

p. 385. In 1877 Darwin published his book entitled "The Different Forms of Flowers or Plants of the same Species;" and let it be remembered that Darwin dedicated this work to Professor Asa Gray "As a small tribute of respect and affection." In this work Darwin says, "It is difficult to avoid the belief that the production of chistogamic flowers, which ensures under all circumstances a full supply of seed, has been in part determined by the perfect flowers being liable to fail in their fertilization," p. 330. Again, Mr. Darwin says, "We can hardly doubt that they [cleistogamic flowers, or flowers small, closed, and almost destitute of petals, almost like buds] have had their structure modified and degraded for this special purpose."—Ibid, p. 344. Once more, "It is therefore probable that the two forms in this sub-class have been separated or developed for this special end."—p. 345. "We may confidently believe that this has been effected in order that cross-fertilization should be assured."—Ibid, p. 345. Any brain capable of grasping the arithmetical fact that two and two make four, can see from these quotations from Darwin's later works that if he be evolutionist at all he is a pronounced theistic evolutionist. But when do we hear our Colonial apostles, of falsely, hypocritically paraded Freethought, acknowledge this? All true scientists are aware, and all honest scientists acknowledge that as yet verified science has not a single fact to give invalidating the teachings of the Bible. The "Design Argument" so honestly acknowledged, and so clearly put by Darwin, on the basis of verified physical laws, is to a well-trained mind, as Dr. Pope puts it, simply unanswerable.

---