

line, which might easily be taken to be the chapter on the "Recent Geological History of Yorkshire," only that the latter happened to be written by one who confused himself with the Holocene drift. Under the head of "The Pleistocene Epoch" there is an exposition of the views of those who would reintroduce the old (not recently suggested) name *Pleistocene* to include the Tertiary. It was a pity the author was not acquainted with any recent papers on the subject above the *Edin.*, for there are no good localities in this part of the basin. Mr. Huxford's admirable papers on the Yorkshire Geology appear to have been written in situ, and there have been modern papers also on the Yorkshire Chalk. It was perhaps excusable for our author to conclude that the third edition of Prof. Phillips' "Yorkshire Coast" contained all the most recent information, though every East-Yorkshire geologist knows that it did not. In considering a work on local geology it is always well to see where the author lived, for the surrounding country will be best described. So it is here; and the best part of the book is the description of the Middle and Upper Coal-measures, which are well developed in the neighbourhood of Bradford. For West-Yorkshire and the coast the book is of little value.

The topography of the map requires no other passage than the name of the mountains for its authority. The north-western part of the geological colouring derived from the Geological Survey maps is also very good. Nor can we complain when lack of published material prevents accuracy elsewhere, though it is a reason for regretting the slow publication of the Geological Survey maps which have, some long ago completed; but when the whole of the Vale of Pickering is coloured Stronesley, and a patch of the same is placed in the north-west corner, surely an error of rank of that age being discovered in the former, and none in the latter locality, one is led not more to regret that the author's map should be spoiled by his not knowing Mr. Huxford's papers and relying on Prof. Phillips. But he has surely introduced a typographical error of his own, which will be very serious to visitors to the popular watering-places of Scarborough and Flay. The Cliffe Rock and Flay Bay are coloured — see Lower Gofre and the other Neocomian, whereas they are both what the author would call "Middle Gofre"! It will take more than Mr. Bird to write a good "Geology of Yorkshire."

#### LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

[The Editor does not hold himself responsible for opinions expressed by his correspondents. Replies can be made only to letters, or to correspondents, and the nature of replies is unceremonious.]

[No letter is taken as an expression of opinion, unless it is so stated at the end of the letter. The Editor is not responsible for the opinions of correspondents, unless they are so stated at the end of the letter.]

#### Leaves Injured at Night by Frost Radiation

FRED BULLER, in a letter to me from St. Catharines in Canada, dated August 9, supports the view which I have advanced with respect to leaves placing themselves in a vertical position at night, during their so-called sleep, in order to escape being chilled and injured by radiation into the open sky. He says: "My first trial last week was on a cold and night [?] to 3° C. at morning, and there have given me a new confirmation of your view on the meaning of the asymptotic movement of plants. Note my leaves there are some *Phloxes* here, about a dozen years old; the youngest branch all leaves about upright, whereas the older ones are all shown as so to expose their upper surfaces to the sky. These young leaves, though of course the most tender, are still at dusk and green as before; on the contrary, the older ones have withered from the cold, and have become quite yellowish. Again, the leaves of *Crataegus* were observed

by me to sleep in a very imperfect manner during the summer, even after the most sunny days; but now, in winter, every leafy branch shows in a perpendicular position during the whole night." It is a new fact to me that leaves should sleep in a more or less perfect manner at different seasons of the year.

CHARLES DARWIN

#### Red Rainbows

THE account in *Nature*, vol. xvii, p. 427, of a pink rainbow seen from Mr. Thompson's house, recalls to me a rainbow which I witnessed in July 1877 over the Lake of Geneva from the promenade in front of the Hotel de Ville. The bow in question appeared at sunset, when the whole sky, east and west, was lit up with ruddy tints; and just before it faded away, the bow itself, which was a very brilliant one, showed only red and orange colours in places of its usual array of hues. No fewer than five supplementary arcs were visible at the lower edge of the primary bow, and these showed red only. I fancy that the phenomenon caused by very strong, from the circumstance that in pictures of the rainbow red and yellow are frequently the only colours on display by the artist, is few months ago Mr. C. F. Brereton, Director of the late Mr. Charles Darwin's collection of his own papers, in which a beautiful and completely perfect rainbow was drawn with red and yellow only only. It may also be mentioned that in the copy of Kuntz's "Meteors of Foligno" in the Depot of the Galapagos, there is a very singular red and yellow rainbow. I have not seen the original Philippe Mathias, in Rome; and should be interested to know whether in this also red and yellow are the only tints accorded by the colours.

HARLES HOUSE, CLIFTON

GEORGE F. THOMPSON

In your issue of the 8th inst. (vol. xvii, p. 427) your correspondent "A. M." describes what he calls a "pink rainbow" seen by him at Aldworth, near Haslemere, and as a painter I am interested in his description, as it exactly corresponds with the same phenomenon as seen by me here, some days, and viewed with certainty by myself and friends.

CORNE HALL, AYLES, September 11

DAVID MURRAY

#### Atoms

ALTHOUGH I am not an "outcast" authority, perhaps you will excuse my troubling you with the following extract from a paper read by me before the Philosophical Society of Glasgow in November, 1876, a copy of which paper I sent to the Editor of *Nature* in 1881. I have long been of opinion that the most probable hypothesis of the origin of atoms is that there is only one kind of matter—after the constitution—and that atoms are merely complex units of other structural elements, arranged round each other, differently grouped, in different numbers, at different relations, and at different distances, even in the different members of one and the same system. . . . The numbers of units in each similar group need not be always the same; a five-atom unit of iron will not be appreciable by us, like the other hand, if a six-atom element, after a placing of approximately four or five, is so small that it is of doubtful that there is a plurality of units, tending to produce them, then they show effects of different orders of moving of bodies; all our different states of several arrangements of atoms are necessarily dependent on differences in the modes of moving of the agents that create in us such plurality of lines and lines. As the motions of atoms, or rather of groups of atoms, exist in an oscillation of rest, in the motions of units, or rather of groups of units, tending to an oscillation of motion, and of course the corresponding movements of their lines. Thus again, we may hold that the more lines that exist in a space or a unit, the less easily soluble are the portions of the elements showing them, as far as these lines constitute are concerned—the lines being still uncombined material." (Proc. Phil. Soc. of Glas., vol. 2, p. 81.)

Continuing, August 25

HENRY STEVENSON

#### Luminous Phenomena on Eruption of San-Ito

In my diary for January 20, 1880, occurs the following passage. I make no attempt to account for the phenomenon, but one certain it was not caused by any reflection of the light on board the vessel.—