost any other one which I have observed. It is strongly proterandrous (H. Müller, 'Defruchting,' &e., p. 267), and the fowers can hardly fail to be cross-fertilisel, to a bound this extent, as some polen long remains within the authers, and is aga to fail on the matter stigma. In the year 1863 I covered up a plant, and examined thirty-five flowers, of which only twelve yielded any social whereas of thirty-five flowers on an exposed plant growing close by, all with the exception of two yielded seeds. The covered-up plant, however, produced alto-yielded seeds. The covered-up plant, however, produced alto-plant growing close by, all with the exception of two

In the year 1868 eighteen flowers on a protected plant were crossed with pollen from a distinct plant, but only seven of those produced fruit; and I suspect that I applied pollen to many of the stigmas before they were matters. These fruits contained on an average 2 seeds, with a maximum in one of three seeds. Frestly-four spontaneously self-fertilized fruits were produced by the same plant, and these contained on an average 1-2 seeds, with a maximum of two in one fruit. So that the ritual from the artificially crossed flowers yielded seeds compared with those from the spontaneously self-fertilized stower, in the ratio of 100 to 00. But the self-fertilized seeds, as often occurs when ratio of 100 to 90. The contract of the c

These two lots of seeds were sown on opposite sides of two large pots; but I succeeded in raising only four pairs of equal age. When the seedlings on both sides were about 8 inches in height they were equal. When in full flower they were measured, as follows:—

Table LXXIV. Borago officinalis.

No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plant
I.	Inches. 19 21 16‡	Inches, 13 t 18 t 20 t
II.	26 3	32 }
Total in inches.	82.75	84.75

The average height of the four crossed plants is here 20:68, and that of the four self-fertilised I 218 inches; or as 100 to 102. The self-fertilised I 218 inches; or as 100 to 102. The self-fertilised plants thus exceeded the crossed in height by a little; but this was entirely due to the tailness of one of the self-fertilised. The crossed plants in both pots flowered before the self-fertilised. Therefore I believe if more plants had been raised, the result would have been different. I regret that I did not attend to the fertility of the two lots.

# XXIV. NOLANACEÆ.—Nolana prostrata.

In some of the flowers the stamens are considerably shorter than the pistil, in others equal to it is length. It suspected, therefore, but erroneously as it proved, that this plant was dinceptile, like Pirmitah, Jiamus, dee, and in the year 1820 twelve pitch and the pitch of the pitch of the pitch of the pitch of trial. The spontaneously self-fer increases were subjected to trial. The spontaneously self-fer increases the veight of eight of seeds, but the product of fourteen artificially crossed flowers is here included, which falsely increases the veight of the self-fertilized seeds. Nirs unovered plants, the flowers of which were eagerly visited by bees for their pollen and were no which were eagerly visited by bees for their pollen and were no therefore twelvely plants thus treated would have visited if grains. Thus the seeds produced by the flowers on an equal number of plants, when crossed by bees, and spontaneously selffertilised (the product of fourteen artificially crossed flowers being, however, included in the latter) were in weight as 100 to 61.

In the summer of 1867 the trial was repeated; thirty flowers were crossed with pollen from a distinct plant and produced twenty-seven capsules, each containing five seeds. Thirty-two flowers were fertilised with their own pollen, and produced only six capsules, each with five seeds. So that the crossed and self-fertilised capsules contained the same number of seeds, though many more capsules were produced by the cross-fertilised than by the self-fertilised flowers, in the ratio of 100 to 21.

An equal number of seeds of both lots were weighed, and the crossed seeds were to the self-fertilised in weight as 100 to \$2. Therefore a cross increases the number of espulse produced and the weight of the seeds, but not the number of seeds in each capsule.

These two lots of seeds, after germinating on sand, were

planted on the opposite sides of three pots. The seedlings when from 6 to 7 inches in height were equal. The plants were measured when fully grown, but their heights were so unequal in the several pots, that the result cannot be fully trusted.

Thrue LNXV.

1	Nolana prostrate	1.
	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised l
	Inches	Inches

No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants.
L	Inches, 8 4 6 4 6 4	Inches. 4 1 7 1
II.	10 § 18	141 18
III.	201	228
Total in inches.	63.75	67.00

The five crossed plants average 12.75, and the five selffertilised 13.4 inches in height; or as 100 to 105.

#### CHAPTER VI.

## Solanacee, Prinulacee, Polygonee, etc.

Petunis violacea, crossed and self-dertilled plants compared for four generations—Biffest of a cross with a frush steek—Uniform colour of the flowers on the self-dertilled plants of the fourth generation height—Grant efficies of a cross with a faltient sub-variety on the height, but not on the fertility, of the offspring—Cyclanon persenum, crossed seedings ground superior to the self-critilized— Amagalia editins—Primals veris—Equal-spired variety of Primals Papopyrum sensientism—Bela variety—Grant Services of the Papopyrum sensientism—Bela variety—Grant super-Plahairs canaricanis.

# XXV. SOLANACEÆ.—PETUNIA VIOLACEA. Dinay purple variety.

Thus flowers of this plant are so seldom visited during the day by insects in this country, that I have never seen an instance; but my gardener, on whom I can rely, once saw some humblebes at work. Mr. Mechan says, that in the United States best bore through the corolla for the nectar, and adds that their "fertilisation is carried on by night-moths."

In France M. Naudin, after castraing a large number of flowers whilst in bud, left them exposed to the visits of innects, and about a quarter produced capsules; t but I am convinced that a much larger proportion of flowers in my garden are cross-fertilized by innects, for protected flowers which had their own pollen placed on the stigmass never pielded mearly a full complement of seed; whilst those left uncovered produced fine expanse, showing that pollen from other plants must have been brought to them, probably by moths. Plants growing vigorously and flowering in pois in the green-house, never pielded a single

\* 'Proc. Acad. Nat. Sc. of Philadelphia,' Aug. 2nd. 1870, p. 90. Prof. Hildebrand also informs me that moths, especially Sphiaz convolvuli, largely haunt the flowers

Iin Germany. So it is, as I hear
from Mr. Boulger, with moths in
England.

† 'Annales des Sc. Nat.' 4th
series, Bot. tom. ix, cah. 5,

capsule; and this may be attributed, at least in chief part, to the exclusion of moths.

Six flowers on a plant covered by a net were crossed with pollen from a distinct plant and produced six capsules, containing by weight 4.44 grains of seed. Six other flowers were fertilised with their own pollen and produced only three capsules, containing only 1.49 grain weight of seed. From this it follows that an equal number of crossed and self-fertilised capsules would have contained seeds by weight as 100 to 67. I should not have thought the proportional contents of so few capsules worth giving, had not nearly the same result been confirmed by several subsequent trials.

Seeds of the two lots were placed on sand, and many of the self-fertilised seeds germinated before the crossed, and were rejected. Several pairs in an equal state of germination were planted on the opposite sides of Pots I, and II,: but only the tallest plant on each side was measured. Seeds were also sown thickly on the two sides of a large pot (III.), the seedlings being afterwards thinned, so that an equal number was left on each side; the three tallest on each side being measured. The pots were kept in the greenhouse, and the plants were trained up sticks. For some time the young crossed plants had no advantage in height over the self-fertilised; but their leaves were larger. When fully grown and in flower the plants were measured, as follows :-

TABLE LXXVI. Petunia violacca (First Generation).

No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants.
I.	Inches, 30	Inches. 20 g
II.	34 ‡	27 4
III.	34 304 25	28 4 27 4 26
Total in inches.	154	130

The five tallest crossed plants here average 30.8, and the five tallest self-fertilised 26 inches in height, or as 100 to 84.

Three capsules were obtained by crossing flowers on the above crossed plants, and three other capsules by again selffertilising flowers on the self-fertilised plants. One of the latter capsules appeared as fine as any one of the crossed capsules: but the other two contained many imperfect seeds. From these two lots of seeds the plants of the following generation were Crossed and self-fertilised Plants of the Second Generation .- As in

the last generation, many of the self-fertilised seeds germinated before the crossed.

Seeds in an equal state of germination were planted on the opposite sides of three pots. The crossed seedlings soon greatly exceeded in height the self-fertilised. In Pot I., when the tallest erossed plant was 104 inches high, the tallest self-fertilised was only 34 inches; in Pot II. the excess in height of the crossed was not quite so great. The plants were treated as in the last generation, and when fully grown measured as before. In Pot III. both the crossed plants were killed at an early age by some animal, so that the self-fertilised had no competitors. Nevertheless these two self-fertilised plants were measured, and are included in the following table. The crossed plants flowered long before their self-fertilised opponents in Pots I. and II., and before those growing separately in Pot III.

Petunia violacea (Second Generation).

No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Piants.
L	Inches. 57 g 36 g	Inches, 13 4 8
П.	44 ± 24	33 g 28
III.	0	46 g 28 g
Total in inches.	162.0	157.5

The four crossed plants average 40.5, and the six self-fertilised 26.25 inches in height; or as 100 to 65. But this great inequality is in part accidental, owing to some of the selffertilised plants being very short, and to one of the crossed being very tall.

Twelve flowers on these crossed plants were again crossed, and eleven capsules were proficed; of these, five were poor and six good; the latter contained by weight 3.75 grains of seeds, which were provided to the property of the property of the with their warp point and produced no less than twelve capsules, and the six finest of these contained by weight 2.07 grains of seeds. It should however be observed that these latter capsules were produced by the plants in Pot III., which were not exposed to any competition. The seeds in the six fine crossed capsules as 100 to 68. From these seeds the plants of the next generation were raised.

Crossed and neif-fertilized Flunts of the Third Generation.—The above scole were placed on sand, and after germinating were planted in pairs on the opposite sides of four pots; and all the remaining seeds were thickly sown on the two sides of a fifth large pot. The result was surprising, for the self-fertilised seedlings very early in life beat the crossed, and at one time were nearly double their height. At first the case appeared like that of Mimulus, in which after the third generation at all and highly self-fertile variety appeared. But as in two succeeding generations the crossed plants resumed their former superiority over the self-fertilised, the case must be looked at as an anomaly. The sole conjecture which I can form is that the self-fertilised

Table LXXVIII.

Petunia violacea (Third Generation; plants very young).

No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants.
I.	Inches.	Inches. 5 g 4 g
IL	578 578	8 8 8 6 8 6 8 6 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
III,	4	5 8
IV.	11	51
Total in inches.	19.63	36.50

When fully grown they were again measured, as follows:—

Table LXXIX.

Petunia violacea (Third Generation; plants fully grown).

No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plant
r.	Inches, 41 ‡ 48 36	Inches. 401 39 48
II.	36 21 36 g	47 80 2 86 2
III.	52	46
IV.	57	43 8
Total in inches.	327.75	431.00

The eight crossed plants now averaged 40 °96, and the eight self-effitilized plants 36 °76 inches in height, or as 100 to 131; and this excess chiefly depended, as already stated, on the unusual tailness of two of the self-effitilized plants in 76 iii. The self-effitilized plants in 76 iii. The self-effitilized plants recossed plants. In three of the post the self-effitilized plants fewered first; but in 70 iii. The string with the crossed, but we will be self-efficient plants forward first; but in 70 iii. At the same time with the crossed, but the self-efficient plants forward first; but in 70 iii. At the same time with the crossed plants.

which all the remaining seeds had been thickly sown, were from the first finer plant han the self-fertillied, and had larger large. At a protod when the two tallost crossed plants in the proton of the proton of the proton of the proton of the way only 4 inches. When the two crossed plants were 12 and two only 4 inches. When the two crossed plants were 12 and 10 inches high, the two self-fertillied were only 8 inches. These latter plants, so well as many others on the same side of this pot, here grew any higher, whereas several of the crossed plants appeared to the height of two feet I on account of this great superiority of the crossed plants, the plants on neither side of this pot have been included in the two last tables. Thirty flowers on the crossed thats the Pots I and IV. (Table

LXXIX.) were again crossed, and produced seventeen capsules. Thirty flowers on the self-fertilised plants in the same two pots were again self-fertilised, but produced only seven capsules. The contents of each capsule of both lots were placed in separate watch-glasses, and the seeds from the crossed appeared to the eye to be at least double the number of those from the self-

fertilised capsules.

In order to ascertain whether the fertility of the self-fertilities plants had been lessened by the plants having been self-fertilized for the three previous generations, thirty flowers on the crossed plants were fertilized with their own pollon. These yielded only tive capsules, and their seeds being placed in spanten whether the control of the control of the fortility of the self-fertilities of plants self-fertilities of the segment, the self-fertilities of plants self-fertilities of the segment, the self-fertilities of the se

Seeds from the crossed plants again crossed, and from the selffertilized again self-fertilized, produced by the plants in Pot I. (Table LXXIX), in which the three self-fertilized plants were on an average only all little tabler than the crossed, years used in the following experiment. They were kept separate from two similar lots of seeds produced by the two plants in Pot IV. in the same table, in which the crossed plant was much taller than

Crossed and self-fertilised Plants of the Fourth Generation

(mised from the Floats in Pel I, Table LXXIX.).—Crossed and self-fertillied seeds from plants of the last generation in Pel I, in Table LXXIX., were placed on sand, and after germinating, were planted in pairs on the opposite sides of four pots. The seedlings when in full flower were measured to the base of the culty. The remaining seeds were sown crowded on the two sides of Pel V; and the four tallest plants on each side of this pot were measured in the same manner.

TABLE LXXX.

Petunia violacea (Fourth Generation; raised from Plants of the
Third Generation in Pot I., Table LXXIX.).

No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants
L	Inches, 29 g 36 g 49	Inches, 30 2 34 9 31 3
II.	33 1 37 1 56 1	315 382 384
Ш	46 67 8 54 8	451 45 232
IV.	51 g 51 g	34 0
V. Crowded plants.	49 4 46 3 40 53	22 8 24 8 24 8 30
Total in inches.	701.88	453.50

The fifteen crossed plants average 46·79, and the fourteen (one having dio) self-fertilised plants 32·39 inches in height; or as 100 to 69. So that the crossed plants in this generation had recovered their wonted superiority over the self-fertilised plants; though the parents of the latter in Pot I., Table LXXIX., were a little taller than their crossed opponents.

Crossed and self-fertilised Plants of the Fourth Generation

(raised from the Plants in Pot IV., in Table LXXIX.),-Two similar lots of seeds, obtained from the plants in Pot IV, in Table LXXIX., in which the single crossed plant was at first shorter, but ultimately much taller than its self-fertilised opponent, were treated in every way like their brethren of the same generation in the last experiment. We have in the following Table LXXXI, the measurements of the present plants. Although the crossed plants greatly exceeded in height the selffertilised; yet in three out of the five pots a self-fertilised plant flowered before any one of the crossed; in a fourth pot simultaneously; and in a fifth (viz., Pot II.) a crossed plant flowered

TABLE LXXXI. Petunia violacea (Fourth Generation; raised from Plants of the Third Generation in Pot IV., Table LXXIX.).

No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants.
I.	Inches. 46 46	Inches, 30 I 28
II.	50 g 40 g 37 g	25 31 2 22 2
III.	541 61 45	22 8 26 2 32
IV.	30 29 l	28 j 26
V. Crowded plants.	37 g 63 41 g	401 181 171
Total in inches.	581-63	349-38

The thirteen crossed plants here average 44.74, and the thirteen self-fertilised plants 26.87 inches in height; or as 100 to 60. The crossed parents of these plants were much taller, relatively to the self-fertilised parents, than in the last case; and apparently they transmitted some of this superiority to their

Gr. Weight

crossed offspring. It is unfortunate that I did not turn these plants out of doors, so as to observe their relative fertility, for I compared the pollen from some of the crossed and self-fertilised plants in Pot L, Table LXXXI., and there was a marked difference in its state; that of the crossed plants contained hardly any bad and empty grains, whilst such abounded in the pollen of the self-fertilised plants,

The Effects of a Cross with a fresh Stock .- I procured from a garden in Westerham, whence my plants originally came, a fresh plant differing in no respect from mine except in the colour of the flowers, which was a fine purple. But this plant must have been exposed during at least four generations to very different conditions from those to which my plants had been subjected, as these had been grown in pots in the greenhouse. Eight flowers on the self-fertilised plants in Table LXXXI., of the last or fourth self-fertilised generation, were fertilised with pollen from this fresh stock; all eight produced capsules containing together by weight 5:01 grains of seeds, The plants raised from these seeds may be called the Westerham-

Eight flowers on the crossed plants of the last or fourth generation in Table LXXXI, were again crossed with pollen from one of the other crossed plants, and produced five capsules, containing by weight 2.07 grains of seeds. The plants raised from these seeds may be called the intercrossed; and these form the fifth intercrossed generation.

Eight flowers on the self-fertilised plants of the same generation in Table LXXXL were again self-fertilised, and produced seven capsules, containing by weight 2.1 grains of seeds. The self-fertilised plants raised from these seeds form the fifth selffertilised generation. These latter plants and the intercrossed are comparable in all respects with the crossed and self-fertilised plants of the four previous generations.

From the foregoing data it is easy to calculate that,

	0	Seed.
Ten	Westerham-crossed capsules would have contained	6.26
Ten	intercrossed capsules would have contained	4.14
Ten	self-fertilised capsules would have contained .	3.00

We thus get the following ratios:-

Seeds from the Westerham-crossed capsules to those from the capsules of the fifth self-fer-	
tilised generation, in weight	as 100 to 4
Seeds from the Westerham-crossed capsules to	
those from the expender of the GOL intercessed	

Seeds from the Westerham-crossed capsules to those from the capsules of the fifth intercrossed generation . . . . . . as 100 to 66

Seeds from the intercrossed to those from the self-fertilised capsules . . . as 100 to 72

So that a cross with pollen from a fresh stock greatly increased the productiveness of the flowers on plants which had been self-fertilised for the four previous generations, in comparison not only with the flowers on the same plants self-fertilised for the fifth time, but with the flowers on the crossed plants roussed with pollen from another plant of the same old stock for the fifth time.

These three lots of sooks were placed on sand, and were planted in an equal state of germination in seven pois, each made tripartite by three superficial partitions. Some of the remaining seeds, whether or not in a state of germination, were thickly sown in an eighth pot. The pots were kept in the greenhouse, and the plants trained up sticks. They were first measured to the tops of their stems when coming into flower; and the twenty-two Westerham-record plants them a vargand 25-5 (in these; the volume of the plants of 20-5); and the twenty-three safefortified plants 32-30 inches in height. We thus get the following ratios:

The Westerham-crossed plants in height to the self-fertilised . . . . . . as 100 to 91 The Westerham-crossed plants in height to the

intercrossed . . . . . as 100 to 119
The intercrossed plants in height to the self-

fertilised . . . . as 100 to 77

These plants were again measured when their growth appeared on a casail inspection to be complete. But in this I was mistaken, for after cutting them down, I found that the summits of the stems of the Westerham-crossol plants were still growing vigorously; whilst the intercrossed land almost, and the selffertilised had quite completed their growth. Therefore I do not doubt, iff the three lots had been left to grow for another month, that the ratios would have been somewhat different from those deduced from the measurements in the following table :—

Table LXXXII,

Petunia violucea

	T. cranta	***************************************	
No. of Pot.	Westerham-crossed Plants (from Scif- fertilised Plants of Fourth Generation crossed by a fresh Stock).	Intercrossed Plants (Plants of one and the same Stock intercrossed for Five Generations).	Self-fertilised Plan (self-fertilised for Five Generations
L	Inches,	Inches.	Inches,
	64 2	57 g	43 g
	24	64	56 g
	51 2	58 g	31 g
II.	487	59 T	41 g
	548	58 E	41 g
	588	53	18 g
III.	62	52 #	46 g
	53 2	54 g	45
	62 4	61 g	19 g
IV.	444 49]	583 658 598	37 g 33 g 32 g
v.	43 l	35 g	41 g
	53 l	34 g	26 g
	53 l	54 g	0
VI.	37 <u>4</u> 61 0	56 63 9 57 2	46 ± 29 ± 14 ±
VII.	591	51	43
	431	499	12 1
	501	0	0
VIII.	37 ± 37 ± 37 ±	38 1	21 g
Crowded,		44 1	14 g
Total in inches.	1051-25	1190.50	697.88

The twenty-one Westerham-crossed plants now averaged 50·05 inches; the twenty-two intercrossed plants, 54·11 inches; and the twenty-one self-fertilised plants, 33·23 inches in height. We thus get the following ratios:—

The Westerham-crossed plants in height to the self-fertilised . . . . as 100 to 66

The Westerham-crossed plants in height to the

intercrossed . . . . . . . . as 100 to 108 The intercrossed plants in height to the selffertilised . . . . . . . . . as 100 to 61

We here see that the Westerham-crossed (the offspring of plants self-fertilised for four generations and then crossed with a fresh stock) have gained greatly in height, since they were first measured, relatively to the plants self-fertilised for five generations. They were then as 100 to 91, and now as 100 to 66 in height. The intercrossed plants (i.e., those which had been intercrossed for the last five generations) likewise exceed in height the self-fertilised plants, as occurred in all the previous generations with the exception of the abnormal plants of the third generation. On the other hand, the Westerham-crossed plants are exceeded in height by the intercrossed; and this is a surprising fact, judging from most of the other strictly analogous cases. But as the Westerham-crossed plants were still growing vigorously, while the intercrossed had almost ceased to grow, there can hardly be a doubt that if left to grow for another month they would have beaten the intercrossed in height. That they were gaining on them is clear, as when measured before they were as 100 to 119, and now as only 100 to 108 in height. The Westerham-crossed plants had also leaves of a darker green, and looked altogether more vigorous than the intercrossed; and what is much more important, they produced, as we shall presently see, much heavier seed-capsules. So that in fact the offspring from the self-fertilised plants of the fourth generation crossed by a fresh stock were superior to the intercrossed, as well as to the self-fertilised plants of the fifth generation-of which latter fact there could not be the least doubt.

These three lots of plants were cut down close to the ground and weighed. The twenty-one Westerham-crossed plants weighed 32 ounces: the twenty-two intercrossed plants, 34 ounces, and the twenty-one self-fertilised plants 74 ounces. The following ratios are calculated for an equal number of plants of each kind. But as the self-fertilised plants were just beginning to wither, their relative weight is here slightly too small; and as the Westerhamcrossed were still growing vigorously, their relative weight with time allowed would no doubt have greatly increased.

The Westerham-crossed plants in weight to

the self-fertilised . . . . as 100 to 22 The Westerham-crossed plants in weight to

the intercrossed . . . . . as 100 to 101
The intercrossed plants in weight to the self-

fertilised . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . as 100 to 22.3

We here so, judging by weight instead of as before by keight that the Westcham-crossed and the intercrossed have an immense advantage over the self-fertilised. The Westchamcrossed are inferior to the intercrossed by a more trille; but it is almost certain that if they had been allowed to go on growing for another month, the former would have completely beaten the latter.

which the foregoing plants had been raised, these were sown in three long parallel and adjoining rows in the open ground, so as to assortian whether under these circumstances the results would be nearly the same as before. Late in the antumn (Nov. 13) the ten tallest plants were carefully selected out of each row, and their heights measured, with the following result:—

TABLE LXXXIII.

Petunia violacea (plants growing in the open ground).

	Westerham-crossed Plants (from Self- fertilised Plants of the Fourth Generation crossed by a fresh Stock).	Intercrossed Plants (Plants of one and the same Stock inter- crossed for Five Generations).	S-M-fertilised Plants (Self-fertilised for Five Generations).
	Inches,	Inches.	Inches.
	343	38	271
	363	361	23
	35 2	394	25
	321	37	- 241
	37	36	224
	36 \$	412	231
	407	372	211
	37 8	40	231
	38	418	213
1	38]	36"	217
	366-75	382-75	233-13

The ten Westerhan-crossed plants here average 35-67 inches in height; the ten intercrossed plants, 83-27 inches; and the ten inself-fertilised, 23-31 inches. These three lots of plants were also weighted; the Westerham-crossed plants weighted 28 ounces of the intercrossed, 41 ounces; and the self-fertilised, 14-75 ounces. We thus get the following ratios:—

;
ŀ
į

Here the relative beights of the time lots are nearly the same within three or four per ent.), as with the plants in the pots. In weight there is a much greater difference: the Westerhamcrossed exceed the self-fertilised by much less than they did before; but the self-fertilised plants in the pots had become algibitly withered, as before stated, and were in consequence unfairly light. The Westerham-crossed plants are here inferior in weight to the intercrossed plants in a much higher degree than in the pots; and this appeared due to their being much sole breakeds, order for the three three presents of the way of the property of the control of the intercrossed plants were of a brighter green than those of the intercrossed and self-fertilised plants.

Robative Fertility of the Three Lets of Flents.—None of the plants in pots in the growthouse ever produced a capsule; and this may be attributed in chief part to the exclusion of moths. Therefore the fertility of the three lots could be judged of only by that of the plants growing out of doors, which from being left unoverent wear probably cross-fertilised. The plants in the three rows were exactly of the same age and had been arbipeted with the country of the same age and had been arbipeted unit to the country of the same age and had been arbipeted unit to the country of the same age and had been arbipeted unit to the same age to the same age and had been arbipeted unit to the same age to the same age and had been arbipeted unit to the same age to the same age and the same arbitrary of the same age and had been arbipeted as the same age and the same arbitrary of the same age and had been arbitrary of the same age and the same arbitrary of the same age and the same arbitrary of the same age and had been arbitrary of the same age are arbitrary of the same age and had been arbitrary of the same age are arbitrary of the same age ar

one lot being derived from plants self-fertilised for four generations and then crossed with a fresh stock; to the second lot being derived from plants of the same old stock intercrossed for five generations; and to the third lot being derived from plants selffertilised for five generations. All the capsules, some nearly mature and some only half-grown, were gathered, counted, and weighed from the ten finest plants in each of the three rows, of which the measurements and weights have already been given. The intercrossed plants, as we have seen, were taller and considerably heavier than the plants of the other two lots, and they produced a greater number of capsules than did even the Westerham-crossed plants; and this may be attributed to the branched. Therefore the average weight of an equal number of capsules from each lot of plants seems to be the fairest standard of comparison, as their weights will have been determined chiefly by the number of the included seeds. As the intercrossed plants were taller and heavier than the plants of the other two lots, it might have been expected that they would have produced the finest or heaviest capsules; but this was very far from being the case.

The ten tallest Westerham-crossed plants produced 111 ripe and unripe capsules, weighing 121.2 grains. Therefore 100 of such capsules would have weighed 109.18 grains.

The ten tallest intercrossed plants produced 129 capsules, weighing 76 45 grains. Therefore 100 of these capsules would have weighed 59 26 grains. The ten tallest self-fertilised plants produced only 44 capsules,

The ten tailest self-terthised plants produced only 44 capsules, weighing 22-35 grains. Therefore 100 of these capsules would have weighed 50-79 grains.

From these data we get the following ratios for the fertility of

from these data we get the following ratios for the fertility of the three lots, as deduced from the relative weights of an equal number of capsules from the finest plants in each lot:—

Westerham-crossed plants to self-fertilised

We here see how potent the influence of a cross with pollen from a fresh stock has been on the fertility of plants self-fortilised for four generations, in comparison with plants of the old stock when either intercrossed or self-fertilised for five generations; the flowers on all these plants having bosn left to be freely crossed by insects or to fertilize themselves. The Westerham-crossed plants were also much taller and beavier plants than the self-fertilled, both in the pots and open ground; but they were less fertilled, but the pots and open ground; but they were less fertilled, but and the pots and possible plants but been an expense, and the plants had been allowed to grow for another month, as the Westerham-crossed were still growing vigorously, whilst the linerroused had almost cassed to grow. This case reminds us of the somewhat analogous one of Eschecholtzia, in which plants naked from a rose with a fresh obtack did not grow higher than number of seed-capsules, which contained a far larger average number of seed-capsules, which contained a far larger average number of seed-capsules, which contained a far larger average

Colour of the Flowers on the above Three Lots of Plants,-The original mother-plant, from which the five successive self-fertilised generations were raised, bore dingy purple flowers. At no time was any selection practised, and the plants were subjected in each generation to extremely uniform conditions. The result was, as in some previous cases, that the flowers on all the selffertilised plants, both in the pots and open ground, were absolutely uniform in tint; this being a dull, rather peculiar flesh colour. This uniformity was very striking in the long row of plants growing in the open ground, and these first attracted my attention. I did not notice in which generation the original reason to believe that the change was gradual. The flowers on the intercrossed plants were mostly of the same tint, but not nearly so uniform as those on the self-fertilised plants, and many of them were pale, approaching almost to white. The flowers on the plants from the cross with the purple-flowered Westerham stock were, as might have been expected, much more purple and not nearly so uniform in tint. The self-fertilised plants were also remarkably uniform in height, as judged by the eye; the inter-crossed less so, whilst the Westerham-crossed plants varied much in height.

#### NICOTIANA TABACUM.

This plant offers a curious case. Out of six trials with crossed and self-fertilised plants, belonging to three successive generations, in one alone did the crossed show any marked superiority in height over the self-fertilised; in four of the trials they were approximately equal; and in one (i.e., in the first generation) the self-fertilised plants were greatly superior to the crossed. In no case did the capsules from flowers fertilised with pollen from a distinct plant yield many more, and sometimes they yielded much fewer seeds than the capsules from self-fertilised flowers. But when the flowers of one variety were crossed with pollen from a slightly different variety, which had grown under somewhat different conditions,-that is, by a fresh stock,-the seedlings derived from this cross exceeded in height and weight those from the self-fertilised flowers in an extraordinary degree. Twelve flowers on some plants of the common tobacco, raised

from purchased seeds, were crossed with pollen from a distinct plant of the same lot, and these produced ten capsules. Twelve and produced eleven capsules. The seeds in the ten crossed capsules weighed 31.7 grains, whilst those in ten of the selfmuch greater productiveness of the self-fertilised than of the crossed capsules can hardly be attributed to chance, as all the capsules of both lots were very fine and healthy ones. The seeds were placed on sand, and several pairs in an equal

state of germination were planted on the opposite sides of three

pots. The remaining seeds were thickly sown on the two sides of Pot IV., so that the plants in this pot were much crowded. The tallest plant on each side of each pot was measured. Whilst the plants were quite young the four tallest crossed plants averaged 7.87 inches, and the four tallest self-fertilised 14.87 inches in height; or as 100 to 189. The heights at this age are given in the two left-hand columns of the following table. When in full flower the tallest plants on each side were again

measured (see the two right-hand columns), with the following result. But I should state that the pots were not large enough, and the plants never grew to their proper height. The four tallest crossed plants now averaged 18.5, and the four tallest self-fertilised plants 32.75 inches in height; or as 100 to 178. In all four pots a self-fertilised plant flowered before any one of

In Pot IV., in which the plants were extremely crowded, the two lots were at first equal; and ultimately the tallest crossed plant exceeded by a trifle the tallest self-fertilised plant. This recalled to my mind an analogous case in the one generation of Petunia, in which the self-fertilised plants were throughout

Table LXXXIV.

Nicotiana tabacum (First Generation).

	May	20, 1868.	December 6, 1868.		
No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants.	
I.	Inches. 15 g	Inches, 26	Inches.	Inches.	
II.	8	15	61	43	
III.	8	134	16	33	
IV. Crowded.	5	5	114	11	
Total in inches.	81.5	59.5	74.0	131.0	

their growth taller than the erosed in all the pots except in the crowded one. Accordingly another trial was made, and some of the same crossed and self-fertilized ascels of tobacco and the same properties of the same properties of the same partial properties of the same properties of the same partial self-growth partial self-growth and the same between the vox oldes, nor was there may marked difference when the plants had grown as tall as they could; for in one potletween the vox oldes, nor was there may marked difference when the plants had grown as tall as they could; for in one pottlet tallest except and two was believed by the properties of pot, the tallest exceed plant was 360 inches the had the ladds except feeling bunk, which was 220 melos in height,

As the plants did not grow to their proper height in the above small poin in Table LXXXIV, four cross and a flow self-effittilled plants were raised from the same seed, and were planted in perion on the opposite sides of four very large pole containing rein sait; on the opposite sides of four very large pole containing rein sait; When these plants were in flower I neglected to measure them, but record in my notes that all Bour self-fertillied plants exceeded in height the four crossed plants by 2 or 3 inches. We have seen that the flowers on the original or parent-plants which have seen that the flowers on the original or parent-plants which seeds than those fertillied with their own pollers; and the tried largi given, as well as that in Table LXXXIV, show us clearly that the plants raised from the crossed seeds were inferior in height to those from the self-fertilised seeds; but only when not greatly crowded. When crowded and thus subjected to very severe competition, the crossed and self-fertilised plants were nearly equal in height.

Crossed and self-fertilited Flunts of the Second Generation—Twelve flowers on the crossed plants of the last generation growing in the four large pots just mentioned, were crossed with pollen from a crossed plant growing in one of the other pots; and twelve flowers on the self-fertilized plants were fertilized classification of the control of the co

Table LXXXV.

Nicotiana tabacum (Second Generation).

Avicorsana i	abacum (Second	Generation).
No, of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants.
I.	Inches, 14 ± 78 ± 9	Inches, 27 ¶ 8 ¶ 56
II.	60 4 44 8 10	16 g 7 50 g
III.	57 ½ 1 g	87 (A) 81 <sup>2</sup> (B)
IV.	61 31 691	19 43 g 4
v.	99 4 - 29 g	9 8
Total in inches.	511-63	413.75

The thirteen crossed plants here average 39.35, and the thirteen self-fertilised plants 31.82 inches in height; or as 100 to 81. But it would be a very much fairer plan to exclude all the starved plants of only 10 inches and under in height; and in this case the nine remaining crossed plants average 53.84, and the seven remaining self-fertilised plants 51.78 inches in height, or as 100 to 96; and this difference is so small that the crossed and self-fertilised plants may be considered as of equal

In addition to these plants, three crossed plants were planted separately in three large pots, and three self-fertilised plants in three other large pots, so that they were not exposed to any competition; and now the self-fertilised plants exceeded the crossed in height by a little, for the three crossed averaged 55.91. and the three self-fertilised 59.16 inches; or as 100 to 106.

Crossed and self-fertilised Plants of the Third Generation,-As I wished to ascertain, firstly, whether those self-fertilised plants of the last generation, which greatly exceeded in height their crossed opponents, would transmit the same tendency to their offspring. and secondly, whether they possessed the same sexual constitution. I selected for experiment the two self-fertilised plants marked A and B in Pot III. in Table LXXXV., as these two

TARLE LXXXVI. Nicotiana tabacum (Third Generation). Seedlings from the Self-fertilised Plant A in Pot III. Table LXXXV., of the last or Second Generation,

No. of Pot.	From Self-fertilised Plant, crossed by a Crossed Plant.	From Self-fertilised Plant again self-ferti- lised, forming the Third Self-fertilised Generation.
L	Inches, 100 g 91	Inches, 98 79
II.	110 l 100 i	59 1 66 2
III.	104	79 9
IV.	84 2 76 2	110 á 64 k
Total in inches.	666-75	557.25

were of nearly equal height, and were growtly superior to their crossed opponents. Four flowers on each plant were fertilised with their own pollon, and four others on the same plants were crossed with pollon from one of the crossed plants growing in another pot. This plan differs from that before followed, in which seedlings from crossed plants again crossed, have been compared with seedlings from self-fertilised plants again self-fertilised. The seeds from the crossed and self-fertilided capsules of the control of the fertilised. The seeds from the crossed and self-fertilided capsules of the control of the control of the control of the control of the crossed capsules seemed to be in the often case those from those from the self-fertilised capsules. These seeds were planted in the usual manner, and the heights of the crossed and selffertilised seedlings, when fully grown, are given in the preceding and following table, LXXXVI and LXXXVIII.

The seven crossed plants in the first of these two tables average 95 '25, and the seven self-fertilised 79 '6 inches in height; or as 100 to 83. In half the pots a crossed plant, and in the other half a self-fertilised plant flowcred first.

We now come to the seedlings raised from the other parentplant B.

Table LXXXVII.

Nicotiana tubacum (Third Generation).

Seedlings from the Self-fertilised Plant B in Pot III., Table LXXXV., of the last or Second Generation.

No. of Pot.	From Self-fertilised Plant, crossed by a Crossed Plant,	From Self-fertilised Plant again self-ferti- lised, forming the Third Self-fertilised Generation,
I.	Inches. 87 ‡ 49	Inches, 72 g 14 g
II.	98#	78 110 g
III.	99 15 g	106 g 73 g
IV.	97 9	481
v.	482	81 g 61 g
Total in inches.	495-50	641.75

The seven crossed plants (for two of them died) here average 70.78 inches, and the nine self-fertilised plants 71.3 inches in height; or as 100 to barely 101. In four out of these five pots. a self-fertilised plant flowered before any one of the crossed plants. So that, differently from the last case, the self-fertilised plants are in some respects slightly superior to the crossed.

If we now consider the crossed and self-fertilised plants of the three generations, we find an extraordinary diversity in their relative heights. In the first generation, the crossed plants were inferior to the self-fertilised as 100 to 178; and the flowers on the original parent-plants which were crossed with pollen from a distinct plant yielded much fewer seeds than the self-fertilised flowers, in the proportion of 100 to 150. But it is a strange fact that the self-fertilised plants, which were subjected to very severe competition with the crossed, had on two occasions no advantage over them. The inferiority of the crossed plants of this first generation cannot be attributed to the immaturity of the seeds, for I carefully examined them; nor to the seeds being diseased or in any way injured in some one capsule, for the contents of the ten crossed capsules were mingled together and a few taken by chance for sowing. In the second generation the crossed and self-fertilised plants were nearly equal in height. In the third generation, crossed and self-fertilised seeds were obtained from two plants of the previous generation, and the seedlings raised from them differed remarkably in constitution; the crossed in the one case exceeded the self-fertilised in height in the ratio of 100 to 83, and in the other case were almost equal. This difference between the two lots, raised at the same time from two plants growing in the same pot, and treated in every respect alike, as well as the extraordinary superiority of the self-fertilised over the crossed plants in the first generation, considered together. make me believe that some individuals of the present species differ to a certain extent from others in their sexual affinities (to use the term employed by Gärtner), like closely allied species of the same genus. Consequently if two plants which thus differ are crossed, the seedlings suffer and are beaten by those from the self-fertilised flowers, in which the sexual elements are of the same nature. It is known\* that with our domestic animals

<sup>\*</sup> I have given evidence on mestication, chap. xviii. 2nd edit. this head in my 'Variation of vol. ii. p. 146. Animals and Plants under Do-

certain individuals are sexually incompatible, and will not produce offspring, although fertile with other Individuals. But Kolzuster has recorded a case "which bears more closely on our present one, as it shows that in the gerum Nicotians the varieties differ in their sexual affinities. He experimented on five varieties of the common tobecos, and proved that they were varieties by showing that they were perfectly fertile when the eigenvalue record, but one of these varieties, if used either as eigenvalue record, but one of these varieties, fir used either as eigenvalued when the control of the control of the control when crossed with a widely distinct again the control of the when crossed with a widely distinct again the control of the home of the control of the control of the control of the when crossed with a widely distinct again the control of the home of the control of the control of the control of the home of the control of the control of the control of the home of the control of the control of the control of the home of the control of the control of the control of the home of the control of the control of the control of the home of the control of the control of the control of the home of the control of the control of the control of the home of the control of the control of the control of the home of the control of the control of the control of the home of the control of the control of the control of the home of the control of the control of the control of the home of the control of the control of the control of the home of the control of the control of the control of the home of the control of the control of the control of the home of the control of the control of the control of the control of the home of the control of the control of the control of the control of the home of the control of the control of the control of the control of the home of the control of the control of the control of the home of the control of the control of the control of the control of the home of the control of the control of

Taking the plants of the three generations altogether, the crossed show no superiority over the self-fertilised, and I can account for this fact only by supposing that with this species, which is perfectly self-fertile without insect aid, most of the individuals are in the same condition, as those of the same variety of the common pea and of a few other exotic plants, which have been self-fertilised for many generations. In such cases a cross between two individuals does no good; nor does it in any case, unless the individuals differ in general constitution, either from so-called spontaneous variation, or from their progenitors having been subjected to different conditions. I believe that this is the true explanation in the present instance, because, as we shall immediately see, the offspring of plants, which did not profit at all by being crossed with a plant of the same stock, profited to an extraordinary degree by a cross with a slightly different sub-variety.

The Effects of a Cross with a frank Stock.—I procured some sens of N tolocous from Kew and raised some plants, which formed a slightly different sub-variety from my former plants; as the flowers were a shade pinker, the leaves a little more pointed, and the plants not quite so tall. Therefore the advantage in height which the seedlings gained by this cross cannot be attributed to which the seedlings gained by this cross cannot be attributed to generation, growing in Fe the plants of the third self-fertilized generation, growing in Fe the plants of the third self-fertilized which exceeded in height their crossed opportunities. CNL Market parents in a still higher degree) were fertilized with poline from the Kew plants, that is, by a fresh stock. The seedlings

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Das Geschlecht der Pflanzen, Zweite Fortsetzung,' 1764, p. 55-60.

this raised may be called the Kew-crossel. Some other flowers on the same two plants were fertilized with their own polan, and the seedlings thus raised form the fourth self-fertilized generation. The crossel capsales produced by the plant in Pet II., Table LXXXVII, were plainly less fine than the selffertilized capsales on the same plant. In Pot V. the one finest capsale was also a self-fertilized cone; but the seeds produced by the two crossed expusits together exceeded in number those produced by the two self-fertilized capsales on the same plant. The plants of the plants of the produced plants are concepted, even with pollar forms as from the produced plants are considered to the plants of the plants of the plants of the pool; and I did not expect that the offspring would have reevided any headful, but in that I was completely mistaken.

The crossed and self-fertilised seeds from the two plants were placed on bare sand, and very many of the crossed seeds of both sets germinated before the self-fertilised seeds, and protruded their radicles at a quicker rate. Hence many of the crossed seeds had to be rejected, before pairs in an equal state of germination were obtained for planting on the opposite sides of sixteen large pots. The two series of seedlings raised from the parentplants in the two Pots II. and V. were kept separate, and when fully grown were measured to the tips of their highest leaves, as shown in the following double table. But as there was no uniform difference in height between the crossed and self-fertilised seedlings raised from the two plants, their heights have been added together in calculating the averages. I should state that by the accidental fall of a large bush in the greenhouse, several plants in both the series were much injured. These were at once measured together with their opponents and afterwards thrown away. The others were left to grow to their full height, and were measured when in flower. This accident accounts for the small height of some of the pairs; but as all the pairs, whether only partly or fully grown, were measured at the same time, the measurements are fair.

The average height of the twenty-six crossed plants in the six-ten pots of the twenty-six  $8 \times 10^{2}$  and that of the twenty-six  $8 \times 16^{2}$  full like  $1 \times 10^{2}$  g inches; or as  $100 \times 68$ . The superiority of the crossed plants as  $4 \times 67$  inches; or as  $100 \times 68$ . The superiority of the crossed plant was shown in another way, for in every one of the sixteen pots a crossed plant flowered before a self-fectified one, with the exception of Po VI. of the second series, in which the plants on the two sides flowered simultaneously.

## TABLE LXXXVIII.

Nicotiana tabacum,

Plants raised from two Plants of the Third Self-fertilised Generation in Pots II. and V., in Table LXXXVII.

From Po	t IL, Table L	XXXVII.	From Po	t V., Table Li	XXVIL
No. of Pot.	Kew-crossed Plants.	Plants of the Fourth Self- fertilised Gen- eration.	No. of Pot.	Kew-crossed Plants,	Plants of the Fourth Self- fertilised Gen eration.
L	Inches. 84 g 31	Inches. 68 4 5	L	Inches, 77 g 7 g	Inches. 56 5 }
II.	781 48	514 70	II.	55 # 18	27 g 7
III.	77 § 77 §	12 g 6 g	III.	763	608
IV.	49 g 15 g	29 § 32	IV.	90 å 22 å	11 g 4 l
v.	89 17	85 5 g	v.	941	281
VL	90	80	VI.	78	781
VIL	841 761	488 564	VII.	85 4	61 4
VIII.	83 4	84 ģ	VIII.	65 § 72 §	78 ii 27 ii
Total in inches.	902-63	636-13	Total in inches.	743 - 13	447.38

Some of the remaining seeds of both series, whether or not in a state of generalization, were thickly sown on the opposite sides of two very large pots; and the six highest plants on each side of each pot were measured after they had grown to nearly their full height. But their heights were much less than in the former trials, owing to their extremely crowded condition. Even whilst quite young, the crossed seedlings manifestly had much broader and fine leaves than the self-fertilized seedling.

# Table LXXXIX. Nicotiana tabacum.

nana tabacum

Plants of the same parentage as those in Table LXXXVIII., but grown extremely crowded in two large pots.

Kew-crossed Plants.	Plants of the Fourth Self-ferti- lised Generation,	Kew-crossed Plants,	Plants of the Fourth Self-ferti- lised Generation
Inches, 424	Inches, 224	Inches,	Inches, 22 i
34	191	494	21
301		27 8	18
23 i	14   16	31 8	153
269	131	32	13 9
18	16	241	149
175.63	101.50	202.75	105-13

The twelve ballest crossed plants in the two pots belonging to the two series were piece 11:50, and the twelve ballest self-fertilised plants 17:21 inches in height; or as 100 to 56. The plants on both allow, when fully grown, some time after they had been measured, were cut down close to the ground and weighted. The twelver crossed plants weighted 12:50 cances; or in weight as 100 to 87.

The rest of the crossed and self-fertilided seeds from the two

The rest of the crossed and self-fertilized seeds from the two penut-plants (the same as in the last experiment) was sown on the lat of July in four long parallel and separate rows in good soil in the open ground; so that the scollings were not subjected to any mintal competition. The summer was well and unfavourable crossed rows had a clear advantage over the two sufferdilled rows. When full grown the twenty talled crossed plants and the twenty tallest esid-fertilized juliants were selected and mis-

plants, one alone had flowered.

sured on the 11th of November to the extremities of their leaves, as shown in the following table (XC.). Of the twenty crossed plants, twelve had flowered; whilst of the twenty self-fertilised

TABLE XC.

Plants raised from the same seeds as in the last two experiments, but sown separately in the open ground, so as not to compete together.

From Pot II.,	Table LXXXVII.	From Pot V., Table LXXXVII.		
Kew-crossed Plants.	Plants of the Fourth Self-ferti- lised Generation,	Kew-crossed Pants,	Plants of the Fourth Self-fert lised Generation	
Inches.	Inches.	Inches.	Inches.	
422	226	544	341	
54 g	37 2	51 2	38	
39	341	45	409	
531	30	43	431	
49	281	43	40	
50}	311	489	381	
47	251	44	351	
57	261	483	399	
37	223	55	479	
48	28	63	58	
478.75	286 - 86	496 • 13	417-25	

The twenty tallest crossed plants here average 48: 74, and the twenty tallest self-efetilised 35: 2 inches in height; or as 100 to 72. These plants after being measured were cut down close to the ground, and the twenty crossed plants weighed 195: 75 ounces; or counces, and the twenty self-effilled plants 123: 25 ounces; or as 100 to 63.

In the three preceding tables, LXXXVIII., LXXXIX., and

In the three preceding tables, LXXXVIII,, LXXXIX, and
XC, we have the measurements of fifty-six plants derived from
two plants of the third self-fertilized generation crossed with
poles from a fresh atots, and of fifty-six plants of the fourth selffertilized generation derived from the same two plants. These
crossed and self-fertilized plants were treated in three different
ways, having been pat, firstly, into molerately close competition
uniformunials conditions and to very sortion geometrical to
uniformunials conditions and to very sortion geometrical
being greatly crowded in two large pots; and thirdly, having
being sown espeatsly in open and good ground, so a not to
suffer from any mutual competition. In all these cases the
crossed plants in each to were greatly suspers to the self-

fertilised. This was shown in several ways,—by the earlier germination of the crossel seeds, by the more rapid growth of the seedlings whilst quite young, by the carrier flowering of the mature plants, as well as by the greater height which they ultimately attained. The superiority of the crossed plants was shown still more plainly when the two lots were weighed; the weight of the crossed plants to that of the self-fertilised in the two crowded pobs being as 100 of 3T. Better evidence could hardly be desired of the immense advantage derived from a cross with a fresh stock.

## XXVI. PRIMULACEÆ.—Cyclamen persicum.\*

Ten flowers crossed with pollen from plants known to be distinct seculings, yielded nine capsules, containing on an average 3t-2 seeds, with a maximum of seventy-seven in one. Ten flowers self-fertilised yielded cight capsules, containing on an average only 13 1 seeds, with a maximum of twenty-five in one. This gives a ratio of 100 to 38 for the average number of seeds per capsule for the crossed and self-fertilised flowers. The the arthers, it might have been expected that pollen would, have fallen on them, and that they would have been spontaneously self-fertilised; but these covered-up plants did not produce a single capsule. On some other occasions uncovered plants in the same greenhouse produced plant of the same greenhouse produced plant of the same greenhouse produced plant of the same greenhouse produced plant is find the same greenhouse produced plant of the same greenhouse produced plant is did to extra pollen from plant to plant.

The scole obtained in the manner just described were placed on sand, and after germinating very planted in pairs,—three crossed and three self-fertilistic plants on the opposite sides of four pois. When the leaves were 2 or 3 inches in length, including the foot-stalls, the seedlings on both sides were sepal. In the course of a month or two the crossed plants begun to show a slight superiority over the self-fertilised, which were the self-fertilised. The self-fertilised is the self-fertilised of the self-fertilised of the self-fertilised. The two tallest flower-stems on the crossed plants in each potweaks before, and much more profusely than the self-fertilised. The two tallest flower-stems on the crossed plants in each potwer now measured, and the average height of the eight atoms

<sup>\*</sup> Cyclamen repandum, according to Lecoq ('Geographie Botanipue de Feurope,' ton. viii. 1858, persicum.

was 9 '40' inches. After a considerable interval of time the subfectificial polarine flowered, and several of their flower-stems (but I forgot to record how many) were roughly measured, and fact reverage beingly twas a little under '70 inches; so that the start reverage beingly twas in this under '70 inches; so that the were at least as 100 to 72. The reason why I, did not make were at least as 100 to 72. The reason why I, did not make more careful measurements of the self-ortified plants was, that they looked such poor specimens that I determined to have them reported in larger per dat and in the following year to measure reported in larger per dat and in the following year to measure period in larger per data and the following year to measure a possible of the such that the period of the period of the larger period in the period of the period of the period of the larger period of the period of the

trelve crossed plants produced forty capsules, which the twelve self-fertilized plants produced only five; or as 200 to 12. But this difference does not give a just idea on a 200 to 12. But this difference does not give a just idea of the relative capsules on the crossed plants, and it contained accountly there; and the contained on the crossed plants, and it contained accountly there; for the contained only the contained only the contained contained only the contained contained only the contained con

Table XCI.

Cyclamen persicum: 0 implies that no flower-stem was produced.

No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants,
I.	Inches, 10 92 102	Inches. 0 0 0
II.	92 10 102	0 0 0
III.	9-8 9-9 9-9 9-9	8 61 61
IV.	11 ¼ 10 g 10 g	77 7 8 0
Total in inches.	119.88	29.50

In the following year the crossed plants again bore many flowers before the self-fertilised bore a single one. The three talliest flower-stems on the crossed plants in each of the pots were measured, as shown in Table XCI. In Pots I, and III, the self-fertilised plants did not produce a single flower-stem; in Pot IV, only one; and in Pot III, six, of which the three tallest were measured.

The average height of the twelve flower-stems on the crossed plants is 9-99, and that of the four flower-stems on the selffertilised plants 7-87 inches; or as 100 to 74. The self-fertilised plants were miserable specimens, whilst the crossed ones looked very vigorous.

## Anagallis.

### Anagallis collina, var. grandiflora (pale red and blue-flowered sub-varieties).

Firstly, twenty-five flowers on some plants of the red variety were crossed with pollen from a distinct plant of the same variety, and produced ten capsules; thirty-one flowers were restricted with their own pollen, and produced eighten capsules. These plants, which were grown in pots in the greenhouse, were sets of capsules, especially in the self-fertilled, although numerous, were of so poor a quality that it was very difficult to determine which were good and which bad. But as far as I could judge, the crossed capsules contained on an average 6-3 good seed, with a maximum in one of thirteen; whilst the one of four-ten.

Secondly, eleven flowers out her red variety were castrated one of four-ten.

secondary, eleven flowers on the red variety were castrated while young and fertilized with pollen from the blue variety, while young and fertilized with pollen from the blue variety, eleven flowers yielded seven capanies, their fertility; for the cleven flowers yielded seven capanies, their fertility; for the maximum in two of the capanies as before, viz. 12-71; with a maximum in two of the capanies of seventeen seeds. Therefore these crossed capanies yielded seeds compared with those in the foregoing self-fertilized capanies, as 100 to 48. These seeds were also complexed yield parger than those from the cross between two dates of the capanies and complexed plarger than those from the cross between the vocabular part of the contract of the capanies of the

took after their mother, and were red-coloured. But on two of the plants the flowers were plainly stained with blue, and to such a degree in one case as to be almost intermediate in tint.

The crossed seeds of the two foregoing kinds and the self-fertilised were sown on the opposite sides of two large pots, and the seedlings were measured when fully grown, as shown in the two following tables:—

TABLE XCII.

Red Variety cross and	ed by a distinct Plant Rod Variety Self-fert	of the Red Variety, lised.	
No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plants	
I.	Inches. 23 § 21 17 §	Inches, 15 g 15 g 14	
Total in inches.	61.75	45.00	
	ssed by Blue Variety, Self-fertilised.		
Red Variety cro	Crossed Plants,	and Red Variety Self-fertilised Plants.	
	Self-fertilised.		
No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants,  Inches, 30 4 27 2	Self-fertilised Plants.  Inches. 24   18	

As the plants of the two lots are few in number, they may be nu together for the general average; but I may first state that the height of the seedlings from the cross between two individuals of the red variety is to that of the self-fettilized plants of the red of the red variety is to that of the self-fettilized plants of the red from the two varieties to the self-fettile of the reason of spiring from the two varieties to the self-fettile of the variety is variety is as 100 to 66. So that the cross between the two varieties is here seen to be the most advantageous. The average weight of all six crossed plants in the two lost taken together is 48.20, and that of the six self-fertilised plants 33.25; or as 100

These six crossed plants produced spontaneously twenty-six capsules, whilst the six self-fertilised plants produced only two, or as 100 to 8. There is therefore the same extraordinary difference in fertility between the crossed and self-fertilised plants as in the last genus, Cyclamen, which belongs to the same family of the Primulacere.

## PRIMITA VERIS. Brit Flora. (Var. officinalis, Linn.). The Cowslip.

Most of the species in this genus are heterostyled or dimorphic; that is, they present two forms, - one long-styled with short stamens, and the other short-styled with long stamens.\* For complete fertilisation it is necessary that pollen from the one form should be applied to the stigma of the other form; and this is effected under nature by insects. Such unions, and the seedlings raised from them, I have called legitimate. If one form is fertilised with pollen from the same form, the full complement of seed is not broduced; and in the case of some heterostyled genera no seed at all is produced. Such unions, and the seedlings raised from them. I have called illegitimate. These seedlings are often dwarfed and more or less sterile, like hybrids. I possessed some long-styled plants of P. veris, which during four successive generations had been produced from illegitimate unions between long-styled plants; they were, moreover, in some degree inter-related, and had been subjected all the time to similar conditions in pots in the greenhouse. As long as they were cultivated in this manner, they grew well and were healthy and fortile. Their fertility even increased in the later generations. as if they were becoming habituated to illegitimate fertilisation. Plants of the first illegitimate generation when taken from the greenhouse and planted in moderately good soil out of doors grew well and were healthy; but when those of the two lest illegitimate generations were thus treated they became excessively sterile and dwarfed, and remained so during the following

\* See my work, 'The Different papers in 'Journal of Proc. Linn. Forms of Flowers on Plants of Soc.' vol. vi. 1862, p. 77, and vol. the same Species,' 1877, or my x. 1867, p. 393.

year, by which time they ought to have become accustomed to growing out of doors, so that they must have possessed a weak constitution.

Under these circumstances, it seemed advisable to ascertain what would be the effect of eightimately crossing long-styled plants of the fourth illegitimate generation with pollen takes from non-related short-styled plants, growing under different conditions. Accordingly several flowers on plants of the fourth libegitimate generation (i.e., great-great-grand-dulferent of plants which had been legitimately fertilized), growing vigorously in post which had been legitimately fertilized), growing vigorously in post which had been legitimately fertilized), growing vigorously in post which had been legitimately fertilized, growing the plants of the property of the property of the plants of the property of the plants of the property of the plants of the same form.

These two lots of seeds were sown (for they will not germinate well when placed on bare sand) on the opposite sides of four pots, and the seedlings were thinned, so that an equal number were left on the two sides. For some time there was no marked difference in height between the two lots; and in Pot III., Table XCIII., the self-fertilised plants were rather the tallest. But by the time that they had thrown up young flower-stems, the legitimately crossed plants appeared much the finest, and had greener and larger leaves. The breadth of the largest leaf on each plant was measured, and those on the crossed plants were on an average a quarter of an inch (exactly '28 of an inch) broader than those on the self-fertilised plants. The plants, from being too much crowded, produced poor and short flowerstems. The two finest on each side were measured; the eight on the legitimately crossed plants averaged 4.08, and the eight on the illegitimately self-fertilised plants averaged 2.93 inches in height; or as 100 to 72

There plants after they had flowered were turned out of their pots, and planted in fairly good soil in the open ground. In the following year (1870), when in full flower, the two tallest flower-stems on each side were again measured, as shown in the following table, which likewise gives the number of flower-stems produced on both sides of all the pots.

Table XCIII,
Primula veris.

	Legitimately	crossed Plants	Illegitimately self-fertilised Plants,		
No. of Pot.	Height in inches.	No. of Flower- stems pro- duced.	Height in inches.	No. of Flower stems pro- duced,	
I.	9 8	16	218	3	
II.	7 6 §	16	6 51	3	
III.	6 6 8	16	3 04	4	
IV.	7 % 6 å	14	2 mg - 2	5	
Total.	56.26	62	25.75	. 15	

The average height of the eight tallest flower-steem on the mosed plants is here? Gel inches, and that of the eight tallest flower-steems on the self-efteillied plants 3°21 inches; or as 100 to 4.6. We so, also, that the crossed plants bere sixty-two flower-stems; that is, above four times as many as those (viz., fifteen) borne by the self-eftillied plants. The flowers were left exposed to the visits of insects, and as many plants of this flower power of the control of the control of the control and naturally fertillied. Under these circumstances the crossed plants produced 262 expulse, while the self-eftillied perclased only 16; and these were all produced by a single preduced only 16; and these were all produced by a single fertillied plant. "Indiging by the number than any other selffertillied plant." Indiging by the number of crossed and self-eftillied plant. Single plants are self-efficient plants was also to be self-efficient plants was as 100 to 5.

In the succeeding year (1871) I did not count all the flowerstems on these plants, but only those which produced capsules containing good seeds. The season was unfavourable, and the crossed plants produced only forty such flower-stems, bearing

168 good capsules, whilst the self-fertilised plants produced only two such flower-stems, bearing only 6 capsules, half of which were very poor ones. So that the fertility of the two lots, judging by the number of capsules, was as 100 to 3.5,

In considering the great difference in height and the wonderful difference in fertility between the two sets of plants, we should bear in mind that this is the result of two distinct agencies. The self-fertilised plants were the product of illegitimate fertilisation during five successive generations, in all of which, excepting the last, the plants had been fertilised with pollen taken from a distinct individual belonging to the same form, but which was more or less closely related. The plants had also been subjected in each generation to closely similar conditions. This treatment alone, as I know from other observations, would have greatly reduced the size and fertility of the offspring. On the other hand, the crossed plants were the offspring of long-styled plants of the fourth illegitimate generation legitimately crossed with pollen from a short-styled plant, which, as well as its progenitors, had been exposed to very different conditions; and this latter circumstance alone would have given great vigour to the offspring, as we may infer from the several analogous cases already given. How much proportional weight ought to be attributed to these two agencies,-the one tending to injure the self-fertilised offspring, and the other to benefit the crossed offspring,—cannot be determined. But we shall immediately see that the greater part of the benefit, as far as increased fertility is concerned, must be attributed to the cross having been made with a fresh stock.

## PRIMULA VERIS.

Equal-styled and red-flowered var.

I have described in my paper 'On the Illegitimate Unions of Dimorphic and Trimorphic Plants' this remarkable variety, which was sent to me from Edinburgh by Mr. J. Scott. It possessed a pistil proper to the long-styled form, and stamens proper to the short-styled form; so that it had lost the heterostyled or dimorphic character common to most of the species of the genus, and may be compared with an hermaphrodite form of a bisexual animal. Consequently the pollen and stigma of the same flower are adapted for complete mutual fertilisation, instead of its being necessary that pollen should be brought from one

form to another, as in the common covalip. From the stigms and authers standing nearly on the same level, the flowers are perfectly self-ertile when insects are excluded. Owing to the fortunate existence of this variety, it is possible to fertilize its flowers in a legitimate manner with their own pollen, and to cross other flowers in a legitimate namner with pollen and the variety or fresh stock. Thus the offspring from both unions can be compared quite fairly, free from any doubt from the injurious effects of an illegitimate union.

The hastant on which I experimented but been raised during

The plants on which I experimented had been raised during two successive generations from spontaneously self-efficition for the contract of the properties of the properties of the highly self-fortile, its progenitors in Edinburgh may have been self-efficied may be a self-efficient on two of my plants were legitimately crossed of with pollen from on two of my plants were legitimately crossed with pollen from a short-rivide common cowaling proving almost wild in my orelard; so that the cross was between plants which had been adjected to considerably different conditions. Several other adjected to considerably different conditions, and the same hard the same two paints were allowed to fertilize themsalves until the same two paints were allowed to fertilize themsalves until the same two paints were allowed to fertilize themsalves until the same two paints were allowed to fertilize themsalves until the same two paints were allowed to fertilize themsalves until the same two paints were allowed to fertilize themsalves until the same two paints were allowed to fertilize themsalves until the same two paints were allowed to fertilize themsalves until the same two paints were allowed to fertilize themsalves until the same two paints were allowed to fertilize themsalves until the same two paints were allowed to fertilize themsalves until the same two paints are all the same two paints are all paints and the same two paints are all the same two paints a

The crossed and self-fertilised seeds thus obtained were sown thickly on the opposite sides of three pots, and the seedlings thinned, so that an equal number were left on the two sides. The seedlings during the first year were nearly equal in height, excepting in Pot III., Table XCIV., in which the selffertilised plants had a decided advantage. In the autumn the plants were bedded out, in their pots; owing to this circumstance, and to many plants growing in each pot, they did not flourish, and none were very productive in seeds. But the conditions were perfectly equal and fair for both sides. In the following spring I record in my notes that in two of the pots the crossed plants are "incomparably the finest in general appearance," and in all three pots they flowered before the selffertilised. When in full flower the tallest flower-stem on each side of each pot was measured, and the number of the flowerstems on both sides counted, as shown in the following table. The plants were left uncovered, and as other plants were growing close by, the flowers no doubt were crossed by insects. When the capsules were ripe they were gathered and counted, and

the result is likewise shown in the following table:-

Table XCIV.

Primula veris (equal-styled, red-flowered variety),

	Cn	ssed Plant		Self-fertilised Plants.			
No. of Pot.	Height of tallest Flower-stem in inches.	No. of Flower- stems.	No. of good Capsules.	Height of tallest Flower-stem in inches.	No. of Flower- stems.	No, of good Capsules.	
I.	10	14	163	61	6	6	
II.	81	12	Several, not counted.	5	2	0	
III.	71	7	43	104	5	26	
Totals.	26.0	33	206	22.0	13	32	

The average height of the three tallest flower-stems on the crossed plants is 8.66 inches, and that of the three on the self-fertilised plants 7.83 inches; or as 100 to 85.

All the crossed plants together produced thirty-three flower-stems, whilst the self-fertilised bore only thirteen. The number of the capsules was counted only on the plants in Pots L and III., for the self-fertilised plants in Pot II. produced none: therefore those on the crossed plants on the opposite side were not counted. Capsules not containing any good seeds were rejected. The crossed plants in the above two pots produced 206, and the self-fertilised in the same pots only 32 capsules; or as 100 to 15. Judging from the previous generations, the extreme unproductiveness of the self-fertilised plants in this experiment was wholly due to their having been subjected to unfavourable conditions, and to severe competition with the crossed plants; for had they grown separately in good soil, it is almost certain that they would have produced a large number of capsules. The seeds were counted in twenty capsules from the crossed plants, and they averaged 24.75; whilst in twenty capsules from the self-fertilised plants the average was 17.65; or as 100 to 71. Moreover, the seeds from the self-fertilised plants were not nearly so fine as those from the crossed plants. If we consider together the number of capsules produced and the average number of contained seeds, the fertility of the crossed plants to the self-fertilised plants was as 100 to 11.

We thus see what a great effect, as far as fertility is concerned, was produced by a cross between the two varieties, which had been long exposed to different conditions, in comparison with self-fertilisation; the fertilisation having been in both cases of the legitimate order.

#### PRIMULA SINENSIS.

As the Chinese primrose is a heterostyled or dimorphic plant, like the common cowslip, it might have been expected that the flowers of both forms when illegitimately fertilised with their own pollen or with that from flowers on another plant of the same form, would have yielded less seed than the legitimately crossed flowers; and that the seedlings raised from illegiti-mately self-fertilised seeds would have been somewhat dwarfed and less fertile, in comparison with the seedlings from legitimately crossed seeds. This holds good in relation to the fertility of the flowers; but to my surprise there was no difference in growth between the offspring from a legitimate union between two distinct plants, and from an illegitimate union whether between the flowers on the same plant, or between distinct plants of the same form. But I have shown, in the work lately referred to, that in England this plant is in an abnormal condition. such as, judging from analogous cases, would tend to render a cross between two individuals of no benefit to the offspring. Our plants have been commonly raised from self-fertilised seeds: and the seedlings have generally been subjected to nearly uniform conditions in pots in greenhouses. Moreover, many of the plants are now varying and changing their character, so as to become in a greater or less degree equal-styled, and in con-sequence highly self-fertile. From the analogy of P. veris there can hardly be a doubt that if a plant of P. sinensis could have been procured direct from China, and if it had been crossed with one of our English varieties, the offspring would have shown wonderful superiority in height and fertility (though probably not in the beauty of their flowers) over our ordinary plants.

My first experiment consisted in fertilising many flowers on long-styled and short-styled plants with their own pollen, and other flowers on the same plants with pollen taken from distinct plants belonging to the same form; so that all the unions were ellegitimate. There was no uniform and marked difference in the number of seeds obtained from these two modes of self-feer initiation, both of which were flielgitunts. The two loss of seeds from both forms were sown thickly on opposite sides of four pots, and numerous plants thus riside. But there was no difference in their growth, excepting in one pot, in which the offipring from the illegituation union of two long-styled plants exceeded in a decided manner in height the offigring of flowers on the same plants fertilized with their own pollen. But in all four some plants fertilized with their own pollen. But in all four to the same form, flowered before the offigring from the selffertilled flowers.

Some long-styled and short-styled plants were now raised from purchased sceled, and flowers on both forms were legitimately crossed with pollen from a distinct plant; and other flowers on both forms were lilegitimately fertilized with pollen from the flowers on the same plant. The seeds were sown on opposite addis of the property of the property of the same plant. The seeds were sown on opposite addis a property of the propert

In six out of the eight plots the significantly excessed plants coxecoled in height by a triffe the lleightimately self-ferfillized plants; but the latter exceeded the former in two of the pois in a more strongly marked manner. The average height of the eight lleightimately excessed plants is 9 °O, and that of the eight lleightimately excessed plants is 9 °O, and that of the eight lleightimately excessed plants is 9 °O, and that of the eight lleightimately excessed plants is 9 °O, and that of the eight lleightimately excessed plants in 9 °O, and that of the eight lleightimately excessed plants in 9 °O, and that of the eight lleight plants of the eight likely with the eight likely and the eight likely and eight likely the eight likely ei

Table XCV.

Primula sinensis,

No. of Pot.	Plants from legiti- mately crossed Seeds.	Plants from illegiti- mately self-fertilised Seeds.
I. From short-styled mother.	Inches. 8 g	Inches.
II. From short-styled mother.	71	88
III. From long-styled mother.	9 8	93
IV. From long-styled mother.	- 81	81
V. From illegitimate short-styled mother.	01	9
VI. From illegitimate short-styled mother.	9.1	91
VII. From illegitimate long-styled mother.	81	91
VIII. From illegitimate long-styled mother.	10 8	10
Total in inches.	72-13	72.25

self-fertilised plants in all the pots except in Pot II., in which the two sides flowered simultaneously; and this early flowering may, perhaps, be considered as an advantage.

### XXVII. POLYGONEÆ.-FAGOFYBUM ESCULENTUM.

This plant was discovered by Hildebrand to be heterostyled that is, to present, like the species of Frimius, a long-styled and abort-styled form, which are adapted for reciprocal fertilisation. Therefore the following comparison of the growth of the crossed and self-fertilised seedlings is not fair, for we do not know whether the difference self-fertilised for one self-fertilised forevers.

I obtained seeds by legitimately crossing flowers on long-styled and short-styled plants, and by fertilising other flowers on both forms with pollen from the same plant. Rather more seeds were obtained by the former than by the latter process; and the legitimately crossed seeds were heavier than an equal number of the illegitimately self-fertilised seeds, in the ratio of 100 to 82. Crossed and self-fertilised seeds from the short-styled parents. after germinating on sand, were planted in pairs on the opposite sides of a large pot; and two similar lots of seeds from longstyled parents were planted in a like manner on the opposite sides of two other pots. In all three pots the legitimately crossed seedlings, when a few inches in height, were taller than the selffertilised; and in all three pots they flowered before them by one or two days. When fully grown they were all cut down close to the ground, and as I was pressed for time, they were placed in a long row, the cut end of one plant touching the tip of another, and the total length of the legitimately crossed plants was 47 ft. 7 in., and of the illegitimately self-fertilised plants 32 ft. 8 in. Therefore the average height of the fifteen crossed plants in all three pots was 38.06 inches, and that of the fifteen self-fertilised plants 26.13 inches; or as 100 to 69.

## XXVIII. CHENOPODIACEÆ.—BETA VULGARIS.

A single plant, no others growing in the same garden, we let to fertilise itself, and the self-fertilized scots were collected from a plant growing in the midst of, large bod in another garden; and as the incoherent pollen; is abundant, the seeds of this plant will almost certainly have been the product of a cross between distinct plant by peans of the wind. Some of the two lots of seeds were sown on the opposite sides of two very large pots; and the young seedlings were thinned, so that an equal but considerable number was left on the two sides. These plants were thus subjected to very severe

competition, as well as to poor conditions. The remaining seeds were sown out of doors in good soil in two long and not closely adjoining rows, so that these seedlings were placed under favourable conditions, and were not subjected to any mutual competition. The self-fertilised seeds in the open ground came up very badly; and no removing the soil in two or three places, it was found that many had sproated under ground and had then died. No such case had been observed before. Owing to the large number of seedlings which thus perished, the surviving self-fertilited plants grew thinly in the zow, and thus land an advantage over the crossed plants, which grew very thickly to the control of a subject to the control of the control of a subject to the control of the c

the ensuing spring, when they had grown a little, and then some of the crossed plants were finer and taller than any of the selffertillised. When in full flower their stems were measured, and the measurements are given in the following table:—

Table XCVI.

Beta vulgaris.

No. of Pot.	f Crossed Plants.	Self-fertilised Plan
	Inches.	Inches.
I.	341	36
	30	201
	331	32
	341	32
II.	421	421
	331	26 8
	311	
	33	201
Total in inches.	272-75	238 - 50

The average height of the eight crossed plants is here 34 09, and that of the eight self-fertilised plants 29 81; or as 100 to 87.

With respect to the plants in the open ground, each long row was divided into half, so as to diminish the chance of any accidental advantage in one part of either row; and the four tallest plants in the two halves of the two rows were carefully solveted and measured. The eight tallest crossed plants averaged 30–92, and the eight tallest self-critised 30° rinches in height, or as 100 to 90; so that they were practically equal. But we should bear in mind that the trial was not quite fair, as the about leave in mind that the trial was not quite fair, as the constraint of the contract of the crossed that the contract of the crossed which and perialed under ground after agrounders of seeds which had perialed under ground after approximation. Nor were the lots in the two rows subjected to any mutual competition.

## XXIX. CANNACEÆ.—CANNA WARSCEWICZI.

In most or all the species belonging to this genus, the pollen is shed before the flower expands, and adheres in a mass to the foliaceous pistil close beneath the stigmatic surface. As the edge of this mass generally touches the edge of the stigma, and as it was ascertained by trials purposely made that a very few pollen-grains suffice for fertilisation, the present species and probably all the others of the genus are highly self-fertile. Exceptions occasionally occur in which, from the stamen being slightly shorter than usual, the pollen is deposited a little beneath the stigmatic surface, and such flowers drop off unimpregnated unless they are artificially fertilised. Sometimes, though rarely, the stamen is a little longer than usual, and then the whole stigmatic surface gets thickly covered with pollen. As some pollen is generally deposited in contact with the edge of the stigma, certain authors have concluded that the flowers are invariably self-fertilised. This is an extraordinary conclusion, for it implies that a great amount of pollen is produced for no purpose. On this view, also, the large size of the stigmatic surface is an unintelligible feature in the structure of the flower. as well as the relative position of all the parts, which is such that when insects visit the flowers to suck the copious nectar, they cannot fail to carry pollen from one flower to another.\*

\* Delpino has described (' Bot. Zeitung, 1857, p. 277, and 'Scientific Opinion,' 1870, p. 135) the structure of the flowers in this genus, but he was mistaken in thinking that self-fertilisation is impossible, at least in the case of the present species. Dr. Dickie and Prof. Faivre state that the flowers are fertilised in the bud, and that self-fertilisation is inevitable. I presume that they were misled by the pollen being deposited at a very early period on the pistil; see 'Journal of Linn. Soc. Bot.' vol. x. p. 55, and 'Variabilité des Espèces,' 1868, p. 158.

According to Delpino, bees eagerly visit the flowers in North Italy, but I have never seen any insect visiting the flowers of the present species in my hothouse, although many plants grew there during several years. Nevertheless these plants produced plenty of seed, as they likewise did when covered by a net: they are therefore fully capable of self-fertilisation, and have probably been self-fertilised in this country for many generations. As they are cultivated in pots, and are not exposed to competition with surrounding plants, they have also been subjected for a considerable time to somewhat uniform conditions. This, therefore, is a case exactly parallel with that of the common pea, in which we have no right to expect much or any good from intercrossing plants thus descended and thus treated; and no good did follow, excepting that the cross-fertilised flowers yielded rather more seeds than the self-fertilised. This species was one of the earlier ones on which I experimented, and as I had not then raised any self-fertilised plants for several successive generations under uniform conditions, I did not know or even suspect that such treatment would interfere with the advantages to be gained from a cross. I was therefore much surprised at the crossed plants not growing more vigorously than the selffertilised, and a large number of plants were raised, notwithstanding that the present species is an extremely troublesome one to experiment on. The seeds, even those which have been long soaked in water, will not germinate well on bare sand; and those that were sown in pots (which plan I was forced to follow) germinated at very unequal intervals of time; so that it was difficult to get pairs of the same exact age, and many seedlings had to be pulled up and thrown away. My experiments were continued during three successive generations; and in each generation the self-fertilised plants were again self-fertilised, their early progenitors in this country having probably been selffertilised for many previous generations. In each generation, also, the crossed plants were fertilised with pollen from another crossed plant.

Of the flowers which were crossed in the three generations, taken together, a rather larger proportion yielded capsules than did those which were self-ferfillised. The seeds were counted in forty-seven capsules from the crossed flowers, and they contained on an average 9-95 seeds; whereas forty-cight capsules from the self-ferfillised flowers contained on an average 8-45 seeds; or as 100 to 85. The secols from the crossed flowers were not heavier, on the contrary a little lighter, than those from the self-fertilised flowers, as was thrice ascertained. On one occasion I weighed 200 of the crossed and 106 of the self-fertilised seeds, and the relative weight of an equal number was as 100 for the crossed to 101.5 for the self-fertilised. With other plants, when the seeds from the self-fertilised flowers were heavier than those from the crossed flowers, this appeared to be due generally to fewer having been produced by the self-fertilised flowers, and to their having been in consequence better nourished. But in the present instance the seeds from the crossed capsules were separated into two lots,—namely, those from the capsules containing over fourteen seeds, and those from capsules containing under fourteen seeds, and the seeds from the more productive capsules were the heavier of the two; so that the above explanation here fails. As pollen is deposited at a very early age on the pistil, gene-

rally in contact with the sligna, some flowers whilst still in bud were centrated for my first experiment, and were afterwards fartilised with pollen from a distinct plant. Other flowers were fertilised with their own pollen. From the seeds thus obtained, I succeeded in variety only three pairs of plants of equal age. The three crossed plants averaged 22.79 findes, and age. The three crossed plants averaged 22.79 findes, and were nearly equal, the crossed having a slight advantage. As the same result followed in all three generations, it would be superfluous to give the heights of all the plants, and I will give only the average.

In order to raise crossed and self-fertilled plants of the second generation, some flowers on the above crossed plants were crossed within twenty-four hours after they had expanded with polled from a distinct plant; and this interval would probably not be too form the self-fertilled plants of the last generation were also self-fertilled. From these two lots of seeds, ten crossed and twelve self-fertillied plants of equal ages were raised; and these were measured when fligt grown. The crossed averaged 35° 98, and the self-fertillied varying of 3° 42 inches in height; to that hese again the two lots were marky equal; but the self-fertillied land

a slight advantage.

In order to raise plants of the third generation, a better plan
was followed, and flowers on the crossed plants of the second
generation were selected in which the stamens were too short to

reach the stigmas, so that they could not possibly have been self-fertilised. These flowers were crossed with pollen from a distinct plant. Flowers on the self-fertilised plants of the second generation were again self-fertilised. From the two lots of seeds thus obtained, twenty-one crossed and nineteen self-fertilised plants of equal age, and forming the third generation, were raised in fourteen large pots. They were measured when fully grown, and by an odd chance the average height of the two lots was exactly the same, namely, 35.96 inches; so that neither side had the least advantage over the other. To test this result, all the plants on both sides in ten out of the above fourteen pots were cut down after they had flowered, and in the ensuing year the stems were again measured; and now the crossed plants exceeded by a little (viz., 17 inches) the self-fertilised. They were again cut down, and on their flowering for the third time, the self-fertilised plants had a slight advantage (viz., 1.54 inches) over the crossed. Hence the result arrived at with these plants during the previous trials was confirmed, namely, that neither lot had any decided advantage over the other. It may, however, be worth mentioning that the self-fertilised plants showed some tendency to flower before the crossed plants: this occurred with all three pairs of the first generation; and with the cut down plants of the third generation, a self-fertilised plant flowered first in nine out of the twelve pots, whilst in the remaining three pots a crossed plant flowered first,

If we consider all the plants of the three generations taken together, the thirty-four crossed plants average 35° 188, and the thirty-four self-fertilised plants 36°.39 inches in height; or as 100 to 101. We may therefore conclude that the two lots possessed equal powers of growth; and this I believe to be the result of long-continued self-fertilistion, together with exposure to similar conditions in each generation, so that all the indiviciants had acquired a closely similar conditions.

#### XXX. GRAMINACEÆ,-ZEA MAYS.

This plant is monoecious, and was selected for trial on this account, no other such plant having been experimented on.\* It is

\* Hildebrand remarks that this species seems at first sight female flowers; but practically it adapted to be fertilised by pollen from the same plant, owing to the

also amosphilous, or is fertilised by the wind; and of such plants only the common best had been tried. Some plants were raised in the greenhouse, and were crossed with pollen taken from a distinct plant; and a single plant, growing quite separately in a distinct plant; and a single plant, growing quite separately in a tancously. The seeds thus obtained were placed on damp and, as they genuinated in pairs of equal age were planted on the opposite sides of four very large pots; nevertheless they were considerably cowded. The plants were first measured to the tips of their leaves when the contract of the plants were first measured to the tips of their leaves when the fact of the plants were first measured to the tips of their leaves when the fact of the plants were first measured to the tips of their leaves when the fact of the plants were first measured to the tips of their leaves when

TABLE XCVII.

No. of Pet.	Crossed Plants,	Self-fertilised Plants.
I.	Inches.  23 ‡ 12 21	Inches, 17 g 20 g 20
II.	22 19 <u>1</u> 21 <u>1</u>	20 18 1 18 2
III.	22 1 20 1 18 2 21 2 23 2	18 ½ 15 ½ 16 ½ 18 16 ½
IV.	21 221 23 12	18 129 15 8 18
Total in inches.	302.88	263-63

The fifteen crossed plants here average 20·19, and the fifteen self-fertilised plants 17·57 inches in height; or as 100 to 87. Mr. Galton made a graphical representation, in accordance with the method described in the introductory chapter, of the above

male flowers usually shed their K. Akad.' Berlin, Oct. 1872, pollen before the female flowers p. 743. are mature; 'Monatsbericht der

measurements; and adds the words "very good" to the curves thus formed.

Shortly afterwards one of the crossed plants in Fel I. died; another became much diseased and stunted; and the third never grew to its full height. They seemed to have been all injured, probably by some larva gnawing their roots. Therefore all the plants on both sides of this pot were rejected in the subsequent measurements. When the plants were fully grown they were again measured to the tips of the highest leaves, and the eleven crossed plants now averaged. 68:1, and the eleven self-fettilized plants 02:93 inches in height; or as 100 to 91. In all four jots a crossed plant flowered before any one of the self-fer-fullised; just three of the plants did not flower at all. Those that the or crossed plant flowers of 50, and the new self-fertilized plants 61:90 inches in height; or as 100 to 92. A larran number of the same crossed and self-fertilized scele

A large interior of vite stath crosson via an experiment scale
were nown in the middle of the summer in the open ground in
two long rows. Very much fewer of the self-fertilised than of
two long rows. Very much fewer of the self-fertilised than of
flowered almost simultaneously. The self-fertilised than of
flowered almost simultaneously and the self-fertilised than of
the self-fertilised the self-fertili

HO 700 10 001

### PHALARIS CANARIENSIS.

foot in height they were measured, and the crossed plants averaged 13·38, and the self-fertilised 12·29 inches in height; or as 100 to 92.

When in full flower they were again measured to the extremities of their culms, as shown in the following table:—

Table XCVIII.

Phalaris canariens

No. of Pot.	Crossed Plants,	Self-fertilised Plant
	Inches.	Inches.
I.	427	41 2
	39	45 1
II.	37	319
	491	371
	29	423
	37	34
III.	379	28
	351	28
	43	34
IV.	403	351
	37	34
Total in inches.	428.00	392-63

The eleven crossed plants now averaged 38\*9, and the eleven esf-fertilised plants 36\*69 inches in height; or as 100 to 92, which is the same ratio as before. Differently to what occurred with the maize, the crossed plants did not flower before the selffertilised; and though both lots flowered very poorly from having bean kept in pois in the greenhouse, yet the self-fertilised plants produced twenty-eight flower-heads, whilst the crossed produced only twenty!

Two long rows of the same seeds were sown out of doors, and acre was taken that they were sown in nearly equal number; but a far greater number of the crossed than of the self-fertilized seed yielded plants. The self-fertilized plants were in consequence not so much crowded as the crossed, and thus had and advantage over them. When in full flower, the twelve tailest plants were carefully selected from both rows and measured, as shown in the following table:—

TABLE XCIX.

Phalaris canariensis (growing in the open ground),

Crossed Plants, twelve tallest.	Self-fertilised Plant twelve tallest.
Inches.	Inches.
341	351
351	311
36	33
354	32
35 2	314
361	36
361	33
381	32
361	351
35 }	334
341	347
34	35
Total in } 429 · 5	402.0

The twelve crossed plants here average 35 '78, and the twelve self-fertilised 35 '5 inches in height; or as 100 to 93. In this case the crossed plants flowered rather before the self-fertilised; and thus differed from those growing in the pots.

#### CHAPTER VII.

SUMMARY OF THE HEIGHTS AND WEIGHTS OF THE CROSSED AND SELF-FEBTILISED PLANTS.

Number of species and plants measured—Tables given—Preliminary remarks on the dispring of plants crossed by a from backet—Thirteen cases aspecially considered—The effects of crossing a self-fertilised plant either by another self-fertilised plants or by an intervenced plant the properties of the considered plants of the same stock—The tree system of the crossed and self-fertilised plants of the same stock—The tree system considered, in which the crossed plants did not exceed greatly in height the self-fertilised—Most of these cases shown not to be real exceptions of the relicular terms of the considered plants and self-fertilised—Summary of results—Behairs weights of the crossed and self-fertilised plants.

THE details which have been given under the head of each species are so numerous and so intricate, that it is necessary to tabulate the results. In Table A, the number of plants of each kind which were raised from a cross between two individuals of the same stock and from self-fertilised seeds, together with their mean or average heights at or near maturity, are given. In the right-hand column, the mean height of the crossed to that of the self-fertilised plants, the former being taken as 100, is shown. To make this clear, it may be advisable to give an example. In the first generation of Ipomœa, six plants derived from a cross between two plants were measured, and their mean height is 86.00 inches; six plants derived from flowers on the same parent-plant fertilised with their own pollen were measured, and their mean height is 65.66 inches. From this it follows, as shown in the right-hand column, that if the mean height of the crossed plants be taken as 100, that of the self-ferCHAP. VII.

tilised plants is 76. The same plan is followed with

all the other species.

The crossed and self-fertilised plants were generally grown in pots in competition with one another, and always under as closely similar conditions as could be attained. They were, however, sometimes grown in separate rows in the open ground. With several of the species, the crossed plants were again crossed, and the self-fertilised plants again self-fertilised, and thus successive generations were raised and measured, as may be seen in Table A. Owing to this manner of proceeding, the crossed plants became in the later generations more or less closely inter-related. The later generations of Mimulus are not included, as a new tall variety then prevailed on one side alone, so that a fair comparison between the two sides was no longer possible. With Ipomea the variety Hero has been excluded for nearly the same reason.

In Table B the relative weights of the crossed and self-ertilised plants, after they had flowered and had been cut down, are given in the few cases in which they were ascertained. The results are, I think, more striking and of greater value as evidence of constitutional vigour than those deduced from the relative

heights of the plants.

The most important table is that of C, as it includes the relative heights, weights, and fertility of plants raised from parents crossed by a fresh stock (that is, by non-related plants grown under different conditions), or by a distinct sub-variety, in comparison with selffertilised plants, or in a few cases with plants of the same old stock intercrossed during several generations. The relative fertility of the plants in this and the other tables will be more fully considered in a future chapter.

TABLE A .- Relative Heights of Plants from Parents crossed with Pollen from other Plants of the same Stock, and self-fertilised.

			,		
NAMES OF PLANTS.	Number of the Crossed Plants measured.	Average beight of Crossed Flants in inches,	Number of the Self-ferti- lised Plants measured,	Average height of Self- fertilised Plants in inches.	Average beight of the Crossed to the Self-ferri- lised Plants, the former taken as 106,
	6	86.00	6	65-66	as 100 to 76
Ipomœa purpurea—1st generation Ipomœa purpurea—2nd generation	6	84-16	6	66.33	
Ipomea purpurea—2nd generation Ipomea purpurea—3rd generation	6	77:41	6	52.83	" " 79
Ipomea purpurea—3rd generation Ipomea purpurea—4th generation	7	69.78	7	60-14	
Ipomea purpurea—th generation Ipomea purpurea—5th generation	6	82.54	6	62.33	
Ipomea purpures—5th generation	6	87-50	6	63.16	
Incomes purpures—oth generation	9	83-94	9	68.25	
Ipomœa purpurea—7th generation Ipomœa purpurea—8th generation	8	113.25	8	96.65	
Ipomora purpurea—9th generation	14	81-39	14	64-07	
Ipomora purpurea-10th generation	.5	93-70	5	50-40	
Number and average height of all		00 10		00 40	29 29 30-9
the plants of the ten genera-	73	85.84	73	66.02	,, ,, 77
Mimulus luteus-three first gene-					
rations, before the new and taller self-fertilised variety appeared	10	8-19	10	5.29	,, ,, 65
Digitalis purpurea	16	51-33	8	35-87	70
Calceolaria-(common greenhouse)	1	19-50	1	15.00	
variety)		7:08			
Linaria vulgaris	3 6	65:34	3	5.75	,, ,, 81
Verbascum thapsus	6	92.24	6	56.50	,, ,, 86
and self-fertilised plants, raised	20	4.30	20	4-27	,, ,, 99
from perfect flowers					
Vandellia nummularifolia—crossed					
and self-fertilised plants, raised from perfect flowers: second	24	3.60	24	3.38	,, ,, 94
trial, plants crowded					
Vandellia nummularifolia—crossed					
plants raised from perfect flowers,	20	4.30	20	4.06	94
and self-fertilised plants from	20	4.00	20	4 00	11 11 54
eleistogene flowers	-	00.00			-
Gesneria pendulina	8	32-06	8	29-14	,, ,, 90
	6	27.85	6	21.16	,, ,, 76
	4 6	20.00	4	65.00	" " 86 " 108
	9	41-08	9	39.00	
Iberis umbellata—the self-fertilised)					-11 11
plants of the 3rd generation	7	19-12	7	16.39	,, ,, 86
Promes or one of a Scartorion .)					

TABLE A-continued.

TABLE A-continues,									
NAMES OF PLANTS.	Number of the Crossed Flants measured.	Average beight of Crossed Plants in inches.	Number of the Belf-firti- lised Plants measured.	Average beight of Self- fertilised Plants in inches.	Average height of the Consord to the Selfferti- lised Pants, the former taken as 100.				
Papaver vagum	15	21-91	15		as 100 to 89				
stock, 1st generation				25.56	" " 86				
stock, 2nd generation) Eschscholtzia californica — Bra-)	11	32-47	11	32-81	,, 101				
zilian stock, 1st generation Eschscholtzia californica — Bra-	14	44-64	14	45-12	,, 101				
zilian stock, 2nd generation) Eschscholtzia californica—average	18	43.38	19	50-30	n n 116				
height and number of all the plants of Eschscholtzia	47	40-03	48	42-72	" " 107				
Reseda lutea-grown in pots	24	17.17	24	14-61	85				
Reseda lutea—grown in open ground Reseda odorata — self - fertilised)	8	28-09	8	23-14	,, 82				
seeds from a highly self-fertile plant, grown in pots	19	27-48	19	22-55	" " 82				
Reseda odorata — self-fertilised seeds from a highly self-fertile plant, grown in open ground .	8	25-76	8	27.09	" " 105				
Reseda odorata — self-fertilised seeds from a semi-self-sterile	20	29-98	20	27.71	,, ,, 92				
plant, grown in pots	8	25-92	8	23.54	90				
plant, grown in open ground .					" "				
Viola tricolor	14	5.58	14	2.37	n n 42				
Delphinium consolida	6	14.25	6	14.31	, , 100				
Viscaria oculata	15	34-50	15	33.22	n n 84				
Dianthus caryophyllus - open)	62		67	24?	" " 86				
ground, about	9	16-75	2	9-75	58				
eration, in pots, crowded) Dianthus caryophyllus—3rd gen-	8	28-39	0	28-21					
eration, in pots	0	29.33	0	29.21	n n 99				
from plants of the 3rd self-ferti- lised generation crossed by inter-									
crossed plants of 3rd generation, compared with plants of 4th self-	15	28-00	10	26.55	. , 95				
fertilised generation)									

Table A Continues,							
NAMES OF PLANTS.	Number of the Crossed Frants measured.	Average height of Crossed Plants in inches,	Number of the Self-fertl- lised Plants measured,	Average beight of Self- fertilised Plants in inches.	Average height" of the Corsect to the Self-ferti- lised Fants, the fermer taken as 100.		
Dianthus caryophyllus — number and average height of all the	31	27:37	26	25.18	as 100 to 92		
plants of Dianthus	١.						
	7	13.25	4 7	14.43	,, 109		
	8	58-43		16.62	" " 74		
Tropæolum minus	16	17:46	8	13.85	" " 79 " 79		
Lupinus luteus—2nd generation .	8	30.78	8	25.21			
Lupinus pilosus - plants of two)							
generations	2	35.20	3	30.20	,, ,, 86		
Phaseolus multiflorus	5	86.00	5	82:35	., ., 96		
Pisum sativum	4	34-62	4	39.68	,, 115		
Sarothamnus scoparius — small seedlings	6	2.91	6	1.33	46		
Sarothamnus scoparius—the three)				-			
survivors on each side after three		18-91		11-83	63		
years' growth				11 00	n n 63		
Ononis minutissima	2	19:81	9	17:37	,, ,, 88		
Clarkia elegans	4	33.50	4	27-62	,, ,, 82		
Bartonia aurea	8	24.62	8	26-31	,, ,, 107		
Passiflora gracilis	2	49.00	2	51.00	,, ,, 104		
Apium petroselinum	28	not .	328	not	} ,, ,, 100		
Scabiosa atro-purpurea	4	17:12	4	15.37	,		
Lactuca sativa-plants of two gen-)							
erations	7	19.43	6	16.00	,, ,, 82		
Specularia speculum	4	19.28	4.	18.93	,, ,, 98		
Lobelia ramosa—1st generation .	4	22.25	4.	18.37	,, ,, 82		
Lobelia ramosa-2nd generation .	3	23.33	3	19.00	,, ,, 81		
Lobelia fulgens-1st generation .	2 23	34.75	2	44.25	,, ,, 127		
Lobelia fulgens—2nd generation . Nemophila insignis—half-grown .	12	29.82	23	27-10	,, ,, 91		
Nemophila insignis—naif-grown . Nemophila insignis—the same fully)	12	11.10	12	5.45	,, 49		
		33-28		19.90	,, ,, 60		
Borago officinalis	4	20.68	4	21-18			
Nolana prostrata	5	12.75	5	13.40			
Petunia violacea-1st generation .	5	30-80	5	26.00	7 7 84		
Petunia violacea-2nd generation .	4	40.50	6	26.25	,, ,, 65		
Petunia violacea-3rd generation .	8	40.96	8	53.87	" " 131		
Petunia violacea-4th generation .	15	46.79	14	32.39	69		
				-	-		

TABLE A—continued,							
NAMES OF PLANTS.	Number of the Crossed Plants measured.	Average height of Crossed Plants in inches.	Number of the Setf-ferti- lised Plants measured.	Average height of Self-fertilised Parts in Inches.	Average beight of the	taken as 100.	
Petunia violacea-4th generation,			-		-		
from a distinct parent )	13	44.74	13	26.87	as 100	to 60	
Petunia violacea-5th generation . Petunia violacea-5th generation.	22	54.11	21	33.23	19	, 61	
in open ground	10	38.27	10	23.31	22	, 61	
Petunia violacea — Number and average height of all the plants in pots of Petunia	67	46.53	67	33-12	"	, 71	
Nicotians tabacum—1st generation	4	18.50	4	32.75	22 .	, 178	
Nicotiana tabacum—2nd generation Nicotiana tabacum—3rd generation	9 7	53·84 95·25	7 7	51·78 79·60	39 3	, 96	
Nicotians tabacum—3rd generation					22 1	, 83	
but raised from a distinct plant	7	70.78	9	71.30	- 19 1	, 101	
Nicotiana tabacum—number and average height of all the plants of Nicotiana	27	63.73	27	61.31	,,	, 96	
Cyclamen persicum	8	9.49	. 82	7.50		. 79	
Anagallis collina	6	42.20	6	33.35	., ,	, 69	
Primula sinensis — a dimorphic	8	9.01	8	9.03	99 9	, 100	
Fagopyrum esculentum—a dimor- phic species	15	38.06	15	26.13		. 69	
Beta vulgaris—in pots	8	34.09	. 8	29.81		. 87	
Beta vulgaris—in open ground .	8	30.92	8	30.70	19 1		
Canna warscewiczi—plants of three generations	34	35.98	34	36.39	19 1	, 101	
Zea mays—in pots, whilst young, measured to tips of leaves	15	20.19	15	17.57	17 7	, 87	
Zea mays—when full grown, after the death of some, measured to		68.10		62.34	" "	, 91	
tips of leaves.  Zea mays—when full grown, after the death of some, measured to tips of flowers  Zea mays—grown in open ground, measured to tips of leaves	10	66·51 54·00	10	61.59	, ,	93	
Zea mays—grown in open ground,) measured to tips of flowers		53-96	19	43.45	,, ,		
Phalaris canariensis—in pots	11	38-90	11	35-69		-00	
Phalaris canariensis-in open ground	12	35.78	12	33.50	" "		

Table B.—Relative Weights of Plants from Parents crossed with Pollen from distinct Plants of the same Stock, and Self-fertilised,

NAMES OF PLANTS.	Number of Crossed Plants.	Number of Self- fertilised Plants.	Weight Crossed taken	Pls	nts
Ipomosa purpurea—plants of the 10th) generation	6	6	as 100	to	44
Vandellia nummularifolia—Ist genera-	41	41	**	"	97
Brassica oleracea-1st generation	9	9	22	22	37
Eschscholtzia californica—plants of the 2nd generation	19	19	11	17	118
Reseda lutea—1st generation, grown in pots'	24	24	22	22	21
Reseda lutea—Ist generation, grown in	8	8	22	22	46
Reseda odorata—Ist generation, de- scended from a highly self-fertile plant, grown in pots	19	19	33	77	67
Reseda odorata—1st generation, de- scended from a semi-self-sterile plant,	20	20	27	27	99
grown in pots	8	8	32	33	45
Petunia violacea—plants of the 5th generation, in pots	22	21	22	21	2
Petunia violacea—plants of the 5th generation, in open ground)	10	10	27	22	3

Table C.—Relative Heights, Weights, and Fertility of Plants from Parents crossed by a fresh Stock, and from Parents either self-

fertilised or intercrossed with Plants of the same Stock.							
NAMES OF PLANTS AND NATURE OF THE EXPERIMENTS.	Number of the Plants from a Cross with a Fresh Stock.	Average Height in inches and Weight,	Number of the Plants from Bill, fertilised or Intercomed Parents of the same Book,	Average Height in inches and Weight,	Height, Weight, and Pertility	with a Fresh Stock taken as	
Ipomera purpurea — offspring of plants intercrossed for nine gen- erations and then crossed by a fresh stock, compared with plants of the 10th intercrossed generation	19	84-03	19	65.78	as 10	) to	78
Ipomea purpurea — offspring of plants intercrossed for nine generations and then crossed by a fresh stock, compared with plants of the 10th intercrossed generation, in fertility					,	,,	51
Mimulus luteus—offspring of plants self-fertilised for eight genera- tions and then crossed by a fresh stock, compared with plants of the 9th self-fertilised generation	28	21.62	19	10-44	,,	**	52
Mimulus luteus—offspring of plants self-fertilised for eight genera- tions and then crossed by a fresh stock, compared with plants of the 9th self-fertilised generation, in fertility					,,	,	3
Minulus luteus—offspring of plants self-fertilised for eight generations and then crossed by a fresh stock, compared with the offspring of a plant self-fertilised for eight generations, and then intercrossed with another self-fertilised plant of the same generation	28	21-62	27	12-20		,	56

NAMES OF PLANTS AND NATURE OF THE EXPERIMENTS.	Number of the Plants from a Cross with a Fresh Szeck,	Average Height in inches and Weight,	Number of the Plants from Self- fertilised or Interconnel Parents of the same Stock.	Average Height in Inches and Weight.	Height, Woght, and Fertility of the Plants from the Cross with a Fresh Stock taken as 160.
Mimulus intens—offspring of plants self-fertilised for eight generations and then crossed by a fresh stock, compared with the offspring of a plant self-fertilised for eight generations, and then intercrossed with another self-fertilised plant of the same generation, in fertility.					as 100 to 4
Brassica oleracea — offspring of plants self-fertilised for two gen- erations and then crossed by a fresh stock, compared with plants of the 3rd self-fertilised generation, by weight	6		6		n n 20
Iberis umbellata—offspring from English variety crossed by slightly different Algerine va- riety, compared with the self- fertilised offspring of the English variety	30	17.34	29	15-51	,, ,, 89
Iberis umbellata—offspring from English variety, crossed by slightly different Algerine variety, compared with the self- fertilised offspring of the English variety, in fertility					,, ,, 75
Eschscholtzia californica—offspring of a Brazilian stock crossed by an English stock, compared with plants of the Brazilian stock of the 2nd self-fertilised generation	19	45.92	19	50-30	" " 109
Eschscholtzia californica—offspring of a Brazilian stock crossed by an English stock, compared with plants of the Brazilian stock of the 2nd self-fertilised generation, in weight					" " 118

NAMES OF PLANTS AND NATURE OF THE EXPERIMENTS.	Number of the Plants from a Cross with a Fresh Stock.	Average Height in inches and Weight,	Number of the Plants from field- fertilied or Intercomed Farents of the same Stock.	Average Height in inches and Weight,	Height, Weight, and Fertility of the Pants from the Cross with a Fresh Stock taken as 106.
Eschscholtzia californica—offspring of a Brazilian stock crossed by an English stock, compared with plants of the Brazilian stock of the 2nd self-fertilised generation, in fertility					as 100 to 40
Eschscholtzia californica—offspring of a Brazilian stock crossed by an English stock, compared with plants of the Brazilian stock of the 2nd intercrossed generation, in height	19	45.92	18	43.38	" " 94
Eschscholtzia californica—offspring of a Brazilian stock crossed by an English stock, compared with plants of the Brazilian stock of the 2nd intercrossed generation, in weight					" " 100
Eschscheltzia californica—offspring of a Brazilian stock crossed by an English stock, compared with plants of the Brazilian stock of the 2nd intercrossed generation, in fertility					,, ,, 45
Dianthus caryophyllus—offspring of plants self-fertilised for three generations and then crossed by a fresh stock, compared with plants of the 4th self-fertilised generation	16	32-82	10	26.55	,, ,, 81
Dianthus caryophyllus—offspring of plants self-fertilised for three generations and then crossed by a fresh stock, compared with plants of the 4th self-fertilised generation, in fertility					" " 53

NAMES OF PLANTS AND NATURE OF THE EXPERIMENTS.	Number of the Plants from a Cross with a Fresh Stock.	Average Height in inches and Weight.	Number of the Plants from 1626- fertilised or Intercrossed Parents of the name Stock.	Average Height in inches and Weight.	Height, Weight, and Fertility of the Plants from the Cross with a Fresh Stock taken as 100.
Dianthus caryophyllus—offspring of plants self-fertilised for three generations and then crossed by a fresh stock, compared with the offspring of plants self-fertilised for three generations and then crossed by plants of the 3rd intercrossed generation.	16	32-82	15	28-00	as 100 to 85
Dianthus caryophyllus—offspring of plants self-fertilised for three generations and then crossed by a fresh stock, compared with the offspring of plants self-fertilised. for three generations and then crossed by plants of the 3rd intercrossed generation, in fer- tility.	);				n n 45
Pisum sativum—offspring from a cross between two closely allied varieties, compared with the self- fertilised offspring of one of the varieties, or with intercrossed plants of the same stock	?		?		" " {60 to 75
Lathyrus odoratus—offspring from two varieties, differing only in colour of their flowers, compared with the self-fertilised offspring of one of the varieties: in 1st generation	92	79-25	2	63-75	<sub>39</sub> <sub>39</sub> 80
Lathyrus odoratus—offspring from two varieties, differing only in colour of their flowers, compared with the self-fertilised offspring of one of the varieties: in 2nd generation	6	62-91	6	55-31	,, ,, 88

LADER C		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
NAMES OF PLANTS AND NATURE OF THE EXPERIMENTS.	Number of the Plants from a Cross with a Fresh Stock,	Average Height in inches and Weight.	Number of the Plants from field- fertilised or Inderecomed Parents of the same Bock.	Average Height in Inches and Weight.	Height, Weight, and Fertility of the Plants from the Cross with a Fresh Stock taken as 160.
Petunia violacea — offspring of plants self-fertilised for flore of plants self-fertilised for flore a fresh stock, compared with plants of the 5th self-fertilised generation, in height — Petunia violacea — offspring of penerations and then crossed by a fresh stock, compared with plants of the 5th self-fertilised generation, in weight —	21	50-05	21	33-23	as 100 to 66
plants self-fertilised for four generations and then crossed by a fresh stock, compared with plants of the 5th self-fertilised generation, grown in open ground, in height.  Petunia violasca—offspring of plants self-fertilised for four generations and then crossed by a fresh stock, compared with		36-67	10	23-31	" " 63
plants of the 5th self-fertilised generation, grown in open ground, in weight  Petunis violacea—offspring of plants self-fertilised for four generations and then crossed by a fresh atock, compared with plants of the 5th self-fertilised generation, grown in open ground,					n n 46
in fertility Petunia violacea — offspring of plants self-fertilised for four generations and then crossed by a fresh stock, compared with plants of the 5th intercrossed generation, in height		50-05	22	54-11	» " 108

NAMES OF PLANTS AND NATURE OF THE EXPERIMENTS.	Number of the Plants from a Cross with a Fresh Stock.	Average Height in inches and Weight.	Number of the Plants from Feli- fertilised or Intercrumed Parents of the same Stock.	Average Beight in inches and Weight,	Height, Weight, and Fertility of the Plants from the Cross with a Fresh Stock taken as 160.
Petunia violacea — offspring of plants self-fertillied for four generations and then crossed by a fresh stock, compared with plants of the 5th intercrossed generation, in weight					as 100 to 101
Petunia violacea — offspring of plants self-fertillized for four generations and then crossed by a fresh stock, compared with plants of the 5th intercrossed generation, grown in open ground, in height.	10	36-67	10	38-27	, , 104
Petunia violacea — offspring of plants self-fertilised for four generations and then crossed by a fresh stock, compared with plants of the 5th intercrossed generation, grown in open ground, in weight.					" " 146
Petunia violacea — offspring of plants self-fertilised for four generations and then crossed by a fresh stock, compared with plants of the 5th intercrossed generation, grown in open ground, in fertility					» » 54
Nicotiana tabacum—offspring of plants self-fertilized for three generations and then crossed by a slightly different variety, com- pared with plants of the 4th self-fertilized generation, grown not much crowded in pots, in	26	63-29		41-67	, , 66

TABLE C-continued. 4 | W | S | W | D | D | B

AMES OF PLANTS AND NATURE OF THE EXPERIMENTS.	Number of the Plants from Oress with a Fresh Stock.	Average Height in in ches an Weight.	Number of the Plants from Sci fertilized or Intercrossed Pases of the same Stock.	Average Height in inches an Weight.	Height, Weight, and Fertility of the Plants from the Crowyth a Fresh Stock taken a 160.
Nicotiana tabacum—offspring of plants self-fertilised for three generations and then crossed by a slightly different variety, com- pared with plants of the 4th self-fertilised generation, grown much crowded in pots, in height	12	31-53	12	17-21	as 100 to 54
Nicotiana tabacum—offspring of plants self-fertilised for three generations and then crossed by a slightly different variety, com- pared with plants of the 4th self-fertilised generation, grown much crowded in pots, in weight					" " 37
Nicotiana tabacum—offspring of plants self-fertilised for three generations and then crossed by a slightly different variety, com- pared with plants of the 4th self-fertilised generation, grown in open ground, in height	20	48-74	20	35-20	,, 72
Nicotians tabacum—offspring of plants self-fertilised for three generations and the crossed by a slightly different variety, com- pared with plants of the 4th self-fertilised generation, grown in open ground, in weight					,, 63
Anagallis collina—offspring from a red variety crossed by a blue variety, compared with the self- fertilised offspring of the red variety		27-62	3	18-21	" " 66

NAMES OF PLANTS AND NATURE OF THE EXPERIMENTS.	Number of the Plants from a Oross with a Presh Stock.	Average Height in inches and Weight.	Number of the Plants from Belf- fertilled or Inferctused Parents of the same Block.	Average Height in inches and Weight.	Height, Weight, and Pertility of the Plants from the Cross with a Fresh Stock taken as 100.
Anagallis collina—offspring from a red variety crossed by a blue variety, compared with the self- fertilised offspring of the red variety, in fertility					as 100 to 6
Primula veris—offspring from long- styled plants of the 3rd illegiti- mate generation, crossed by a fresh stock, compared with plants of the 4th illegitimate and self-fertilised generation.	8	7-03	8	3-21	" " 46
Primula veris—offspring from long- styled plants of the 3rd illegiti- mate generation, crossed by a fresh stock, compared with- plants of the 4th illegitimate and self-fertilized generation, in					, -, 5
fertility Primula veris—offspring from long- styled plants of the 3rd illegiti- mate generation, crossed by a fresh stock, compared with- plants of the 4th illegitimate and self-fertilised generation, in fertility in following year					" " 3 5
Primula veris (equal-styled, red- flowered variety)—offspring from plants self-fertilised for two generations and then crossed by a different variety, compared with plants of the 3rd self-fertilised generation	3	8.66	3	7-33	,, ,, 85
Primula veris (equal-styled, red- flowered variety)—offspring from plants self-fertilised for two generations and then crossed by a different variety, compared with plants of the 3rd self-fertilised generation, in fertility					,, 11

In these three tables the measurements of fifty-seven species, belonging to fifty-two genera and to thirty great natural families, are given. The species are natives of various parts of the world. The number of crossed plants, including those derived from a cross between plants of the same stock and of two different stocks, amounts to 1,101; and the number of self-fertilised plants (including a few in Table C derived from a cross between plants of the same old stock) is 1,076. Their growth was observed from the germination of the seeds to maturity; and most of them were measured twice and some thrice. The various precautions taken to prevent either lot being unduly favoured, have been described in the introductory chapter. Bearing all these circumstances in mind, it may be admitted that we have a fair basis for judging of the comparative effects of cross-fertilisation and of self-fertilisation on the growth of the offspring.

It will be the most convenient plan first to consider the results given in Table C, as an opportunity will thus be afforded of incidentally discussing some important points. If the reader will look down the right-hand column of this table, he will see at a glance what an extraordinary advantage in height, weight, and fertility the plants derived from a cross with a fresh stock or with another sub-variety have over the self-fertilised plants, as well as over the intercrossed plants of the same old stock. There are only two exceptions to this rule, and these are hardly real ones. In the case of Eschscholtzia, the advantage is confined to fertility. In that of Petunia, though the plants derived from a cross with a fresh stock had an immense superiority in height, weight, and fertility over the self-fertilised plants, they were conquered by the intercrossed plants of the same old stock in height and weight, but not

in fertility. It has, however, been shown that the superiority of these intercoscale plants in height and weight was in all probability not real; for if the two sets had been allowed to grow for another month, it is almost certain that those from a cross with the fresh stock would have been victorious in every way over the intercrossed plants.

Before we consider in detail the several cases given in Table C, some preliminary remarks must be made, There is the clearest evidence, as we shall presently see, that the advantage of a cross depends wholly on the plants differing somewhat in constitution; and that the disadvantages of self-fertilisation depend on the two parents, which are combined in the same hermaphrodite flower, having a closely similar constitution. A certain amount of differentiation in the sexual elements seems indispensable for the full fertility of the parents, and for the full vigour of the offspring. All the individuals of the same species, even those produced in a state of nature, differ somewhat, though often very slightly. from one another in external characters and probably in constitution. This obviously holds good between the varieties of the same species, as far as external characters are concerned; and much evidence could be advanced with respect to their generally differing somewhat in constitution. There can hardly be a doubt that the differences of all kinds between the individuals and varieties of the same species depend largely, and as I believe exclusively, on their progenitors having been subjected to different conditions; though the conditions to which the individuals of the same species are exposed in a state of nature often falsely appear to us the same. For instance, the individuals growing together are necessarily exposed to the same climate, and they seem to us at first sight to be subjected to identically

the same conditions; but this can hardly be the case, except under the unusual contingency of each individual being surrounded by other kinds of plants in exactly the same proportional numbers. For the surrounding plants absorb different amounts of various substances from the soil, and thus greatly affect the nourishment and even the life of the individuals of any particular species. These will also be shaded and otherwise affected by the nature of the surrounding plants. Moreover, seeds often lie dormant in the ground, and those which germinate during any one year will often have been matured during very different seasons. Seeds are widely dispersed by various means, and some will occasionally be brought from distant stations, where their parents have grown under somewhat different conditions, and the plants produced from such seeds will intercross with the old residents, thus mingling their constitutional peculiarities in all sorts of proportions.

Plants when first subjected to culture, even in their antive country, cannot fail to be exposed to greatly changed conditions of life, more especially from growing in cleared ground, and from not having to compete with many or any surrounding plants. They are thus enabled to absorb whatever they require which the soil may contain. Fresh seeds are often brought from distant gardens, where the parent-plants have been subjected to different conditions. Colliviated plants like those in a state of nature frequently intercross, and will thus mingle their constitutional peculiarities. On the other hand, as long as the individuals of any species are cultivated in the same garden, they will apparently be subjected to more uniform conditions than plants in a state of nature, as the individuals have not to compete

with various surrounding species. The seeds sown at the same time in a garden have generally been matured during the same season and in the same place; and in this respect they differ much from the seeds sown by the hand of nature. Some exotic plants are not frequented by insects in their new home, and therefore are not intercressed; and this appears to be a highly important factor in the individuals acquiring uniformity of constitution.

In my experiments the greatest care was taken that in each generation all the crossed and self-fertilised plants should be subjected to the same conditions. Not that the conditions were absolutely the same, for the more vigorous individuals will have robbed the weaker ones of nutriment, and likewise of water when the soil in the pots was becoming dry; and both lots at one end of the pot will have received a little more light than those at the other end. In the successive generations, the plants were subjected to somewhat different conditions, for the seasons necessarily varied, and they were sometimes raised at different periods of the year. But as they were all kept under glass, they were exposed to far less abrupt and great changes of temperature and moisture than are plants growing out of doors. With respect to the intercrossed plants, their first parents, which were not related, would almost certainly have differed somewhat in constitution; and such constitutional peculiarities would be variously mingled in each succeeding intercrossed generation. being sometimes augmented, but more commonly neutralised in a greater or less degree, and sometimes revived through reversion; just as we know to be the case with the external characters of crossed species and varieties. With the plants which were self-fertilised during the successive generations, this latter important source of some diversity of constitution will have been wholly eliminated; and the sexual elements produced by the same flower must have been developed under as nearly the same conditions as it is possible to conceive.

In Table C the crossed plants are the offspring of a cross with a fresh stock, or with a distinct variety; and they were put into competition either with self-fertilised plants, or with intercrossed plants of the same old stock. By the term fresh stock I mean a non-related plant, the progenitors of which have been raised during some generations in another garden, and have consequently been exposed to somewhat different conditions. In the case of Nicotiana, Iberis, the red variety of Primula, the common Pea, and perhaps Anagallis, the plants which were crossed may be ranked as distinct varieties or sub-varieties of the same species; but with Ipomœa, Mimulus, Dianthus, and Petunia, the plants which were crossed differed exclusively in the tint of their flowers; and as a large proportion of the plants raised from the same lot of purchased seeds thus varied, the differences may be estimated as merely individual. Having made these preliminary remarks, we will now consider in detail the several cases given in Table C, and they are well worthy of full consideration. (1.) Ipomæa purpurea.-Plants growing in the same

pots, and subjected in each generation to the same conditions, were intercrossed for nine consecutive generations. These intercrossed plants thus became in the later generations more or less closely inter-related. Flowers on the plants of the minth intercrossed generation were fertilised with pollen taken from a fresh stock, and seedlings thus raised. Other flowers on the same intercrossed plants were fertilised with pollen from another intercrossed plants were fertilised with pollen from the tenth intercrossed generation. These two sets of

seedlings were grown in competition with one another. and differed greatly in height and fertility. For the offspring from the cross with a fresh stock exceeded in height the intercrossed plants in the ratio of 100 to 78; and this is nearly the same excess which the intercrossed had over the self-fertilised plants in all ten generations taken together, namely, as 100 to 77. The plants raised from the cross with a fresh stock were also greatly superior in fertility to the intercrossed. namely, in the ratio of 100 to 51, as judged by the relative weight of the seed-capsules produced by an equal number of plants of the two sets, both having been left to be naturally fertilised. It should be especially observed that none of the plants of either lot were the product of self-fertilisation. On the contrary, the intercrossed plants had certainly been crossed for the last ten generations and probably during all previous generations, as we may infer from the structure of the flowers and from the frequency of the visits of humblebees. And so it will have been with the parent-plants of the fresh stock. The whole great difference in height and fertility between the two lots must be attributed to the one being the product of a cross with pollen from a fresh stock, and the other of a cross between plants of the same old stock.

This species offers another interesting case. In the five first generations in which interveneed and five first glent interveneed and first first like a plants were put into competition with one another, every single intercrossed plant beat its another, every single intercrossed plant beat its fertilised antagonist, except in one instance, in whiche ratio an aplant appeared, named by me the Hero, remarkable for its talhess and increased self-fertilisand which transmitted its characters to the next three generations. The children of Hero were again self-generations. The children of Hero were again self-

fertilised, forming the eighth self-fertilised generation, and were likewise intercrossed one with another; but this cross between plants which had been subjected to the same conditions and had been self-fertilised during the seven previous generations, did not effect the least good; for the intercrossed grandchildren were actually shorter than the self-fertilised grandchildren, in the ratio of 100 to 107. We here see that the mere act of crossing two distinct plants does not by itself benefit the offspring. This case is almost the converse of that in the last paragraph, in which the offspring profited so greatly by a cross with a fresh stock. A similar trial was made with the descendants of Hero in the following generation, and with the same result. But the trial cannot be fully trusted, owing to the extremely unhealthy condition of the plants. Subject to this same serious cause of doubt, even a cross with a fresh stock did not benefit the greatgrandchildren of Hero; and if this were really the case, it is the greatest anomaly observed by me in all my experiments.

(2) Minulus lateus.—During the three first generations the intercrossed plants taken together exceeded in height the self-fertilised taken together, in the ratio of 100 to 65, and in fertility in a still higher degree. In the fourth generation a new variety, which grow taller and had whiter and larger flowers than the old varieties, began to prevail, especially amongst the self-fertilised plants. This variety transmitted its characters with remarkable fidelity, so that all the plants in the later self-fertilised generations belonged to it. These consequently exceeded the intercrossed plants considerably in height. Thus in the seventh generation the intercrossed plants were to the self-fertilised in height as 100 to 137. It is a more remarkable fact that the

self-fertilised plants of the sixth generation had become much more fertile than the intercrossed plants, judging by the number of capsules spontaneously produced, in the ratio of 147 to 100. This variety, which as we have seen appeared amongst the plants of the fourth self-fertilised generation, resembles in almost all its constitutional peculiarities the variety called Hero, which appeared in the sixth self-fertilised generation of Ipomœa. No other such case, with the partial exception of that of Nicotiana, occurred in my experiments, carried on during eleven years.

Two plants of this variety of Mimulus, belonging to the sixth self-fertilised generation, and growing in separate pots, were intercrossed; and some flowers on the same plants were again self-fertilised. From the seeds thus obtained, plants derived from a cross between the self-fertilised plants, and others of the seventh self-fertilised generation, were raised. But this cross did not do the least good, the intercrossed plants being inferior in height to the self-fertilised, in the ratio of 100 to 110. This case is exactly parallel with that given under Ipomœa, of the grandchildren of Hero, and apparently of its great-grandchildren; for the seedlings raised by intercrossing these plants were not in any way superior to those of the corresponding generation raised from the self-fertilised flowers. Therefore in these several cases the crossing of plants, which had been self-fertilised for several generations and which had been cultivated all the time under as nearly as possible the same conditions. was not in the least beneficial.

Another experiment was now tried. Firstly, plants of the eighth self-fertilised generation were again self-fertilised, producing plants of the ninth selfrertilised generation. Secondly, two of the plants of the

eighth self-fertilised generation were intercrossed one with another, as in the experiment above referred to; but this was now effected on plants which had been subjected to two additional generations of self-fertilisation. Thirdly, the same plants of the eighth selffertilised generation were crossed with pollen from plants of a fresh stock brought from a distant garden. Numerous plants were raised from these three sets of seeds, and grown in competition with one another. The plants derived from a cross between the self-fertilised plants exceeded in height by a little the selffertilised, viz., as 100 to 92; and in fertility in a greater degree, viz., as 100 to 73. I do not know whether this difference in the result, compared with that in the previous case, can be accounted for by the increased deterioration of the self-fertilised plants from two additional generations of self-fertilisation, and the consequent advantage of any cross whatever, although merely between the self-fertilised plants. But however this may be, the effects of crossing the self-fertilised plants of the eighth generation with a fresh stock were extremely striking; for the seedlings thus raised were to the self-fertilised of the ninth generation as 100 to 52 in height, and as 100 to 3 in fertility! They were also to the intercrossed plants (derived from crossing two of the self-fertilised plants of the eighth generation) in height as 100 to 56, and in fertility as 100 to 4. Better evidence could hardly be desired of the potent influence of a cross with a fresh stock on plants which had been self-fertilised for eight generations, and had been cultivated all the time under nearly uniform conditions, in comparison with plants self-fertilised for nine generations continuously, or then once inter-

(3.) Brassica oleracea.—Some flowers on cabbage

crossed, namely in the last generation.

plants of the second self-fertilised generation were crossed with pollen from a plant of the same varies brought from a distant garden, and other flowers were again self-fertilised. Plants derived from a cross with a fresh stock and plants of the third self-fertilised generation were thus raised. The former were the self-fertilised in weight as 100 to 22; and this enormous difference must be attributed in part to the beneficial effects of a cross with a fresh stock, and in part to the deteriorating effects of self-fertilisation continued during three generations.

(4.) Heris umbellatt. — Seedlings from a crimson. English variety crossed by a pale-coloured variety which had been grown for some generations in Algiers, were to the self-fertilised seedlings from the crimson variety in height as 100 to 89, and as 100 to 75 in fertility. I am surprised that this cross with another variety did not produce a still more strongly marked beneficial effect; for some intercrossed plants of the crimson English variety, put into competition with plants of the same variety self-fertilised during three generations, were in height as 100 to 86, and in fertility as 100 to 75. The slightly greater difference in height in this latter case, may possibly be attributed to the deteriorating effects of self-fertilisation carried on for two additional generations.

(5.) Echkeholitia californica.—This plant offers an almost unique case, insamen has the good effects of a cross or the evil effects of self-fertilisation are confined to the reproductive system. Intercrossed and self-fertilised plants of the English stock did not differ in height (nor in weight, as far as was ascertained,) in any constant namer; the self-fertilised plants usually having the advantage. So it was with the offspring of plants of the Brazilian stock, tried in the same

manner. The parent-plants, however, of the English stock produced many more seeds when fertilised with pollen from another plant than when self-fertilised; and in Brazil the parent-plants were absolutely sterile unless they were fertilised with pollen from another plant. Intercrossed seedlings, raised in England from the Brazilian stock, compared with self-fertilised seedlings of the corresponding second generation, yielded seeds in number as 100 to 89; both lots of plants being left freely exposed to the visits of insects. If we now turn to the effects of crossing plants of the Brazilian stock with pollen from the English stock, -so that plants which had been long exposed to very different conditions were intercrossed,-we find that the offspring were, as before, inferior in height and weight to the plants of the Brazilian stock after two generations of self-fertilisation, but were superior to them in the most marked manner in the number of seeds produced, namely, as 100 to 40; both lots of plants being left freely exposed to the visits of insects.

In the case of Ipomon, we have seen that the plants derived from a cross with a fresh stock were superior in height as 100 to 78, and in fertility as 100 to 71, to the plants of the old stock, although these had been intercrossed during the last ten generations. With Eschechottias we have a nearly penallel case, but only as far as fertility is concerned, for the plants derived from a cross with a fresh stock vere superior in fertility in the ratio of 100 to 45 to the Brazilian plants, which had been artificially intercrossed in England for the two last generations, and which must have been naturally intercrossed by insects during all previous generations in Brazil, where otherwise they are outle sterily

(6.) Dianthus caryophyllus.—Plants self-fertilised

for three generations were crossed with pollen from a fresh stock, and their offspring were grown in competition with plants of the fourth self-fertilised generation. The crossed plants thus obtained were to the self-fertilised in height as 100 to 81, and in fertility (both lots being left to be naturally fertilised by insects) as 100 to 33.

These same crossed plants were also to the offspring from the plants of the third self-fertilised generation crossed by the intercrossed plants of the corresponding generation, in height as 100 to 85, and in fertility as 100 to 45.

We thus see what a great advantage the offspring from a cross with a fresh stock had, not only over the self-fertilised plants of the fourth generation, but over the offspring from the self-fertilised plants of the third generation, when crossed by the intercrossed plants of the old stock.

(7.) Pisum sativum.—It has been shown under the head of this species, that the several varieties in this country almost invariably fertilise themselves, owing to insects rarely visiting the flowers; and as the plants have been long cultivated under nearly similar conditions, we can understand why a cross between two individuals of the same variety does not do the least good to the offspring either in height or fertility. This case is almost exactly parallel with that of Mimulus, or that of the Ipomœa named Hero; for in these two instances, crossing plants which had been self-fertilised for seven generations did not at all benefit the offspring. On the other hand, a cross between two varieties of the pea causes a marked superiority in the growth and vigour of the offspring, over the self-fertilised plants of the same varieties, as shown by two excellent observers. From my own observations (not made with great care) the offspring from crossed varieties were to self-fertilised plants in height, in one case as 100 to about 75, and in a second case as 100 to 60.

- (8) Latilyrus olorutus.—The sweet-pea is in the same state in regard to self-fertilisation as the common pea; and we have seen that seedlings from a cross between two varieties, which differed in no respect except in the colorur of their flowers, were to the self-fertilised seedlings from the same mother-plant in height as 100 to 80; and in the second generation as 100 to 88. Unfortunately I did not ascertain whether crossing two plants of the same variety failed to produce any beneficial effect, but I venture to predict such would be the result.
- (9.) Petunia violacea.—The intercrossed plants of the same stock in four out of the five successive generations plainly exceeded in height the self-fertilised plants. The latter in the fourth generation were crossed by a fresh stock, and the seedlings thus obtained were put into competition with the self-fertilised plants of the fifth generation. The crossed plants exceeded the self-fertilised in height in the ratio of 100 to 66, and in weight as 100 to 23; but this difference, though so great, is not much greater than that between the intercrossed plants of the same stock in comparison with the self-fertilised plants of the corresponding generation. This case, therefore, seems at first sight opposed to the rule that a cross with a fresh stock is much more beneficial than a cross between individuals of the same stock. But as with Eschscholtzia, the reproductive system was here chiefly benefited; for the plants raised from the cross with the fresh stock were to the self-fertilised plants in fertility, both lots being naturally fertilised, as 100 to 46, whereas the

intercrossed plants of the same stock were to the self-fertilised plants of the corresponding fifth generation in fertility only as 100 to 86.

Although at the time of measurement the plants raised from the cross with the fresh stock did not exceed in height or weight the intercrossed plants of the old stock (owing to the growth of the former not having been completed, as explained under the head of this species), yet they exceeded the intercrossed plants in fertility in the ratio of 100 to 54. This fact is interesting, as it shows that plants self-fertilised for four generations and then crossed by a fresh stock. vielded seedlings which were nearly twice as fertile as those from plants of the same stock which had been intercrossed for the five previous generations. We here see, as with Eschscholtzia and Dianthus, that the mere act of crossing, independently of the state of the crossed plants, has little efficacy in giving increased fertility to the offspring. The same conclusion holds good, as we have already seen, in the analogous cases of Ipomœa, Mimulus, and Dianthus, with respect to height, (10.) Nicotiana tabacum.-My plants were remark-

(10.) Nicotiana tabaceum.—My plants were remarkably self-fertile, and the capsules from the self-fertilised flowers apparently yielded more seeds than those which flowers in the hothouse, and I suspect that the stock on which I experimented had been raised under glass, and had been self-fertilised during several previous generations; if so, we can understand why, in the course of three generations, the crossed seedlings of the same stock did not uniformly exceed in height the self-fertilised seedlings. But the case is complicated by individual plants having different constitutions, so that some of the crossed and self-fertilised seedlings raised at the same time from the same ranents behaved differently.

However this may be, plants raised from self-fertilised plants of the third generation crossed by a slightly different sub-variety, exceeded greatly in height and weight the self-fertilised plants of the fourth generation; and the trial was made on a large scale. They exceeded them in height when grown in pots, and not much crowded, in the ratio of 100 to 66; and when much crowded, as 100 to 54. These crossed plants, when thus subjected to severe competition, also exceeded the self-fertilised in weight in the ratio of 100 to 37. So it was, but in a less degree (as may be seen in Table C), when the two lots were grown out of doors and not subjected to any mutual competition. Nevertheless, strange as is the fact, the flowers on the mother-plants of the third self-fertilised generation did not yield more seed when they were crossed with pollen from plants of the fresh stock than when they were self-fertilised.

(11.) Anagolilia collina.—Plants raised from a red variety crossed by another plant of the same variety were in height to the self-fertilised plants from the red variety as 100 to 73. When the flowers on the red variety were fertilised with pollen from a closely similar blue-flowered variety, they yielded double the number of seeds to what they did when crossed by pollen from another individual of the same red variety, and the seeds were much finer. The plants raised from this cross between the two varieties were to the self-fertilised seedlings from the red variety, in height as 100 to 66, and in fertility as 100 to 6.

(12.) Primula veris.—Some flowers on long-styled plants of the third illegitimate generation were legitimately crossed with pollen from a fresh stock, and others were fertilised with their own pollen. From the seeds thus produced crossed plants, and selffertilised plants of the fourth illegitimate generation, were raised. The former were to the latter in height as 100 to 46, and in fertility during one year as 100 to 5, and as 100 to 3.5 during the next year. In this case, however, we have no means of distinguishing between the evil effects of illegitimate fertilisation continued during four generations (that is, by pollen of the same form, but taken from a distinct plant) and strict self-fertilisation. But these two processes perhaps do not differ so essentially as at first appears to be the case. In the following experiment any doubt arising from illegitimate fertilisation was completely eliminated.

(13.) Primula veris. (Equal-styled, red-flowered variety) .- Flowers on plants of the second self-fertilised generation were crossed with pollen from a distinct variety or fresh stock, and others were again selffertilised. Crossed plants and plants of the third selffertilised generation, all of legitimate origin, were thus raised; and the former was to the latter in height as 100 to 85, and in fertility (as judged by the number of capsules produced, together with the average number of seeds) as 100 to 11.

Summary of the Measurements in Table C .- This table includes the heights and often the weights of 292 plants derived from a cross with a fresh stock, and of 305 plants, either of self-fertilised origin, or derived from an intercross between plants of the same stock. These 597 plants belong to thirteen species and twelve genera. The various precautions which were taken to ensure a fair comparison have already been stated. If we now look down the right-hand column, in which the mean height, weight, and fertility of the plants derived from a cross with a fresh stock are represented by 100, we shall see

by the other figures how wonderfully superior they are both to the self-fertilised and to the intercrossed plants of the same stock. With respect to height and weight, there are only two exceptions to the rule, namely, with Eschscholtzia and Petunia, and the latter is probably no real exception. Nor do these two species offer an exception in regard to fertility, for the plants derived from the cross with a fresh stock were much more fertile than the self-fertilised plants. The difference between the two sets of plants in the table is generally much greater in fertility than in height or weight. On the other hand, with some of the species, as with Nicotiana, there was no difference in fertility between the two sets, although a great difference in height and weight. Considering all the cases in this table, there can be no doubt that plants profit immensely, though in different ways, by a cross with a fresh stock or with a distinct sub-variety. It cannot be maintained that the benefit thus derived is due merely to the plants of the fresh stock being perfectly healthy, whilst those which had been long intercrossed or self-fertilised had become unhealthy; for in most cases there was no appearance of such unhealthiness, and we shall see under Table A that the intercrossed plants of the same stock are generally superior to a certain extent to the self-fertilised,-both lots having been subjected to exactly the same conditions and being equally healthy or unhealthy.

We further learn from Table C, that a cross between plants that have been self-fertilised during several successive generations and kept all the time under nearly uniform conditions, does not benefit the offspring in the least or only in a very slight degree. Minulus and the descendants of Ipomosa named Hero offer instances of this rule. Again, plants self-fertilised

during several generations profit only to a small extent by a cross with intercrossed plants of the same stock (as in the case of Dianthus), in comparison with the effects of a cross by a fresh stock. Plants of the same stock intercrossed during several generations (as with Petunia) were inferior in a marked manner in fertility to those derived from the corresponding self-fertilised plants crossed by a fresh stock. Lastly, certain plants which are regularly intercrossed by insects in a state of nature, and which were artificially crossed in each succeeding generation in the course of my experiments, so that they can never or most rarely have suffered any evil from self-fertilisation (as with Eschscholtzia and Ipomœa), nevertheless profited greatly by a cross with a fresh stock. These several cases taken together show us in the clearest manner that it is not the mere crossing of any two individuals which is beneficial to the offspring. The benefit thus derived depends on the plants which are united differing in some manner, and there can hardly be a doubt that it is in the constitution or nature of the sexual elements. Anyhow, it is certain that the differences are not of an external nature, for two plants which resemble each other as closely as the individuals of the same species ever do, profit in the plainest manner when intercrossed, if their progenitors have been exposed during several generations to different conditions. But to this latter subject I shall have to recur in a future chapter.

## TABLE A.

We will now turn to our first table, which relates to crossed and self-fertilised plants of the same stock. These consist of fifty-four species belonging to thirty natural orders. The total number of crossed plants of which measurements are given is 796, and of self-fertilised plants 809; that is altogether 1,605 plants. Some of the species were experimented on during several successive generations; and it should be borne in mind that in such cases the crossed plants in each generation were crossed with pollen from another crossed plant, and the flowers on the selffertilised plants were almost always fertilised with their own pollen, though sometimes with pollen from other flowers on the same plant. The crossed plants thus became more or less closely inter-related in the later generations; and both lots were subjected in each generation to almost absolutely the same conditions, and to nearly the same conditions in the successive generations. It would have been a better plan in some re spects if I had always crossed some flowers either on the self-fertilised or intercrossed plants of each generation with pollen from a non-related plant, grown under different conditions, as was done with the plants in Table C: for by this procedure I should have learnt how much the offspring became deteriorated through continued self-fertilisation in the successive generations. As the case stands, the self-fertilised plants of the successive generations in Table A were put into competition with and compared with intercrossed plants, which were probably deteriorated in some degree by being more or less inter-related and grown under similar conditions. Nevertheless, had I always followed the plan in Table C. I should not have discovered the important fact that, although a cross between plants which are rather closely related and which had been subjected to closely similar conditions, gives during several generations some advantage to the offspring, yet that after a time they may be intercrossed with no advantage whatever to the offspring. Nor should I have learnt that the self-fertilised plants of the later generations might

be crossed with intercrossed plants of the same stock with little or no advantage, although they profited to an extraordinary degree by a cross with a fresh stock.

With respect to the greater number of the plants in Table A, nothing special need here be said; full particulars may be found under the head of each species by the aid of the Index. The figures in the right-hand column show the mean height of the selffertilised plants, that of the crossed plants with which they competed being represented by 100. No notice is here taken of the few cases in which crossed and self-fertilised plants were grown in the open ground, so as not to compete together. The table includes, as we have seen, plants belonging to fifty-four species, but as some of these were measured during several successive generations, there are eighty-three cases in which crossed and self-fertilised plants were compared. As in each generation the number of plants which were measured (given in the table) was never very large and sometimes small, whenever in the right-hand column the mean height of the crossed and self-fertilised plants is the same within five per cent., their heights may be considered as practically equal. Of such cases, that is, of self-fertilised plants of which the mean height is expressed by figures between 95 and 105, there are eighteen, either in some one or all the generations. There are eight cases in which the selffertilised plants exceed the crossed by above five per cent., as shown by the figures in the right-hand column being above 105. Lastly, there are fifty-seven cases in which the crossed plants exceed the self-fertilised in a ratio of at least 100 to 95, and generally in a much higher degree.

If the relative heights of the crossed and self-fertilised plants had been due to mere chance, there would have been about as many cases of self-fertilised plants exceeding the crossed in height by above five per cent. as of the crossed thus exceeding the self-fertilised : but we see that of the latter there are fifty-seven cases, and of the former only eight cases; so that the cases in which the crossed plants exceed in height the selffertilised in the above proportion are more than seven times as numerous as those in which the self-fertilised exceed the crossed in the same proportion. For our special purpose of comparing the powers of growth of crossed and self-fertilised plants, it may be said that in fifty-seven cases the crossed plants exceeded the self-fertilised by more than five per cent., and that in twenty-six cases (18+8) they did not thus exceed them. But we shall now show that in several of these twenty-six cases the crossed plants had a decided advantage over the self-fertilised in other respects, though not in height; that in other cases the mean heights are not trustworthy, owing to too few plants having been measured, or to their having grown unequally from being unhealthy, or to both causes combined. Nevertheless, as these cases are opposed to my general conclusion I have felt bound to give them. Lastly, the cause of the crossed plants having no advantage over the self-fertilised can be explained in some other cases. Thus a very small residue is left in which the selffertilised plants appear, as far as my experiments serve, to be really equal or superior to the crossed plants.

We will now consider in some little detail the eighteen cases in which the self-fertilised plants equalled in average height the crossed plants within five per cent; and the eight cases in which the self-fertilised plants exceeded in average height the crossed plants by above five per cent; making altogether twenty-six cases in which the crossed plants were not taller than the self-fertilised plants in any marked degree.

(1.) Dianthus caryonhullus (third generation).—This plant was experimented on during four generations, in three of which the crossed plants exceeded in height the self-fertilised generally by much more than five per cent; and we have seen under Table C that the offspring from the plants of the third self-fertilised generation crossed by a fresh stock profited in height and fertility to an extraordinary degree. But in this third generation the crossed plants of the same stock were in height to the selffertilised only as 100 to 99, that is, they were practically equal. Nevertheless, when the eight crossed and eight self-fertilised plants were cut down and weighed, the former were to the latter in weight as 100 to 49! There can therefore be not the least doubt that the crossed plants of this species are greatly superior in vigour and luxuriance to the self-fertilised; and what was the cause of the self-fertilised plants of the third generation, though so light and thin, growing up so as almost to equal the crossed in height, I cannot explain, (2.) Lobelia fulgens (first generation). - The crossed plants of

(2.) Include program (print generation)—The crossed plants of the properties of 100 to 127. Although only two pairs were measured, which is obviously much too few to be trusted, yet from other evidence given under the head of this species, it is certain that the self-fertilled plants were very much now viprous than the crossed. As I used pollon of unequal more viprous than the crossed. As I used pollon of unequal possible that the great difference in the growth of their offspring may have been due to this cause. In the next generation, this source of error was avoided, and many more plants were raised, and now the average height of the twenty-three crossed plants was to that of the twenty-three self-fertilleed plants as 100 to the was to that of the twenty-three self-fertilleed plants as 100 to the species.

(3) Petunia violous (third generation)—Eight crossed plants were to eight self-écrilised of the third generation in average height as 100 to 131; and at an early age the crossed were inferior even in a still higher degree. But it is a remarkable fact that in one poin which plants of both lots grew extremely crowded, the crossed were thrice as tall as the self-fertilised. As in the two proceeding and two succeeding generations, as well as

with plants raised by a cross with a fresh stock, the crossed greatly exceeded the self-fertilised in height, weight, and fertility (when these two latter points were attended to), the present case must be looked at as an anomaly not affecting the general rule. The most probable explanation is that the plants grew prematurely, owing to the seeds of the last generation not having been well ripened; for I have observed an analogous case with Iberis. Self-fertilised seedlings of this latter plant, which were known to have been produced from seeds not well matured, grew from the first much more quickly than the crossed plants, which were raised from better matured seeds: so that having thus once got a great start they were enabled ever afterwards to retain their advantage. Some of these same seeds of the Iberis were sown on the opposite sides of pots filled with burnt earth and pure sand, not containing any organic matter; and now the young crossed seedlings grew during their short life to double the height of the self-fertilised, in the same manner as occurred with the above two sets of seedlings of Petunia which were much crowded and thus exposed to very unfavourable conditions. We have seen also in the eighth generation of Ipomœa that selffertilised seedlings raised from unhealthy parents grew at first very much more quickly than the crossed seedlings, so that they were for a long time much taller, though ultimately beaten by them.

(4. 5. 6.) Eschscholtzia californica.—Four sets of measurements are given in Table A. In one of these the crossed plants exceed the self-fertilised in average height, so that this is not one of the exceptions here to be considered. In two other cases the crossed equalled the self-fertilised in height within five per cent : and in the fourth case the self-fertilised exceeded the crossed by above this limit. We have seen in Table C that the whole advantage of a cross by a fresh stock is confined to the number of seeds produced, and so it was with the disadvantage from self-fertilisation with the intercrossed plants of the same stock compared with the self-fertilised, for the former were in fertility to the latter as 100 to 89. The intercrossed plants thus have at least one important advantage over the self-fertilised. Moreover, the flowers on the parent-plants when fertilised with pollen from another individual of the same stock yield far more seeds than when self-fertilised: the flowers in this latter case being often quite sterile. We may therefore conclude that a cross does some good, though it does not give to the crossed seedlings increased powers of growth.

(7.) Viscaria oculata.—The average height of the fifteen intercrossed plants to that of the fifteen self-fertilised plants was only as 100 to 97; but the former produced many more capsules than the latter, in the ratio of 100 to 77. Moreover, the flowers on the parent-plants which were crossed and self-fertilised, yielded seeds on one occasion in the proportion of 100 to 38, and on a second occasion in the proportion of 100 to 58. So that there can be no doubt about the beneficial effects of a cross, although the mean height of the crossed plants was only three per cent. above that of the self-fertilised plants. (8.) Specularia speculum.—Only the four tallest of the crossed

and the four tallest of the self-fertilised plants, growing in four pots, were measured; and the former were to the latter in height as 100 to 98. In all four pots a crossed plant flowered before any one of the self-fertilised plants, and this is usually a safe indication of some real superiority in the crossed plants. The flowers on the parent-plants which were crossed with pollen from another plant yielded seeds compared with the self-fertilised flowers in the ratio of 100 to 72. We may therefore draw the same conclusion as in the last case with respect to a cross being decidedly beneficial.

(9.) Borago officinalis. - Only four crossed and four selffertilised plants were raised and measured, and the former were to the latter in height as 100 to 102. So small a number of measurements ought never to be trusted; and in the present instance the advantage of the self-fertilised over the crossed plants depended almost entirely on one of the self-fertilised plants having grown to an unusual height. All four crossed plants flowered before their self-fertilised opponents. The crossfertilised flowers on the parent-plants in comparison with the self-fertilised flowers yielded seeds in the proportion of 100 to 60. So that here again we may draw the same conclusion as in the two last cases.

(10.) Passiflora gracilis,-Only two crossed and two selffertilised plants were raised; and the former were to the latter in height as 100 to 104. On the other hand, fruits from the crossfertilised flowers on the parent-plants contained seeds in number. compared with those from the self-fertilised flowers, in the pro-

portion of 100 to 85.

(11.) Phaseolus multiflorus.—The five crossed plants were to the five self-fertilised in height as 100 to 96. Although the crossed plants were thus only four per cent, taller than the self-fertilised, they flowered in both pots before them. It is therefore probable that they had some real advantage over the self-fertilised plants.

(12.) Adonis astivalis,-The four crossed plants were almost exactly equal in height to the four self-fertilised plants, but as so few plants were measured, and as these were all "miserably unhealthy," nothing can be inferred with safety with respect to

their relative heights.

- (13.) Bartonia aurea,-The eight crossed plants were to the eight self-fertilised in height as 100 to 107. This number of plants, considering the care with which they were raised and compared, ought to have given a trustworthy result. But from some unknown cause they grew very unequally, and they became so unhealthy that only three of the crossed and three of the self-fertilised plants set any seeds, and these few in number. Under these circumstances the mean height of neither lot can be trusted, and the experiment is valueless. The crossfertilised flowers on the parent-plants yielded rather more seeds than the self-fertilised flowers.
- (14.) Thunbergia alata,-The six crossed plants were to the six self-fertilised in height as 100 to 108. Here the self-fertilised plants seem to have a decided advantage; but both lots grew unequally, some of the plants in both being more than twice as tall as others. The parent-plants also were in an odd semisterile condition. Under these circumstances the superiority of the self-fertilised plants cannot be fully trusted.
- (15.) Nolana prostrata,-The five crossed plants were to the five self-fertilised in height as 100 to 105; so that the latter seem here to have a small but decided advantage. On the other hand, the flowers on the parent-plants which were cross-fertilised produced very many more capsules than the self-fertilised flowers, in the ratio of 100 to 21; and the seeds which the former contained were heavier than an equal number from the self-fertilised cansules in the ratio of 100 to 82.

(16.) Hibiscus africanus,-Only four pairs were raised, and the crossed were to the self-fertilised in height as 100 to 109. Excepting that too few plants were measured, I know of nothing else to cause distrust in the result. The cross-fertilised flowers on the parent-plants were, on the other hand, rather more productive than the self-fertilised flowers.

(17.) Apium petroselinum.-A few plants (number not recorded) derived from flowers believed to have been crossed by insects and a few self-fertilised plants were grown on the opposite sides of four pots. They attained to a nearly equal height, the crossed having a very slight advantage.

- (18.) Vandellia nummularifolia.—Twenty crossed plants raised from the seeds of perfect flowers were to twenty self-fertilised plants, likewise raised from the seeds of perfect flowers, in height as 100 to 99. The experiment was repeated, with the sole difference that the plants were allowed to grow more crowded; and now the twenty-four tallest of the crossed plants were to the twenty-four tallest self-fertilised plants in height as 100 to 94, and in weight as 100 to 97. Moreover, a larger number of the crossed than of the self-fertilised plants grew to a moderate height. The above-mentioned twenty crossed plants were also grown in competition with twenty self-fertilised plants raised from the closed or cleistogamic flowers, and their heights were as 100 to 94. Therefore had it not been for the first trial, in which the crossed plants were to the self-fertilised in height only as 100 to 99, this species might have been classed with those in which the crossed plants exceed the self-fertilised by above five per cent. On the other hand, the crossed plants in the second trial bore fewer capstiles, and these contained fewer seeds, than did the self-fertilised plants, all the capsules having been produced by cleistogamic flowers. The whole case therefore must be left doubtful.
- (13) Pissus satismus (common pea).—Four plants derived from a cross between individuals of the same variety were in height to four self-fertilised plants belonging to the same variety as 100 to 115. Although this cross did no pood, we have seen under Table C that a cross between distinct varieties adds groully to the height and viguou of the dispiring; and it was there explained that the fact of a cross between the individuals of the same variety in the contract of the c
- (20, 21, 22) Comes unrecession.—Plants belonging to three generations were observed, and in all of three the crossed were approximately equal to the self-fertilized; the average height of the thirty-four crossed plants being to that of the same number of self-fertilized plants as 100 to 101. Therefore the crossed plants being to that of the same number of self-fertilized; advantage over the self-fertilized; and it is probable that the same explanation here holds good as in the case of Planss antizons, for the flowers of this Cauma raperfectly

self-fertile, and were never seem to be visited by insects in the thothouse, so as to be crossed by them. This plant, moreover, has been cultivated under glass for several generation in pols, and therefore under nearly uniform conditions. The capsules produced by the cross-fertilized flowers on the above thirty-four crossed plants contained more seeds than did the capsules produced by the self-fertilized flowers on the self-fertilized plants, in the proportion of 100 to 85; so that in this respect crossing was beneficial. (23). Primule sineask—The offspring of plants, some of

which were legitimately and others Hightimately fertilized with pollen from distinct plant, were showed exactly of the same height as the offspring of self-fertilized plants; but the forme with rare exceptions flowered before the latter. I have shown in my work on heterostyled plants that this species is commonly raised in England from self-fertilized seed, and the plants from having been cultivated in pots have been subjected to nearly uniform conditions. Moreover, many of them are now varying uniform conditions. Moreover, many of them are now varying less degree equal-styled, and in consequence, highly except Pherefor's I believe that the cause of the crossed plants not exceeding in height the self-fertilized is the same as in the two previous cases of Psiess sateron and Camm.

(24, 25, 26.) Nicotiana tabacum,-Four sets of measurements were made; in one, the self-fertilised plants greatly exceeded in height the crossed, in two others they were approximately equal to the crossed, and in the fourth were beaten by them; but this latter case does not here concern us. The individual plants differ in constitution, so that the descendants of some profit by their parents having been intercrossed, whilst others do not Taking all three generations together, the twenty-seven crossed plants were in height to the twenty-seven self-fertilised plants as 100 to 96. This excess of height in the crossed plants is so small compared with that displayed by the offspring from the same mother-plants when crossed by a slightly different variety. that we may suspect (as explained under Table C) that most of the individuals belonging to the variety which served as the mother-plants in my experiments, had acquired a nearly similar constitution, so as not to profit by being mutually intercrossed.

Reviewing these twenty-six cases, in which the crossed plants either do not exceed the self-fertilised

by above five per cent. in height, or are inferior to them, we may conclude that much the greater number of the cases do not form real exceptions to the rule. -that a cross between two plants, unless these have been self-fertilised and exposed to nearly the same conditions for many generations, gives a great advantage of some kind to the offspring. Of the twenty-six cases, at least two, namely, those of Adonis and Bartonia, may be wholly excluded, as the trials were worthless from the extreme unhealthiness of the plants. In twelve other cases (three trials with Eschscholtzia here included) the crossed plants either were superior in height to the self-fertilised in all the other generations excepting the one in question, or they showed their superiority in some different manner, as in weight, fertility, or in flowering first; or again, the cross-fertilised flowers on the mother-plant were much more productive of seed than the self-fertilised.

Deducting these fourteen cases, there remain twelve in which the crossed plants show no well-marked advantage over the self-fertilised. On the other hand, we have seen that there are fifty-seven cases in which the crossed plants exceed the self-fertilised in height by at least five per cent., and generally in a much higher degree. But even in the twelve cases just referred to, the want of any advantage on the crossed side is far from certain: with Thunbergia the parentplants were in an odd semi-sterile condition, and the offspring grew very unequally; with Hibiscus and Apium much too few plants were raised for the measurements to be trusted, and the cross-fertilised flowers of Hibiscus produced rather more seed than did the selffertilised; with Vandellia the crossed plants were a little taller and heavier than the self-fertilised, but as they were less fertile the case must be left doubtful.

Lastly, with Pisum, Primula, the three generations of Canna, and the three of Nicotiana (which together complete the twelve cases), a cross between two plants certainly did no good or very little good to the offspring; but we have reason to suspect that this is the result of these plants having been self-fertilised and cultivated under nearly uniform conditions for several generations. The same result followed with the experimental plants of Ipomœa and Mimulus, and to a certain extent with some other species, which had been intentionally treated by me in this manner; yet we know that these species in their normal condition profit greatly by being intercrossed. There is, therefore, not a single case in Table A which affords decisive evidence against the rule that a cross between plants, the progenitors of which have been subjected to somewhat diversified conditions, is beneficial to the offspring. This is a surprising conclusion, for from the analogy of domesticated animals it could not have been anticipated, that the good effects of crossing or the evil effects of selffertilisation would have been perceptible until the plants had been thus treated for several generations.

The results given in Table A may be looked at under another point of view. Hitherto each generation has been considered as a separate case, of which there are eighty-three; and this no doubt is the more correct method of comparing the crossed and selffertilised plants.

But in those cases in which plants of the same species were observed during several generations, a general average of their heights in all the generations together may be made; and such averages are given in Table A; for instance, under Ipomosa the general average for the plants of all ten generations is as 100 for the crossed, to 77 for the self-fertilised

plants. This having been done in each case in which more than one generation was raised, it is easy to calculate the average of the average heights of the crossed and self-fertilised plants of all the species included in Table A. It should however be observed that as only a few plants of some species, whilst a considerable number of others, were measured, the value of the mean or average heights of the several species is very different. Subject to this source of error, it may be worth while to give the mean of the mean heights of the fifty-four species in Table A; and the result is, calling the mean of the mean heights of the crossed plants 100, that of the self-fertilised plants is 87. But it is a better plan to divide the fifty-four species into three groups, as was done with the previously given eighty-three cases. The first group consists of species of which the mean heights of the self-fertilised plants are within five per cent. of 100; so that the crossed and self-fertilised plants are approximately equal; and of such species there are twelve about which nothing need be said, the mean of the mean heights of the self-fertilised being of course very nearly 100, or exactly 99.58. The second group consists of the species, thirty-seven in number, of which the mean heights of the crossed plants exceed that of the self-fertilised plants by more than five per cent.; and the mean of their mean heights is to that of the self-fertilised plants as 100 to 78. The third group consists of the species. only five in number, of which the mean heights of the self-fertilised plants exceed that of the crossed by more than five per cent.; and here the mean of the mean heights of the crossed plants is to that of the self-fertilised as 100 to 109. Therefore if we exclude the species which are approximately equal, there are thirty-seven species in which the mean of the mean heights of the crossed plants exceeds that of the selffertilised by temty-two per cent; whereas there are only five species in which the mean of the mean heights of the self-fertilised plants exceeds that of the crossed, and this only by nine per cent.

The truth of the conclusion-that the good effects of a cross depend on the plants having been subjected to different conditions or to their belonging to different varieties, in both of which cases they would almost certainly differ somewhat in constitution-is supported by a comparison of the Tables A and C. The latter table gives the results of crossing plants with a fresh stock or with a distinct variety; and the superiority of the crossed offspring over the self-fertilised is here much more general and much more strongly marked than in Table A, in which plants of the same stock were crossed. We have just seen that the mean of the mean heights of the crossed plants of the whole fiftyfour species in Table A is to that of the self-fertilised plants as 100 to 87; whereas the mean of the mean heights of the plants crossed by a fresh stock is to that of the self-fertilised in Table C as 100 to 74. So that the crossed plants beat the self-fertilised plants by thirteen per cent in Table A, and by twenty-six per cent., or double as much, in Table C, which includes the results of a cross by a fresh stock.

#### TABLE B.

A few words must be added on the weights of the crossed plants of the same stock, in comparison with the self-fertilised. Eleven cases are given in Table B, relating to eight species. The number of plants which were weighed is shown in the two left columns, and their relative weights in the right

column, that of the crossed plants being taken as 100. A few other cases have already been recorded in Table C in reference to plants crossed by a fresh stock. I regret that more trials of this kind were not made, as the evidence of the superiority of the crossed over the self-fertilised plants is thus shown in a more conclusive manner than by their relative heights. But this plan was not thought of until a rather late period, and there were difficulties in the way, as the seeds had to be collected when ripe, by which time the plants had often begun to wither. In only one out of the eleven cases in Table B, that of Eschscholtzia, do the self-fertilised plants exceed the crossed in weight; and we have already seen they are likewise superior to them in height, though inferior in fertility, the whole advantage of a cross being here confined to the reproductive system. With Vandellia the crossed plants were a little heavier, as they were also a little taller than the self-fertilised; but as a greater number of more productive capsules, were produced by the cleistogamic flowers on the self-fertilised plants than by those on the crossed plants, the case must be left, as remarked under Table A, altogether doubtful. The crossed and self-fertilised offspring from a partially self-sterile plant of Reseda odorata were almost equal in weight, though not in height. In the remaining eight cases, the crossed plants show a wonderful superiority over the self-fertilised, being more than double their weight, except in one case, and here the ratio is as high as 100 to 67. The results thus deduced from the weights of the plants confirm in a striking manner the former evidence of the beneficial effects of a cross between two plants of the same stock; and in the few cases in which plants derived from a cross with a fresh stock were weighed, the results are similar or even more striking.

## CHAPTER VIII.

DIFFERENCE BETWEEN CROSSED AND SELF-PERTILISED PLANTS IN CONSTITUTIONAL VIGOUR AND IN OTHER RESPECTS.

Greater constitutional vigour of crossed plants—The effects of great crowding—Competition with other kinds of plants—Self-fertilised plants more liable to premature death—Crossed plants generally lawer before the self-fertilised—Regardite effects of interceosing lawer before the self-fertilised Argentize effects of interceosing good effects of a cross to later generaled—Transmission of the good effects of a cross to later generaled—Transmission of the plants of closely related parameter—Uniform educe of the flowers on plants self-fertilised during several generations and cultivated under similar conditions.

Greater constitutional Vigour of crossed Plants.—As in almost all my experiments an equal number of crossed and self-fertilised seeds, or more commonly seedlings just beginning to sprout, were planted on the opposite sides of the same pots, they had to compete with one another; and the greater height, weight, and fertility of the crossed plants may be attributed to their possessing greater innate constitutional vigour. Generally the plants of the two lots whilst very young were of equal height; but afterwards the crossed gained insensibly on their opponents, and this shows that they possessed some inherent superiority, though not displayed at a very early period of life. There were, however, some conspicuous exceptions to the rule of the two lots being at first equal in height; thus the crossed seedlings of the broom (Sarothamnus scoparius) when under three inches in height were more than twice as tall as the self-fertilised plants,

After the crossed or the self-fertilised plants had once grown decidedly taller than their opponents, a still increasing advantage would tend to follow from the stronger plants robbing the weaker ones of nourishment and overshadowing them. This was evidently the case with the crossed plants of Viola tricolor, which ultimately quite overwhelmed the selffertilised. But that the crossed plants have an inherent superiority, independently of competition, was sometimes well shown when both lots were planted separately, not far distant from one another, in good soil in the open ground. This was likewise shown in several cases, even with plants growing in close competition with one another, by one of the self-fertilised plants exceeding for a time its crossed opponent, which had been injured by some accident or was at first sickly, but being ultimately conquered by it. The plants of the eighth generation of Ipomœa were raised from small seeds produced by unhealthy parents, and the self-fertilised plants grew at first very rapidly, so that when the plants of both lots were about three feet in height, the mean height of the crossed to that of the self-fertilised was as 100 to 122; when they were about six feet high the two lots were very nearly equal, but ultimately when between eight and nine feet in height, the crossed plants asserted their usual superiority, and were to the self-fertilised in height as 100 to 85.

The constitutional superiority of the crossed ever the self-certilised plants was proved in another way in the self-certilised plants was proved in another way in the third generation of Minudus, by self-fertilised seeds being sown on one side of a pot, and after a certain interval of time crossed seeds on the opposite side. The self-fertilised seedlings thus had (for I ascertained that the seeds germinated simultaneously) a clear advantage over the crossed in the start for the nec. Nevertheless they were easily beaten (as may be seen under the head of Mimulas) when the crossed seeds were sown two whole days after the self-fertilised. But when the interval was four days, the two lots were nearly equal throughout life. Even in this latter case the crossed plants still possessed an inherent advantage, for after both lots had grown to their full height they were cut down, and without being disturbed were transferred to a larger pot, and when in the ensuing year they had again grown to their full height they were measured; and now the tallest crossed plants were to the tallest self-fertilised plants in height as 100 to 75, and in fertility (i.e., by weight of seeds produced by an equal number of cassules from both 164s) as 100 to 84.

My usual method of proceeding, namely, to plant several pairs of crossed and self-fertilised seeds in an equal state of germination on the opposite sides of the same pots, so that the plants were subjected to moderately severe mutual competition, was I think the best that could have been followed, and was a fair test of what occurs in a state of nature. For plants sown by nature generally come up crowded, and are almost always exposed to very severe competition with one another and with other kinds of plants. This latter consideration led me to make some trials, chiefly but not exclusively with Ipomœa and Mimulus, by sowing crossed and self-fertilised seeds on the opposite sides of large pots in which other plants had long been growing, or in the midst of other plants out of doors. The seedlings were thus subjected to very severe competition with plants of other kinds; and in all such cases, the crossed seedlings exhibited a great superiority in their power of growth over the selffertilised.

After the germinating seedlings had been planted in pairs on the opposite sides of several pots, the remaining seeds, whether or not in a state of germination, were in most cases sown very thickly on the two sides of an additional large pot; so that the seedlings came up extremely crowded, and were subjected to extremely severe competition and unfavourable conditions. In such cases the crossed plants almost invariably showed a greater superiority over the self-fertilised, than did the plants which gree in pairs in the pots.

Sometimes crossed and self-fertilised seeds were sown in separate rows in the open ground, which was kept clear of weeds; so that the seedlings were not subjected to any competition with other kinds of plants. Those however in each row had to struggle with the adjoining ones in the same row. When fully grown, several of the tallest plants in each row were selected, measured, and compared. The result was in several cases (but not so invariably as might have been expected) that the crossed plants did not exceed in height the self-fertilised in nearly so great a degree as when grown in pairs in the pots. Thus with the plants of Digitalis, which competed together in pots, the crossed were to the self-fertilised in height as 100 to 70; whilst those which were grown separately were only as 100 to 85. Nearly the same result was observed with Brassica. With Nicotiana the crossed were to the self-fertilised plants in height, when grown extremely crowded together in pots, as 100 to 54; when grown much less crowded in pots as 100 to 66, and when grown in the open ground, so as to be subjected to but little competition, as 100 to 72. On the other hand with Zea, there was a greater difference in height between the crossed and self-fertilised plants growing out of doors, than between the pairs which

grew in pots in the hothouse; but this may be attributed to the self-fertilised plants being more tender, so that they suffered more than the crossed, when both lots were exposed to a cold and wet summer Lastly, with one out of two series of Reseda odorata, grown out of doors in rows, as well as with Beta vulgaris, the crossed plants did not at all exceed the selffertilised in height, or exceeded them by a mere trifle.

The innate power of the crossed plants to resist unfavourable conditions far better than did the selffertilised plants, was shown on two occasions in a curious manner, namely, with Iberis and in the third generation of Petunia, by the great superiority in height of the crossed over the self-fertilised seedlings, when both sets were grown under extremely unfavourable conditions; whereas owing to special circumstances exactly the reverse occurred with the plants raised from the same seeds and grown in pairs in pots. A nearly analogous case was observed on two other occasions with plants of the first generation of Nicotiana.

The crossed plants always withstood the injurious effects of being suddenly removed into the open air after having been kept in the greenhouse better than did the self-fertilised. On several occasions they also resisted much better cold and intemperate weather. This was manifestly the case with some crossed and self-fertilised plants of Ipomœa, which were suddenly moved from the hothouse to the coldest part of a cool greenhouse. The offspring of plants of the eighth self-fertilised generation of Mimulus crossed by a fresh stock, survived a frost which killed every single selffertilised and intercrossed plant of the same old stock. Nearly the same result followed with some crossed and self-fertilised plants of Viola tricolor. Even the tips scoparius were not touched by a very severe winter: whereas all the self-fertilised plants were killed halfway down to the ground, so that they were not able to flower during the next summer. Young crossed seedlings of Nicotiana withstood a cold and wet summer much better than the self-fertilised seedlings. I have met with only one exception to the rule of crossed plants being hardier than the self-fertilised: three long rows of Eschscholtzia plants, consisting of crossed seedlings from a fresh stock, of intercrossed seedlings of the same stock, and of self-fertilised ones, were left unprotected during a severe winter, and all perished except two of the self-fertilised. But this case is not so anomalous as it at first appears, for it should be remembered that the self-fertilised plants of Eschscholtzia always grow taller and are heavier than the crossed; the whole benefit of a cross with this species being confined to increased fertility.

Independently of any external cause which could be detected, the self-fertilised plants were more liable to premature death than were the crossed; and this seems to me a curious fact. Whilst the seedlings were very young, if one died its antagonist was pulled up and thrown away, and I believe that many more of the self-fertilised died at this early age than of the crossed; but I neglected to keep any record. With Beta vulgaris, however, it is certain that a large number of the self-fertilised seeds perished after germinating beneath the ground, whereas the crossed seeds sown at the same time did not thus suffer. When a plant died at a somewhat more advanced age the fact was recorded; and I find in my notes that out of several hundred plants, only seven of the crossed died, whilst of the self-fertilised at least twenty-nine were thus lost, that is more than four times as many, Mr. Galton,

after examining some of my tables, remarks: "It is very vident that the columns with the self-fertilised plants include the larger number of exceptionally small plants;" and the frequent presence of such puny plants no doubt stands in close relation with their liability to premature death. The self-fertilised plants of Petunia completed their growth and began to wither sooner than did the intercrossed plants; and these latter considerably before the offspring from a cross with a fresh stock.

Period of Flowering.—In some cases, as with Digitalis. Dianthus, and Reseda, a larger number of the crossed than of the self-fertilised plants threw up flower-stems: but this probably was merely the result of their greater power of growth; for in the first generation of Lobelia fulgens, in which the self-fertilised plants greatly exceeded in height the crossed plants, some of the latter failed to throw up flower-stems. With a large number of species, the crossed plants exhibited a well-marked tendency to flower before the self-fertilised ones growing in the same pots. It should however be remarked that no record was kept of the flowering of many of the species; and when a record was kept, the flowering of the first plant in each pot was alone observed, although two or more pairs grew in the same pot. I will now give three lists, -one of the species in which the first plant that flowered was a crossed one -a second in which the first that flowered was a self-fertilised plant,-and a third of those which flowered at the same time.

Species, of which the first Plants that flowered were of Crossed Parentage.

Ipomaa purpurea.—I record in my notes that in all ten generations many of the crossed plants flowered before the selffertilised; but no details were kept.

п 2

Mimulus luteus (First Generation).-Ten flowers on the crossed

plants were fully expanded before one on the self-fertilised. Mimulus luteus (Second and Third Generation) .- In both these generations a crossed plant flowered before one of the selffertilised in all three pots.

Mimulus luteus (Fifth Generation).-In all three pots a crossed plant flowered first; yet the self-fertilised plants, which belonged to the new tall variety, were in height to the

crossed as 126 to 100. Mimulus luteus.-Plants derived from a cross with a fresh stock,

as well as the intercrossed plants of the old stock, flowered before the self-fertilised plants in nine out of the ten pots. Salvia coccinea.-A crossed plant flowered before any one of the

self-fertilised in all three pots, Origanum vulgare.-During two successive seasons several

crossed plants flowered before the self-fertilised. Brassica oleracea (First Generation).-All the crossed plants

growing in pots and in the open ground flowered first. Brassica oleracea (Second Generation).—A crossed plant in

three out of the four pots flowered before any one of the self-fertilised. Iberis umbellata.—In both pots a crossed plant flowered first.

Eschecholtzia californica.-Plants derived from the Brazilian

stock crossed by the English stock flowered in five out of the nine pots first; in four of them a self-fertilised plant flowered first; and not in one pot did an intercrossed plant of the old stock flower first. Viola tricolor .- A crossed plant in five out of the six pots

flowered before any one of the self-fertilised. Dianthus caryophyllus (First Generation).-In two large beds

of plants, four of the crossed plants flowered before any one of the self-fertilised. Dianthus caryophyllus (Second Generation).-In both pots a

crossed plant flowered first, Dianthus carnophyllus (Third Generation).- In three out of

the four pots a crossed plant flowered first; yet the crossed were to the self-fertilised in height only as 100 to 99, but in weight as 100 to 49.

Dianthus caryophyllus.—Plants derived from a cross with a fresh stock, and the intercrossed plants of the old stock, both flowered before the self-fertilised in nine out of the ten pots. Hibiscus africanus.-In three out of the four pots a crossed

plant flowered before any one of the self-fertilised; yet the latter were to the crossed in height as 109 to 100.

Tropsolum minus.—A crossed in height as 103 to 100.

Tropsolum minus.—A crossed plant flowered before any one of
the self-fertilised in three out of the four pots, and simul-

taneously in the fourth pot. Limnanthes douglasii.—A crossed plant flowered before any one of the self-fertilised in four out of the five pots.

Phaseolus multiflorus.—In both pots a crossed plant flowered first.

Specularia speculum,—In all four pots a crossed plant flowered first.

Lobelia ramosa (First Generation).—In all four pots a crossed

Lobelia ramosa (First Generation).—In all four pots a crossed plant flowered before any one of the self-fertilised.

Lobelia ramosa (Second Generation).—In all four pots a crossed plant flowered some days before any one of the selffertilised.

Nemophila insignis.—In four out of the five pots a crossed plant flowered first.

Boraco efficinalis.—In both pots a crossed plant flowered first.

Borago officinalis,—In both pots a crossed plant flowered first.
Petunia violacea (Second Generation),—In all three pots a crossed plant flowered first.

Nicotiana tabacum.—A plant derived from a cross with a fresh stock flowered before any one of the self-fertilised plants of the fourth generation, in fifteen out of the sixteen pots.

Cyclamen persicum.—During two successive seasons a crossed plant flowered some weeks before any one of the self-fertilised in all four pots.

Primula veris (equal-styled var.).—In all three pots a crossed plant flowered first.

Primula sinensis,—In all four pots plants derived from an illegitimate cross between distinct plants flowered before any one of the self-fertilised plants.

Primula sinensis.—A legitimately crossed plant flowered before any one of the self-fertilised plants in seven out of the eight pots.

Fugopyrum esculentum.—A legitimately crossed plant flowered from one to two days before any one of the self-fertilised plants in all three pots.

Zea mays.-In all four pots a crossed plant flowered first.

Phalaris canariensis.—The crossed plants flowered before the self-fertilised in the open ground, but simultaneously in the pots.

### Species, of which the first Plants that flowered were of Self-fertilised Parentage.

Eschscholtzia californica (First Generation).—The crossed plants were at first taller than the self-fertilised, but on their second growth during the following year the self-fertilised exceeded the crossed in height, and now they flowered first in three out of the four pots.

Lupinus luteus.-Although the crossed plants were to the selffertilised in height as 100 to 82; yet in all three pots the

self-fertilised plants flowered first.

Clarkia elegans.-Although the crossed plants were, as in the last case, to the self-fertilised in height as 100 to 82, yet in Lobelia fulgens (First Generation).—The crossed plants were to

the self-fertilised in height only as 100 to 127, and the latter flowered much before the crossed.

Petunia violacea (Third Generation).—The crossed plants were to the self-fertilised in height as 100 to 131, and in three out of the four pots a self-fertilised plant flowered first: in

the fourth pot simultaneously. Petunia violacea (Fourth Generation).-Although the crossed plants were to the self-fertilised in height as 100 to 69, yet in three out of the five pots a self-fertilised plant flowered

first; in the fourth pot simultaneously, and only in the fifth did a crossed plant flower first. Nicotiana tabacum (First Generation).-The crossed plants were to the self-fertilised in height only as 100 to 178, and

a self-fertilised plant flowered first in all four pots. Nicotiana tabacum (Third Generation).-The crossed plants

were to the self-fertilised in height as 100 to 101, and in four out of the five pots a self-fertilised plant flowered first, Canna warscewiczi,-In the three generations taken together the

crossed were to the self-fertilised in height as 100 to 101; in the first generation the self-fertilised plants showed some tendency to flower first, and in the third generation they flowered first in nine out of the twelve pots.

#### Species in which the Crossed and Self-fertilised Plants flowered almost simultaneously.

Mimulus luteus (Sixth Generation).—The crossed plants were inferior in height and vigour to the self-fertilised plants

which all belonged to the new white-flowered tall variety. yet in only half the pots did the self-fertilised plants flower first, and in the other half the crossed plants. Viscaria oculata, -The crossed plants were only a little taller

than the self-fertilised (viz., as 100 to 97), but considerably more fertile, yet both lots flowered almost simultaneously.

Lathyrus odoratus (Second Generation).-Although the crossed plants were to the self-fertilised in height as 100 to 88, vet there was no marked difference in their period of flowering. Lobelia fulgens (Second Generation) .- Although the crossed

plants were to the self-fertilised in height as 100 to 91, yet they flowered simultaneously. Nicotiana tabacum (Third Generation).—Although the crossed

plants were to the self-fertilised in height as 100 to 83, yet in half the pots a self-fertilised plant flowered first, and in the other half a crossed plant.

These three lists include fifty-eight cases, in which the period of flowering of the crossed and self-fertilised plants was recorded. In forty-four of them a crossed plant flowered first either in a majority of the pots or in all; in nine instances a self-fertilised plant flowered first, and in five the two lots flowered simultaneously, One of the most striking cases is that of Cyclamen, in which the crossed plants flowered some weeks before the self-fertilised in all four pots during two seasons. In the second generation of Lobelia ramosa, a crossed plant flowered in all four pots some days before any one of the self-fertilised. Plants derived from a cross with a fresh stock generally showed a very strongly marked tendency to flower before the selffertilised and the intercrossed plants of the old stock : all three lots growing in the same pots. Thus with Mimulus and Dianthus, in only one pot out of ten, and in Nicotiana in only one pot out of sixteen, did a selffertilised plant flower before the plants of the two crossed kinds,-these latter flowering almost simultaneously.

A consideration of the two first lists, especially of the second one, shows that a tendency to flower first is generally connected with greater power of growth, that is, with greater height. But there are some remarkable exceptions to this rule, proving that some other cause comes into play. Thus the crossed plants both of Lupinus luteus and Clarkia elegans were to the selffertilised plants in height as 100 to 82, and yet the latter flowered first. In the third generation of Nicotiana, and in all three generations of Canna, the crossed and self-fertilised plants were of nearly equal height, yet the self-fertilised tended to flower first, On the other hand, with Primula sinensis, plants raised from a cross between two distinct individuals, whether these were legitimately or illegitimately crossed, flowered before the illegitimately self-fertilised plants, although all the plants were of nearly equal height in both cases. So it was with respect to height and flowering with Phaseolus, Specularia, and Borago. The crossed plants of Hibiscus were inferior in height to the self-fertilised, in the ratio of 100 to 109, and yet they flowered before the self-fertilised in three out of the four pots. On the whole, there can be no doubt that the crossed plants exhibit a tendency to flower before the self-fertilised, almost though not quite so strongly marked as to grow to a greater height, to weigh more, and to be more fertile.

A few other cases not included in the above three lists deserve notice. In all three pots of Viola tricolor, naturally crossed plants the offspring of crossed plants flowered before naturally crossed plants the offspring of self-fertilised plants. Flowers on two plants, both of self-fertilised parentage, of the sixth generation of Mimulus luteus were intercrossed, and other flowers on the same plants were fertilised with their own pollen;

intercrossed seedlings and seedlings of the seventh self-fertilised generation were thus raised, and the latter flowered before the intercrossed in three out of the five pots. Flowers on a plant both of Minulus luteus and of Ipomæa purpurea were crossed with pollen from other flowers on the same plant, and other flowers were fertilised with their own pollen; intercrossed seedlings of this peculiar kind, and others strictly selffertilised being thus raised. In the case of the Mimulus the self-fertilised plants flowered first in seven out of the eight pots, and in the case of the Ipomœa in eight out of the ten pots; so that an intercross between the flowers on the same plant was very far from giving to the offspring thus raised, any advantage over the strictly self-fertilised plants in their period of flowering.

# The Effects of crossing Flowers on the same Plant.

In the discussion on the results of a cross with a fresh stock, given under Table C in the last chapter, it was shown that the mere act of crossing by itself does no good; but that the advantages thus derived depend on the plants which are crossed, either consisting of distinct varieties which will almost certainly differ somewhat in constitution, or on the progenitors of the plants which are crossed, though identical in every external character, having been subjected to somewhat different conditions and having thus acquired some slight difference in constitution. All the flowers produced by the same plant have been developed from the same seed; those which expand at the same time have been exposed to exactly the same climatic influences; and the stems have all been nourished by the same roots. Therefore in accordance with the conclusion just referred to, no good ought to result from

crossing flowers on the same plant.\* In opposition to this conclusion is the fact that a bud is in one sense a distinct individual, and is capable of occasionally or even not rarely assuming new external characters, as well as new constitutional peculiarities. Plants raised from buds which have thus varied may be propagated for a great length of time by grafts, cuttings, &c., and sometimes even by seminal generation.† There exist also numerous species in which the flowers on the same plant differ from one another,—as in the sexual organs of monœcious and polygamous plants,-in the structure of the circumferential flowers in many Compositæ, Umbelliferæ, &c.,-in the structure of the central flower in some plants,-in the two kinds of flowers produced by cleistogamic species, - and in several other such cases. These instances clearly prove that the flowers on the same plant have often varied independently of one another in many important respects, such variations having been fixed,

<sup>\*</sup> It is, however, possible that the stamens which differ in length may produce pollen differing in might be made effective between the several flowers on the same plant. Mr. Macnab states (in a rhododendron differ in character: but the shorter stamens apparently are becoming rudimentary. dwarfed plants of Mirabilis raised by Naudin by the use of too few

ments have been made with respect to the stamens of Pelargo-nium. With some of the Melast-macem, seedlings raised by me from the shorter stamens, certhose raised from the longer stamens, with differently coloured shorter stamens are tending towards abortion. In the very different case of trimorphic hetero-styled plants, the two sets of stamens in the same flower have widely different fertilising powers

der Domestication,' chap, xi. 2nd edit. vol. i. p. 448.

like those on distinct plants during the development of species.

It was therefore necessary to ascertain by experiment what would be the effect of intercrossing flowers on the same plant, in comparison with fertilising them with their own pollen or crossing them with pollen from a distinct plant. Trials were carefully made on five genera belonging to four families; and in only one case, namely, Digitalis, did the offspring from a cross between the flowers on the same plant receive any benefit, and the benefit here was small compared with that derived from a cross between distinct plants. In the chapter on Fertility, when we consider the effects of cross-fertilisation and self-fertilisation on the productiveness of the parent-plants we shall arrive at nearly the same result, namely, that a cross between the flowers on the same plant does not at all increase the number of the seeds, or only occasionally and to a slight degree. I will now give an abstract of the results of the five trials which were made,

(1.) Digitally purpurea.—Seedlings raised from intercessed flowers on the same plant, and others from flowers fortilised with their own pollen, were grown in the usual manner in competition with one another on the opposite sides of ten pots. In this and the four following cases, the details may be found under the head of each species. In eight pots, in which the plants did not grow much crowded, the flower-stems on sixteen intercrossed plants were in height to those on sixteen self-fertilised plants, as 100 to 94. In the two other pots in which the plants grow much crowded, the flower-stems on nine intercrossed plants were in height to those on nine self-fertilised plants, as 100 to 90. That the intercrossed plants in these two latter pots had a real advantage over their self-fertilised

opponents, was well shown by their relative weights when cut down, which was as 100 to 78. The mean height of the flower-stems on the twenty-five intercrossed plants in the ten pots taken together, was to that of the flower-stems on the twenty-five self-fertilised plants, as 100 to 92. Thus the intercrossed plants were certainly superior to the self-fertilised in some degree; but their superiority was small compared with that of the offspring from a cross between distinct plants over the self-fertilised, this being in the ratio of 100 to 70 in height. Nor does this latter ratio show at all fairly the great superiority of the plants derived from a cross between distinct individuals over the self-fertilised, as the former produced more than twice as many flower-stems as the latter, and were much less liable to premature death.

(2.) Ipomæa purpurea.—Thirty-one intercrossed plants raised from a cross between flowers on the same plants were grown in ten pots in competition with the same number of self-fertilised plants, and the former were to the latter in height as 100 to 105. So that the self-fertilised plants were a little taller than the intercrossed; and in eight out of the ten pots a self-fertilised plant flowered before any one of the crossed plants in the same pots. The plants which were not greatly crowded in nine of the pots (and these offer the fairest standard of comparison) were cut down and weighed: and the weight of the twenty-seven intercrossed plants was to that of the twenty-seven self-fertilised as 100 to 124; so that by this test the superiority of the self-fertilised was strongly marked. To this subject of the superiority of the self-fertilised plants in certain cases, I shall have to recur in a future chapter. If we now turn to the offspring from a cross between distinct plants when put into competition with selffertilised plants, we find that the mean height of seventy-three such crossed plants, in the course of ten generations, was to that of the same number of self-fertilised plants as 100 to 77; and in the case of the plants of the tenth generation in weight as 100 to 44. Thus the contrast between the effects of crossing flowers on the same plant, and of crossing flowers on distinct plants, is wonderfully great. (3.) Minuble uteus.—Twenty-two plants raised by

(3.) Minimum tuteus.—I venuy-two pinnts based up crossing flowers on the same plant were grown in competition with the same number of self-fertilised plants; and the former were to the latter in height as 100 to 95, or if four dwarfed plants are excluded as 100 to 102. In seven out of the eight pots a self-fertilised plant flowered before any of the intercrossed. So that here again the self-fertilised exhibit a trifling superiority over the intercrossed plants. For the sake of comparison, I may add that seedings raised during three generations from a cross between distinct plants were to the self-fertilised plants in height as 100 to 65.

(4.) Pelaryonium zonale.—Two plants growing in separate pots, which had been propagated by euttings from the same plant, and therefore formed in fact parts of the same individual, were intercrossed, and therefores on one of these plants were self-fertilised; but the seedlings obtained by the two processes did not differ in height. When, on the other hand, flowers on one of the above plants were crossed with pollen taken from a distinct seedling, and other flowers were self-fertilised, the crossed offspring thus obtained were to the self-fertilised in height as 100 to 74.

(5.) Origanum vulgare.—A plant which had been long cultivated in my kitchen garden, had spread by stolons so as to form a large bed or clump. Seedlings

raised by intercrossing flowers on these plants, which strictly consisted of the same plant, and other seedlings raised from self-fertilised flowers, were carefully compared from their earliest youth to maturity; and they did not differ at all in height or in constitutional vigour. Some flowers on these seedlings were then crossed with pollen taken from a distinct seedling, and other flowers were self-fertilised; two fresh lots of seedlings being thus raised, which were the grandchildren of the plant that had spread by stolons and formed a large clump in my garden. These differed much in height, the crossed plants being to the self-fertilised as 100 to 86. They differed, also, to a wonderful degree in constitutional vigour. The crossed plants flowered first, and produced exactly twice as many flower-stems; and they afterwards increased by stolons to such an extent as almost to overwhelm the self-fertilised plants.

Reviewing these five cases, we see that in four of them, the effect of a cross between flowers on the same plant (even on offsets of the same plant growing on separate roots, as with the Pelargonium and Origanum) does not differ from that of the strictest selffertilisation. Indeed, in two of the cases the self-fertilised plants were slightly superior to such intercrossed plants. With Digitalis a cross between the flowers on the same plant certainly did do some good, yet very slight compared with that from a cross between distinct plants. On the whole the results here arrived at, if we bear in mind that the flower-buds are to a certain extent distinct individuals and occasionally vary independently of one another, agree well with our general conclusion, that the advantages of a cross depend on the progenitors of the crossed plants possessing somewhat different constitutions, either from having been

exposed to different conditions, or to their having varied from unknown causes in a manner which we no sur ignorance are forced to speak of as spontaneous. Hereafter I shall have to recur to this subject of the inefficiency of a cross between the flowers on the same plant, when we consider the part which insects play in the cross-fertilisation of flower.

On the Transmission of the good Effects from a Cross and of the cell Effects from Self-fertilization.—We have seen that seedlings from a cross between distinct plants almost always exceed their self-fertilised opponents in height, weight, and constitutional vigour, and, as will hereafter be shown, often in fertility. To ascertain whether this superiority would be transmitted beyond the first generation, seedlings were raised on three occasions from crossed and self-fertilised plants, both selts being fertilised in the same manner, and therefore not as in the many cases given in Tables A, B, and C, in which the crossed plants were again crossed and the self-fertilised again self-fertilised.

Firstly, seedlings were raised from self-fertilised seeds produced under a net by crossed and self-fertilised plants of Nemophila insignis; and the latter were to the former in height at 133 to 100. But these seedlings became very unhealthy early in life, and grew so unequally that in both lots some were five times at tall as the others. Therefore this experiment was quite worthless; but I have felt bound to give it, as opposed to my general conclusion. I should state that in this and the two following trials, both sets of plants were grown on the opposite sides of the same pots, and treated in all respects alike. The details of the experiments may be found under the head of each species.

Secondly, a crossed and a self-fertilised plant of Heartsease (Viola tricolor) grew near together in the open ground and near to other plants of heartsease; and as both produced an abundance of very fine capsules, the flowers on both were certainly cross-fertilised by insects. Seeds were collected from both plants, and seedlings raised from them. Those from the crossed plants flowered in all three pots before those from the self-fertilised plants; and when fully grown the former were to the latter in height as 100 to \$2.\text{ As both sets} of plants were the product of cross-fertilisation, the difference in their growth and period of flowering was clearly due to their parents having been of crossed and self-fertilised parentage; and it is equally clear that they transmitted different constitutional powers to their offspring, the grandchildren of the plants which were originally crossed and self-fertilised.

Thirdly, the Sweet Pea (Lathyrus odoratus) habitually fertilises itself in this country. As I possessed plants, the parents and grandparents of which had been artificially crossed and other plants descended from the same parents which had been self-fertilised for many previous generations, these two lots of plants were allowed to fertilise themselves under a net, and their self-fertilised seeds saved. The seedlings thus raised were grown in competition with each other in the usual manner, and differed in their powers of growth. Those from the self-fertilised plants which had been crossed during the two previous generations were to those from the plants self-fertilised during many previous generations in height as 100 to 90. These two lots of seeds were likewise tried by being sown under very unfavourable conditions in poor exhausted soil, and the plants whose grandparents and great-grandparents had been crossed showed in an unmistakable manner their superior constitutional vigour. In this case, as in that of the heartsease, there could be no doubt that

the advantage derived from a cross between two plants was not confined to the offspring of the first generation. That constitutional vigour due to cross-parentage is transmitted for many generations may also be inferred as highly probable, from some of Andrew Knight's varieties of the common pea, which were raised by crossing distinct varieties, after which time they no doubt fertilised themselves in each succeeding generation. These varieties lasted for upwards of sixty years, "but their glory is now departed." \* On the other hand, most of the varieties of the common pea, which there is no reason to suppose owe their origin to a cross, have had a much shorter existence. Some also of Mr. Laxton's varieties produced by artificial crosses have retained their astonishing vigour and luxuriance for a considerable number of generations; but as Mr. Laxton informs me, his experience does not extend beyond twelve generations, within which period he has never perceived any diminution of vigour in his plants.

An allied point may be here noticed. As the force of inheritance is strong with plants (of which abundant evidence could be given), it is almost certain that seed-lings from the same capsule or from the same plant would tend to inherit nearly the same constitution; and as the advantage from a cross depends on the plants which are crossed differing somewhat in constitution, it may be inferred as probable that under similar conditions a cross between the nearest relations would not benefit the offspring so much as one between non-related plants. In support of this conclusion we have some evidence, as Fritz Muller has shown by his

<sup>\*</sup> See the evidence on this mestication,' chap. ix. vol. i. 2nd head in my 'Variation under Do-edit. p. 397.

valuable experiments on hybrid Abutilons, that the union of brothers and sisters, parents and children, and of other near relations is highly injurious to the fertility of the offspring. In one case, moreover, seedlings from such near relations possessed very weak constitutions.\* This same observer also found three plants of a Bignonia growing near together. He fertilised twenty-nine flowers on one of them with their own pollen, and they did not set a single capsule. Thirty flowers were then fertilised with pollen from a distinct plant, one of the three growing together, and they yielded only two capsules. Lastly, five flowers were fertilised with pollen from a fourth plant growing at a distance, and all five produced capsules. It seems therefore probable, as Fritz Müller suggests, that the three plants growing near together were seedlings from the same parent, and that from being closely related they had little power of fertilising one another.1 Lastly, the fact of the intercrossed plants in

Table A not exceeding in height the self-fertilised plants in a greater and greater degree in the later generations, is probably due to their having become more and more closely inter-related.

Uniform Colour of the Flowers on Plants, self-fertilised and grown under similar conditions for several Generations.-At the commencement of my experiments, the parent-plants of Minulus luteus, Ipomæa purpurea, Dianthus carnophyllus, and Petunia violacea, raised from purchased seeds, varied greatly in the colour

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Jenaische Zeitschrift für Naturw.; B. vii., pp. 22 and 45,

<sup>1872;</sup> and 1873, pp. 441–450. † 'Bot Zeitung,' 1868, p. 626. ‡ Some remarkable cases are given in my 'Variation under

Domestication' (chap. xvii. 2nd edit, vol. 2, p. 121) of hybrids of Gladiolus and Cistus, any one of own pollen.

of their flowers. This occurs with many plants which have been long cultivated as an ornament for the flower-garden, and which have been propagated by seeds. The colour of the flowers was a point to which I did not at first in the least attend, and no selection whatever was practised. Nevertheless, the flowers produced by the self-fertilised plants of the above four species became absolutely uniform in tint, or very nearly so, after they had been grown for some generations under closely similar conditions. The intererossed plants, which were more or less closely inter-related in the later generations, and which had been likewise cultivated all the time under similar conditions, became more uniform in the colour of their flowers than were the original parent-plants, but much less so than the self-fertilised plants. When self-fertilised plants of one of the later generations were crossed with a fresh stock, and seedlings thus raised, these presented a wonderful contrast in the diversified tints of their flowers compared with those of the self-fertilised seedlings. As such cases of flowers becoming uniformly coloured without any aid from selection seem to me curious. I will give a full abstract of my observations.

Minulus luteus.—A tall variety, bearing large, almost white flowers blotched with crimson, appeared amongst the intercrossed and self-fertilised plants of the third and fourth generations. This variety increased so rapidly, that in the sixth generation of self-fertilised plants every single one consisted of it. So it was with all the many plants which were raised, up to the last or ninth self-fertilised generation. Although this variety first appeared amongst the intercrossed plants, yet from their offspring being intercrossed in each according excention, it never provailed amongst them; and the flowers on the several intercrossed plants of the ninth generation differed considerably in colour. On the other hand, the uniformity in colour of the flowers on the plants of all the later self-fertilised generations was quite surprising: on a casual inspection they might have been said to be quite alike. but the crimson blotches were not of exactly the same shape, or in exactly the same position. Both my gardener and myself believe that this variety did not appear amongst the parent-plants, raised from purchased seeds, but from its appearance amongst both the crossed and self-fertilised plants of the third and fourth generations; and from what I have seen of the variation of this species on other occasions, it is probable that it would occasionally appear under any circumstances. We learn, however, from the present case that under the peculiar conditions to which my plants were subjected, this particular variety, remarkable for its colouring, largeness of the corolla, and increased height of the whole plant, prevailed in the sixth and all the succeeding self-fertilised generations to the complete exclusion of every other variety.

Iponess purpures.—My attention was first drawn to the present aubject by observing that the flowers on all the plants of the seventh self-fertilised generation were of a uniform, remarkably rich, dark purple tint. The many plants which were raised during the three succeeding generations, up to the last or tenth, all produced flowers coloured in the same manner. They were absolutely uniform in tint, like those of a constant species living in a state of nature; and the self-fertilised plants might have been distinguished with certainty, as my gardoner remarked, without the aid of labels, from the intercrossed plants of the later generations. These, however, had more uniformly coloured flowers than those which were first raised from the purchased seeds. This dark purple variety did not appear, as far as my gardener and myself could recollect, before the fifth or sixth self-fertilised generation. However this may have been, it became, through continued self-fertilisation and the cultivation of the plants under uniform conditions, perfectly constant, to the exclusion of every other variety.

Dismituse carepophyllus.—The self-fertilised plants of the third generation all bore flowers of exactly the same pale rese-colour; and in this respect they differed quite remarkably from the plants growing in a large bed close by and raised from seeds purchased from the same nursery garden. In this case it is not improbable that some of the parent-plants which were first selffertilised may have borne flowers thus coloured; but as several plants were self-fertilised in the first generation, it is extremely improbable that all bore flowers of exactly the same thin as those of the self-fertilised plants of the third generation. The intercrossed plants of the third generation likewise produced flowers almost, though not quite so uniform in tint as those of the self-fertilised plants.

Petunia violaces.—In this case I happened to record in my notes that the flowers on the parent-plant which was first self-fertilized were of a "dingry purple colour." In the fifth self-fertilized generation, every one of the twenty-one self-fertilized plants growing in pots, and all the many plants in a long row out of doors, produced flowers of absolutely the same tint, namely, of a dull, rather peculiar and ugly flesh colour; therefore, considerably unlike those on the parent-plant. I believe that this change of colour super-yened quite gradually; but I kept no record, as the point did not interest in until I was struck with the uniform this of the flowers on the self-fertilised plants of the fifth generation. The flowers on the intercrossed plants of the corresponding generation were mostly of the same dail flesh colour, but not nearly so uniform as those on the self-fertilised plants, some few being very pale, along row in the self-fertilised plants which grew in a long row in the open ground were also remarkable for their uniformity in height, as were the intercrossed plants in a less degree, both lots being compared with a large number of plants raised at the same time under similar conditions from the self-fertilised plants of the fourth generation crossed by a fresh stock. I regret that I did not attend to the uniformity in height of the self-fertilised seedlings in the later generations of the other species.

These few cases seem to me to possess much interest, We learn from them that new and slight shades of colour may be quickly and firmly fixed, independently of any selection, if the conditions are kept as nearly uniform as is possible, and no intercrossing be permitted. With Mimulus, not only a grotesque style of colouring, but a larger corolla and increased height of the whole plant were thus fixed; whereas with most plants which have been long cultivated for the flowergarden, no character is more variable than that of colour, excepting perhaps that of height. From the consideration of these cases we may infer that the variability of cultivated plants in the above respects is due, firstly, to their being subjected to somewhat diversified conditions, and, secondly, to their being often inter-crossed, as would follow from the free access of insects. I do not see how this inference can be avoided, as when the above plants were cultivated for several generations under closely similar conditions, and were intercrossed in each generation, the colour

of their flowers tended in some degree to change and to become uniform. When no intercrossing with other plants of the same stock was allowed,-that is, when the flowers were fertilised with their own pollen in each generation-their colour in the later generations became as uniform as that of plants growing in a state of nature, accompanied at least in one instance by much uniformity in the height of the plants. But in saying that the diversified tints of the flowers on cultivated plants treated in the ordinary manner are due to differences in the soil, climate, &c., to which they are exposed, I do not wish to imply that such variations are caused by these agencies in any more direct manner than that in which the most diversified illnesses, as colds, inflammation of the lungs or pleura, rheumatism, &c., may be said to be caused by exposure to cold. In both cases the constitution of the being which is acted on is of preponderant importance.

#### CHAPTER IX.

THE EFFECTS OF CROSS-FERTILISATION AND SELF-FERTILISATION ON THE PRODUCTION OF SEEDS.

Fettlity of plants of crossed and self-fettlited parentage, both hole being fertilized in the same names—Pertility of the parent-plants when first crossed and self-fertilized, and of their crossed and effectilized offiguring when again crossed and self-fettilized—Comparison of the fertility of flowers fertilized with their own polites and with that flow other flowers on the same plant—Self-sterile plants—Causes of self-sertility—The appearance of highly selfficial, independently of the same appearably in some respects homeficial, independently of the same special plants—Self-sterility weights and rates of germination of seeds from crossed and self-fertilized flowers.

THE present chapter is devoted to the Fertility of plants, as influenced by cross-fertilisation and selffertilisation. The subject consists of two distinct branches; firstly, the relative productiveness or fertility of flowers crossed with pollen from a distinct plant and with their own pollen, as shown by the proportional number of capsules which they produce, together with the number of the contained seeds. Secondly, the degree of innate fertility or sterility of the seedlings raised from crossed and self-fertilised seeds; such seedlings being of the same age, grown under the same conditions, and fertilised in the same manner. These two branches of the subject correspond with the two which have to be considered by any one treating of hybrid plants; namely, in the first place the comparative productiveness of a species when fertilised with pollen from a distinct species and with its own pollen; and

in the second place, the fertility of its hybrid offspring. These two classes of cases do not always run parallel; thus some plants, as Gärtner has shown, can be crossed with great case, but yield excessively sterile hybrids; while others are crossed with extreme diffiently, but yield fairly fertile hybrids.

The natural order to follow in this chapter would have been first to consider the effects on the fertility of the parent-plants of crossing them, and of fertilising them with their own pollen; but as we have discussed in the two last chapters the relative height, weight, and constitutional vigour of crossed and self-fertilised plants-that is, of plants raised from crossed and self-fertilised seeds-it will be convenient here first to consider their relative fertility. The cases observed by me are given in the following table, D, in which plants of crossed and self-fertilised parentage were left to fertilise themselves, being either crossed by insects or spontaneously self-fertilised. It should be observed that the results cannot be considered as fully trustworthy, for the fertility of a plant is a most variable element, depending on its age, health, nature of the soil, amount of water given, and temperature to which it is exposed. The number of the capsules produced and the number of the contained seeds, ought to have been ascertained on a large number of crossed and selffertilised plants of the same age and treated in every respect alike. In these two latter respects my observations may be trusted, but a sufficient number of capsules were counted only in a few instances. The fertility. or as it may perhaps better be called the productiveness, of a plant depends on the number of capsules produced, and on the number of seeds which these contain. But from various causes, chiefly from the want of time, I was often compelled to rely on the

number of the capsules alone. Nevertheless, in the more interesting cases, the seeds were also counted or weighed. The average number of seeds per capsule is a more valuable criterion of fertility than the number of capsules produced. This latter circumstance depends partly on the size of the plant; and we know that crossed plants are generally taller and heavier than the self-fertilised; but the difference in this respect is rarely sufficient to account for the difference in the number of the capsules produced. It need hardly be added that in the following table the same number of crossed and self-fertilised plants are always compared. Subject to the foregoing sources of doubt I will now give the table, in which the parentage of the plants experimented on, and the manner of determining their fertility are explained. Fuller details may be found in the previous part of this work, under the head of each species.

Table D.—Relative Fertility of Plants of crossed and self-fertilised Parentage, both sets being fertilised in the same manner. Fertility judged of by various Standards, That of the crossed Plants taken as 100.

IPOMEA PURPUREA—first generation: seeds per capsule on crossed and self-fertilised plants, not growing much crowded, spontaneously self-fertilised under a net, in number	as 100	to	99
IPOMGEA PURPUREA—seeds per capsule on crossed and self-fertilised plants from the same parents as in the last case, but growing much crowded, spontaneously self- fertilised under a net, in number		"	93
IPOMEA PURPUREA—productiveness of the same plants, as judged by the number of capsules produced, and average number of seeds per capsule	"	"	45
IPOMGEA PURPUREA—third generation: seeds per capsule on crossed and self-fertilised plants, spontaneously self- fertilised under a net, in number	**	,,	94
IPOMCEA PURPUREA—productiveness of the same plants, as judged by number of capsules produced, and average number of seeds per capsule	**	**	35

# IPOMCEA PURPUREA-fifth generation: seeds per capsule

on crossed and self-fertilised plants, left uncovered in the hothouse, and spentaneously fertilised	as 10	U to	00
IPOMEA PURPUREA—ninth generation: number of capsules on crossed plants to those on self-fertilised plants, spon- taneously self-fertilised under a net	"	99	26
MINULUS LUTEUS—an equal number of capsules on plants descended from self-fertilised plants of the 8th generation crossed by a fresh stock, and on plants of the 9th self-fertilised generation, both sets having been left uncovered and spontaneously fertilised, contained seeds, by weight	,,	,	30
MIMULUS LUTEUS—productiveness of the same plants, as judged by number of capsules produced, and average weight of seeds per capsule.	,,	,,	3
VANDELLIA NUMMULARIFOLIA—seeds per capsule from cleistogamic flowers on the crossed and self-fertilised plants, in number	,,	,,	106
Salvia coccinea—crossed plants, compared with self-fer- tilised plants, produced flowers, in number	"	23	57
IBERIS UMBELLATA—plants left uncovered in greenhouse; intercrossed plants of the 3rd generation, compared with self-fertilised plants of the 3rd generation, yielded seeds, in number	"	,,	75
IBERIS UMBELLATA—plants from a cross between two varieties, compared with self-fertilised plants of the 3rd generation, yielded seeds, by weight	"	"	75
PAPAVER VAGUM—crossed and self-fertilised plants, left uncovered, produced capsules, in number	"	"	99
Escuscuotygra Callyornica-Brazilian stock: plants left			

uncovered and cross-fertilised by bees; capsules on intercrossed plants of 2nd generation, compared with capsules on self-fertilised plants of 2nd generation, con-tained seeds, in number . ESCHSCHOLTZIA CALIFORNICA-productiveness of the same) plants, as judged by number of capsules produced, and average number of seeds per capsule . . . . . ESCHSCHOLTZIA CALIFORNICA-plants left uncovered and)

cross-fertilised by bees: capsules on plants derived from on self-fertilised plants of 2nd generation, contained seeds, in number.

ESCHSCHOLTZIA CALIFORNICA-productiveness of the same plants, as judged by number of capsules produced, and average number of seeds per capsule . . . . .

#### TABLE D-continued.

RESEDA ODORATA—crossed and self-fertilised plants, left uncovered and cross-fertilised by bees, produced capsules in number (about)	as	100	to	10
VIOLA TRICOLOR—crossed and self-fertilised plants, left uncovered and cross-fertilised by bees, produced capsules in number	,	,	**	1
DELPHINIUM CONSOLIDA—crossed and self-fertilised plants, left uncovered in the greenhouse, produced capsules in number .	,	,	32	5
VISCARIA OCULATA—crossed and self-fertilised plants, left) uncovered in the greenhouse, produced capsules in number) DIANTHUS CARYOPHYLLUS—plants spontaneously self-ferti-	,		"	7
lised under a net; capsules on intercrossed and self- fertilised plants of the 3rd generation contained seeds in number	,		22	12
DIANTHUS CARTOPHYLLUS—plants left uncovered and cross- fertilised by insects: effipring from plants self-fertilised for three generations and then crossed by an inte- crossed plant of the same stock, compared with plants of the 4th self-fertilised generation, produced seeds by weight			,,	7
DIANTHUS CARVOPHYLLUS—plants left uncovered and cross- fertilised by insects: offspring from plants self-fertilised for three generations and then crossed by a fresh stock, compared with plants of the 4th self-fertilised generation, produced seeds by weight.				31
TROP. FOLUM MINUS—crossed and self-fertilised plants, left) uncovered in the greenhouse, produced seeds in number .	,,	,	,	64
LIMNANTHES DOUGLASH—crossed and self-fertilised plants, left uncovered in greenhouse, produced capsules in number (about)	,,	,	,	100
LUPINUS LUTEUS—crossed and self-fertilised plants of the 2nd generation, left uncovered in the greenhouse, pro- duced seeds in number (judged from only a few pods)			,	88
PHASEOLUS MULTIFLORUS—crossed and self-fertilised plants, left uncovered in the greenhouse, produced seeds in number (about)	**	,	, !	10
LATHYRUS ODORATUS-crossed and self-fortilized plants of				

CLARKIA ELEGANS-crossed and self-fertilised plants, left)

PETUNIA VIOLACEA—left uncovered and cross-fertilised by

#### Table D-continued.

PETUNIA VIOLACEA—'eft uncovered as above: offspring of plants self-fertilised for four generations and then crossed by a fresh stock, compared with plants of the 6th self- fertilised generation, produced seeds, as judged by the weight of an equal number of capules	as 100	) to 4
CYCLAMEN PERSICUM—crossed and self-fertilised plants, left uncovered in the greenhouse, produced capsules in number	.50	,, 1
Anagallis collina—crossed and self-fertilised plants, left uncovered in the greenhouse, produced capsules in number	79	29
PRIMULA VERIS—left uncovered in open ground and cross- fertilised by insects: offspring from plants of the 3rd		
illegitimate generation crossed by a fresh stock, compared with plants of the 4th illegitimate and self-fertilised generation, produced capsules in number	"	
Same plants in the following year	29	,, 3
PRIMULA VERIS—(equal-styled variety): left uncovered in open ground and cross-fertilised by insects: offspring from plants self-fertilised for two generations and then		
erossed by another variety, compared with plants of the 3rd self-fertilised generation, produced capsules in number	"	"
PRIMULA VERIS-(equal-styled var.) same plants; average)		7
number of seeds per capsule	- 27	"

number of seeds per capsule

PRIMULA VERIES—(equal-styled var.) productiveness of the
same plants, as judged by number of capsules produced
and average number of seeds per capsule . , , 1

This table includes thirty-three cases relating to twenty-three species, and shows the degree of innate fertility of plants of crossed parentage in comparison with those of self-fertilised parentage; both tots being fertilised in the same manner. With several of the species, as with Eachscholtzia, Reseda, Viola, Dianthus, Petunia, and Primula, both lots were certainly cross-fertilised by insects, and so it probably was with several of the others; but in some of the species, as with Nemophila, and in some of the trials with Ipomoza and Dianthus, the plants were covered up, and both lots were spontaneously self-fertilised. This also was necessarily the case with the capsules produced by the cleistogamic flowers of Vandellia.

The fertility of the crossed plants is represented in the table by 100, and that of the self-fertilised by the other figures. There are five cases in which the fertility of the self-fertilised plants is approximately equal to that of the crossed; nevertheless, in four of these cases the crossed plants were plainly taller, and in the fifth somewhat taller than the self-fertilised. But I should state that in some of these five cases the fertility of the two lots was not strictly ascertained, as the capsules were not actually counted, from appearing equal in number and from all apparently containing a full complement of seeds. In only two instances in the table, viz., with Vandellia and in the third generation of Dianthus, the capsules on the self-fertilised plants contained more seed than those on the crossed plants. With Dianthus the ratio between the number of seeds contained in the self-fertilised and crossed capsules was as 125 to 100; both sets of plants were left to fertilise themselves under a net; and it is almost certain that the greater fertility of the self-fertilised plants was here due merely to their having varied and become less strictly dichogamous, so as to mature their anthers and stigmas more nearly at the same time than is proper to the species. Excluding the seven cases now referred to, there remain twenty-six in which the crossed plants were manifestly much more fertile, sometimes to an extraordinary degree, than the self-fertilised with which they grew in competition. The most striking instances are those in which plants derived from a cross with a fresh stock are compared with plants of one of the later self-fertilised generations; yet there are some striking cases, as that of Viola, between the intercrossed plants of the same stock and the self-fertilised, even in the first generation. The results most to be trusted are those

44. -- 7

in which the productiveness of the plants was ascertained by the number of capsules produced by an equal number of plants, together with the actual or average number of seeds in each capsule. Of such cases there are twelve in the table, and the mean of their mean fertility is as 100 for the crossed plants, to 30 for the self-fertilised plants. The Primulacea seem eminently liable to suffer in fertility from selffertilisation.

The following short table, E, includes four cases which have already been partly given in the last table.

TABLE E.—Innate Fertility of Plants from a Cross with a fresh Slock, compared with that of Intercussed Plants of the same Slock, and with that of Solf-pertilited Plants, all of the corresponding Generation; all those sets being fertilised in the same manner. Fertility judged of by the number or weight of seeds produced by an equal number of Plants.

	Plants from Cross with Fresh Stock	Intercrossed Flants of the same Stock.	Self-fertilise Plants.
MINULUS LUTEUS—the intercrossed plants are derived from a cross between two plants of the 8th self-fertilised generation. The self-fertilised plants belong to the 9th generation.	100	4	3
ESCHSCHOLTZIA CALIFORNICA—the intercrossed and self-fertilised plants belong to the 2nd generation	100	45	40
DIANTHUS CARYOPHYLLUS—the intercrossed plants are derived from self-fertilised of the 3rd ge- neration, crossed by intercrossed plants of the 3rd generation. The self-fertilised plants belong to the 4th generation.	100	45	33
PETUNIA VIOLACEA—the intercrossed and self-fer- tilised plants belong to the 5th generation )	100	54	46

N.B.—In the above cases, excepting in that of Eschscholtzia, the plants derived from a cross with a fresh stock belong on the mother-side to the same stock with the intercrossed and self-fertilised plants, and to the corresponding generation.

These cases show us how greatly superior in innate fertility the seedlings from plants self-fertilised or intercrossed for several generations and then crossed by a fresh stock are, in comparison with the seedlings from plants of the old stock, either intercrossed or self-fertilised for the same number of generations. The three lots of plants in each case were left freely exposed to the visits of insects, and their flowers without doubt were cross-fertilised by them.

This table further shows us that in all four cases the intercrossed plants of the same stock still have a decided though small advantage in fertility over the self-fertilised plants.

With respect to the state of the reproductive organs in the self-fertilised plants of the two last tables, only a few observations were made. In the seventh and eighth generation of Ipomosa, the authers in the flowers of the self-fertilised plants were plankly smaller than those in the flowers of the intercrossed plants. The tendency to sterility in these same plants was also shown by the first-formed flowers, after they had been carefully fertilised, often dropping off, in the same manner as frequently occurs with hybrids. The flowers likewise tended to be monstrous. In the fourth generation of Petunia, the pollen produced by the self-fertilised and intercrossed plants was compared, and there were far more empty and shrivelled grains in the former.

Relative Fertility of Flowers crossed with Pollen from a distinct Plant and with their own Pollen. This heading includes flowers on the Parent-plants, and on the crossed and self-fertilised Seedlings of the first or a succeeding Generation.—I will first treat of the parent-plants, which were raised from seeds purchased from nursery-gardens, or taken from plants growing in my garden, or growing wild, and surrounded in every case by many individuals of the same species. Plants thus circumstanced will commonly have been intercrossed by insects; so that the seedlings which were first experimented on will generally have been the product of a cross. Consequently any difference in the fertility of their flowers, when crossed and self-fertilised, will have been caused by the nature of the pollen employed; that is, whether it was taken from a distinct plant or from the same flower. The degrees of fertility shown in the following table, F, were determined in each case by the average number of seeds per capsule, ascertained either by counting or weighing.

Another element ought properly to have been taken into account, namely, the proportion of flowers which vielded capsules when they were crossed and self-fertilised; and as crossed flowers generally produce a larger proportion of capsules, their superiority in fertility, if this element had been taken into account, would have been much more strongly marked than appears in Table F. But had I thus acted, there would have been greater liability to error, as pollen applied to the stigma at the wrong time fails to produce any effect, independently of its greater or less potency. A good illustration of the great difference in the results which sometimes follows, if the number of capsules produced relatively to the number of flowers fertilised be included in the calculation, was afforded by Nolana prostrata. Thirty flowers on some plants of this species were crossed and produced twenty-seven capsules, each containing five seeds: thirty-two flowers on the same plants were self-fertilised and produced

only six capsules, each containing five seeds. As the number of seeds per capsule is here the same, the fertility of the crossed and self-fertilised flowers is given in Table F as equal, or as 100 to 100. But if the flowers which failed to produce capsules be included, the crossed flowers yielded on an average 4 \*50 seeds, whilst the self-fertilised flowers yielded only 9.94 seeds, so that their relative fertility would have been as 100 to 21. I should here state that it has been found convenient to reserve for separate discussion the cases of flowers which are usually quite sterile with their own pollen.

Table F.—Relative Fertility of the Flauvers on the Parent-plants used in my Experiments, when fertilitied with Pollen from a distinct Plant and with their own Pollen. Fertility judged of by the average Number of Seeds per Capsule. Fertility of Crossoft Flauvers taken as 100.

IFOMEA PURPUREA—crossed and self-fertilised flowers) yielded seeds as (about).	100	to	100
MIMULUS LUTEUS—crossed and self-fertilised flowers yielded seeds as (by weight).	19	"	79
LINARIA VULGARIS — crossed and self-fertilised flowers yielded seeds as	,,	59	14
VANDELLIA NUMMULARIFOLIA—crossed and self-fertilised flowers yielded seeds as	,,	,,	63
GENERIA PENDULINA—crossed and self-fertilised flowers) yielded seeds as (by weight)	"	,,	10
SALVIA COCCINEA—crossed and self-fertilised flowers yielded) seeds as (about)	19	99	100
BRASSICA OLERACEA — crossed and self-fertilised flowers)	,,	"	23
ESCHECHOLIZIA CALIFORNICA—(English stock) crossed and self-fertilised flowers yielded seeds as (by weight)	**	"	71
ESCHSCHOLTZIA CALIFORNICA—(Brazilian stock grown in England) crossed and self-fertilised flowers yielded seeds (by weight) as (about)	"	39	15
DELPHINIUM CONSOLIDA—crossed and self-fertilised flowers (self-fertilised capsules spontaneously produced, but result supported by other evidence) yielded seeds as	19	79	3

#### TABLE F-continued.

VISCARIA OCULATA — crossed and self-fertilised flowers	100 t	to	38
yielded seeds as (by weight)  VISCARIA OCULATA — crossed and self-fertilised flowers (crossed capsules compared on following year with spon-	19 7	93	58
taneously self-fertilised capsules) yielded seeds as . DIANTHUS GARYOPHYLLUS—crossed and self-fertilised flowers yielded seeds as	,,	19	92
yielded seeds as TROPADLUM MINUS—crossed and self-fertilised flowers violided seeds as	,,	12	91
yielded seeds as TROPADLEM TRICOLORUM* — crossed and self-fertilised flowers yielded seeds as	11	12	117
flowers yielded seeds as . LIMMANTHES DOUGLASH—crossed and self-fertilised flowers wielded seeds as (about)	93	11	100
yielded seeds as (about) SAROTHAMNUS SCOPARIUS—crossed and self-fertilised flowers yielded seeds as	11	27	41
yielded seeds as  ONONIS MINUTISSIMA—crossed and self-fertilised flowers yielded seeds as	39	,,	63
yielded seeds as CUPHRA PURPUREA — crossed and self-fertilised flowers yielded seeds as PASSIFIONA GRACILIS—crossed and self-fertilised flowers	11	33	11:
PASSIFLORA GRACILIS—crossed and self-fertilised flowers yielded seeds as .  SPECULARIA SPECULUM—crossed and self-fertilised flowers	**	,,	8
SPECULARIA SPECULUM—crossed and self-fertilised flowers yielded seeds as .  LOBELIA FULGENS—crossed and self-fertilised flowers yielded in the self-fertilised flowers yielded		17	75
LOBELIA FULGENS—crossed and self-fertilised flowers yielded seeds as (about)	11	13	100
NEMOPHILA INSIGNIS—crossed and self-fertilised flowers yielded seeds as (by weight)  BORAGO OFFICINALIS—crossed and self-fertilised flowers	**	12	61
yielded seeds as	**	,,	60
NOLANA PROSTRATA — crossed and self-fertilised flowers	**	11	100
PETUNIA VIOLACEA — crossed and self-fertilised flowers yielded seeds as (by weight)	**	12	67
NICOTIANA TABACUM—crossed and self-fertilised flowers yielded seeds as (by weight)  CYCLAMEN PERSICUM — crossed and self-fertilised flowers	**	"	150
yielded seeds as	**	12	38
ANAGALLIS COLLINA — crossed and self-fertilised flowers yielded seeds as	*	13	90
CANNA WARSCEWICZI—crossed and self-fertilised flowers (on three generations of crossed and self-fertilised plants taken all together) yielded seeds as	,,,	"	83

\* Tropxclum tricolorum and Cuphea purpurea have been introduced into this table, although seedlings were not raised from them; but of the Cuphea only six crossed and six self-fertilised capsules, and of the Tropeolum only six crossed and eleven self-fertilised capsules, were compared. A larger proportion of the self-fertilised than of the crossed flowers of the Tropæolum produced fruit. A second table, G, gives the relative fertility of flowers on crossed plants again cross-fertilised, and of flowers on self-fertilised plants again self-fertilised, either in the first or in a later generation. Here two causes combine to diminish the fertility of the selffertilised flowers; namely, the lesser efficacy of pollor from the same flower, and the innate lessened fertility of plants derived from self-fertilised seeds, which as we have seen in the previous Table D is strongly marked. The fertility was determined in the same manner as in Table F, that is, by the average number of seeds per capsule; and the same remarks as before, with respect to the different proportion of flowers which set capsules when they are cross-fertilised and self-fertilised, are here likewise applicable.

Table G.—Relative Fertility of Flowers on Crossed and Softfertilities Plents of the First or some successing Generation; it, former being again pertilities with Pollen from a distinct Plant, and the latter again with their own Pollen, Fertility played of by the average Number of Seeds per Capsule. Fertility of Crossel Flowers taken as 100.

IPONCEA PURPUREA-crossed and self-fertilised flowers on	)		
the crossed and self-fertilised plants of the 1st generation	100	to	93
yielded seeds as			
IPONGEA PURPUREA-crossed and self-fertilised flowers on			
the crossed and self-fertilised plants of the 3rd generation	-	-	94
yielded seeds as			
IPOMGEA PURPUREA—crossed and self-fertilised flowers on			
the crossed and self-fertilised plants of the 4th generation	-	-	94
yielded seeds as	1		
IPOMGEA PURPUREA-crossed and self-fertilised flowers on	1		
the crossed and self-fertilised plants of the 5th generation		**	107
yielded seeds as			
MINULUS LUTEUS-crossed and self-fertilised flowers on the			
crossed and self-fertilised plants of the 3rd generation	- 55	22	65
vielded seeds as (by weight)			
MIMULUS LUTEUS—same plants treated in the same manner			24
on following year yielded seeds as (by weight)	29	77	UY
MIMULUS LUTEUS-crossed and self-fertilised flowers on the			
crossed and self-fertilised plants of the 4th generation	79	22	40

### Table G-continued.

VIOLA TRICOLOR—crossed and self-fertilised flowers on the crossed and self-fertilised plants of the 1st generation yielded seeds as	100	to	69
yielded seeds as DIANTHUS CARYOPHYLLUS—crossed and self-fertilised flowers on the crossed and self-fertilised plants of the 1st genera- tion yielded seeds as	22	33	65
DIANTHUS CARYOPHYLLUS—flowers on self-fertilised plants of the 3rd generation crossed by intercrossed plants, and other flowers again self-fertilised yielded seeds as	22	29	97
DIANTHUS CARYOPHYLLUS—flowers on self-fertilised plants of the 3rd generation crossed by a fresh stock, and other flowers again self-fertilised yielded seeds as	"	19	127
LATHYRUS ODORATUS—crossed and self-fertilised flowers on the crossed and self-fertilised plants of the 1st generation yielded seeds as	79	23	65
LOBELIA RAMOSA—crossed and self-fertilised flowers on the crossed and self-fertilised plants of the 1st generation yielded seeds as (by weight)	,,	77	60
PETUNIA VIOLACEA—crossed and self-fertilised flowers on the crossed and self-fertilised plants of the 1st generation yielded seeds as (by weight)	"	23	68
PLTUNIA VIOLACEA—crossed and self-fertilised flowers on the crossed and self-fertilised plants of the 4th generation yielded seeds as (by weight)	"	33	72
PITUNIA VIOLACEA—flowers on self-fertilised plants of the 4th generation crossed by a fresh stock, and other flowers again self-fertilised yielded seeds as (by weight)	23	39	48
NECOTIANA TABACUM—crossed and self-fertilised flowers on the crossed and self-fertilised plants of the 1st generation yielded seeds as (by weight) NECOTIANA TABACUM—flowers on self-fertilised plants of	11	19	97
NICOTIANA TABACUM—flowers on seelf-legitalised plants of the 2nd generation crossed by intercrossed plants, and other flowers again self-fertilised yielded seeds as (by es- timation).	"	99	110
NICOTIANA TABACUM — flowers on self-fertilised plants of the 3rd generation crossed by a fresh stock, and other flowers again self-fertilised yielded seeds as (by estimation)	>>	***	110
ANAGALLIS COLLINA—flowers on a red variety crossed by a blue variety, and other flowers on the red variety self- fertilised yielded seeds as	29	27	48
CANNA WARSCEWICZI—crossed and self-fertilised flowers on the crossed and self-fertilised plants of three generations taken together yielded seeds as.	"	23	83

As both these tables relate to the fertility of flowers fertilised by pollen from another plant and by their own pollen, they may be considered together. The difference between them consists in the selffertilised flowers in the second table, G, being produced by self-fertilised parents, and the crossed flowers by crossed parents, which in the later generations had become somewhat closely inter-related, and had been subjected all the time to nearly the same conditions. These two tables include fifty cases relating to thirty-two species. The flowers on many other species were crossed and self-fertilised, but as only a few were thus treated, the results cannot be trusted, as far as fertility is concerned, and are not here given. Some other cases have been rejected, as the plants were in an unhealthy condition. If we look to the figures in the two tables expressing the ratios between the mean relative fertility of the crossed and self-fertilised flowers, we see that in a majority of the cases (i.e., in thirty-five out of fifty) flowers fertilised by pollen from a distinct plant yield more, sometimes many more, seeds than flowers fertilised with their own pollen; and they commonly set a larger proportion of capsules. The degree of infertility of the self-fertilised flowers differs extremely in the different species, and even, as we shall see in the section on self-sterile plants, in the individuals of the same species, as well as under slightly changed conditions of life. Their fertility ranges from zero to fertility equalling that of the crossed flowers; and of this fact no explanation can be offered. There are fifteen cases in the two tables in which the number of seeds per capsule produced by the self-fertilised flowers equals or even exceeds that vielded by the crossed flowers. Some few of these cases are, I believe, accidental; that is, would not recur on a second trial. This was apparently the case with the plants of the fifth generation of Ipomœa, and in one of the experiments with Dianthus. Nicotiana offers the most anomalous case of any, as the self-fertilised flowers on the parent-plants, and on their descendants of the second and third generations, produced more seeds than did the crossed flowers; but we shall recur to this case when we treat of hirly less lifettile varieties.

It might have been expected that the difference in fertility between the crossed and self-fertilised flowers would have been more strongly marked in Table G, in which the plants of one set were derived from selffertilised parents, than in Table F, in which flowers on the parent-plants were self-fertilised for the first time. But this is not the case, as far as my scanty materials allow of any judgment. There is therefore no evidence at present, that the fertility of plants goes on diminishing in successive self-fertilised generations, although there is some rather weak evidence that this does occur with respect to their height or growth. But we should bear in mind that in the later generations the crossed plants had become more or less closely inter-related, and had been subjected all the time to nearly uniform conditions.

It is remarkable that there is no close correspondence, either in the parent-plants or in the successive generations, between the relative number of seeds produced by the crossed and self-fertilised flowers, and the relative powers of growth of the seedlings raised from such seeds. Thus, the crossed and self-fertilised flowers on the parent-plants of Ipomea, Gesneria, Salvia, Limmanthes, Lobelia flayors, and Nolana produced a nearly equal number of seeds, yet the plants raised from the crossed seeds exceeded considerably in height those raised from the self-fertilised seeds. The crossed flowers of Linaria and Viscaria yielded far more seeds than the self-fertilised flowers; and although the hunts raised from the were talker and the self-fertilised flowers.

@ The Complete Work of Charles Darwin Online

than those from the latter, they were not so in any corresponding degree. With Nicotiana the flowers fertilised with their own pollen were more productive than those crossed with pollen from a slightly different variety; vet the plants raised from the latter seeds were much taller, heavier, and more hardy than those raised from the self-fertilised seeds. On the other hand, the crossed seedlings of Eschscholtzia were neither taller nor heavier than the self-fertilised, although the crossed flowers were far more productive than the self-fertilised. But the best evidence of a want of correspondence between the number of seeds produced by crossed and self-fertilised flowers, and the vigour of the offspring raised from them, is afforded by the plants of the Brazilian and European stocks of Eschscholtzia, and likewise by certain individual plants of Reseda odorata : for it might have been expected that the seedlings from plants, the flowers of which were excessively self-sterile, would have profited in a greater degree by a cross, than the seedlings from plants which were moderately or fully self-fertile, and therefore apparently had no need to be crossed. But no such result followed in either case: for instance, the crossed and self-fertilised offspring from a highly self-fertile plant of Reseda odorata were in average height to each other as 100 to 82; whereas the similar offspring from an excessively self-sterile plant were as 100 to 92 in average height.

With respect to the innate fertility of the plants of crossed and self-fertilised parentage, given in the previous Table D-that is, the number of seeds produced by both lots when their flowers were fertilised in the same manner,-nearly the same remarks are applicable, in reference to the absence of any close correspondence between their fertility and powers of

growth, as in the case of the plants in the Tables F and G, just considered. Thus the crossed and self-fertillised plants of Ipomoz, Papaver, Resela olforata, and Linnanthes were almost equally fertile, yet the former exceeded considerably in height the self-fertilised plants. On the other hand, the crossed and self-fertilised plants of Minulus and Primula differed to an extreme degree in innate fortility, but you means to a corresponding degree in height or vigour.

In all the cases of self-fertilised flowers included in Tables E, F, and G, these were fertilised with their own pollen; but there is another form of self-fertilisation, viz., by pollen from other flowers on the same plant; but this latter method made no difference in comparison with the former in the number of seeds produced, or only a slight difference. Neither with Digitalis nor Dianthus were more seeds produced by the one method than by the other, to any trustworthy degree. With Ipomoea rather more seeds, in the proportion of 100 to 91, were produced from a cross between flowers on the same plant than from strictly self-fertilised flowers; but I have reason to suspect that the result was accidental. With Origanum vulgare, however, a cross between flowers on plants propagated by stolons from the same stock certainly increased slightly their fertility. This likewise occurred, as we shall see in the next section, with Eschscholtzia, perhaps with Corydalis cava and Oncidium; but not so with Bignonia, Abutilon, Tabernamontana, Senecio, and apparently Reseda odorata.

#### Self-sterile Plants.

The cases here to be described might have been introduced in Table F, which gives the relative fertility of flowers fertilised with their own pollen, and © The Complete Work of Charles Darwin Online with that from a distinct plant; but it has been found more convenient to keep them for separate discussion. The present cases must not be confounded with those to be given in the next chapter relatively to flowers which are sterile when insects are excluded; for such sterility depends not merely on the flowers being incapable of fertilisation with their own pollen, but on mechanical causes, by which their pollen is prevented from reaching the stigma, or on the pollen and stigma of the same flower being matured at different periods.

In the seventeenth chapter of my 'Variation of Animals and Plants under Domestication' I had occasion to enter fully on the present subject; and I will therefore here give only a brief abstract of the cases there described, but others must be added, as they have an important bearing on the present work. Kölreuter long ago described plants of Verbascum phæniceum which during two years were sterile with their own pollen, but were easily fertilised by that of four other species; these plants however afterwards became more or less self-fertile in a strangely fluctuating manner. Mr. Scott also found that this species, as well as two of its varieties, were self-sterile, as did Gärtner in the case of Verbascum nigrum. So it was, according to this latter author, with two plants of Lobelia fulgens, though the pollen and ovules of both were in an efficient state in relation to other species. Five species of Passiflora and certain individuals of a sixth species have been found sterile with their own pollen; but slight changes in their conditions, such as being grafted on another stock or a change of temperature, rendered them self-fertile. Flowers on a completely self-impotent plant of Passiflora alata fertilised with pollen from its own self-impotent seedlings were quite fertile. Mr. Scott, and afterwards Mr. Munro, found that some species of Oncidium and of Maxillaria cultivated in a hothouse in Edinburgh were quite sterile with their own pollen; and Fritz Muller found this to be the case with a large number of Orchidaceous genera growing in their native home of South Brazil.\* He also discovered that the pollen-masses of some orchids acted on their own stigmas like a poison; and it appears that Gürtner formerly observed indications of this extraordinary fact in the case of some other plants.

Fritz Müller also states that a species of Bignonia and Tabernæmontana echinata are both sterile with their own pollen in their native country of Brazil. † Several Amaryllidaceous and Liliaceous plants are in the same predicament. Hildebrand observed with care Corydalis cava, and found it completely self-sterile: but according to Caspary a few self-fertilised seeds are occasionally produced: Corydalis halleri is only slightly self-sterile, and C. intermedia not at all so.§ Inanother Fumariaceous genus, Hypecoum, Hildebrand observed | that H. grandiflorum was highly self-sterile, whilst H. procumbens was fairly self-fertile. Thunbergia alata kept by me in a warm greenhouse was self-sterile early in the season, but at a later period produced many spontaneously self-fertilised fruits. So it was with Papaver vagum: another species, P. alpinum, was found by Professor H. Hoffmann to be quite selfsterile excepting on one occasion; ¶ whilst P. somni-

ferum has been with me always completely self-fertile.

Eschscholtzia californica.—This species deserves a
fuller consideration. A plant cultivated by Fritz

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Bot. Zeitung,' 1868, p. 114. † Ibid. 1868, p. 626, and 1870, p. 274.

\$ 'Bot. Zeitung,' June 27, 1873, | 'Jahrb. für wiss. Botanik,'

p. 274. † 'Report of the International Hort. Congress,' 1866.

B. vii. p. 464.

' Zur Speciesfrago,' 1875, 175.

Müller in South Brazil happened to flower a month before any of the others, and it did not produce a single capsule. This led him to make further observations during the next six generations, and he found that all his plants were completely sterile, unless they were crossed by insects or were artificially fertilised with pollen from a distinct plant, in which case they were completely fertile.\* I was much surprised at this fact, as I had found that English plants, when covered by a net, set a considerable number of capsules : and that these contained seeds by weight, compared with those on plants intercrossed by the bees, as 71 to 100. Professor Hildebrand, however, found this species much more self-sterile in Germany than it was with me in England, for the capsules produced by selffertilised flowers, compared with those from intercrossed flowers, contained seeds in the ratio of only 11 to 100. At my request Fritz Müller sent me from Brazil seeds of his self-sterile plants, from which I raised seedlings. Two of these were covered with a net, and one produced spontaneously only a single capsule containing no good seeds, but yet, when artificially fertilised with its own pollen, produced a few capsules. The other plant produced spontaneously under the net eight capsules, one of which contained no less than thirty seeds, and on an average about ten seeds per capsule. Eight flowers on these two plants were artificially self-fertilised, and produced seven capsules, containing on an average twelve seeds; eight other flowers were fertilised with pollen from a distinct plant of the Brazilian stock, and produced eight capsules, containing on an average about eighty seeds; this gives a ratio of 15 seeds for the self-fertilised capsules to 100 for the crossed

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Bot. Zeitung,' 1868, p. 115, and 1869, p. 223,

© The Complete Work of Charles Darwin Online

capsules. Later in the season twelve other flowers on these two plants were artificially self-fertilised; but they yielded only two capsules, containing three and six seeds. It appears therefore that a lower temperature than that of Brazil favours the self-fertility of this plant, whilst a still lower temperature lessens it. As soon as the two plants which had been covered by the net were uncovered, they were visited by many bees, and it was interesting to observe how quickly they became, even the more sterile plant of the two, covered with young capsules. On the following year eight flowers on plants of the Brazilian stock of selffertilised parentage (i.e., grandchildren of the plants which grew in Brazil) were again self-fertilised, and produced five capsules, containing on an average 27.4 seeds, with a maximum in one of forty-two seeds; so that their self-fertility had evidently increased greatly by being reared for two generations in England. On the whole we may conclude that plants of the Brazilian stock are much more self-fertile in this country than in Brazil, and less so than plants of the English stock in England; so that the plants of Brazilian parentage retained by inheritance some of their former sexual constitution. Conversely, seeds from English plants sent by me to Fritz Müller and grown in Brazil, were much more self-fertile than his plants which had been cultivated there for several generations; but he informs me that one of the plants of English parentage which did not flower the first year, and was thus exposed for two seasons to the climate of Brazil, proved quite selfsterile, like a Brazilian plant, showing how quickly the climate had acted on its sexual constitution.

Abutilon darwinii.—Seeds of this plant were sent me by Fritz Müller, who found it, as well as some other species of the same genus, quite sterile in its

native home of South Brazil, unless fertilised with pollen from a distinct plant, either artificially or naturally by humming-birds.\* Several plants were raised from these seeds and kept in the hothouse. They produced flowers very early in the spring, and twenty of them were fertilised, some with pollen from the same flower, and some with pollen from other flowers on the same plants; but not a single capsule was thus produced, yet the stigmas twenty-seven hours after the application of the pollen were penetrated by the pollen-tubes. At the same time nineteen flowers were crossed with pollen from a distinct plant, and these produced thirteen capsules, all abounding with fine seeds. A greater number of capsules would have been produced by the cross, had not some of the nineteen flowers been on a plant which was afterwards proved to be from some unknown cause completely sterile with pollen of any kind. Thus far these plants behaved exactly like those in Brazil; but later in the season, in the latter part of May and in June, they began to produce under a net a few spontaneously self-fertilised capsules. As soon as this occurred. sixteen flowers were fertilised with their own pollen, and these produced five capsules, containing on an average 3.4 seeds. At the same time I selected by chance four capsules from the uncovered plants growing close by, the flowers of which I had seen visited by humble-bees, and these contained on an average 21.5 seeds; so that the seeds in the naturally intercrossed capsules to those in the self-fertilised capsules were as 100 to 16. The interesting point in this case is that these plants, which were unnaturally treated by being grown in pots in a hothouse, under another \* 'Jenaische Zeitschr, für Naturwiss.' B. vii. 1872, p. 22, and 1873,

© The Complete Work of Charles Darwin Online

p. 441.

hemisphere, with a complete reversal of the seasons. were thus rendered slightly self-fertile, whereas they seem always to be completely self-sterile in their native home.

Senecio cruentus (greenhouse varieties, commonly called Cinerarias, probably derived from several fruticose or herbaceous species much intercrossed\*).-Two purpleflowered varieties were placed under a net in the greenhouse, and four corymbs on each were repeatedly brushed with flowers from the other plant. so that their stigmas were well covered with each other's pollen. Two of the eight corymbs thus treated produced very few seeds, but the other six produced on an average 41.3 seeds per corymb, and these germinated well. The stigmas on four other corymbs on both plants were well smeared with pollen from the flowers on their own corymbs; these eight corymbs produced altogether ten extremely poor seeds, which proved incapable of germinating. I examined many flowers on both plants, and found the stigmas spontaneously covered with pollen; but they produced not a single seed. These plants were afterwards left uncovered in the same house where many other Cinerarias were in flower; and the flowers were frequently visited by bees. They then produced plenty of seed, but one of the two plants less than the other, as this species shows some tendency to be diocious.

The trial was repeated on another variety with white petals tipped with red. Many stigmas on two corymbs were covered with pollen from the foregoing purple variety, and these produced eleven and twenty-

\* I am much obliged to Mr. Moore and to Mr. Thiselton Dyer for giving me information with respect to the varieties on which

lieves that Senecio cruentus, tussilaginis, and perhaps heritieri, maderensis and populifolius have all been more or less blended to-I experimented, Mr. Moore begether in our Cinerarias.

two seeds, which germinated well. A large number of the stigmas on several of the other corynals were repeatedly smeared with pollen from their own corymb; but they yielded only five very poor seeds, which were incapable of germination. Therefore the above three plants belonging to two varieties, though growing vigorously and fertile with pollen from either of the other two plants, were utterly sterile with pollen from other flowers on the same plant.

Reseds odorata.—Having observed that certain individuals were self-sterile, I covered during the summer of 1808 seven plants under separate nets, and will call these plants A, B, C, D, E, F, G. They all appeared to be quite sterile with their own pollen, but fertile with that of any other plant.

Fourteen flowers on A were crossed with pollen from B or C, and produced thirteen fine capsules. Sixteen flowers were fertilised with pollen from other flowers on the same plant, but yielded not a single cansule.

Fourteen flowers on B were crossed with pollen from A, C, or D, and all produced capsules; some of these were not very fine, yet they contained plenty of seeds. Eighteen flowers were fertilised with pollen from other flowers on the same plant, and produced not one capsule.

Ten flowers on C were crossed with pollen from A, B, D, or E, and produced nine fine capsules. Nineteen flowers were fertilised with pollen from other flowers on the same plant, and produced no capsules.

Ten flowers on D were crossed with pollen from A, B, C, or E, and produced nine fine capsules. Eighteen flowers were fertilised with pollen from other flowers on the same plant, and produced no capsules. Seven flowers on E were crossed with pollen from

© The Complete Work of Charles Darwin Online

A, C, or D, and all produced fine capsules. Eight flowers were fertilised with pollen from other flowers on the same plant, and produced no capsules.

On the plants F and G no flowers were crossed, but very many (number not recorded) were fertilised with pollen from other flowers on the same plants, and these

did not produce a single capsule. We thus see that fifty-five flowers on five of the above plants were reciprocally crossed in various ways; several flowers on each of these plants being fertilised with pollen from several of the other plants. These fifty-five flowers produced fifty-two capsules, almost all of which were of full size and contained an abundance of seeds. On the other hand, seventynine flowers (besides many others not recorded) were fertilised with pollen from other flowers on the same plants, and these did not produce a single capsule, In one case in which I examined the stigmas of the flowers fertilised with their own pollen, these were penetrated by the pollen-tubes, although such penetration produced no effect. Pollen falls generally, and I believe always, from the anthers on the stigmas of the same flower; yet only three out of the above seven protected plants produced spontaneously any capsules, and these it might have been thought must have been self-fertilised. There were altogether seven such capsules; but as they were all seated close to the artificially crossed flowers, I can hardly doubt that a few grains of foreign pollen had accidentally fallen on their stigmas. Besides the above seven plants, four others were kept covered under the same large net; and some of these produced here and there in the most capricious manner little groups of capsules; and this makes me believe that a bee, many of which settled on the outside of the net, being

attracted by the odour, had on some one occasion found an entrance, and had intercrossed a few of the flowers.

In the spring of 1869 four plants raised from fresh seeds were carefully protected under separate nets; and now the result was widely different to what it was before. Three of these protected plants became actually loaded with capsules, especially during the early part of the summer; and this fact indicates that temperature produces some effect, but the experiment given in the following paragraph shows that the innate constitution of the plant is a far more important element. The fourth plant produced only a few capsules, many of them of small size; yet it was far more self-fertile than any of the seven plants tried during the previous year. The flowers on four small branches of this semi-self-sterile plant were smeared with pollen from one of the other plants, and they all produced fine capsules.

As I was much surprised at the difference in the results of the trials made during the two previous years, six fresh plants were protected by separate nets in the year 1870. Two of these proved almost completely self-sterile, for on carefully searching them I found only three small capsules, each containing either one or two seeds of small size, which, however, gorminated. A few flowers on both these plants were reciprocally fertilised with each other's pollem, and a few with pollen from one of the following self-fertilised plants, and all these flowers produced fine capsules. The four other plants whilst still remaining protected beneath the nets presented a wonderful contrast (though one of them in a somewhat less degree than the others), for they became actually everyed with spontaneously suf-fertilised causales. as

numerous as, or very nearly so, and as fine as those on the unprotected plants growing near.

CHAP. IX.

The above three spontaneously self-fertilised capsules produced by the two almost completely selfsterile plants, contained altogether five seeds; and from these I raised in the following year (1871) five plants, which were kept under separate nets. They grew to an extraordinarily large size, and on August 29th were examined. At first sight they appeared entirely destitute of capsules; but on carefully searching their many branches, two or three capsules were found on three of the plants, half-a-dozen on the fourth, and about eighteen on the fifth plant. But all these capsules were small, some being empty; the greater number contained only a single seed, and very rarely more than one. After this examination the nets were taken off, and the bees immediately carried pollen from one of these almost self-sterile plants to the other, for no other plants grew near. After a few weeks the ends of the branches on all five plants became covered with capsules, presenting a curious contrast with the lower and naked parts of the same long branches. These five plants therefore inherited almost exactly the same sexual constitution as their parents: and without doubt a self-sterile race of Mignonette could have been easily established.

Reseda lutea.—Plants of this species were raised from seeds gathered from a group of wild plants growing at no great distance from my garden. After casually observing that some of these plants were selfsterile, two plants taken by hazard were protected under separate nets. One of these soon became covered with spontaneously self-fertilised capsules, as numerous as those on the surrounding unprotected plants; so that it was evidently quite self-fertile. The other plant was partially self-sterile, producing very few capsules, many of which were of small size. When, however, this plant had grown tall, the uppermost branches became pressed against the net and grew ercoked, and in this position the bees were able to such the flowers through the meshes, and brought pollen to them from the neighbouring plants. These branches then became loaded with capsules; the other and lower branches remaining almost bare. The sexual constitution of this species is therefore similar to that of Rescal colorated.

## Concluding Remarks on self-sterile Plants.

In order to favour as far as possible the self-fertilisation of some of the foregoing plants, all the flowers on Reseda odorata and some of those on the Abutilon were fertilised with pollen from other flowers on the same plant, instead of with their own pollen, and in the case of the Senecio with pollen from other flowers on the same corvmb; but this made no difference in the result. Fritz Müller tried both kinds of self-fertilisation in the case of Bignonia, Tabernæmontana and Abutilon, likewise with no difference in the result. With Eschscholtzia, however, he found that pollen from other flowers on the same plant was a little more effective than pollen from the same flower. So did Hildebrand\* in Germany; as thirteen out of fourteen flowers of Eschscholtzia thus fertilised set capsules, these containing on an average 9.5 seeds; whereas only fourteen flowers out of twentyone fertilised with their own pollen set capsules, these containing on an average 9.0 seeds. Hildebrand

 <sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Pringsheim's Jahrbuch. für wiss. Botanik,' vii. p. 467.
 © The Complete Work of Charles Darwin Online

found a trace of a similar difference with Corydalis cava, as did Fritz Müller with an Oncidium.\*

In considering the several cases above given of complete or almost complete self-sterility, we are first struck with their wide distribution throughout the vegetable kingdom. Their number is not at present large, for they can be discovered only by protecting plants from insects and then fertilising them with pollen from another plant of the same species and with their own pollen: and the latter must be proved to be in an efficient state by other trials. Unless all this be done, it is impossible to know whether their self-sterility may not be due to the male or female reproductive organs, or to both, having been affected by changed conditions of life. As in the course of my experiments I have found three new cases, and as Fritz Müller has observed indications of several others, it is probable that they will hereafter be proved to be far from rare.

As with plants of the same species and parentage, some individuals are self-stertile and others self-fertile, of which fact Resolve olderate offers the most striking instances, it is not at all surprising that species of the same genus differ in this same manner. Thus Verbaseum phemiceum and nigrum are self-sterlie, whilst Khow by trial. There is the same difference between some of the species of Papaver, Covydalis, and of other genera. Nevertheless, the tendency to self-sterlity certainly runs to a certain extent in groups, as we see

C The Complete Work of Charles Danvin Online

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Var. under Dom.' chap. avii. 2nd edit. vol. ii. pp. 113-115. '
† Mr. Wilder, the editor of a theorticultural journal in the U. the States (quoted in 'Gard. Chron.' il 1868. p. 1286) states that Lilium

chap. auratum, Impatiens pallida and falca, cannot be fertilised with their own pollea. Rimpan shows that rye is probably sterile with its own pollen.

in the genus Passiflora, and with the Vandeze amongst Orchids.

Self-sterility differs much in degree in different plants. In those extraordinary cases in which pollen from the same flower acts on the stigma like a poison, it is almost certain that the plants would never yield a single self-fertilised seed. Other plants, like Corydalis cava, occasionally, though very rarely, produce a few self-fertilised seeds. A large number of species, as may be seen in Table F, are less fertile with their own pollen than with that from another plant; and lastly, some species are perfectly self-fertile. Even with the individuals of the same species, as just remarked, some are utterly self-sterile, others moderately so, and some perfectly self-fertile. The cause, whatever it may be, which renders many plants more or less sterile with their own pollen, that is, when they are selffertilised, must be different, at least to a certain extent, from that which determines the difference in height, vigour, and fertility of the seedlings raised from selffertilised and crossed seeds; for we have already seen that the two classes of cases do not by any means run parallel. This want of parallelism would be intelligible, if it could be shown that self-sterility depended solely on the incapacity of the pollen-tubes to penetrate the stigma of the same flower deeply enough to reach the ovules; whilst the greater or less vigorous growth of the seedlings no doubt depends on the nature of the contents of the pollen-grains and ovules. Now it is certain that with some plants the stigmatic secretion does not properly excite the pollengrains, so that the tubes are not properly developed, if the pollen is taken from the same flower. This is the case according to Fritz Müller with Eschscholtzia, for he found that the pollen-tubes did not penetrate the stigma deeply;\* and with the Orchidaceous genus Notylia they failed altogether to penetrate it.

Not/th lawy most antogeness or the companies and the companies and time the wind in the companies and time the companies and the companies are companies as a close analogy with self-efficiation, whilst a legitimate union closely resembles cross-fertilisation; and here again the lessened fertility or complete sterility of an illegitimate union depends, at least in part, on the incapacity for interaction between the pollen-grains and stigma. Thus with Lieuum grandiforum, as I and stigma. Thus with Lieuum grandiforum, as I stopped to the control of the contr

On the other hand the difference in innate fertility, as well as in growth between plants mised from crossed and self-fertilised seeds, and the difference in fertility and growth between the legitimate and illogitimate offspring of dimorphic and trimorphic plants, must depend on some incompatibility between the sexual elements contained within the pollen-grains and ovules, as it is through their union that new organisms are developed.

If we now turn to the more immediate cause of self-aterility, we clearly see that in most cases it is determined by the conditions to which the plants have been subjected. Thus Eschscholtzia is completely selfsterile in the hot climate of Brazil, but is perfectly fertile there with the pollen of any other individual. The offspring of Brazilian plants became in England \*\*Bet Zeitung, 1888, pp. 114. 

\*\*The Different Forms of

115. Flowers,' &c., p. 87

© The Complete Work of Charles Darwin Online

in a single generation partially self-fertile, and still more so in the second generation. Conversely, the offspring of English plants, after growing for two seasons in Brazil, became in the first generation quite self-sterile. Again, Abutilon darwinii, which is selfsterile in its native home of Brazil, became moderately self-fertile in a single generation in an English hothouse. Some other plants are self-sterile during the early part of the year, and later in the season become self-fertile. Passiflora alata lost its self-sterility when grafted on another species. With Reseda, however, in which some individuals of the same parentage are self-sterile and others are self-fertile, we are forced in our ignorance to speak of the cause as due to spontaneous variability; but we should remember that the progenitors of these plants, either on the male or female side, may have been exposed to somewhat different conditions. The power of the environment thus to affect so readily and in so peculiar a manner the reproductive organs, is a fact which has many important bearings; and I have therefore thought the foregoing details worth giving. For instance, the sterility of many animals and plants under changed conditions of life, such as confinement, evidently comes within the same general principle of the sexual system being easily affected by the environment. It has already been proved, that a cross between plants which have been self-fertilised or intercrossed during several generations, having been kept all the time under closely similar conditions, does not benefit the offspring; and on the other hand, that a cross between plants that have been subjected to different conditions benefits the offspring to an extraordinary degree. We may therefore conclude that some degree of differentiation in the sexual system is necessary for

© The Complete Work of Charles Darwin Online

the full fertility of the parent-plants and for the full vigour of their offspring. It seems also probable that with those plants which are capable of complete selffertilisation, the male and female elements and organs already differ to an extent sufficient to excite their mutual interaction; but that when such plants are taken to another country, and become in consequence self-sterile, their sexual elements and organs are so acted on as to be rendered too uniform for such interaction, like those of a self-fertilised plant long cultivated under the same conditions. Conversely, we may further infer that plants which are self-sterile in their native country, but become self-fertile under changed conditions, have their sexual elements so acted on, that they become sufficiently differentiated for mutual interaction.

We know that self-fertilised seedlings are inferior in many respects to those from a cross; and as with plants in a state of nature pollen from the same flower can hardly fail to be often left by insects or by the wind on the stigma, it seems at first sight highly probable that self-sterility has been gradually acquired through natural selection in order to prevent selffertilisation. It is no valid objection to this belief that the structure of some flowers, and the dichogamous condition of many others, suffice to prevent the pollen reaching the stigma of the same flower; for we should remember that with most species many flowers expand at the same time, and that pollen from the same plant is equally injurious or nearly so as that from the same flower. Nevertheless, the belief that self-sterility is a quality which has been gradually acquired for the special purpose of preventing selffertilisation must, I believe, be rejected. In the first place, there is no close correspondence in degree

between the sterility of the parent-plants when selffertilised, and the extent to which their offspring suffer in vigour by this process; and some such correspondence might have been expected if self-sterility had been acquired on account of the injury caused by selffertilisation. The fact of individuals of the same parentage differing greatly in their degree of selfsterility is likewise opposed to such a belief; unless, indeed, we suppose that certain individuals have been rendered self-sterile to favour intercrossing, whilst other individuals have been rendered selffertile to ensure the propagation of the species. The fact of self-sterile individuals appearing only occasionally, as in the case of Lobelia, does not countenance this latter view. But the strongest argument against the belief that self-sterility has been acquired to prevent self-fertilisation, is the immediate and powerful effect of changed conditions in either causing or in removing self-sterility. We are not therefore justified in admitting that this peculiar state of the reproductive system has been gradually acquired through natural selection; but we must look at it as an incidental result, dependent on the conditions to which the plants have been subjected, like the ordinary sterility caused in the case of animals by confinement, and in the case of plants by too much manure, heat, &c. I do not, however, wish to maintain that self-sterility may not sometimes be of service to a plant in preventing self-fertilisation; but there are so many other means by which this result might be prevented or rendered difficult, including as we shall see in the next chapter the prepotency of pollen from a distinct individual over a plant's own pollen, that self-sterility seems an almost superfluous acquirement for this purpose.

Finally, the most interesting point in regard to self© The Complete Work of Charles Darwin Online

sterile plants is the evidence which they afford of the advantage, or rather of the necessity, of some degree or kind of differentiation in the sexual elements, in order that they should unite and give birth to a new being, It was ascertained that the five plants of Reseda odorata which were selected by chance, could be perfectly fertilised by pollen taken from any one of them, but not by their own pollen; and a few additional trials were made with some other individuals, which I have not thought worth recording. So again, Hildebrand and Fritz Müller frequently speak of self-sterile plants being fertile with the pollen of any other individual; and if there had been any exceptions to the rule, these could hardly have escaped their observation and my own. We may therefore confidently assert that a self-sterile plant can be fertilised by the pollen of any one out of a thousand or ten thousand individuals of the same species, but not by its own. Now it is obviously impossible that the sexual organs and elements of every individual can have been specialised with respect to every other individual. But there is no difficulty in believing that the sexual elements of each differ slightly in the same diversified manner as do their external characters; and it has often been remarked that no two individuals are absolutely alike. Therefore we can hardly avoid the conclusion, that differences of an analogous and indefinite nature in the reproductive system are sufficient to excite the mutual action of the sexual elements, and that unless there be such differentiation fertility fails,

The appearance of highly self-fertile Varieties.—We have just seen that the degree to which flowers are capable of being fertilised with their own pollen differs much, both with the species of the same genus, and

sometimes with the individuals of the same species. Some allied cases of the appearance of varieties which, when self-fertilised, yield more seed and produce offspring growing taller than their self-fertilised parents, or than the intercrossed plants of the corresponding generation, will now be considered.

Firstly, in the third and fourth generations of Mimulus luteus, a tall variety, often alluded to. having large white flowers blotched with crimson. appeared amongst both the intercrossed and selffertilised plants. It prevailed in all the later selffertilised generations to the exclusion of every other variety, and transmitted its characters faithfully, but disappeared from the intercrossed plants, owing no doubt to their characters being repeatedly blended by crossing. The self-fertilised plants belonging to this variety were not only taller, but more fertile than the intercrossed plants; though these latter in the earlier generations were much taller and more fertile than the self-fertilised plants. Thus in the fifth generation the self-fertilised plants were to the intercrossed in height as 126 to 100. In the sixth generation they were likewise much taller and finer plants, but were not actually measured; they produced capsules compared with those on the intercrossed plants, in number, as 147 to 100; and the self-fertilised capsules contained a greater number of seeds. In the seventh generation the self-fertilised plants were to the crossed in height as 137 to 100; and twenty flowers on these self-fertilised plants fertilised with their own pollen yielded nineteen very fine capsules,-a degree of self-fertility which I have not seen equalled in any other case. This variety seems to have become specially adapted to profit in every way by self-fertilisation, although this process was so injurious to the parent-plants during the first

four generations. It should however be remembered that seedlings raised from this variety, when crossed by a fresh stock, were wonderfully superior in height and fertility to the self-fertilised plants of the corresponding generation.

Secondly, in the sixth self-fertilised generation of Ipomœa a single plant named the Hero appeared, which exceeded by a little in height its intercrossed opponent, -a case which had not occurred in any previous generation. Hero transmitted the peculiar colour of its flowers, as well as its increased tallness and a high degree of self-fertility, to its children, grandchildren, and great-grandchildren. The self-fertilised children of Hero were in height to other self-fertilised plants of the same stock as 100 to 85. Ten self-fertilised capsules produced by the grandchildren contained on an average 5.2 seeds; and this is a higher average than was yielded in any other generation by the capsules of self-fertilised flowers. The great-grandchildren of Hero derived from a cross with a fresh stock were so unhealthy, from having been grown at an unfavourable season, that their average height in comparison with that of the self-fertilised plants cannot be judged of with any safety; but it did not appear that they had profited even by a cross of this

Thirdly, the plants of Nicotians on which I experimented appear to come under the present class of cases; for they varied in their sexual constitution and were more or less highly self-fertile. They were probably the offspring of plants which had been spontaneously self-fertilised under glass for several generations in this country. The flowers on the parent-plants which were first fertilised by me with their own pollen yielded half again as many seeds as did those which were crossed; and the seedlings raised from these self-fertilised sceed exceeded in height those raised from the crossed seeds to an extraordinary degree. In the second and third generations, although the self-fertilised plants did not exceed the crossed in height, yet their self-fertilised flowers yielded on two occasions considerably more seeds than the crossed flowers, even than those which were crossed with pollen from a distinct stock or variety.

Lastly, as certain individual plants of Resedu colorata and lutes are incomparably more self-fertile than other individuals, the former might be included under the present heading of the appearance of new and highly self-fertile varieties. But in this case we should have to look at these two species as normally self-sterile; and this, judging by my experience, appears to be the correct view.

We may therefore conclude from the facts now given, that varieties sometimes arise which when self-sfertilised possess an increased power of producing seeds and of growing to a greater height, than the intercrossed or self-fertilised plants of the corresponding generation—all the plants being of course subjected to the same conditions. The appearance of such varieties is interesting, as it bears on the existence under nature of plants which regularly fertilists themselves, such as Ophras apifera and some other orchids, or as Leersia crysoides, which produces an abundance of cleistogamic flowers, but most rarely flowers capable of cross-fertilisation.\*

Some observations made on other plants lead me to suspect that self-fertilisation is in some respects beneficial; although the benefit thus derived is as a

<sup>\*</sup> On Leersia, see 'Different Forms of Flowers,' &c., p. 335.

© The Complete Work of Charles Darwin Online

rule very small compared with that from a cross with a distinct plant. Thus we have seen in the last chapter that seedlings of Ipomoea and Mimulus raised from flowers fertilised with their own pollen, which is the strictest possible form of self-fertilisation, were superior in height, weight, and in early flowering to the seedlings raised from flowers crossed with pollen from other flowers on the same plant; and this superiority apparently was too strongly marked to be accidental. Again, the cultivated varieties of the common pea are highly selffertile, although they have been self-fertilised for many generations; and they exceeded in height seedlings from a cross between two plants belonging to the same variety in the ratio of 115 to 100; but then only four pairs of plants were measured and compared. The self-fertility of Primula veris increased after several generations of illegitimate fertilisation, which is a process analogous to self-fertilisation, but only as long as the plants were cultivated under the same favourable conditions. I have also elsewhere shown that with several species of Primula equal-styled varieties occasionally appear which possess the sexual organs of the two forms combined in the same flower. Consequently they fertilise themselves in a legitimate manner and are highly self-fertile; but the remarkable fact is that they are rather more fertile than ordinary plants of the same species legitimately fertilised by pollen from a distinct individual. Formerly it appeared to me probable, that the increased fertility of these heterostyled plants might be accounted for by the stigma lying so close to the anthers that it was impregnated at the most favourable age and time of the day: but this explanation is not applicable to the

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Different Forms of Flowers,' &c., p. 272.

above given cases, in which the flowers were artificially fertilised with their own pollen.

Considering the facts now adduced, including the appearance of those varieties which are more fertile and taller than their parents and than the intercrossed plants of the corresponding generation, it is difficult to avoid the suspicion that self-fertilisation is in some respects advantageous; though if this be really the case,\* any such advantage is as a rule quite insignificant compared with that from a cross with a distinct plant, and especially with one of a fresh stock. Should this suspicion be hereafter verified, it would throw light, as we shall see in the next chapter, on the exist ence of plants bearing small and inconspicuous flowers which are rarely visited by insects, and therefore are rarely intercrossed.

Relative Weight and Period of Germination of Seeds from crossed and self-fertilized Flowers.—An equal number of seeds from flowers fertilised with pollen from another plant, and from flowers fertilised with their own pollen, were weighed, but only in sixteen cases. Their relative weights are given in the following list; that of the seeds from the crossed flowers being taken as 100.

" " (third	ge	nera	tion)	١.				**	**	87
Salvia coccinea								**	"	100
Brassica oleracea								11	99	103
Iberis umbellata (second								59	31	136
Delphinium consolida								11	99	45
Hibiscus africanus .								99	**	105
								22	12	115
Lathyrus odoratus (abou								22	22	100
Sarothamnus scoparius							٠	55	55	88

\* M. Errara, who intends publishing on the present subject, view may hereafter be proved has been so kind as to send me his MS. to read, He is convince and self-fertilisation is never more beneficial than a cross with

It is remarkable that in ten out of these sixteen cases the self-fertilised seeds were either superior or equal to the crossed in weight; nevertheless, in six out of the ten cases (viz., with Ipomœa, Salvia, Brassica, Tropæolum, Lathyrus, and Nemophila) the plants raised from these self-fertilised seeds were very inferior in height and in other respects to those raised from the crossed seeds. The superiority in weight of the selffertilised seeds in at least six out of the ten cases, namely, with Brassica, Hibiscus, Tropæolum, Nemophila, Borago, and Canna, may be accounted for in part by the self-fertilised capsules containing fewer seeds: for when a capsule contains only a few seeds, these will be apt to be better nourished, so as to be heavier, than when many are contained in the same capsule. It should, however, be observed that in some of the above cases, in which the crossed seeds were the heaviest, as with Sarothamnus and Cyclamen, the crossed capsules contained a larger number of seeds. Whatever may be the explanation of the self-fertilised seeds being often the heaviest, it is remarkable in the case of Brassica Tropseolum, Nemophila, and of the first generation of Ipomœa, that the seedlings raised from them were inferior in height and in other respects to the seedlings raised from the crossed seeds. This fact shows how superior in constitutional vigour the crossed seedlings must have been, for it cannot be doubted that heavy and fine seeds tend to vield the finest plants. Mr. Galton has shown that this holds good with Lathyrus odoratus; as has Mr. A. J. Wilson with the swedish turnip, Brassica campestris ruta baga, Mr. Wilson separated the largest and smallest seeds of this latter plant, the ratio between the weights of the two lots being as 100 to 59, and he found that the seedlings "from the larger seeds took the lead and maintained their superiority to the last, both in height and thickness of stem." Nor can this difference in the growth of the seedling turnips be attributed to the heavier seeds having been of crossed, and the lighter of selffertilised origin, for it is known that plants belonging to this genus are habitually intercrossed by insects.

With respect to the relative period of germination of crossed and self-fertilised seeds, a record was kept in only twenty-one cases; and the results are very perplexing. Neglecting one case in which the two lots germinated simultaneously, in ten cases or exactly onehalf many of the self-fertilised-seeds germinated before the crossed, and in the other half many of the crossed before the self-fertilised. In four out of these twenty cases, seeds derived from a cross with a fresh stock were compared with self-fertilised seeds from one of the later self-fertilised generations; and here again in half the cases the crossed seeds, and in the other half the self-fertilised seeds, germinated first. Yet the plants of Mimulus raised from such self-fertilised seeds were inferior in all respects to the crossed plants, and in

\* 'Gardeners' Chronicle,' 1867, p. 107. Loiseleur-Deslongehamp (\* Les Céréales, 1842, pp. 208-219) was led by his observations to the smaller grains of cereals produce as fine plants as the large. tradicted by Major Hallet's great success in improving wheat by the selection of the finest grains. It is possible, however, that man,

by long-continued selection, may have given to the grains of the or other matter, than the seedlings can utilise for their growth. There can be little doubt, as Humboldt long ago remarked, that the grains of cereals have in a degree which is highly inCHAP. IX. CROSSED AND SELF-FERTILISED SEEDS, 355

the case of Eschscholtzia they were inferior in fertility. Unfortunately the relative weight of the two lots of seeds was ascertained in only a few instances in which their germination was observed; but with Ipomœa and I believe with some of the other species, the relative lightness of the self-fertilised seeds apparently determined their early germination, probably owing to the smaller mass being favourable to the more rapid completion of the chemical and morphological changes necessary for germination.\* On the other hand, Mr. Galton gave me seeds (no doubt all self-fertilised) of Lathyrus odoratus, which were divided into two lots of heavier and lighter seeds; and several of the former germinated first. It is evident that many more observations are necessary before anything can be decided with respect to the relative period of germination of crossed and self-fertilised seeds

Mr. J. Scott remarks (\*Manual of Opium Husbandry, 1877, p. 131) that the smaller seeds of Paparer souniferum germinate first. He also states that the larger seeds yield the finer crop of plants. With respect to this latter subject see an abstract in Burbidge's 'Cultivated Plants,' 1877, p. 33, on the important experiments showing the same results, by Dr. Marck and Prof. Lchmann.

## CHAPTER X.

MEANS OF FERTILISATION

Sterility and fertility of plasts when insects are actualed—The means by which droves are ense-fertiled—Structures from remarks to selffurtilisation—Bubbins between the structure and complementures tion—The means by which forewar are furtilised with polen from a distinct plast—Greater fertilising power of such pollen —Assumphilius peption—Conversion of assumphilius species into —Assumphilius peption—Conversion of assumphilius species into have their sense separated—Conversion of dictions into hermaphrollis flowers—Trees often have their sense separated phrollis flowers—Trees often have their sense separated

In the introductory chapter I briefly specified the various means by which cross-fertilisation is favoured or ensured, namely, the separation of the sexes,-the maturity of the male and female sexual elements at different periods, - the heterostyled or dimorphic and trimorphic condition of certain plants, - many mechanical contrivances,-the more or less complete inefficiency of a flower's own pollen on the stigma,-and the prepotency of pollen from any other individual over that from the same plant. Some of these points require further consideration; but for full details I must refer the reader to the several excellent works mentioned in the introduction. I will in the first place give two lists: the first, of plants which are either quite sterile or produce less than about half the full complement of seeds, when insects are excluded; and a second list of plants which, when thus treated, are fully fertile or produce at least half the full complement of seeds. These lists have been compiled from the several previous tables, with some additional cases from my own observations and those of others. The species are arranged nearly in the order followed by Lindley in his 'Vegetable Kingdom.' The reader should observe that the sterility or fertility of the plants in these two lists depends on two wholly distinct causes; namely, the absence or presence of the proper means by which pollen is applied to the stigma, and its less or greater efficiency when thus applied. As it is obvious that with plants in which the sexes are separate, pollen must be carried by some means from flower to flower, such species are excluded from the lists; as are likewise heterostyled plants, in which the same necessity occurs to a limited extent. Experience has proved to me that, independently of the exclusion of insects, the seed-bearing power of a plant is not lessened by covering it while in flower under a thin net supported on a frame; and this might indeed have been inferred from the consideration of the two following lists, as they include a considerable number of species belonging to the same genera, some of which are quite sterile and others quite fertile when protected by a net from the access of insects,

List of Plants which, when Insects are excluded, are either quite sterile, or produce, as far as I could judge, less than half the number of Seeds produced by unprotected Plants.

Passifora alata, racemosa, cerulea, edulis, laurifolia, and some individuals of P. quadrangularis (Passifloracea), are quite sterile under these conditions: see 'variation of Animals and Plants under Domestication,' chap, xvii. 2nd edit, vol. ii. n. 118.

p. 118.
Viola canina (Violacea).—Perfect flowers quite sterile unless fertilised by bees, or artificially fertilised.

© The Complete Work of Charles Darwin Online

V. tricolor.-Sets very few and poor capsules.

Reseda odorata (Resedaceæ).—Some individuals quite sterile.

R. lutea.—Some individuals produce very few and poor capsules.

sules. Abutilon darwinii (Malvacese).—Quite sterile in Brazil: see previous discussion on self-sterile plants.

Nymphou (Nympheacee).—Professor Caspary informs me that some of the species are quite sterile if insects are excluded.

Some of the speces are quise series it inscess are examines (Symphaneson—Mr. 3. Smith, of Kew, inlarged summines (Symphaneson—Mr. 3. Smith, of Kew, inprobably not visited by inserts, contained from eight to fitfeets seed; those from flowers artificially fertilised with
pollen from other flowers on the same plant contained from
affleen to thirty seed; and that two flowers fertilised with
pollen brought from another plant at Clatworth contained
respectively sixty and several-yew seeds. I have given
those statements because Professor Capary advances this
polant as a case opposed to the doctrine of the necessity or
plant as one opposed to the doctrine of the necessity or
Polymaneson of the professor capary and the plant as a case opposed to the plant as a case opposed to the plant as of the plant as of the plant as a case opposed to the plant as of the plant as of

Delphinium consolida (Ranunculacea).—Produces many capsules, but these contain only about half the number of seeds compared with capsules from flowers naturally fertilised by

pared with capsules from howers naturally fertilised by bees.

Eschscholtzia californica (Papaveracce).—Brazilian plants quite sterile: English plants produce a few capsules.

Papaver vagum (Papaveracess).—In the early part of the summer produced very few capsules, and these contained very few seeds.

P. alpinum.—H. Hoffmann ('Speciesfrage,' 1875, p. 47) states that this species produced seeds capable of germination only on one occasion.

on one occasion.

Corydalis cava (Fumariaces).—Sterile: see the previous discussion on self-sterile plants.

G. seldes.—I had a single plant in my garden (1878), and saw many hiv-best sucking the flowers, but not a single seed was produced. I was much surprised at this fact, as Professor Hild-bearned Solovoury that C, ears is sterile with its own pollen had not then been made. Be likewise concludes from the few experiments which he made on the present species that it is self-sterile. The two foregoing cases are interesting, because bolantisk formerly thought fees, for

for self-fertilisation. C. lutea .- A covered-up plant produced (1861) exactly half as many capsules as an exposed plant of the same size growing close alongside. When humble-bees visit the flowers (and I repeatedly saw them thus acting) the lower petals suddenly spring downwards and the pistil upwards; this is due to the elasticity of the parts, which takes effect, as soon as the coherent edges of the hood are separated by the entrance of an insect. Unless insects visit the flowers the parts do not move. Nevertheless, many of the flowers on the plants which I had protected produced capsules, notwithstanding that their petals and pistils still retained their original position; and I found to my surprise that these capsules contained more seeds than those from flowers, the petals of which had been artificially separated and allowed to spring apart. Thus, nine capsules produced by undisturbed flowers contained fifty-three seeds; whilst nine capsules from flowers, the petals of which had been artificially separated, contained only thirty-two seeds. But we should remember that if bees had been permitted to visit these flowers, they would have visited them at the best time for fertilisation. The flowers, the petals of which had been artificially separated, set their capsules before those which wave left undisturbed under the net. To show with what certainty the flowers are visited by bees, I may add that on one occasion all the flowers on some unprotected plants were examined, and every single one had its petals separated; and, on a second occasion, forty-one out of fortythree flowers were in this state. Hildebrand states (Pring. Jahr, f. wiss, Botanik, B. vii., p. 450) that the mechanism of the parts in this species is nearly the same as in C. ochroleuca, which he has fully described.

Hypecoum grandiflorum (Fumariacea).—Highly self-sterile (Hildebrand, ibid.).

Kalmia latifolia (Ericacea).—Mr. W. J. Beal says ('American Naturalist,' 1867) that flowers protected from inacets wither and drop off, with "most of the authers still remaining in the pockets."
Palroponium zonale (Geraniacea).—Almost sterile; one plant

goniam zoniae (Geramacce).—Itimose morne, one pain

produced two fruits. It is probable that different varieties would differ in this respect, as some are only feebly dichogamous.

gamous.

Dianthus caryophyllus (Caryophyllacea).—Produces very few capsules, which contain any good seeds,

Phonolon sublidience (Lagrantinous).—Plants producted, from innects prolinead on two coasions about on-childral and oneeighth of the full number of seeds; see my article in 'Gardener' Chronick' 1857, p. 55, and 1858, p. 528; also 'Annals and Mag. of Natural History,' des lersies, vol. if, 1858, p. 462. Dr. Ogle (\*p. 08, issue Review, 1870, p. 168) found that a plant was quite sterile when covered up. The flowers are not visited by insuces in Nicaragua, and, according to Mr. Belt, the species is there quite sterile: 'The Naturalist in Nicaragua', p.70.

Vicia false (Leguminosa)—Seventeen covered-up plants yielded 40 beans, whilst seventeen plants left unprotected and growing close alongside produced 135 beans; these latter plants were, therefore, between three and four times more fertile than the protected plants: see 'Gardenen' Chronicle' for fuller details, 1858, p. 82.

Erythrina (sp. !) (Leguminosa).—Sir W. MacArthur informed me that in New South Wales the flowers do not set, unless the petals are moved in the same manner as is done by insects. Lathyrus grandiflorus (Leguminosa).—Is in this country more or

less sterile. It never sets pola unless the flowers are visited by humble-bees (and this happens only rarely), or unless they are artificially fertilised: see my article in 'Gardeners' Chronicle,' 1858, p. 828.

Sarothamnus scoparius (Leguminosw).—Extremely sterile when the flowers are neither visited by bees, nor disturbed by being beaten by the wind against the surrounding net.

Militiates officientia (Loguminosa)—An unprotected plant visitée by bees produced at least thirty times more seeds than a protected one. On this latter plant many scores of mecmes did not produce a single pod, several mecmes produced each one or two pods; five produced three; six produced flow; and one produced six pods. On the unprotected plant produced three pods, and one produced fifteen pods; nine produced between sixtees of twenty-two pols, and one produced thirty pods. Lotus corniculatus (Leguminosæ).—Several covered-up plants produced only two empty pods, and not a single good seed. Trifolium repens (Leguminosse).—Several plants were protected

from insects, and the seeds from ten flower-heads on these plants, and from ten heads on other plants growing outside the net (which I saw visited by bees), were counted; and the seeds from the latter plants were very nearly ten times as numerous as those from the protected plants. The experiment was repeated on the following year; and twenty protected heads now yielded only a single aborted seed, whilst twenty heads on the plants outside the net (which I saw visited by bees) yielded 2290 seeds, as calculated by weighing all the seed, and counting the number in a weight of two grains.

T. pratense.-One hundred flower-heads on plants protected by a net did not produce a single seed, whilst 100 heads on plants growing outside, which were visited by bees, yielded 68 grains weight of seeds; and as eighty seeds weighed two grains, the 100 heads must have yielded 2,720 seeds. I have often watched this plant, and have never seen hive-bees sucking the flowers, except from the outside through holes bitten by humble-bees, or deep down between the flowers, as if in search of some secretion from the calyx, almost in the same manner as described by Mr. Farrer, in the case of Coronilla ('Nature,' 1874, July 2, p. 169). I must, however, except one occasion, when an adjoining field of sainfoin (Hedysarum onobrychis) had just been cut down, and when the bees seemed driven to desperation. On this occasion most of the flowers of the clover were somewhat withered, and contained an extraordinary quantity of nectar, which the bees were able to suck. An experienced apiarian, Mr. Miner, says that in the United States hive-bees never suck the red clover; and Mr. R. Colgate informs me that he has observed the same fact in New Zealand after the introduction of the hive-bee into that island. On the other hand, H. Müller (' Befruchtung,' p. 224) has often seen hivebees visiting this plant in Germany, for the sake both of pollen and nectar, which latter they obtained by breaking apart the petals. It is at least certain that humble-bees are the chief fertilisers of the common red clover.

T. incarnatum.-The flower-heads containing ripe seeds, on some covered and uncovered plants, appeared equally fine, but

this was a false appearance; 60 heads on the latter yielded 349 grains weight of seeds, whereas 60 on the covered-up plants vielded only 63 grains, and many of the seeds in the latter lot were poor and aborted. Therefore the flowers which were visited by bees produced between five and six times as many seeds as those which were protected. The covered-up plants not having been much exhausted by seedbearing, bore a second considerable crop of flower-stems, whilst the exposed plants did not do so.

Cytisus laburnum (Leguminosce).-Seven flower-racemes ready to expand were enclosed in a large bag made of net, and they did not seem in the least injured by this treatment. Only three of them produced any pods, each a single one; and these three pods contained one, four, and five seeds. So that only a single pod from the seven racemes included a fair complement of seeds.

vol. viii. 1864, p. 119.

Cuphea purpurea (Lythracese).-Produced no seeds. Other flowers on the same plant artificially fertilised under the net yielded seeds. Vinca major (Apocynaces).—Is generally quite sterile, but some-

times sets seeds when artificially cross-fertilised; see my notice, 'Gardeners' Chronicle,' 1861, p. 552. V. rosez.—Behaves in the same manner as the last species:

'Gardeners' Chronicle,' 1861, pp. 699, 736, 831, Tabernamontana echinata (Apocypacese), Quite sterile.

Petunia violacea (Solanaceae).-Quite sterile, as far as I have observed. Solanum tuberosum (Solanacem).—Tinzmann says ('Gardeners'

Chronicle,' 1846, p. 183) that some varieties are quite sterile unless fertilised by pollen from another variety.

Primula scotica (Primulacea).—A non-dimorphic species, which is fertile with its own pollen, but is extremely sterile if insects are excluded. J. Scott, in 'Journal Linn. Soc. Bot.'

Cortusa matthioli (Primulacese).-Protected plants completely sterile; artificially self-fertilised flowers perfectly fertile. J. Scott, ibid. p. 84.

Cyclamen persicum (Primulacere).—During one season several covered-up plants did not produce a single seed.

Borago officinalis (Boraginaceae).—Protected plants produced about half as many seeds as the unprotected. Salvia tenori (Labiatæ).-Quite sterile; but two or three flowers

on the summits of three of the spikes, which touched the net when the wind blow, produced a few sceles. This sterility was not due to the injurious effects of the net, for I fertilised fro downer with pollen from an adjoining plant, and these all yielded fine seeds. I removed the net, while the little branch still hove a few not completely faded flowers, and these were visited by bees and yielded seeds. Sections—Seem covered-up ulbats produced, a cool many

a. occane.—come covered-up panus produced a good many fruits, but not, I think, half as many as did the uncovered plants; twenty-eight of the fruits spontaneously produced by the protected plant contained on an average only 1·45 seeds, whilst some artificially self-fertilised fruits on the same plant contained more than twice as many, viz., 3·3 seeds.

Bignonia (unnamed species) (Bignoniaceæ).—Quite sterile: see my account of self-sterile plants. Digitalis purpurer (Scrophulariaceæ).—Extremely sterile, only a

few poor capsules being produced.

Linaria valgaris (Scrophulariacew).—Extremely sterile, only

Linaria valgaris (Scrophulariacew).—Extremely sterile.

Autirrhinus surjus, red var. (Scrophulariacea).—Fifty pode gathered from a large plant under a net contained '98 grains weight of seeds; but many (unfortmastely not counted) of the fifty pode sociation to seeds. Fifty pode on a plant fully exposed to the visits of humble-bese contained 29-1 grains weight of seed, that is, more than twice the weight; but in this case again, several of the fifty pode centained no seeds.

4. mejus (white var., with a pink month to the corollap-Fifty pols, of which only a very few were empty, on a covered-up plant contained 20 grains weight of seed; so that this varriety seems to be much more self-critic than the previous one. With Dr. W. Ogle (Psp. Sedence Review; Jan. 1850, p. 52) a plant of this species was much more sterile when protected from insects than with me, for efficiency of bees, I may add that Mr. Crooker exartned some young flowers and left them uncovered; and these produced as many seeds as the unmutilated flowers.

majus (peloric var.).—This variety is quite fertile when artificially fertilised with its own pollen, but is utterly sterile when left to itself and uncovered, as humble-bees cannot erawl not nearrow tubular flowers.

Verbascum phomiceum (Serophulariacea).—Quite sterile) See my account of self-V. nigrum.—Quite sterile Campanula carpathica (Lobeliacea).—Quite sterile.

Lobelia ramosa (Lobeliacew).—Quite sterile.

364

L. fulgens.—This plant is never visited in my garden by bees, and is quite sterile; but in a nursery-garden at a few miles' distance I saw humble-bees visiting the flowers, and they produced some capsules.

Jaconse (a white-flowered var.) (Lobelinean).—Five plusts left unprotected in my greenhouse produced twenty-four fine capsules, containing altogether 12+2 grains weight of seed, and thirteen other very poor capsules, which were rejected. Five plants protected from insects, but otherwise exposed to the same conditions as the above plants, produced stateon men capsules, and remain contained seeds by weight in such proportion that twenty-four would have yielded 4+66 grains. So that the unprotected plants produced market thrice as many seeds by weight as the protected plants.

Leschenaultia formosa (Goodeniacce).—Quite sterile. My experiments on this plant, showing the necessity of insect aid, are given in the 'Gardeners' Chronicle,' 1871, p. 1166.

Senecto cruentus (Compositæ).—Quite sterile: see my account of solf-sterile plants.

Heterocentron mexicanum (Melastomaccae),—Quite sterile; but this species and the following members of the group produce plenty of seed when artificially self-fertilised.

Rhexia glandulosa (Melastomacee).—Set spontaneously only two or three capsulos. Centradesia floribunda (Melastomacee).—During some years pro-

duced spontaneously two or three capsules, sometimes none.

Plerona (unpamed species from Kew) (Melastomacce).—During

some years produced spontaneously two or three capsules, sometimes none.

Monochetum ensiferum (Melastomacce).—During some years pro-

Monochatum ensiferum (Meiastomacos).—During some years produced spontaneously two or three capsules, sometimes none.

Hedychium (unnamed species) (Marantacess).—Almost self-sterile without aid.

without aid.
Orchidex.—An immense proportion of the species sterile, if insects are excluded.

List of Plants, which when protected from Insects are either quite Fertile, or yield more than half the Number of Seeds produced by unprotected Plants.

Passiflora gracilis (Passifloracese).-Produces many fruits, but these contain fewer seeds than fruits from intercrossed flowers. Brassica oleracea (Cruciferae). — Produces many capsules, but these generally not so rich in seed as those on uncovered

plants.

Raphanus sativus (Cruciferm).-Half of a large branching plant was covered by a net, and was as thickly covered with capsules as the other and unprotected half; but twenty of the capsules on the latter contained on an average 3.5 seeds, whilst twenty of the protected capsules contained only 1.85 seeds, that is, only a little more than half the number. This plant might perhaps have been more properly included in the former list.

Theris umbellata (Crnciferta). Highly fortile

I. amara.-Highly fertile. Reseda odorata and lutca (Resedacem).—Certain individuals completely self-fertile.

Euryale ferox (Nymphæaceæ).-Professor Caspary informs me that this plant is highly self-fertile when insects are excluded. He remarks in the paper before referred to, that his plants (as well as those of the Victoria regia) produce only one flower at a time; and that as this species is an annual, and was introduced in 1809, it must have been self-fertilised for the last fifty-six generations; but Dr. Hooker assures me that to his knowledge it has been repeatedly introduced, and that at Kew the same plant both of the Euryale and of the Victoria produce several flowers at the same time.

Numphaa (Nymphaacea).—Some species, as I am informed by

Professor Caspary, are quite self-fertile when insects are excluded.

Adonis astivalis (Ranunculacese),-Produces, according to Professor H. Hoffmann ('Speciesfrage,' p. 11), plenty of seeds when protected from insects.

Ranunculus acris (Ranunculaces) .- Produces plenty of seeds under a net.

Papaver somniferum (Papaveracese).—Thirty capsules from uncovered plants yielded 15.6 grains weight of seed, and thirty capsules from covered-up plants, growing in the same bed, @ The Complete Work of Charles Darwin Online

more productive than the uncovered. Professor H. Hoffmann ('Speciesfrage,' 1875, p. 53) also found this species self-fertile when protected from insects. P. vagum.—Produced late in the summer plenty of seeds, which

P. vagam,—Produced late in the summer plenty of seeds, whicl germinated well.

P. argemonoides
Glaucium luteum (Papaveracce)
Argemone caracleusus (Papaveracce)
Argemone caracleusus (Papaveracce)
are by no means sterile.

Adlumia cirrhosa (Fumariacea).—Sets an abundance of capsules.

Hypecoum procumbens (Fumariacea). Hildebrand says (idem),
with respect to protected flowers, that "eine gute Frucht-

bildung eintrete."

Fumaria officinalis (Fumariacese).—Covered-up and unprotected

plants apparently produced an equal number of capoules, and the scoke of the former secured to the eye equally good. I have often watched this plant, and so has Hildebrand, and we have never seen an innet with the former. H. Müller has likewise been struck with the rarity of the visits of meets to it, though he has sometimes seen hir-bees at work. The flowers may perhaps be visited by small moths, as is probably the case with the following species.

F. capreolata. - Several large beds of this plant growing wild were watched by me during many days, but the flowers were never visited by any insects, though a humble-bee was once seen closely to inspect them. Nevertheless, as the nectary contains much nectar, especially in the evening. I felt convinced that they were visited, probably by moths. The petals do not naturally separate or open in the least: but they had been opened by some means in a certain proportion of the flowers, in the same manner as follows when a thick bristle is pushed into the nectary; so that in this respect they resemble the flowers of Corudalis lutea. Thirtyfour heads, each including many flowers, were examined, and twenty of them had from one to four flowers, whilst fourteen had not a single flower thus opened. It is therefore clear that some of the flowers had been visited by insects, while the majority had not; yet almost all produced capsules.

Linum usilatissimum (Linacese).—Appears to be quite fertile. H. Hoffmann, 'Bot. Zeitung,' 1876, p. 566. Intraticus barbigeru (Balsaminacese).—The flowers, though ex-

cellently adapted for cross-fertilisation by the bees which

freely visit them, set abundantly under a net.

I nolime-target (Balamimona)—This species produces cleistogamic and perfect flowers. A plant was covered with a net,
and some perfect flowers. A plant was covered with a net,
and some perfect flowers, marked with threads,
or an average 3-45 needs. I neglected to ascertain the
number of seeds produced by perfect flowers exposed to the
visits of insects, but I believe it is not greatly in cross sof
the above-average. Mr. A.W. Bennett has carefully described
the attracture of the flowers of I. Juleo in Journal Linn. Soc.'
vol. xiii. Bot. 1872, p. 147. This latter species is said to
be sterrile with its own pollen (\*Gard. Chronicle), 1868, p.
betwiegers and undernectures contribute contrast with I
between all undernectures.

Limnanthes douglasii (Geraniacem).—Highly fertile.

Viscaria ocaluta (Caryophyllacese).—Produces plenty of capsules with good seeds.

Stellaria media (Caryophyllacea).—Covered-up and uncovered plants produced an equal number of capsules, and the seeds in both appeared equally numerous and good.

Beta vulgaris (Chenopodiaces).—Highly self-fertile.
Vicia sativa (Leguminose).—Protected and unprotected plants

produced an equal number of pods and equally fine seeds.

If there was any difference between the two lots, the coveredup plants were the most productive.

V. hiruuka, — This species bears the smallest flowers of any

British leguminous plant. The result of covering up plants was exactly the same as in the last species.

Pisum sativum (Leguminosæ).—Fully fertile. Lathyrus odoratus (Leguminosæ).—Fully fertile.

Lathyrus odoratus (Leguminosæ).—Fully fertile.
L. nissolia.—Fully fertile.

Lupinus luteus (Leguminosæ),—Fairly productive. L. pilosus,—Produced plenty of pods.

Onnis minutisima (Leguminoss).—Twelve perfect flowers on a plant under a net were marked by threads, and produced eight pods, containing on an average 2:38 seeds. Pods produced by flowers visited by finsects would probably have contained on an average 3:66 seeds, judging from the effects of artificial cross-fertilisation.

Phaseolus vulgaris (Leguminosæ).—Quite fertile.

Prifolium arvense (Leguminosæ).—The excessively small flowers

© The Complete Work of Charles Darwin Online

are incessantly visited by hive and humble-bees. When insects were excluded the flower-heads seemed to produce as many and as fine seeds as the exposed heads.

T. procumbens.-On one occasion covered-up plants seemed to yield as many seeds as the uncovered. On a second occasion sixty uncovered flower-heads yielded 9.1 grains weight of seeds, whilst sixty heads on protected plants yielded no less than 17.7 grains; so that these latter plants were much more productive; but this result I suppose was accidental. I have often watched this plant, and have never seen the flowers visited by insects; but I suspect that the flowers of this species, and more especially of Trifolium minus, are frequented by small nocturnal moths which, as I hear from Mr. Bond, haunt the smaller clovers. Medicago lupulina (Leguminosse) .- On account of the danger of

losing the seeds, I was forced to gather the pods before they were quite ripe; 150 flower-heads on plants visited by bees yielded pods weighing 101 grains; whilst 150 heads on protected plants yielded pods weighing 77 grains. The inequality would probably have been greater if the mature seeds could have been all safely collected and compared, Ig. Urban (Keimung, Bluthen, &c., bei Medicago, 1873) has described the means of fertilisation in this genus, as has the Rev. G. Henslow in the 'Journal of Linn, Soc. Bot.' vol. ix. 1866, pp. 327 and 355.

Nicotiana tabacum (Solanaceze).—Fully self-fertile.

Ipomon purpurea (Convolvulacese).-Highly self-fertile. Leptosiphon androsaceus (Polemoniacem).-Plants under a net

produced a good many capsules.

Primula mollis (Primulaces).—A homomorphic species, self-fer-tile: J. Scott, in 'Journal Linn. Soc. Bot.' vol. viii. 1864, p. 120. Nolana prostrata (Nolanaces).—Plants covered up in the greenhouse, yielded seeds by weight compared with uncovered plants, the flowers of which were visited by many bees. in the ratio of 100 to 61.

Ajuga reptans (Labiatæ).-Set a good many seeds; but none of the stems under a net produced so many as several uncovered stems growing closely by.

Euphrasia officinalis (Scrophulariaceæ).—Covered-up plants pro-duced plenty of seed; whether less than the exposed plants I cannot say. I saw two small Dipterous insects (Dolichopos nigripennis and Empis chioptera) repeatedly sucking the flowers; as they crawled into them, they rubbed against the bristles which project from the anthers, and became dusted with pollen.

Feronica agressis (Scrophulariacee).—Covered-up plants produced an abundance of seeds. I do not know whether any insects visit the flowers; but I have observed Syrphidae repeatedly covered with pollen visiting the flowers of V. hederofolia and chamedrus.

Mimulus luteus (Scrophulariaceæ).—Highly self-fertile.

Culceolaria (greenhouse variety) (Scrophulariaceæ).—Highly selffertile.

Verbascum thapsus (Scrophulariacese).—Highly self-fertile.
V. luchnitis.—Highly self-fertile.

V. lycanitis.—Highly self-fertile.

Vandellia nummularifolia (Scrophulariaceæ).—Perfect flowers

produce a good many capsules.

Bartsia adontites (Scrophulariaces).—Covered-up plants produced

Bartsia committes (Scropmuariacces)——Coverect up plants produced a good many seeds; but several of these were shrivelled, nor were they so numerous as those produced by unprotected plants, which were incessantly visited by hive and humblebees.

Specularia speculum (Lobeliaces).—Covered plants produced almost as many capsules as the uncovered.

Lactuca sativa (Composite).—Covered plants produced some

Lactivea sativa (Composité).—Covered plants produced some seeds, but the summer was wet and unfavourable.

Galium aparine (Rubiacess).—Covered plants produced quite as

many seeds as the uncovered.

Apium p-troselinum (Umbelliferæ).—Covered plants apparently were as productive as the uncovered.

Zen mays (Gramineæ).—A single plant in the greenhouse produced a good many grains.

Canna warscewiczi (Marantacew).—Highly self-fertile.

Orchidacon. — In Europe Ophrys apifera is as regularly selffertilised as is any eleistogamic flower. In the United States, South Africa, and Australia there are a few species which are perfectly self-fertile. These several cases are given in the 2nd edit, of my work on the Fertilisation of Orchids.

the 2nd edit. of my work on the Fertilisation of Orchids. Allience ope 100 ord var.) (Liliaceep.—Four flower-heads were covered with a net, and they produced somewhat fewer and smaller capsules than those on the uncovered head. The capsules were counted on one uncovered head, and were 289 in number; whilst those on a fine head from under the net were only 199.

Each of these lists contains by a mere accident the same number of genera, viz., forty-nine.\* The genera in the first list include sixty-five species, and those in the second sixty species; the Orchideæ in both being excluded. If the genera in this latter order, as well as in the Asclepiadæ and Apocynaceæ, had been included, the number of species which are sterile if insects are excluded would have been greatly increased; but the lists are confined to species which were actually experimented on. The results can be considered as only approximately accurate, for fertility is so variable a character, that each species ought to have been tried many times. The above number of species, namely, 125, is as nothing to the host of living plants; but the mere fact of more than half of them being sterile within the specified degree, when insects are excluded, is a striking one; for whenever pollen has to be carried from the anthers to the stigma in order to ensure full fertility, there is at least a good chance of cross-fertilisation. I do not, however, believe that if all known plants were tried in the same manner, half would be found to be sterile within the specified limits: for

anthers from the florets of one ber of grains, being fertilised by the surrounding plants. I state experiments ('Gardeners' Chronicle 1874, March 21, p. 375) that wheat is invariably self-fertilised. and no doubt it is so generally. Mr. Wilson believes that all the pollen shed by the exserted anthers is absolutely useless. This is a conclusion which it would require very rigid proof to make me to admit

<sup>\*</sup> The plants in these two lists are entomophilous, or adapted for fertilisation by insects, with the exception of Zea and Beta, which are anemophilous or fertilised by the wind. I may therefore here repeat that, according to Rim-pan ('Landwirth. Jarbuch,' B. vi. 1877, pp. 192-233, and p. 1073). Rve is sterile if the access of pollen from other plants is pretions. Rimpan states (p. 199) that the different varieties of wheat behave differently with respect to self and cross-fertilisation. He removed at an early age all the

MEANS OF CROSS-FERTILISATION.

many flowers were selected for experiment which presented some remarkable structure; and such flowers often require insect-aid. Thus out of the forty-nine genera in the first list, about thirty-two have flowers which are asymmetrical or present some remarkable peculiarity; whilst in the second list, including species which are fully or moderately fertile when insects were excluded, only about twenty-one out of the forty-nine are asymmetrical or present any remarkable peculiarity.

Means of cross-fertilisation.—The most important of all the means by which pollen is carried from the anthers to the stigma of the same flower, or from flower to flower, are insects, belonging to the orders of Hymenoptera, Lepidoptera, and Diptera; and in some parts of the world, birds." Next in importance, but

\* I will here give all the cases known to me of birds fertilising flowers. In South Brazil, humwithout their aid: (Fritz Müller, Bot. Zeit.' 1870, pp. 274-5, and ming-birds visit tle flowers of Brugmansia, whilst some of the short-beaked species often penetrate its large corolla in order to manner, in the same manner as do bees in all parts of the world. of humming-birds are specially adapted to the various kinds of flowers which they visit: on the Cordillera they suck the Salvize, and lacerate the flowers Mr. Belt saw them sucking the flowers of Marcgravia and Ervthrina, and thus they carried pollen from flower to flower. In North America they are said to

(Gould, 'Introduction to the Trochilidæ, 1861, pp. 15, 120; 'Gard, Chronicle, 1869, p. 389; 'The Naturalist in Nicaragua,' p. 129: 'Journal of Linn. Soc. Bot.' vol. xiii. 1872, p. 151.) I may add that I often saw in Chile a Mimus with its head vellow with pollen from, as I believe, a Cassia. I have been assured that at the Cape of Good Hope, Strelitzia is There can hardly be a doubt that many Australian flowers are ferbirds of that country. Mr. Wallace remarks (Address to the Biological Section, Brit. Assoc. 1876) that he has "often observed the beaks and faces of the brushtongued lories of the Molu cas covered with pollen." In New Zealand many specimens of the Anthornes melanura had their heads coloured with pollen from the flowers of an endemic species New Zealand Institute,' vol. iii.

in a quite subordinate degree, is the wind; and with some aquatic plants, according to Delpino, currents of water. The simple fact of the necessity in many cases of extraneous aid for the transport of the pollen, and the many contrivances for this purpose, render it highly probable that some great benefit is thus gained; and this conclusion has now been firmly established by the proved superiority in growth, vigour, and fertility of plants of crossed parentage over those of self-fertilized parentage. But we should always keep in mind that two somewhat opposed ends have to be gained; the first and more important one being the production of seeds by any means, and the second, cross-fertilisation.

The advantages derived from cross-fertilisation throw a flood of light on most of the chief characters of flowers. We can thus understand their large size and bright colours, and in some cases the bright tints of the adjoining parts, such as the peduncles, bracteæ, even true leaves, as with Poinsettia, &c. By this means they are rendered conspicuous to insects, on the same principle that almost every fruit which is devoured by birds presents a strong contrast in colour with the green foliage, in order that it may be seen and its seeds freely disseminated. With some flowers conspicuousness is gained at the expense even of the reproductive organs, as with the ray-florets of many Compositæ, the exterior flowers of Hydrangea, and the terminal flowers of the Feather-hyacinth or Muscari. There is also reason to believe, and this was the opinion of Sprengel, that flowers differ in colour in accordance with the kinds of insects which frequent them.

<sup>\*</sup> See also Dr. Ascherson's interesting essay in 'Bot. Zeitung,' 1871, p. 444.

perhaps expect that some few plants would retain traces of a former nectariferous condition. On the other hand, these marks are much more common on asymmetrical flowers, the entrance into which would be apt to puzzle insects, than on regular flowers. Sir J. Lubbock has also proved that bees readily distinguish colours. and that they lose much time if the position of honey

which they have once visited be in the least changed." The following case affords, I think, the best evidence \* 'British Wild Flowers in relation to Insects,' 1875, p. 44. © The Complete Work of Charles Darwin Online

that these marks have really been developed in correlation with the nectary. The two upper petals of the common Pelargonium are thus marked near their bases; and I have repeatedly observed that when the flowers vary so as to become peloric or regular, they lose their nectaries and at the same time the dark marks, When the nectary is only partially aborted, only one of the upper petals loses its mark. Therefore the nectary and these marks clearly stand in some sort of close relation to one another: and the simplest view is that they were developed together for a special purpose; the only conceivable one being that the marks serve as a guide to the nectary. It is, however, evident from what has been already said, that insects could discover the nectar without the aid of guiding marks. They are of service to the plant, only by aiding insects to visit and suck a greater number of flowers within a given time than would otherwise be possible; and thus there will be a better chance of fertilisation by pollen brought from a distinct plant, and this we know is of paramount importance.

The odours emitted by flowers attract insects, as I have observed in the case of plants covered by a muslin net. Nägeli affixed artificial flowers to branches, scenting some with essential oils and leaving others unseented; and insects were attracted to the former in au unmistakable manner.\* It would appear that they must be guided by the simultaneous action of sight and smell, for M. Plateau† found that excellently made, but not scented, artificial flowers never deceived them. It will be shown in the next chapter that the flowers of certain balants regain full ve zoanded for flowers of certain balants regain full ve zoanded for

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Enstehung, &c., der Naturhist. Art.' 1865, p. 23.

Assoc. for the Advancement of Science,' 1876.

1 'Proceedings of the French

days or weeks and do not attract any insects; and it is probable that they are neglected from not having as yet secreted any nectar or become odoriferous. Nature may be said occasionally to try on a large scale the same experiment as that by M. Plateau. Not a few flowers are both conspicuous and odoriferous. Of all colours, white is the prevailing one; and of white flowers a considerably larger proportion smell sweetly than of any other colour, namely, 14.6 per cent.; of red, only 8.2 per cent, are odoriferous,\* The fact of a larger proportion of white flowers smelling sweetly may depend in part on those which are fertilised by moths requiring the double aid of conspicuousness in the dusk and of odour. Most flowers which are fertilised by crepuscular or nocturnal insects emit their odour chiefly or exclusively in the evening, and they are thus less likely to be visited and have their nectar stolen by ill-adapted diurnal insects. Some flowers, however, which are highly odoriferous depend solely on this quality for their fertilisation, such as the nightflowering stock (Hesperis) and some species of Daphne; and these present the rare case of flowers which are fertilised by insects being obscurely coloured.

The storage of a supply of nectar in a protected place is manifestly connected with the visits of insects. So sis the position which the stamens and pistils occupy, the either permanently or at the proper period through their own movements; for when mature they invariably stand in the pathway leading to the nectary. The shape of the nectary and of the adjoining parts are

<sup>\*</sup> The colours and odours of I have not seen their original the flowers of 4200 species have works, but a very full abstract been tabulated by Landgrabe, and by Schitbler and Köhler. Mag' vol. xiii. 1837, p. 367.

habitually visit the flowers: this has been well shown by H. Müller by his comparison of lowland species which are chiefly visited by bees, with alpine species belonging to the same genera which are visited by butterflies.\* Flowers may also be adapted to certain kinds of insects, by secreting nectar particularly attractive to them, and unattractive to other kinds: of which fact Epipaciis latifolia offers the most striking instance known to me, as it is visited exclusively by wasps. Structures also exist, such as the hairs within the corolla of the foxglove (Digitalis), which apparently serve to exclude insects that are not well fitted to bring pollen from one flower to another.† I need say nothing here of the endless contrivances, such as the viscid glands attached to the pollen-masses of the Orchidea and Asclepiadæ, or the viscid or roughened state of the pollen-grains of many plants, or the irritability of their stamens which move when touched by insects, &c., -as all these contrivances evidently favour or ensure cross-fertilisation. All ordinary flowers are so far open that insects can

All oranny nowers are so far open that insects can force an entrance into them, notwithstanding that some, like the Snapdragon (Antirrhinum), various Papilionaecous and Fumariaecous flowers, are in appearance closed. It cannot be maintained that their openness in secessary for fertility, as cleistogramic flowers which are permanently closed yield a full complement of seeds. Pollen contains much nitrozers and obses-

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Nature,' 1874, p. 110; 1875, p. 190; 1876, pp. 210, 283. † Belt, 'Pho Naturalist in Nicaragua,' 1874, p. 132. Kerner las shown in his admirable essay, 'Die Schutzmittel der Blüthen gegen unberufene Gäste, 1826,' that many structures—hairs, vised' glands, the position of the parts,

<sup>&</sup>amp;c.—protect the flowers from the access of erawling or wingless insects, which would steal the nectar, and yet, as they do not commonly earry pollen from one plant to another, but only from flower to flower on the same plant, would confer no benefit to the species.

CHAP, X.

phorus-the two most precious of all the elements for the growth of plants-but in the case of most open flowers, a large quantity of pollen is consumed by pollen-devouring insects, and a large quantity is destroyed during long-continued rain. With many plants this latter evil is guarded against, as far as is possible, by the anthers opening only during dry weather,"-by the position and form of some or all of the petals,-by the presence of hairs, &c., and as Kerner has shown in his interesting essay,† by the movements of the petals or of the whole flower during cold and wet weather. In order to compensate the loss of pollen in so many ways, the anthers produce a far larger amount than is necessary for the fertilisation of the same flower. I know this from my own experiments on Ipomcea, given in the Introduction; and it is still more plainly shown by the astonishingly small quantity produced by cleistogamic flowers, which lose none of their pollen, in comparison with that produced by the open flowers borne by the same plants; and yet this small quantity suffices for the fertilisation of all their numerous seeds. Mr. Hassall took pains in estimating the number of pollen-grains produced by a flower of the Dandelion (Leontodon), and found the number to be 243,600, and in a Paeony 3,654,000. A single plant of Typha produced 144 grains by weight of pollen, and as this

plant is an emophilous with very small pollen-grains,

<sup>\*</sup> Mr. Blackley observed that the ripe authers of rye did not dehisee whilst kept under a bellgiass in a damp atmosphere, whilst other anthers exposed to the same temperature in the open air dehiseed freely. He also found much more pollen adhering to the sticky slides, which were attached to kites and seen thigh

up in the atmosphere, during the first fine and dry days after wet weather, than at other times: 'Experimental Researches on Hay Fever,' 1878, p. 127. † 'Die Schutzmittel des Pollens,' 1878. ‡ 'Annals and Mag. of Nat.

Hist.' vol. viii. 1842, p. 108.

their number in the above weight must have been prodigious. We may judge of this from the following facts: Dr. Blackley ascertained \* by an ingenious method, that in the three following anemophilous plants, a single grain-weight of the pollen of Lolium perenne contained 6.032,000 grains; the same weight of the pollen of Plantago lanceolata contained 10,124,000 grains; and that of Scirpus lacustris, 27,302,050 grains, Again Mr. A. S. Wilson estimated by micro-measurement† that a single floret of rve yielded 60,000 pollengrains, whilst one of spring wheat yielded only 6864 grains. The editor of the 'Botanical Register' counted the ovules in the flowers of Wistaria sinensis, and carefully estimated the number of pollen-grains, and he found that for each ovule there were 7000 grains.; With Mirabilis, three or four of the very large pollen-grains are sufficient to fertilise an ovule; but I do not know how many grains a flower produces. With Hibiscus, Kölreuter found that sixty grains were necessary to fertilise all the ovules of a flower, and he calculated that 4863 grains were produced by a single flower, or eightyone times too many. With Geum urbanum, however, according to Gärtner, the pollen is only ten times too much.§ As we thus see that the open state of all ordinary flowers, and the consequent loss of much pollen, necessitate the development of so prodigious an excess of this precious substance, why, it may be asked, are flowers always left open? As many plants exist throughout the vegetable kingdom which bear cleistogamic flowers, there can hardly be a doubt that all

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;New Observations on Hay Fever,' 1877, p. 14. † 'Gardeners' Chronicle,' March 1874, p. 376. ‡ Quoted in 'Gard. Chron.'

<sup>1846,</sup> p. 771. § Kölreuter, 'Vorläufige Nachricht,' 1761, p. 9. Gärtner, 'Beitrage zur Kenntniss,' &c. p. 346.

open flowers might easily have been converted into

closed ones. The graduated steps by which this process could have been effected may be seen at the present time in Lathyrus nissolia, Biophytum sensitivum, and several other plants. The answer to the above question obviously is, that with permanently closed flowers there could be no cross fertilisation.

The frequency, almost regularity, with which pollen is transported by insects from flower to flower, often from a considerable distance, well deserves attention." This is best shown by the impossibility in many cases of raising two varieties of the same species pure, if they grow at all near together; but to this subject I shall presently return; also by the many cases of hybrids which have appeared spontaneously both in gardens and a state of nature. With respect to the distance from which pollen is often brought, no one who has had any experience would expect to obtain pure cabbage-seed, for instance, if a plant of another variety grew within two or three hundred yards. An accurate observer, the late Mr. Masters of Canterbury, assured me that he once had his whole stock of seeds "seriously affected with purple bastards," by some plants of purple kale which flowered in a cottager's garden at the distance of half a mile; no other plant of this variety growing any

both lots: the flowers which he had fertilised with such astonishing care produced 11,237 seeds. whilst those left to the insects produced 10,886; that is, a less number by only 351; and this small inferiority is fully accounted for by the insects not having worked during some days, when the weather was cold with continued rain.

wards he counted the seeds of

<sup>\*</sup> An experiment made by Köl-reuter ('Fortset-ung,' &c. 1763, p. 69) affords good evidence on this head. Hibiscus vesicarius is strongly dichogamous, its pollen flowers, and put pollen from other flowers on their stigmas to the agency of insects. After-

nearer.\* But the most striking case which has been recorded is that by M. Godron,† who shows by the nature of the hybrids produced that Primula grandiflora must have been crossed with pollen brought by bees from P. officinalis, growing at the distance of above two kilometres, or of about one English mile and a quarter.

All those who have long attended to hybridisation, insist in the strongest terms on the liability of castrated flowers to be fertilised by pollen brought from distant plants of the same species.‡ The following case shows this in the clearest manner: Gärtner, before he had gained much experience, castrated and fertilised 520 flowers on various species with pollen of other genera or other species, but left them unprotected; for, as he says, he thought it a laughable idea that pollen should be brought from flowers of the same species, none of which grew nearer than between 500 and 600 yards.§ The result was that 289 of these 520 flowers yielded no seed, or none that germinated; the seed of 29 flowers produced hybrids, such as might have been expected from the nature of the pollen employed; and lastly, the seed of the remaining 202 flowers produced per-

species.

<sup>\*</sup> Mr. W. C. Marshall caught no less than seven specimens of a moth (Cucullia umbratica) with the pollinia of the butterfly-ordis (Habesaria chlorautha) sticking to their eyes, and, therefore, in the proper position for fertilising interflowers of this species, on an island in Derweutunien at a prince where this plant grew: Nature, 1872, p. 393.

\*\*Yature, 1872, p. 393.

\*\*Yature, 1872, p. 393.

\*\*Yature, 1872, p. 394.

p. 331.

† See, for instance, the remarks
by Herbert, 'Amaryllidacce,'
1837, p. 349. Also Gartner's
strong expressions on this subject

in his "Bastarderzeugung," 1849, p. 670; and 'Kemntniss der Be-fruehtung," 1844, pp. 510, 573, Also Lecoq, 'De la Fécondation,' &c., 1845, p. 27. Some statements have been been been between der bestehen between der bestroeitlansy tendency of hybrid plants to revert to their parent forms; but as it is not said how the flowers were protected from insects, it may be suspected that they were often fertilized with from the parents-

<sup>§ &#</sup>x27;Kenntniss der Befruchtung,' pp. 539, 550, 575, 576.

feetly pure plants, so that these flowers must have been fertilised by pollen brought by insects from a distance of between 500 and 500 yards. It is of course possible that some of these 202 flowers might have been fertilised by pollen left accidentally in them when they were castrated; but to show how improbable this is, I may add that Gatten, during the next eighteen years, castrated no less than 8042 flowers and hybridised them in a closed room; and the seeds from only seventy of these, that is considerably less than 1 per cent., produced pure or unhybridised offspring.<sup>1</sup>

From the various facts now given, it is evident that most flowers are adapted in an admirable manner for cross-fertilisation. Nevertheless, the greater number likewise present structures which are manifestly adapted, though not in so striking a manner, for selffertilisation. The chief of these is their hermaphrodite condition: that is, their including within the same corolla both the male and female reproductive organs. These often stand close together and are mature at the same time; so that pollen from the same flower cannot fail to be deposited at the proper period on the stigma. There are also various details of structure adapted for self-fertilisation.‡ Such structures are best shown in those curious cases discovered by H. Müller, in which a species exists under two forms .one bearing conspicuous flowers fitted for cross-fertilisation, and the other smaller flowers fitted for self-fer-

<sup>&</sup>quot;Henschel's experiments (quoted by Gärtner, 'Kenntniss,' &e, p. 574), which are worthless in all other respects, likewise show how largely flowers are intererossed by insects. He castrated many flowers on thirtyseven species, belonging to twenty-

two genera, and put on their stigmas either no pollen, or pollen from distinct genera, yet they all seeded, and all the seedlings raised from them were of course pure. † 'Kennthiss,' &c. p. 555, 576. ‡ H. Müller, 'Die Befruchtung,' &c. p. 448.

<sup>©</sup> The Complete Work of Charles Danvin Online

tilisation, with many parts in the latter slightly modified for this special purpose.\*

As two objects in most respects opposed, namely, cross-fertilisation and self-fertilisation, have in many cases to be gained, we can understand the co-existence in so many flowers of structures which appear at first sight unnecessarily complex and of an opposed nature. We can thus understand the great contrast in structure between cleistogamic flowers, which are adapted exclusively for self-fertilisation, and ordinary flowers on the same plant, which are adapted so as to allow of at least occasional cross-fertilisation.† The former are always minute, completely closed, with their petals more or less rudimentary and never brightly coloured; they never secrete nectar, never are odoriferous, have very small anthers which produce only a few grains of pollen, and their stigmas are but little developed. Bearing in mind that some flowers are cross-fertilised by the wind (called anemophilous by Delpino), and others by insects (called entomophilous), we can further understand, as was pointed out by me several years ago, the great contrast in appearance between these two classes of flowers. Anemophilous flowers resemble in many respects eleistogamic flowers, but differ widely in not being closed, in producing an extraordinary

Nature, 1873, pp. 44, 433.
Fritz Müller has discovered in the animal kinglen for Johnston Zeitschr. B. iv. p. 451) a case curiously analogous to that control of the properties of the properties of the properties of the properties of the property of the properties of the p

amount of pollen which is always incoherent, and in the stigma often being largely developed or plumose. We certainly owe the beauty and odour of our flowers and the storage of a large supply of honey to the existence of insects.

On the Relation between the Structure and Conspicuousness of Flowers, the Visits of Insects, and the Advantages of Cross-fertilisation.

It has already been shown that there is no close relation between the number of seeds produced by flowers when crossed and self-fertilised, and the degree to which their offspring are affected by the two processes. I have also given reasons for believing that the inefficiency of a plant's own pollen is in most cases an incidental result, or has not been specially acquired for the sake of preventing self-fertilisation. On the other hand, there can hardly be a doubt that dichogamy. which prevails according to Hildebrand\* in the greater number of species,-that the heterostyled condition of certain plants,-and that many mechanical structures -have all been acquired so as both to check selffertilisation and to favour cross-fertilisation. The means for favouring cross-fertilisation must have been acquired before those which prevent self-fertilisation; as it would manifestly be injurious to a plant that its stigma should fail to receive its own pollen, unless it had already become well adapted for receiving pollen from another individual. It should be observed that many plants still possess a high power of self-fertilisation, although their flowers are excellently constructed for cross-fertilisation-for instance, those of many papilionaceous species.

\* 'Die Geschlechter Vertheilung,' &c. p. 32,

© The Complete Work of Charles Darwin Online

It may be admitted as almost certain that some structures, such as a narrow elongated nectary, or a long tubular corolla, have been developed in order that certain kinds of insects alone should obtain the nectar. These insects would thus find a store of nectar preserved from the attacks of other insects; and they would thus be led to visit frequently such flowers and to carry pollen from one to the other." It might perhaps have been expected that plants having their flowers thus peculiarly constructed would profit in a greater degree by being crossed, than ordinary or simple flowers; but this does not seem to hold good. Thus Tropwolum minus has a long nectary and an irregular corolla, whilst Limnanthes douglasii has a regular flower and no proper nectary, yet the crossed seedlings of both species are to the self-fertilised in height as 100 to 79. Salvia coccinea has an irregular corolla, with a curious apparatus by which insects depress the stamens, while the flowers of Ipomœa are regular; and the crossed seedlings of the former are in height to the self-fertilised as 100 to 76, whilst those of the Ipomoa are as 100 to 77. Fagopyrum is heterostyled and Anagallis collina is homostyled, and the crossed seedlings of both are in height to the self-fertilised as 100 to 69.

With all European plants, excepting the comparatively rare amenophilous kinds, the possibility of distinct individuals intercrossing depends on the visits of insects; and H. Müller has proved by his valuable observations, that large conspicuous flowers are visited much more frequently and by many more kinds of insects, than are small inconspicuous flowers. He further remarks that the flowers which are rarely

<sup>\*</sup> See the interesting discussion on this subject by H. Müller, 'Dio Befruchtung,' &c. p. 431.

<sup>©</sup> The Complete Work of Charles Darwin Online

visited must be capable of self-fertilisation, otherwise they would quickly become extinct. \* There is, however, some liability to error in forming a judgment on this head, from the extreme difficulty of ascertaining whether flowers which are rarely or never visited during the day (as in the above given case of Fumaria capreolata) are not visited by small nocturnal Lepidoptera, which are so numerous and are known to be strongly attracted by sugar. † The two lists given in the early part of this chapter support Müller's conclusion that small and inconspicuous flowers are completely self-fertile; for only eight or nine out of the 125 species in the two lists come under this head, and all of these were proved to be highly fertile when insects were excluded. The singularly inconspicuous flowers of the Fly Ophrys (O. muscifera), as I have elsewhere shown, are rarely visited by insects; and it is a strange instance of imperfection, in contradiction to the above rule, that these flowers are not self-fertile. so that a large proportion of them do not produce seeds. The converse of the rule that plants bearing small and inconspicuous flowers are self-fertile, namely, that plants with large and conspicuous flowers are selfsterile, is far from true, as may be seen in our second list of spontaneously self-fertile species: for this list includes such species as Ipomæa purpurea, Adonis æstivalis, Verbascum thapsus, Pisum sativum, Lathyrus odoratus, some species of Papaver and of Nymphæa, and others

The rarity of the visits of insects to small flowers,

\* 'Befruchtung,' &c., p. 426.
'Nature,' 1873, p. 433.
'In answer to a question by me, the editor of an entomological journal writes—" The Depressing as is notorious to every

collector of Nocture, come very freely to sugar, and no doubt naturally visit flowers:" the 'Entomologists' Weekly Intelligencer,' 1860, p. 103.

© The Complete Work of Charles Darwin Online

does not depend altogether on their inconspicuousness, but likewise on the absence of some sufficient attraction; for the flowers of Trifolium arrense are extremely small, yet are incessantly visited by hive and humble-bees, as are the small and dingy flowers of the asparagus. The flowers of Linaria equibalaria are small and not very conspicuous, yet at the proper time they are freely visited by hive-bees. I may add that according to Mr. Bennett, 'there is another and quite distinct class of plants which cannot be much frequented by insects, as they flower either exclusively or often during the winter, and these seem adapted for self-fertilization, as they shed their pollen before the flowers expand.

That many flowers have been rendered conspicuous for the sake of guiding insects to them is highly probable or almost certain; but it may be asked, have other flowers been rendered inconspicuous so that they may not be frequently visited, or have they merely retained a former and primitive condition? If a plant were much reduced in size, so probably would be the flowers through correlated growth, and this may possibly account for some cases; but the corolla, as I have elsewhere shown ('Different Forms of Flowers,' 1877, p. 143), is also liable to be greatly reduced, through the direct action of unfavourable climate. Size and colour are both extremely variable characters, and it can hardly be doubted that if large and brightly-coloured flowers were advantageous to any species, these could be acquired through natural selection within a moderate lapse of time. Papilionaceous flowers are manifestly constructed in relation to the visits of insects, and it seems improbable, from the usual character of the

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Nature,' 1869, p. 11.

group, that the progenitors of the genera Vicia and Trifolium produced such minute and unattractive flowers as those of V. hirsuta and T. procumbens. We are thus led to infer that some plants either have not had their flowers increased in size, or have actually had them reduced and purposely rendered inconspicuous, so that they are now but little visited by insects. In either case they must also have acquired or retained a high degree of self-fertility.

If it became from any cause advantageous to a species to have its capacity for self-fertilisation increased, there is little difficulty in believing that this could readily be effected; for three cases of plants varying in such a manner as to be more fertile with their own pollen than they originally were, occurred in the course of my few experiments, namely, with Mimulus, Ipomœa, and Nicotiana. Nor is there any reason to doubt that many kinds of plants are capable under favourable circumstances of propagating themselves for very many generations by self-fertilisation. This is the case with the varieties of Pisum sativum and of Lathyrus odoratus which are cultivated in England, and with Ophrys apifera and some other plants in a state of nature. Nevertheless, most or all of these plants retain structures in an efficient state which cannot be of the least use except for cross-fertilisation. We have also seen reason to suspect that self-fertilisation is in

some peculiar manner beneficial to certain plants; but if this be really the case, the benefit thus derived is far more than counterbalanced by a cross with a fresh stock or with a slightly different variety. Notwithstanding the several considerations just advanced, it seems to me highly improbable that plants bearing small and inconspicuous flowers have

fertilisation for a long series of generations. I think so, not from the evil which manifestly follows from self-fertilisation, in many cases even in the first generation, as with Viola tricolor, Sarothamnus, Nemophila, Cyclamen, &c.; nor from the probability of the evil increasing after several generations, for on this latter head I have not sufficient evidence, owing to the manner in which my experiments were conducted. But if plants bearing small and inconspicuous flowers were not occasionally intercrossed, and did not profit by the process, all their flowers would probably have been rendered cleistogamic, as they would thus have largely benefited by having to produce only a small quantity of safely-protected pollen. In coming to this conclusion, I have been guided by the frequency with which plants belonging to distinct orders have been rendered cleistogamic. But I can hear of no instance of a species with all its flowers rendered permanently cleistogamic. Leersia makes the nearest approach to this state; but as already stated, it has been known to produce perfect flowers in one part of Germany. Some other plants of the cleistogamic class, for instance Aspicarpa, have failed to produce perfect flowers during several years in a hothouse; but it does not follow that they would fail to do so in their native country, any more than with a Vandellia and Viola, which with me produced only cleistogamic flowers during certain years." Plants belonging to this class commonly bear both kinds of flowers every season, and the perfect flowers of Viola canina yield fine capsules, but only when visited by bees. We have also seen that the seedlings of Ononis minutissima, raised from the perfect flowers fertilised with pollen from another plant, were finer

<sup>\*</sup> These cases are given in ch. viii. of my \* Different Forms of Flowers."

© The Complete Work of Charles Danwin Online

than those from self-fertilised flowers; and this was likewise the case to a certain extent with Vandellia. As therefore no species which at one time bore perfect though small and inconspicuous flowers has had all its flowers rendered cleistoganie, I must believe that plants now bearing small and inconspicuous flowers profit by their still remaining open, so as to be occasionally intercrossed by insects. It has been one of the greatest oversights in my work that I did not experimenties on such flowers, owing to the difficulty of fertilising them, and to my not having seen the importance of the subject.\*

It should be remembered that in two of the cases in which highly self-fertile varieties appeared amongst my experimental plants, namely, with Mimulus and Nicotiana, such varieties were greatly benefited by a cross with a fresh stock or with a slightly different variety; and this likewise was the case with the cultivated varieties of Pseum settown and Lathyrus obtavitas, which have been long propagated by self-fertilisation. Therefore until the contrary is distinctly proved, I must believe that as a general rule small and incorpilations flowers are occasionally intercrossed by insects; and that after long-continued self-fertilisation,

the common potato (S. telerosus), though they do not secrete necture (Kurr, 'Bedeutung der Nottarien, 'ISSJ, p40, yet cannot be 
considered as incompleuous, and 
potation of the considered as incompleuous, and 
plyptom (Müller) and as it has 
seen, by humble-bees. Timzseen, by humbleseen, by humble

On the other hand, the flowers of

@ The Complete Work of Charles Darwin Online

other variety.

<sup>\*</sup>Some of the species of Solamum would be good ones for such experiments, for they are said by H. Miller C Berhentlung, P. 483 to be unattractive to inascts from not secreting network of the contractive of the contract of the contractive of the contractive of the secreting network of the contractive of the contrac

if they are crossed with pollen brought from a plant growing under somewhat different conditions, or descended from one thus growing, their offspring would profit greatly. It cannot be admitted, under our present state of knowledge, that self-fertilisation continued during many successive generations is ever the most beneficial method of reproduction.

The Means which favour or ensure Flowers being fertilised with Pollen from a distinct Plant.-We have seen in four cases that seedlings raised from a cross between flowers on the same plant, even on plants appearing distinct from having been propagated by stolons or cuttings, were not superior to seedlings from self-fertilised flowers; and in a fifth case (Digitalis) superior only in a slight degree. Therefore we might expect that with plants growing in a state of nature a cross between the flowers on distinct individuals, and not merely between the flowers on the same plant, would generally or often be effected by some means. The fact of bees and of some Diptera visiting the flowers of the same species as long as they can, instead of promiseuously visiting various species, favours the intercrossing of distinct plants. On the other hand, insects usually search a large number of flowers on the same plant before they fly to another, and this is opposed to crossfertilisation. The extraordinary number of flowers which bees are able to search within a very short space of time, as will be shown in a future chapter, increases the chance of cross-fertilisation; as does the fact that they are not able to perceive without entering a flower whether other bees have exhausted the nectar. For instance, H. Müller found\* that four-fifths of the

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Die Befruchtung,' &c. p. 311.

flowers of Lamium album which a humble-bee visited had been already exhausted of their nectar. In order that distinct plants should be intercrossed, it is of course indispensable that two or more individuals should grow near one another; and this is generally the case. Thus A. de Candolle remarks that in ascending a mountain the individuals of the same species do not commonly disappear near its upper limit quite gradually, but rather abruptly. This fact can hardly be explained by the nature of the conditions, as these graduate away in an insensible manner, and it probably depends in large part on vigorous seedlings being produced only as high up the mountain as many individuals can subsist together.

With respect to diocious plants, distinct individuals must always fertilise each other. With monecious plants, as pollen has to be carried from flower to flower, there will always be a good chance of its being carried from plant to plant. Delpino has also observed \* the curious fact that certain individuals of the monœcious walnut (Juglans regia) are proterandrous, and others proterogynous, and these will reciprocally fertilise each other. So it is with the common nut (Corylus avellana), t and, what is more surprising, with some few hermaphrodite plants, as observed by H. Müller. These latter plants cannot fail to act on each other like dimorphic or trimorphic heterostyled species, in which the union of two individuals is necessary for full and normal fertility. With ordinary hermaphrodite species, the expansion of only a few flowers at the same time is one of the simplest means for favouring the intercrossing of distinct individuals; but this would

\* 'Illt. Osservazioni,' &c. part

<sup>† &#</sup>x27;Die Befruchtung,' &c. pp. † 'Nature,' 1875, p. 26. © The Complete Work of Charles Darwin Online

render the plants less conspicuous to insects, unless the flowers were of large size, as in the case of several bulbous plants. Kerner thinks \* that it is for this object that the Australian Villarsia paranassifolia produces daily only a single flower. Mr. Cheeseman also remarks,† that as certain Orchids in New Zealand which require insect-aid for their fertilisation bear only a single flower, distinct plants cannot fail to intercross. So it is with the American species of Drosera;† and, as I hear from Professor Caspary, with water-lilies.

Dichogamy, which prevails so extensively throughout the vegetable kingdom, much increases the chance of distinct individuals intercrossing. With proterandrous species, which are far more common than proterogynous, the young flowers are exclusively male in function, and the older ones exclusively female: and as bees habitually alight low down on the spikes of flowers in order to crawl upwards, they get dusted with pollen from the upper flowers, which they carry to the stigmas of the lower and older flowers on the next spike which they visit. The degree to which distinct plants will thus be intercrossed depends on the number of spikes in full flower at the same time on the same plant. With proterogynous flowers and with depending racemes, the manner in which insects visit the flowers ought to be reversed in order that distinct plants should be intercrossed. But this whole subject requires further investigation, as the great importance of crosses between distinct individuals, instead of merely between distinct flowers, has hitherto been hardly recognised.

work, in 'American Journal of Science,' vol. xiii., Feb. 1877, p.

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Die Schutzmittel,' &c. p. 23. † 'Transact. New Zealand Institute,' vol. v. 1873, p. 356. ‡ Asa Gray, in a review of this

<sup>©</sup> The Complete Work of Charles Danwin Online

In some few cases the special movements of certain organs almost ensure pollen being carried from plant to plant. Thus with many orchids, the pollen-masses after becoming attached to the head or probescis of an insect do not move into the proper position for striking the stigma, until ample time has elapsed for the insect to fly to another plant. With Spiranthes autumnalis, the pollen-masses cannot be applied to the stigma until the labellum and rostellum have moved apart, and this movement is very slow.\* With Posogueria fragrans (one of the Rubiacces) the same end is gained by the movement of a specially constructed stamen, as described by Fritz Müller.

We now come to a far more general and therefore more important means by which the mutual fertilisation of distinct plants is effected, namely, the fertilising power of pollen from another variety or individual being greater than that of a plant's own pollen. The simplest and best known case of prepotent action in pollen, though it does not bear directly on our present subject, is that of a plant's own pollen over that from a distinct species. If pollen from a distinct species be placed on the stigma of a castrated flower, and then after the interval of several hours, pollen from the same species be placed on the stigma, the effects of the former are wholly obliterated, excepting in some rare cases. If two varieties are treated in the same manner, the result is analogous, though of a directly opposite nature; for pollen from any other variety is often or generally prepotent over that from the same flower. I will give some instances: the pollen of Minulus luteus regularly falls on the stigma of its own flower, for the

\* The Various Contrivances Orchids are fertilised, 1st edit. by which British and Foreign p. 128. Second edit. 1877, p. 110.

plant is highly fertile when insects are excluded. Now several flowers on a remarkably constant which variety were fertilised without being eastrated with pollen from a yellowish variety; and of the twenty-eight seedlings thus raised, every one bore yellowish flowers, so that the pollen of the yellow variety completely overwhehmed that of the mother-plant. Again, \*Deris\* unabellata\* is spontaneously self-fertile, and I saw an abundance of pollen from their own flowers on the stigmas; nevertheless, of thirty seedlings raised from non-castrated flowers of a crimson variety crossed with pollen from a pink variety, twenty-four bore pink flowers, like those of the male or pollen-bearing parent.

In these two cases flowers were fertilised with pollen from a distinct variety, and this was shown to be prepotent by the character of the offspring. Nearly similar results often follow when two or more self-fertile varieties are allowed to grow near one another and are visited by insects. The common cabbage produces a large number of flowers on the same stalk, and when insects are excluded these set many capsules, moderately rich in seeds. I planted a white Kohl-rabi, a purple Kohl-rabi, a Portsr outh broccoli, a Brussels sprout. and a Sugar-loaf cabbage near together and left them uncovered. Seeds collected from each kind were sown in separate beds; and the majority of the seedlings in all five beds were mongrelised in the most complicated manner, some taking more after one variety, and some after another. The effects of the Kohl-rabi were particularly plain in the enlarged stems of many of the seedlings. Altogether 233 plants were raised, of which 155 were mongrelised in the plainest manner, and of the remaining 78 not half were absolutely pure. I repeated the experiment by planting near together two varieties of cabbage with purple-green and whitegreen lacingated leaves; and of the 325 seedlings raised from the purple-green variety, 165 had whitegreen and 160 purple-green leaves. Of the 466 seedlings raised from the white-green variety, 220 had purple-green and 246 white-green leaves. These cases show how largely pollen from a neighbouring variety of the cabbage effaces the action of the plant's own pollen. We should bear in mind that pollen must be carried by the bees from flower to flower on the same large branching stem much more abundantly than from plant to plant; and in the case of plants the flowers of which are in some degree dichogamous, those on the same stem would be of different ages, and would thus be as ready for mutual fertilisation as the flowers on distinct plants, were it not for the prepotency of pollen from another variety.\*

Several varieties of the radish (Raphanus sativus), which is moderately self-fertile when insects are excluded, were in flower at the same time in my garden. Seed was collected from one of them, and out of twentytwo seedlings thus raised only twelve were true to their

The onion produces a large number of flowers, all crowded together into a large globular head, each flower having six stamens; so that the stigmas receive plenty of pollen from their own and the adjoining anthers. Consequently the plant is fairly self-fertile when protected from insects. A blood-red, silver, globe and Spanish onion were planted near together;

<sup>\*</sup> A writer in the 'Gardeners' Chronicle' (1855, p. 730) says that he planted a bed of turnips (Brassica rapa) and of rape (B. napus) close together, and sowed the seeds of the former. The

ling was true to its kind, and several closely resembled rape. with respect to this plant.

and seedlings were raised from each kind in four separate beds. In all the beds mongrets of various kinds were numerous, except amongst the ten seedlings from the blood-red onion, which included only two. Altogether forty-six seedlings were raised, of which thirty-one had been plainly crossed.

A similar result is known to follow with the varieties of many other plants, if allowed to flower near together: I refer here only to species which are capable of fertilising themselves, for if this be not the case, they would of course be liable to be crossed by any other variety growing near. Horticulturists do not commonly distinguish between the effects of variability and intercrossing; but I have collected evidence on the natural crossing of varieties of the tulip, hyacinth, amenore, nanunculus, strawberry, Leptosiphon androsaceus, orange, hood-dendron and rhubarb, all of which plants I believe to be self-fertile.\* Much other indirect evidence could be given with respect to the extent to which varieties of the same species spontaneously intercross.

Gardeners who raise seed for sale are compelled by dearly bought experience to take extraordinary precautions against intercrossing. Thus Messrs. Sharp

\* With respect to fullys and some other forwers, see Godron, De Pfspect, forn i, p. 252. For amenous, Godro, Chron. 180, better in "Transact of Hort. Soc," to July 180, better in "Transact of Hort. Soc," to July 180, better in "Transact of Hort. Soc, which is the spondaneous cossing of rhedodendron to the property of the spondaneous cossing of rhedodendron that the property of the spondaneous consideration of the property o

rhimus, the varieties of which are known to cross freely, because these plants are not always selffortile. I know nothing about cop. The la Fecondation, 1822, p. 23, Mahonin, and Crimum, in which generat the species intercross largely. With respect to Mahonie, in the now searcely possible to gremens of M. aquifoldism or repeas; and the various species of Crimus such that the control of the cont

© The Complete Work of Charles Darwin Online

"have land engaged in the growth of seed in no less than eight parishes." The mere fact of a vast number of plants belonging to the same variety growing together is a considerable protection, as the chances are strong in favour of plants of the same variety intererossing; and it is in chief part owing to this circumstance, that certain villages have become famous for pure seed of particular varieties.\* Only two trials were made by me to ascertain after how long an interval of time, pollen from a distinct variety would obliterate more or less completely the action of a plant's own pollen. The stigmas in two lately expanded flowers on a variety of cabbage, called Ragged Jack, were well covered with pollen from the same plant. After an interval of twenty-three hours, pollen from the Early Barnes Cabbage growing at a distance was placed on both stigmas; and as the plant was left uncovered, pollen from other flowers on the Ragged Jack would certainly have been left by the bees during the next two or three days on the same two stigmas. Under these circumstances it seemed very unlikely that the pollen of the Barnes cabbage would produce any effect; but three out of the fifteen plants raised from the two capsules thus produced were plainly mongrelised: and I have no doubt that the twelve other plants were affected, for they grew much more vigorously than the self-fertilised seedlings from the Ragged Jack planted at the same time and under the same conditions. Secondly, I placed on several stigmas of a long-styled cowslip (Primula veris) plenty of pollen from the same plant, and after twenty-four hours added some from a short-styled dark-red Polyanthus, which is a variety of

<sup>\*</sup> With respect to Messrs. Sharp, see 'Gardeners' Chronicle,' 1856, ticulture,' p. 319.

the cowslip. From the flowers thus treated thirty seedlings were raised, and all these without exception bore reddish flowers; so that the effect of the plant's own pollen, though placed on the stigmas twentyfour hours previously, was quite destroyed by that of the red variety. It should, however, be observed that these plants are heterostyled, and that the second union was a legitimate one, whilst the first was illegitimate; but flowers illegitimately fertilised with their own pollen yield a moderately fair supply of seeds.

We have hitherto considered only the prepotent fertilising power of pollen from a distinct variety over a plant's own pollen .- both kinds of pollen being placed on the same stigma. It is a much more remarkable fact that pollen from another individual of the same variety is prepotent over a plant's own pollen. as shown by the superiority of the seedlings raised from a cross of this kind over seedlings from selffertilised flowers. Thus in Tables A. B. and C. there are at least fifteen species which are self-fertile when insects are excluded : and this implies that their stigmas must receive their own pollen: nevertheless, most of the seedlings which were raised by fertilising the noncastrated flowers of these fifteen species with pollen from another plant were greatly superior, in height, weight, and fertility, to the self-fertilised offspring, For instance, with Ipomæa purpurea every single intercrossed plant exceeded in height its self-fertilised opponent until the sixth generation; and so it was with Minulus luteus until the fourth generation. Out of six pairs of crossed and self-fertilised cabbages, every

Brassica oleracea, Reseda odorata Beta vulgaris, Lupinus luteus,

Ipomaa purpurea, Mimulus luteus,

tuca sativa, and Zea mays. © The Complete Work of Charles Darwin Online

one of the former was much heavier than the latter. With Papaver vagum, out of fifteen pairs, all but two of the crossed plants were taller than their selffertilised opponents. Of eight pairs of Lupinus luteus, all but two of the crossed were taller; of eight pairs of Beta vulgaris all but one; and of fifteen pairs of Zea mays all but two were taller. Of fifteen pairs of Limnanthes douglasii, and of seven pairs of Lactuca sativa, every single crossed plant was taller than its self-fertilised opponent. It should also be observed that in these experiments no particular care was taken to crossfertilise the flowers immediately after their expansion : it is therefore almost certain that in many of these cases some pollen from the same flower will have already fallen on and acted on the stigma.

There can hardly be a doubt that several other species of which the crossed seedlings are more vigorous than the self-fertilised, as shown in Tables A, B, and C, besides the above fifteen, must have received their own pollen and that from another plant at nearly the same time; and if so, the same remarks as those just given are applicable to them. Scarcely any result from my experiments has surprised me so much as this of the prepotency of pollen from a distinct individual over each plant's own pollen, as proved by the greater constitutional vigour of the crossed seedlings. The evidence of prepotency is here deduced from the comparative growth of the two lots of seedlings; but we have similar evidence in many cases from the much greater fertility of the non-castrated flowers on the mother-plant, when these received at the same time their own pollen and that from a distinct plant, in comparison with the flowers which received only their own pollen.

intercrossing of varieties growing near together, and on

From the various facts now given on the spontaneous @ The Complete Work of Charles Darwin Online

the effects of cross-fertilising flowers which are selffertile and have not been eastrated, we may conclude that pollen brought by insects or by the wind from a distinct plant will generally prevent the action of pollen from the same flower, even though it may have been applied some time before; and thus the intercrossing of plants in a state of nature will be greatly favoured or ensured.

The case of a great tree covered with innumerable hermaphrodite flowers seems at first sight strongly opposed to the belief in the frequency of intercrosses between distinct individuals. The flowers which grow on the opposite sides of such a tree will have been exposed to somewhat different conditions, and a cross between them may perhaps be in some degree beneficial: but it is not probable that it would be nearly so beneficial as a cross between flowers on distinct trees, as we may infer from the inefficiency of pollen taken from plants which have been propagated from the same stock, though growing on separate roots. The number of bees which frequent certain kinds of trees when in full flower is very great, and they may be seen flying from tree to tree more frequently than might have been expected. Nevertheless, if we consider how numerous are the flowers on a great tree, an incomparably larger number must be fertilised by pollen brought from other flowers on the same tree, than from flowers on a distinct tree. But we should bear in mind that with many species only a few flowers on the same peduncle produce a seed; and that these seeds are often the product of only one out of several ovules within the same ovarium. Now we know from the experiments of Herbert and others\* that if one flower

 <sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Variation under Domestication,' ch. xvii. 2nd edit. vol. ii. p. 120.
 © The Complete Work of Charles Darwin Online

is fertilised with pollen which is more efficient than that applied to the other flowers on the same peduncle, the latter often drop off; and it is probable that this would occur with many of the self-fertilised flowers on a large tree, if other and adjoining flowers were cross-fertilised. Of the flowers annually produced by a great tree, it is almost certain that a large number would be self-fertilised; and if we assume that the tree produced only 500 flowers, and that this number of seeds were requisite to keep up the stock. so that at least one seedling should hereafter struggle to maturity, then a large proportion of the seedlings would necessarily be derived from self-fertilised seeds. But if the tree annually produced 50,000 flowers, of which the self-fertilised dropped off without yielding seeds, then the cross-fertilised flowers might yield seeds in sufficient number to keep up the stock, and most of the seedlings would be vigorous from being the product of a cross between distinct individuals. In this manner the production of a vast number of flowers, besides serving to entice numerous insects and to compensate for the accidental destruction of many flowers by spring-frosts or otherwise, would be a very great advantage to the species; and when we behold our orchard-trees covered with a white sheet of bloom in the spring, we should not falsely accuse nature of wasteful expenditure, though comparatively little fruit is produced in the autumn.

Anemophilous Plants.—The nature and relations of plants which are fertilised by the wind have been admirably discussed by Delpino\* and H. Müller; and

<sup>\*</sup> Delpino, 'Ult. Osservazioni naggio anemofilo,' &c. 1871. H. subbrogamia,' part ii. fasc. i. Müller, 'Die Befruchtung,' &c. 1870; and 'Studi sopra un Lig-p. 412, 442. Both these authors:

© The Complete Work of Charles Darwill' Online

I have already made some remarks on the structure of their flowers in contrast with those of entomophilous species. There is good reason to believe that the first plants which appeared on this earth were cryptogamic; and judging from what now occurs, the male fertilising element must either have possessed the power of spontaneous movement through the water or over damp surfaces, or have been carried by currents of water to the female organs. That some of the most ancient plants, such as ferns, possessed true sexual organs there can hardly be a doubt; and this shows, as Hildebrand remarks,\* at how early a period the sexes were separated. As soon as plants became phanerogamic and grew on the dry ground, if they were ever to intercross, it would be indispensable that the male fertilising element should be transported by some means through the air; and the wind is the simplest means of transport, There must also have been a period when winged insects did not exist, and plants would not then have been rendered entomophilous. Even at a somewhat later period the more specialised orders of the Hymenoptera, Lepidoptera, and Diptera, which are now chiefly concerned with the transport of pollen, did not exist. Therefore the earliest terrestrial plants known to us, namely, the Coniferæ and Cycadeæ, no doubt were anemophilous, like the existing species of these same groups. A vestige of this early state of things is likewise shown by some other groups of plants which are anemophilous, as these on the whole stand lower in the scale than entomorhilous species.

remark that plants must have been anemophilous before they were entomophilous. H. Müller further discusses in a very interesting manner the steps by which entomophilous flowers became nectariferous and gradually acquired their present structure through successive beneficial changes.

\* 'Die Geschlechter-Vertheilung,' 1867, pp. 84-90.

There is no great difficulty in understanding how an anemophilous plant might have been rendered entomophilous. Pollen is a nutritious substance, and would soon have been discovered and devoured by insects: and if any adhered to their bodies it would have been carried from the anthers to the stigma of the same flower, or from one flower to another. One of the chief characteristics of the pollen of anemophilous plants is its incoherence; but pollen in this state can adhere to the hairy bodies of insects, as we see with some Leguminosæ, Ericaceæ, and Melastomaceæ. We have, however, better evidence of the possibility of a transition of the above kind in certain plants being now fertilised partly by the wind and partly by insects, The common rhubarb (Rheum rhaponticum) is so far in an intermediate condition, that I have seen many Diptera sucking the flowers, with much pollen adhering to their bodies; and yet the pollen is so incoherent, that clouds of it are emitted if the plant be gently shaken on a sunny day, some of which could hardly fail to fall on the large stigmas of the neighbouring flowers. According to Delpino and H. Müller, some species of Plantago are in a similar intermediate condition.

Although it is probable that pollen was aboriginally the sole attraction to insects, and although many plants now exist whose flowers are frequented exclusively by pollen-devouring insects, yet the great majority secrete nectar as the chief attraction. Many years ago I suggested that primarily the saccharine matter in nectar was excreted as a waste product of chemical changes in the sap; and that when the ex-

\* Die Befruchtung,' &c. p. 342.

excretion, as stated by Martinet in 'Annal, des Sc. Nat.' 1872, tom. † Nectar was regarded by De Candolle and Dunal as an xiv. p. 211. 2 D 2

cretion happened to occur within the envelopes of a flower, it was utilised for the important object of cross-fertilisation, being subsequently much increased in quantity and stored in various ways. This view is rendered probable by the leaves of some trees excreting, under certain climatic conditions, without the aid of special glands, a saccharine fluid, often called honey-dew. This is the case with the leaves of the lime; for although some authors have disputed the fact, a most capable judge, Dr. Maxwell Masters, informs me that, after having heard the discussions on this subject before the Horticultural Society, he feels no doubt on this head. Prof. H. Hoffmann has lately (1876) described the case of the leaves of a young camellia secreting profusely, without the possibility of the intervention of aphides. The leaves, as well as the cut stems, of the manna ash (Frazinus ornus) secrete in a like manner saccharine matter.\* According to Treviranus, so do the upper surfaces of the leaves of Carduus arctioides during hot weather. Many analogous facts could be given. † There are, however, a considerable number of plants which bear small glands t on their leaves, petioles, phyllodia, stipules,

\* 'Gard. Chron.' 1876, p. 242. † Kurr, 'Untersuchungen über die Bedeutung der Nektarien,'

die nedestung eer Newasies, \$\frac{1}{4}\text{ large number of cases are given by Delpino in the 'Belletino Entomologico,' Anno vi. 1874. To these may be added those given in my text, as well as the excretion of saccharine matter from the calyx of two species of Iris, and from the brac-

Bedeutung der Nektarien, 1833, pp. 25, 28. Belt also refers ('Ni-

caragua,' p. 224) to a similar

exceedion by many epiphytal orchids and passion-dlowers. Mr. Rodgers has seen much nectar secreted from the bases of the flower-pedumcles of Vanilla. Link says that the only example of a hypopetalous nectary known to the flowers of Chironia decasionizce. Reports on Dictary, Ray Sccera of the control of the control of the second of the control of the contant memoir bearing on this subsistent as the control of the co

p. 825), who shows that in many

plants the tips of the serrations

bractea, or flower peduncles, or on the outside of their calyx, and these glands secrete minute drops of a sweet fluid, which is eagerly sought by sugar-loving insects, such as ants, hive-bees, and wasps. In the case of the glands on the stipules of Vicia sativa, the excretion manifestly depends on changes in the sap, consequent on the sun shining brightly; for Irpeatedly observed that as soon as the sun was hidden behind clouds the secretion ceased, and the hive-bees left the field; but as soon as the sun broke out again, they returned to their feast.\* I have observed an analogous fact with the secretion of true nectar in the flowers of Lebella crinus.

Delpino, however, maintains that the power of secreting a sweet fluid by any extra-floral organ has been in every case specially gained, for the sake of attracting ants and warps as defenders of the plant against their enemies; but I have never seen any reason to believe that this is so with the three species observed by me, namely, Prunus laurocerusus, Vicia sativa, and V. faba. No plant is so little attacked by enemies of any kind in this country as the common bracken-fern (Plevia aquiliua); and yet, as my son

on the leaves in the bold bear glands which secrete only at a very early age, and which have the same morphological structure. He further shows that the nectar-secring glands on the petition of Prunss arisms are not developed at a very early age, yet wither away on the old leaves. They are honologous with those the same leaves, as shown by their structure and by transition-ferms; for the lowest secretions on the blades of most of the leaves on the blades of most of the leaves the same leaves, as shown by their structure and by transition-ferms; for the lowest secretions on the blades of most of the leaves the same leaves, as shown by their structure and by transitions.

"I published a brief notice of this case in the 'Gard. Chronicle,' 1835, July 21, p. 487, and afterwards made further observations. Besides the hive-bee, another species of bee, a moth, and, and the properties of the properties of the conposition of the properties of the conposition of the properties of the control of t

secrete nectar instead of resin
© The Complete Work of Charles Darwin Online

Francis has discovered, the large glands at the bases of the fronds, but only whilst young, excrete much sweetish fluid, which is eagerly sought by innumerable ants, chiefly belonging to Myrmica; and these ants certainly do not here serve as a protection against any enemy. In S. Brazil, however, ants attracted by the secretion to this plant, defend it, according to Fritz Müller.\* against other leaf-devouring and highly destructive ants; so that, if this fern originated in tropical S. America, the capacity of secretion may have been acquired for this special purpose. Delpino argues that sugar-secreting glands ought never to be considered as merely excretory, because if they were so, they would be present in every species; but I cannot see much force in this argument, as the leaves of some plants excrete sugar only during certain states of the weather. That in some cases the secretion serves to attract insects as defenders of the plant, and may have been developed to a high degree for this special purpose, I have not the least doubt, from the observations of Delpino, and more especially from those of Mr. Belt on Acacia spherocephala, and on passionflowers. This acacia likewise produces, as an additional attraction to ants, small bodies containing much oil and protoplasm, and analogous bodies are developed by a Cecropia for the same purpose, as described by Fritz Müller t The excretion of a sweet fluid by glands seated

<sup>\*</sup> See a letter in 'Nature,' June 1877, p. 100, by my son Francis, with interesting extracts from a

<sup>†</sup> Mr. Belt has given a most interesting account (\*The Natuof the paramount importance of ants as defenders of the above

Acacia, With respect to the Cecropia, see 'Nature,' 1876, p. 304. My son Francis has described the bodies in a paper read before the Linnean Society. Bot. vol. xv.

C The Complete Work of Charles Darwin Online

outside of a flower is rarely utilised as a means for cross-fertilisation by the aid of insects; but this is the case with several species of Euphorbia and with the bracteæ of the Marcgraviaceæ, as the late Dr. Crüger informed me from actual observation in the West Indies, and as Delpino inferred with much acuteness from the relative position of the several parts of their flowers.\* Mr. Farrer has also shown † that the flowers of Coronilla are curiously modified, so that bees may fertilise them whilst sucking the fluid secreted from the outside of the calvx. With one of Malpighiacem. bees gnaw the glands on the calvx, and in doing so get their abdomens dusted with pollen, which they carry to other flowers.‡ It further appears probable from the observations of Rev. W. A. Leighton, that the fluid so abundantly secreted by glands on the phyllodia of the Australian Acacia magnifica, which stand near the flowers, is connected with their fertilisation.§ The amount of pollen produced by anemophilous

plants, and the distance to which it is often transported by the wind, are both surprisingly great. Mr. Hassall found, as before stated, that the weight of pollen produced by a single plant of the bulrush

\* 'Ult. Osservaz, Dicogamia,' 1868-69, p. 188. + 'Nature,' 1874, p. 169. ‡ As described by Fritz Müller

in Nature, Nov. 1877, p. 28.
§ Annals and Mag. of Nat.
Hist, vol. xvi. 1863, p. 14. In
my work on the 'Fertilisation of
Orchids,' and in a paper subsequently published in the 'Annals
and Mag. of Nat. History,' it has
been shown that although certain
kinds of orchids possess a nectary,
no needr is noturally secreted by
it; but that insects penetrate the
inner walls and suck the fluid

contained in further suggested, in the case of some other orchids spaces. I further suggested, in the case of some other orchids to the case of some other orchids inacets gameet the labellum; and this suggestion has since been proved true. It Müller and Delpine have now shown that some case of the case of the case of the tals which are seeked or gameed by insects, their fertilisation being time sided. All the known facts on this head have been collected on this head have been collected on this linear the case of the case of the present suggestion.

@ The Complete Work of Charles Darwin Online

(Typha) was 144 grains. Bucketfuls of pollen, chiefly of Coniferæ and Gramineæ, have been swept off the decks of vessels near the North American shore; and Mr. Riley has seen the ground near St. Louis, in Missouri, covered with pollen, as if sprinkled with sulphur; and there was good reason to believe that this had been transported from the pine-forests at least 400 miles to the south. Kerner has seen the snow-fields on the higher Alps similarly dusted; and Mr. Blackley found numerous pollen-grains, in one instance 1200, adhering to sticky slides, which were sent up to a height of from 500 to 1000 feet by means of a kite, and then uncovered by a special mechanism. It is remarkable that in these experiments there were on an average nineteen times as many pollengrains in the atmosphere at the higher than at the lower levels.\* Considering these facts, it is not so surprising as it at first appears that all, or nearly all the stigmas of anemophilous plants should receive pollen brought to them by mere chance by the wind. During the early part of summer every object is thus dusted with pollen; for instance, I examined for another purpose the labella of a large number of flowers of the Fly Ophrys (which is rarely visited by insects), and found on all very many pollen-grains of other plants, which had been caught by their velvety surfaces.

The extraordinary quantity and lightness of the

\* For Mr. Hassall's observasee 'Annals and Mag. of Nat. Hist', vol. viii. 1842, p. 108. In the 'North American Journal of Science,' Jan. 1842, there is an account of the pollen swept of the decks of a vessel. Riley, 'Fifth Report on the Noxious Insects of Missouri,' 1873, p. 88. Kerner, 'Die Schutzmittel des Pollens,' 1873, p. 6. This author has also seen a lake in the Tyrol so covered with pollen, that the water no longer appeared blue, Mr. Blackley, 'Experimental Researches on Hay-fever,' 1873, pp. 132, 141-152.

pollen of anemophilous plants are no doubt both necessary, as their pollen has generally to be carried to the stigmas of other and often distant flowers : for. as we shall soon see, most anemophilous plants have their sexes separated. The fertilisation of these plants is generally aided by the stigmas being of large size or plumose; and in the case of the Coniferæ, by the naked ovules secreting a drop of fluid, as shown by Delpino. Although the number of anemophilous species is small, as the author just quoted remarks, the number of individuals is large in comparison with that of entomophilous species. This holds good especially in cold and temperate regions. where insects are not so numerous as under a warmer climate, and where consequently entomophilous plants are less favourably situated. We see this in our forests of Coniferæ and other trees, such as oaks. beeches, birches, ashes, &c.; and in the Gramineæ, Cyperaceæ, and Juncaceæ, which clothe our meadows and swamps; all these trees and plants being fertilised by the wind. As a large quantity of pollen is wasted by anemophilous plants, it is surprising that so many vigorous species of this kind abounding with individuals should still exist in any part of the world; for if they had been rendered entomophilous, their pollen would have been transported by the aid of the senses and appetites of insects with incomparably greater safety than by the wind. That such a conversion is possible can hardly be doubted, from the remarks lately made on the existence of intermediate forms; and apparently it has been effected in the group of willows, as we may infer from the nature of their nearest allies.\*

It seems at first sight a still more surprising fact

\* H. Müller, 'Die Befruchtung,' &c. p. 149.

© The Complete Work of Charles Darwin Online

that plants, after having been once rendered entomophilous, should ever again have become anemophilous; but this has occasionally though rarely occurred, for instance, with the common Poterium sanquisorba, as may be inferred from its belonging to the Rosaceae. Such cases are, however, intelligible, as almost all plants require to be occasionally intercrossed; and if any entomophilous species ceased altogether to be visited by insects, it would probably perish unless it were rendered anemophilous, or acquired a full capacity for self-fertilisation; but in this latter case we may suspect that it would be apt to suffer from the longcontinued want of cross-fertilisation. A plant would be neglected by insects if nectar failed to be secreted, unless indeed a large supply of attractive pollen was present; and from what we have seen of the excretion of saccharine fluid from leaves and glands being largely governed in several cases by climatic influences, and from some few flowers which do not now secrete nectar still retaining coloured guiding-marks, the failure of the secretion cannot be considered as a very improbable event. The same result would follow to a certainty, if winged insects ceased to exist in any district, or became very rare. Now there is only a single plant in the great order of the Crucifere, namely, Pringlea, which is anemophilous, and this plant is an inhabitant of Kerguelen Land,\* where there are hardly any winged insects, owing probably, as was suggested by me in the case of Madeira, to the risk which they run of being blown out to sea

and destroyed.

A remarkable fact with respect to anemophilous plants is that they are often diclinous, that is, they are

© The Complete Work of Charles Darwin Online

<sup>\*</sup> The Rev. A. E. Eaton in 'Proc. Royal Soc.' vol. xxiii. 1875, p. 351

either monoccious with their sexes separated on the same plant, or dioccious with their sexes on distinct plants. In the class Monoccia of Linnæus, Delpino shows\* that the species of twenty-eight genera are anemophilous, and of seventeen genera entomophilous. In the class Diœcia, the species of ten genera are anemophilous and of nineteen entomophilous. The larger proportion of entomophilous genera in this latter class is probably the indirect result of insects having the power of carrying pollen to another and sometimes distant plant much more securely than the wind. In the above two classes taken together there are thirty-eight anemophilous and thirty-six entomophilous genera; whereas in the great mass of hermaphrodite plants the proportion of anemophilous to entomophilous genera is extremely small. The cause of this remarkable difference may be attributed to anemophilous plants having retained in a greater degree than the entomophilous a primordial condition, in which the sexes were separated and their mutual fertilisation effected by means of the wind. That the earliest and lowest members of the vegetable kingdom had their sexes separated, as is still the case to a large extent, is the opinion of a high authority, Nageli.† It is indeed difficult to avoid this conclusion, if we admit the view, which seems highly probable, that the conjugation of the Algæ and of some of the simplest animals is the first step towards sexual reproduction; and if we further bear in mind that a greater and greater degree of differentiation between the cells which conjugate can be traced, thus leading apparently to the development of the

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Studi sopra un Lignaggio † 'Entstehung und Begriff der naturhist. Art,' 1865, p. 22.

two sexual forms.\* We have also seen that as plants became affixed to the ground and were more highly developed so as to be rendered phanerogamic, they would be compelled to be anemophilous in order to intercross. Therefore all plants which have not since been greatly modified, would tend still to be both diclinous and anemophilous; and we can thus understand the connexion between these two states, although they appear at first sight quite disconnected. If this view is correct, plants must have been rendered hermaphrodites at a later though still very early period, and entomophilous at a yet later period, namely, after the development of winged insects. So that the relationship between hermaphroditism and fertilisation by means of insects is likewise to a certain extent intelligible.

Why the descendants of plants which were originally diocious, and which therefore profited by always intercrossing with another individual, should have been converted into hermsphrodites, may perhaps be explained by the risk which they ran, especially as long as they were anemophilous, of not being always fertilised, and consequently of not leaving offspring. This latter

\* See the interesting discustion on this whole subject by O. Bütechli in his 'Studien liber die erste Entwicken werden geste her die Fillen of the Studien liber die erste Entwicken, 'De Krussen' (1972), '207-219, Aleo, Dr. A. Dodde, 'De Krussen' Aleo, Dr. A. Dodde, 'De Krussen' (1974), 'Morphol, Jahr-buch, B. i. p. 373. An abstract of this important memori has appraerd in 'Archives de Zoole, experimentale,' You. v. 1876, p. caperimentale,' You. v. 1876, p. that the conjugation of various Infusoria, whether permanent or temporary (in this latter case called by him copulation) does not lead to the development of true rejuvenescence of the individual. There seems to be a close sandory in such a result with that which follows from the union of the properties of the second partial of the second of the tinct plants, for the seedlings thus raised may be said to show regeneration or rejuvenescence in tional vigour, increased constitutional vigour, evil, the greatest of all to any organism, would have been much lessened by their becoming hermaphrodites, though with the contingent disadvantage of frequent self-fertilisation. By what graduated steps an hermaphrodite condition was acquired we do not know. But we can see that if a lowly organised form, in which the two sexes were represented by somewhat different individuals, were to increase by budding either before or after conjugation, the two incipient sexes would be capable of appearing by buds on the same stock. as occasionally occurs with various characters at the present day. The organism would then be in a monoecious condition, and this is probably the first step towards hermaphroditism; for if very simple male and female flowers on the same stock, each consisting of a single stamen or pistil, were brought close together and surrounded by a common envelope, in nearly the same manner as with the florets of the Compositæ, we should have an hermaphrodite flower.\*

There seems to be no limit to the changes which organisms undergo under changing conditions of life: and some hermaphrodite plants, descended as I am led to believe from aboriginally diclinous plants, have had their sexes again separated. That this has occurred, we may infer from the presence of rudimentary stamens in the flowers of some individuals, and of rudimentary pistils in the flowers of other individuals, for example in Lychnis dioica. But a conversion of this kind will

\* Mr. W. Thiselton Dyer, in a very able review of this work ('Nature,' Feb. 1877, p. 329), takes an exactly opposite view, and advances weighty arguments in favour of the belief that all plants were aboriginally hermaphrodites. I will only remark that I had in my mind organisms much lower in the scale than Ferns or Selaginella, Mr. Dver adds that my notion of very simple male and female flowers being brought together and surrounded by a common envelope, offers very considerable morpho-logical difficulties.

C The Complete Work of Charles Danvin Online

SEXUAL RELATIONS OF PLANTS. CHAP. X.

not have occurred unless cross-fertilisation was already assured, generally by the agency of insects; but why the production of male and female flowers on distinct plants should have been advantageous to the species, cross-fertilisation having been previously assured, is far from obvious. A plant might indeed produce twice as many seeds as were necessary to keep up its numbers under new or changed conditions of life; and if it did not vary by bearing fewer flowers, and did vary in the state of its reproductive organs (as often occurs under cultivation), a wasteful expenditure of seeds and pollen would be saved by the flowers becoming diclinous. A related point is worth notice. I remarked in my

A reacter point is woun mouse. Treatment are proportion of trees and bushes than of herbaccous plants have their exces separated; and so it is, according to Asa Gray and Hooker, in North America and North Casland.\* It is, however, toubufful how far the tree holds good generally, and it certainly does not the in Australia. But I have been assured that the force in Australia. But I have been assured that the flower have been assured that the force of the prevailing Australian trees, namely, the Myrtacco, swarm with insects, and if they are dicho-

\* I find in the 'London Catalogue of British Plants,' that there are thirty-two indigenous trees and bushes in Great Britain, classed under nine families; but to err on the safe side, I have counted only six species of willows. Of the thirty-two trees and bushes, nineteen, or more than half, have their sexes separated; and this is an enormous proportion compared with other British plants. New Zealand abounds and Dr. Hooker calculates that plants inhabiting the islands, no less than 108 are trees, belonging to thirty-five families. Of these 108 trees, fifty-two, or very nearly half, have their sexes very nearly half, have their sexes there are 14% of which sixtyone have their sexes in the same state; whilst of the remaining state; whilst of the remaining or less than a fourth, have their sexes separated. Lastly, Prof. Ass Gray informs me that in the United State there are all 22 rather families) of which interface are 102 rather families) of which interface are 102 rather changing to seventeen families. "have their sexes more or less decidedly separated?"

gamous they would be practically diclinous.\* As far as anemophilous plants are concerned, we know that they are apt to have their sexes separated, and we can see that it would be an unfavourable circumstance for them to bear their flowers very close to the ground, as their pollen is liable to be blown high up in the air;† but as the culms of grasses give sufficient elevation, we cannot thus account for so many trees and bushes being diclinous. We may infer from our previous discussion that a tree bearing numerous hermaphrodite flowers would rarely intercross with another tree. except by means of the pollen of a distinct individual being prepotent over the plant's own pollen. Now the separation of the sexes, whether the plant were anemophilous or entomophilous, would most effectually bar self-fertilisation, and this may be the cause of so many trees and bushes being diclinous. Or to put the case in another way, a plant would be better fitted for development into a tree, if the sexes were separated, than if it were hermaphrodite; for in the former case its numerous flowers would be less liable to continued self-fertilisation. But it should also be observed that the long life of a tree or bush permits of the separation of the sexes, with much less risk of evil from impregnation occasionally failing and seeds not being produced, than in the case of short-lived plants. Hence it probably is, as Lecoq has remarked, that annual plants are rarely diocious.

\* With respect to the Protencess of Australia, Mr. Bentham remarks (' Journal Linn, Soc. Bot.' vol. xiii. 1871, pp. 58, 64) on the various contrivances by which the stigma in the several genera is screened from the action of the

is held by the eunuch (i.e., one of the stamens which is barren) safe from all pollution from her intact for any pollen that may be inserted by insects and other agencies." † Kerner, 'Schutzmittel des

Pollens,' 1873, p. 4.

@ The Complete Work of Charles Darwin Online

Finally, we have seen reason to believe that the higher plants are descended from extremely low forms which conjugated, and that the conjugating individuals differed somewhat from one another.-the one representing the male and the other the female-so that plants were aboriginally diocious. At a very early period such lowly organised diocious plants probably gave rise by budding to monocious plants with the two sexes borne by the same individual; and by a still closer union of the sexes to hermaphrodite plants, which are now much the commonest form. \* As soon as plants became affixed to the ground, their pollen must have been carried by some means from flower to flower, at first almost certainly by the wind, then by pollen-devouring, and afterwards by nectarseeking insects. During subsequent ages some few entomophilous plants have been again rendered anemophilous, and some hermaphrodite plants have had their sexes again separated; and we can vaguely see the advantages of such recurrent changes under certain conditions.

Dioceious plants, however fertilised, have a great advantage over other plants in their cross-fertilisation being assured. But this advantage is gained in the case of anemophilous species at the expense of the production of an enormous superfluity of pollen, with some risk to them and to entomophilous species of their fertilisation occasionally failing. Half the individuals, moreover, namely, the males, produce no

<sup>\*</sup> There is a considerable amount of evidence that all the higher animals are the descendants of hermaphrodites; and it is a curious problem whether such hermaphroditism may not have been the result of the conjugation of two slightly different indivi-

duals, which represented the two incipient sexes. On this view, the higher animals may now owe their bilateral structure, with all their organs double at an early embryonic period, to the fusion or conjugation of two primordial individuals.

seed, and this might possibly be a disadvantage. Delpino remarks that direcious plants cannot spread so easily as monoccious and hermaphrodite species for a single individual which happened to reach some new site could not propagate its kind; but it may be doubted whether this is a serious evil. Monœcious anemophilous plants can hardly fail to be to a large extent diocious in function, owing to the lightness of their pollen and to the wind blowing laterally, with the great additional advantage of occasionally or often producing some self-fertilised seeds. When they are also dichogamous, they are necessarily diccious in function. Lastly, hermaphrodite plants can generally produce at least some self-fertilised seeds, and they are at the same time capable, through the various means specified in this chapter, of cross-fertilisation. When their structure absolutely prevents self-fertilisation, they are in the same relative position to one another as monœcious or diœcious plants, with what may be an advantage, namely, that every flower is capable of vielding seeds,

## CHAPTER XI.

THE HABITS OF INSECTS IN RELATION TO THE FEBTILISATION OF FLOWERS.

Insects widt the flowers of the same species as long as they cou-Cause of this habit—Manus by which been recognise the flowers of the same species—Studden screetion of nectar—Nextex of certain flowers in the same species—Studden screetion of nectar—Nextex of certain flowers in the same species—Studden screetion flowers which the sum the operation—Hive-been good by the sease. Studies have in the operation—Hive-been growth for two-deed masses cliedly perforated.

BRES and various other insects must be directed by

instinct to search flowers for nectar and pollen, as they act in this manner without instruction as soon as they emerge from the pupa state. Their instincts, however, are not of a specialised nature, for they visit many exotic flowers as readily as the endemic kinds, and they often search for nectar in flowers which do not secrete any; and they may be seen attempting to suck it out of nectaries of such length that it cannot be reached by them.\* All kinds of bees and certain other insects usually visit the flowers of the same species as long as they can, before going to another species. This fact was observed by Aristotle with respect to the

\* See, on this subject, H. Müller, 'Befruchtung,' &c. p. 427; and Sir J. Lubbock's 'British Wild Flowers,' &c. p. 20. Müller assigns ('Bienen Zeitung,' June 1876, p. 119) good reasons for his belief that bees and many other

Hymenoptera have inherited from some early nectar-sucking progenitor greater skill in robbing flowers than that which is displayed by insects belonging to the other Orders. hive-bee more than 2000 years ago, and was noticed by Dobbs in a paper published in 1736 in the Philosophical Transactions. It may be observed by any one, both with hive and humble-bees, in every flower-garden; not that the habit is invariably followed. Mr. Bennett watched for several hours\* many plants of Lamism album, L. purpureum, and another Lablate plant, Nepta glechoune, all growing mingled together on a bank near some hives; and he found that each bee confined its visits to the same species. The pollen of these three plants differs in colour, so that he was able to test his observations by examining that which shhered to the bodies of the captured bees, and he found one kind on each bee.

Humble and hive-bees are good botanists, for they know that varieties may differ widely in the colour of their flowers and yet belong to the same species. I have repeatedly seen humble-bees flying straight from a plant of the ordinary red Dictamnus frazinella to a white variety; from one to another very differently coloured variety of Delphinium consolida and of Primula veris; from a dark purple to a bright yellow variety of Viola tricolor; and with two species of Papaver, from one variety to another which differed much in colour; but in this latter case some of the bees flew indifferently to either species, although passing by other genera, and thus acted as if the two species were merely varieties. H. Müller also has seen hive-bees flying from flower to flower of Ranunculus bulbosus and arvensis, and of Trifolium fragiferum and repens; and even from blue hyacinths to blue

Some species of Diptera or flies keep to the flowers

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Nature,' 1874, June 4th, † 'Bienen Zeitung,' July 1876 p. 183.

of the same species with almost as much regularity as do bees; and when captured they are found covered with pollen. I have seen Rhingia rostrata acting in this manner with the flowers of Lychnis dioica, Ajuga reptans, and Vicia sepium. Volucella plumosa and Empis cheiroptera flew straight from flower to flower of Myosotis sylvatica. Dolichopus nigripennis behaved in the same manner with Potentilla tormentilla; and other Diptera with Stellaria holostea, Helianthemum vulgare, Bellis perennis, Veronica hederæfolia and chamædrys; but some flies visited indifferently the flowers of these two latter species. I have seen more than once a minute Thrips, with pollen adhering to its body, fly from one flower to another of the same kind; and one was observed by me crawling about within a convolvulus with four grains of pollen adhering to its head, which were deposited on the stigma.

Fabricius and Sprengel state that when flies have once entered the flowers of Aristolochia they never escape,-a statement which I could not believe, as in this case the insects would not aid in the cross-fertilisation of the plant; and this statement has now been shown by Hildebrand to be erroneous. As the spathes of Arum maculatum are furnished with filaments apparently adapted to prevent the exit of insects, they resemble in this respect the flowers of Aristolochia; and on examining several spathes, from thirty to sixty minute Diptera belonging to three species were found in some of them; and many of these insects were lying dead at the bottom, as if they had been permanently entrapped. In order to discover whether the living ones could escape and carry pollen to another plant. I tied in the spring of 1842 a fine muslin bag tightly round a spathe; and on returning in an hour's time several little flies were crawling about on the inner

surface of the bag. I then gathered a spathe and breathed hard into it; several flies soon crawled out, and all without exception were dusted with arum pollen. These flies quickly flew away, and I distinctly saw three of them fly to another plant about a yard off; they alighted on the inner or concave surface of the spathe, and suddenly flew down into the flower. I then opened this flower, and although not a single anther flad burst, several grains of pollen were lying at the bottom, which must have been brought from another plant by one of these flies or by some other insect. In another flower little flies were crawling about, and I saw them leave pollen on the stigmas.

I do not know whether Lepidoptera generally keep to the flowers of the same species; but I once observed many minute moths (I believe Lampronia (Tinea) ealthella) apparently eating the pollen of Mercurialis annua, and they had the whole front of their bodies covered with pollen. I then went to a female plant some vards off, and saw in the course of fifteen minutes three of these moths alight on the stigmas. Lepidoptera are probably often induced to frequent the flowers of the same species, whenever these are provided with a long and narrow nectary, as in this case other insects cannot suck the nectar, which will thus be preserved for those having an elongated proboscis. No doubt the Yucca moth " visits only the flowers whence its name is derived, for a most wonderful instinct guides this moth to place pollen on the stigma, so that the ovules may be developed on which the larvæ feed. With respect to Coleoptera, I have seen Meligethes covered with pollen flying from flower to flower of the same species; and

 $<sup>^{*}</sup>$  Described by Mr. Riley in the "American Naturalist," vol. vii. 0ct. 1873.

this must often occur, as, according to M. Brisout, "many of the species affect only one kind of plant." \*

It must not be supposed from these several statements that insects strictly confine their visits to the same species. They often visit other species when only a few plants of the same kind grow near together. In a flower-garden containing some plants of Enothera, the pollen of which can easily be recognised, I found not only single grains but masses of it within many flowers of Mimulus, Digitalis, Antirrhinum, and Linaria. Other kinds of pollen were likewise detected in these same flowers. A large number of the stigmas of a plant of Thyme, in which the anthers were completely aborted, were examined; and these stigmas, though scarcely larger than a split needle, were covered not only with pollen of Thyme brought from other plants by the bees, but with several other kinds of pollen.

That insects should visit the flowers of the same

species as long as they can, is of great importance to the plant, as it favours the cross-fertilisation of distinct individuals of the same species; but no one will suppose that insects act in this manner for the good of the plant. The cause probably lies in insects being thus enabled to work quicker; they have just learnt how to stand in the best position on the flower, and how far and in what direction to insert their proboscides.† They act on the same principle as does an artificer who has to make half-a-dozen engines, and who saves time by making consecutively each wheel and part for all of them. Insects, or at least bees, seem much influenced by habit in all their manifold operations; and

conclusion with respect to the cause of insects frequenting as same species: 'Bienen Zeitung,' July 1876, p. 182.

<sup>\*</sup> As quoted in 'American Nat.' May 1873, p. 270, † Since these remarks were written, I find that H. Müller has come to almost exactly the same

we shall presently see that this holds good in their felonious practice of biting holes through the corolla.

It is a curious question how bees recognise the flowers of the same species. That the coloured corolla is the chief guide cannot be doubted. On a fine day, when hive-bees were incessantly visiting the little blue flowers of Lobelia erinus, I cut off all the petals of some, and only the lower striped petals of others, and these flowers were not once again sucked by the bees, although some actually crawled over them. The removal of the two little upper petals alone made no difference in their visits. Mr. J. Anderson likewise states that when he removed the corollas of the Calceolaria, bees never visited the flowers.\* On the other hand, in some large masses of Geranium phæum which had escaped out of a garden, I observed the unusual fact of the flowers continuing to secrete an abundance of nectar after all the petals had fallen off: and the flowers in this state were still visited by humble-bees. But the bees might have learnt that these flowers with all their petals lost were still worth visiting, by finding nectar in those with only one or two lost. The colour alone of the corolla serves as an approximate guide: thus I watched for some time humble-bees which were visiting exclusively plants of

\* 'Gardeners' Chronicle,' 1853, p. 534. Kurr cut off the nectaries from a large number of flowers of several species, and found that perceive the loss of the nectary until they had inserted their proboscides into the holes thus formed, and in doing so would fertilise the flowers. He also reand these likewise yielded seeds. Flowers which are self-fertile

would naturally produce seeds under these circumstances; but I am exeatly surprised that Delphinium consolida, as well as another species of Delphinium, and Viola tricolor, should have produced a fair supply of seeds when thus treated; but it does not appear that he compared the number of the seeds thus produced with flowers left to the free access of insects: 'Bedeutung der Nek-tarien,' 1833, pp. 123-135. the white-flowered Spiranthea autumnalis, growing on short turf at a considerable distance apart; and these bees often flew within a few inches of several other plants with white flowers, and then without further examination passed onwards in search of the Spiranthes. Again, many hive-bees which confined their visits to the common ling (Galluna subparsi), repeatedly flew towards Erica tetraliz, evidently attracted by the nearly similar tint of their flowers, and then instantly passed on in search of the Calluna.

That the colour of the flower is not the sole guide, is clearly shown by the six cases above given of bees which repeatedly passed in a direct line from one variety to another of the same species, although they bore very differently coloured flowers. I observed also bees flying in a straight line from one clump of a yellowflowered Enothera to every other clump of the same plant in the garden, without turning an inch from their course to plants of Eschscholtzia and others with yellow flowers which lay only a foot or two on either side. In these cases the bees knew the position of each plant in the garden perfectly well, as we may infer by the directness of their flight; so that they were guided by experience and memory. But how did they discover at first that the above varieties with differently coloured flowers belonged to the same species? Improbable as it may appear, they seem, at least sometimes, to recognise plants even from a distance by their general aspect, in the same manner as we should do. On three occasions I observed humble-bees flying in a perfectly straight line from a tall larkspur (Delphinium) which was in full flower to another plant of the same species at the distance of fifteen yards which had not as yet a single flower open, and on which the buds showed only a faint tinge of blue. Here neither odour nor the

memory of former visits could have come into play, and the tinge of blue was so faint that it could hardly have served as a guide.\*

The conspicuousness of the corolla does not suffice to induce repeated visits from insects, unless nectar is at the same time secreted, together perhaps with some odour emitted. I watched for a fortnight many times daily a wall covered with Linaria cumbalaria in full flower, and never saw a bee even looking at one. There was then a very hot day, and suddenly many bees were industriously at work on the flowers. It appears that a certain degree of heat is necessary for the secretion of nectar; for I observed with Lobelia erinus that if the sun ceased to shine for only half an hour, the visits of the bees slackened and soon ceased. An analogous fact with respect to the sweet excretion from the stipules of Vicia sativa has been already given. As in the case of the Linaria, so with Pedicularis sylvatica, Polygala vulgaris, Viola tricolor, and some species of Trifolium, I have watched the flowers day after day without seeing a bee at work, and then suddenly all the flowers were visited by many bees. Now how did so many bees discover at once that the flowers were secreting nectar? I presume that it must have been by their odour; and that as soon as a few bees began to suck the flowers, others of the same and of different kinds observed the fact and profited by it. We shall presently see, when we treat of the perforation of the corolla, that bees are fully capable of profiting by the

flowers of the long-styled form, in which the anthers are scated low down in the tubular corolla, Yet the difference in aspect between the long-styled and short-styled forms is extremely slight.

<sup>\*</sup> A fact mentioned by H. Müller ('Die Befruchtung,' &c., n. 347) shows that bees possess acute powers of vision and discrimination : for those engaged in collecting pollen from Primula elatior invariably passed by the

labour of other species. Memory also comes into play, for, as already remarked, bees know the position of each clump of flowers in a garden. I have repeatedly seen them passing round a corner, but otherwise in as straight a line as possible, from one plant of Fraxinella and of Linaria to another and distant one of the same species; although, owing to the intervention of other plants, the two were not in sight of each other.

It would appear that either the taste or the odour of the nectar of certain flowers is unattractive to hive or to humble-bees, or to both; for there seems no other reason why certain open flowers which secrete nectar are not visited by them. The small quantity of nectar secreted by some of these flowers can hardly be the cause of their neglect, as hive-bees search eagerly for the minute drops on the glands on the leaves of the Prunus laurocerasus. Even the bees from different hives sometimes visit different kinds of flowers, as is said to be the case by Mr. Grant with respect to the Polyanthus and Viola tricolor.\* I have known humble-bees to visit the flowers of Lobelia fulgens in one garden and not in another at the distance of only a few miles. The cupful of nectar in the labellum of Epipactis latifolia is never touched by hive- or humble-bees, although I have seen them flying close by; and yet the nectar has a pleasant taste to us, and is habitually consumed by the common wasp. As far as I have seen, wasps seek for nectar in this country only from the flowers of this Epipactis, Scrophularia aquatica, Hedera helix, Symphoricarpus racemosa,† and Tritoma; the three former plants being endemic, and the two latter exotic. As wasps are so

three plants are alone visited by wasps: 'Nettarii Estranuziali, Bullettino Entomologico, anno vi.

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Gard. Chron.' 1844, p. 374. † The same fact apparently holds good in Italy, for Delpino says that the flowers of these

fond of sugar and of any sweet fluid, and as they do not disdain the minute drops on the glands of Prunus laurocerasus, it is a strange fact that they do not suck the nectar of many open flowers, which they could do without the aid of a proboscis. Hive-bees visit the flowers of the Symphoricarpus and Tritoma, and this makes it all the stranger that they do not visit the flowers of the Epipactis, or, as far as I have seen, those of the Scrophularia aquatica; although they do visit the flowers of Scrophularia nodosa, at least in North America.\*

The extraordinary industry of bees and the number of flowers which they visit within a short time, so that each flower is visited repeatedly, must greatly increase the chance of each receiving pollen from a distinct plant. When the nectar is in any way hidden, bees cannot tell without inserting their proboscides whether it has lately been exhausted by other bees, and this, as remarked in a former chapter, forces them to visit many more flowers than they otherwise would. But they endeavour to lose as little time as they can; thus in flowers having several nectaries, if they find one dry they do not try the others, but as I have often observed, pass on to another flower. They work so industriously and effectually, that even in the case of social plants, of which hundreds of thousands grow together, as with the several kinds of heath, every single flower is visited, of which evidence will presently be given. They lose no time and fly very quickly from plant to plant, but I do not know the rate at which hive-bees fly. Humble-bees fly at the rate of ten miles an hour, as I was able to ascertain in the case of the males from their curious habit of calling at

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Silliman's American Journal of Science,' Aug. 1871.

certain fixed points, which made it easy to measure the time taken in passing from one place to another.

With respect to the number of flowers which bees visit in a given time, I observed that in exactly one minute a humble-bee visited twenty-four of the closed flowers of the Linaria cymbalaria; another bee visited in the same time twenty-two flowers of the Symphoricarpus racemosa; and another seventeen flowers on two plants of a Delphinium. In the course of fifteen minutes a single flower on the summit of a plant of Enothera was visited eight times by several humblebees, and I followed the last of these bees, whilst it visited in the course of a few additional minutes every plant of the same species in a large flowergarden. In nineteen minutes every flower on a small plant of Nemophila insignis was visited twice. In one minute six flowers of a Campanula were entered by a pollen-collecting hive-bee; and bees when thus employed work slower than when sucking nectar. Lastly, seven flower-stalks on a plant of Dictamnus frazinella were observed on the 15th of June 1841 during ten minutes; they were visited by thirteen humble-bees, each of which entered many flowers. On the 22nd the same flower-stalks were visited within the same time by eleven humble-bees. This plant bore altogether 280 flowers, and from the above data, taking into consideration how late in the evening humble-bees work. each flower must have been visited at least thirty times daily, and the same flower keeps open during several days. The frequency of the visits of bees is also sometimes shown by the manner in which the petals are scratched by their hooked tarsi; I have seen large beds of Mimulus, Stachys, and Lathyrus with the beauty

of their flowers thus sadly defaced.

Perforation of the Corolla by Bees.—I have already

alluded to bees biting holes in flowers for the sake of obtaining the nectar. They often act in this manner, both with endemic and exotic species, in many parts of Europe, in the United States, and in the Himalava; and therefore probably in all parts of the world. The plants, the fertilisation of which actually depends on insects entering the flowers, will fail to produce seed when their nectar is thus stolen from the outside; and even with those species which are capable of fertilising themselves without any aid, there can be no crossfertilisation, and this, as we know, is a serious evil in most cases. The extent to which humble-bees carry on the practice of biting holes is surprising: a remarkable case was observed by me near Bournemouth, where there were formerly extensive heaths. I took a long walk, and every now and then gathered a twig of Erica tetralia, and when I had got a handful examined all the flowers through a lens. This process was repeated many times; but though many hundreds were examined, I did not succeed in finding a single flower which had not been perforated. Humble-bees were at the time sucking the flowers through these perforations. On the following day a large number of flowers were examined on another heath with the same result, but here hive-bees were sucking through the holes. This case is all the more remarkable, as the innumerable holes had been made within a fortnight, for before that time I saw the bees everywhere sucking in the proper manner at the mouths of the corolla. In an extensive flower-garden some large beds of Salvia grahami, Stachys coccinea, and Pentstemon argutus (?) had every flower perforated, and many scores were examined. I have seen whole fields of red clover (Trifolium pratense) in the same state. Dr. Ogle found that 90 per cent, of the

flowers of Salvia glutinoss had been bitten. In the United States Mr. Bailey says it is difficult to find a blossom of the native Gerardia policularia without a hole in it; and Mr. Gentry, in speaking of the introduced Westards sineasis, says "that nearly every flower had been perforated."\*

As far as I have seen, it is always humble-bees which first bite the holes, and they are well fitted for the work by possessing powerful mandibles; but hive-bees afterwards profit by the holes thus made. Dr. H. Müller. however, writes to me that hive-bees sometimes bite holes through the flowers of Erica tetralia. No insects except bees, with the single exception of wasps in the case of Tritoma, have sense enough, as far as I have observed, to profit by the holes already made. Even humble-bees do not always discover that it would be advantageous to them to perforate certain flowers. There is an abundant supply of nectar in the nectary of Tropæolum tricolor, yet I have found this plant untouched in more than one garden, while the flowers of other plants had been extensively perforated; but a few years ago Sir J. Lubbock's gardener assured me that he had seen humble-bees boring through the nectary of this Tropæolum. In the United States the common garden Tropæolum, as I hear from Mr. Bailey, is often pierced. Müller has observed humblebees trying to suck at the mouths of the flowers of Primula elatior and of an Aquilegia, and, failing in their attempts, they made holes through the corolla; but they often bite holes, although they could with very little more trouble obtain the nectar in a legitimate manner by the mouth of the corolla.

Dr. W. Ogle has communicated to me a curious case.

<sup>\*</sup> Dr. Ogle, 'Pop. Science Review,' July 1869, p. 267. Bailey, Gentry, ibid. May 1875, p. 264.

He gathered in Switzerland 100 flower-stems of the common blue variety of the monkshood (Aconitum napellus), and not a single flower was perforated; he then gathered 100 stems of a white variety growing close by, and every one of the open flowers had been perforated. This surprising difference in the state of the flowers may be attributed with much probability to the blue variety being distasteful to bees, from the presence of the acrid matter which is so general in the Ranunculaceæ, and to its absence in the white variety in correlation with the loss of the blue tint. According to Sprengel,\* this plant is strongly proterandrous; it would therefore be more or less sterile unless bees carried pollen from the younger to the older flowers. Consequently the white variety, the flowers of which were always bitten instead of being properly entered by the bees, would fail to vield the full number of seeds and would be a comparatively rare plant, as Dr. Ogle informs me was the case.

Bees show much skill in their manner of working, for they always make their holes from the outside close to the spot where the neetar lies hidden within the corolla. All the flowers in a large bed of Stachya coccines had either one or two slits made on the upper side of the corolla near the base. The flowers of a Mimbilis and of Saleius coccines were perforated in the same manner; whilst those of Saleius gradumi, in which the callyx is much elongated, had both the callyx and the corolla invariably perforated. The flowers of Pentatemon arguing are broader than those of the plants just named, and two holes alongside each other had here always been made just above the callyx. In those several cases the perforations were on the upper side, but in Antir-

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Das Entdecke,' &c. p. 278.

rhinum majus one or two holes had been made on the lower side, close to the little protuberance which represents the nectary, and therefore directly in front of and close to the spot where the nectar is secreted.

But the most remarkable case of skill and judgment known to me, is that of the perforation of the flowers of Lathyrus sulvestris, as described by my son Francis.\* The nectar in this plant is enclosed within a tube, formed by the united stamens, which surround the pistil so closely that a bee is forced to insert its proboscis outside the tube; but two natural rounded passages or orifices are left in the tube near the base, in order that the nectar may be reached by the bees. Now my son found in sixteen out of twenty-four flowers on this plant, and in eleven out of sixteen of those on the cultivated everlasting pea, which is either a variety of the same species or a closely allied one, that the left passage was larger than the right one. And here comes the remarkable point,-the humble-bees bite holes through the standard-petal, and they always operated on the left side over the passage, which is generally the larger of the two. My son remarks : "It is difficult to say how the bees could have acquired this habit. Whether they discovered the inequality in the size of the nectar-holes in sucking the flowers in the proper way, and then utilised this knowledge in determining where to gnaw the hole; or whether they found out the best situation by biting through the standard at various points, and afterwards remembered its situation in visiting other flowers. But in either case they show a remarkable power of making use of what they have learnt by experience," It seems probable that bees owe their skill in biting holes through flowers of all

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Nature,' Jan. 8, 1874, p. 189,

kinds to their having long practised the instinct of moulding cells and pots of wax, or of enlarging their old occoons with tubes of wax; for they are thus compelled to work on the inside and outside of the same object.

In the early part of the summer of 1857 I was led to observe during some weeks several rows of the scarlet kidney-bean (Phaseolus multiflorus), whilst attending to the fertilisation of this plant, and daily saw humble- and hive-bees sucking at the mouths of the flowers. But one day I found several humblebees employed in cutting holes in flower after flower: and on the next day every single hive-bee, without exception, instead of alighting on the left wing-petal and sucking the flower in the proper manner, flew straight without the least hesitation to the calyx, and sucked through the holes which had been made only the day before by the humble-bees; and they continued this habit for many following days,\* Mr. Belt has communicated to me (July 28th, 1874) a similar case, with the sole difference that less than half of the flowers had been perforated by the humble-bees; nevertheless, all the hive-bees gave up sucking at the mouths of the flowers and visited exclusively the bitten ones. Now how did the hive-bees find out so quickly that holes had been made? Instinct seems to be out of the question, as the plant is an exotic. The holes cannot be seen by bees whilst standing on the wingpetals, where they had always previously alighted. From the ease with which bees were deceived when the petals of Lobelia erinus were cut off, it was clear that in this case they were not guided to the nectar by its smell; and it may be doubted whether they were

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Gard, Chron.' 1857, p. 725.

attracted to the holes in the flowers of the Phaseolius by the odour emitted from them. Did they perceive the holes by the sense of touch in their proboscides, whilst sucking the flowers in the proper manner, and then reason that it would save them time to alight on the outside of the flowers and use the holes? This seems almost too abstrues an act of reason for bees; at work, and understanding what they were about, imitated them and took advantage of the shorter path to the neetar. Even with animals high in the seale, such as monkeys, we should be surprised at hearing that all the individuals of one species within the space of twenty-four hours understood an act performed by a distinct species, and profited by it.

I have repeatedly observed with various kinds of flowers that all the hive and humble-beas which were sucking through the perforations, flew to them, whether on the upper or under side of the econds, without the least hesitation; and this shows how quickly all the individuals within the district had acquired the same knowledge. Yet habit comes into play to a certain extent, as in so many of the other operations of bees. Dr. Ogle, Messrs. Farer and Belt have observed in the case of Phascolus multiflorus! that certain individuals went exclusively to the perforations, while others of the same species visited only the mouths of the flowers. I noticed in 1861 exactly the same fact with Trifolium pratense. So persistent is the force of habit, that when a bee which is visiting perforated flowers comes to one which has not been bitten, it does not go to the mouth, but instantly

<sup>\*</sup> Dr. Ogle, 'Pop. Science Review, April 1870, p. 167. Mr. 258. Mr. Belt in a letter to me.

CHAP. XI. PERFORATION OF THE COROLLA.

flies away in search of another bitten flower. Nevertheless, I once saw a humble-bee visiting the hybrid Rhododendron azaloides, and it entered the mouths of some flowers and cut holes into the others. Dr. H. Müller informs me that in the same district he has seen some individuals of Bombus mastrucatus boring through the calyx and corolla of Rhinanthus alecterolophus, and others through the corolla alone. Different species of bees may, however, sometimes be observed acting differently at the same time on the same plant. I have seen hive-bees sucking at the mouths of the flowers of the common bean; humble-bees of one kind sucking through holes bitten in the calyx, and humblebees of another kind sucking the little drops of fluid excreted by the stipules. Mr. Beal of Michigan informs me that the flowers of the Missouri currant (Ribes aureum) abound with nectar, so that children often suck them; and he saw hive-bees sucking through holes made by a bird, the oriole, and at the same time humble-bees sucking in the proper manner at the mouths of the flowers." This statement about the oriole calls to mind what I have before said of eertain species of humming-birds boring holes through the flowers of the Brugmansia, whilst other species

The motive which impels bees to gnaw holes through the corolla seems to be the saving of time, for they lose much time in climbing into and out of large flowers, and in forcing their heads into closed ones, They were able to visit nearly twice as many flowers, as far as I could judge, of a Stachys and Pentstemon

entered by the mouth.

<sup>\*</sup> The flowers of the Ribes are through and rob seven flowers of their honey in a minute: 'American Naturalist,' 1876, p. however sometimes perforated by humble-bees, and Mr. Bundy says that they were able to bite 9 F 2

by alighting on the upper surface of the corolla and sucking through the cut holes, as by entering in the proper way. Nevertheless each bee before it has had much practice, must lose some time in making each new perforation, especially when the perforation has to be made through both calyx and croulla. This action therefore implies foresight, of which faculty we have abundant evidence in their building operations; and may we not further believe that some trace of their social instinct, that is, of working for the good of other members of the community, may here likewise play a part?

Many years ago I was struck with the fact that humble-bees as a general rule perforate flowers only when these grow in large numbers near together. In a garden where there were some very large beds of Stachys coccinea and of Pentstemon argutus, every single flower was perforated, but I found two plants of the former species growing quite separate with their petals much scratched, showing that they had been frequently visited by bees, and yet not a single flower was perforated. I found also a separate plant of the Pentstemon, and saw bees entering the mouth of the corolla, and not a single flower had been perforated. In the following year (1842) I visited the same garden several times: on the 19th of July humble-bees were sucking the flowers of Stachys coccinea and Salvia grahami in the proper manner, and none of the corollas were perforated. On the 7th of August all the flowers were perforated, even those on some few plants of the Salvia which grew at a little distance from the great bed. On the 21st of August only a few flowers on the summits of the spikes of both species remained fresh, and not one of these was now bored. Again, in my own garden every plant in several rows of the common bean

had many flowers perforated; but I found three plants in separate parts of the garden which had sprung up accidentally, and these had not a single flower perforated. General Strachey formerly saw many perforated flowers in a garden in the Himalaya, and he wrote to the owner to inquire whether this relation between the plants growing crowded and their perforation by the bees there held good, and was answered in the affirmative. Mr. Bailey informs me that the Gerardia pedicularia which is so largely perforated. and Impatiens fulva, are both profuse flowerers. Hence it follows that the red clover (Trifolium pratense) and the common bean when cultivated in great masses in fields,-that Erica tetralia growing in large numbers on heaths,-rows of the scarlet kidney-bean in the kitchen-garden,-and masses of any species in the flower-garden,-are all eminently liable to be perforated. The explanation of this fact is not difficult. Flowers

growing in large numbers afford a rich booty to the bes, and are conspicuous from a distance. They are consequently visited by crowds of these insects, and I once counted between twenty and thirty bess flying about a bed of Pentstemon. They are thus stimulated to work quickly by rivalry, and, what is much more important, they find a large proportion of the flowers, as suggested by my son,\* with their nectaries sucked dry. They thus waste much time in searching many empty flowers, and are led to bite the holes, so as to find out as quickly as possible whether there is any nectar present, and if so, to obtain it.

Flowers which are partially or wholly sterile unless visited by insects in the proper manner, such as

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Nature,' Jan. 8, 1874, p. 189.

those of most species of Salvia, of Trifolium pratense, Phaseolus multiflorus, &c., will more or less completely fail to produce seeds if the bees confine their visits to the perforations. The perforated flowers of those species, which are capable of fertilising themselves. will yield only self-fertilised seeds, and the seedlings will in consequence be less vigorous. Therefore all plants must suffer in some degree when bees obtain their nectar in a felonious manner by biting holes through the corolla; and many species, it might be thought, would be thus exterminated. But here, as is so general throughout nature, there is a tendency towards a restored equilibrium. If a plant suffers from being perforated, fewer individuals will be reared, and if its nectar is highly important to the bees, these in their turn will suffer and decrease in number; but, what is much more effective, as soon as the plant becomes somewhat rare so as not to grow in crowded masses, the bees will no longer be stimulated to gnaw holes in the flowers, but will enter them in a legitimate manner. More seed will then be produced, and the seedlings being the product of cross-fertilisation will be vigorous, so that the species will tend to increase in number, to be again checked, as soon as the plant again grows in crowded masses.

## CHAPTER XII.

## GENERAL RESULTS.

Genseferilitation preved to be beneficial, and self-fertilitation in jurious—Allolis periosi differ gravity in the means by which crossfertilitation is favoured and self-fertilitation avoided—The benefits in the scan electronic properties of the self-fertilitation avoided of the self-gravity of mobile tendencies in the parents—Nature of the conditions to which plants are subjected when gravity our tegoder in a state of matter plants are subjected when gravity our tegoder in a state of matter considerations with respect to the interaction of differentiated secuncionates—Teached Issuera—General of the two sears—Close correspond of the legitimate and the properties of the properties of the line and of the legitimate unions of betroutyled plants, in comparison with hybrid unions.

THE first and most important of the conclusions which may be drawn from the observations given in this volume, is that generally cross-fertilisation is beneficial. and self-fertilisation often injurious, at least with the plants on which I experimented. Whether longcontinued self-fertilisation is injurious to all plants is another and difficult question. The truth of these conclusions is shown by the difference in height, weight, constitutional vigour, and fertility of the offspring from crossed and self-fertilised flowers, and in the number of seeds produced by the parent-plants. With respect to the second of the two propositions, namely, that self-fertilisation is often injurious, we have abundant evidence. The structure of the flowers in such plants as Lobelia ramosa, Digitalis purpurea, &c., renders the aid of insects almost indispensable for their fertilisation; and bearing in mind the prepotency of pollen from a distinct individual over that from the same individual, such plants will almost certainly have been crossed during many or all previous generations. So it must be, owing merely to the prepotency of foreign pollen, with cabbages and various other plants, the varieties of which almost invariably intercross when grown together. The same inference may be drawn still more surely with respect to those plants, such as of Reseda and Eschscholtzia, which are sterile with their own pollen, but fertile with that from any other individual. These several plants must therefore have been crossed during a long series of previous generations, and the artificial crosses in my experiments cannot have increased the vigour of the offspring beyond that of their progenitors. Therefore the difference between the self-fertilised and crossed plants raised by me cannot be attributed to the superiority of the crossed, but to the inferiority of the self-fertilised seedlings, due to the injurious effects of self-fertilisation.

Notwithstanding the evil which many plants suffer from self-ertilisation, they can be thus propagated under favourable conditions for many generations, as shown by some of my experiments, and more especially by the survival during at least half a century of the same varieties of the common pea and sweet-pea. The same conclusion probably holds good with several other exotic plants, which are never or most rarely cross-fertilised in this country. But all these plants, as far as they have been tried, profit greatly by a cross with a fresh stock. Many species which bear small and inconspicuous flowers are never, or most rarely, visited by insects during the day; and Hermann Müller infers that they must be always, or almost always.

self-fertilised. But the evidence appears to me insufficient, until it can be shown that such flowers are not visited during the night by any of the innumerable kinds of small moths. From the simple fact of these small flowers expanding, and from some of them secreting nectar, it seems probable that they are at least occasionally visited and intercrossed by nocturnal insects. It is much to be desired that some one should cross and self-fertilise such plants and compare the growth, weight, and fertility of the offspring. The Rev. G. Henslow \* remarks that the plants which have spread the most widely through the agency of man into new countries, and have there grown most vigorously, commonly bear small and inconspicuous flowers; and, as he assumes that these are always self-fertilised, he infers that this process cannot be at all injurious to plants. He believes that "as long as a plant is "self-fertilising, it remains in the same condition, and "retains its average standard, but does not degenerate "in any way. It cannot be benefited, as it cannot "introduce anything new into its system, so long as it "lives in the same place; hence its results are negative. "If, however, self-fertilising plants can migrate, and "so obtain new peculiarities from fresh surrounding "media, then they may acquire astonishing vigour, "and even oust the native vegetation of the country "they have invaded." According to this view the male and female sexual elements must become in such

passages in this book, and endeavoured to make others clearer, owing to Mr. Heaslow's criticisms, but I can by no means agree with many of his inferences. I have also profited by an able review by Hermann Müller in 'Kosmos,' April 1877, p. 57.

cases differentiated through the action of the new

<sup>\*</sup> Mr. Henslow has published an elaborate review of the present work in the 'Gardener' Chroniele' from Jan. 13th to May 5th, 1877, also in 'Science and Art,' May 1st, 1877, p. 77; from which latter journal the quotation is taken. I have modified some

conditions; and this seems not improbable, judging from the remarkable effects of changed conditions on the reproductive system of Abutilon and Eschscholtzia. Some few plants oning to their structure.

Some few plants, owing to their structure, for instance, Ophrys apifera, have almost certainly been propagated in a state of nature for thousands of generations without having been once intercrossed; and whether they would profit by a cross with a fresh stock is not known. But such cases ought not to make us doubt that as a general rule crossing is beneficial and self-fertilisation injurious, any more than the existence of plants which, in a state of nature are propagated asexually, that is, exclusively by rhizomes, stolons, &c.\* (their flowers never producing seeds), should make us doubt that seminal generation must have some great advantage, as it is the common plan followed by nature. Whether any species has been reproduced asexually from a very remote period cannot, of course, be ascertained. Our sole means for forming any judgment on this head is the duration of the varieties of our fruit trees which have been long propagated by grafts or buds. Andrew Knight formerly maintained that under these circumstances they always become weakly, but this conclusion has been warmly disputed by others. A recent and competent judge, Prof. Asa Gray, † leans to the side of Andrew Knight, which seems to me, from such evidence as I have been able to collect, the more probable view, notwithstanding many opposed facts.

With respect to the first of the two propositions at the head of this chapter, namely, that cross-fertilisation is generally beneficial, we have excellent evidence.

<sup>\*</sup> I have given several cases in my 'Variation under Domestication, ch. xviii. 2nd edit. vol. ii. p. 152.

Plants of Ipomœa were intercrossed for nine successive generations; they were then again intercrossed, and at the same time crossed with a plant of a fresh stock, that is, one brought from another garden; and the offspring of this latter cross were to the intercrossed plants of the tenth generation in height as 100 to 78, and in fertility as 100 to 51. An analogous experiment with Eschscholtzia gave a similar result, as far as fertility was concerned. In neither of these cases were any of the plants the product of self-fertilisation. Plants of Dianthus were self-fertilised for three generations, and this no doubt was injurious; but when these plants were fertilised by a fresh stock and by intercrossed plants of the same stock, there was a great difference in fertility between the two sets of seedlings, and some difference in their height. Petunia offers a nearly parallel case. With various other plants, the wonderful effects of a cross with a fresh stock may be seen in Table C. Several accounts have also been published\* of the extraordinary growth of seedlings from a cross between two varieties of the same species, some of which are known never to fertilise themselves: so that here neither self-fertilisation nor relationship even in a remote degree can have come into play. We may therefore conclude that the above two propositions are true,-that cross-fertilisation is generally beneficial and self-fertilisation often injurious to the offspring.

and set-returnation orten injurious to the offspring.
That certain plants, for instance, Viola tricolor,
Digitalis purpura, Sarothamnus scoparius, Cyclames
persicum, &c., which have been naturally cross-fertilised
for many or all previous generations, should suffer to
an extreme degree from a single act of self-fertilisatio
is an astonishing fact. The evil does not depend in

<sup>\*</sup> See 'Variation under Domestication,' ch. xix. 2nd edit. vol. ii. p. 150.

any corresponding degree on the pollen of the selffertilised parents acting inefficiently on the stigmas of the same flowers; for in the case of the Ipomœa, Mimulus, Digitalis, Brassica, &c., the self-fertilised parents yielded an abundant supply of seeds; nevertheless the plants raised from these seeds were markedly inferior in many ways to their cross-fertilised brethren. Again with Reseda and Eschscholtzia the more self-sterile individuals profited in a less degree by cross-fertilisation than did the more selffertile individuals. With animals no manifest evil has been observed to follow in the first few generations from close interbreeding; but then we must remember that the closest possible interbreeding with animals, that is, between brothers and sisters, cannot be considered as nearly so close a union as that between the pollen and ovules of the same flower. Whether with plants the evil from self-fertilisation goes on increasing during successive generations is not as yet known ; but we may infer from my experiments that the increase, if any, is far from rapid. After plants have been propagated by self-fertilisation for several generations, a single cross with a fresh stock restores their pristine vigour; and we have a strictly analogous result with our domestic animals.\* The good effects of cross-fertilisation are transmitted by plants to the next generation; and judging from the varieties of the common pea, to many succeeding generations. But this may merely be that crossed plants of the first generation are extremely vigorous, and transmit their vigour, like

any other character, to their successors.

The means for favouring cross-fertilisation and preventing self-fertilisation, or conversely for favouring

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Variation under Domestication,' ch. xix. 2nd edit. vol. ii. p. 159.

self-fertilisation and preventing to a certain extent cross-fertilisation, are wonderfully diversified; and it is remarkable that these differ widely in closely allied plants, .- in the species of the same genus, and sometimes in the individuals of the same species. It is not rare to find hermaphrodite plants and others with separated sexes within the same genus; and it is common to find some of the species dichogamous and others maturing their sexual elements simultaneously. The dichogamous genus Saxifraga contains proterandrous and proterogynous species. † Several genera include both heterostyled (dimorphic or trimorphic forms) and homostyled species. Ophrys offers a remarkable instance of one species having its structure manifestly adapted for self-fertilisation, and other species as manifestly adapted for cross-fertilisation. Some con-generic species are quite sterile and others quite fertile with their own pollen. From these several causes we often find within the same genus species which do not produce seeds, while others produce an abundance, when insects are excluded. Some species bear cleistogamic flowers which cannot be crossed, as well as perfect flowers, whilst others in the same genus never produce cleistogamic flowers. Some species exist under two forms, the one bearing conspicuous flowers adapted for cross-fertilisation, the other bearing inconspicuous flowers adapted for self-fertilisation, whilst other species in the same genus present only a single form. Even with the individuals of the same species, the degree of self-sterility varies greatly, as in Reseda, With polygamous plants, the distribution of the sexes

<sup>\*</sup> Hildebrand has insisted natsbericht K. Akad, Berlin,' Oct. strongly to this effect in his valuable observations on the fertilisation of the Graminese: 'Mo-1868, p. 833.

<sup>1872,</sup> p. 763. + Dr. Engler, 'Bot. Zeitung,'

differs in the individuals of the same species. The relative period at which the sexual elements in the same flower are mature, differs in the varieties of Pelargonium; and Carrière gives several cases,\* showing that the period varies according to the temperature to which the plants are exposed.

GENERAL RESULTS.

This extraordinary diversity in the means for favouring or preventing cross- and self-fertilisation in closely allied forms, probably depends on the results of both processes being highly beneficial to the species, but in a directly opposed manner and dependent on variable conditions. Self-fertilisation assures the production of a large supply of seeds; and the necessity or advantage of this will be determined by the average length of life of the plant, which largely depends on the amount of destruction suffered by the seeds and seedlings. This destruction follows from the most various and variable causes, such as the presence of animals of several kinds, and the growth of surrounding plants. The possibility of cross-fertilisation depends mainly on the presence and number of certain insects, often of insects belonging to special groups, and on the degree to which they are attracted to the flowers of any particular species in preference to other flowers,—all circumstances likely to change, Moreover, the advantages which follow from crossfertilisation differ much in different plants, so that it is probable that allied plants would often profit in different degrees by cross-fertilisation. Under these extremely complex and fluctuating conditions, with two somewhat opposed ends to be gained, namely, the safe propagation of the species and the production of cross-fertilised, vigorous offspring, it is not surprising

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Des Variétés,' 1865, p. 30,

that allied forms should exhibit an extreme diversity in the means which favour either end. If, as there is reason at least to suspect, self-fertilisation is in some respects beneficial, although more than counterbalanced by the advantages derived from a cross with a fresh stock, the problem becomes still more complicated.

As I only twice experimented on more than a single species in a genus, I cannot say whether the crossed offspring of the several species within the same genus differ in their degree of superiority over their selffertilised brethren; but I should expect that this would often prove to be the case from what was observed with the two species of Lobelia and with the individuals of the same species of Nicotiana. The species belonging to distinct genera in the same family certainly differ in this respect. The effects of crossand self-fertilisation may be confined either to the growth or to the fertility of the offspring, but generally extends to both qualities. There does not seem to exist any close correspondence between the degree to which the flowers of species are adapted for crossfertilisation, and the degree to which their offspring profit by this process; but we may easily err on this head, as there are two means for favouring cross-fertilisation which are not externally perceptible, namely, self-sterility and the prepotent fertilising influence of pollen from another individual. Lastly, it has been shown in a former chapter that the effect produced by cross and self-fertilisation on the fertility of the parentplants does not always correspond with that produced on the height, vigour, and fertility of their offspring. The same remark applies to crossed and self-fertilised seedlings when these are used as the parent-plants. This want of correspondence probably depends, at least in part, on the number of seeds produced being chiefly determined by the number of the pollen-tubes which reach the ovules, and this will be governed by the reaction between the pollen and the stigmatic secretion or tissues; whereas the growth and constitutional vigour of the offspring will be chiefly determined, not only by the number of pollen-tubes reaching the ovules, but by the nature of the reaction between the contents of the pollen-grains and ovules.

There are two other important conclusions which may be deduced from my observations: firstly, that the advantages of cross-fertilisation do not follow from some mysterious virtue in the mere union of two distinct individuals, but from such individuals having been subjected during previous generations to different conditions, or to their having varied in a manner commonly called spontaneous, so that in either case their sexual elements have been in some degree differentiated. And secondly, that the injury from selffertilisation follows from the want of such differentiation in the sexual elements. These two propositions are fully established by my experiments. Thus, when plants of the Ipomœa and of the Mimulus, which had been self-fertilised for the seven previous generations and had been kept all the time under the same conditions, were intercrossed one with another, the offspring did not profit in the least by the cross. Mimulus offers another instructive case, showing that the benefit of a cross depends on the previous treatment of the progenitors: plants which had been self-fertilised for the eight previous generations were crossed with plants which had been intercrossed for the same number of generations, all having been kept under the same conditions as far as possible; seedlings from this cross were grown in competition with others

derived from the same self-fertilised mother-plant crossed by a fresh stock; and the latter seedlings were to the former in height as 100 to 52, and in fertility as 100 to 4. An exactly parallel experiment was tried on Dianthus, with this difference, that the plants had been self-fertilised only for the three previous generations, and the result was similar though not so strongly marked. The foregoing two cases of the offspring of Ipomæa and Eschscholtzia, derived from a cross with a fresh stock, being as much superior to the intercrossed plants of the old stock, as these latter were to the selffertilised offspring, strongly support the same conclusion. A cross with a fresh stock or with another variety seems to be always highly beneficial, whether or not the mother-plants have been intercrossed or selffertilised for several previous generations. The fact that a cross between two flowers on the same plant does no good or very little good, is likewise a strong corroboration of our conclusion; for the sexual elements in the flowers on the same plant can rarely have been differentiated, though this is possible, as flower-buds are in one sense distinct individuals. sometimes varying and differing from one another in structure or constitution. Thus the proposition that the benefit from cross-fertilisation depends on the plants which are crossed having been subjected during previous generations to somewhat different conditions, or to their having varied from some unknown cause as if they had been thus subjected, is securely fortified on all sides

Before proceeding any further, the view which has been maintained by several physiologists must be noticed, namely, that all the evils from breeding animals too closely, and no doubt, as they would say, 2 a from the self-fertilisation of plants, is the result of the increase of some morbid tendency or weakness of constitution common to the closely related parents, or to the two sexes of hermaphrodite plants. Undoubtedly injury has often thus resulted; but it is a vain attempt to extend this view to the numerous cases given in my Tables. It should be remembered that the same mother-plant was both self-fertilised and crossed, so that if she had been unhealthy she would have transmitted half her morbid tendencies to her crossed offspring. But plants appearing perfectly healthy, some of them growing wild, or the immediate offspring of wild plants, or vigorous common garden-plants, were selected for experiment. Considering the number of species which were tried, it is nothing less than absurd to suppose that in all these cases the mother-plants, though not appearing in any way diseased, were weak or unhealthy in so peculiar a manner that their selffertilised seedlings, many hundreds in number, were rendered inferior in height, weight, constitutional vigour, and fertility to their crossed offspring. More-over, this belief cannot be extended to the strongly marked advantages which invariably follow, as far as my experience serves, from intercrossing the individuals of the same variety or of distinct varieties, if these have been subjected during some generations to different conditions.

It is obvious that the exposure of two sets of plants during several generations to different conditions can lead to no beneficial results, as far as crossing is concerned, unless their sexual elements are thus affected. That every organism is acted on to a certain extent by a change in its environment, will not, I presume, be disputed. It is hardly necessary to advance evidence on this head; we can preview the difference between individual plants of the same species which have grown in somewhat more shady or sunny, dy or damp places. Plants which have been propagated for some generations under different climates or at different seasons of the year transmit different constitutions to their seedlings. Under such circumstances, the chemical constitution of their fluids and the nature of their itsues are often modified. \* Many other such facts could be adduced. In short, every alteration in the function of a part is probably connected with some corresponding, though often quite imperceptible change in structure or composition.

Whatever affects an organism in any way, likewise tends to act on its sexual elements. We see this in the inheritance of newly acquired modifications, such as those from the increased use or disuse of a part, and even from mutilations if followed by disease.† We have abundant evidence how susceptible the reproductive system is to changed conditions, in the many instances of animals rendered sterile by confinement; so that they will not unite, or if they unite do not produce offspring, though the confinement may be far from close; and of plants rendered sterile by cultivation. But hardly any cases afford more striking evidence how powerfully a change in the conditions of life acts on the sexual elements, than those already given, of plants which are completely self-sterile in one country, and when brought to another, yield, even

the different organs of animals are excited into different degrees of activity by differences of temperature and food, and become to a certain extent adapted to them. † 'Variation under Domestication,' ch. xii. 2nd edit. vol. i. p. 466.

2 G 2

references are given in my 'Variation under Domestication,' ch. xxiii. 2nd edit. vol. ii. p. 264, With respect to animals, Mr. Brackenridge has well shown ('A Contribution to the Theory of Diathesis,' Edinburgh, 1809) that

in the first generation, a fair supply of self-fertilised seeds.

But it may be said, granting that changed conditions act on the sexual elements, how can two or more plants growing close together, either in their native country or in a garden, be differently acted on, inasmuch as they appear to be exposed to exactly the same conditions? Although this question has been already considered, it deserves further consideration from several points of view. In my experiments with Digitalis purpurea, some flowers on a wild plant were self-fertilised, and others were crossed with pollen from another plant growing within two or three feet's distance. The crossed and self-fertilised plants raised from the seeds thus obtained, produced flower-stems in number as 100 to 47, and in average height as 100 to 70. Therefore the cross between these two plants was highly beneficial; but how could their sexual elements have been differentiated by exposure to different conditions? If the progenitors of the two plants had lived on the same spot during the last score of generations, and had never been crossed with any plant beyond the distance of a few feet, in all probability their offspring would have been reduced to the same state as some of the plants in my experiments, -such as the intercrossed plants of the ninth generation of Ipomœa,-or the self-fertilised plants of the eighth generation of Mimulus,-or the offspring from flowers on the same plant,-and in this case a cross between the two plants of Digitalis would have done no good. But seeds are often widely dispersed by natural means, and one of the above two plants or one of their ancestors may have come from a distance, from a more shady or sunny, dry or moist place, or from a different kind of soil containing other organic or

inorganic matter. We know from the admirable researches of Messrs. Lawes and Gilbert\* that different plants require and consume very different amounts of inorganic matter. But the amount in the soil would probably not make so great a difference to the several individuals of any particular species as might at first be expected; for the surrounding species with different requirements would tend, from existing in greater or lesser numbers, to keep each species in a sort of equilibrium, with respect to what it could obtain from the soil. So it would be even with respect to moisture during dry seasons; and how powerful is the influence of a little more or less moisture in the soil on the presence and distribution of plants, is often well shown in old pasture fields which still retain traces of former ridges and furrows. Nevertheless, as the proportional numbers of the surrounding plants in two neighbouring places is rarely exactly the same, the individuals of the same species will be subjected to somewhat different conditions with respect to what they can absorb from the soil. It is surprising how the free growth of one set of plants affects others growing mingled with them : I allowed the plants on rather more than a square vard of turf which had been closely mown for several years, to grow up; and nine species out of twenty were thus exterminated; but whether this was altogether due to the kinds which grew up robbing the others of nutriment, I do not know,

Seeds often lie dormant for several years in the ground, and germinate when brought near the surface by any means, as by burrowing animals. They would probably be affected by the mere circumstance of having

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Journal of the Royal Agricultural Soc. of England,' vol. xxiv, part i.

long lain dormant; for gardeners believe that the production of double flowers and of fruit is thus influenced. Seeds, moreover, which were matured during different seasons, will have been subjected during the whole course of their development to different degrees of heat and moisture.

It was shown in the last chapter that pollen is often carried by insects to a considerable distance from plant to plant. Therefore one of the parents or ancestors of our two plants of Digitalis may have been crossed by a distant plant growing under somewhat different conditions. Plants thus crossed often produce an unusually large number of seeds; a striking instance of this fact is afforded by the Bignonia, previously mentioned, which was fertilised by Fritz Müller with pollen from some adjoining plants and set hardly any seed, but when fertilised with pollen from a distant plant, was highly fertile. Seedlings from a cross of this kind grow with great vigour, and transmit their vigour to their descendants. These, therefore, in the struggle for life, will generally beat and exterminate the seedlings from plants which have long grown near together under the same conditions, and will thus tend to spread.

When two varieties which present well-marked differences are crossed, their descendants in the later generations differ greatly from one another in external characters; and this is due to the augmentation or obliteration of some of these characters, and to the rappearance of former ones through reversion; and so it will be, as we may feel almost sure, with any slight differences in the constitution of their sexual elements. Anyhow, my experiments indicate that crossing plants which have been long subjected to almost though not quite the same conditions, is the most powerful of all the means for retaining some degree of differentiation in the sexual elements, as shown by the superiority in the later generations of the intercrossed over the self-fertilised seedlings. Nevertheless, the continued intercrossing of plants thus treated does tend to obliterate such differentiation, as may be inferred from the lessened benefit derived from intercrossing such plants, in comparison with that from a cross with a fresh stock. It seems probable, as I may add, that seeds have acquired their endless curious adaptations for wide dissemination,\* not only that the seedlings should thus be enabled to find new and fitting homes, but that the individuals which have been long subjected to the same conditions should occasionally intercross with a fresh stock.

From the foregoing several considerations we may, I think, conclude that in the above case of the Digitalis, and even in that of plants which have grown for thousands of generations in the same district, as must often have occurred with species having a much restricted range, we are apt to over-estimate the degree to which the individuals have been subjected to absolutely the same conditions. There is at least no difficulty in believing that such plants have been subjected to sufficiently distinct conditions to differentiate their sexual elements; for we know that a plant propagated for some generations in another garden in the same district serves as a fresh stock and has high fertilising powers. The curious cases of plants which can fertilise and be fertilised by any other individual of the same species, but are altogether sterile with their own pollen, become intelligible, if the view here propounded is correct, namely, that the individuals of the

 $<sup>^{*}</sup>$  See Prof Hildebrand's excellent treatise, 'Verbreitungsmittel der Pilauzen,' 1873.

same species growing in a state of nature near together, have not really been subjected during several previous generations to quite the same conditions.

Some naturalists assume that there is an innate tendency in all beings to vary and to advance in organisation, independently of external agencies; and they would, I presume, thus explain the slight differences which distinguish all the individuals of the same species both in external characters and in constitution, as well as the greater differences in both respects between nearly allied varieties. No two individuals can be found quite alike; thus if we sow a number of seeds from the same capsule under as nearly as possible the same conditions, they germinate at different rates and grow more or less vigorously. They resist cold and other unfavourable conditions differently. They would in all probability, as we know to be the case with animals of the same species, be somewhat differently acted on by the same poison, or by the same disease. They have different powers of transmitting their characters to their offspring; and many analogous facts could be given. Now, if it were true that plants growing near together in a state of nature had been subjected during many previous generations to absolutely the same conditions, such differences as those just specified would be quite inexplicable; but they are to a certain extent intelligible in accordance with the views just advanced.

As most of the plants on which I experimented were grown in my garden or in pots under glass, a few words must be added on the conditions to which they were exposed, as well as on the effects of cultivation. When a species is first brought under culture, it may

<sup>\*</sup> Vilmorin, as quoted by Verlot, 'Des Variétés,' pp. 32, 38, 39,

or may not be subjected to a change of climate, but it is always grown in ground broken up, and more or less manured; it is also saved from competition with other plants. The paramount importance of this latter circumstance is proved by the multitude of species which flourish and multiply in a garden, but cannot exist unless they are protected from other plants. When thus saved from competition they are able to get whatever they require from the soil, probably often in excess; and they are thus subjected to a great change of conditions. It is probably in chief part owing to this cause that all plants with rare exceptions vary after being cultivated for some generations. The individuals which have already begun to vary will intercross one with another by the aid of insects; and this accounts for the extreme diversity of character which many of our long cultivated plants exhibit. But it should be observed that the result will be largely determined by the degree of their variability and by the frequency of the intercrosses; for if a plant varies very little, like most species in a state of nature, frequent intercrosses tend to give uniformity of character to it.

I have attempted to show that with plants growing naturally in the same district, except in the unusual case of each individual being surrounded by exactly the same proportional numbers of other species having certain powers of absorption, each will be subjected to slightly different conditions. This does not apply to the individuals of the same species when cultivated in cleared ground in the same garden. But if their flowers are visited by insects, they will intercross; and this will give to their sexual elements during a considerable number of generations a sufficient amount of differentiation for a cross to be beneficial. More-

over, seeds are frequently exchanged or procured from other gardens having a different kind of soil; and the individuals of the same cultivated species will thus be subjected to a change of conditions. If the flowers are not visited by our native insects, or very rarely so, as in the case of the common and sweet pea, and apparently in that of the tobacco when kept in a hothouse, any differentiation in the sexual elements caused by intercrosses will tend to disappear. This appears to have occurred with the plants just mentioned, for they were not benefited by being crossed one with another, though they were greatly benefited by a cross with a fresh stock.

I have been led to the views just advanced with respect to the causes of the differentiation of the sexual elements and of the variability of our garden plants, by the results of my various experiments, and more especially by the four cases in which extremely inconstant species, after having been self-fertilised and grown under closely similar conditions for several generations, produced flowers of a uniform and constant tint. These conditions were nearly the same as those to which plants, growing in a garden clear of weeds, are subjected, if they are propagated by self-fertilised seeds on the same spot. The plants in pots were, however, exposed to less severe fluctuations of climate than those out of doors; but their conditions, though closely uniform for all the individuals of the same generation, differed somewhat in the successive generations. Now, under these circumstances, the sexual elements of the plants which were intercrossed in each generation retained sufficient differentiation during several years for their offspring to be superior to the self-fertilised, but this superiority gradually and manifestly decreased, as was shown by the difference

in the result between a cross with one of the intercrossed plants and with a fresh stock. These intercrossed plants tended also in a few cases to become somewhat more uniform in some of their external characters than they were at first. With respect to the plants which were self-fertilised in each generation. their sexual elements apparently lost, after some years, all differentiation, for a cross between them did no more good than a cross between the flowers on the same plant. But it is a still more remarkable fact, that although the seedlings of Mimulus, Ipomœa, Dianthus, and Petunia which were first raised, varied excessively in the colour of their flowers, their offspring, after being self-fertilised and grown under uniform conditions for some generations, bore flowers almost as uniform in tint as those on a natural species. In one case also the plants themselves became remarkably uniform in height.

The conclusion that the advantages of a cross depend altogether on the differentiation of the sexual elements, harmoniese perfectly with the fact that an occasional and slight change in the conditions of life is beneficial to all plants and animals.\* But the offspring from a cross between organisms which have been exposed to different conditions, profit in an in-comparably higher degree than do young or old beings from a mere change in their conditions. In this latter case we never see anything like the effect which generally follows from a cross with another individual, especially from a cross with a fresh stock. This might, perhaps, have been expected, for the blending together of the sexual elements of two differentiated beings will affect the whole constitution at

<sup>\*</sup> I have given sufficient evidence on this head in my 'Vari-xviii, vol. ii. 2nd edit. p. 127.

a very early period of life, whilst the organisation is highly flexible. We have, moreover, reason to believe that changed conditions generally act differently on the several parts or organs of the same individual;<sup>4</sup> and if we may further believe that these now slightly differentiated parts react on one another, the harmony between the beneficial effects on the individual due to changed conditions, and those due to the interaction of differentiated sexual elements, becomes still closer.

That wonderfully accurate observer, Sprengel, who first showed how important a part insects play in the fertilisation of flowers, called his book 'The Secret of Nature Displayed;' yet he only occasionally saw that the object for which so many curious and beautiful adaptations have been acquired, was the cross-fertilisation of distinct plants; and he knew nothing of the benefits which the offspring thus receive in growth, vigour, and fertility. But the veil of secrecy is as vet far from lifted; nor will it be, until we can say why it is beneficial that the sexual elements should be differentiated to a certain extent, and why, if the differentiation be carried still further, injury follows. It is an extraordinary fact that with many species, even when growing under their natural conditions, flowers fertilised with their own pollen are either absolutely or in some degree sterile; if fertilised with pollen from another flower on the same plant, they are sometimes, though rarely, a little more fertile; if fertilised with pollen from another individual or variety of the same species, they are fully fertile; but if with pollen from a distinct species, they are sterile in all possible degrees, until utter sterility is reached.

<sup>\*</sup> See, for instance, Brackenridge, 'Theory of Diathesis,' Edinburgh,

We thus have a long series with absolute sterility at the two ends;—at one end due to the sexual elements not having been sufficiently differentiated, and at the other end to their having been differentiated in too great a degree, or in some peculiar manner.

The fertilisation of one of the higher plants depends, in the first place, on the mutual action of the pollen-grains and the stigmatic secretion or tissues, and after-wards on the mutual action of the contents of the pollen-grains and ovules. Both actions, judging from the increased fertility of the parent-plants and from the increased powers of growth in the offspring, are favoured by some degree of differentiation in the elements which interact and unite so as to form a new being. Here we have some analogy with chemical affinity or attraction, which comes into play only between atoms or molecules of a different nature. As Prof. Miller remarks: "Generally speaking, the greater the difference in the properties of two bodies, the more intense is their tendency to mutual chemical action. . . . But between bodies of a similar character the tendency to unite is feeble." This latter proposition accords well with the feeble effects of a plant's own pollen on the fertility of the mother-plant and on the growth of the offspring; and the former proposition accords well with the powerful influence in both ways of pollen from an individual which has been differentiated by exposure to changed conditions, or by so-called spontaneous variation. But the analogy fails when we turn to the negative or weak effects of pollen from one species on a distinct species; for although some substances which are extremely dissimilar, for instance, carbon and

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Elements of Chemistry,' 4th et 1867, part i. p. 11. Dr. Frankland informs me that similar themists.

chlorine, have a very feeble affinity for each other, yet it cannot be said that the weakness of the affinity depends in such cases on the extent to which the substances differ. It is not known why a certain amount of differentiation is necessary or favourable for the chemical affinity or union of two substances, any more than for the fertilisation or union of two organisms.

Mr. Herbert Spencer has discussed this whole subject at great length, and after stating that all the forces throughout nature tend towards an equilibrium, remarks, "that the need of this union of spermcell and germ-cell is the need for overthrowing this equilibrium and re-establishing active molecular change in the detached germ-a result which is probably effected by mixing the slightly-different physiological units of slightly different individuals."\* But we must not allow this highly generalised view, or the analogy of chemical affinity, to conceal from us our ignorance. We do not know what is the nature or degree of the differentiation in the sexual elements which is favourable for union, and what is injurious for union, as in the case of distinct species. We cannot say why the individuals of certain species profit greatly, and others very little by being crossed. There are some few species which have been self-fertilized for a vast number of generations, and yet are vigorous enough to compete successfully with a host of surrounding plants. Highly self-fertile varieties sometimes arise

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Principles of Biology,' vol.i. p. 274, 1864. In my 'Origin of Species,' published in 1859, I spoke of the good effects from slight changes in the conditions of life and from cross-fertilisation, and of the evil effects from great

changes in the conditions and from crossing widely distinct forms (i.e., species), as a series of facts "connected together by some common but unknown bond, which is essentially related to the principle of life."

among plants which have been self-fertilised and grown under uniform conditions during several generations. We can form no conception why the advantage from a cross is sometimes directed exclusively to the vegetative system, and sometimes to the reproductive system, but commonly to both. It is equally inconceivable why some individuals of the same species should be sterile, whilst others are fully fertile with their own pollen; why a change of climate should either lessen or increase the sterility of self-sterile species; and why the individuals of some species should be even more fertile with pollen from a distinct species han with their own pollen. And so it is with many other facts, which are so obscure that we stand in awe before the mystery of life.

Under a practical point of view, agriculturists and norticulturists may learn something from the conclusions at which we have arrived. Firstly, we see that the injury from the close breeding of animals and from the self-fertilisation of plants, does not necessarily depend on any tendency to disease or weakness of constitution common to the related parents, and only indirectly on their relationship, in so far as they are apt to resemble each other in all respects, including their sexual nature. And, secondly, that the advantages of cross-fertilisation depend on the sexual elements of the parents having become in some degree differentiated by the exposure of their progenitors to different conditions, or from their having intercrossed with individuals thus exposed, or, lastly, from what we call in our ignorance spontaneous variation. He therefore who wishes to pair closely related animals ought to keep them under conditions as different as possible. Some few breeders, guided by their keen powers of

observation, have acted on this principle, and have kept stocks of the same animals at two or more distant and differently situated farms. They have then compled the individuals from these farms with excellent results.\* This same plan is also unconsciously followed whenever the males, reared in one place, are let out for propagation to breeders in other places. As some kinds of plants suffer much more from self-fertilisation than do others, so it probably is with animals from too close interbreeding. The effects of close interbreeding on animals, judging again from plants, would be deterioration in general vigour, including fertility, with no necessary loss of excellence of form; and this seems to be the usual result.

It is a common practice with horticulturists to obtain seeds from another place having a very dif-ferent soil, so as to avoid raising plants for a long succession of generations under the same conditions; but with all the species which freely intercross by the aid of insects or the wind, it would be an incomparably better plan to obtain seeds of the required variety, which had been raised for some generations under as different conditions as possible, and sow them in alternate rows with seeds matured in the old garden. The two stocks would then intercross, with a thorough blending of their whole organisations, and with no loss of purity to the variety; and this would yield far more favourable results than a mere exchange of seeds. We have seen in my experiments how wonderfully the offspring profited in height, weight, hardiness, and fertility, by crosses of this kind. For instance, plants of Ipomœa thus crossed were to the intercrossed plants of the same stock, with which they grew in competition.

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Variation of Animals and Plants under Domestication,' ch. xvii. 2nd edit. vol. ii. pp. 98, 105.

as 100 to 78 in height, and as 100 to 51 in fertility; and plants of Eachscholtzia similarly compared were as 100 to 45 in fertility. In comparison with selffertilised plants the results are still more striking; thus cabbages derived from a cross with a fresh stock were to the self-fertilised as 100 to 22 in weight.

Florists may learn from the four cases which have been fully described, that they have the power of fixing each fleeting variety of colour, if they will fertilise the flowers of the desired kind with their own pollen for half-sedozen generations, and grow the seedlings under the same conditions. But a cross with any other individual of the same variety must be carefully prevented, as each has its own peculiar constitution. After a dozen generations of self-fertilisation, it is probable that the new variety would remain constant even if grown under somewhat different conditions; and there would no longer be any necessity to guard against intercosses between the individuals of the same variety.

With respect to mankind, my son George has endeavoured to discover by a statistical investigation \* whether the marriages of first cousins are at all injurious, although this is a degree of relationship which would not be objected to in our domestic animals; and he has come to the conclusion from his own researches and those of Dr. Mitchell that the evidence as to any evil thus caused is conflicting, but on the whole points to its being very small. From the facts given in this volume we may infer that with mankind the marriages of nearly related persons, some of whose parents and ancestors had lived under very different conditions, would be much less injurious than that of persons who had always lived in the same

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Journal of Statistical Soc.' June 1875, p. 153; and 'Fortnightly Review,' June 1875.

place and followed the same habits of life. Nor can I see reason to doubt that the widely different habits of life of men and women in civilised nations, especially amongst the upper classes, would tend to counterbalance any evil from marriages between healthy and somewhat closely related persons.

Under a theoretical point of view it is some gain to science to know that numberless structures in hermaphrodite plants, and psobably in hermaphrodite animals, are special adaptations for securing an occasional cross between two individuals; and that the advantages from such a cross depend altogether on the beings which are united, or their progenitors, having had their sexual elements somewhat differentiated, so that the embryo is benefited in the same namer as is a mature plant or animal by a slight change in its conditions of life, although in a much higher degree.

Another and more important result may be deduced from my observations. Eggs and seeds are highly serviceable as a means of dissemination, but we now the theoretic eggs can be produced without the aid of the male. There are also many other methods by which organisms can be propagated asexually. Why then have the two sexes been developed, and why do males exist which cannot themselves produce offspring? The answer lies, as I can hardly doubt, in the great good which is derived from the foais of two somewhat differentiated individuals; and with the exception of the lowest organisms this is possible only by means of the sexual elements, these consisting of every part, and capable of being fused completely together.

It has been shown in the present volume that the

offspring from the union of two distinct individuals, especially if their progenitors have been subjected to very different conditions, have an immense advantage in height, weight, constitutional vigour and fertility over the self-fertilised offspring from one of the same parents. And this fact is amply sufficient to account for the development of the sexual elements, that is, for the genesis of the two sexes.

It is a different question why the two sexes are sometimes combined in the same individual and are sometimes separated. As with many of the lowest plants and animals the conjugation of two individuals which are either quite similar or in some degree different, is a common phenomenon, it seems probable, as remarked in the last chapter, that the sexes were primordially separate. The individual which receives the contents of the other, may be called the female: and the other, which is often smaller and more locomotive, may be called the male; though these sexual names ought hardly to be applied as long as the whole contents of the two forms are blended into one. The object gained by the two sexes becoming united in the same hermaphrodite form probably is to allow of occasional or frequent self-fertilisation, so as to ensure the propagation of the species, more especially in the case of organisms affixed for life to the same spot. There does not seem to be any great difficulty in understanding how an organism, formed by the conjugation of two individuals which represented the two incipient sexes, might give rise by budding first to a moncecious and then to an hermaphrodite form; and in the case of animals even without budding to an hermaphrodite form, for the bilateral structure of animals perhaps indicates that they were aboriginally formed by the fusion of two individuals.

2 H 2

It is a more difficult problem why some plants and apparently all the higher animals, after becoming hermaphrodites, have since had their sexes re-separated. This separation has been attributed by some naturalists to the advantages which follow from a division of physiological labour. The principle is intelligible when the same organ has to perform at the same time diverse functions; but it is not obvious why the male and female glands when placed in different parts of the same compound or simple individual, should not perform their functions equally well as when placed in two distinct individuals. In some instances the sexes may have been re-separated for the sake of preventing too frequent self-fertilisation; but this explanation does not seem probable, as the same end might have been gained by other and simpler means, for instance dichogamy. It may be that the production of the male and female reproductive elements and the maturation of the ovules was too great a strain and expenditure of vital force for a single individual to withstand, if endowed with a highly complex organisation; and that at the same time there was no need for all the individuals to produce young, and consequently that no injury, on the contrary, good resulted from half of them, or the males, failing to produce offspring.

There is another subject on which some light is thrown by the facts given in this volume, namely, hybridisation. It is notorious that when distinct species of plants are crossed, they produce with the areast exceptions fewer seeds than the normal number. This unproductiveness varies in different species up to sterility so complete that not even an empty capsule is formed; and all experimentalists have found that it is much influenced by the conditions to which the crossed species are subjected. A plant's own pollen is strongly prepotent over that of any other species, so that if it is placed on the stigma some time after foreign pollen has been applied to it, any effect from the latter is quite obliterated. It is also notorious that not only the parent species, but the hybrids raised from them are more or less sterile; and that their pollen is often in a more or less aborted condition. The degree of sterility of various hybrids does not always strictly correspond with the degree of difficulty in uniting the parent forms. When hybrids are capable of breeding inter se, their descendants are more or less sterile, and they often become still more sterile in the later generations; but then close interbreeding has hitherto been practised in all such cases, The more sterile hybrids are sometimes much dwarfed in stature, and have a feeble constitution. Other facts could be given, but these will suffice for us. Naturalists formerly attributed all these results to the difference between species being fundamentally distinct from that between the varieties of the same species; and this is still the verdict of some naturalists.

The results of my experiments in self-sertilising and cross-fertilising the individuals or the varieties of the same species, are strikingly analogous with those just given though in a reversed manner. With the majority of species flowers fertilised with their own pollen yield fewer sometimes much fewer seeds, than those fertilised with pollen from another individual or variety. Some self-fertilised flowers are absolutely sterile; but the degree of their sterlility is largely determined by the conditions to which the parent plants have been exposed, as was well exemplified in the case of Eschscholtzia and Abutilon. The effects of pollen from the same plant are obliterated by the prepotent influence of pollen from another individual or variety, although the latter may have been placed on the stigma some hours afterwards. The offspring from self-fertilised flowers are themselves more or less sterile, sometimes highly sterile, and their pollen is sometimes in an imperfect condition; but I have not met with any case of complete sterility in self-fertilised seedlings, as is so common with hybrids. The degree of their sterility does not correspond with that of the parent-plants when first self-fertilised. The offspring of self-fertilised plants suffer in stature, weight, and constitutional vigour more frequently and in a greater degree than do the hybrid offspring of the greater number of crossed species. Decreased height is transmitted to the next generation, but I did not ascertain whether this applies to decreased fertility. I have elsewhere shown \* that by uniting in various

I have elsewhere shown "that by uniting in various ways dimorphic or trimorphic heterostyled plants, which belong to the same undoubted species, we get another series of results exactly parallel with those from crossing distinct species. Plants illegitimately fertilised with pollen from a distinct plant belonging to the same form, yield fewer, often much fewer seeds, than they do when legitimately fertilised with pollen from a plant belonging to a distinct form. They sometimes yield no seed, not even an empty capsule, like a species fertilised with pollen from a distinct genus. The degree of sterility is much affected by the conditions to which the plants have been subjected. The pollen from a distinct form is strongly prepotent over that from the same form, although the former may have been placed on the stigma many hours afterwards.

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;The Different Forms of Flowers on Plants of the same species,' 1877, p. 240.

The offspring from a union between plants of the same form are more or less sterile, like hybrids, and have their pollen in a more or less aborted condition; and some of the seedlings are as barren and as dwarfed as the most barren hybrid. They also resemble hybrids in several other respects, which need not here be specified in detail,—such as their sterility not corresponding in degree with that of the parent plants,—the unequal sterility of the latter, when reciprocally united, and the varying sterility of the seedlings raised from the same seed-capsule.

We thus have two grand classes of cases giving results which correspond in the most striking manner with those which follow from the crossing of so-called true and distinct species. With respect to the difference between seedlings raised from cross and self fertilised flowers, there is good evidence that this depends altogether on whether the sexual elements of the parents have been sufficiently differentiated, by exposure to different conditions or by spontaneous variation. The manner in which plants have been rendered heterostyled is an obscure subject, but it is probable that the two or three forms first became adapted for mutual fertilisation, that is for cross-fertilisation, through the variation of their stamens and pistils in length, and that afterwards their pollen and ovules became coadapted; the greater or less sterility of any one form with pollen from the same form being an incidental result.\* Anyhow, the two or three forms of heterostyled species belong to the same species as certainly as do the two sexes of any one species. We have therefore no right to maintain that the sterility of species when first crossed and of their hybrid offspring,

<sup>\*</sup> This subject has been discussed in my 'Different Forms of Flowers &c.,' pp. 260–268.

is determined by some cause fundamentally different from that which determines the sterility of the individuals both of ordinary and of heterostyled plants when united in various ways. Nevertheless, I am aware that it will take many years to remove this prejudice.

There is hardly anything more wonderful in nature than the sensitiveness of the sexual elements to external influences, and the delicacy of their affinities. We see this in slight changes in the conditions of life being favourable to the fertility and vigour of the parents, while certain other and not great changes cause them to be quite sterile without any apparent injury to their health. We see how sensitive the sexual elements of those plants must be, which are completely sterile with their own pollen, but are fertile with that of any other individual of the same species. Such plants become either more or less self-sterile if subjected to changed conditions, although the change may be far from great, The ovules of a heterostyled trimorphic plant are affected very differently by pollen from the three sets of stamens belonging to the same species. With ordinary plants the pollen of another variety or merely of another individual of the same variety is often strongly prepotent over its own pollen, when both are placed at the same time on the same stigma. In those great families of plants containing many thousand allied species, the stigma of each distinguishes with unerring certainty its own pollen from that of every other species.

There can be no doubt that the sterility of distinct species when first crossed, and of their hybrid offspring, depends exclusively on the nature or affinities of their sexual elements. We see this in the want of any close correspondence between the degree

of sterility and the amount of external difference in the species which are crossed; and still more clearly in the wide difference in the results of crossing reciprocally the same two species;-that is, when species A is crossed with pollen from B, and then B is crossed with pollen from A. Bearing in mind what has just been said on the extreme sensitiveness and delicate affinities of the reproductive system, why should we feel any surprise at the sexual elements of those forms, which we call species, having been differentiated in such a manner that they are incapable or only feebly capable of acting on one another? We know that species have generally lived under the same conditions, and have retained their own proper characters, for a much longer period than varieties. Long-continued domestication eliminates, as I have shown in my 'Variation under Domestication,' the mutual sterility which distinct species lately taken from a state of nature almost always exhibit when intercrossed; and we can thus understand the fact that the most different domestic races of animals are not mutually sterile. But whether this holds good with cultivated varieties of plants is not known, though some facts indicate that it does. The elimination of sterility through long-continued domestication may probably be attributed to the varying conditions to which our domestic animals have been subjected; and no doubt it is owing to this same cause that they withstand great and sudden changes in their conditions of life with far less loss of fertility than do natural species. From these several considerations it appears probable that the difference in the affinities of the sexual elements of distinct species, on which their mutual incapacity for breeding together depends, is caused by their having been habituated for a very long period each to its own conditions, and to the sexual elements

having thus acquired firmly fixed affinities. However this may be, with the two great classes of cases before us, namely, those relating to the self-fertilisation and cross-fertilisation of the individuals of the same species, and those relating to the illegitimate and legitimate unions of heterostyled plants, it is quite unjustifiable to assume that the sterility of species when first crossed and of their hybrid offspring, indicates that they differ in some fundamental manner from the varieties or individuals of the same species.

## INDEX.

### ABUTILON.

Abutilon darwinii, self-sterile in Brazil, 333, 358: moderately selffertile in England, 344; fertilised Acacia spharocephala, 406

Adlumia cirrhosa, 366 Adonis astivalis, 128; measure-

ments, 128; relative heights of crossed and self-fertilised plants, 277; self-fertile, 365

Allium cepa (blood-red var.), 369 Anagallis collina (var. grandiflora), 217, 267; measurements, 218; seeds, 316, 323, 325

Anderson, J., on the Calceolaria, 87; removing the corollas, 423 Anemone, 396 Anemophilous plants, 401; often

Antirrhinum maius (red var.), 363; --- (white var.), 363

Apium petroselinum, 172; result of experiments, 277

Argemone ochroleuca, 366 Aristotle on bees frequenting flowers of the same species, 418

Aristolochia, 420 Arum maculatum, 420

# Bailey, Mr., perforation of corolla,

Bartonia aurea, 170; measurements, 170, 171; result of experiments,

Bartsia odontites, 369 Beal, W. J., sterility of Kalmia latifolia, 359; on nectar in Ribes

aureum, 435 Bean, the common, 435 Bees distinguish colours, 373; fre-

quent the flowers of the same species, 418, 423; guided by coloured corolla, 423; powers of vision and discrimination, 425: memory, 426; unattracted by odour of certain flowers, 426; industry, 427; profit by the co-430; skill in working, 431; habit, 434: foresight, 436

-, humble, recognise varieties as of one species, 419; colour not the sole guide, 424; rate of flying, 427: number of flowers visited, 428; corolla perforated by, 429, 436; skill and judgment, Belt, Mr., the hairs of Digitalis

purpurea, 82; Phaseolus multiflorus, 151; not visited by bees in Nicaragua, 360; hummingbirds carrying pollen, 371; secretion of nectar, 404; in Acacia spherocephalus and passionflower, 406; perforation of corolla,

Bennett, A. W., on Viola tricolor, 123; structure of Impatiens fulea, 367; plants flowering in winter, 386; bees frequenting flowers of same species, 419

Bentham, on protection of the Beta vulgaris, 228; measurements, 229, 230; crossed not exceeded

by self-fertilised, 289, 367; prepotency of other pollen, 399

Birds means of fertilisation, 371 Blackley, Mr., weights of pollen of

anemophilous plants, 377, 378; on anthers of rye, 378; pollen carried by wind, experiments with a kite, 408 Borago officinalis, 185, 276: mea-

surements, 186; early flowering Boulger, Mr., on moths frequenting

Brackenridge, Mr., organism of animals affected by temperature and

food, 446; different effect of Brassica oleracea, 98; measuro-ments, 100; weight, 101, 102; remarks on experiments, 262;

superiority of crossed, 288; period of flowering, 292; seeds, 322; self-fertile, 365 — пария, 395

- rapa, 395 Brisout, M., insects frequenting flowers of same species, 422

Brugmansia, 371; humming-birds boring the flower, 435

Bulrush, weight of pollen produced by one plant, 407, 408 Bundy, Mr., Ribes perforated by bees, 435

Burbidge, references on the germination of small seeds, 355 Bütschli, O., sexual relations, 412

Cabbage, 98; affected by pollen of of other pollen, 395, 399

Cabbage, Ragged Jack, 397 Calluna vulgaris, 424

Candolle, A. de, on ascending a mountain the flowers of the

same species disappear abruptly, Canna warscewiczi, 230; result of

crossed and self-fertilised, 278; period of flowering, 294; seeds, 323, 325; highly self-fertile, 369 Carduus arctioides, 404

Carrière, relative period of the maturity of the sexual elements on

same flower, 446 Caryophyllacex, 130 Caspary, Professor, on Corydalis cava, 331; Nymphwacew, 358;

Euryale feroz, 365; on flowers of Cecropia, food-bodies of, 404

Cereals, grains of, 354 Cheeseman, Mr., on Orchids in New Chenopodiacex, 228

Clarkia elegans, 169; measurements, 170; early flowering of self-fertilised, 294, 296; seeds, 316

Coe, Mr., crossing Phaseolus vul-Colgate, R., red clover never sucked

by hive-boes in New Zealand, Colour, uniform, of flowers on plants self-fertilised and grown under

rations, 306, 307 Colours of flowers attractive to insects, 372; not the sole guide to

Conifera, 402 Convolvulus major, 28

## Corolla, removal of, 423; perforation

by bees, 428 Corudalis cara, 331, 358

- intermedia, 331

- lutea, 359

Corylus avellana, 390

Crossed plants, greater constitu-Cross-fertilisation, 371 : see Fertilisa-

Crossing flowers on same plant,

Crucifera, 98 Criiger, Dr., secretion of sweet fluid in Marcgraviacese, 407

Cucades, 402 Cyclamen persicum, 215; measure-

crossed, 293: seeds, 317, 323: self-sterile, 362, self-fertilization

Dandelion, number of pollen-grains, Darwin, C., self-fertilisation

Pisum satirum, 161: sexual affinities, 209; on Primula, 219; bud variation, 298; constitutional vigour from cross parentage in common pea, 305; hybrids of Gladiolus and Cistus, 306; Phaseolus multiflorus, 360: nectar in orchids, 407; on cross-fertilisation, 440, 442, 443; inheritance of acquired modifications, 451; change in the conditions of

life beneficial to plants and animals, 459

Darwin, F., structure of Phascolus 405; on nectar glands, 406; per-

foration of Lathyrus sylvestris, -, G., on marriages with first

cousins, 465 Decaisne on Delphinium consolida,

De Candolle, nectar as an excretion,

Delphinium consolida, 129; mea-

surements, 130; seeds, 322; par tially sterile, 358; corolla re-Delpino, Professor, Viola tricolor,

123; Phaseolus multiflorus, 150; intercrossing of sweet-pea, 156; Lobelia ramosa, 176; structure of the Cannaceae, 230; wind and water carrying pollen, 872; Juglans regia, 391; anemophilous plants, 401; fertilisation of Plantago, 403: excretion of nectar, 404, 407; secretion of nectar to defend the plant, 406, 407; anemophilous and entomophilous plants, 411; dioccious

Denny, Pelargonium zonale, 142 Diagram showing mean height of Dianthus caryophyllus, 132; crossed

and self-fertilised, 133-136; measurements, 135-138; cross with fresh stock, 136; weight of seed, 139: colour of flowers, 139: remarks on experiments, 263, 274: early flowering of crossed, 292; uniform colour of self-fertilised. 309; seeds, 316, 319, 323, 325; few capsules, 360

Dickie, Dr., self-fertilisation in Dietamnus frazinella, 419

Digitalis purpurea, 81; measurements, 84-87; effects of inter-

crossing, 85, 299; superiority of crossed, 288, 452; self-sterile,

Dipsaces, 172

Dobbs, bees frequenting flowers of same species, 419 Dodel, Dr. A., sexual reproduction, Duhamel on Raphanus sativus,

Dunal, nectar as an excretion, Dyer, Mr. Thiselton, on Lobelia ramosa, 176; on Cineraria, 335; origin of Hermaphroditism, 413

Earley, W., self-fertilisation of Lathurus odoratus, 153 Eaton, Rev. A. E., on Pringlea, 410 Engelmann, development of sexual

Engler, Dr., on dichogamous Saxifraga, 440 Entomophilous plants, 411 Epipactis latifolia, attractive only

to wasps, 376, 426 Erica tetraliz, 424; perforated corolla, 429, 437
Errara, M., on self-fertilisation.

Erythrina, 360 ealifornica, Eschscholtzia

measurements, 110; plants raised from Brazilian seed, 111; weight, 113; seeds, 115, 116, 315, 319, 322; experiments on, 263, 275; superiority of self-fertilized over crossed, 290; early flowering, 292, 294; artificially self-fertilised, 332; pollen from other flowers more effective, 340; selfsterile in Brazil, 343, 358; effects of changed conditions on reproductive system, 444, 449 Euphrasia officinalis, 368 Euryale amazonica, 358 - ferox, 365

F.

Fabricius on Aristolochia, 420 Fagopyrum esculentum, 228; early flowering of crossed plant, 293

Faivre, Professor, self-fertilisation of Cannacew, 230

Farrer, T. H., papilionaceous flowers, 5; Lupinus luteus, 147; Phaseolus multiflorus, 150, 434;

Fermond, M., Phascolus multiflorus, 151; P. coccineus hubridus,

Fertilisation, means of, 356; plants sterile, or partially so without insect-aid, 357-364: plants fertile without insect-aid, 365-369; flowers fertilised by honeysucking birds, 371; in New Zealand by the Anthornis melanura, 371; attraction of bright colours, 372; of odours, 374; flowers adapted to certain kinds of insects. 375; large amount of pollengrains, 377, 378; transport of pollen by insects, 379-380; structure and conspicuousness of flowers, 383; pollen from a distinet plant, 390;

Fertility, heights and weights, relative, of plants crossed by a fresh stock, self-fertilised, or intercrossed (Table C), 245-Fertility of plants as influenced by

cross and self-fertilisation (Table D), 312; relative, of crossed and self-fertilised parents (Table E). 314-319: innate, from a cross with fresh stock (Table F), 319 relative, of flowers crossed with their own pollen (Table G), 320 : of crossed and self-fertilised flowers, 324, 325

Flowering, period of, superiority of crossed over self-fertilised, 291-

Flowers, artificial, 374 Flowers, cleistogamic, 90: white,

## FORSYTHIA.

larger proportion smelling sweetly, 375: structure and conspicuousness of, 382; conspicuous and inconspicuous, 386; papilionaceous, 386; fertilised with pollen from a distinct plant, 390

Forsuthia viridissima, 341 Frankland, Dr., chemical affinity,

Frazinus ornus, 404 - officinalis, 366

Galium aparine, 369 Gallesio, spontaneous crossing of oranges, 396

Galton, Mr., Limnanthes douglasii 146; report on the tables of measurements, 16-19, 146, 234; self-fertilised plants, 290, 291; superior vigour of crossed seed-

lings in Lathyrus odoratus, 353, Gärtner, excess of pollen injurious,

24: plants fertilising one another at a considerable distance, 152; Lobelia fulgens, 179, 330; sterility urbanum, 378; experiments with

pollen, 380 Gentry, Mr., perforation of corolla,

Geranium phaum, 423 Gerardia pedicularia, 430, 437

Germination, period of, and relative self-fertilised flowers, 352-355 Gesneria pendulina, 92; measure-

Geum urbanum, number of pollen-

Godron, intercrossing of carrot, 172; Primula grandiflora affected by

pollen of P. officinalis, 380; tulips, Gould, humming-birds frequenting

Grant, Mr., bees of different hives

visiting different kinds of flowers.

Gray, Asa, flowers of Drosera, 332: sexual relations of trees in United States, 414; on sexual reproduction, 442

Hallet, Major, on selection of grains of cereals, 354

Hassall, Mr., number of pollen-

377: weight of pollen produced by one plant of Bulrush, 407-408

Heartsease, 123 Heights, relative, of crossed and

self-fertilised plants (Table A,

Heights, weights, and fertility, summary, 238-284 Henschel's experiments with pollen,

Henslow, Rev. G., cross-fertilisa-

tion in Sarothamnus scoparius, 164; on self-fertilisation not in-Herbert on cross-fertilisation, 7;

pollen brought from distant plants, 380; spontaneous crossing Hero, descendants of the plant, 47-

51, 258; its self-fertilisation, 349 Heterocentron mexicanum, 361 Hibiscus africanus, 140; measurements, 140; result of experiments,

277; early flowering of crossed plant, 292, 296; number of pollen-Hildebrand on pollen of Digitalis

96: experiments on Eschscholtzia

### .....

cultivarien, 110: Viola tricolor, cultivarien, 110: Toola tricolor, 128: Lobellar remos, 176: on mohi frequenting Petunias, 28: Paga-payan condestant, 28: Bid-ferrillicolor, 28: Bid-ferrillicolor, 28: Bid-ferrillicolor, 28: Bid-ferrillicolor, 28: Bid-ferrillicolor, 28: Carlo B

terruisation of the crumines, 445; wide dissemination of seeds, 455 Hoffmann, Prof. H., self-fertilised capsules of Papacer somiferous, 108, 366; Adonis asticalis, 129, 365; spontaneous variability of Phaseolus multiforus, 151; self-fertilisation of kidney-bean, 152; Papacer alpiusus, 351; sterlity Papacer alpiusus, 351; sterlity

usitatissimum, 366; on honey-dew from a camellia, 404 Honey-dew, 404 Hooker, Dr., Euryale ferox and Victoria regia, each producing

several flowers at once, 365; on sexual relation of trees in New Zealand, 414 Horse-chestnut, 401 Humble-bees, 419; see Bees

Humboldt, on the grains of cereals, 354 Humming-birds a means of cross-

fertilisation, 371
Hyacinth, 396
Hybrid plants, tendency to revert to

their parent forms, 380

Hypecoum grandiflorum, 331, 359

— procumbens, 331, 366

.

Theris umbellata (var. kermesiana), 103; measurement, 104–106;

## cross by fresh stocks, 105; remarks on experiments, 262;

fertilised seedlings, 289; early flowering, 292; number of seeds, 315; highly self-fertile, 365; prepotency of other pollen, 394 — amara, 365

— amara, 365
——amara, 365
——amara, 365
——impations frequented by humming-birds, 371

— barbigera, 366 — fulva, 341, 367 — noti-me-tangere, 367 — pallida, 341 Inheritance, force of, in plants, 305

371; attracted by bright colours, 372; by odours, 374; by conspicuous flowers, 384; dark streaks and marks as guides for, 373; flowers adapted to certain

I fromes perpuros, 28; measurements, 29–19; flowers on same plant crossed, 41–44; cross with the control of the control of the measurements, 52; diagram shows ing mean heights, 53; summers of observations, 53–62; of experiments, 227–520; superiority of produced of the control of the 201, 297 - effects of intercrossing, 201, 297 - effects of intercrossing, 201, 297 - effects of intercrossing, highly self-fertile, 268; prepsblight self-fertile, 268; preps-

Iris, secretion of succharine matter from calyx, 404 Isotoma, 176, 304

Juglans regia, 391

A

Kalmia latifolia, 359 Kerner, on protection of flowers

## KIDNEY. from crawling insects, 376; on

protection of the pollen, 377; on the single daily flower of Villarsia parnassifolia, 392; pollen carried by wind, 408, 415

Kitchener, Mr., on the action of the

stigma, 64: on Viola tricolor, 123 peas, 163; sexual reproduction,

Kohl-rabi, prepotency of pollen,

Kölreuter on cross-fertilisation, 7; number of pollen-grains necessary for fertilisation, 24; sexual affinities of Nicotiana, 210: Verbascum phaniceum, 330; experiments with pollen of Hibiscus vesi-

Kuhn adopts the term cleistogamic, 90

Kurr, on excretion of nectar, 404: removal of corolla, 422

Lactuca sativa, 173, 369: measurement, 174; prepotency of other

- purpureum, 419

Lathyrus odoratus, 153-160: measurements, 157-160; remarks on experiments, 265; period of flowering, 295; cross-fertilisation, 304; seeds, 316, 325; self-fertile,

- sulvestris, perforation of corolla.

Lawes and Gilbert, Messrs., consumption of inorganic matter by plants, 453

Laxton, Mr., crossing varieties of peas, 163, 305 Lecog, Cuclamen repandum, 215 : on

Loguminosz, 147; summary on the,

Lehmann. Prof., on seedlings from

large and small seeds, 355 Leighton, Rev. W. A., on Phaseolus multiflorus, 151; Acacia magnifica. Leptosiphon androsaceus, 368

Lilium auratum, 341 Limnanthes douglasii, 145; measure-

ments, 146: early flowering of crossed, 293; seeds, 316, 323; highly self-fertile, 367; prepo-Linaria vulgaris, 9, 88; seeds, 322;

- eymbalaria, 385, 426

Link, hypopetalous nectary Linum grandiflorum, 343 - writatissimum, 366

Logsacest, 170 Lobelia erinus, 176; secretion of nectar in sunshine, 405; experi-

Lobelia fulgens, 179; measurements, 180-182; summary of experi-

ments, 274; early flowering of self-fertilised, 291, 294, 295: seeds, 323; sterile unless visited - ramosa, 176; measurements.

177, 178; early flowering of crossed, 293, 295; seeds, 325; self-sterile, 364 Loiseleur-Deslongchamp,

on the Lubbock, Sir J., cross-fertilisation

of flowers, 6; on Viola tricolor, 123: bees distinguishing colours. 373; instinct of bees and insects sucking nectar, 418 Lupinus luteus, 147; measurements,

### LUPIN

148; early flowering of self-fertilised, 294, 296; self-fertile, 367; prepotency of other pollen, 399 Lupinus pilosus, 149; self-fertile, 567

## M.

Macnab, Mr., on the shorter or longer stamens of rhododendrons,

Mahonia aquifolium, 396
—— repens, 396

Malvacez, 140 Marcgraviacez, 407

Marek, Dr., on seedlings from large and small seeds, 355 Masters, Mr., cross-fertilisation in Pissm satirum, 161; cabbaces

affected by pollen at a distance, 379

Dr. Maywell on honey-daw

—, Dr. Maxwell, on honey-dew, 404 Measurements, summary of, 241;

Table A, 240-243: Table B, 244: Table C, 245-252 Medicago lupulina, 368 Mechan, Mr., fertilising Petunia

violacea by night moth, 188
Melastomacex, 298
Melilotus oficinalis, 360
Mercurialis annua, 421

Miller, Professor, on chemical affinity, 461

nity, 461

Minulus luteus, effects of crossing,
10: crossed and self-fertilised

101: crossed int. seef-ortimes plants, 64-70; measurements, 70plants, 64-70; measurements, 70-75: intercrossed on same plant, 75-78; summary of observations, 78-81; of experiments, 209-261; superiority of crossed plants, 295; simultaneous flowering, 294, 295; simultaneous flowering, 294, 295; effects of intercrossing, 291, 207; seeds, 516, 513, 522, 224; highly self-dettile, 528, 292; prerouge, 63, 292 MULLER.

Miner, Mr., red clover never sucked by hive-bees in the United States,

Mirobilis, dwarfed plants raised by using too few pollen-grains, 298; number of grains necessary for feetilisation 378

fertilisation, 378
Mitchell, Dr., on first-cousins intermarrying, 465
Monochetum ensiferum, 364

Moore, Mr., on Cinerarias, 335
Müller, Fritz, on Posequeria

fragrans, 5, 393; experiments on 305, 306; large number of orchidaceous genera sterile in their native home, also Bignonia and Tabernamontana echinata. 331: sterility of Eschscholtzia californica, 332, 342; Abutilon dorscinii, 334; experiments in self-fertilisation, 340; self-sterile plants, 341: incapacity of pollentubes to penetrate the stigma, 342: cross-fertilisation by means of birds, 371; imperfectly developed male and female Termites. 381; on ferns and ants, 406; foodbodies in Cecropia, 406: on the glands on calyx of Malpighiacese,

Müller, Hermann, fertilisation of flowers by insects, 6, 7; on Digitalis purpurea, 82; Calcolaria, 87; Lisarai sulgaris, 88; Verbascum nigrum, 89; the common cabbage, 88; Paparer dubium, 107; Viola tricolor, 123, 124; structure of Delphinium consolida, 129; of Lupinus Intens, 147; flowers of Pisum satteum,

cossolida, 129; of Laptona lutera, 147; flower of Piums attenu, 160, 161; on Sarathamus scopirius not secreting nectar, 164; Aprim petroedinum, 172; Borago glicinalis, 185; red clover visited by hive-bees in Germany, 361; insects rarely visiting Piumaria officinalis, 366; comparisor of lowland and alpine species, 376; structure of plants adapted to cross and self-fertilisation, 381;

### MULLER.

large conspicuous flowers more frequently risited by insects than small inconspicuous ones, 884; Selanum generally unattractive to insects, 889; Lamism album, 900, 301; on amenophilous plants, 401; fertilisation of Plantago, 403; secretion of nectar, 407; inatinct of bees sucking nectar, 418; bees frequenting flowers of the sums species, 410; cause of the sums species, 410; cause of the state of the sum of the sum

Müller, Dr. H., hive-bees occasionally perforate the flower of Erica tetralis, 430; calyx and corolla of Rhinanthus alecterolophus bored by Bombus mastru-

Munro, Mr., some species of Oncidium and Maxillaria sterile with own pollen, 334 Murtaces, 414

## N.

Nägeli on odours attracting insects, 374; sexual relations, 411 Natural selection, effect upon selfsterility and self-fertilisation, 345, 346 Naudin on number of pollen-grains

necessary for fertilisation, 24; Petunia violacea, 188 Nectar regarded as an excretion, 403 Nemophila insignis, 182; measure-

Nemophila insignis, 182; measurements, 183-185; early flowering of crossed plant, 293; effects of cross and self-fertilisation, 303; seeds, 316, 323

Nepeta glechoma, 419 Nicotiana glutinosa, 210

— tobecum, 203; measurements, 203-208; cross with fresh stock, 210; measurements, 212-215; summary of experiments, 266, 267, 279; superiority of crossed plants, 288-290; early flowering, 293-295; seeds, 325, 285; experiments on, 349; self-fertile,

### PAPAVER.

Nolana prostrata, 186; measurements, 187; crossed and selffertilised plants, 277; number of capsules and seeds, 321, 323; self-fertile, 368 Nolanacca, 186 Numbkas, 358, 365

## 0.

Odours emitted by flowers attractive to insects, 374 Ogle, Dr., on Digitalis purpurea, 82; Gesneria, 92; Phascolus multiflorus, 151, 360, 431; perforation of corolla, 429; case of the

Onagraces, 169
Onion, prepotency of other pollen,
395

Ononis minutissima, 167; measurements, 168; seeds, 323; self-

Ophrys apifera, 350, 369, 408, 442
— muscifera, 385, 408
Oranges, spontaneous crossing, 395

Orchidese, 364, 369; exerction of saccharine matter, 404 Orchis, fly, 408 Origanum sulgare, 94; measure-

ments, 95; early flowering of crossed plant, 292; effects of intercrossing, 301

## Pæony, number of pollen-grains,

Papaveraces, 107 Papaver alpinum, 331, 358

- argemonoides, 366 - bracteatum, 108

—— somniferum, 108, 331, 365 —— vagum, 107; measurements,

109 : number of cansules, 315 : seeds, 358; prepotency of other Papillæ of the Viola tricolor at-

Passiflora alata, 330, 334

- gracilis, 171; measurements, 171: crossed and self-fertilised 276; seeds, 323; self - fertile,

Pea, common, 160, 351 Pelargonium zonale, 142: measure-

ments, 143; effects of intercrossing, 301; almost self-sterile,

rolla, 429, 431, 436 Petunia violacea, 188; measure-

ments, 189-203; weight of seed, 196: cross with fresh stock, 196-201; relative fertility, 201-203; colour, 203: summary of experiments, 265, 274; superiority of crossed over self-fertilised, 289: early flowering, 293, 294; uniform colour of self-fertilised, 209 : seeds, 316, 319, 323, 325; self-

Phalaris canariensis, 235: measurements, 236, 237; early flowering

of crossed, 293 Phaseolus coccineus, 150

- multiflorus, 150: measurement, 152: partially sterile, 168, 360: crossed and self-fertilised, 276; early flowering of crossed, 293; seeds, 316; perforated by humble-

162: seldom intercross, 169: summary of experiments, 264,

Plants, crossed, greater constitutional vigour, 285 Plateau, M., on insects and arti-

ficial flowers, 374, 375

## PTERIS.

Pollen, relative fertility of flowers crossed from a distinct plant, or with their own, 320; difference of results in Nolana prostrata, 321. 323; crossed and self-fertilised

plants, again crossed from a len. 324 : sterile with their own 330-338; semi-self-sterile, 338-340; loss of, 377; number of grains in Dandelion and Psony.

Wistaria sinensis, 378: number necessary for fertilisation, 378; transported from flower to flower. 379; prepotency, 393-401; aboriginally the sole attraction to insects, 403; quantity produced by

Polyanthus, prepotency over cows-

Posoqueria fragrans, 5, 393 Potato, 389

Poterium sanguisorba, 410 Potts, heads of Anthorn's melanum covered with pollen, 371

Primrose, Chinese, 225 Primula elatior, 425, 430

- grandiflora, 380 - mollis, 368 - officinalis, 380

- scotion, 362 - sinensis, 225, 279; measure-

ments, 227; early flowering of crossed, 293, 296 - veris (var. officinalis), 219 :

measurements, 221; result of experiments, 267, 268; early flowering of crossed, 293; seeds, 317; self-fertility, 351; prepotency of dark red polyanthus,

Primulaces, 215 Pringlea, 410 Proteuces of Australia, 415

Prunus avium, 405 - laurocerasus, 405 RADISH.

Ranunculacea, 128

Ranunculus acris, 365 Raphanus sativus, 365, 395 Reinke, nectar-secreting glands of Prunus avium, 405

Reseda lutea, 117; measurements, 118, 119; result of experiments, 339; self-fertile, 365

— odorata, 119; measurements, 120-123; self-fertilised scarcely exseeds and vigour of offspring, 328; result of experiments, 336; sterile and self-fertile, 358, 365

Reseduces, 117

Rhododendron, spontaneous cross-

Rhododendron azaloides, 435 Rhubarb, 396, 403

Riley, Mr., pollen carried by wind, 408: Yucca moth, 421 Rimpan, on the cross-fertilisation

of Rye, 341: on the self-fertility Rodgers, Mr., secretion of nectar in

Rye, experiment on pollen of, 377

Salvia coccinea, 93; measurements, 93; early flowering of crossed, 292; seeds, 315, 322; partially - alutinosa, 430 Salvia grahami, 429, 431, 436

- tenori, 362 Sarothamnus scoparius, 163; mea-

surements, 165-167; superiority of crossed seedlings, 285, 289; seeds, 323: self-sterile, 360

STACHTS.

Scabiosa atro-purpurca, 172; measurements, 172, 173

Scott, J., Papaver somniferum, 108; sterility of Verbascum, 330; Oncidium and Maxillaria, 331: on small seeds of Papaver, 355; on

Primula scotica and Cortusa matthioli, 362 Scrophulariacen, 63 Seeds, size and germination of, 352.

Selaginella, 413 Self-fertile varieties, appearance of,

Self-fertilisation, mechanical structure to check, 383 Self-sterile plants, \$29-347; wide

distribution throughout the vegetable kingdom, 341; difference in plants, 342; cause of self-sterility, 343; affected by changed conditions, 344-346; necessity of differentiation in the

Senecio cruentus, 335, 364 - maderensis, 335

Sharp, Messrs., precautions against

intercrossing, 396 Snow-flake, 176

lised, 276; early flowering of crossed, 293; seeds, 323; self-

Spiranthes autumnalis, 391, 424

Sprengel, C. K., fertilisation of flowers by insects, 5, 6; Viola tricolor, 123; colours in flowers attract and guide insects, 372-374; on Aristolochia, 419; Aconitum

napellus, 431: importance of insects in fertilising flowers, 460 Stachus coccinea, 430, 431, 436

## STELLARIA.

Stellaria media, 367

dece, 371

Structure of plants adapted to ere and self fertilisation, 381 Swale, Mr., garden lupine not visited by bees in New Zealand, 150

Tabernæmontana echinata, 331, 362 Tables of measurements of heights, weights, and fertility of plants

Termites, imperfectly developed males and females, 382

Thyme, 421 Tinzmann, on Solanum tubero

Transmission of the good effects of a cross to later generations.

Trees, separated sexes, 414

Trifolium arcense, 367, 386 - incarnatum, 361 - minus, 368

— pratense, 361, 429, 438

- repens, 361 Troppolum minus, 144: measure ments, 145; early flowering of

- tricolor, 430; seeds, 323

Typha, 377, 408

Umbelliferm, 172

Urban, Ig., fertilisation of Medicago lupulina, 368

Vandellia nummularifolia, 90, 278; seeds, 315, 322; self-fertile, 369 Vanilla, secretion of nectar, 404 Verbascum lychnitis, 89, 341, 369 - nigrum, 89, 330, 341

- phaniceum, 330, 341, 364 - thapsus, 89; measurements, 90; self-fertile, 341, 369 Verlot on Convolvulus tricolor, 55;

intercrossing of Nemophila, 183; of Leptosiphon, 394

Veronica agrestis, 369 - chamadrys, 369

Vicia faba, 360, 405 - hireuta, 367 - sativa, 367, 405

Victoria regia, 365 Vilmorin on transmitting character to offspring, 456

Vinca major, 362 - rosea, 362 Viola canina, 357

- tricolor, 123; measurements 126, 127; superiority of crossed plants, 286, 289; period of flowering, 292, 296; effects of

cross-fertilisation, 304; seeds, 316, 325; partially sterile, 358;

Viscaria oculata, 130; measure-ment, 132; average height of crossed and self-fertilised, 276; simultaneous flowering. seeds, 316, 323; self-fertile, 367

## W.

Wallace, Mr., the beaks and faces of brush-tongued lories covered with pollen, 371 Wasps attracted by Epipactis latifolia, 376

© The Complete Work of Charles Darwin Online

## WEIGHTS.

Weights, relative, of crossed and self-fertilised plants, 244, 283; and period of germination of seeds, 352–355

Wilder, Mr., fertilisation of flowers with their own pollen, 341 Wilson, A. J., superior vigour of

Wilson, A. J., superior vigour of crossed seedlings in Brassica campestris ruta baga, 333; selffertility of wheat, 370; on size of pollen-grains, 378 Wistaria sinensis, 378, 430.

nd

Yucca moth, 421

Y. 421 Z.

Zea mays, 16, 233; measurements, 16-18, 234; difference of height between crossed and self-fertilised, 288; early flowering of crossed, 293; self-fertile, 399; prepotency of other pollen, 399

# LONDON: PRINTED BY WILLIAM CLOWES AND SONS, STAMPORD STREET AND CHARING CROSS.

## MR. MURRAY'S GENERAL LIST OF WORKS.

ALBERT MEMORIAL. A Descriptive and Illustrated Account of the National Monument erected to the PRINCE CONSORT at of the National Monthson elected to the Parkot Convolut a Kensington, Illustrated by Engravings of its Architecture, Decora-tions, Sculptured Groups, Statues, Mosales, Metalwork &c. With Descriptive Text. By Doving C. Brill. With 24 Plates. Follo, 121, 12s.

- HANDBOOK, Feap, 8vo. 1s.: or Illustrated Edition, 1s, 6d,

--- (PRINCE) SPEECHES AND ADDRESSES. FCAD. 8vo. 1s. ABBOTT (Ray. J.). Memoirs of a Church of England Missionary in the North American Colonies. Post Syo. 24.

ABERCROMBIE (JOHN). Enquiries concerning the Intellectual Powers and the Investigation of Truth. Fcap. 8vo. 3s. 6d.

ACLAND (REV. CHARLES). Popular Account of the Manners and Customs of India, Post Svo. 2s. MSOP'S FABLES. A New Version. By Rev. Thomas James.

With 100 Woodcuts, by TENNIEL and WOLF. Post 8vo. 2s. 6d. AGRICULTURAL (ROTAL) JOURNAL. (Published half-wearly.) AMBER-WITCH (THE). A most interesting Trial for Witchcraft. Translated by LADY DUFF GORDON. Post Svo. 2s.

APOCRYPHA: With a Commentary Explanatory and Critical, ARISTOTLE. [See GROTE, HATCH.]

ARMY LIST (THE). Published Monthly by Authority. --- (THE NEW OFFICIAL). Published Quarterly. Royal

Svo. 15s. ARTHUR'S (LITTLE) History of England. By LADY CALLCOTT.

New Edition, continued to 1878. With 36 Woodcuts, Fcap. Svo. 1s. 6d. ATKINSON (Dr. R.) Vie de Seint Auban. A Poem in Norman-French. Ascribed to Mattruck Parts. With Concordance, Glossary

AUSTIN (John). LECTURES ON GENERAL JURISPRUDENCE; or, the Philosophy of Positive Law. Edited by ROBERT CAMPUELL. 2 Vols.

- STUDENT'S EDITION, compiled from the above work, by ROBERT CAMPBELL, Post Syo. 12s. Analysis of. By Gordon Campbell. Post 810. 6s.

## ADMIRALTY PUBLICATIONS; Issued by direction of the Lords

CHALLENGER EXPEDITION, 1873-1876; Report of the Scientific Results of Z-obszy, Vol. I, 37s, 6d. Vol. II, 50s. A MANUAL OF SCIENTIFIU ENQUIRY, for the Use of Travellers, Fourth Edition. Edited by Economy Main, M.A. Woodcuts. Post

GREENWICH ASTRONOMICAL OBSERVATIONS, 1841 to 1847, and 1847 to 1877. Royal 4to. 20s. canh.

GREENWICH ASTRONOMICAL RESULTS, 1847 to 1877, 4to. 3s. MAGNETICAL AND METEOROLOGICAL OBSERVATIONS, 1844

MAGNETICAL AND METEOROLOGICAL RESULTS, 1848 to 1877.

1837. Logarithms of Sines and Couines in Time. Sa. 1842. Catalogue of 1439 Stars, from Observations made in 1836.

Longitude of Valentia (Chronometrical). 3s. Description of Photographic Apparatus. 2s.

1851. Maskelyne's Ledger of Stars. 1852. I. Description of the Transit Circle. 3s.

1853, Bessel's Refraction Tables, Sa. 1854, I. Description of the Rodex Zenith Tube. Sa.

to 1853. 4s.
1860. Reduction of Days Thermometer Observations.

1862, II. Plan of Ground and Buildings of Royal Observatory, Greenwich. 3s.

III. Longitude of Valentia (Galvanic). 2s.

1904. I. Moon's Semi-diameter, from Occultations, 2s. II. Reductions of Planetary Observations, 1831 to 1835. I. Corrections of Elements of Jupiter and Saturn. 2s.

II. Second Seven Years' Catalogue of 2760 Stars. 1801-7. 4s.

1871. Water Telescope. 3s. 1873. Regulations of the Royal Observatory. 2s.

1876. 11. Nine Years' Catalogue of 2283 Stars. (1898-76.) 6s. Caps of Good Hope Observations (Star Ledgers); 1856 to 1863, 24, 1856, 54

Astronomical Results, 1857 to 1858, 5s.

Report on Teneriffe Astronomical Experiment. 1856. 5s, Paramatta Catalogue of 7385 Stars, 1822 to 1828, 4a. REDUCTION OF THE OBSERVATIONS OF PLANETS. 1750 t

LUNAR OBSERVATIONS, 1710 to 1800. 2 Vols. Royal 4to, 20s, each

ARCTIC PAPERS, 18r. 6d

BERNOULLI'S SEXCENTENARY TABLE, 1779, 4to, 5a. BESSELS AUXILIARY TABLES FOR HIS METHOD OF CLEAR-ING LUNAR DISTANCES. Sec. 2s. ENGKE'S BERLINER JAHRBUCH, for ISSO. Berlin, 1828. Svo. 2s.

HANSEN'S TABLES DE LA LUNE. 4to. LAX'S TABLES FOR FINDING THE LATITUDE AND LONGI-

TUDE, 1921. Svo. 10s.

ADMIRALTY PUBLICATIONS-CONTINUE

LUNAR OBSERVATIONS at GREENWICH, 1783 to 1819, Compared with the Tables, 1821. 4to. 7s. 6d.
MACLEAR ON LACAILLE'S ARC OF MERIDIAN. 2 Vols. 20s. each. PLANETS. 1822, 3s.; 1823, 4s. 6d. 1834 to 1835. 8vo. 4s. each. MAYER'S TABULÆ MOTUUM SOLIS ET LUNÆ. 1770. 6s.

TINGEN, from 1756 to 1761. 1826. Folio. 7s. 6d. NAUTICAL ALMANACS, from 1767 to 1884. 2s, 6d, each.

SELECTIONS FROM, up to 1812. 8vo. 5s. 1834-54. 5s. \_\_ SUPPLEMENTS, 1828 to 1838, 1837 and 1838.

2s. each. TABLE requisite to be used with the N.A. 1781. Svo. 5s.
SABINE'S PENDULUM EXPERIMENTS to DETERMINE THE FIGURE

OF THE EASTH. 1825. 4to. 4Dr. SHEPHERD'S TABLES for CORRECTING LUXAR DISTANCES. 1772. Royal 4to. 21s. GENERAL, of the MOON'S DISTANCE from the SUN, and 10 STARS, 1787, Folio. Sa. 6d. TAYLOR'S SEXAGESIMAL TABLE, 1780, 4to, 15s.

TIARK'S ASTRONOMICAL OBSERVATIONS for the LORGITUDE of MADRIES. 1822. 45c. 5c. of MADRIERA, 1822, 450, De.

— CHRONOMETRICAL OBSERVATIONS for DIFFERENCES

of LONGITUDE between DOYES, PORTSMOUTH, and PALMOUTH. 1823 VENUS and JUPITER: OBSERVATIONS of, compared with the Tables. Loudes, 1922. 4to. 2s.
WALES AND RAYLY'S ASTRONOMICAL OBSERVATIONS.
1977. 4to. 21s.

REDUCTION OF ASTRONOMICAL OBSERVATIONS
MADE IS THE SOCIETA HISTORIES. 1764-1771. 1788. 4to. 10s. 6d.

BARBAULD (Mns.). Hymns in Prose for Children. With 100 litestrations, 16ms. Sa. 6d. BARCLAY (BISHOP). Extracts from the Talmud, illustrating

BARKLEY (H. C.). Five Years among the Bulgarians and Turks

My Boyhood : a True Story. Illustrations. Post Sec. St.

BARROW (JOHR). Life, Exploits, and Voyages of Sir Francis Drake, Post Svo. 2s. BARRY (CANON). The Manifold Witness for Christ. An Attempt

of Christianity. 8vo. 12z. (Epw. M.), R.A. Lectures on Architecture, delivered before

the Royal Academy. Edited, with Menneir by Canen Barry. Por-trait and Illustrations. Svo. 16s. BATES (H. W.). Records of a Naturalist on the Amazons during

Eleven Years' Adventure and Travel. Illustrations. Post 8vo. 7s. 6d. BAX (Capt.). Russian Tartary, Eastern Siberia, China, Japan, &c. Illustrations, Cr. Svo. 12% BELCHER (Lady). Account of the Mutineers of the 'Bounty, Islands. Illustrations. Post Svo. 12s.

BEILI (SIR CHAS.). Familiar Letters. Portrait. Post Syo. 12s.

— (DOTER C.). Notices of the Historic Persons buried in the Chaple of SR. Peter ad Vincella, in the Tower of London, with an account of the discovery of the supposed remains of Queun Anne Belgru.

BERTRAM (Jas. G.). Harvest of the Sea: an Account of British
Food Fishes, including Fisheries and Fisher Folk. Illustrations.
Post Seo. 92.

BIBLE COMMENTARY. THE OLD TESTAMENT. EXPLANATORY and CHITICAL. With a REVISION of the TRANSLATION. By BISHOPS and CLERGY of the ANGLICAN CHITICIL. Edited by F. C. COOK, M.A., Cannon of Exeture. 6 Vols. Medium 870. 6f. 15s.

30s. LEVITICUS, NUMBERS,	24s.	PROVERDS, ECCLESIASTES.
Vols. II. (JOSHUA, JUDGES, RUTH,		SONG OF SOLOMON. ISAIAH.
and III. Samuel, Kirgs, Chro-	Vol. VI.	JERENIAH. EZRKIEL.
BIBLE COMMENTARY. THE NEW 7		
(Istronoctros.	POLKARDI.	/ROMANS. CORDIVINIANS.
Vol. I. St. Matthew. St. Mark. St. Luke.	Vol. III.	GALATIANS, PHILIPPIANS, EPHESIANS, COLORSIANS, THESSALONIANS, PASTO-
(ST. LUKE.		BAL PROPERTY BY PROPERTY

Vel. II. (87, John.

100. Acrs.

THE STUDENT'S CALLESTICS. (ALLESTICS. ACRS.)

THE STUDENT'S EXPITION. Abridged and Edited by John M. Fulles, M.A., Views of Bening, (To be completed in 6 volumes.) Vols. Lt 197. Crewn Bro. T. A. f. (ach.

completed in 6 Volumes.) Vols, L to IV. Crewn 8vo. 7s. 6d, each.
BIGG-WITHER (T. P.). Pioneering in South Brazil; Three Years of Forest and Frairic Life in the Prevince of Parana. Map and Illustrations. 2 vols. Crewn 8vo. 2ts.

BIRD (Isanella). Hawalian Archipelago; or Six Months among the Palm Groves, Coral Reefs, and Volcances of the Sandwich Islands, Illustrations, Crown Svo, Tz. 6d.

Unbeaten Tracks in Japan: Travels of a Lady in the interior, locluding Visits to the Aberigness of Yezo and the Shrines of Nikko and Ise. Illustrations. 2 Vels. Crown Swo. 24s.

Lady's Life in the Rocky Mountains. Illustrations.

Post Sto. 7s. 6d.

BISSET (Str John). Sport and War in South Africa from 1834 to

1867, (Gir John). Sport and War in South Africa from 1834 to 1867, with a Narrative of the Duke of Edinburgh's Visit. Illustrations. Crown Svo. 14s.

BLUNT (Lady Anns). The Bedouins of the Euphrates Valley.

BLUNT (LADY ANNE). The Bedouins of the Euphrates Valley, With some secount of the Arabs and their Horses. Illustrations, 2 Yols. Crown Syo. 24s.

A Pilgrimage to Nejd, the Cradle of the Arab Race, and a Visit to the Court of the Arab Emir. Illustrations. 2 Vols. Post Syo. 24s

BLUNT (Ray, J. J.). Undesigned Coincidences in the Writings of - History of the Christian Church in the First Three - Parish Priest; His Duties, Acquirements, and Obliga-- University Sermons. Post Svo. 6s. BOOK OF COMMON PRAYER. Illustrated with Coloured Borders, Initial Letters, and Woodcuts, Svo. 18s. BORROW (George). Bible in Spain; or the Journeys, Adventures, Gypsies of Spain; their Manners, Customs, Rollgion, and Language. With Portrait. Post Svo. 5s. - Lavengro : The Scholar-The Gypsy-and the Priest. - Romany Rye-a Sequel to "Lavengro," Post 8vo. 5s. WILD WALES: its People, Language, and Scenery. Romano Lavo-Lil; Word-Book of the Romany, or BOSWELL'S Life of Samuel Johnson, LL.D. Including the Tour to the Hebrides. Edited by Mr. Choken. Seconth Edition. Portraits, 1 vol. Medium Svo. 12s. BRACE (C. L.). Manual of Ethnology; or the Races of the Old BREWER (REv. J. S.). English Studies, or Essays on English History and Literature, Svo. 14s. Contents :-NEW SOURCES OF ENGLISH HISTORY. THE SQUARTS. GREEN'S SHORT HISTORY OF THE SHARKPEARE. STUDY OF HISTORY AND ENGLISH BRITISH ASSOCIATION REPORTS, 8vo. Cambridge, 1833, 12s. Edinburgh, 1834, 15s. Dublin, 1835, 13s. 6d. Bristol, 1836, 12s. Liverpool, 1837, 16s. 6d. Cambridge, 1862, 20s. Newcastle, 1863, 25s. Bath, 1864, 18s. Newcastle, 1838, 15s. Birmingham, 1839, 13s. 6d. Glasgow, 1840, 15s. Birmingham, 1865, 274. Manchester, 1842, 10s, 64. Nerwich, 1808, 25s Southampton, 1846, 15c. Oxford, 1847, 18c. Birmingham, 1849, 10s, Plymouth, 1877, 24 Dublin, 1878, 24s. Sheffield, 1879, 24s. Swansea, 1880, 24s.

Cheltenham, 1856, 18s.

BRUGSCH (Professon). A History of Egypt, under the Pharoka, Derived estirely from Monuments, with a Memoir on the Exodus of the Israelites. New and revised Edition. Mags. 2 vols. BUNBURY (E.H.). A History of Ancient Geography, among the

Greeks and Romans, from the Earliest Ages till the Fall of the Roman Empire. With Index and 20 Maps. 2 Vols. 8vo. 42s. BURBIDGE (F. W.). The Gardens of the Sun: or A Naturalist's Journal on the Mountains and in the Forest and Savana of Parameter.

Journal on the Mountains and in the Forests and Swamps of Borneo and
the Saula Archipelago. With Hinstrations. Crown Stor. 14s.

BURCKHARDTS Clearon; or Art Guide to Painting in Italy.

Translated from the German by Mns. A. Clocon. New Edition, revised by J. A. Chows. Post Svo. 6s.

BURN (Col.). Dictionary of Naval and Military Technical

Terms, English and French-French and English. Crown Svo. 15s.
BUTTMANN'S Lexilogus; a Critical Examination of the
Mexning of numerous Greek Words, chiefly in Homer and Hesiod.

Meaning of numerous Greek Words, chiefly in Homer and Hesiod. By Rev. J. R. Fishlakk. 8vo. 12s. BUXTON (CHARLES). Memoirs of Sir Thomas Fowell Buxton, Bart. Portrait. 8vo. 16s. Fopular Editios. Foxp. 8vo. 5s.

BYLES (Sir John). Foundations of Religion in the Mind and Heart of Man. Post 8vo. 6s. BYRON'S (LORD) LIFE AND WORKS:— LIFE, LETTERS, AND JOURNALS. By THOMAS MOORE. Cabinet

LIFE, LETTERS, AND JOURNALS. By THOMAS MOORE. Cabinet Edition. Plates. 6 Vols. Feap. 8vo. 18s.; or One Volume, Portraits. Royal 8vo., 7s. 63. LIFE AND POETICAL WORKS. Popular Edition. Portraits.

2 vols. Reval Svo. 15s.

PORTICAL WORKS. Library Edition. Portrait, 6 Vols. 8vo. 45s.

PORTICAL WORKS. Cabinet Edition. Plates, 10 Vols. 12mo, 30s.

PORTICAL WORKS. Pocket Ed., 8 Vols. 16mo, In a case. 21s.

PORTICAL WORKS. Popular Edition. Plates. Royal 8vo. 7a. 6d.

POETICAL WORKS. Popular Edition. Plates. Royal 8vo. 7s. 6d.
POETICAL WORKS. Pearl Edition. Crown 8vo. 2s. 6d.
CHILDE HAROLD. With 80 Engravings. Crown 8vo. 12s.
CHILDE HAROLD. 16mo. 2s. 6d.

CHILDE HAROLD. 16mo. 2s. 6d. CHILDE HAROLD. Vignettes. 16mo. 1s.

CHILDE HAROLD, Portrait, 16mo, 6d, Tales and Poems, 16mo, 2s, 6d,

MISCELLANEOUS. 2 Vols. 16mo. 5s. DRAMAS AND PLAYS. 2 Vols. 16mo. 5s. DON JUAN AND BEPPO. 2 Vols. 16mo. 5s.

BEAUTIES. Poetry and Prose. Portrait. Feap. 8vo. 2s. 6d. CAMPBELL (LORD). Life: Based on his Autobiography, with selections from Journals, and Corresponding. Political by M. 11.

Lord Chancellors and Keepers of the Great Seater Engined. From the Zarilest Times to the Death of Lord Balon in 1838. 10 Yeas, Crown Swo. 6t. each. Compass to the Death of the Office of English From the Norman (Times). Essay on English Poetry. With Short Lives of the British Poets. Peat Swo. 3s. 6t. CARNARYON (Loss). Portugal, Gallicia, and the Basque Provinces. Post 8vo. 3s. 64.

The Agamemnon: Translated from Æschylus.

CARNOTA (CONDEDA). Memoirs of the Life and Eventful Career of P.M. the Duke of Saldenha; Soldier and Statesman. With Selections from his Correspondence. 2 Vols. 5vo. 32r.

CARTWRIGHT (W. C.). The Jesuits: their Constitution and Teaching, As Historical Sketch. Svc. 2s. CAVALCASELLE'S WORKS. [See Crown.]

CESNOLA (Gen.). Cyprus; its Ancient Cities, Tombs, and Temples. Researches and Excavations during Ten Year's Residence in that lained. With 400 Houstonians. Medium was defected in

Island, With 400 libestrations, Medium Sec. 50s.

CHILD (CHAPLIN). Benedicite; or, Song of the Three Children;

by the Creator in his Works. Post Sec. 6.
CHISHOLM (Mrs.). Perils of the Polar Seas; True Stories of Arette Discovery and Adventure, Ilmagrations, Post Sec. 6.
CHURTON (ARCHERACOS). Poetical Remains, Translations and Initiation, Portrait, Post Sec. 7s. 6d.

Initativas, Portrair, Post Svo. 7s. 6d.

CLASSIC PREACHEIS OF THE ENGLISH CHURCH.

Being Lectures delivered at St. James', Westminster, in 1877-8.

By Eminent Divines, With Introduction by J. E. Kempo. 2 Vols.

Fost Svo. 7s. 6d. each

CLIVE'S (Loan) Life. By Rav. G. R. Grave. Post 8vo. 3s. 6d. CLODE (C. M.). Military Forces of the Crown; their Administra-

tion and Government. 2 Yels. 8vo. 21s. each.

Administration of Justice under Military and Martial
Law, as applicable to the Army, Navy, Marine, and Auxiliary Forces.

COLERIDGE'S (SAMUEL TAYLOS) Table-Taik. Portrait. 12mo. 3s. 6d. COLONIAL LIBRARY. (See Home and Colonial Library.) COMPANIONS FOR THE DEVOUT LIFE. Lectures on well-

OMPANIONS FOR THE DEVOUT LIFE. Lectures on well-known Devotional Works. By Eminont Divines. With Preface by J. E. Kemps, M. A. Crown Svo. 6s.

I DEVATIONS GREAT. FEREICO'S (EUVERS STRETCHLER.)

INSERS OF BLAISE PARCAL.

FRANÇOIS DE SALES,
LYTH'S SAISTY REST.
LYTH'S SAISTY REST.
LOTT,
LYTHO'S HOLV LIVING AND DYING.

PRAYER BOOK.

CONVOCATION PRAYER-BOOK. (See Prayer-Book.)
COOKE (E. W.). Leaves from my Sketch-Book. Being a Selection from Stotch-m made during many Toors. With Descriptive Text.
50 Plates. 2 Vols. Small folio. 31, ed. each.

COOKERY (Modern Domistro). Founded on Principles of Economy and Practical Knowledge, and Adapted for Private Families. By a Lady. Woodcatts. Feap. 8ve. 5s.

CRABBE (REV. GEORGE). Life & Poetical Works, Illustrations, Royal Sto. 7s.

CRIPPS (WILPARD). Old English Plate: Ecclesiastical, Decorative, and Domestic, its Makers and Marks. With a Complete Table of Date Letters, de. New Edition. With To Illustrations. Nedom 6vo. 16.

Old Freuch Plate; Furnishing Tables of the Paris Date Letters, and Peachings of Other Marks. With Illustrations. See 8. 64.

CROKER (J. W.). Progressive Geography for Children.

Boswell's Life of Johnson. Including the Tour to the
Hebrides. Seventh Edition. Portraits. Svo. 12s.

Historical Essay on the Guillotine. Fcap. 8vo. 1a.
CROWE AND CAVALCASELLE. Lives of the Early Flemish
Painters, Woodcuts. Post 8vo. 7s. 6d.; or Large Paper, 8vo. 15s.

Painters. Woodcus. Peat Sro. 7s. 64.; or Large Paper, Svo. 15s.

History of Painting in North Italy, from 14th to
16th Century. With Illustrations. 2 Vols. 8vo. 422.

Life and Times of Titian, with some Account of his Family, chiefly frem new and unpublished records. With Portrait and Illustrations, 2 vols. 880, 21s.
CUMMING (R. GORDON). Five Years of a Hunter's Life in the

Far Interior of South Africa. Woodcuts. Post 8vo. 6s.

CUNYNGHAME (SIR ARTHUR). Travels in the Eastern Caucasus,
on the Caspian and Black Seas, in Daghestan and the Frontiers of
Persia and Tarkey. Illustrations. 8vo. 18s.

on the Caspian and Black Seas, in Dagbestan and the Frentiers of Persia and Turkey. Illustrations. 8vo. 18s. CURTIUS' (Professor) Student's Greek Grammar, for the Upper Forms. Edited by Dr. Wh. SMITH. Post 8vo. 6s.

Elucidations of the above Grammar. Translated by
EVELYN ARROT. Post Svo. 7s. 6d.
Smaller Greek Grammar for the Middle and Lower

the above work. 12mo. 2s. 6d.

Principles of Greek Etymology. Translated by A. S.
Wilkins, M.A., and E. B. England, M.A. 2 vols. Sto. 15s. each.

The Grock Verb, its Structure and Development,
Translated by A. S. WILKISS, M.A., and E. B. ENGLAND, M.A.
Sve. 18s.
CURZON (HON. ROBERT). Visits to the Monasteries of the Levant,

CURZON (HOK. ROBERT). Visits to the Monasteries of the Levant Illustrations. Post 8vo. 7s. &d. CUST (GENERAL). Warriors of the 17th Century—The Thirty Year

CUST (General). Warriors of the 17th Century—The Thirty Years'
War. 2 Vols. 16s. Civil Wars of France and England. 2 Vols. 16s.
Commanders of Fleets and Armies. 2 Vols. 18s.
Annals of the Wars—18th & 19th Century.

With Maps. 9 Vols. Post Svo. 5r. each.

DAVY (SIR HUMPHRY). Consolations in Travel; or, Last Days of a Philosopher. Woodcuts. Fcap. Svo. 3s. 6d.

Salmonia; or, Days of Fly Fishing. Woodcuts.
Fcap.8vo. 3s. 6d.
DE COSSON (E. A.). The Cradle of the Blue Nile; a Journey
through Abyseins and Scooling and a Residence at the Court of Fine

John of Ethiopia. May and Illustrations. 2 vols. Post Fvo. 21s.

DENNIS (Grores). The Cities and Cemeteries of Etruria. A

new Edition, revised, recording all the latest Discoveries. With 20

Plans and 200 Illustrations. 2 vols. Medium Svo. 42s.

DENT (EMMA). Avnals of Winchcombe and Sudeley. With 120 Portraits, Fis ex and Woolcuts. 4to. 422. DERBY (EARL 09). Illiad of Homer rendered into English Blank Verse. With Portrait. 2 Yels. Post 5vo. 10s.

### DARWIN'S (CHARLES) WORKS :-

JOURNAL OF A NATURALIST DURING A VOYAGE ROUND THE WORLD, Crown Sto. 24.

ORIGIN OF SPECIES BY MEANS OF NATURAL SELECTION; OF, the Preservation of Favoured Races in the Struggle for Life. Woodcuts

VARIATION OF ANIMALS AND PLANTS UNDER DOMESTICATION Woodcuts. 2 Vols. Crown 8vo. 18s.

Descent of Man, and Selection in Relation to Sex.

EXPRESSIONS OF THE ENOTIONS IN MAN AND ANIMALS. With Illustrations. Crown 8vo. 12s. VARIOUS CONTRIVANCES BY WHICH ORCHIDS ARE FERTILIZED

BY INSECTS. WOODCHS. COWN SVO. 98.

MOVEMENTS AND HABITS OF CLIMBING PLANTS. Woodcuts,

Crown 8vo. 6s.
INSECTIVOROUS PLANTS. Woodcuts. Crown 8vo. 14s.

EFFECTS OF CROSS AND SELF-FERTILIZATION IN THE VEGETABLE KINDOM. Crown 8vo. 12s.

DIFFERENT FORMS OF FLOWERS ON PLANTS OF THE SAME

SPECIES. Crown Svo. 10s. 6d.

Power of Movement in Plants. Woodcuts. Cr. 8vo. 15s.

THE FORMATION OF VEGETABLE MOULD THROUGH THE ACTION OF WORMS; with Observations on their Habits. Pest Sec. 26. LIFE OF ERASMUS DARWIN. With a Study of his Works by

ERNST KRAUSS. Potrait. Crown Svo. 7s. 6d.

FACTS AND ARGUMENTS FOR DARWIN, By FRITZ MULLER.

Translated by W. S. Dallas. Wordests. Post Svo. 6s.

DERRY (BISHOF OF). Witness of the Psalms to Christ and Christianity. The Bampton Lectures for 1876. Svo. 14s.

DEUTSCH (EMANSEL). Talmud, Islam, The Targums and other

Literary Remains, With a brief Memoir. Svo. 172.

DILKE (Str. C. W.). Papers of a Critic. Selected from the Writings of the late Chas. Westworth Dilke. With a Biographi-

cal Skeith. 2 Vols. Svo. 24s.

DOG-BREAKING. [See HUTCHINSON.]

DOUGLAS'S (Sir Howard) Theory and Practice of Gunnery.

Plates. Svo. 21s.

(Wm.) Horse-Shocing; As it Is, and As it Should be.

(WM.) HORSE-Shoeling; As It Is, and As It Should be.
Hillustrations. Post Svo. 7s. 6d.
DRAKE'S (Sin Frances) Life, Voyages, and Exploits, by Sea and
Land. By John Barnow. Post Svo. 2s.

DRINKWATER (John). History of the Siege of Gibraltar, 1779-1783. With a Description and Account of that Garrison from the Earliest Periods. Post 8vo. 2s.

DUCANGE'S MEDIEVAL LATIN-ENGLISH DICTIONARY. Re-arranged and Edited, in accordance with the modern Science of Philology, by Rev. E. A. DAYHAN and J. H. HESNILS. Small 4to. [In Preparation.

DU CHAILLU (PAUL B.). Land of the Midnight Sun; Summer and Winter Journeys through Sweden, Norway, Lepland, and Norttern Finland, with Descriptions of the liner Life of the Perple, their Manners and Customs, the Frimitive Actiquities, &c., &c. With Map and 255 Illustrations, 2 Vols. Swe.

and 235 likustrations, 2 Vols. Svo. 36c.

EQUATORIAL AFRICA, with Accounts of the
Gorilla, the Nest-building Apa, Chimpannee, Crocodile, &c. Illustrations. Svo. 21s.

Journey to Ashango Land; and Further Penetration into Equatorial Africa. Illustrations. Sec. 21s. DUFFERIN (LORD). Letters from High Latitudes; a Yachi

Voyage to Iceland, Jan Mayes, and Spitzbergen. Woodcuts. Post 8vo. 7s. 6d. Speeches and Addresses, Political and Literary.

delivered in the Heuse of Lords, in Canada, and elsewhere. Svo.

DUNCAN (Mason). History of the Royal Artillery. Compiled from the Original Records. Portraits. 2 Vols. Svo. 184.

piled from the Origical Records. Portraits. 2 Vols. Svc. 19s.

English in Spain; or, The Story of the War of Succession, 134-1340. Compiled from the Reports of the British Commissioners. With Illustrations. Svc. 15c.

DÜRER (Albert); A History of his Life and Works. By Moriz,
THACHEO, Translated from the German, Edited by PREDERICK A
EXVOX, Secretary of the Esyal Academy. With Portrait and Illustrations, 2 vols. Medium Evo. 42s,
EASTLAKE (Six Charles). Contributions to the Literature of

EASTLAKE (SIR CHARLES). Contributions to the Literature of the Fine Arts. With Memoir of the Author, and Selections from his Correspondence. By Lady Eastlake. 2 Vols. 8vo. 24s. EDWARDS (W. H.). Voyage up the River Amazon, including a

Fill WARDS (W. H.). Voyage up the River Amazon, including a Visit to Para. Post Svc. 2s.

ELDON'S (Lone) Public and Private Life, with Selections from the Private Park of the Private Par

ELGIN (Lord). Letters and Journals. Edited by Thronore Walnown. With Preface by Dean Stanley. Svo. 14s. ELLESMERE (Lord). Two Sieges of Vienna by the Turks,

Translated from the German. Peat Ser. 2s

ELLIS (W.). Madagascar Revisited. The Persecutions and

Heroic Sufferings of the Native Christians. Hustrations. Svo. 16s.

Memoir. By Hrs Sox. With his Character and

WORL BY REV. HINNEY ALLOW, D.D. Portrait, 8vo., 10s. 6c.

(ROBINSON) Poems and Fragments of Catulius. 16mo. 5s.

ELPHINSTONE (Hox. MOURISTUARY). History of India—the
Hindoo and Mahomedan Periods. Edited by PROFESSOR COWILL.

Hindoe and Mahomedan Periods. Édited by Professon Cowell, Map. Svo. 18s.

(H. W.). Patterns for Turning; Comprising Elliptical and other Figures cut on the Lathe without the use of any

Elliptical and other Figures ent on the Laths without the use of any Ornamental Check. With 70 Illustrations. Small 4to. 16s.

ELTON (CATA) and H. B. COTTERILL. Adventures and Discoveries Among the Lakes and Mountains of Eastern and Central Africa, With Mas and Illustrations. Sec. 314.

Africa. With Map and Illustrations. Sto. 21s.

ENGLAND. [See ASTRUE, CROKER, HUME, MARKHAM, SMITH, and STANDOFN.]

ESSAYS. ON CAPHEDRAIS. Edited with an Inter-Residue.

ESSAYS ON CATHEDRALS. Edited, with an Introduction.

By DRAW HOWSON. Spc. 12s.

FERGUSSON (JAMES). History of Architecture in all Countries

I. & H. Ancient and Mediseval. 63s. III. Indian & Eastern. 42s. IV. Modern. 31s. 6d. FERGUSSON (James). Rude Stone Monuments in all Countries; their Age and Uses. With 230 Illustrations. Medium 8vo. 24s.

Holy Sepulchre and the Temple at Jerusalem. Woodcuts. 8vo. 7s. 6d.

Temples of the Jews and other buildings in the Haram Area at Jerusalem. With Illustrations. 4to, 42s. FLEMING (Professor). Student's Manual of Moral Philosophy.

With Quotations and References. Post Svo. 7s. 6d.
FLOWER GARDEN. By REV. THOS. JAMES. Fcap. Svo. 1s.
FORBES (Carr.) British Burma and its People: Native

FORBES (CAPL.) British Burma and its People; Native Maners, Customs, and Religion. Cr. Svo. 1cs. 6d.

— (George). Electricity and its applications, as illustrated by the Park Schibition of Electricity. Stat. Receptor with additions.

by the Paris Exhibition of Electricity, 1881. Reprinted, with additifrem the 'Times.' Prot 8vo.

FORD (RIGHARD). Gatherings from Spain. Post 8vo. 3s. 6d.

FORSTER (John). The Farly Life of Lepsthern Swife. 1882.

FORSTER (John). The Early Life of Jonathan Swift. 1667-1711. With Portrait. Sec. 15s. FORSYTH (WILLIAM). Hortensius; an Historical Essay on the

FORSYTH (WILLIAM). Hortensius; an Historical Essay on the Office and Dutles of an Advesto. Binstrations. Svo. 7s. 6f. Novels and Novelists of the 18th Century, in

Hillustration of the Manners and Morals of the Ago. Post 8vo. 10s. 6d.

FRANCE (History OF). [See Jervis — Markham — Smith — Students — Tocqueville.]

FRENCH IN ALGIERS: The Soldier of the Foreign Lession—

and the Prisoners of Abd-el-Kadir. Translated by Lady Dury Gondon.
Post Svo. 2s.
FRERE (Sir Barrle). Indian Missions. Small Svo. 2s. 6d.

Eastern Africa as a Field for Missionary Labour. With Map. Crown Sec. 5s. Bengal Famine. How it will be Met and How to

Dengal Famine, How it will be not and How to Prevent Future Famines in India. With Maps. Crown Svo. 5s.

(M.). Old Deccan Days, or Hindoo Fairy Legends current in Seathern India, with Introduction by Sir Bartles France.

GALTON (F.). Art of Travel; or, Hints on the Shifts and Contivances available in Wild Countries. Woodcuts. Pest Svo. 7s. 6st. GEOGRAPHY. [See BUNBURY — CROKER — RICHARDSON — SMITH — STUDENTS'.]

GEOGRAPHICAL SOCIETY'S JOURNAL. (Published Yearly.)
GEORGE (ERREST). The Mosel; a Series of Twenty Etchings, with
Descriptive Letterpress. Imperial 4ts. 42s.
Loire and South of France: a Series of Twenty

Loire and South of France; a Series of Twenty Etabling, with Description Text, Follo. 42s. GERMANY (HISTORY OF). [See MARKHAM.]

GIBBON (EDWARD). History of the Decline and Fall of the Roman Empire. Edited by Milman, Guizor, and Dr. WM. SMITH. Maps. 8 Vols. 8vo. 80s.

The Student's Edition; an Epitome of the above work, locorporating the Researches of Recent Commentators, By Dr. WM. SMITE. Woodcuts. Post Svo. 7a. 6d. GIFFARD (EDWARD). Deeds of Naval Daring; or, Anecdotes of

the British Navy. Feap. 8vo. 3s. 6d.

GHLL (Carr. Wn). The River of Golden Sand. Narrative of a Jeurney through China to Burmab. With a Preface by Col. H. Yule, C.B. Maps and Illustrations. 2 Vols. 8vo. 30r. (Mrs.). Six Months in Ascension. An Unscientific Ac-

count of a Scientific Expedition. Map. Crown Svo. 9s. GLADSTONE (W. E.). Rome and the Newest Fashions in

GLADSTONE (W. E.), Rome and the Newest Fashions in Religion. Three Tracts. 8vo. 7s. 6s.

Gleanings of Past Years, 1843-78, 7 vols. Small

Sec. 2s. 64. each. I. The Threes, the Prince Genery, the Cabinet and Constitution, II. Personal and Literary. III. Historical and Speculative, IV. Foreign. V. and VI. Ecclesiastical, VII. Miscellanous, GLEIG (G. R.). Campaigns of the British Army at Washington

and New Orleans. Post 8vo. 2s.

Story of the Battle of Waterloo. Post 8vo. 3s. 6d.

Narrative of Sale's Brigade in Affighanistan. Post 8vo. 2s.

Life of Lord Clive. Post 8vo. 3s. 6d.
Sir Thomas Munro. Post 8vo. 3s. 6d.

GLYNNE (Sr. Streher R.). Notes on the Churches of Kent: With Freface by W. H. Gladstone, M.P. Illustrations. 870. 12s. GOLDSMITH'S (OLIVER) Works. Edited with Notes by Perran CUENDRIAM. Vigostes. 4 Vols. 870. 30s. GOJM (Srs. W.s. M.). (Commander-in-Chief in India, Constable

of the Tower, and Colonel of the Coldstream Guards, His Letters and Journals, 1799 to 1815. Edited by F. C. Carr Gomm. With Portrait, 8vc. 12t.

GORDON (SIR ALEX.). Sketches of German Life, and Scenes from the War of Liberation. Post 8vo. 3s. 6d. (Lapy Dury) Amber-Witch: A Trial for Witch-

craft. Post 8vo. 2s.

French in Algiers. 1. The Solder of the Foreign
Legion. 2. The Prisoners of Abd-el-Kadir. Post 8vo. 2s.
GRAMMARS. [See CURTUS; HALL; HUTTON; KING EDWARD;

GREECE (History of). [See Grove—Smith—Students',]

GREECE (HISTORY OF). [See GROTE—SMITH—STUDENTS'.]
GROTE'S (GEORGE) WORKS:—
HISTORY OF GREECE. From the Earliest Times to the close

of the generation contemporary with the Daulies I miles to land close of the generation contemporary with the Dauli of Alexander the Great Library Eddion. Portrait, Maps, and Plans. 10 Vols. 8vo. 120s. Cobinet Eddion. Portrait and Plans. 12 Vols. Post For, 6s. each. Pharo, and other Companions of Socrates. 3 Vols. 8vo. 45s. ARISTOTIE. With additional Essays. 8vo. 18c.

MINOR WORKS. Portrait. 8vo. 14s.

LETTERS ON SWIFEERLAND IN 1847. 6s.

Personal Life, Portrait, 8vo. 12e.

GROTE (Mrs.). A Sketch. By Lady Eastlake. Crown 8vo. 6s.
HALL'S (T. D.) School Manual of English Grammar. With
Coplous Exercises. 12no. 3s. 6f.
Manual of English Composition. With Copious Illustra-

tions and Practical Exercises. 12mo. 3s. ed.

Primary English Grammar for Elementary Schools.
Eassed on the larger work. 16mo. 1s.

- Child's First Latin Book, comprising a full Practice of Nouns, Proncuns, and Adjectives, with the Active Verbs. 16mo. HALLAM'S (HENRY) WORKS :-

THE CONSTITUTIONAL HISTORY OF ENGLAND, from the Accession of Henry the Seventh to the Death of George the Second, Library Edition, 3 Vols. Fro. 30s. Cabinet Edition, 3 Vols. Post Svo. 12s. Stadent Edition, Post Svo. 7s. 6s.

HISTORY OF EUROPE DURING THE MIDDLE AGES. Library Edition, 3 Vols. Sto. 30s. Cubines Estition, 3 Vols. Post Sto. 12s. Statent's Edition, Post Sto. 7s. 6st.

LITERARY HISTORY OF KUROPE DURING THE 16TH, 16TH, AND 17TH CRYTURIES. Library Edition, 3 Vols. Sto. 36s. Calonet Edition, 4 Vols. Post Svo. 16s.

(ARTHUR) Literary Remains; in Verse [and Prose. Portrait. Feap. 8vo. Sc. 6d.

HAMILTON (Andrew). Rheinsberg: Memorials of Frederick the Great and Prince Heary of Prussia. 2 Vols. Crown Svo. 21s. HART'S ARMY LIST. (Published Quarterly and Annually.)

HART'S ARMY LIST. (Published Quarterly and Annually.)
HATCH (W. M.). The Moral Philosophy of Aristotle, consisting of a translation of the Nichomachean Ethics, and of the Parasiting of the Nichomachean Ethics, and of the Parasiting of t

book. Svo. 12s.

HATHERLEY (Lond). The Continuity of Scripture, as Declared
by the Testimony of our Lord and of the Evangelists and Apostles.

Svo. 6s. Physicar Edition. Post Svo. 2s. 6d.

HAY (Sir J. H. Drumond). Western Barbary, its Wild Tribes and Savage Animals. Post Svo. 2s.

HAYWARD (A.). Sketches of Eminont Statesmen and Writers, with other Essays. Reprinted from the "Casterly Review." Contents Thiers, Binsarek, Cavor, Mettersich, Mentalenser, Mellourue, Wellesley, Byron and Tennysen, Venice, St. Simon, Sevigné, Du Definal, Itolikad House, Brawberry IIII. 2 Vols. 8cv. 28c.

HEAD'S (SIR FRANCIS) WORKS :THE ROYAL ENGINEER, Illustrations, 8vo. 12s.

THE ROYAL ENGINEER. HUSTRATIONS. SVO. 12s.
LIFE OF SIE JOHN BURGOYNE. Post 8vo. 1s.
RAPID JOURNEYS ACROSS THE PAMPAS. Post 8vo. 2s.

Bubles from the Brunnen of Nassau. Illustrations. Post 8vo. 72. 6d.

STOLERS AND POKERS; or, the London and North Western Railway, Post 8vo. 2s. HEBER'S (Bisnor) Journals in India. 2 Vols. Post 8vo. 7s.

Poetical Works, Portrait, Feap. 8vo. 3s. 6d.

— Hymns adapted to the Church Service. 16mo. 1s. 6d.
HERODOTUS. A New English Version. Edited, with Notes
and Essays, Historical, Ethoographics, and Geographical, by Caxov
RAWLENGON, SER H. RAWLENGON and SER J. G. WHERES

HERRIES (Rr. Hox, John). Memoir of his Public Life during the Reigns of Goorge III, and IV., William IV., and Queen Victoria, Founded on his Letters and other Unpublished Documents. By his

HERSCHEL'S (CAROLINE) Memoir and Correspondence. By

# FOREIGN HANDBOOKS.

HAND-BOOK—TRAVEL-TALK. English, French, German, and
Italian. 18mo. 3s. 6d.
HOLLAND AND BELGIUM. Map and Plans.

Fost Syo, Co.

The NORTH GERMANY and THE RHINE,

The Black Forest, the Hartz, Thiringerwald, Saxon Switzerland,
Rigers, the Giant Mountains, Tamus, Odenwald, Elass, and Lethringen. Map and Plans, Post Syo, 10s.

SUUTH GERMANY, — Wurtemburg, Bavaria,

Austria, Styria, Salzburg, the Alpa, Tyrol, Hungary, and the Damilys from Ulm to the Black Sea. Maps and Plans. Peter Syo. 10s.

PAINTING. German, Flemish, and Dutch Schools, Illustrations. 2 Vols. Post Syo. 2st.

UNES AND WORKS OF EARLY FLEMISH

LIVES AND WORKS OF EARLY FLEMISH
Painters. Illustrations. Post Svo. 72. 62.
SWITZERLAND, Alps of Savoy, and Piedmont.
In Two Parts. Maps and Plans. Post Svo. 10s.

FRANCE, Part I. Normandy, Brittany, the French
Alpa, the Loire, Soine, Garonne, and Pyrenees. Maps and Plans.
Post 8vo. 7s. 6d.

Part II. Central France, Awvergne, the Covennes, Burgundy, the Rhène and Basen, Pervence, Nines, Artes, Marseilles, the French Alps, Alssee, Lorraine, Champagne, de. Magnad Plans, Fest vo. 7, 64.
MEDITERIRANEAN—its Principal Islands, Clitica, Scaports, Harboures, and Breder Lendes. For travellers and yachtmare, with nearly 60 Maps and Plans. Part five. 20.
ALGERIA AND TUNIS. Aligiers. Constantine.

ALGERIA AND TUNIS. Algiers, Constantine,
Ocas, the Atlas Range. Mays and Plans. Post 8vo. 10s.
PARIS, and its Environs. Maps and Plans. 16mo.
3s. 6d.

Se 6t, SPAIN, Mardid, The Castiles, The Basque Provinces, Leon, The Asturias, Galleis, Estrematura, Andluisis, Ronda, Granada, Murcia, Valencia, Catalonia, Aragon, Navarre, The Balearic Islands, &c. &c. Maps and Flans. Fost Svc. 20c.

de. de. Maps and Flans. Post Sve. 20.

PORTUGAL, Lissony, Porto, Cinira, Mafra, &c. Map and Plan. Post Sve. 12t.

NORTH 1PTALY, Torin, Milan, Cremona, the Malian Lekes, Bergano, Breeda, Vermin, Mantas, Vicenza, Padas, Ferrars, Bologas, Ravensa, Rimidi, Flacenza, Geono, the Riviera, Venice, Parms, Medona, and Remagna. Maps and Plans. Post Sve. 10t.

CENTRAL IPTALY, Florence, Lucen, Tuscan, The.

Marches, Univia, &c. Maya and Plans. Pest Svo. 10s.

ROME AND ITS ENVIRONS. With 50 Maps and
Plans. Post Svo. 10s.

SOUTH ITALY, Naples, Pompeli, Herculaneum,

SOUTH ITALY, Naples, Pompett, Herculaneum, and Vesuvius. Maps and Plans. Post Fro. 10s.

PAINTING. The Italian Schools. Illustrations.

2 Vols. Post 8vo. 30s.

LIVES OF ITALIAN PAINTERS, FROM CIMABUR

to Bassaso, By Mrs. Jassason. Fortralis, Post 8vo. 12s.

NORWAY, Christiania, Bergen, Trondhjem. The

Fjelda and Fjerds. Maga and Plans. Post 8vo. 9s.

SWEDEN, Stockholm, Upsala, Gothenburg, the

Shores of the Baltie, 5s. Maya and Plan. Post 8vo. 6s.

© The Complete Work of Charles Darwin Online

HAND-BOOK-DENMARK, Sleswig, Holstein, Copenhagen, Jutland, Iceland, Mans and Plaus, Post Svo. 6s. RUSSIA, St. Petersburg, Moscow, Poland, and

FINLAND. Maps and Plans. Post Svo. 18s.

GREECE, the Ionian Islands, Continental Greece, Athens, the Peloponeeus, the Islands of the Egean Sea. Albania, Thessaly, and Macedonia. Maps, Plans, and Views. Post Sec. TURKEY IN ASIA-CONSTANTINOPLE, the Bosphorus, Darlamelles, Brouss, Plain of Troy, Crete, Cyprus, Smyrna, Ephesus, the Seven Churches, Coasts of the Black Ses, Armenia,

EGYPT, including Descriptions of the Course of Susz Canai, the Pyramids, the Peninsula of Sinai, the Oases, the Fyoon, &c. In Two Parts. Maps and Plans. Post Svo. 15c. HOLY LAND—STRIA, PALESTINE, Peninsula of Sinal, Edom, Syrian Deserts, Petra, Damascas; and Palmyra. Maps and Plans. Post Syo. 20s. \*\*\* Travelling Map of Palestine. In a

- INDIA. Maps and Plans. Post Svo. Part I. BOMBAY, 15s. Part II, MADRAS, 15s. Part III, BENGAL.

### ENGLISH HAND-BOOKS.

# HAND-BOOK-ENGLAND AND WALES. An Alphabetica

Hand-Book. Condensed into One Volume for the Use of Travellers.
With a Map. Post 8vo. 10s. MODERN LONDON, Maps and Plans, 16mo,

- ENVIRONS OF LONDON within a circuit of 20

miles. 2 Vols. Crown 8vo. 21s.
ST. PAUL'S CATHEDRAL. 20 Illustrations.

Crown Svo 10s, 6d. - EASTERN COUNTIES, Chelmsford, Harwich, Col-

Chester, Maldon, Cambridge, Ely, Newmarket, Bury St. Edmunds, Ipswitch, Woodbridge, Fellystowe, Lowestoft, Norwich, Yarmouth, Gromer, &c. Map and Plans. Post Svo. 12s. CATHEDRALS of Oxford, Peterborough, Norwich,

Ely, and Lincoln. With 90 Illustrations. Crown Svo. 21z. KENT, Canterbury, Dover, Ramsgate, Sheerness, Rechester, Chatham, Woolwich, Maps and Plans. Post 8vo. 7s. 6d.

SUSSEX, Brighton, Chichester, Worthing, Hastings, Lowes, Arundes, &c. Mays and Plans. Post Svo. 6s.

SURREY AND HANTS, Kingston, Croydon, Rei-

gate, Guildford, Dorking, Bexhill, Winchester, Southampton, New Forest, Portsmouth, ISLE OF WIGHT, &c. Maps and Plans. Post Svo. BERKS, BUCKS, AND OXON, Windsor, Eton, Reading, Aylesbury, Uxbridge, Wycombe, Henley, the City and Uni-versity of Oxford, Blenheim, and the Descent of the Thames. Mage

WILTS, DORSET, AND SOMERSET, Salisbury,

Chippenham, Weymouth, Sharborne, Wells, Bath, Bristol, Taunton, &c., Map. Post 8vo. 10s. DEVON, Exeter, Ilfracombe, Linton, Sidmouth,

Dawlish, Teignmouth, Plymouth, Devenport, Torquay. Maps and Plans. Post 8vo. 7s. 6d.

HAND-BOOK—CORNWALL, Launceston, Penzance, Falmouth the Lizard, Laun's End, &c. Mays. Post 8vo. 6s.

CATHEDRALS of Winehester, Salisbury, Exeter Wells, Chiebester, Eschaster, Casterbury, and 8t, Albans. With 130

Wells, Chichester, Eochaster, Canterbury, and St. Albans. With 130
Illustrations. 2 Vols. Cr. Svo. 36s. St. Albans separately, cr. Svo.
6s.
GLOUCESTER, HEREFORD, AND WORCESTER.

Circnester, Chellenham, Stroud, Tewkesbury, Leominster, Ross, Malvern, Kidderninster, Dudley, Bronsgrove, Evesham, Map. Post Svo.

CATHEDRALS of Bristol, Gloucester, Hereford,
Worcester, and Lichfield, With 50 Hustrations, Course for April

Worcester, and Liehfield. With 50 Illustrations. Crown 8vo. 16e.

NORTH WALES, Bangor, Carnarvon, Beaumaris, Snowdon, Lianberis, Dolgelly, Cader Idris, Conway, &c. Map. Post

SOUTH WALES, Monmouth, Llandaff, Merthyr, Vale of Neath, Pembroke, Carmarthen, Tenby, Swansea, The Wye, &c Man. Post Syo. 7s.

CATHEDRALS OF BANGOR, ST. ASAPH,
Llandaff, and St. David's. With illustrations, Post Svo. 15s.
NORTHAMPTONSHIRE AND RUTLAND—
Northampton, Peterborough, Toweester, Daventry, Market Harborough, Ketsteries. Wallingberonsh. The seasonship.

ham, Oakham. Maps. Post Svs. 7z. 6d.

\*\*ERBY, NOTTS, LEIGESTER, STAFFORD

Matlock, Bakewell, Chatsworth, The Peak, Burton, Hardwick, Dave
Dale, Ashbornes, Southwell, Manafield, Estiche, Burton, Esteviel, Mowbray, Wolverhampion, Lichfield, Walsall, Tamworth, Map.

Fost Svs. 2a.

Mowbray, Wolverhampton, Lichtfield, Walsall, Tamworth. Map.
Post Svo. 5.

SHROPSHIRE AND CHESHIRE, Shrewsbury, Ludlow, Eridgmorth, Oswestry, Chester, Crawe, Alderley, Stockport,

low, Bridgnorth, Ossweitry, Chester, Cares, Alferiery, Stockport, Birkenbead, Mapa and Plana, Post Svo.

LANCASHIRE, Warrington, Burry, Manchester, Liverpool, Burnley, Cilberce, Bellon, Blackborn, Wigas, Preston, Books, Backborn, Wigas, Preston, Books, Backborn, Southpark, Blackbord, de. Maya and Plana Foot Sve.

Liverpoot, Burnisty, Clitherce, Bolton, Blackburn, Wigau, Freston, Rochdale, Laneaster, Southport, Blackpool, de. Mays and Plans Post Svo. 7z. 62.
YORKSHIRE, Doncaster, Hull, Selby, Beverley, Scarborough, Whitiby, Harcogate, Ripon, Leeds, Wakefield, Bagand Plans, Post Svo. 12z.
Hallifax, Holderended, Shriffeld. May and Plans. Post Svo. 12z.

— CATHEDRALS of York, Ripon, Durham, Callisle, Chester, and Muchaster. With 60 Illustrations, 2 Vols. Cr. 8vo. 12t.
Chester, and Muchaster. With 60 Illustrations, 2 Vols. Cr. 8vo. 2tt.
ONGTHUMBERLIAND, New-castle, Durham State of Chester of C

WESTMORLAND AND CUMBERLAND—Lancaiter, Furness Abbey, Ambleside, Kendal, Windermere, Coniston, Keswick, Grammere, Ulswater, Carlisle, Cockermouth, Penrith, Appleby, Map. Post Svo.

Keswick, Graumer, Ulswater, Carliale, Cockermouth, Penrith, Appleby, Map. Post Svo.

\*\*\* MURRAY'S MAP OF THE LAKE DISTRICT, On CRIVAS. 2s. 6d.

— SCOTLAND, Edinburgh, Melrose, Kelso, Glasgow, Dunfries, Ayr, Stirling, Arras, The Civide, Ohan, Invessor, Lock

Dumfries, Ayr. String Assessable, deterowy beams to being the Lumond, Lock Kartine and Tronests, Caledonia Canal, Perk Dumbre, Aberleon, Breemar, Styr, California, Ross, Stiffer, Dumbre, Aberleon, Breemar, Styr, California, Ross, Stiffer, California, Canal, California, California,

HOME AND COLONIAL LIBRARY, A Series of Works CT.ASS A.

HISTORY, BIOGRAPHY, AND HISTORIC TALES. 1. SIEGE OF GIBRALTAR IL THE SIEGES OF VIENNA. By LOND ELLESMEER, 24.

THE WAYSIDE CROSS. 2. THE AMBER-WITCH.

S. CROMWELL AND BUNYAN

4. LIFE OF SIR FRANCIS DRAKE

& THE FRENCH IN ALGIERS

17. HISTORICAL ESSAYS. 7. THE FALL OF THE JESUITS 19. LIFE OF LORD CLIVE.

8. LIVONIAN TALES. 21. 19. NORTH - WESTERN RAIL-

20. LIFE OF MUNRO. By REV. G. 10. SALE'S BRIGADE.

15. LETTERS FROM MADRAS, By

CHARLES ST. JOHN. 3s. 64. 17. PAMPAS JOURNEYS. By Sta

18 GATHERINGS FROM SPAIN.

6. MOROCCO AND THE MOORS. 19. THE RIVER AMAZON.

7. LETTERS FROM THE BALTIC. 20, MANNERS & CUSTOMS OF

21. ADVENTURES IN MEXICO. МЕККОГТИ. 24. 9. THE WEST INDIES. By M. G.

10. SKETCHES OF PERSIA. By

THE LIBYAN DESERT. By

25. SIERRA LEONE. By A LADY. 14. MISSIONARY LIFE IN CAN-Ss. 6d. ADA. By REV. J. ASBOTT, 2s.

"." Each work may be had separately.

HOLLWAY (J. G.). A Month in Norway. Feap. 8vo. 2s. HONEY BEE. By REV. THOMAS JAMES. Feap. 8vo. 1s. HOOK (DRAS). Church Dictionary. 8vo. 16s.

--- (Theodore) Life. By J. G. Lockhart. Feap. 8vo. 1s.

HOPE (A. J. Beresford). Worship in the Church of England.

8vo. 9s. cr. Powday Scieticas from. 8vo. 2s. 6d.

BYO. 81, cr. Popular Stiteticus from. Svo. 22, 66.

HORACE; a New Edition of the Text, Edited by DRAN MILMAN,

With 100 Woodents. Grow Svo. 7a. 66.

HOUGHTON'S (Loss) Monographs, Personal and Social. With

Potraits. Crown Svo. 16, 66.

PORTICAL WORKS, Collected Edition. With Portrait. 2 Vols. Frap. 8ro. 12s. HOUSTOUN (Mrs.). Twenty Years in the Wild West of Ireland,

or Life in Consaught. Post Sro. 9r.

HUME (The Student's). A History of England, from the Invasion of Julius Consut to the Revolution of 1898. New Edition, revised,
corrected, and continued to the Treaty of Scriin, 1878. By J. 26.

BREWER, M.A. With T Coloured Mane & 70 Woodcute, Post Ser. 2, 26.

HUTCHINSON (GEN.). Dog Breaking, with Odds and Ends for those who love the Dog and the Gun. With 40 Illustrations. Crown Syo. 7s. 6d., HUTTON (H. E.). Principla Graca; an Introduction to the Study

HUTTON (H. E.). Principle Greeca; an Introduction to the Study of Greek. Comprehending Grammar, Delectus, and Exercise-book, with Vocabularies. Stath Edition. 17mo. 3s. 6d.

HYMNOLOGY, DICTIONARY OF. See JULIAN.

INDIA. [See Elphinstone, Hand-book, Temple.]

IRBY AND MANGLES Travels in Egypt, Nubia, Syria, and the Holy Land. Post Syr. 2s.

the Holy Land. Post 8vo. 2s.

JAMESON (Mas.). Lives of the Early Italian Painters—
and the Progress of Painting in Italy—Cimabue to Bassano. With
50 Portraits. Post 8vo. 12s.

JAPAN. [See Bird, Mossman, Mounsny, Reed.] JENNINGS (Louis J.). Field Paths and Green Lanes in Surrey

and Sussex. Illustrations. Post Svo. 10x.64.

Rambles among the Hills in the Peak of Derbyshire and on the Suth Downs. With sketches of people by the way. With 28 Illustrations. Post Svo. 12x.

JERVIS (Rsv. W. H.). The Gallican Church, from the Concordat of Bologna, 1514, 4s the Revolution. With an Introduction, Portraits, 2 Vois, Svo. 238.

JESSE (Edward), Gleanings in Natural History, Fep. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
JEN-BLAKE (REV. T. W.).
Life in Faith: Sermons Preached
at Oblethnam and Rug Feg., 8vo. 4s. 6d.
JOHNSON'S (Ds. Sanuka) 1 fe. By James Boswell, Including
the Tour to the Hieldides. Edited by Ms. Gooran, Ivol. Royal

JUHANUN'S (Dr. Saruer) 1 fe. By James Boswell. Inclinding the Tour to the Hebrides. Edited by Ma. Chorra. 1 Vol. Royal JULIAN (Rav. John J.). A Dictionary of Hymnology. A Companion to Existing Hymn Books. Setting forth the Origin and

History of the Hymns centamond in the Principal Hymnals used by the Churches of England, Scotland, and Irelaud, and various Dissenting Boddes, with Notices of their Authors. Post Svo. In the Irelaudy of the Principal Conference of the Principal Conferenc

KERR (R. Malcolk). Student's Blackstone. A Systematic Abridgment of the entire Commentaries, adapted to the present state of the law. Post 8vo. 7s. 6d. KING EDWARD Viru's Latin Grammar. 12mo. 2s. 6d.

First Latin Book, 12mo. 2s. 6d. KING (R. J.). Archwology, Travel and Art; being Sketches and

RING (n. J.). Arcamology, travel and Art; being excitence and Sindles, Historical and Descriptive, 8vo. 12s. KIRK (J. Fosten). History of Charles the Bold, Duke of Burgundy, Pertrait, 3 Vols. 8vo. 4ss. KIRKES, Handbook of Physiology. Edited by W. Morrant

BAKES, F.R.C.S. With 400 Hustrations. Post St.

KUGLER'S Handbook of Painting.—The Italian Schools. Revised and Remodelled from the most recent Researches. By LADY EASTLARS, With 160 Illustrations, 2 Vols. Crown Svo. 30s.

Handbook of Painting.—The German, Flemish, and

United Schools. Revised and in part re-written. By J. A. Chows. With 90 libratrations, 2 Vols. Crown Svo. 24s.

LANE (E. W.). Account of the Manners and Customs of Modern Egyptians. With Illustrations, 2 Vols. Post Svo. 12s.

LAWRENCE (Siz Gro.), Reminiscences of Forty-three Years' Service in India; including Captivities in Cabel among the Affghaus and among the Bikhy, and a Narrative of the Mutiny in Enjustana. Crown 870-105. doi:

LAYARD (A. H.). Nineveh and its Remains; a Popular Account of Researches and Discoveries amidst the Ruins of Assyria. With Hustrations. Post 8vo. 7s. 6d.

Ninoveh and Babylon; A Popular Account of Discoveries in the Roins, with Travels in Armenia, Kurdistan and the Desert, during a Scoop Expedition to Assyria. With Illustration Post Sys. 7s. 6d.

LEATHES (STANLEY). Practical Hebrew Grammar. With the Hebrew Test of Genesis L.-vi., and Paslms L.-vi. Grammatical Analysis and Vocabulary. Post 870, 7s. 64. LENNEP (Rav. H. J. Van). Missionary Travels in Asia Minor.

With Hustrations of Biblical History and Archaeology. With Map and Woodcuts. 2 Vels. Post Svo. 24s.

Mcdern Customs and Manners of Bible Lands in Historation of Seriptore. With Coloured Maps and 309 Hustrations.

2 Vols. Svc. 21s.

LESLIE (C. R.), Handbook for Young Painters. Iduatrations.

Post Svc. 7s. 64.

Life and Works of Sir Joshua Reynolds. Portraits.

LETO (Postposto). Eight Months at Rome during the Vatican

Conneil. 8vo. 12.

LETTERS FROM THE BALTIO. By a LADY. Post 8vo. 2s.

MAPRAS. By a LADY. Post 8vo. 2s.

MADRAS. By a LADT. Post Svo. 2s.

Sierra Leone. By a LADT. Post Svo. 3s. 6d.

LEVI (Leone). History of British Commerce: and Economic

Progress of the Nation, from 1703 to 1876, 2002. 106.

LEX SALICA; the Tem Texts with the Glosses and the LexRosentate. Symptotally edited by J. H. Henne, Whyth Notes on
LiDbella (Dana). Students History of Rome, from the carriest
Times to the establishment of the Empire, Woodcut. Part Eve. 176.

LISPINGS from LOW LATTUDES; et., the Journal of the Hom.

© The Complete Work of Charles Darwin Online

LIVINGSTONE (Dr.). First Expedition to Africa, 1849-56.

Second Expedition to Africa, 1858-64. Illustra-Last Journals in Central Africa, from 1865 to

Portrait, 8vo. 15s.
LIVINGSTONIA. Journal of Adventures in Exploring Lake Nyassa, and Establishing a Missionary Settlement there. By E. D. Youso, R.N. Maps. Post Svo. 7s. 6d. LIVONIAN TALKS. By the Author of "Letters from the

LOCKHART (J. G.). Ancient Spanish Ballads. Historical and Life of Theodore Hook. Fcap. Svo. 1s.

LOUDON (Mns.). Gardening for Ladies. With Directions and

Geology. With Illustrations. 2 Vols. Svo. 32s. - Student's Elements of Geology. With Table of British - Life, Letters, and Journals. Edited by his sister-in-law.

- (K. M.). Geographical Handbook of Ferns. With Tables LYTTON (Lond). A Memoir of Julian Fane. With Portrait. Post

McCLINTOCK (SIR L.). Narrative of the Discovery of the

MACDOUGALL (Con.). Modern Warfare as Influenced by Modern

MACGREGOR (J.). Rob Roy on the Jordan, Nile, Red Sea, Gen-

MAINE (SIR H. SUMNER). Ancient Law: its Connection with the

- Village Communities in the East and West, Svo. 12s. - Early History of Institutions, 8vo. 12s.

MALCOLM (SIR JOHN), Sketches of Persia, Post 8vo. 3s. 6d. MANSEL (DEAN). Limits of Religious Thought Examined. - Letters, Lectures, and Reviews. 8vo. 12s.

MANUAL OF SCIENTIFIC ENQUIRY. For the Use of Travellers. Edited by REV. R. Mark. Post Svo., St. 6d. (Published by

MARCO POLO. The Book of Ser Marco Polo, the Venetian, Concerning the Kingdoms and Marvels of the East. A new English Version. Illustrated by the light of Oriental Writers and Modern Travels, By Cot. Hasar Yuls. Maps and Illustrations, 2 Yols. Medium 8vo. 63s.

MARKHAM (Mas.). History of England. From the First Invasion by the Romans. Woodcuts. 12mo. 2s. 6d.

History of France. From the Conquest by the

Gauls. Woodcuts. 12mo. 3s. 6d.

History of Germany. From the Invasion by Marius.

Woodcuts. 12mo. 3s. 6d.

(CLEMENTS E.). A Popular Account of Peruvian
Bark and its introduction into Eritish India. With Maps. Post
8vo. 14s.
MARRYAT (JOSEPH). History of Modern and Mediswal Pottery

MARRYAT (Josuph). History of Modern and Mediseval Pottery and Porcelain. With a Description of the Manufacture, Plates and Woodcuts, 8vo. 42s. MARSH (C. P.) Student's Munual of the English Language.

MARSH (G. P.). Student's Manual of the English Language, Edited with Additions. By Dz. Wz. Szurz. Post Svo. 72, 65. MASTERS in English Theology. Lectures delivered at King's

College, London, in 1877, by Eminent Divises. With Introduction by Canon Barry. Post Svo. 7s. 6s.

MATTHLE'S GREEK GREEKER. Abridged by BLOMFIELD,

MAUREL'S Character, Actions, and Writings of Wellington.
Fean See 1.6

Yeap. Svo. 1s. 6d.

MAYO (Lond). Sport in Abyssinia; or, the Mareb and Tack-azze. With Illustrations. Crown Svo. 12s.

MEADE (Hon. Herbert). Ride through the Disturbed Districts of New Zealand, with a Cruise among the South Sea Islands. With Illustrations. Medium Svo. 12s.

MELVILLE (HERMANN). Marquesas and South Sea Islands.
2 Vols. Post Syo. 7s.
MEREDITH (Mus. CHARLES). Notes and Shetchers V. C. C. C.

MEREDITH (Mrs. CHARLES). Notes and Sketches of New South Wales. Post Sec. 2s. MICHAEL ANGELO, Sculptor, Painter, and Architect. His Life

and Works. By C. Hears Wilsox. With Pertrait. Illustrations and Index. 8vo. 15.

MIDDLETON (CHas. H.) A Descriptive Catalogue of the

(CHAS. II.) A Descriptive Catalogue of the Etched Work of Rembrands, with Life and Introductions. With Explanatory Cuts. Medium Svo. 31s. 6d.

MILLINGTON (REV. T. S.). Signs and Wonders in the Land of Ham, or the Ten Playues of Egypt, with Amrient and Modern Illustrations. Woodcuts. Post Syn. 12, 6d. MILMAN'S (DEAN) WORKS :—

HISTORY OF THE JEWS, from the earliest Period down to Modern Times. 3 Vols. Post Syo. 18s.

EARLY CHRISTIANITY, from the Birth of Christ to the Abolition of Pagusian in the Roman Empire. S vols. Post Svo. 18s. LATIN CHRISTIANITY, including that of the Popes to the Pontificate of Nickoles, N. 9 vols. Post Svo. 54s. HANDSON TO ST. PAUL'S CATHLERAL. Woodcuts. Crown

HANDBOOK TO ST. PAUL'S CATHEDRAL. Woodcuts. Crown Svo. 10s.6d. QUINT HORATH FLACEI OPERA. Woodcuts. Sm. 8vo. 7s. 6d. FALL OF JERUSALEM. Fear. 8vo. 1s.

© The Complete Work of Charles Danwin Online

MILMAN'S (CAPT, E. A.) Wayside Cross. Post 8vo. 2s.

- I(BISHOP, D.D.) Life. With a Selection from his Correspondence and Journals. By his Sister. Map. 8vo. 12s. MIVART (Sr. George). Lessons from Nature; as manifested in Mind and Matter, Svo. 15s.

The Cat, An Introduction to the Study of Backboned Animals, especially Mammals, With 200 Illustrations, Medium Svo.

MOORE (THOMAS). Life and Letters of Lord Byron. Cabinet Edition. With Plates. 6 Vols. Feap. 8vo. 18s.; Popular Edition, with Portraits. Royal 8vo. 7s. 6d.

MORESBY (Capt.), R.N. Discoveries in New Guinea, Polynesia, Tortes Straits, &c., dering the cruise of H.M.S. Basilisk. Map and Illustrations. Soc. 15s.

MOSSMAN (SAMUEL). New Japan; the Land of the Rising Sun;

MOTLEY (J. L.). History of the United Netherlands: from the Death of William the Silent to the Twelve Years' Truce, 1609, Portraits.

Life and Death of John of Barneveld,
Advotate of Helland. With a View of the Primary Causes and
Movements of the Thirty Years' War. Illustrations. 2 Yels.

MOZLEY (CANON). Treatise on the Augustinian doctrine of Predestination. Crown 8vo. 9s. MUIRHEAD (Jas.), The Vaux-de-Vire of Maistre Jean Le Houx.

MUNRO'S (GENERAL) Life and Letters. By REV. G. R. GLEIG.

MURCHISON (SIR RODERICK). Siluria; or, a History of the Oldest Rocks containing Organic Remains. Map and Plates, 8vo. 18s.

Memoirs, With Notices of his Contemporaries,

MURRAY (A. S.), A History of Greek Sculpture, from the Earliest MUSTERS' (CAPT.) Patagonians; a Year's Wanderings over Untrodden Ground from the Straits of Magellan to the Rio Neuro.

NAPIER (Sir Wm.). English Battles and Sieges of the Peninsular War. Portrait. Post Svo. 9s. NAPOLEON AT FONTAINEBLEAU AND BLBA. Journal of

Occurrences and Notes of Conversations, By Sig Ngir, Camputar Portrait, Svo. 15s.

NARES (Str Grorge), R.N. Official Report to the Admiralty of the recent Arctic Expedition, Map. Svo. 2s. 6d. NAUTICAL ALMANAC (THE). (By Authority.) 2s. 6d. NAVY LIST. (Monthly and Quarterly.) Post 8vo.

NEW TESTAMENT. With Short Explanatory Commentary,

By Anonomacox Churrox, M.A., and the Bishop op Sr. Davin's. With 110 authentic Views &c. 2 Vols. Crown Svo. 21s. board.

- NEWTH (Samuer). First Book of Natural Phil.sophy; an Introduction to the Study of Statics, Dynamics, Hydrawatics, Light, Heat, and Sound, with numerous Examples. Small 8vo. 8s. 6d.

  Elements of Mechanics, including Hydrostatics,
- with numerous Examples. Small Svo. Sc. 6d.

  Mathematical Examples. A Graduated Series
  of Elementary Examples in Arithmetic, Algebra, Logarithms, Trigonometry, and Mechanics. Small Svo. Sc. 6d.
  NICOLAS (Six Hanxis). Historic Pecrage of England. Exhi-

biting the Origin, Descent, and Present State of every Title of Peerage which has existed in this Country since the Conquest. By William Courtneys. 8vo. 30s.

NILE GLEANINGS. See Stuarz.

NIMROD, On the Chace-Turf-and Road. With Portrait and

NIRINDLY OB the Unace—turn—and Kond, with rotrait and Plates, Crows See, Se, Or with Coloured Plates, Fa 6d. NORDHOFF (Chas). Communistic Societies of the United States; including Detailed Accounts of the Shakers, the Amana, Oneids, Belbell, Aurora, fearins and ocher existing Societies. With

40 Hustrations, Svo. 15s.

NORTHCOTE'S (Sir Jurs) Notebook in the Long Parliament.

Containing Proceeding during its First Session, 1640. Edited, with
a Memoir, by A. H. A. Hamilton. Crown Svo. 9s.

a Memotr, by A. H. A. Hamilton. Crown Sec. 9.
OWEN (Likut. Col.). Principles and Practice of Modern Artillery, including Artillery Material, Gennery, and Organisation and Use of Artillery in Warfare. With Illustrations. Sec. 15s.

OXENHAM (Rzv. W.). English Notes for Latin Elegiacs; designed for early Proficients in the Art of Latin Versification, with Prefatory Rules of Composition in Elegiac Metra. 12mo. 3s. 6d.

PAGET (Lond Gronon). The Light Cavalry Brigade in the Crimon Containing Extracts from Journal and Correspondence. Map. Crown Svo. 10c. 6d.

PALGRAVE (R. H. I.). Local Taxation of Great Britain and Ireland. 8vo. 5r. PALLISER (Mss.). Mottoes for Monuments, or Epitaphs selected

for General Use and Study. With Illustrations. Crown svo. 7s. 6d.
PARIS (Dn.) Philosophy in Sport made Science in Earnest;
or, the First Principles of Namural Philosophy insulated by aid of the
Toys and Sports of Youth. Woodents. Post Svo. 7s. 6d.

PARKYNS' (Massyrath) Three Years' Residence in Abyssinia: with Travels in that Country. With Illustrations. Post Svo. 7s. 6d. PEEL'S (Str. Robert) Memoirs. 2 Vols. Post Svo. 15s. 6b. PENN (Richard). Maxims and Hints for an Angler and Chess-

PENN (RICHARD). Maxims and Hints for an Augier and Chessplayer. Woodents. Feap. 8vo. 1s. PERCY (John, M.D.). Maxallungt. Fuel, Wood, Peat, Coal,

Charcol, Coke. Fire-Clays. Disstrations. Svo. 30s.

Lead, including part of Silver. Illustrations. Svo. 30s.

Silver and Gold. Part I. Illustrations. Svo. 30s.

PERRY (Rsv. Casos). Life of St. Hugh of Avalon, Bishop of

PERRY (Rev. Canon). Life of St. Hugh of Avalon, Bishop of Lincole. Post Svo. 10z. 62.

History of the English Church. See Students' Manuals. PHILLIPS (Samuel). Literary Essays from "The Times." With

Portrait, 2 Vois, Frap., Svo., 7s.
PIGAFETTA (FILIPPO). The Kingdom of Congo, and of the Surrousing Countries. Translated and edited by Mandaurie Horon-pasow. With Preface by Sur. F., Buxoox. Map., Svo., 192. 6d.
POLLOCK. (C. P.). A book of Family Prayers, Selected from

POLLOCK (C. E.). A book of Family Prayers, Selected from the Liturgy of the Church of England, 10me, 3s. 6s.

- POPE'S (ALEXANDER) Works. With Introductions and Notes, by REV. WHITWELL ELWIN, and W. J. COURTHOPE. Vols. I., IL, III., PORTER (REV. J. L.). Damascus, Palmyra, and Lebanon. With
- Travels among the Glant Cities of Bashan and the Hauran. Map and PRAYER-BOOK (ILLUSTRATED), with Borders, Initials, Vig-nettes, &c. Edited, with Noves, by REV. TROS. JAMES. Medium
- 8vo. 18s, cloth ; 31s, 6d. calf ; 36s, moreco,
- PRINCESS CHARLOTTE OF WALES, A Brief Memoir, With Selections from her Correspondence and other unpublished Papers. By Lady Ross Wassall, With Pertrait, Syc. Sc. 6d.
- PRIVY COUNCIL JUDGMENTS in Ecclesiastical Cases relating to Doctrine and Discipline. With Historical Introduction, by G. C. BRODSICK and W. H. FREMANTIN. Svo. 10s. 6d.
- PSALMS OF DAVID. With Notes Explanatory and Critical by the bean of Wells, Canon Elliett, and Gason Cock. Medium 870, 16, 66.

  PUSB 1N BOOTS. With 12 Illustrations. By Otto Speckter.

  16mo, 16, 64. Or coloured, 24, 64.
- QUARTERLY REVIEW (THE). 8vo. 6s. RAE (EDWARD). Country of the Moors. A Journey from Tripoli to Barbary to the Holy City of Kairwan. Map and Etchings. Crown
- The White Sea Peninsula, Journey to the White Sea, and
- RASSAM (HORMUZD). British Mission to Abyssinia, Illustrations, 2 Vols, 8vo. 28s. RAWLINSON'S (CANON) Herodotus, A New English Ver-
- sion, Edited with Notes and Essays, Mans and Woodcut, 4 Vols. Svo. 48s.
- Asia, Map. 8vo. 12s. REED (Sir E. J.) Iron-Clad Ships; their Qualities, Performances,
- Letters from Russia in 1875. 8vo. 5c.
- Japan : Its History, Traditions, and Religions. Narrative of a Visit in 1879. Illustrations. 2 Vols. Syo. 28s. REJECTED ADDRESSES (THE). By JAMES AND HORACE SMITH.
- REMBRANDT. See MIDDLETON. REYNOLDS' (SIR JOSHUA) Life and Times. By C. R. LESLIE,
- RICARDO'S (DAVID) Political Works. With a Notice of his Life and Writings. By J. R. M'CULLOCH. Svo. 16s RIPA (FATHER). Thirteen Years at the Court of Peking. Post
- ROBERTSON (CANON). History of the Christian Church, from the
- ROBINSON (Rgy, Dg.). Biblical Researches in Palestine and the Adjacent Regions, 1838-52, Maps, 3 Vols. Svo. 42e.

ROBINSON (Wm.) Alpine Flowers for English Gardens. With 70 Illustrations, Crown Svo. 7s. 6d. ROBSON (E. R.). SCHOOL ARCHITECTURE. Remarks on the

Planning, Designing, Building, and Furnishing of School-houses ROME (HISTORY OF). See GIBBON-LIDDELL-SMITH-STUDENTS'.

8 vols. Syo. 20s, each. Half morocco, 28s, each. RUXTON (GEO. F.). Travels in Mexico; with Adventures among Wild

ST. JOHN (CHARLES). Wild Sports and Natural History of the Highlands of Scotland. Illustrated Edition. Crown 8vo. 15s. Cheep

(BAYLE) Adventures in the Libyan Desert. Post Svo. 2s. SALDANHA (DUKE OF). See CARNOTA. SALE'S (SIR ROBERT) Brigade in Affghanistan. With an Account of

the Defence of Jellalahad. By REV. G. R. GLEIG. Post Svo. 2s. SCEPTICISM IN GEOLOGY; and the Reasons for It. An

SCHIEGE IN CHAPTERVIL 1 mm of REMSONS FOR H. All assembles of fast from Nature combining to orfish the theory of SCOTT (Sin Gillere). Lectures on the Rise and Development of Medical Architectures. Delivered at the Reyal Academy. With the Collistrations 2 Vols. Medium 8vo. 42.
SCHLIEBANN (Dr. HERSEN). Troy and Its Remains. A Narra-

Ancient Mycense and Tiryns. With 500 Illustrations. Medium 8vo. 50s

- Ilios; the City and Country of the Trojans, SCHOMBERG (GENERAL). The Odystey of Homer, rendered

into English blank versa Rocks I-XII. Svo. 12s.

SELBORNE (Lond). Notes on some Passages in the Liturgical SHADOWS OF A SICK ROOM. Preface by Canon Liddon.

SHAH OF PERSIA'S Diary during his Tour through Europe in

SHAW (T. B.). Manual of English Literature. Post Svo. 7s. 6d. - Specimens of English Literature. Selected from the SHAW (Robert). Visit to High Tartary, Yarkand, and Kashgar

SIERRA LEONE; Described in Letters to Friends at Home. By SIMMONS (CAPT.). Constitution and Practice of Courts-Mar-

tial, Svo. 15s.

SMILES' (SANUEL, LL.D.) WORKS :-

BRITISH ENGINERRS; from the Earliest Period to the death of the Stephenson. With Illustrations. 5 Vols. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d. each, Life of Googe Stephenson. Post 8vo. 3s. 6d. Life of a Scotch Naturalist (Thos. Edward). Illustrations.

Crown 8vo. 10. 62.

LIPE OF A SCOTCH GEOLOGIST AND BOTANISP (ROBERT DICK).

Illustrations. Crown 8vo. 12s.

Highestrations. Crown Svo. 12s, Huggerovs in Excelent Annual Research, Crown Svo. 7s, 6d, Self-Help. With Illustrations of Conduct and Perseverance. Post Svo. 6s. Or in French, 5s.

CHARACTER. A Book of Noble Characteristics. Post 8vo. 6s.
THERT. A Book of Domestic Counsel. Post 8vo. 6s.
DET. With Illustrations of Courace, Patience, and Endurance.
Post 8vo. 6s.
INDUSTRIAL BIOGRAPHY; or, Iron Workers and Tool Makers.

POST SYO. 68.

BOY'S VOYAGE ROUND THE WORLD. Illustrations. Post Syo. 68.

SMITH (Dr. Grorge) Student's Manual of the Geography of India.
Post Syo.

Life of John Wilson, D.D. (Bombay), Missionary and Philanthropist. Portrait. Post 8vo. 9s.
 (PRILIP). History of the Ancient World, from the Creation to the Fall of the Roman Empire, A.D. 476. 3 Vols. 8vo. 3iz. 6d.

SMITH'S (Dr. Wm.) DICTIONARIES:-

DIOTIONARY OF THE BIBLE; its Antiquities, Biography, Geography, and Natural History. Histrations. 3 Vols. 8vo. 105... Concess Bible Dictionary. With 300 Illustrations. Medium 8vo. 71s.

SMALLER BIBLE DICTIONARY. With Illustrations. Post 8vo. 7s, 6s. Christian Antiquities. Comprising the History, Insti-

Christian Antiquities. Comprising the History, Institutions, and Astiquities of the Christian Church. With Illustrations. 2 Vols. Medium 8vo. 31, 13s. 6d. Christian Biography, Laterature, Sects, and Doctrines:

CHAIRTIAN DIGGRAPHY, LITERATURE, SECTS, AND DOCTRINES; from the Times of the Apostles to the Age of Charlemagne. Medium Svo. Vols. I. & II. 31. & d. each. (To be completed in 4 Vols.)

Greek and Roman Antiquities. With 500 Illustrations.

Medium Svo. 28s.

GREEK AND ROMAN BIOGRAPHY AND MYTHOLOGY. With 600
Illustrations. 3 Vols. Medium Svo. 4f. 4s.

GREEK AND ROMAN GEOGRAPHY. 2 Vols. With 500 Illustra-

tions, Medium 8vo. 56r.

ATLAS OF AKCIENT GEOGRAPHY—BIBLICAL AND CLASSICAL.

ATLAS OF ARCIENT GEOGRAPHY—BIBLICAL AND CLASSICAL.
Folio, 61. 6.
CLASSICAL DICTIONARY OF MYTHOLOGY, BIOGRAPHY, AND
GEOGRAPHY, 1 Vol. With 750 Woodcuts. Syo. 182.

SMALLER CLASSICAL DICTIONARY. With 200 Woodcuts. Crown 8vo. 7s.6d. SMALLER GREEK AND ROMAN ANTIQUITIES. With 200 Wood-

SMALLER CHEEK AND ROMAN ANTIQUITIES. With 200 Woodcuts. Crown Svo. 7.6 d. COMPLETE LATIN-ENGLISH DICTIONARY. With Tables of the Roman Calendar, Measures, Weights, and Money. Svo. 21s. SMALLER LATIN-ENGLISH DICTIONARY. 12mo. 7s. 6d. SMITH'S (Ds. WM.) DICTIONARIES-continued,

COPIOUS AND CRITICAL ENGLISH-LATIN DICTIONARY, 8vo. 21s. SMALLER ENGLISH-LATIN DICTIONARY. 12mo. 7s. 6d. SMITH'S (DR. WM.) ENGLISH COURSE :-

PRIMARY ENGLISH GRAMMAR. 16mo. 1s.

MANUAL OF ENGLISH COMPOSITION. With Copious Illustrations and Practical Exercises 12mo. Se 6d. PRIMARY HISTORY OF BRITAIN, 12mo, 2s. 6d.

SCHOOL MANUAL OF MODERN GROGRAPHY, PHYSICAL AND A SMALLER MANUAL OF MODERN GEOGRAPHY. 16mo. 2s. 6d.

SMITH'S (DR. WM.) FRENCH COURSE :-

FRENCH PRINCIPIA. Part I. A First Course, containing a Grammar, Deicetus, Exercises, and Vocabularies. 12mo. 3s. 6d.
Appendix to French Principle. Part I. Containing additional Exercises, with Examination Papers. 12mo, 2s. 6d. FRENCH PRINCIPIA. Part II. A Reading Book, containing

History of France. With Grammatical Question, Notes and copions History of France. With Grammatical Question, Notes and copions Etymological Dictionary. 12mo. 4s. 6d.

France Princepta. Part III. Prose Composition, containing

a Systematic Course of Exercises on the Syntax, with the Principal STUDENT'S FRENCH GRAMMAR. By C. HERON-WALL, With SMALLER GRAMMAR OF THE PRENCH LANGUAGE, Abridged

from the above. 12mo, 3r, 6d SMITH'S (Dn. Ww.) GERMAN COURSE :-

Greman Principle. Part I. A First German Course contain-

ing a Grammur, Delector, Exercise Book, and Vocabularies, 19ma, Sa 64. GERMAN PRINCIPIA. Part II. A Reading Book : containing History of Germany, With Grammatical Questions, Notes, and Dictionary, 12mo, 3s, 6d, PRACTICAL GERMAN GRAMMAR, Post 8vo. 3s. 6d;

SMITH'S (DR. WM.) ITALIAN COURSE :-

ITALIAN PRINCIPIA. An Italian Course, containing a Grammar.

ITALIAN PRINCIPIA. Part II. A First Italian Reading Book, containing Fables, Aprolotes, History, and Passages from the best

Italian Authors, with Grammatical Questions, Notes, and a Copious Etymological Dictionary. By Stonon Ricci. 12mo. 3s. 6d. SMITH'S (Dr. WM.) LATIN COURSE ...

THE YOUNG BEGINNER'S FIRST LATIN BOOK : Containing the

for Young Children, 12mo, 2s, THE YOUNG BEGINNER'S SECOND LATIN BOOK: Containing an

for Young Children, 12mo, 2s.

SMITH'S (Dr. WM.) LATIN COURSE-continued.

PRINCIPIA LATINA. Part I. First Latin Course, containing a Grammar, Delectus, and Exercise Book, with Vocabularies, 12mo. 3s.6d.

\*s.\* In this Edition the Cases of the Nouns, Adjectives, and Pronouns

are arranged both as in the osdinant Granklans and as in the Portice School Frankes, together with the corresponding Exercises.

APPINDIX TO PRINCIPLA LATINA, Part I, ; being Additional Exercises, with Examination Papers. 12mo. 2s. 6d.

PRINCIPLA LATINA. Part II. A Reading-book of Mythology,

Principle Leating. Part II. A Reading-book of Mythology, Geography, Roman Antiquities, and History. With Notes and Dictionary. 12mo. 3s. 6d. Principle Leating. Part III. A Poetry Book. Hexameters

and Pentameters Edog, Oridians: Latin Frendy, 12no, 3s. ed.
PRINCIPIA LATERA, Part IV. Prose Composition. Rules of
Synthe Examples, Explanations of Synonyma, and Exercises
in the Synthe Examples, Explanations of Synonyma, and Exercise
PRINCIPIA LATERA, Part V, Short Tales and Anocdotes for
Translation to Latin. 12no. 3s.

Translation into Latin, 12mo. 3s.

LATIN-ENGLISH VOCABULARY AND FIRST LATIN-ENGLISH
DICTIONARY FOR PILEDBUS, CORNELIUS NEPOS, AND CREAR, 12mo, 3s.6d.
STUDENT'S LATIN GRAMMAR. For the Higher Forms. Post

STUDENT'S LATIN GRAMMAR. For the Higher Forms. Post 8vo. 6s. SMALLER LATIN GRAMMAR. For the Middle and Lower Forms. 12mo. 3a, 6d.

TACITUS, Germanis, Agricola, &c. With English Notes. 12mo. 3s. 6s.

SMITH'S (Dr. WM.) GREEK COURSE:-

INITIA GRECA. Part I. A First Greek Course, containing a Grammar, Delectes, and Exercise-book. With Vecabularies. 12mo. 8, 6d.
APPENDIX TO INITIA GRECA. Part I. Containing additional
Exercises. With Examination Papers. Post Svo. 2x, 6d.

Exercises. With Examination Papers. Post Svo. 2s. 6d.
INVIA GRaca. Part II. A Reading Book. Containing
Short Tales, Americas, Fables, Mythology, and Grecian History.
12mo. 3s. 6d.

JETHO AS GA.

Part III. Prose Composition. Containing the Raise of Systax, with copiose Examples and Exercises. 12m. 3s. 6d. STUDENT'S GREEK GRANMAR. For the Higher Forms. By CURTUS. Post Sto. 6s.

SMALLIN GREEK GRANMAR. For the Middle and Lower Forms.

SMALLER GREEK GRAMMAR. For the Middle and Lower Form 17mo. 3s. 6d. GREEK ACCIDENCE. 12mo. 2s. 6d.

Plato, Apology of Socrates, &c. With Notes, 12mo. 2s. 6d. SMITH'S (Dr. Wm.) SMALLER HISTORIES:-

Scriftum Herony. Woodcuts. 16mo. 3s. 6d. Anchery History. Woodcuts. 16mo. 3s. 6d. Anchery Grography. Woodcuts. 16mo. 3s. 6d. Modern Grockaphy. 16mo. 2s. 6d. Gerce. Maps and Woodcuts. 16mo. 2s. 6d.

GREECE. Maps and Woodcuts. 16mo. 3s. 6d.
ROME. Maps and Woodcuts. 16mo. 3s. 6d.
CLASSICAL MYTHOLOGY. Woodcuts. 16mo. 3s. 6d.
ENGLIND. Maps and Woodcuts. 16mo. 3s. 6d.
ENGLIND. LITERATURE. 16mo. 3s. 6d.
SPECIMENS OF ENGLIS

@ The Complete Work of Charles Darwin Online

SOMERVILLE (MARY). Personal Recollections from Early Life

Physical Geography. Portrait. Post 8vo. 9s.
Connexion of the Physical Sciences. Post 8vo. 9s.
Molecular & Microscopic Science. Illustrations.
2 Vols. Post 8vo. 21s.

SOUTH (JOHN F.). Household Surgery; or, Hints for Emergencles. With Woodcuts. Frap. 8vo. 3s, 6sl. SOUTHEY (ROST). Lives of Bunyan and Cromwell. Post 8vo. 2s.

STAEL (MADAME DE). See STEVENS, STANHOPE'S (EARL) WORKS :-

HISTORY OF ENGLAND FROM THE REIGN OF QUEEN ANNE TO THE PEACE OF VERBALLES, 1701-83. 9 vols. Post Svo. 5s. each. LIPE OF WILLIAM PITT. Portraits, 3 Vols. 8vo. 3ds.

MISCELLANDES, 2 Vols. Post 8vo. 13s, BRITISH INDIA, FROM ITS ORIGIN TO 1783. Post 8vo. 3s. 6d. History of "Forty-Five." Post 8vo. 3s.

HISTORICAL AND CRITICAL ESSAYS. Post 8vo. 3s. 6d.
FRENCH RETREAT FROM MOSCOW, AND OTHER ESSAYS. Post 8vo.

FRENCH RETREAT FROM MOSCOW, AND OTHER ESSATS. POST 8VO 76. 6d. Laye of Belisarius. Post 8vo. 10s. 6d.

LIFE OF CONDÉ. Post 8vo. 3s. 6d. STORY OF JOAN OF ARC. FCRP, 8vo. 1s. Addresses on Various Occasions. 16mo. 1s.

ANLEY'S (DEAN) WORKS:-SINAI AND PALESTINE. Maps. 8vo. 14s.

Bible in the Holy Land; Extracted from the above Work. Weededts. Frap. Syc. 2s. 6d. Rasyrens Cuttute. Syc. 12s.

JEWISH CHURCH. SYO. 128.

JEWISH CHURCH. From the Earliest Times to the Christian Era. 3 Yels. Syo. 38s.

CHURCH OF SCOTLAND. 8vo. 7s. 6d.

EPISTLES OF ST. PAUL TO THE CORRESTHANS. 8vo. 18s.

LIFE OF DR. ARNOLD. POTTRAIL. 2 Vols. Cr. 8vo. 12s.

CANDERSON CARMINDAL. Illustrations. Post 8vo. 7s. 6d.

CANTERBURY CATHEDRAL. Illustrations. Post 8vo. 7s. 6 Westminster Abbey. Illustrations. 8vo. 15s. Sermons during a Tour in the East. 8vo. 9s.

Abbey. Sve.
THE BEATTCODES, and Sermons Addressed to Children in

MEMOIA OF EDWARD, CATHERINE, AND MARY STANLEY. Cr. 8vo. 9s.
CHRISTIAN INSTITUTIONS. Essays on Ecclesiastical Subjects.
8vo. 12s.

STEPHENS (Rev. W. R. W.). Life and Times of St. John Chrysceton. A Sketch of the Church and the Empire in the Fourth Century. Portrait. Swo. 123.

STEVENS (Dr. A.). Madame de Stiiel; a Study of her Life and Times. The First Revolution and the First Empire. Portraits. 2 Yols. Crawn Svo. 22s. STRATFORD DE REDCLIFFE (Lord). The Eastern Question.

Being a Selection from his Writings during the last Five Years of his
Life. With a Preface by Dean Stanley. With Map. 870. 98.

STREET (G. E.). Gobble Architecture in Spain. Illustrations.

Royal Svo. 30s.

Marèle. With Notes on North of Italy, chiefig in Brick and Rise of Styles in Architecture. With Illustrations. Evoya Sve. 26c. Rise of Styles in Architecture. With Illustrations. Svo. STULRIT (VILLIAIRS). Nile Gleanings: The Ethnology, Historia and Art of Ancient Egypt, as Revealed by Fathings and Rasses of Colombia (Villiaire Sve. Medium Svo. 31c. 44. Rock. Temporary 100 (1997).

STUDENTS' MANUALS :-

OLD TESTAMENT HISTORY; from the Creation to the Return of the Jews from Capitvity. Maps and Woodcuts. Post 8vo. 7s, 6f. New TESTAMENT HISTORY. With an Introduction connecting the History of the Old and New Testaments. Maps and Woodcuts.

EVIDENCES OF CHRISTIANITY, By REV. H. WACE. Post 8vo. ECCLESIASTICAL HISTORY. The Christian Church. By Philip

SMITE, B.A.
PART I.—First Ten Centuries. From its Foundation to the full establishment of the Holy Roman Empire and the Papal Power. Woodcuts.

Post 8vo. 7s. 6d.

Part II.—The Middle Ages and the Reformation. Woodcute. Post 8vo. 7s. 6d.

HISTORY OF THE ENGLISH CRURCH. By Canon PERRY.

First Period, from the planting of the Church in Britain to the Acces-

sten of Henry VIII. Post 8vc. 7s. 6d.

Second Period, from the accession
of Henry VIII. to the silencing of Convocation in the 18th Century.
Post. 8vc. 7s. 6d.

Post. Svo. 7s. 6d.
ANCIENT HISTORY OF THE EAST; Egypt, Assyria, Babylonia,
Media, Persia, Asia Minor, and Phomicia. Woodcuts. Post8vo. 7s. 6d.
ANCIENT GEOGRAPHY. By Canon BEVAN. Woodcuts. Post8vo.

Svo. 7a, 6d.

HISTORY OF GREECE; from the Earliest Times to the Roman Conquest. By W. Skirn, D.C.L. Woodents. Crown Sys. 7s. 6d.

HISTORY OF ROME; from the Earliest Times to the Establishment of the Empire. By DEAN LEDGELL, Woodents. Crown Svo. 7s. 6d.
GIBBON'S DECLINE AND FALL OF THE ROMAN EMPIRE. Woodents.

Post Svo. 7s.6d.

HALLAN'S HISTORY OF EUROPE during the Middle Ages.
Post Svo. 7s.6d.

HISTORY OF MODERN EUROPE, from the end of the Middle Ages to the Treaty of Berlin, 1878. Peat Sec. [In the Press. HALLAM'S HISTORY OF ENGLAND; from the Accession of

HALLANS HISTORY OF ENGLAND; ITOM the Accession of Henry VII. to the Death of George II. Post 8vo. 7s. 6s. HUME'S HISTORY OF ENGLAND from the Invasion of Julius Cassar to the Revolution in 1688. Revised, corrected, and continued down to the Treaty of Berlin, 1878. By J. S. Barwan, M.A. With

down to the Treaty of Berlin, 1878. By J. S. Brawan, M.A. With 7 Coloured Maps & 70 Woodents. Post 8vo. 7s. 6d. \*\* Questions on the above Work, 12mo. 2s. History of France; from the Earliest Times to the Establishment of the Second Empire, 1852. By H. W. JERVIS. Woodents.

Hishment of the Second Empire, 1862. By H. W. Jervis. Woodcuts.
Post Svo. 7s. 6d.
ENGLISH LANGUAGE. By Gro. P. Marsh. Post Svo. 7s. 6d.
ENGLISH LITERATURE. By T. B. Shaw. M.A. Post Svo. 7s. 6d.

STUDENTS' MANUALS-continued.

SPECIASS OF ENGLISH LITERATURE, ByT.B.SHAW, Post870,7s.6d.
Modehn Geography; Mathematical, Physical and Descriptive,
By Caron Bayas, Woodcats, Post Svo. 7s.6d.
Geography of Isda. By Dr. Ghodge Shirty, LL.D. Post Svo

7s. 6d.
Moral Philosophy. By Wm. Fleming. Post 8vo. 7s. 6d.
Blackstoke's Commentaries. By Malcolm Kerr. Post 8vo

SUMNER'S (BISHOP) Life and Episcopate during 40 Years. By Rev. G. H. SUMNER. Portrait. Svo. 14s.

Rev. G. H. SUBERE. Portrait. Svo. 144.

SWAINSON (CARON). Nicone and Apostles' Creeds; Their Literary History; togother with some Account of "The Creed of St. Athanasius." Svo. Lef. of, By Henry Craik, B.A.

SWIFT (JONATHAN). Life of. By HENRY CRAIK, B.A. SYBEL (Von) History of Europe during the French Revolution,

1789-1795. 4 Vols. Svo. 48s.

SYMONDS' (REV. W.) Records of the Rocks; or Notes on the Geology, Natural History, and Antiquities of North and South Wales.

Geology, Natural History, and Antiquities of North and South Walos, Siluria, beree, and Cornwall. With Illustrations. Crown 8vo, 12s. TALMUD. See BARCLAY; DAUGEON. TEMPLE (SIR RICHARD). India in 1880. With Maps. 8vo. 16s.

— Men and Events of My Time in India. Svo.

THIBAUT'S (ASTOINE) Purity in Musical Art. Translated from
the German. With a prefatory Memoir by W. H. Gladatone, M.P.
Pest Svo. 7s. 6d.

THIELMANN (BARON). Journey through the Caucasus to Tabreez, Kurdistan, down the Tigris and Emphrates to Nineveh and Babylon, and across the Desert to Palmyrs. Translated by Caras.

Haveos, automoso, own the lagra and Euphynes to Kneven and
Enlylon, and across the Desert to Palmyra. Translated by Chas.
HENRAOS. HENRAOS. HENRAOS. HENRAOS. HENRAOS. HENRAOS. HENRAOS.
Life in the Light of God's Word. Past 8vs. 5s.

Life in the Light of God's Word. Post Svc. 5s.

Word, Work, & Will: Collected Essays. Crown Svo. 9s.

TITIAN'S LIFE AND TIMES. With some account of his

Family, chiefly from new and unpubli-hed Records. By Chown and CAVALCASELLE. With Portrait and Illustrations. 2 Vels. 8vo. 21s. TOCQUEVILLE'S State of Society in France before the Revolution, 1789, and on the Causes which led to that Event, Translated by Henry

RESYL. Sec. 14.

TOMLINSON (Chas.); The Sonnet; Its Origin, Structure, and Place in Pourr. With translations from Dants, Petrovol. &c. Post Svo. 2s.

TOZER (REV. H. F.) Highlands of Turkey, with Visits to Mounts

Ida, Athos, Olympus, and Pellon. 2 Yols. Crown 8vo. 24s.

Lectures on the Geography of Greece. Map. Post
8vo. 9s.

TRISTRAM (Canon). Great Sahara. Illustrations. Crown &vo. 1&s.

Land of Moab; Travels and Discoveries on the Bast
Side of the Dead Sea and the Jordan. Illustrations. Crown &vo. 1&s.
TRURO (Bishor op). The Cathedral: its Necessary Place in
the 14ds and West of the Church. Crown &vo. 8s.

TWENTY YEARS' RESIDENCE among the Greeks, Albanians, Turks, Armenians, and Bulgarians. By an Excussi Lady. 2 Vols., Crown 8vo. 21s.

Crown 8vc. 21s.
TWINING (Bry. Tines). Records of a Life of Studious Retirement
Being Selections from His Correspondence. 8vc. [In the Frest

TWISS' (Honger) Life of Lord Eldon, 2 Vols. Post 8vo. 21s. TYLOR (E. B.) Researches into the Early History of Mankind,

and Davalonment of Civilization, 2rd Edition Revised, Syc. 12s. - Primitive Culture; the Development of Mythology, Philosophy, Religion, Art, and Custom. 2 Vols. Svo. 24s. VATICAN COUNCIL. See LETO.

VIRCHOW (PROFESSOR). The Freedom of Science in the Modern State, Frap. Svo. 2s. WACE (REV. HENRY). The Gospel and i.s Witnesses: the Prin-

WELLINGTON'S Despatches during his Campaigns in India. Denmark, Portugal, Spain, the Low Countries, and France, 8 Vols.

Supplementary Despatches, relating to India,

- Civil and Political Correspondence, Vols. I. to Syo, 20s, each.

Speeches in Parliament. 2 Vols. 8vo. 42s. WHEELER (G.). Choice of a Dwelling. Post 8vo. 7s. 6d.

WHITE (W. H.). Manual of Naval Architecture, for the use of

WHYMPER (EDWARD). The Ascent of the Matterborn. With

--- (SAMUEL, LL.D.), Lord Bishop of Oxford and

Edyptians, their Private Life, Laws, Arts, Religion, &c. A new edition. Edited by Samur, Bircu, Ll.D. Hisstrations, 3 Vols. Svo. 84s.

Popular Account of the Ancient Egyptians. With

WOOD'S (CAPTAIN) Source of the Oxus, With the Geography WORDS OF HUMAN WISDOM. Collected and Arranged by E. S. With a Preface by Cases Lidnox. Fesp. Sec. 3s. 64. WORDSWORTH'S (BISHOP) Greece; Pictorial, Descriptive, and

by Georgie Pourse, P.S.A. A Revised Estitos, by B. F. Tozza,
YORK (Administrator op). Collected Essaya, Contenta.—Synoptic
Gospela, Dealsh of Christ. God Exists, Worth of Life, Design in
Nature, Sports and Pastimes. Emotions in Pranching, Defects in
Misistersy Work. Linite of Philosophical Enquiry. Crown Swo. Sa. YULE (Colonel). Book of Marco Polo. Illustrated by the Light of Oriental Writers and Medern Travels. With Mans and 80 Plates.

- A. F. A Little Light on Cretan Insurrection. Post BRADBURY, AGNEW. & CO., PRINTERS, WHITEFRIARS





