

Upper with lower part
Coquimbo (See plan)

Pecten purpuratus Lam. This was probably the
 fossil Pecten which occurs in this locality, resembling
 very closely a recent species, which is common at
 Coquimbo and to which we find the name *Pecten*
purpuratus Lam. attached in the British Museum.
 I cannot however regard this as decidedly the *P.*
purpuratus of Lamarck, ^{and} as I have never had an
 opportunity of comparing it with the specimen in
 Lamarck's Collection, I am unable to decide: in
 the short character which Lamarck gives of his
 species there are two circumstances in which it
 differs from our recent species from Coquimbo, which
 are, the number & convexity of the rays. Lamarck
 in his character of *P. purpuratus* says that it has 26
convex rays, whereas in our Shell the rays are 22,
 and all nearly flat. With regard to the locality, ~~Lamarck~~
 seems to observe that Lamarck, speaking of his *P. purpuratus*,
 says "Habite les mers orientales et australes"; and
 afterwards "On le dit du Japon", neither of which localities
 accords with that of our Shell. One other circumstance
 contributes, with those already mentioned, to render
 it doubtful in my opinion whether our Shell be the
P. purpuratus of Lam. for our Shell has a remarkable
 character which is not noticed by Lamarck; it is this,
over

when the Shell has reached a diameter of about two inches, the small interstitial ribs make the appearance between ~~most~~^{most} of the principal ribs, which interstitial ribs become quite distinct when the Shell has arrived at a diameter of 3 $\frac{1}{2}$ inches. Supposing however that the second species in question is the *P. purpureus* of Lanaredt I have now to state that the fossil resembles it very nearly in every character, differing only in having the principal ribs more convex, the interstices narrower and the ventral points of the interstices obtuse, bidentate instead of being only obtusely quadrate.